

# Arbitrarily Large Goldbach (Even) Integers

Uboho Unyah

## Abstract

On investigating the subject of partitions on a prescribed subset of integers, Chen proved in 1966 that every sufficiently large even integer is the sum of a prime and a number with no more than two prime factors. This result further gave a giant leap towards the proof of Goldbach Conjecture, even as the conjecture still remained unproved. To make some improvements on this, we do not exactly prove the Goldbach Conjecture. But using Dirichlet's Theorem, Green-Tao Theorem, Equivalences on prime-pairs, etc., we associate Green-Tao and Dirichlet's Theorems with the even integers  $m$  and the partition function  $w(m)$  of  $m$ , in a more generalized way. We prove that for any A.P.,  $A$  containing primes (as defined by Green-Tao and Dirichlet's Theorems respectively), there exist a corresponding even integer  $m$  such that every prime in the solution set (and in the partition function) of  $m$  are terms of  $A$ ; and its converse. We prove that  $\limsup w(m)$  is unbounded; that is, that there exist even integers that can be written in an arbitrarily large number of ways as a sum of two primes. And hence that there exist arbitrarily large Goldbach even integers. Goldbach here being an adjective for its primes partitions.

## 1 Introduction

Jing-Run Chen in proving his theorem[1], he showed in 1966 that every sufficiently large even integer is the sum of a prime and a number with no more than two prime factors. That is, for all  $m = 2n$ ,  $n$  sufficiently large,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $m = p + a$ , where  $a$  is at most a semiprime ( $a = bc$ ). Implying that for all  $m$  sufficiently large,  $m = p_1 + bc$ . This was quite close to proving the Goldbach Conjecture if one of the factors, say  $c = 1$  and  $b = p_2$  as required.

---

This work is dedicated to mama, Iquo Etim Thompson Unyah Etuk-Akpan Mfon Òkpòróisip Udonsek (Née Iquo Udo Etuk-Udo Inyang Udo Etuk Abasi); in appreciation for her efforts on all of us.

But from numerical computations, every even integers  $m \in [4, 4(10^{14})]$  [18],[6] have been found expressible as a sum of two primes. And beyond these bounds, we would only be sure that they (i.e. the even integers) satisfy Chen's theorem (if they are indeed large enough). One of the ways of improving on the result of Chen's work would be to prove the Goldbach Conjecture itself. Another is to prove that beyond any computed intervals, there still exist even integers that can be expressed as a sum of two primes; and hence that there exist arbitrarily large (Goldbach) even integers. Here, our work centers on the later.

In this work, we draw upon the theorems of Dirichlet, Ben Green and Terence Tao. Applying the concept of equivalences on  $\mathbb{P}^2$  to these theorems, we succeed in showing that for every A.P. of primes,  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^k$  there exists an even integer  $m$  such that  $m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = \dots = p_t + p_{t+1}$  for even  $k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = \dots = 2p_t$  for odd  $k$ ; where the A.P. of prime terms  $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^k = p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ ;  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . This is where Green-Tao Theorem is of great importance to us. Yet to make Green-Tao Theorem easier to use by the methods we have adopted here, we resort to the Dirichlet's Theorem as a guide.

We will be as brief and sketchy as possible with the statement and proof of Dirichlet and Green-Tao Theorems, since they in essence are themselves detailed, long, established and known. Let's first begin by taking a brief look at the Dirichlet's Theorem on primes in Arithmetic Progressions.

## 2 Primes In Arithmetic Progressions; Dirichlet Theorem

### 2.1 Dirichlet Theorem

Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $(a, m) = 1$ . Then there are infinitely many prime numbers in the sequence of integers  $a, a + m, a + 2m, \dots, a + km, \dots$ ;  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof:

First we will show that for a Dirichlet character modulo  $m$ ,

$$\log L(s, \chi) = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + g(s, \chi)$$

for real  $s > 1$ , where  $g(s, \chi)$  is a function that remains bounded as  $s \rightarrow 1$ . Even if  $s$  is real,  $L(s, \chi)$  could still be complex valued, so if we want to take

its logarithm, we better choose a branch. For a given  $p$  and  $s \geq 1$ , define the value of the logarithm of the  $p^{\text{th}}$  factor in the L-function's Euler product by:

$$\log \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)(p^{-s})} = \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(p^n)}{np^{ns}}$$

and let  $g(s, \chi, p) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(p^n)}{np^{ns}}$ . For this choice of branch, and for  $|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\left| \log \frac{1}{1-z} - z \right| = \left| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n} \right| \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{|z|^n}{n} \leq |z|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n+2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \leq |z|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+1} = |z|^2$$

Now set  $z = \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s}$ . Since  $\left| \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  we obtain

$$|g(s, \chi, p)| \leq \left| \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} \right|^2 \leq \frac{1}{p^2}$$

for  $s \geq 1$ . Finally we set  $g(s, \chi) = \sum_p g(s, \chi, p)$ . Now  $\left| \sum_p g(s, \chi, p) \right| \leq \sum_p \frac{1}{p^2} \leq \sum_n \frac{1}{n^2}$  which converges. Thus  $g(s, \chi)$  remains bounded as  $s \rightarrow 1$

By adding all the logarithms of the factors in the L-function's Euler product, we obtain a branch of  $\log L(s, \chi)$ ,

$$\sum_p \log \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}} = \sum_p \frac{\chi(p)}{p^s} + g(s, \chi)$$

We already know that  $g(s, \chi)$  remains bounded even as  $s \rightarrow 1$ , so that  $\sum_{p \equiv a \pmod{m}} \frac{1}{p^s}$  diverges as required.  $\square$

More detail of this proof is given in [1],[17],[16].

### 3 Arbitrarily Long Prime Arithmetic Progressions; Green-Tao Theorem

At this point we would need to make a sketch of the Green-Tao Theorem. But the major difficulty is how we will sketch in few lines what requires many pages. Since the main purpose of this work is not really to prove Green-Tao Theorem, hence we will not go into the details of its proof.

### 3.1 Green-Tao Theorem

The prime numbers contain arithmetic progressions of length  $k$  for all  $k$ .

Proof:

A proof of this theorem is can be found in [5],[2]. And a phenomenally short proof is given in [7],[8].□

## 4 Even Integers And The A.Ps. Containing Primes; Their Relationships

Before going much further into exploring the results of Dirichlet and Green-Tao Theorems, lets make some important definitions that would be quite useful to us shortly.

### 4.1 Definition

Let  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A$  be an Arithmetic Progression in  $A$ , then we say:

- $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A_D$  if SOME of the terms in  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are primes, as defined by Dirichlet Theorem.
- $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A_G$  if ALL of the terms in  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are primes, as defined by Green-Tao Theorem.

From Green-Tao theorem, there exists an A.P. of the form:

$p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k; \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$  (our main interest being on  $k \geq 3$ ). Now we can express these prime terms as:

$$p_1, p_2 = p_1 + d, p_3 = p_1 + 2d, \dots, p_k = p_1 + (k - 1)d$$

These have equivalent expressions in the (modulo) language of Dirichlet Theorem as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1 &= p_1 + 0(d) \Rightarrow p_1 \equiv p_1 \pmod{d} \\
 p_2 &= p_1 + (d) \Rightarrow p_2 \equiv p_1 \pmod{d} \\
 p_3 &= p_1 + 2(d) \Rightarrow p_3 \equiv p_1 \pmod{d} \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 &\dots\dots\dots \\
 p_k &= p_1 + (k - 1)d \Rightarrow p_k \equiv p_1 \pmod{d}
 \end{aligned}$$

Here we can recall that a typical prime term of the A.P. (in  $A_D$ ) as defined by Dirichlet's Theorem, is in the form:  $p_i \equiv a \pmod{d}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \mathbb{N}$

with the condition that  $(a, d) = 1$ . In this case,  $a$  can be any integer (prime or composite). But for Green-Tao Theorem, the first term  $a = p$  always, where  $p$  is prime. Hence with this restriction and others we will shortly look at, we can say that the set of A.P.s.,  $A_G$  forms a subset of  $A_D$ [13]. That is,  $A_G \subset A_D$ . It is worthy of note that  $(p, d) = 1$  where  $d$  is the common difference, and the first term  $a$  is prime,  $p$ .

Also we can construct an analogue of Green-Tao Theorem using the Dirichlet Theorem as a guide. One of the ways in which this can be done is by iteration. From the knowledge that for any A.P., given by  $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}, \dots, u_k$ ;  $u_{i+1}$  is always an arithmetic mean between  $u_i$  and  $u_{i+2}$ , that is  $2u_{i+1} = u_i + u_{i+2}$ ,  $\forall u_i \in \{u_n\}$  we have  $2p_{i+1} = p_i + p_{i+2}$ , for arbitrary  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . And the obtained A.P. would be of the form:  $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, \dots, p_k$  with each  $p_i \equiv p_1 \pmod{d}$  as required (by Dirichlet's Theorem), where the common difference  $d$  is strictly even[13]; given that  $(p_1, d) = 1$ . When  $d$  is even, we are ensured that we will obtain an A.P. of prime terms that will be consecutive. Odd values of  $d$  will fail to give our needed consecutiveness of prime terms.

## 4.2 Definition

Let  $(x, y), (w, z) \in G$  be unique ordered pairs, we define a function  $Z(x, y) := x - y$  such that  $(x, y) \sim_z (w, z)$  if and only if  $Z(x, y) = Z(w, z)$ . Where  $\sim_z$  is an equivalence relation on  $G$ .

The system just defined can be expressed fully in the form:

$$(x, y) \sim_z (w, z) \Leftrightarrow Z(x, y) = Z(w, z)$$

This system has a number of interesting properties that has been discussed in greater detail in [11],[12],[10]. We will not go into much of its details in this work, but here we will use it in establishing and explaining some important relationships between the distribution of primes in Arithmetic Progression and the  $w$  ways of expressing an even integer  $m$  as a sum of two primes; that is, the partition function  $w(m)$  of  $m$ . This will be done in a more generalized way.

In combination with this function  $Z(x, y)$ , we will make use of Dirichlet's Theorem and very importantly the Green-Tao Theorem. The later theorem is to help us establish the arbitrary largeness of some Goldbach even integers. We intend to explore the result (and the nature) of the former theorem using  $Z(x, y)$  to obtain its general relationship between the  $w$  ways ( $w > 1$ ) of writing  $m$  as a sum of two primes.

### 4.3 Definition

Let  $m$  be an even integer, such that  $m = p_i + p_j$ , with  $p_i, p_j \in \mathbb{P}$ . The set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  is said to be a solution set of  $m$ , where  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  is defined such that  $\mathcal{S}(m) = \{[p_i, p_j] | m = p_i + p_j \wedge p_i, p_j \in \mathbb{P}\}$ .

We will make use of these specifications shortly in some very interesting way.

### 4.4 Proposition

For every A.P.,  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ , there is an even integer  $m$ , such that the different ways of writing  $m$  as a sum of two primes requires *all* the terms of  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$  (That is, there is an even integer  $m$ , such that every term of  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$  is in  $\mathcal{S}$  the solution set of  $m$ ).

Proof:

Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$  be an Arithmetic Progression of Primes, with  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ .

Then its common difference is obtained by:  $p_2 - p_1 = d = Z(p_2, p_1)$ ,

$p_3 - p_2 = d = Z(p_3, p_2), \dots, p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} = d = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2})$ ,

$p_k - p_{k-1} = d = Z(p_k, p_{k-1})$ . Here we recall the definition of  $Z(x, y)$ .

But since all the pairs  $(p_i, p_r)$  generate the same integer  $d$ , we choose:

1. For Even  $k$ , put  $k = 2t$

$$Z(p_2, p_1) = Z(p_k, p_{k-1}) \Rightarrow p_2 - p_1 = p_k - p_{k-1} \Rightarrow p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} \quad (1)$$

$$Z(p_3, p_2) = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}) \Rightarrow p_3 - p_2 = p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} \Rightarrow p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} \quad (2)$$

.....  
 .....  
 .....

$$Z(p_t, p_{t-1}) = Z(p_{t+2}, p_{t+1}) \Rightarrow p_t - p_{t-1} = p_{t+2} - p_{t+1} \Rightarrow p_{t-1} + p_{t+2} = p_t + p_{t+1} \quad (3)$$

So that combining the right sides of equations (1) to (3) we have

$$p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_{t+1} \quad (4)$$

and now setting  $m$  as a sum of two primes, gives:

$$m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_{t+1} \quad (5)$$

as required.

2. For Odd  $k$ , put  $k = 2t - 1$

$$Z(p_2, p_1) = Z(p_k, p_{k-1}) \Rightarrow p_2 - p_1 = p_k - p_{k-1} \Rightarrow p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} \quad (6)$$

$$Z(p_3, p_2) = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}) \Rightarrow p_3 - p_2 = p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} \Rightarrow p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} \quad (7)$$

.....  
 .....  
 .....

$$Z(p_t, p_{t-1}) = Z(p_{t+1}, p_t) \Rightarrow p_t - p_{t-1} = p_{t+1} - p_t \Rightarrow p_{t-1} + p_{t+1} = p_t + p_t \quad (8)$$

So that combining the right sides of equations (6) to (8) we have

$$p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_t (= 2p_t) \quad (9)$$

setting  $m$  as a sum of two primes, gives:

$$m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_t (= 2p_t) \quad (10)$$

as required.

It is readily observable that every term in the A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is involved in the different ways of expressing  $m$  as a sum of two primes. This holds for all values of  $k \geq 3$ .  $\square$

Generally, if  $k$  is the length of the A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ , then we can write the even integer  $m$  in  $w(m)$  ways. In this case,  $w(m) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil = t$  ways as a sum of two primes, where  $\lceil x \rceil$  is the ceiling function.

As can be seen from proposition (4.4), all the terms of the prime A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$  of length  $k$  will be required (i.e. involved) in the different ways of writing the even integer:

- $m = p_t + p_{t+1}$  if  $k$  is even with  $k = 2t$ . That is, all the terms of the A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$  will be in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  of  $m = p_t + p_{t+1}$ .

#### 4.4.1 Example

The A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ : 7, 37, 67, 97 has length  $k = 4$ , with  $k = 2t$ ,  $t = 2$

hence all the primes in this A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^4 \in A_G$  will be needed in the different ways of writing  $m = 37 + 67 = 104$ , where  $p_t = p_2 = 37$  and  $p_{t+1} = p_3 = 67$ ; that is each term of 7, 37, 67, 97 is in the solution set of 104.

- $m = 2p_t$  if  $k$  is odd with  $k = 2t - 1$ . That is, all the terms of the A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$  will be in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  of  $m = 2p_t$ .

#### 4.4.2 Example

In the case with an A.P.,  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ : 5, 17, 29, 41, 53 has length  $k = 5$ , with  $k = 2t - 1$ ,  $t = 3$ .

So that all the primes in this A.P.,  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^5 \in A_G$  will be needed in the different ways of writing  $m = 2(29) = 58$ . Where  $p_t = p_3 = 29$ . That is, all the terms in 5, 17, 29, 41, 53 will all be found in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  of  $m = 2(29)$ .

**Note :**  $p_t$  is the 't'th term of the given Arithmetic Progression  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ ,  $k \geq 3$ .

**Remark :** This property holds for any length ( $k \geq 3$ ) of given A.P. of primes,  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k$ . It is another point where the Goldbach Conjecture (prime partitions of even integers) coincides with the distribution of Primes in Arithmetic Progression and its concepts.

As we have succeeded in showing that for every A.P. of primes in  $A_G$  as defined by Green-Tao Theorem, there is an even integer  $m$  such that the different ways of writing  $m$  as a sum of two primes requires every term of  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ . We will extend this property to the general A.P. where some terms are composite. This will require only the condition that:  $Z(p_1, p_2) = Z(p_{k-1}, p_k)$  that is,  $Z(p_2, p_1) = Z(p_k, p_{k-1})$ ,  $Z(p_3, p_2) = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}), \dots, Z(p_j, p_{j-1}) = Z(p_t, p_{t-1})$ , etc. But before we do this, we need to deduce an important implicit restriction (though not so obvious) on the A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ . For example, we can ask: can the prime  $p = 2$  be a term in the A.P.  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ ? To verify this, we can look at the following proposition. But we have dealt with this before in [12].

### 4.5 Proposition

$p = 2$  can not be a term in the A.P. of Primes,  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$

Proof:

Lets assume on the contrary that  $p_j = 2$  can be found in  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ , and let  $p_{j+1}$  and  $p_{j+2}$  be the next two terms after  $p_j$  respectively. So that we would have:  $p_j, p_{j+1}, p_{j+2}$  as consecutive terms in  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ . This gives us that

$$p_{j+1} = \frac{p_j + p_{j+2}}{2}$$



with  $p_j = 2$  (in a non trivial case where  $p = 2$  is the only even prime), we have

$$p_{j+1} = \frac{2 + p_{j+2}}{2} \equiv \frac{Even + Odd}{2} = \frac{Odd}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$$

but  $p_{j+1} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  shows that  $p_{j+1} \notin \{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ . Thus showing that the primes  $p_j, p_{j+1}, p_{j+2}$  will never form consecutive terms in  $A \supset A_G$  if any given term say  $p_j = 2$ .

Also as every term in  $A_G$  needs to be prime, clearly  $p_j = 2$  can not be a term in  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$ .  $\square$

From the proof, it is clear that in any A.P. where  $p_i = 2$ , three primes can never be consecutive if the length of the A.P.,  $k \geq 3$ . This illustrates that if three primes are consecutive, then there can be no  $p_i = 2$ . Hence the existence of " $p_i = 2$ " and the existence of "consecutive primes of length  $k \geq 3$ " are mutually exclusive.

Hence with  $u_i = 2$ , we can only have an A.P. of the form:

$2, Odd, Even, Odd, Even, Odd, Even, \dots$  if  $(2, d) = 1$ , with  $a = p = 2$

OR

$2, Even, Even, Even, Even, Even, \dots$  if  $(2, d) > 1$

each without the existence of consecutive prime terms of length  $k \geq 3$ . This is one of the restrictions mentioned in section (4.1).

## 4.6 Proposition

Whenever  $m$  is expressible in  $w$  ways as a sum of two primes, ( $w > 1$ ) there exists an A.P.,  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A$  such that all the primes in the solution set of  $m$  are terms of  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A$ .<sup>1</sup>

Proof:

Let  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{k-2}, p_{k-1}, p_k \in H$  be primes that are found in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $m$ , with  $p_1 < p_2 < p_3 < \dots < p_{k-2} < p_{k-1} < p_k$ .

From the definition of  $Z(x, y)$ , we know that  $Z(x, y) = x - y$ .

- With  $k$  even, put  $k = 2t$ , so that  $p_2 - p_1 = d_1 = Z(p_2, p_1)$ ,  
 $p_k - p_{k-1} = d_1 = Z(p_k, p_{k-1})$ ,  $p_3 - p_2 = d_2 = Z(p_3, p_2)$ ,  
 $p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} = d_2 = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}), \dots, p_t - p_{t-1} = d_n = Z(p_t, p_{t-1})$ ,  
 $p_{t+2} - p_{t+1} = d_n = Z(p_{t+2}, p_{t+1})$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>This is equivalently a converse of Proposition (4.4), with some generalizations on  $A \supset A_D \supset A_G$ .

- With  $k$  odd, put  $k = 2t - 1$ , so that  $p_2 - p_1 = d_1 = Z(p_2, p_1)$ ,  
 $p_k - p_{k-1} = d_1 = Z(p_k, p_{k-1})$ ,  $p_3 - p_2 = d_2 = Z(p_3, p_2)$ ,  
 $p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} = d_2 = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}), \dots, p_t - p_{t-1} = d_n = Z(p_t, p_{t-1})$ ,  
 $p_{t+1} - p_t = d_n = Z(p_{t+1}, p_t)$ .

Equating these, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 &= Z(p_2, p_1) = Z(p_k, p_{k-1}) \Rightarrow p_2 - p_1 = p_k - p_{k-1} \Rightarrow p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} \\
d_2 &= Z(p_3, p_2) = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}) \Rightarrow p_3 - p_2 = p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} \Rightarrow p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} \\
.. &= \dots\dots\dots \\
.. &= \dots\dots\dots \\
d_n &= Z(p_t, p_{t-1}) = Z(p_{t+1}, p_t) \Rightarrow p_t - p_{t-1} = p_{t+1} - p_t \Rightarrow p_{t-1} + p_{t+1} = p_t + p_t
\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots\dots\dots = p_{t-1} + p_{t+1} = p_t + p_t$$

for  $k$  even,  
and

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1 &= Z(p_2, p_1) = Z(p_k, p_{k-1}) \Rightarrow p_2 - p_1 = p_k - p_{k-1} \Rightarrow p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} \\
d_2 &= Z(p_3, p_2) = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}) \Rightarrow p_3 - p_2 = p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} \Rightarrow p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} \\
.. &= \dots\dots\dots \\
.. &= \dots\dots\dots \\
d_n &= Z(p_t, p_{t-1}) = Z(p_{t+1}, p_t) \Rightarrow p_t - p_{t-1} = p_{t+1} - p_t \Rightarrow p_{t-1} + p_{t+1} = p_t + p_t
\end{aligned}$$

giving

$$p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = 2p_t$$

for  $k$  odd.

Generally,  $m$  is written in  $w(m) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$  ways as a sum of two primes. Where each prime is in the solution set of  $m$ .

Now to obtain their arithmetic progressions we proceed in two ways namely; when  $d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n$  are all equal, and when they are distinct.

- Case I: The special case, when  $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = \dots = d_n = d$ .  
That is

$$p_2 - p_1 = d, p_3 - p_2 = d, \dots, p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} = d, p_k - p_{k-1} = d$$

We have

$$p_2 = p_1 + d, p_3 = p_2 + d, p_4 = p_3 + d, \dots, p_k = p_{k-1} + d$$

Giving:

$$p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_{k-1}, p_k$$

where  $p_i = p_1 + (i - 1)d$ , this gives a classic Arithmetic Progression of primes. That is, all the prime terms are consecutive.

- Case II: The General case, when  $d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n$  are distinct (or are not all equal).

With their gcd,  $(d_1, d_2, d_3, \dots, d_n) = d$  so that  $d_1 = a_1d, d_2 = a_2d, d_3 = a_3d, \dots, d_n = a_nd$ ;  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n \neq 1$  (not all equal to 1), where  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

As before, with  $p_2 - p_1 = d_1 = Z(p_2, p_1)$ ,

$$p_k - p_{k-1} = d_1 = Z(p_k, p_{k-1}), p_3 - p_2 = d_2 = Z(p_3, p_2),$$

$$p_{k-1} - p_{k-2} = d_2 = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}), \dots, p_t - p_{t-1} = d_n = Z(p_t, p_{t-1}),$$

$$p_{t+2} - p_{t+1} = d_n = Z(p_{t+2}, p_{t+1}).$$

We have:

$$d_1 = Z(p_2 - p_1) \Rightarrow p_2 = p_1 + d_1 \Rightarrow p_2 = p_1 + a_1d$$

$$d_1 = Z(p_k, p_{k-1}) \Rightarrow p_k = p_{k-1} + d_1 \Rightarrow p_k = p_{k-1} + a_1d$$

$$d_2 = Z(p_3, p_2) \Rightarrow p_3 = p_2 + d_2 = p_2 + a_2d \Rightarrow p_3 = p_1 + (a_1 + a_2)d$$

$$d_2 = Z(p_{k-1}, p_{k-2}) \Rightarrow p_{k-1} = p_{k-2} + d_2 \Rightarrow p_{k-1} = p_{k-2} + a_2d$$

$$\dots = \dots$$

$$\dots = \dots$$

And following these patterns, we have that the terms of the A.P. will be in the form:

$$- p_1$$

$$- p_2 = p_1 + a_1d$$

$$- p_3 = p_2 + a_2d = p_1 + (a_1 + a_2)d$$

$$- p_4 = p_3 + a_3d = p_1 + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)d$$

$$- \dots$$

$$- \dots$$

$$- \dots$$

$$- p_{k-2} = p_{k-3} + a_3d$$

- $p_{k-1} = p_{k-2} + a_2d$
- $p_k = p_{k-1} + a_1d$

Hence, the term  $p_k = p_1 + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_3 + a_2 + a_1)d$ . But for the special case, when  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n = 1$ , then we have the prime A.P. as in Case I.

This gives us the required A.P.,  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , where each prime in the solution set of  $m$  is a term in the A.P.  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A$  as required.  $\square$

As we have seen in the second case (Case II), the prime terms may not necessarily be consecutive, but they form given terms in an A.P. in  $A$ , such as:  $u_1, p_1, u_3, u_4, p_2, p_3, \dots, u_i, u_{i+1}, p_{k-1}, \dots, p_k, \dots$  etc. Here the prime terms in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  of  $m$  coincides with some terms  $u_i$  in the Arithmetic Progression.

**Remark :** The other terms  $u_j$  that do not coincide with primes in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $m$  may or may not be primes. But the most striking property here is that the differences between successive prime terms in  $H$  and subsequently in  $\mathcal{S}$  are symmetric. For example, the term  $p_k$  of the A.P. can be expressed as:  $p_k = p_1 + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \dots + a_3 + a_2 + a_1)d$  as in case II. It can be observed that the purpose of the  $Z$ -function here is to sieve out equivalent prime pairs (from the A.P.) that will form the solution set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  of  $m$ .

## 4.7 Example

Looking at the A.P.:  $5, 11^*, 17^*, 23^*, 29^*, 35, 41^*, 47^*, 53^*, 59^*, 65, 71, \dots$  we can observe that the primes with  $*$  are in the solution set of:  $m = 70$ , that is, they will be required in the different ways of expressing  $m$  as a sum of two primes (in the prime partition of  $m$ ):  $m = 70 = 41+29 = 47+23 = 53+17 = 59+11$ . Here  $Z(17, 11) = Z(59, 53) = 6$ ,  $Z(23, 17) = Z(53, 47) = 6$ ,  $Z(29, 23) = Z(47, 41) = 6$  and the common difference  $d$  of the A.P. can be obtained by  $(6, 6, 6) = d = 6$

## 4.8 Example

Looking at the A.P.:  $3, 13^*, 23^*, 33, 43^*, 53^*, 63, 73^*, 83^*, 93, 103^*, 113^*, \dots$  we can also observe here that the primes with  $*$  are in the solution set of:  $m = 126$ , that is, they will be required in the different ways of expressing  $m$  as a sum of two primes (in the prime partition of  $m$ ):  $m = 126 =$

$$13 + 113 = 23 + 103 = 43 + 83 = 53 + 73$$

Here  $Z(23, 13) = Z(113, 103) = 10$ ,  $Z(43, 23) = Z(103, 83) = 20$ ,  $Z(53, 43) = Z(83, 73) = 10$  and the common difference  $d$  for the A.P. can be obtained by  $(10, 20, 10) = d = 10$

These examples in essence illustrate that there always exists an A.P., in  $A$  such that every prime in the solution set of  $m$  are the terms of  $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \in A$ . One can observe the symmetric nature of differences between such prime terms. This property holds true for arbitrary even integer  $m$ , (and consequently) for arbitrary A.P.,  $A$  containing a distribution of primes.

## 5 The Arbitrarily Large Goldbach (Even) Integers

Before we go much further into this section, we need to prove an important inequality. It will be of much use us shortly.

### 5.1 Proposition

Let  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k$  be an A.P. of Primes of length  $k$ , given by:  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$  then  $p_k \geq k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof:

Since  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k$  is an Arithmetic Progression, then each term  $p_i$  can be expressed as:

$$p_i = p_1 + (i - 1)d. \text{ With } d \geq 2, \text{ we have}$$

$$p_i - p_1 = (i - 1)d \Rightarrow p_i - p_1 \geq (i - 1), i \geq 1$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i \geq i \text{ and } p_1 \geq 1$$

Or generally,

Let  $p_i, p_j$  be given by:

$$p_i = p_1 + (i - 1)d \text{ and } p_j = p_1 + (j - 1)d \text{ respectively,}$$

subtracting  $p_j$  from  $p_i$  we have

$$p_i - p_j = ((i - 1) - (j - 1))d = (i - j)d$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i - p_j \geq (i - j), d \geq 2$$

$$\Rightarrow p_i \geq i, p_j \geq j; i, j \in \mathbb{N}; p_i, p_j \in \{p_i\}_{i=1}^k, i \geq j. \quad \square$$

**Note :** It is obvious that  $\forall p \in \mathbb{P}, p > 1$ . But nevertheless an equality holds in the relation if  $i = 1$  in the first case or if  $i = j$  in the general case. This however implies that at  $i = 1$ ,  $p_i = p_1$  such that both sides nullify themselves. Similarly, when  $i = j$ ,  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  coincide to the same effect as the first case. This takes out the question of 1 being a prime; since it is independent

of it.

**Remark :** It is important to realize that the above inequality may not hold for any random sequence, but will *always* hold for *every* Arithmetic Progression of prime terms, such that  $p_i \in \mathbb{P}$ . It will also fail if the negative primes are considered in the A.P.

At this point, we will make important deductions from the materials we have so far generated within this work. These deductions are the main objectives of this work; to prove that there exist even integers  $m$  that can be written in an arbitrarily large number of ways  $w(m)$  as a sum of two primes, and that there exist arbitrarily large Goldbach even integers. To construct proves as we are about to do, we find Green-Tao Theorem quite indispensable and efficient; as it is the background on which these deductions can be established with relative ease. We will state our deductions as theorems and then proceed to prove them using the informations we have so far gathered in the previous sections.

## 5.2 Theorem

There exist even integers  $m$  that can be written in arbitrarily large number of ways as a sum of two primes.

Proof:

Given  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$  an Arithmetic Progression of prime terms,

$\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k = p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$  of length  $k$ ,

by proposition (4.5)  $\forall p_i \in \{p_n\}_{n=1}^k \Rightarrow p_i \in \{Odd\}$  ( $p_i$  is odd)

and by proposition (4.4), there is an even integer  $m$ , such that  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$  are in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  of  $m$ .

So that

$$m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_t (= 2p_t)$$

for  $k$  odd, with  $k = 2t - 1$  and

$$m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_{t+1}$$

for  $k$ , even with  $k = 2t$

Here the number of ways of writing  $m$  as (a sum of) two primes is given by  $w(m) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil = t$ .

By Green-Tao Theorem,  $k$  can be arbitrarily large. In both cases (odd or even), as the length  $k$  gets larger,  $t$  also gets larger.

At the infinite limits, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

So that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w(m) = \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil = \infty$

Hence  $m$  can be written in an arbitrarily large number of ways as a sum of two primes.  $\square$

This theorem highlights to us that  $w(m)$  is on the average an increasing function; and implies that the pattern called *Goldbach comet* will for ever keep expanding with increasing values of  $m$ .

And also, it touches on a conjecture of Turán and Paul Erdős (see problem 13. of [3]) for a special case of  $\{a_i\}$ ,  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  where each  $a_i = p_i$ , and  $m = p_i + p_j$ ,  $m = 2n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This part owes much to Green-Tao Theorem. Theorem (5.2) here proves that  $\limsup w(m) = \infty$ . We will prove that  $w(m) > 0$  for all  $m$  in [14] and further in [15].

### 5.3 Theorem

There exist arbitrarily large Goldbach even integers.

**Remark :** The "Goldbach" used here is merely descriptive of  $m$  being expressible as a sum of two primes. The proof here follows similarly as in (5.2) though with a little caveat.

Proof:

Let the A.P.,  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \in A_G$  be such that  $p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_k$ , is monotone increasing.

By proposition (4.5),  $\forall p_i \in \{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \Rightarrow p_i \in \{Odd\}$  ( $p_i$  is odd), we also know by proposition (4.4) that there exists an even integer  $m$  such that  $\forall p_i \in \{p_i\}_{i=1}^k \Rightarrow p_i$  is in the solution set  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  of  $m$ , giving

$$m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_t (= 2p_t)$$

for  $k$  odd, and  $k = 2t - 1$  and

$$m = p_1 + p_k = p_2 + p_{k-1} = p_3 + p_{k-2} = \dots = p_t + p_{t+1}$$

for  $k$  even, with  $k = 2t$  as in proposition(4.4)

And by Green-Tao Theorem, the length  $k$  can be arbitrarily large.

We can select  $m = p_1 + p_k$  (we are sure that  $m$  is even, since  $p_1, p_k \in \{Odd\}$  as shown in proposition (4.5) and the property that  $Odd + Odd = Even$ ).

Also from the inequality in proposition (5.1), we have  $p_k \geq k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \geq 3$ . So that  $m = p_1 + p_k \Rightarrow m > p_k$  since  $p_1 > 0$  (and indeed  $p_1 > 2$  since  $p_1$  is an odd prime).

Combining these results, we have  $m > p_k \geq k$ . So that for  $k$  arbitrarily large,

$m$  and  $p_k$  are arbitrarily large. Such that  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $p_k \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ;  $m$  is even.

Hence in this case,  $m$  is an arbitrarily large even integer that can be written as a sum of two primes as required. ■

This as we have just seen, is a prove that there exist arbitrarily large even integers that are Goldbach (i.e., that can be written as sum of two primes). And at this point, we can deduce obviously from the proof that there is no largest Goldbach even integer. We can at this point state a deduction from here that the set of Goldbach even integers is unbounded.

## 6 Conclusion

The existence of arbitrarily large Goldbach even integers  $m$  does not exactly prove the Goldbach conjecture; since it does not guarantee that *every* even integer  $m \geq 4$  is a sum of two primes. There may be some omitted even integers that may not be Goldbach, which the methods applied in our discussions in this work may not verify. But it does tell us explicitly, that there exist large and even larger Goldbach even integers.

In summary, the methods used in this work have successfully been able to prove among other things, that:

1. whenever an even integer  $m$  is expressible in  $w$  ways as a sum of two primes ( $w > 1$ ), there exist an A.P.  $\{u_i\}$ , such that all the primes in the solution set of  $m$  are terms of  $\{u_i\}$ .
2. there exist even integers  $m$  that can be written in arbitrarily large number of ways as a sum of two primes,  $m = p_i + p_j$ ,  $w(m) \rightarrow \infty$ . That is, that the partition function  $w(m)$  is unbounded, which in essence touches on a conjecture of Turán and Erdős mentioned at the end of the proof in section (5.2).
3. there exist arbitrarily large Goldbach even integers,  $m = p_i + p_j$ ,  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

At this point, one of the closest questions we can ask ourselves is: given the existence of arbitrarily large integers that can be written as a sum of two primes (and the fact that smaller even integers have been found to be Goldbach), how do we know if *every* even integer is a sum of two primes? That is, in essence how do we know if Goldbach Conjecture is true? In [14], we take a look at Goldbach conjecture itself; there we construct a complete



proof of the Conjecture and show in some detailed and vivid way, that it holds on every finite interval for all even integers  $m \in [4, \infty)$ . And we prove an extension of the Conjecture in [15]. ■

## References

- [1] Apostol, Tom: Introduction To Analytic Number Theory, Springer-Verlag New York, 1976.
- [2] Conlon, D., Fox, J. And Zhao, Y.:The Green-Tao Theorem: An Exposition. Available at arXiv:1403.2957v4 [math.NT] 4 March 2018.
- [3] Erdős, Paul: Some Unsolved Problems, Available at <https://old.renyi.hu/> 1957.
- [4] Goldston, Motohashi, Pintz, Yildirim: Small Gaps Between Primes Exist, <http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0505300v1>
- [5] Green, Ben. and Tao, Terrence: The Primes Contain Arbitrarily Long Arithmetic Progressions. Available at <http://www.arXiv.org>
- [6] Koshy, Thomas: Discrete Mathematics with Applications, Elsevier Academic Press, 84 Theobald's Road, London WC, U.K., 2004.
- [7] Petridi, Constantin: A Short Proof Of The Green-Tao Theorem, (Preprint). Available at Research Gate, 11 June 2019: DOI:10.13140/RG.2.2.34810.13763
- [8] Petridi, Constantin: A General Theory On Primes, (Preprint), Research Gate, 30 January 2019, DOI:13140/RG.2.2.20547.66088
- [9] Pintz, János: On The Difference Of Primes, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1206.0149v1>, 1 June 2012.
- [10] Staunton, Eoghan: Infinitesimals, Nonstandard Analysis And Applications To Finance, National University Of Ireland, Galway, 2013
- [11] Unyah, Uboho: A Study of Some Equivalence Properties Of Primes (In Their Pairs), <http://arxiv.org/abs/2110.07334v1>.
- [12] Unyah, Uboho: Another Aspect Of Goldbach Conjecture, <http://arxiv.org/abs/2110.07334v2>.

- [13] Unyah, Uboho: Dirichlet Interpretation Of Green-Tao Theorem.(Preprint)
- [14] Unyah, Uboho: A Look At Goldbach Conjecture(Preprint)
- [15] Unyah, Uboho: An Extension Of Goldbach Conjecture.(Preprint)
- [16] Várilly, Anthony: Dirichlet's Theorem on Arithmetic Progression, <https://math.rice.edu/~av15/Files/Dirichlet.pdf>
- [17] Wang, Zijiang: Elementary Proof of Dirichlet theorem. Available at <http://math.uchicago.edu/~may/REU2017/REUPapers/WangZijian.pdf>
- [18] Wells, David: Prime Numbers; The Most Mysterious Figures In Maths. Published by John Wiley And Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey. Published Simultaneously in Canada, 2005.

Department Of Mathematics, University Of Uyo, Nigeria  
Email:unyahinmaths2020@gmail.com