

Upper triangular operator matrices and stability of their various spectra

Nikola Sarajlija¹

November 22, 2021

Abstract

Denote by $T_n^d(A)$ an upper triangular operator matrix of dimension n whose diagonal entries D_i are known, where $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ is an unknown tuple of operators. This article is aimed at investigation of defect spectrum $\mathcal{D}^{\sigma_*} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_*(D_i) \setminus \sigma_*(T_n^d(A))$, where σ_* is a spectrum corresponding to various types of invertibility: (left, right) invertibility, (left, right) Fredholm invertibility, left Weyl invertibility, right Weyl invertibility. We give characterizations for each of the previous types, and provide some sufficient conditions for the stability of certain spectrum (case $\mathcal{D}^{\sigma_*} = \emptyset$). Our main results hold for an arbitrary dimension $n \geq 2$ in arbitrary Hilbert or Banach spaces without assuming separability, thus generalizing results from [11], [12]. Hence, we complete a trilogy to previous work [9], [10] of the same author, whose goal was to explore basic invertibility properties of $T_n^d(A)$ that are studied in Fredholm theory. We also retrieve a result from [1] in the case $n = 2$, and we provide a precise form of the well known 'filling in holes' result from [8].

Keywords: defect spectrum, invertibility, stability, $n \times n$, Banach spaces

MSC 2010: 47A10 47A53

1 Introduction. Basic concepts

Throughout this text, let X, Y, X_1, \dots, X_n be arbitrary Banach spaces. Collection of linear and bounded operators from X to Y is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$, where $\mathcal{B}(X) :=$

¹e-mail address: nikola.sarajlija@dmi.uns.ac.rs

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Sciences, Novi Sad, 21000, Serbia

$\mathcal{B}(X, X)$, and if $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ its null and range space are denoted by $\mathcal{N}(T)$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$, respectively. $\mathcal{N}(T)$ is closed subspace of X , and we denote its linear dimension by $\alpha(T)$. $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is a subspace of Y , and we denote its codimension by $\beta(T)$. If $\alpha(T)$ ($\beta(T)$) is not finite we write $\alpha(T) = \infty$ ($\beta(T) = \infty$). We define $\text{ind}(T) := \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$ as the index of T , if at least one of the quantities $\alpha(T), \beta(T)$ is finite. Notice that $\text{ind}(T) \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

Let $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. Size $\alpha(T)$ ($\beta(T)$) introduced in the previous paragraph measures how close T is to a left (right) invertible operator. If $\alpha(T) = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}(T)$ is closed and complemented, then T is left invertible, write $T \in \mathcal{G}_l(X)$. If $\beta(T) = 0$ and $\mathcal{N}(T)$ is complemented, then T is right invertible, write $T \in \mathcal{G}_r(X)$ [3, p. 468]. If $\alpha(T) = \beta(T) = 0$ then T is invertible, write $T \in \mathcal{G}(X) = \mathcal{G}_l(X) \cap \mathcal{G}_r(X)$. Sizes α and β are systematically studied in Fredholm theory, which basic notation we introduce right now [14]. Families of left and right Fredholm operators, respectively, are defined as

$$\Phi_l(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(T) \text{ is closed and complemented}\}$$

and

$$\Phi_r(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \beta(T) < \infty \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(T) \text{ is complemented}\}.$$

The set of Fredholm operators is

$$\Phi(X) = \Phi_l(X) \cap \Phi_r(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : \alpha(T) < \infty \text{ and } \beta(T) < \infty\}.$$

Families of left and right Weyl operators, respectively, are defined as

$$\Phi_l^-(X) = \{T \in \Phi_l(X) : \text{ind}(T) \leq 0\}$$

and

$$\Phi_r^+(X) = \{T \in \Phi_r(X) : \text{ind}(T) \geq 0\}.$$

Corresponding spectra of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ are defined as follows:

-the left spectrum: $\sigma_l(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \mathcal{G}_l(X)\}$;

-the right spectrum: $\sigma_r(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \mathcal{G}_r(X)\}$;

-the spectrum: $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \mathcal{G}(X)\}$;

-the left essential spectrum: $\sigma_{le}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \Phi_l(X)\}$;

-the right essential spectrum: $\sigma_{re}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \Phi_r(X)\}$;

-the essential spectrum: $\sigma_e(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \Phi(X)\}$;

-the left Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_{lw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \Phi_l^-(X)\}$;

-the right Weyl spectrum: $\sigma_{rw}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda - T \notin \Phi_r^+(X)\}$;

All of these spectra are compact nonempty subsets of the complex plane.

Their complements are denoted by $\rho_l(T), \rho_r(T), \rho(T), \rho_{le}(T), \rho_{re}(T), \rho_e(T), \rho_{lw}(T), \rho_{rw}(T)$, respectively.

In this article we investigate spectral properties of upper triangular block operators whose diagonal entries are known while the others are not. Denote by $T_n^d(A)$ such an operator, where subscript n denotes the matrix dimension, superscript d ('down') means that operators below the main diagonal are all zero, and $A = (A_{ij})_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ is a tuple of unknown operators above the main diagonal. In other words,

$$T_n^d(A) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & A_{12} & A_{13} & \dots & A_{1,n-1} & A_{1n} \\ 0 & D_2 & A_{23} & \dots & A_{2,n-1} & A_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & D_3 & \dots & A_{3,n-1} & A_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & D_{n-1} & A_{n-1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & D_n \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus X_2 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n), \quad (1.1)$$

For convenience, we denote by \mathcal{B}_n the collection of all described tuples $A = (A_{ij})$.

Investigation of spectral properties of block operators began with 2×2 block operators (see [3], [7], [8] and many others). General $n \times n$ block operators were first studied by Benhida et al. in 2005 [2], but afterwards they were mainly neglected until a few years ago ([9], [10], [11], [12]). This article has aim to complete investigation of basic spectral properties of $T_n^d(A)$ that appear in Fredholm theory.

Our main task will be to study defect spectrum $\mathcal{D}^{\sigma_*} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \sigma_*(D_i) \setminus \sigma_*(T_n^d(A))$, where σ_* is one of the spectra introduced above. In case of $\mathcal{D}^{\sigma_*} = \emptyset$ we say that spectrum σ_* is stable [13]. Stability of spectrum has usually been explored in a connection with single valued extension property or SVEP for short ([5], [6], [13]). Here we use a different method. It turns out that a relation between $\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_*(D_k)$ and $\sigma_*(T_n^d(A))$ can be deduced using some characterization statements expressed for appropriate types of invertibility. Thus, we exploit results from [9], [10] of the present author in order to gain information about defect spectrum.

Article is organized as follows. For the end of this section we give a useful lemma that we use in the sequel. Its proof for $n = 2$ that is conducted in [3] extends to an arbitrary dimension $n \geq 2$ as well. In the next section we give results related to left/right Weyl, (left,right) Fredholm and (left,right) defect spectrum, respectively.

Lemma 1.1 *Let $T_n^d(A) \in \mathcal{B}(X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n)$. Then:*

- (i) $\sigma_{le}(D_1) \subseteq \sigma_{le}(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k)$;
- (ii) $\sigma_{re}(D_n) \subseteq \sigma_{re}(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{re}(D_k)$;

$$(iii) \quad \sigma_{le}(D_1) \cup \sigma_{re}(D_n) \subseteq \sigma_e(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k);$$

$$(iv) \quad \sigma_{lw}(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k);$$

$$(v) \quad \sigma_{rw}(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{rw}(D_k);$$

$$(vi) \quad \sigma_l(D_1) \subseteq \sigma_l(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_l(D_k);$$

$$(vii) \quad \sigma_r(D_n) \subseteq \sigma_r(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_r(D_k);$$

$$(viii) \quad \sigma_l(D_1) \cup \sigma_r(D_n) \subseteq \sigma(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma(D_k).$$

2 Defect spectra and stability results

2.1 Left and right Weyl spectrum

In this subsection we generalize results from [12, Section 3] to arbitrary Hilbert spaces. We report that Corollaries 3.3 and 3.8 in [12] do not hold with the equivalence: 'only if' part is not valid. The reason for this is that their proofs summon [12, Theorems 2.5, 2.6] which do not hold with the equality (see [9, Corollaries 3.4, 3.10] for corrected versions). Corollaries 4, 8 and 12 from [11, Section 3] are not valid for analogous reasons. In the sequel we provide correct forms of the previous.

Theorem 2.1 ([9, Corollary 3.4]) *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then*

$$\sigma_{le}(D_1) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^{n+1} \delta_k \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_{lw}(T_n^d(A)), \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\delta_k := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n,$$

$$\delta_{n+1} := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{s=1}^n \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \right\}.$$

Using the previous theorem we will prove the following generalization to arbitrary Hilbert spaces of [12, Theorem 3.1].

Theorem 2.2 *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then*

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k) = \sigma_{lw}(T_n^d(A)) \cup \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \quad (2.2)$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \bigcup_{k=2}^n \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) = \infty, \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for } 2 \leq s \leq k-1 \text{ and} \\ &\sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) = \infty \} \cap \rho_{le}(D_1) \cap \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{s=1}^n \beta(D_s - \lambda) \geq \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \}, \\ \Delta_2 &= \bigcup_{k=1}^n \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for all } 1 \leq s \leq n, \sum_{s=1}^n \beta(D_s - \lambda) \geq \right. \\ &\left. \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \text{ and } \left(\alpha(D_k - \lambda) > \beta(D_k - \lambda) \text{ or } \mathcal{R}(D_k - \lambda) \text{ is not closed} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3 *For $k = 2$ condition ' $\alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty$ for $2 \leq s \leq k-1$ ' in Δ_1 is omitted.*

Proof. Obviously, $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k)$, and $\sigma_{lw}(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k)$ according to Lemma 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k) \setminus \sigma_{lw}(T_n^d(A))$. Then by Theorem 2.1 we get that λ does not belong to the left side of (2.1), which together with observation $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k)$ easily gives $\lambda \in \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$. \square

Now, we can give a sufficient condition for the stability of the left Weyl spectrum.

Corollary 2.4 *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then*

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k) = \sigma_{lw}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.2.

If we summon the separability condition, the previous corollary along with one additional assumption provides a characterization result for the stability of the left Weyl spectrum.

Corollary 2.5 ([12, Theorem 3.1], corrected version) *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)$, $2 \leq s \leq n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are closed, then*

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{lw}(D_k) = \sigma_{lw}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.2.

Proof. Sufficiency is clear, and necessity follows from Theorem 2.2 and the second inclusion in [9, Corollary 3.4]. \square

By duality, we obtain results related to the stability of the right Weyl spectrum. We begin with the following generalization of [12, Theorem 3.6] to arbitrary Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 2.6 *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then*

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{rw}(D_k) = \sigma_{rw}(T_n^d(A)) \cup \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\Delta_1 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_k - \lambda) = \infty, \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for } k+1 \leq s \leq n-1 \text{ and } \sum_{s=k+1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) = \infty\} \cap \rho_{re}(D_n) \cap \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \geq \sum_{s=1}^n \beta(D_s - \lambda)\},$$

$$\Delta_2 = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for all } 1 \leq s \leq n, \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \geq \sum_{s=1}^n \beta(D_s - \lambda) \text{ and } \beta(D_k - \lambda) > \alpha(D_k - \lambda) \right\}.$$

Remark 2.7 For $k = n - 1$ condition ' $\beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty$ for $k + 1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ ' in Δ_1 is omitted.

Now, we can give a sufficient condition for the stability of the right Weyl spectrum.

Corollary 2.8 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{rw}(D_k) = \sigma_{rw}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.6.

If we include the separability assumption, we obtain characterization for the stability of the right Weyl spectrum.

Corollary 2.9 ([12, Theorem 3.6], corrected version) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)$, $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are closed, then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{rw}(D_k) = \sigma_{rw}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.6.

2.2 Fredholm spectrum

In this subsection we generalize results from [11, Section 3] to arbitrary Banach spaces. All of the statements in the sequel will be expressed using a notion of the complements condition introduced in [10].

Definition 2.10 We say that an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ satisfies the complements condition if and only if:

- (i) $\mathcal{N}(T)$ is complemented;
- (ii) $\overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}$ is complemented.

Hereafter, term 'complemented' always stands for the topological complement.

Hence, if $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ satisfies the complements condition, we have decompositions

$$X = \mathcal{N}(T) \oplus \mathcal{N}(T)' \quad Y = \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)} \oplus \overline{\mathcal{R}(T)}'$$

where ' sign denotes a complement.

For example, class of inner regular operators satisfies the complements condition ([4, 1.1.5 Corollary]). Therefore, all classes of operators introduced in Section 1 satisfy the complements condition.

Theorem 2.11 ([10, Corollary 3.41]) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then

$$\sigma_{le}(D_1) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^n \delta_k \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_{le}(T_n^d(A)), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\delta_k := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n.$$

Now, we generalize [11, Theorem 4] to arbitrary Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.12 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k) = \sigma_{le}(T_n^d(A)) \cup \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \quad (2.4)$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\Delta_1 = \bigcup_{k=2}^n \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) = \infty, \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for } 2 \leq s \leq k - 1 \right.$$

$$\left. \text{and } \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) = \infty \right\} \cap \rho_{le}(D_1),$$

$$\Delta_2 = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for all } s = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \mathcal{R}(D_k - \lambda) \text{ is not complemented} \}.$$

Remark 2.13 For $k = 2$ condition ' $\alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty$ for $2 \leq s \leq k - 1$ in Δ_1 ' is omitted.

Proof. Obviously, $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k)$, and $\sigma_{le}(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k)$ according to Lemma 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k) \setminus \sigma_{le}(T_n^d(A))$. Then by Theorem 2.11 we get that λ does not belong to the left side of (2.3), which together with observation $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k)$ easily gives $\lambda \in \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$. \square

Now, we can give sufficient condition for the stability of the left Fredholm spectrum.

Corollary 2.14 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k) = \sigma_{le}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.12.

Corollary 2.15 ([11, Corollary 4], corrected version) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. If X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)$, $2 \leq s \leq n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are closed, then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{le}(D_k) = \sigma_{le}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.12.

Proof. Sufficiency is obvious, and necessity follows from Theorem 2.12 and the second inclusion in [9, Corollary 3.17]. \square

For the right Fredholm spectrum we provide the following results. First we generalize [11, Theorem 5] to arbitrary Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.16 *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then*

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{re}(D_k) = \sigma_{re}(T_n^d(A)) \cup \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\Delta_1 = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_k - \lambda) = \infty, \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for } k+1 \leq s \leq n-1 \text{ and } \sum_{s=k+1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) = \infty \} \cap \rho_{re}(D_n),$$

$$\Delta_2 = \bigcup_{k=1}^n \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_k - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \mathcal{N}(D_k - \lambda) \text{ is not complemented} \}.$$

Remark 2.17 *For $k = n - 1$ condition ' $\beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty$ for $k + 1 \leq s \leq n - 1$ ' in Δ_1 is omitted.*

Sufficient condition for the stability of the right Fredholm spectrum follows.

Corollary 2.18 *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then*

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{re}(D_k) = \sigma_{re}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.16.

Let us summon separability and go down to Hilbert spaces.

Corollary 2.19 ([11, Corollary 8], corrected version) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional separable Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)$, $1 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are closed. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_{re}(D_k) = \sigma_{re}(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if

$$\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 = \emptyset,$$

where Δ_1, Δ_2 are defined as in Theorem 2.16.

For the end of this section, we provide statements dealing with the Fredholm spectrum. We begin with

Theorem 2.20 ([10, Corollary 3.55]) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then

$$\sigma_{le}(D_1) \cup \sigma_{re}(D_n) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \delta_k \right) \cup \delta_n \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_e(T_n^d(A)) \quad (2.5)$$

where

$$\delta_k = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right\} \cup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_k - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{s=k+1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n - 1,$$

$$\delta_n = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_n - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right\} \cup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_1 - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{s=2}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right\},$$

Theorem 2.21 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$, ..., $D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n - 1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k) = \sigma_e(T_n^d(A)) \cup \Delta$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\Delta = (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2) \cap \rho_{le}(D_1) \cap \rho_{re}(D_n),$$

$$\Delta_1 = \bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left(\alpha(D_k - \lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \text{for } 2 \leq s \leq k-1 \right) \text{ or } \left(\beta(D_k - \lambda) = \sum_{s=k+1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right.$$

$$\left. \text{for } k+1 \leq s \leq n-1 \right) \Big\},$$

$$\Delta_2 = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left(\alpha(D_n - \lambda) = \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) = \infty \text{ and } \alpha(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \text{for } 2 \leq s \leq n-1 \right) \text{ or } \left(\beta(D_1 - \lambda) = \sum_{s=2}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) = \infty \text{ for } 2 \leq s \leq n-1 \right.$$

$$\left. \text{and } \beta(D_s - \lambda) < \infty \text{ for } 2 \leq s \leq n-1 \right) \Big\}.$$

Proof. Obviously, $\Delta \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k)$, and $\sigma_e(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k)$ according to Lemma 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k) \setminus \sigma_e(T_n^d(A))$. Then by Theorem 2.20 we get that λ does not belong to the left side of (2.5), which together with observation $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k)$ easily gives $\lambda \in \Delta$. \square

Now we can give sufficient condition for the stability of the Fredholm spectrum.

Corollary 2.22 *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that $D_s - \lambda$, $2 \leq s \leq n-1$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfy the complements condition. Then*

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k) = \sigma_e(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta = \emptyset,$$

where Δ is defined as in Theorem 2.21.

Corollary 2.23 ([11, Corollary 12], corrected version) *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional separa-*

ble Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{R}(D_s - \lambda)$, $2 \leq s \leq n$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ are closed. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_e(D_k) = \sigma_e(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if and only if

$$\Delta = \emptyset,$$

where Δ is defined as in Theorem 2.21.

Proof. Sufficiency is obvious, and necessity follows from Theorem 2.21 and the second inclusion in [9, Corollary 3.29]. \square

Notice that for $n = 2$ statements related to the Fredholm spectrum become especially elegant.

Theorem 2.24 ([1, Corollary 3.2]) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$. Then

$$\sigma_e(D_1) \cup \sigma_e(D_2) = \sigma_e(T_n^d(A)) \cup \left(\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_1 - \lambda) = \alpha(D_2 - \lambda) = \infty \} \cap \rho_{le}(D_1) \cap \rho_{re}(D_2) \right)$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Corollary 2.25 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$. Then

$$\sigma_e(D_1) \cup \sigma_e(D_2) = \sigma_e(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ if

$$\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_1 - \lambda) = \alpha(D_2 - \lambda) = \infty \} \cap \rho_{le}(D_1) \cap \rho_{re}(D_2) = \emptyset.$$

Thus, we recover Remark 3 from [11, Section 3].

3 Spectrum

We begin with the left and the right spectrum, and afterwards conclude with the spectrum.

Theorem 3.1 ([10, Corollary 2.3]) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\sigma_l(D_1) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^n \Delta_k \right) \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma_l(T_n^d(A)) \quad (3.1)$$

where

$$\Delta_k := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) > \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n,$$

Theorem 3.2 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_l(D_k) = \sigma_l(T_n^d(A)) \cup \Delta \quad (3.2)$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\Delta = \bigcup_{k=2}^n \left\{ \lambda \in \sigma_l(D_k) : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) \right\}.$$

Proof. Obviously, $\Delta \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_l(D_k)$, and $\sigma_l(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_l(D_k)$ according to Lemma 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_l(D_k) \setminus \sigma_l(T_n^d(A))$. Then by Theorem 3.1 we get that λ does not belong to the left side of (3.1), which together with observation $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_l(D_k)$ easily gives $\lambda \in \Delta$. \square

Corollary 3.3 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_l(D_k) = \sigma_l(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta = \emptyset,$$

where Δ is defined as in Theorem 3.2.

By duality we obtain results related to the right spectrum.

Theorem 3.4 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_r(D_k) = \sigma_r(T_n^d(A)) \quad (3.3)$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\Delta = \bigcup_{k=1}^{n-1} \{\lambda \in \sigma_r(D_k) : \beta(D_k - \lambda) \leq \sum_{s=k+1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda)\},$$

Corollary 3.5 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma_r(D_k) = \sigma_r(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta = \emptyset,$$

where Δ is defined as in Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.6 ([10, Corollary 2.15]) Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\sigma_l(D_1) \cup \sigma_r(D_n) \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \Delta_k \right) \cup \Delta_n \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{B}_n} \sigma(T_n^d(A)), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_k - \lambda) > \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) \right\} \cup \\ &\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_k - \lambda) > \sum_{s=k+1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \right\}, \quad 2 \leq k \leq n-1, \\ \Delta_n &= \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \alpha(D_n - \lambda) > \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) \right\} \cup \\ &\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \beta(D_1 - \lambda) > \sum_{s=2}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.7 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma(D_k) = \sigma(T_n^d(A)) \cup \Delta$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta = \bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \left(\beta(D_k - \lambda) \leq \sum_{s=k+1}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \text{ and } \lambda \in \sigma_r(D_k) \right) \right. \\ \left. \text{or } \left(\alpha(D_k - \lambda) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) \text{ and } \lambda \in \sigma_l(D_k) \right) \right\} \cup \\ \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \beta(D_1 - \lambda) \leq \sum_{s=2}^n \alpha(D_s - \lambda) \text{ or } 0 < \alpha(D_n - \lambda) \leq \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \beta(D_s - \lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Obviously, $\Delta \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma(D_k)$ and $\sigma(T_n^d(A)) \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma(D_k)$ according to Lemma 1.1. Assume that $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma(D_k) \setminus \sigma(T_n^d(A))$. Then by Theorem 3.6 we get that λ does not belong to the left side of (3.4), which together with observation $\lambda \in \bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma(D_k)$ easily gives $\lambda \in \Delta$. \square

Corollary 3.8 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2), \dots, D_n \in \mathcal{B}(X_n)$. Assume that X_1, \dots, X_n are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^n \sigma(D_k) = \sigma(T_n^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_n$ if

$$\Delta = \emptyset,$$

where Δ is defined as in Theorem 3.7.

Notice that for $n = 2$ statements related to the spectrum become especially elegant.

Theorem 3.9 Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$. Assume that X_1, X_2 are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(D_1) \cup \sigma(D_2) = \sigma(T_2^d(A)) \cup \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \beta(D_1 - \lambda) \leq \alpha(D_2 - \lambda) \text{ or} \right. \\ \left. 0 < \alpha(D_2 - \lambda) \leq \beta(D_1 - \lambda) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$.

Observe that Theorem 3.9 is a more precise version of [8, Corollary 7] in the Hilbert space setting. Namely, in the notation of [8], we have specified the form of holes in $\sigma(M_C)$.

Corollary 3.10 *Let $D_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1)$, $D_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X_2)$. Assume that X_1, X_2 are infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. Then*

$$\sigma(D_1) \cup \sigma(D_2) = \sigma(T_2^d(A))$$

holds for every $A \in \mathcal{B}_2$ if

$$\left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \beta(D_1 - \lambda) \leq \alpha(D_2 - \lambda) \text{ or} \right. \\ \left. 0 < \alpha(D_2 - \lambda) \leq \beta(D_1 - \lambda) \right\} = \emptyset.$$

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia under Grant No. 451-03-9/2021-14/200125

I wish to express my gratitude to professor Dragan S. Djordjević for introduction to this topic and for useful comments that greatly improved the form of this paper.

References

- [1] Bai Q. & Huang J. & Chen A., *Weyl type theorems of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **434** (2016), no. 2, 1065–1076.
- [2] Benhida C. & Zerouali E. H. & Zguitti H. *Spectral properties of upper triangular block operators*. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **71** (2005), no. 3-4, 681–690.
- [3] Djordjević D. S., *Perturbations of spectra of operator matrices*, J. Oper. Theory. **48**(3), 467-486 (2002)
- [4] Djordjević D. S. & Rakočević V., *Lectures on generalized inverses*, University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, Niš, 2008
- [5] Djordjević S. V. & Han Y. M., *A note on Weyl's theorem for operator matrices*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 8, 2543–2547.
- [6] Djordjević S. V. & Zguitti H., *Essential point spectra of operator matrices through local spectral theory*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **338** (2008), no. 1, 285–291.

- [7] Du H. K. & Jin P., *Perturbation of spectrums of 2×2 operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1994), no. 3, 761–766
- [8] Han J. K. & Lee H. Y. & Lee W. Y., *Invertible completions of 2×2 upper triangular operator matrices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), no. 1, 119–123
- [9] Sarajlija N. *Fredholmness and Weylness of block operator matrices*, submitted to Complex Anal. Oper. Theory²
- [10] Sarajlija N. *Perturbing the spectrum of $T_n^d(A)$ and some related results*, submitted to J. Korean Math. Soc.³
- [11] Wu X. & Huang J., *Essential spectrum of upper triangular operator matrices*, Ann. Funct. Anal, **11** (2020), no. 3, 780–798
- [12] Wu X. & Huang J., *Weyl spectrum of upper triangular operator matrices*, Acta Math. Sin. **36**(7), 783-796 (2020)
- [13] Zerouali E. H. & Zguitti H. *Perturbation of spectra of operator matrices and local spectral theory*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **324** (2006), no. 2, 992–1005.
- [14] Živković Zlatanović S. Č. & Rakočević V. & Djordjević D. S., *Fredholm theory*, to appear

²available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12425>

³available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.12153>