

BEYOND THE SOTTILE-STURMFELS DEGENERATION OF A SEMI-INFINITE GRASSMANNIAN

EVGENY FEIGIN, IGOR MAKHLIN, AND ALEXANDER POPKOVICH

ABSTRACT. We study toric degenerations of semi-infinite Grassmannians (a.k.a. quantum Grassmannians). While the toric degenerations of the classical Grassmannians are well studied, the only known example in the semi-infinite case is due to Sottile–Sturmfels. We start by providing a new interpretation of the Sottile–Sturmfels construction by finding a poset such that their degeneration is the toric variety of the order polytope of the poset. We then use our poset to construct and study a new toric degeneration in the semi-infinite case. Our construction is based on the notion of poset polytopes introduced by Fang–Fourier–Litza–Pegel. As an application we introduce semi-infinite PBW-semistandard tableaux, giving a basis in the homogeneous coordinate ring of a semi-infinite Grassmannian.

INTRODUCTION

Let G be a simple Lie group and let P be a parabolic subgroup. The flag variety G/P enjoys the Plücker embedding into the product of projectivizations of fundamental representations. The corresponding multi-homogeneous coordinate ring is known as the Plücker algebra. In particular, in type A this algebra is defined by the classical quadratic Plücker relations [Fu].

In order to pass to the semi-infinite setting one considers the Drinfeld–Plücker data. Roughly speaking, this amounts to replacing the field of complex numbers with the ring of power series in one variable (see [FiM]), a similar construction is used in the theory of arc schemes [Mu]. The semi-infinite flag variety then sits in the product of projectivizations of fundamental representations over the power series. The corresponding multi-homogeneous coordinate ring turns out to be very meaningful from the point of view of representation theory.

In this paper we are interested in the case when $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and P is maximal parabolic. Such varieties are known as *semi-infinite Grassmannians* [FF, FiM] or, alternatively, as *quantum Grassmannians* [Sot, SS]. The latter terminology comes from literature studying

All authors were partially supported by the grant RSF 19-11-00056. I. Makhlin was supported in part by the “Young Russian Mathematics” contest.

rational curves in classical Grassmannians, such curves are shown to correspond to points in quantum Grassmannians.

While a rich and diverse theory exists on the subject of flat degenerations and, in particular, toric degenerations of classical flag varieties and Grassmannians (see [FaFL] for a detailed survey), results in the semi-infinite case remain scarce. Constructions of certain non-toric Gröbner degenerations of semi-infinite flag varieties are implicit in the works [FeM, M] and the only known toric degeneration of the semi-infinite Grassmannian is due to [SS]. The goal of this paper is to explicitly construct a second degeneration of this kind as well as to present a uniform combinatorial method that works equally well in the finite and semi-infinite cases. Our interest is partially motivated by the connection between semi-infinite Plücker algebras and global Weyl and Demazure modules [BF, FeM, DF, DFF].

Our approach is to combine the results in [SS] with certain order theoretic tools which have proved useful in the finite case. Namely, the two most explicit and well-studied degenerations of Grassmannians are known to be toric varieties of *poset polytopes*: polytopes associated with a poset, a notion introduced in [Sta] and generalized in [ABS, FaF, FaFLP]. The first of these two degenerations is originally due to [Stu] with its key properties obtained in [GL, KM]. In particular, in [GL] this degeneration was realized as the *Hibi variety* [H] of a distributive lattice \mathcal{L} . However, the Hibi toric variety is known to correspond to the order polytope (a type of poset polytope) of the poset Q whose lattice of order ideals is isomorphic to \mathcal{L} (see [Wiki]). This poset Q is described explicitly in [FaL].

The second degeneration is the toric variety of the FFLV polytope [FFL1] originally obtained in [FFL2] and made explicit in [FaFFM]. An observation due to [ABS, FaL] is that this toric variety corresponds to the chain polytope (another type of poset polytope) of the same poset Q . Now, a toric degeneration of the semi-infinite Grassmannian is obtained in [SS] as the Hibi variety of another distributive lattice $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$. Motivated by the finite case we propose to find the poset \tilde{Q} corresponding to $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ in the mentioned sense and to look for degenerations of the semi-infinite Grassmannian among the toric varieties of other poset polytopes of \tilde{Q} . One such degeneration is indeed found, the corresponding polytope is, however, not an order or a chain polytope but a more general kind of poset polytope defined in [FaF, FaFLP].

Recall that the Grassmannian is the Proj of the Plücker algebra \mathcal{P} and the semi-infinite Grassmannian is the Proj of the semi-infinite Plücker algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Hence, flat degenerations of these varieties can be obtained as Proj of initial algebras of \mathcal{P} and $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. In this paper we successively discuss the four mentioned degenerations (two finite and two semi-infinite), all in similar fashion. In each case we first define a monomial order such that the initial terms of the standard generators

of \mathcal{P} or $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ generate the respective initial algebra \mathcal{A} (i.e. the generators form a *sagbi basis*). Next we consider a poset and one of its poset polytopes Π such that the toric ring of Π is isomorphic to \mathcal{A} . This implies that the toric variety of Π is a flat degeneration of the (semi-infinite) Grassmannian. After that we use general properties of poset polytopes to obtain a basis in the (semi-infinite) Plücker algebra. The basis is parametrized by increasing sequences of order ideals in the poset which in each of the cases are visualized as tableaux satisfying some sort of semistandardness condition.

Results concerning the finite case (Section 3) are known and are provided for mostly expository purposes. Section 4 deals with the first semi-infinite degeneration, the results here are mostly interpretations of those in [SS], however, we contribute by finding a poset \tilde{Q} such that the following holds.

Theorem A (cf. Lemma 4.9, Corollary 4.10, Proposition 4.13). *The toric degeneration constructed in [SS] is the toric variety of the order polytope of \tilde{Q} . The lattice $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ discussed in [SS] is isomorphic to the lattice of order ideals in \tilde{Q} .*

Section 5 is devoted to the construction of our new degeneration, the key results can be summed up as follows.

Theorem B (cf. Theorem 5.4, Lemma 5.9, Corollary 5.10). *There exists a monomial order and a poset polytope Π of \tilde{Q} (different from the order polytope) such that the initial algebra is isomorphic to the toric ring of Π . Consequently, the toric variety of Π is also a flat degeneration of the semi-infinite Grassmannian.*

Theorem C (cf. Proposition 5.14, Theorem 5.17). *There is a basis in $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ enumerated by weakly increasing sequences of order ideals in \tilde{Q} or, equivalently, by PBW-semistandard semi-infinite tableaux (a generalization of PBW-semistandard Young tableaux [Fe]).*

We point out that Theorem C has one immediate representation theoretic application. The homogeneous components of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ are known to be the restricted duals of global Weyl modules with highest weight $m\omega$ with ω fundamental [BF, Kat, FeM]. Hence we obtain a basis and a character formula for these modules.

1. INITIAL SUBALGEBRAS AND SAGBI DEGENERATIONS

In this section we will recall some basic notions from Gröbner theory. Let $R = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots]$ be a ring of polynomials in finitely or countably many variables.

Definition 1.1. A monomial order $<$ on R is a total order on monomials in R such that for any x_i and monomials m_1, m_2 with $m_1 < m_2$ we have $m_1 \cdot x_i < m_2 \cdot x_i$.

For $f \in R$ and a monomial order $<$ denote by $\text{in}_<(f)$ the initial term of f with respect to $<$, i.e. the $<$ -maximal term occurring in f . For a subalgebra $A \subset R$ the *initial subalgebra* $\text{in}_<(A) \subset R$ is the subalgebra of R spanned by the initial terms of all elements of A .

Definition 1.2. For A and $<$ as above a generating set $\{s_1, \dots\}$ of A is called a *sagbi basis* of A if the set $\{\text{in}_<(s_1), \dots\}$ generates $\text{in}_<(A)$.

Sagbi stands for “Subalgebra Analogue of Gröbner Bases for Ideals”, this notion is due to [RS]. Note that since we will be working with countably generated algebras, we drop the standard requirement for sagbi bases to be finite.

There are certain natural ways to construct a monomial order using the chosen enumeration of variables x_1, \dots . The best known is the lexicographic order, however, we will repeatedly use the so-called degree reverse lexicographic order.

Definition 1.3. A *degree reverse lexicographic order* is a monomial order which works as follows: let $m_1 = \prod_i x_i^{a_i}$ and $m_2 = \prod_i x_i^{b_i}$. Then $m_1 < m_2$ if and only if $\sum_i a_i < \sum_i b_i$ or $\sum_i a_i = \sum_i b_i$ and the last non-zero entry in $a - b$ is positive.

We work with degree reverse lexicographic orders in order to follow the conventions in [SS] and apply their results directly. However, these orders have a certain disadvantage (compared to lexicographic orders, for instance): when the number of variables is infinite they are not Artinian, this prohibits us from arguing directly by induction on the order. As a workaround we will assume in this section that the subalgebra $A \subset R$ is homogeneous with respect to some \mathbb{N}_0^l -grading grad on R and has finite-dimensional components (we only consider gradings on polynomial rings for which all variables are homogeneous elements). The subalgebras studied in this paper indeed have this property.

One useful property of sagbi bases is that they allow bases to be lifted from an initial subalgebra to the subalgebra itself.

Proposition 1.4. For a monomial order $<$ consider a sagbi basis $\{s_1, \dots\}$ of A consisting of grad-homogeneous elements. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ consider a sequence of natural numbers $d^j = (d_1^j, \dots)$ with one element for every s_i and with finitely many nonzero elements. Suppose that $\{\prod_i \text{in}_<(s_i)^{d_i^j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a basis in $\text{in}_< A$. Then $\{\prod_i s_i^{d_i^j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a basis in A .

Proof. Since

$$\prod_i \text{in}_<(s_i)^{d_i^j} = \text{in}_< \prod_i s_i^{d_i^j},$$

the linear independence follows from the straightforward general fact that a set of polynomials is linearly independent if their initial parts

are. For the spanning property consider a grad-homogeneous $f \in A$. We must have $c \prod_i \text{in}_<(s_i)^{d_i^j} = \text{in}_< f$ for some j and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for $f' = f - c \prod_i s_i^{d_i^j}$ we have $\text{in}_< f' < \text{in}_< f$. This procedure can be iterated and will terminate because the grad-homogeneous component of f is finite-dimensional. \square

An initial subalgebra of A must itself be grad-homogeneous. Moreover, from Proposition 1.4 one deduces the key fact that it “has the same size”.

Corollary 1.5. For any monomial order $<$ the subalgebras A and $\text{in}_< A$ have the same (multivariate) Hilbert series with respect to grad.

Proof. One may always find a sagbi basis as in Proposition 1.4 by choosing a large enough set of grad-homogeneous generators. \square

Let us also briefly recall an alternative way of obtaining initial parts and subalgebras. Choose a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots)$ of real numbers with one element for every x_i . This defines a λ -weight for every monomial $x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} \in R$ equal to $\lambda_{i_1} + \dots + \lambda_{i_m}$. The initial part $\text{in}_\lambda f$ of $f \in R$ with respect to λ is the sum of those terms in f which have the largest occurring λ -weight. The initial subalgebra $\text{in}_\lambda A$ is again spanned by the initial parts of elements of A . Sagbi bases with respect to λ are defined similarly. The reason for us to consider this notion is the following well-known fact.

Theorem 1.6. Let λ be as above. Then there exists a flat $\mathbb{C}[t]$ -algebra \tilde{A} such that $\tilde{A}/\langle t \rangle \simeq \text{in}_\lambda A$ while for any nonzero $c \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $\tilde{A}/\langle t - c \rangle \simeq A$.

In the case when R is finitely generated and A has a finite sagbi basis with respect to λ , an explicit construction of the algebra \tilde{A} can be found in, for instance, [Kim, Corollary III.17]. The same argument applies verbatim without the finiteness assumptions. A way to rephrase this theorem is to say that we have a flat sheaf of algebras on \mathbb{A}^1 with its fiber at 0 isomorphic to $\text{in}_\lambda A$ and all other fibers isomorphic to A , i.e. $\text{in}_\lambda A$ is a flat degeneration of A .

In the below text, however, we will be working with initial subalgebras and sagbi bases with respect to (degree reverse lexicographic) monomial orders rather than real weights. Hence we now explain how the flat family construction can be adapted to this situation.

Lemma 1.7. Let $<$ be the degree reverse lexicographic monomial order with respect to the chosen enumeration. Suppose that A is homogeneous with respect to total degree and has a sagbi basis $\{s_1, \dots\}$ with respect to $<$ such that every s_j has total degree no greater than some fixed integer M . Then there exists $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots)$ such that $\text{in}_\lambda A = \text{in}_< A$.

Proof. We may assume that all s_j are homogeneous with respect to total degree and grad. Now set $\lambda_i = -(M+1)^i$. This ensures that for every j we have $\text{in}_\lambda s_j = \text{in}_< s_j$, hence $\text{in}_< A \subset \text{in}_\lambda A$. Now suppose the reverse inclusion does not hold and for some $f \in A$ we have $\text{in}_\lambda(f) \notin \text{in}_< A$. Suppose that f contains terms which are elements of $\text{in}_< A$ and of these let m have the greatest λ -weight. We can choose a grad-homogeneous $g \in A$ with $\text{in}_\lambda g = m$ and consider $f' = f - g$. By iterating this procedure we arrive at a nonzero polynomial in A which contains no term lying in $\text{in}_< A$ which is not possible. \square

Remark 1.8. With the use of more involved arguments such a λ may also be obtained for much more general pairs of A and $<$. For instance, the existence of a finite sagbi basis is always sufficient.

For us the main takeaway is that by combining Lemma 1.7 with Theorem 1.6 we obtain a flat degeneration in the case of such a monomial order. We use a geometric wording this time because the rings we will be working with are geometric in nature.

Corollary 1.9. Let A and $<$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 1.7 and suppose that A is homogeneous with respect to a chosen \mathbb{N}_0 -grading. Then there exists a flat projective scheme over $\mathbb{A}_\mathbb{C}^1$ with fiber over 0 isomorphic to $\text{Proj}(\text{in}_< A)$ and all other fibers isomorphic to $\text{Proj}(A)$.

We will refer to flat degenerations of this form as *sagbi degenerations*.

2. POSETS AND POLYTOPES

In this section we discuss interpolating polytopes, a family of polytopes associated with a poset. We use the conventions and terminology from [Ma] which should be viewed as a variation of those used earlier in [FaF, FaFLP].

Let (P, \prec) be a (possibly infinite) poset. Let $\mathcal{J}(P, \prec)$ denote the set of all **finite** order ideals in (P, \prec) , i.e. subsets $J \subset P$ for which $p \in J$ and $q \prec p$ implies $q \in J$. Consider a partition $O \sqcup C = P$. Let Ω denote the subspace of \mathbb{R}^P of points with finitely many nonzero coordinates.

Definition 2.1. For an order ideal $J \in \mathcal{J}(P, \prec)$ let $v_{O,C}(J) \in \Omega$ denote the point with

- $v_{O,C}(J)_p = 1$ if $p \in J \cap O$ or $p \in \max_\prec(J) \cap C$ and
- $v_{O,C}(J)_p = 0$ otherwise,

where $\max_\prec(J)$ is the set of \prec -maximal elements in J . Let $\Pi_{O,C}(P, \prec) \subset \Omega$ be the convex hull of all points $v_{O,C}(J)$ with $J \in \mathcal{J}(P, \prec)$, this is the *interpolating polytope* given by the partition $O \sqcup C$.

Remark 2.2. Polytopes of this kind are usually defined by a set of linear inequalities, in particular, such is the definition found in [Ma, Section 4]. For the equivalence to the above definition in the case of

finite P see Proposition 4.3 therein. We define the polytope as a convex hull since this is simpler and suffices for our needs.

In the extreme cases when $O = P$ and $C = P$ we obtain, respectively, the order polytope and the chain polytope of (P, \prec) that were introduced in [Sta]. The other cases can be said to interpolate between them. A key property of these polytopes is as follows.

Theorem 2.3. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and every integer point $u \in k\Pi_{O,C}(P, \prec)$ (the k -fold dilation of $\Pi_{O,C}(P, \prec)$) there exists a unique weakly increasing tuple $J_1 \subset \cdots \subset J_k$ in $\mathcal{J}(P, \prec)$ such that

$$u = v_{O,C}(J_1) + \cdots + v_{O,C}(J_k).$$

Proof. For the case of a finite P see [Ma, Proposition 4.6] and its proof. If P is countable, let $J \in \mathcal{J}(P, \prec)$ be the minimal order ideal containing all p with $u_p \neq 0$, the statement reduces to the case of the finite poset (J, \prec) . \square

One simple consequence of this theorem is that $\Pi_{O,C}(P, \prec)$ has no integer points other than the $v_{O,C}(J)$ and that these are pairwise distinct. It is also evident that all $v_{O,C}(J)$ are vertices of $\Pi_{O,C}(P, \prec)$, since they are all vertices of the unit cube. We are, however, primarily interested in the implications this has in toric geometry.

Denote $\mathbb{C}[P] = \mathbb{C}[\{y_p\}_{p \in P}]$ and for $u \in \Omega$ with non-negative integer coordinates denote

$$\mathbf{y}^u = \prod_{p \in P} y_p^{u_p} \in \mathbb{C}[P].$$

Definition 2.4. Consider the ring $\mathbb{C}[P][s]$ with an \mathbb{N}_0 -grading given by degree in s . The *generalized Hibi ring* of (P, \prec) is the ring $A_{O,C}(P, \prec) \subset \mathbb{C}[P][s]$ generated by the monomials $s\mathbf{y}^{v_{O,C}(J)}$ for all $J \in \mathcal{J}(P, \prec)$. The *generalized Hibi variety* of (P, \prec) is $\text{Proj } A_{O,C}(P, \prec)$ (with respect to the induced grading).

Note that the generalized Hibi variety is by definition the toric variety of the polytope $\Pi_{O,C}(P, \prec)$. The terminology stems from the fact that when P is finite and $O = P$ these objects are known as the *Hibi ring* and the *Hibi variety*, namesake of [H].

Theorem 2.3 provides a basis in the ring $A_{O,C}(P, \prec)$.

Corollary 2.5. The monomials $s^k \mathbf{y}^{v_{O,C}(J_1) + \cdots + v_{O,C}(J_k)}$ with $J_1 \subset \cdots \subset J_k$ ranging over all finite weakly increasing tuples in $\mathcal{J}(P, \prec)$ form a basis in $A_{O,C}(P, \prec)$.

3. THE FINITE PICTURE

In this section we provide a brief overview of some results concerning degenerations of classical Grassmannians. These should be viewed as a prototype of the picture we wish to obtain in the semi-infinite

case and also as an example illustrating the more involved semi-infinite constructions.

Fix integers $1 \leq p < n$ and consider a $p \times n$ matrix Z whose elements are formal variables $z_{i,j}$ with $i \in [1, p]$ and $j \in [1, n]$. For an increasing tuple $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ of integers in $[1, n]$ let Z_I denote the $p \times p$ submatrix in Z formed by columns $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$. Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}\}]$ denote the subalgebra generated by all minors $D_I = \det(Z_I)$, a \mathbb{N}_0 -grading on \mathcal{P} is given by setting the grading of every generator D_I equal to 1. \mathcal{P} is known as the *Plücker algebra*, the variety $\text{Proj } \mathcal{P}$ is the Grassmannian $\text{Gr}(p, n)$ of p -dimensional subspaces in \mathbb{C}^n (in other words, since \mathcal{P} is generated by $\binom{n}{p}$ elements, it defines a subvariety in $\mathbb{CP}^{\binom{n}{p}-1}$, this is the image of $\text{Gr}(p, n)$ under the *Plücker embedding*). According to Corollary 1.9, initial subalgebras of \mathcal{P} provide flat degenerations of the Grassmannian, we discuss two such degenerations below.

3.1. The Sturmfels/Gonciulea–Lakshmibai/Kogan–Miller degeneration. Most of the results here are originally due to [Stu, GL, KM].

Consider the degree reverse lexicographic monomial order on $\mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}\}]$ given by the “row-wise” ordering of variables $z_{i,j}$:

$$z_{1,1}, z_{1,2}, \dots, z_{1,n}, z_{2,1}, \dots, z_{p,n}.$$

We will denote this monomial order $<_{\text{ss}}$ where “ss” stands for “semi-standard” (see below). Note that for $I = (\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_p)$ we have

$$\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} D_I = (-1)^{\binom{2}{2}} z_{1,\alpha_p} \dots z_{p,\alpha_1},$$

i.e. the “antidiagonal term”.


Theorem 3.1 (see [MS, Theorem 14.11]). The $\binom{n}{p}$ polynomials D_I form a sagbi basis of \mathcal{P} with respect to $<_{\text{ss}}$.

Now let (Q, \prec) be the poset consisting of elements $q_{i,j}$ with $1 \leq i \leq p$ and $p+1 \leq j \leq n$ where $q_{i_1,j_1} \preceq q_{i_2,j_2}$ if and only if $i_1 \leq i_2$ and $j_1 \leq j_2$ (and \prec is the corresponding strict relation). Since we will not be considering other orders on Q , we denote $\mathcal{J}(Q) = \mathcal{J}(Q, \prec)$.

Let \mathcal{I}_{ss} denote the set of increasing p -tuples of integers in $[1, n]$. It is easily seen that there is a bijection $\varphi_{\text{ss}} : \mathcal{I}_{\text{ss}} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(Q)$ where for $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and all $i \in [1, p]$ the order ideal $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I)$ contains $\alpha_i - i$ elements of the form $q_{p+1-i,j}$: those with $j \in [p+1, p+\alpha_i - i]$. This is an order ideal because the tuple $(\alpha_1 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - p)$ is weakly increasing.

Example 3.2. The following is the Hasse diagram of the poset (Q, \prec) in the case of $n = 7$ and $p = 3$ (arrows are oriented towards the lesser

elements). Elements of the order ideal $\varphi_{\text{ss}}((2, 3, 6))$ are colored cyan¹.



The following fact is easily verified directly, the observation is essentially due to [KM].

Lemma 3.3. $\text{in}_{<\text{ss}} \mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to $A_{Q,\emptyset}(Q, \prec)$ as an \mathbb{N}_0 -graded algebra, the isomorphism is given by

$$\text{in}_{<\text{ss}} D_I \mapsto (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \mathbf{s}^{\mathbf{y}^{v_{Q,\emptyset}(\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I))}}.$$

We see that the sagbi degeneration of $\text{Gr}(p, n)$ obtained from Theorem 3.1 via Corollary 1.9 is, in fact, the toric variety of the order polytope $\Pi_{Q,\emptyset}(Q, \prec)$ (which can alternatively be interpreted as the Gelfand–Tsetlin polytope of a fundamental \mathfrak{gl}_n -weight, see [KM, ABS]).

The set $\mathcal{J}(Q)$ is naturally a poset with inclusion as the order relation. We also consider a partial order on the set \mathcal{I}_{ss} . For tuples $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and $I' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_p)$ in \mathcal{I}_{ss} we write $I \preceq_{\text{ss}} I'$ when $\alpha_k \leq \alpha'_k$ for all $k \in [1, p]$. Let \prec_{ss} denote the corresponding strict relation. The following is immediate from the definitions.

Proposition 3.4. φ_{ss} is an isomorphism between the posets $(\mathcal{I}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ and $(\mathcal{J}(Q), \subset)$.

Recall that a Young tableau is *semistandard* if the elements in each column increase strictly from top to bottom and the elements in each row increase non-strictly from left to right. Thus we have

$$I_1 \preceq_{\text{ss}} \dots \preceq_{\text{ss}} I_k$$

if and only if the tableau with columns I_1, \dots, I_k is semistandard.

Now, Corollary 2.5 provides a basis in $A_{Q,\emptyset}(Q, \prec)$ parametrized by weakly increasing tuples in $(\mathcal{J}(Q), \subset)$. Such tuples are in bijection with semistandard Young tableaux with all columns of height p . Lemma 3.3 allows us to transfer this basis to $\text{in}_{<\text{ss}} \mathcal{P}$. Finally, by applying Corollary 1.4 we lift the basis to \mathcal{P} and obtain the following classical fact.

Theorem 3.5. The set of products $D_{I_1} \dots D_{I_k}$ for which the Young tableau with columns I_1, \dots, I_k is semistandard is a basis in \mathcal{P} .

¹Here and further we use light colors for the convenience of our readers using black and white printers.

3.2. The Feigin–Fourier–Littelmann degeneration. We now describe a similar approach to a more recently constructed toric degeneration of the Grassmannian, first obtained in [FFL2].

Definition 3.6. We say that a tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ of integers from $[1, n]$ is a *PBW tuple* if

- the α_j are pairwise distinct,
- for all $\alpha_j \leq p$ we have $\alpha_j = j$ and
- if $\alpha_j > \alpha_k > p$, then $j < k$.

In other words, in a PBW tuple elements no greater than p stand in positions equal to their values while other elements are placed in the remaining positions in decreasing order. In particular, each p -subset of $[1, n]$ can be uniquely ordered to form a PBW tuple. The terminology stems from [Fe] which considers PBW (Poincaré–Birkhoff–Witt) degenerations. We let \mathcal{I}_{pbw} denote the set of PBW tuples.

Generalizing the above notation, for any tuple $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ of integers from $[1, n]$ let Z_I denote the $p \times p$ matrix whose j th column is equal to the α_j th column of Z . In this case we also denote $D_I = \det Z_I$. Obviously, $D_I = \pm D_{I'}$ when I and I' are permutations of each other. Hence, the D_I with $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$ generate \mathcal{P} .

Next, let \prec_{pbw} denote the degree reverse lexicographic monomial order on $\mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}\}]$ given by the following ordering of the variables:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{c} z_{1,1}, z_{1,2}, \dots, z_{1,n}, \\ z_{2,2}, z_{2,3}, \dots, z_{2,1}, \\ \dots \\ z_{p,p}, z_{p,p+1}, \dots, z_{p,p-1}, \end{array}$$

i.e. first by row and then cyclically starting with $z_{i,i}$ within the i th row. It easily seen that for a PBW tuple I one has

$$\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} D_I = z_{1,\alpha_1}, \dots, z_{p,\alpha_p}.$$

Theorem 3.7. The polynomials D_I with $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$ form a sagbi basis of \mathcal{P} with respect to \prec_{pbw} .

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} \mathcal{P}$ be the subalgebra generated by $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} D_I$ with $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$. We are to show that $\mathcal{A} = \text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} \mathcal{P}$.

Now, as will be discussed below (see Lemma 3.9), the subalgebra generated by the monomials $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} D_I$ is isomorphic to the toric ring of the FFLV polytope of p th fundamental \mathfrak{sl}_n -weight. These polytopes are well-studied, the toric ring is discussed, for instance, in the proof of [FaFFM, Theorem 5.1] (in the greater generality of partial flag varieties). There it is shown that it has the same Hilbert series as the Plücker algebra. Corollary 1.5 then implies that \mathcal{A} may not be a proper subalgebra of $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} \mathcal{P}$. \square


In this case $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} \mathcal{P}$ can also be interpreted as a generalized Hibi ring. Indeed, let $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ be a PBW tuple and let $(j_1 < \dots < j_l)$

be all j with $\alpha_j > p$. Then one sees that the set

$$L = \{q_{j_1, \alpha_{j_1}}, \dots, q_{j_l, \alpha_{j_l}}\}$$

is an antichain in (Q, \prec) and, moreover, every antichain is obtained in this manner. There exists a unique order ideal $J \subset Q$ with $\max_{\prec} J = L$ and the integer point $v_{\emptyset, Q}(J) \in \Pi_{\emptyset, Q}(Q, \prec)$ is the indicator function $\mathbf{1}_L$. We set $\varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I) = J$.

Example 3.8. In the below diagram the order ideal $\varphi_{\text{pbw}}((6, 2, 4))$ for $n = 7$ and $p = 3$ is shown in cyan and red, with red elements ($q_{3,4}$ and $q_{1,6}$) lying in the corresponding antichain L . Note that this is the same ideal as in Example 3.2.



The next lemma is straightforward from the definitions.

Lemma 3.9. $\text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} \mathcal{P}$ is isomorphic to $A_{\emptyset, Q}(Q, \prec)$ as an \mathbb{N}_0 -graded algebra, the isomorphism is given by

$$\text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} D_I \mapsto s\mathbf{y}^{v_{\emptyset, Q}(\varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I))}$$

for $I \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$.

Proof. One can easily check that the inverse isomorphism is given by $y_{q_{i,j}} \mapsto z_{i,j} z_{i,i}^{-1}$ and $s \mapsto z_{1,1} \dots z_{p,p}$. \square

We see that the sagbi degeneration $\text{Proj}(\text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} \mathcal{P})$ is, in fact, the toric variety of the polytope $\Pi_{\emptyset, Q}(Q, \prec)$. This polytope can also be viewed as the Feigin–Fourier–Littelmann–Vinberg polytope of a fundamental weight, see [FFL1, ABS].

We also define a partial order on \mathcal{I}_{pbw} . For PBW tuples $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and $I' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_p)$ we set $I \preceq_{\text{pbw}} I'$ when for every $k \in [1, p]$ there exists $l \geq k$ such that $\alpha'_l \geq \alpha_k$. Let \prec_{pbw} be the strict relation. The following fact is straightforward to check.

Proposition 3.10 (cf. [Ma, Lemma 6.4]). φ_{pbw} is an isomorphism between the posets $(\mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}, \prec_{\text{pbw}})$ and $(\mathcal{J}(Q, \prec), \subset)$.

Definition 3.11. Consider a rectangular Young tableau of height p on the alphabet $[1, n]$ with columns I_1, \dots, I_k . We say that this tableau is *PBW-semistandard* if every $I_j \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$ and

$$I_1 \preceq_{\text{pbw}} \dots \preceq_{\text{pbw}} I_k.$$

Remark 3.12. It should be pointed out that the above definition differs from the more common definition originally given in [Fe] by a reversal of the order of columns (i.e. in the original definition they form a weakly decreasing tuple). This is done to keep the conventions natural and the two cases analogous to each other.

Similarly to Theorem 3.5 we may now deduce the following fact.

Theorem 3.13. The set of products $D_{I_1} \dots D_{I_k}$ for which the Young tableau with columns I_1, \dots, I_k is PBW-semistandard is a basis in \mathcal{P} .

4. THE SOTTILE–STURMFELS DEGENERATION

The goal of this section is to present an approach to the results in [SS] similar to that of Subsections 3.1 and 3.2. In fact, the construction described here extends the construction in Subsection 3.1.

Let n and p be as above. Consider variables $z_{i,j}^{(k)}$ with $i \in [1, p]$, $j \in [1, n]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Let $z_{i,j}(t)$ denote the formal power series $\sum_k z_{i,j}^{(k)} t^k$ and let $Z(t)$ be the $p \times n$ matrix with elements $z_{i,j}(t)$. For a p -tuple $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ of integers in $[1, n]$ we denote by $Z_I(t)$ the $p \times p$ matrix whose j th column is the α_j th column of $Z(t)$. We set $D_I(t) = \det Z_I(t)$ and let $D_I^{(k)}$ be the coefficient of t^k in $D_I(t)$.

Definition 4.1. The *semi-infinite Plücker algebra* $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is the subalgebra in $\mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}]$ generated by polynomials $D_I^{(k)}$ for all possible I and k .

We have an \mathbb{N}_0 -grading on $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ equal to 1 on the generators $D_I^{(k)}$. The scheme of infinite type $\text{Proj } \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is known as the *quantum Grassmannian* (this is the terminology used in [SS]) or the *semi-infinite Grassmannian* (this terminology originates in [FF] and is used in literature on affine Lie algebras and related subjects). Thus initial subalgebras of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ can be used to obtain flat degenerations of this variety via Corollary 1.9.

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ denote the set of all $I^{(k)}$ where I is an **increasing** p -tuple in $[1, n]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We have $D_I^{(k)} = \pm D_{I'}^{(k)}$ when I' is a permutation of I , hence $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is generated by $D_I^{(k)}$ with $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$. Let $<_{\text{ss}}$ denote the degree reverse lexicographic monomial order on $\mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}]$ given by ordering the $z_{i,j}^{(k)}$ lexicographically: first by k , then by i and then by j . We remark that if one identifies $z_{i,j}^{(0)}$ with $z_{i,j}$, the defined monomial order extends the order $<_{\text{ss}}$ considered in Subsection 3.1, hence the abuse of notation. The same will apply to several other notions introduced in this section and the next: φ_{ss} , \prec_{ss} , $<_{\text{pbw}}$, φ_{pbw} and \prec_{pbw} extend the corresponding relations or maps in Subsections 3.1 and 3.2.

Theorem 4.2. The polynomials $D_I^{(k)}$ with $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ form a sagbi basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ with respect to $<_{\text{ss}}$.

Proof. This is essentially [SS, Theorem 1]. The only difference is that they consider a truncated finitely-generated version of the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ while we pass to a direct limit. This is done as follows.

Choose $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and let

$$\pi_d : \mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}_{k \leq d}]$$

be the projection taking every $z_{i,j}^{(k)}$ with $k > d$ to 0. Denote $\mathcal{P}^d = \pi_d(\tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ and $D_I^{(k,d)} = \pi_d(D_I^{(k)})$. In [SS, Theorem 1] it is shown that $D_I^{(k,d)}$ with $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ form a sagbi basis of \mathcal{P}^d with respect to $<_{\text{ss}}$ which means that $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} \mathcal{P}^d$ is generated by the monomials $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} D_I^{(k,d)}$. Moreover, [SS, Lemma 3] shows that $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} D_I^{(k,d)}$ does not depend on d as long as $D_I^{(k,d)} \neq 0$ (i.e. $k \leq pd$). However, for every $I^{(k)}$ for large enough d $D_I^{(k,d)} = D_I^{(k)}$, hence the subalgebra generated by the $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} D_I^{(k)}$ contains all \mathcal{P}^d and coincides with $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. \square

The initial monomials are not hard to find.

Proposition 4.3 ([SS, Lemma 3]). For $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ let $k = lp + r$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in [0, p-1]$. Let $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$. Then

$$\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} D_I^{(k)} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2} + r(n-1)} z_{r+1, \alpha_p}^{(l)} z_{r+2, \alpha_{p-1}}^{(l)} \cdots z_{p, \alpha_{r+1}}^{(l)} z_{1, \alpha_r}^{(l+1)} \cdots z_{r, \alpha_1}^{(l+1)}.$$

We note that the above sign is not present in [SS] because of slightly different conventions but it is easily found as the sign of the permutation $(r, \dots, 1, p, \dots, r+1)$.

Similarly to the finite case we can interpret $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ as a generalized Hibi ring.

Definition 4.4. Let (\tilde{Q}, \prec) be the poset consisting of elements $q_{i,j}$ with $i \geq 1$, $j \geq p+1$ and $j-i \in [0, n-1]$. We set $q_{i_1, j_1} \preceq q_{i_2, j_2}$ if at least one of the following holds:


- (i) $i_1 \leq i_2$ and $j_1 \leq j_2$,
- (ii) $i_1 + p \leq i_2$ or
- (iii) $j_1 + n - p \leq j_2$.

We write \prec for the corresponding strict relation.

The first thing to note is that (\tilde{Q}, \prec) contains (Q, \prec) as a subposet because neither of (ii) and (iii) is possible when both elements lie in Q . One also easily checks that the defined relation is antisymmetric and transitive.

Remark 4.5. There is a convenient way to visualize this poset: one can find a part of the Hasse diagram of (\tilde{Q}, \prec) in the case of $n = 7$ and $p = 3$ in the diagram (2) (the cyan color can be ignored for the moment). The arrows corresponding to relations of types (ii) and (iii) are gray and dashed for better visibility.

This poset also has the following interpretation. Consider the set H consisting of all elements of the form $q_{i+mp, j-m(n-p)}$ where $q_{i,j} \in \tilde{Q}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This set is equipped by an order \prec' such that $q_{i_1, j_1} \prec' q_{i_2, j_2}$ if and only if $i_1 \leq i_2$ and $j_1 \leq j_2$. Here is a fragment of the Hasse diagram of (H, \prec') for $n = 5$ and $p = 2$:




Here the orange squiggly arrows are not part of the Hasse diagram but visualize the translation $\varepsilon : q_{i,j} \mapsto q_{i+p, j-(n-p)}$, the subset $\tilde{Q} \subset H$ is highlighted in green. Note that H is preserved by ε and that \tilde{Q} is a fundamental region for the group generated by ε . Moreover, it is easily checked that the quotient poset $(H, \prec')/\langle \varepsilon \rangle$ is well-defined and naturally isomorphic to (\tilde{Q}, \prec) . In particular, since we have embedded \tilde{Q} into the quotient of the plane modulo a translation, it can be thought of as a poset of points in the cylinder.

We denote $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q}) = \mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$. Our next goal is to define a bijection between $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ and $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$.

Definition 4.6. For $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ with $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ let $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k)}) \subset \tilde{Q}$ be the subset containing all $q_{i,j}$ with $i \leq k$ and also for every $i \in [k+1, k+p]$ containing those $q_{i,j}$ for which $j \leq i + \alpha_{p+1-(i-k)} - 1$.

In terms of the visualization in (2), for $i \in [k+1, k+p]$ the defined set contains the top $\alpha_{p+1-(i-k)}$ elements of the form $q_{i,\bullet}$ when $i > p$ and the top $\alpha_{p+1-(i-k)} - (p+1-i)$ such elements when $i \leq p$. For $i \leq k$ it contains all elements of the form $q_{i,\bullet}$.

Example 4.7. Let us depict the order ideal corresponding to $(2, 3, 6)^{(2)}$ in case of $n = 7$ and $p = 3$ (elements of the ideal are colored cyan).



It is advisable to compare this diagram to the one in Example 3.2, one can see that the diagram of the ideal $\varphi_{\text{ss}}((2, 3, 6)^{(2)})$ can be obtained from that of $\varphi_{\text{ss}}((2, 3, 6)^{(0)})$ by “shifting two steps to the right”. This is, in fact, a general rule, cf. proof of Proposition 4.8.

Proposition 4.8. φ_{ss} is a bijection from $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\text{ss}}$ to $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$.

Proof. Let us first describe the finite order ideals in (\tilde{Q}, \prec) . For $J \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$ consider the largest k such that $q_{k+p, k+p} \in J$, if no such k exists, set $k = 0$. From Definition 4.4 we deduce that:

- $q_{i,j} \in J$ when $j \leq k + p$ due to (i) (since $i \leq j$),
- $q_{i,j} \in J$ when $i \leq k$ due to (ii),
- $q_{i,j} \notin J$ when $i \geq k + p + 1$ due to $q_{k+p+1, k+p+1} \notin J$ and (i),
- $q_{i,j} \notin J$ when $j \geq k + n + 1$ due to $q_{k+p+1, k+p+1} \notin J$ and (iii).

In terms of our visualization of \tilde{Q} this can be summarized as follows. Let $Q^{(k)}$ denote the “ $p \times (n - p)$ rectangle” consisting of $q_{i,j}$ with $i \in [k + 1, k + p]$ and $j \in [k + p + 1, k + n]$ (in particular, $Q^{(0)} = Q$). Then J contains everything “to the left” of $Q^{(k)}$ and contains nothing “to the right” of $Q^{(k)}$, thus being determined by its intersection with $Q^{(k)}$. However, the poset $(Q^{(k)}, \prec)$ is isomorphic to (Q, \prec) , in particular, it has $\binom{n}{p}$ order ideals. We conclude that these are in bijection with those $J \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$ which contain $q_{k+p, k+p}$ but not $q_{k+p+1, k+p+1}$.

Now we note that $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k)})$ consists of all $q_{i,j}$ with $i \leq k$ or $j \leq k + p$ disjointly united with $K \subset Q^{(k)}$ where K is obtained from $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I) \subset Q$ by replacing every $q_{i,j}$ with $q_{i+k, j+k}$ (“shifting k steps to the right”). The statement now follows from Proposition 3.4. \square

Lemma 4.9. $\text{in}_{<\text{ss}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is isomorphic to $A_{\tilde{Q}, \emptyset}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$ as an \mathbb{N}_0 -graded algebra, the isomorphism is given by

$$\text{in}_{<\text{ss}} D_I^{(k)} \mapsto (-1)^{\binom{n}{2} + r(n-1)} \text{sy}^{v_{\tilde{Q}, \emptyset}(\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k)}))}$$

where $k \equiv r \pmod{p}$ with $r \in [0, p - 1]$.

This lemma is easily deduced from the results in [SS], the proof will be given after we show that φ_{ss} is not only a bijection but a poset isomorphism. By applying Corollary 1.9, we can draw the following conclusion from Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.9.

Corollary 4.10. The toric variety of the order polytope $\Pi_{\tilde{Q}, \emptyset}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$ is a flat degeneration of the semi-infinite Grassmannian $\text{Proj } \tilde{P}$.

Now to the poset structure on $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$.

Definition 4.11. For $I^{(k)}, I^{(k')} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ with $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and $I' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_p)$ set $I^{(k)} \preceq_{\text{ss}} I^{(k')}$ if $k \leq k'$ and for any $i, i' \in [1, p]$ with $i' - i = k' - k$ we have $\alpha_i \leq \alpha'_{i'}$. Let \prec_{ss} be the corresponding strict relation.

Remark 4.12. The poset $(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ is seen to be a distributive lattice. It is the obvious direct limit $q \rightarrow \infty$ of the posets \mathcal{C}_q in [Sot] or lattices $\mathcal{C}_{\bullet, \bullet}^q$ in [SS]. It is also the lattice $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ appearing in the introduction.

Proposition 4.13. φ_{ss} is an isomorphism between the posets $(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ and $(\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q}), \subset)$.

Proof. Consider $I^{(k)}, I^{(k')} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ with $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$, $I' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_p)$ and $k \leq k'$. We are to check that $I^{(k)} \preceq_{\text{ss}} I^{(k')}$ if and only if for every $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the set $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k)})$ contains no more elements of the form $q_{i, \bullet}$ than $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k')})$ does. Note that we need not consider $i \leq k'$ and $i > k + p$, since in the former case $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k')})$ contains all such elements while in the latter case $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k)})$ contains no such elements. For $i \in [k' + 1, k + p]$ (if they exist) the condition amounts to $\alpha_{p+1-(i-k)} \leq \alpha'_{p+1-(i-k')}$ by the definition of φ_{ss} . Setting $l = p + 1 - (i - k)$ we now see that $\varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k)}) \subset \varphi_{\text{ss}}(I^{(k')})$ if and only if for all $l \in [1, p - (k' - k)]$ we have $\alpha_l \leq \alpha_{l+k'-k}$. This is evidently equivalent to the condition in Definition 4.11. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.9. The claim is obtained from [SS] by translating from their language of distributive lattices to our language of posets. For a distributive lattice $(\mathcal{L}, \wedge, \vee)$ consider the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}]$ in variables X_a with $a \in \mathcal{L}$. The ideal $H(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}]$ generated by all binomials of the form

$$X_a X_b - X_{a \wedge b} X_{a \vee b}$$

is known as the *Hibi ideal* of the lattice \mathcal{L} and $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}]/H(\mathcal{L})$ is known as its *Hibi ring*. This overlaps with the terminology introduced in Section 2 because of the following standard fact commonly attributed to [H]. Given a poset (P, \ll) for which we have an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(P, \ll)$ of distributive lattices (cf. *Birkhoff's representation theorem* [Wiki]), we have an isomorphism from $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{L}]/H(\mathcal{L})$ to $A_{P, \emptyset}(P, \ll)$ which maps the class of X_a to $\text{sy}^{v_{P, \emptyset}(\varphi(a))}$ (i.e. the zero set of the Hibi ideal is the toric variety of the order polytope).

As mentioned above, the poset $(\mathcal{I}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ is a distributive lattice. [SS, Theorem 2 and Proposition 4] show that there is an isomorphism from $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ to $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{I}_{\text{ss}}]/H(\mathcal{I}_{\text{ss}})$ which maps $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} D_I^{(k)}$ to the class of $X_{I^{(k)}}$. Combining this with the above standard fact we obtain the desired isomorphism. \square

A way of visualizing the order \prec_{ss} are semi-infinite semistandard tableaux. Consider the infinite square grid in the first quadrant consisting of boxes (i, j) with $i, j \geq 1$.

Definition 4.14. For integers $k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_m$ in \mathbb{N}_0 a *semi-infinite tableau* of *shift* (k_1, \dots, k_m) is the set of boxes $(i, k_i + j)$ with $i \in [1, m]$, $j \in [1, p]$ together with an integer written in each box (its *content*). A semi-infinite tableau is *semistandard* if the contents increase strictly from top to bottom in every column and non-strictly from left to right in every row.

Example 4.15. The following is an example of a semistandard semi-infinite tableau of shift $(0, 1, 3)$. The first k_i boxes in each column are depicted in yellow since they are not part of the tableau.

			2
			5
		1	7
1	2		
3	3		
5			

The definition above is a minor variation of the original definition in [SS] where these objects are viewed as (semi)standard skew Young tableaux. Consider a semi-infinite tableau of shift (k_1, \dots, k_m) with the contents in its i th column forming a p -tuple I_i when read from top to bottom. It is evident from the definitions that this semi-infinite tableau is semistandard if and only if all $I_i^{(k_i)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}$ and

$$I_1^{(k_1)} \preceq_{\text{ss}} \dots \preceq_{\text{ss}} I_m^{(k_m)}.$$

Similarly to Subsections 3.1 and 3.2, by combining Lemma 4.9 with Corollary 2.5, Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 4.13 we obtain the following.

Theorem 4.16 (implicit in [SS]). For a product $D_{I_1}^{(k_1)} \dots D_{I_m}^{(k_m)}$ consider the semi-infinite tableau of shift (k_1, \dots, k_m) for which the contents in its i th column form the tuple I_i when read from top to bottom. The set of products for which this tableau is semistandard is a basis in the semi-infinite Plücker algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

Remark 4.17. To be abundantly clear we point out that the main results presented in this section (Theorems 4.2 and 4.16) are due to [SS]. However, the poset (\tilde{Q}, \prec) is not considered in [SS] and, instead, combinatorially the focus is on the poset $(\tilde{I}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ and its distributive lattice structure. In particular, it is mentioned that the sagbi degeneration $\text{Proj}(\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} \tilde{\mathcal{P}})$ is toric but the corresponding polytope is not discussed. For us the poset (\tilde{Q}, \prec) and its interpolating polytopes are instrumental in constructing a new degeneration of the semi-infinite Grassmannian in the next section.

It is also worth mentioning that (\tilde{Q}, \prec) is uniquely determined by $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q}) = (\tilde{I}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ as the subposet of join-irreducible elements in the lattice $(\tilde{I}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ (cf. [Wiki]). Nonetheless, identifying the join-irreducibles is not always the easiest task and the poset (\tilde{Q}, \prec) was actually obtained ad hoc based on the finite case.

5. A NEW DEGENERATION OF THE SEMI-INFINITE GRASSMANNIAN

We proceed to construct another toric degeneration of the semi-infinite Grassmannian $\text{Proj} \tilde{P}$ in a fashion similar to the previous sections. The construction below extends the construction in Subsection 3.2.

Definition 5.1. Let $<_{\text{pbw}}$ be the degree reverse lexicographic monomial order on $\mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}]$ given by ordering the $z_{i,j}^{(k)}$ first by k increasingly, then by i increasingly and within a given k and i cyclically starting with $z_{i, kp+i \bmod n}^{(k)}$, i.e. as

$$z_{i, kp+i \bmod n}^{(k)}, z_{i, (kp+i \bmod n)+1}^{(k)}, \dots, z_{i,n}^{(k)}, z_{i,1}^{(k)}, \dots, z_{i, (kp+i \bmod n)-1}^{(k)}.$$

Here and further we understand $a \bmod n$ to be an integer in $[1, n]$, we also understand the operation \bmod to have the lowest precedence, it being performed after addition and subtraction.

In particular, one sees that the variables $z_{i,j}^{(0)}$ are ordered in the same way as the $z_{i,j}$ in (1) (page 10). However, among the $z_{1,\bullet}^{(1)}$ the variable $z_{1,p+1}^{(1)}$ comes first and among the $z_{2,\bullet}^{(1)}$ the first one is $z_{2,p+2 \bmod n}^{(1)}$.

Definition 5.2. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ be the set of those $I^{(k)}$ with $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ a p -tuple in $[1, n]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ for which

$$(\alpha_1 - k \bmod n, \dots, \alpha_p - k \bmod n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}.$$

Example 5.3. For $p = 4$ and $n = 9$ we have

- $(1, 2, 6, 5)^{(0)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ because $(1, 2, 6, 5) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$,
- $(3, 8, 7, 6)^{(2)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ because $(1, 6, 5, 4) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$,
- $(5, 1, 7, 9)^{(4)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ because $(1, 6, 3, 5) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}$. Note that in this case the “ $\bmod n$ ” operation is essential.

It is evident that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and p -tuple I in $[1, n]$ there exists a unique $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ such that I' is a permutation of I . Therefore, $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is generated by the $D_I^{(k)}$ with $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$. One of the main results to be proved in this section is as follows.

Theorem 5.4. The polynomials $D_I^{(k)}$ with $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ form a sagbi basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ with respect to $<_{\text{pbw}}$.

We start by describing the initial terms.

Proposition 5.5. For $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ with $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and $k = lp + r$ where $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r \in [0, p - 1]$ we have

$$\text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} D_I^{(k)} = (-1)^{r(n-1)} z_{1, \alpha_{p-r+1}}^{(l+1)} \cdots z_{r, \alpha_p}^{(l+1)} z_{r+1, \alpha_1}^{(l)} \cdots z_{p, \alpha_{p-r}}^{(l)}.$$

Proof. The fact that we first order the $z_{i,j}^{(k)}$ by k already means that $\text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} D_I^{(k)}$ must contain $p - r$ variables of the form $z_{\bullet, \bullet}^{(l)}$ and r variables of the form $z_{\bullet, \bullet}^{(l+1)}$. Furthermore, since we then order by i , the initial term must (up to sign) have the form

$$(3) \quad z_{r, \alpha_{b_r}}^{(l+1)} \cdots z_{1, \alpha_{b_1}}^{(l+1)} z_{p, \alpha_{b_p}}^{(l)} \cdots z_{r+1, \alpha_{b_{r+1}}}^{(l)}$$


for some permutation (b_1, \dots, b_p) of $[1, p]$. The b_i are determined by passing from left to right in (3) and choosing each b_i so that it does not occur previously and the resulting $z_{i, b_i}^{(m)}$ ($m \in \{l, l + 1\}$) comes as early as possible in our ordering.

For $i \in [1, p]$ let $c_i = \alpha_{b_i} - k \pmod n$. Note that among the $z_{r, \bullet}^{(l+1)}$ the variable $z_{r, k+p \pmod n}^{(l+1)}$ comes first while the remaining $z_{r, j}^{(l+1)}$ are ordered increasingly by $j - (k+p) \pmod n$. Therefore, b_r is chosen so that $c_r = p$ and, if this is not possible, so that $c_r - p \pmod n$ is as small as possible. We see that, in view of $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$, we must have $b_r = p$. Similarly, b_{r-1} is chosen so that $c_{r-1} = p - 1$ and, if this is not possible, so that $c_{r-1} - (p - 1) \pmod n$ is as small as possible. Again, $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ implies $b_{r-1} = p - 1$. The same logic provides $b_i = p - r + i$ for $i = r - 2, \dots, 1$ and then $b_i = i - r$ for $i = p, \dots, r + 1$. Finally, $(-1)^{r(n-1)}$ is the sign of the permutation (b_1, \dots, b_p) . \square

We define a bijection between $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ and $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$.

Definition 5.6. For $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ with $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ let $\varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)}) \in \mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$ be the minimal order ideal containing all elements $q_{k+i, k+(\alpha_i - k \pmod n)}$ such that $i \in [1, p]$ and $k + (\alpha_i - k \pmod n) > p$.

Example 5.7. Let us depict the order ideal corresponding to $(1, 4, 6)^{(2)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ in case of $n = 7$ and $p = 3$. Elements of the ideal are colored cyan or red, the red ones ($q_{4,4}$, $q_{5,6}$ and $q_{3,8}$) are those that appear in Definition 5.6 as $q_{k+i, k+(\alpha_i - k \pmod n)}$.



Note that the diagram of $\varphi_{\text{pbw}}((1, 4, 6)^{(2)})$ is obtained from the one of $\varphi_{\text{pbw}}((6, 2, 4)^{(0)})$ in Example 3.8 by “shifting two steps to the right”. Here $(6, 2, 4)$ is obtained from $(1, 4, 6)$ by subtracting (modulo n) the superscript 2.

Proposition 5.8. φ_{pbw} is a bijection from $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ to $\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q})$.

Proof. For $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ consider

$$I' = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) = (\alpha_1 - k \pmod n, \dots, \alpha_p - k \pmod n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}.$$

As discussed in Subsection 3.2, the elements q_{i, β_i} with $\beta_i > p$ form an antichain $L \subset (Q, \prec)$ and $\varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I')$ is the order ideal generated by L . Let $L^{(k)}$ be the antichain in (\tilde{Q}, \prec) obtained from L by replacing every $q_{i, j}$ with $q_{i+k, j+k}$, i.e. by “shifting k steps to the right” in terms of the visualization in (2).

The elements $q_{k+i, k+(\alpha_i - k \pmod n)}$ in Definition 5.6 are precisely the elements of $L^{(k)}$ together with $q_{k+i, k+i}$ for all $i = \beta_i$. Since $q_{k+p, k+\beta_p} \succeq q_{k+p, k+p}$, we necessarily have $q_{k+p, k+p} \in \varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)})$. Hence, $\varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)})$ is generated by $L^{(k)}$ and (when $k \geq 1$) the element $q_{k+p, k+p}$. In other words, it consists of the order ideal K in $(Q^{(k)}, \prec)$ (see proof of Proposition 4.8) with $\max_{\prec} K = L^{(k)}$ and of all $q_{i, j}$ with $i \leq k$ or $j \leq k+p$ (everything “to the left” of $Q^{(k)}$). However, we have seen that all ideals in (\tilde{Q}, \prec) have such form. \square

Let $O \subset \tilde{Q}$ consist of all $q_{i, i}$ and $C = \tilde{Q} \setminus O$. Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{C}\{\{z_{i, j}^{(k)}\}\}$ denote the subalgebra generated by the monomials $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} D_I^{(k)}$ with $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$. The key to proving Theorem 5.4 is the following fact.

Lemma 5.9. \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to $A_{O, C}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$ as an \mathbb{N}_0 -graded algebra, the isomorphism is given by

$$\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} D_I^{(k)} \mapsto (-1)^{r(n-1)} \mathbf{sy}^{v_{O, C}(\varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)}))}$$

where $k \equiv r \pmod p$ with $r \in [0, p-1]$.

Proof. We construct the inverse isomorphism by defining an embedding $\psi : \mathbb{C}[\tilde{Q}][s] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\{(z_{i, j}^{(k)})^{\pm 1}\}\}$ such that for every $I^{(k)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ with $I =$

$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and $k = lp + r$ we have (recall Definitions 2.1 and 2.4):

$$(4) \quad \psi : s \prod_{\substack{q \in (O \cap \varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)})) \cup \\ (C \cap \max_{\prec} \varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)}))}} y_q \mapsto z_{1, \alpha_{p-r+1}}^{(l+1)} \cdots z_{r, \alpha_p}^{(l+1)} z_{r+1, \alpha_1}^{(l)} \cdots z_{p, \alpha_{p-r}}^{(l)}.$$

Consider $q_{i,j} \in \tilde{Q}$ with $i = mp + t$ for $t \in [1, p]$ and set $\zeta_{i,j} = z_{i,j}^{(m)} \bmod n$. Note that $q_{i,j} \mapsto \zeta_{i,j}$ is a bijection from \tilde{Q} to the set of all $z_{i,j}^{(k)}$ except those with $k = 0$ and $j \in [i, p]$. It can be helpful to note that if one orders the $\zeta_{i,j}$ first by i and then by j one obtains precisely the ordering of the variables $z_{i,j}^{(k)}$ considered in Definition 5.1 with the aforementioned $\binom{p+1}{2}$ variables removed. We use the shorthand $y_{q_{i,j}} = y_{i,j}$ and define ψ by

- $\psi(y_{i,j}) = \zeta_{i,j} \zeta_{i,i}^{-1}$ when $j > i$,
- $\psi(y_{i,i}) = \zeta_{i,i} \zeta_{i-p, i-p}^{-1}$ and
- $\psi(s) = z_{1,1}^{(0)} \cdots z_{p,p}^{(0)}$.

Now, for an $I^{(k)}$ as above let us describe the set of factors in the product on the left. We again consider

$$I' = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_p) = (\alpha_1 - k \bmod n, \dots, \alpha_p - k \bmod n) \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{pbw}}.$$

Let $L^{(k)} \subset Q^{(k)}$ be the antichain composed of elements q_{i+k, β_i+k} with $\beta_i > p$. In the proof of Proposition 5.8 we have seen that $C \cap \max_{\prec} \varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)})$ is precisely $L^{(k)}$ while $O \cap \varphi_{\text{pbw}}(I^{(k)})$ consists of all $q_{m,m}$ with $m \leq k+p$ (including all q_{i+k, β_i+k} for which $\beta_i = i$).

However, for $i \in [1, p-r]$ we have $\zeta_{i+k, \beta_i+k} = z_{r+i, \alpha_i}^{(l)}$ and for $i \in [p-r+1, p]$ we have $\zeta_{i+k, \beta_i+k} = z_{i+r-p, \alpha_i}^{(l+1)}$. It is easily seen from here that when ψ is applied to the left-hand side of (4) one indeed obtains the right-hand side and all the other factors cancel out.

To see that ψ is injective one verifies that it is distinct on distinct monomials or, equivalently, that ψ cannot map the quotient of two distinct monomials to 1. We show that $\psi(M) \neq 1$ for any Laurent monomial $M = s^d \prod y_{i,j}^{d_{i,j}} \neq 1$ with $d, d_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Indeed, if all $d_{i,j} = 0$ the statement is trivial, consider that $d_{i,j} \neq 0$ for which $q_{i,j}$ is \prec -maximal. Then $\psi(M)$ contains $\zeta_{i,j}$ in degree $d_{i,j} \neq 0$, since all other appearing $\zeta_{i',j'}$ satisfy $q_{i',j'} \prec q_{i,j}$. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Consider an \mathbb{N}_0^2 -grading grad on $\mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}]$ given by grad $z_{i,j}^{(k)} = (1, k)$. Evidently, the subalgebras \tilde{P} , $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{pbw}}} \tilde{P}$, \mathcal{A} and $\text{in}_{\prec_{\text{ss}}} \tilde{P}$ are grad-homogeneous with finite-dimensional components. We also write grad to denote an \mathbb{N}_0^2 -grading on $\mathbb{C}[\tilde{Q}][s]$ given by grad $s = (p, 0)$, grad $y_{i,i} = (0, 1)$ and all other grad $y_{i,j} = (0, 0)$. The subalgebras $A_{O,C}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$ and $A_{\tilde{Q}, \emptyset}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$ are grad-homogeneous and have the same Hilbert series with respect to grad in view of Corollary 2.5.

Now, it is evident that the isomorphisms defined in Lemmas 5.9 and 4.9 respect the grading grad. We deduce that \mathcal{A} and $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} \tilde{P}$ have the same Hilbert series. By Corollary 1.5, however, $\text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} \tilde{P}$ (which contains \mathcal{A}) must have the same Hilbert series as $\text{in}_{<_{\text{ss}}} \tilde{P}$ and we obtain $\mathcal{A} = \text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} \tilde{P}$. \square

Corollary 5.10. The toric variety of the interpolating polytope $\Pi_{O,C}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$ is a flat degeneration of the semi-infinite Grassmannian $\text{Proj } \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

Remark 5.11. Motivated by the finite case, the authors initially hoped to realize the toric variety of the chain polytope $\Pi_{\emptyset, \tilde{Q}}(\tilde{Q}, \prec)$ as a flat degeneration of $\text{Proj } \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Such attempts were, however, unsuccessful. This situation is somewhat reminiscent of the Feigin–Fourier–Littelmann degeneration of the complete flag variety whose toric ideal is not given by the chain polytope but by a certain different interpolating polytope of the respective poset (cf. [Ma, Remark 6.10]).

We proceed to describe the corresponding basis in $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

Definition 5.12. For $I^{(k)}, I^{(k')} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ with $I = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ and $I' = (\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_p)$ set $I^{(k)} \preceq_{\text{pbw}} I^{(k')}$ if $k \leq k'$ and for any $i \in [k' - k + 1, p]$ there exists an $i' \in [i - (k' - k), p]$ such that

$$k + (\alpha_i - k \pmod n) \leq k' + (\alpha'_{i'} - k' \pmod n).$$

Let \prec_{pbw} be the corresponding strict relation.

Example 5.13. One can easily verify that for elements from Example 5.3 we have $(1, 2, 6, 5)^{(0)} \prec (3, 8, 7, 6)^{(2)}$ and $(3, 8, 7, 6)^{(2)} \prec (5, 1, 7, 9)^{(4)}$. On the other hand, elements $(1, 2, 6, 5)^{(0)}$ and $(2, 3, 4, 5)^{(1)}$ are incomparable.

Proposition 5.14. φ_{pbw} is an isomorphism between the posets $(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}, \prec_{\text{pbw}})$ and $(\mathcal{J}(\tilde{Q}), \subset)$.

Proof. For $I^{(k)}, I^{(k')}$ as in Definition 5.12 set $\beta_i = \alpha_i - k \pmod n$ and $\beta'_i = \alpha'_i - k' \pmod n$. According to Definition 5.8 we are to check that $I^{(k)} \preceq_{\text{pbw}} I^{(k')}$ if and only if for every $i \in [1, p]$ there exists an $i' \in [1, p]$ such that $q_{k+i, k+\beta_i} \preceq q_{k'+i', k'+\beta'_{i'}}$. If $i \leq k' - k$ one may always choose $i' = p$, since then $k' + i' - (k + i) \geq p$.

Suppose $i \geq k' - k + 1$. According to Definition 5.12 we check that there exists $i' \in [1, p]$ with $q_{k+i, k+\beta_i} \preceq q_{k'+i', k'+\beta'_{i'}}$ if and only if there exists $i'' \in [i - (k' - k), p]$ with $k + \beta_i \leq k' + \beta'_{i''}$. If $\beta_i \leq p + (k' - k)$, then for $i' = p$ we have $k + \beta_i \leq k' + \beta'_{i'}$ and $k + i \leq k' + i'$ which implies $q_{k+i, k+\beta_i} \preceq q_{k'+i', k'+\beta'_{i'}}$. If $\beta_i > p + (k' - k)$, then $q_{k+i, k+\beta_i} \preceq q_{k'+i', k'+\beta'_{i'}}$ if and only if

$$i' \in [i - (k' - k), p] \quad \text{and} \quad k + \beta_i \leq k' + \beta'_{i'}$$

because $k' + i' - k - i \geq p$ and $\beta'_{i'} + k' - \beta_i - k \geq n - p$ are impossible. \square

In particular, we see that $(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}, \prec_{\text{pbw}})$ is isomorphic to $(\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{ss}}, \prec_{\text{ss}})$ with an isomorphism given by $\varphi_{\text{ss}}^{-1}\varphi_{\text{pbw}}$.

Next, recall Definition 4.14 and consider a semi-infinite tableau T of shift (k_1, \dots, k_m) with the content of box (i, j) equal to $T_{i,j}$.

Definition 5.15. We say that T is *PBW-semistandard* if

- $(T_{i,k_i+1} - k_i, \dots, T_{i,k_i+p} - k_i)$ is a PBW tuple for every i ,
- for every box (i, j) in T such that $(i+1, j)$ also lies in T there exists a box $(i+1, j')$ in T with $j' \geq j$ such that $T_{i+1,j'} \geq T_{i,j}$.

Example 5.16. The following is an example of a PBW-semistandard semi-infinite tableau of shift $(0, 2, 4)$. As in Example 4.14, the yellow boxes depict the height at which its columns are placed. Note that the columns of this tableau appeared in Example 5.3 and Example 5.13.

			9
			7
		6	1
		7	5
5	8		
6	3		
2			
1			

Let us denote

$$I_i(T) = (T_{i,k_i+1} \bmod n, \dots, T_{i,k_i+p} \bmod n).$$

It is easily seen that T is PBW-semistandard if and only if every $T_{i,j} \in [k_i + 1, k_i + p]$, every $I_i(T)^{(k_i)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ and

$$I_1(T)^{(k_1)} \preceq_{\text{pbw}} \dots \preceq_{\text{pbw}} I_m(T)^{(k_m)}.$$

It is also evident that for any $I_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, I_m^{(k_m)} \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ such that

$$I_1^{(k_1)} \preceq_{\text{pbw}} \dots \preceq_{\text{pbw}} I_m^{(k_m)}$$

there exists a unique semi-infinite tableau T' of shift (k_1, \dots, k_m) satisfying $I_i(T') = I_i$ for all i . Indeed, if $I_i = (\alpha_1^i, \dots, \alpha_p^i)$, the content of $(i, k_i + j)$ in T' is equal to $k_i + (\alpha_j^i - k_i \bmod n)$. Similarly to the previous sections we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.17. The set of all products $D_{I_1(T)}^{(k_1)} \dots D_{I_m(T)}^{(k_m)}$ with $k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_m$ and T a PBW-semistandard semi-infinite tableau of shift (k_1, \dots, k_m) is a basis in the semi-infinite Plücker algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$.

Remark 5.18. For each of the four degeneration constructions discussed in this paper one could use the language of initial ideals and Gröbner degenerations instead of the language of initial subalgebras

and sagbi degenerations. Let us outline how this would work for the construction in this section. Let \mathcal{S} be the polynomial ring in variables $X_I^{(k)}$ with $I \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{pbw}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consider a map $\theta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}]$ given by $\theta(X_I^{(k)}) = D_I^{(k)}$. The image of θ is $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, the kernel of θ is the *semi-infinite Plücker ideal* of relations in $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$. Now let us also consider the map $\eta : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\{z_{i,j}^{(k)}\}]$ given by $\eta(X_I^{(k)}) = \text{in}_{<_{\text{pbw}}} D_I^{(k)}$. Due to general Gröbner theoretic considerations, Theorem 5.4 is equivalent to the statement that $\ker \eta$ is an initial ideal of $\ker \theta$ (with respect to a weight vector or to a partial monomial order). Furthermore, in these terms, the products $X_{I_1}^{(k_1)} \dots X_{I_m}^{(k_m)}$ for which $I_1^{(k_1)}, \dots, I_m^{(k_m)}$ are **not** pairwise comparable with respect to $<_{\text{pbw}}$ (so not forming a weakly-increasing tuple) span a monomial ideal in \mathcal{S} . Theorem 5.17 can then be proved by showing that this monomial ideal is an initial ideal of $\ker \eta$ (and thus $\ker \theta$), which is a general property of interpolating polytopes (see [Ma, Proposition 5.3]).

Remark 5.19. As noted in the introduction, semi-infinite Grassmannians have also been studied due to their connection with the representation theory of current algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_n[t]$. Namely, there is an important class of cyclic representations of $\mathfrak{sl}_n[t]$ called global Weyl modules $W(\lambda)$ which are parametrized by dominant weights λ of \mathfrak{sl}_n . It is proven in [FeM] that the k -th homogeneous component of the algebra $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ is the restricted dual of the module $W(k\omega_p)$. In this context Theorem 5.17 provides a new combinatorial formula for the characters of global Weyl modules analogous to the expression of Schur polynomials as generating functions of semistandard tableaux.

REFERENCES

- [ABS] F. Ardila, T. Bliem, D. Salazar, *Gelfand–Tsetlin polytopes and Feigin–Fourier–Littelmann–Vinberg polytopes as marked poset polytopes*, Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A **118** (2011), no. 8, pp. 2454–2462.
- [BF] A. Braverman, M. Finkelberg, *Weyl modules and q -Whittaker functions*, Math. Ann., **359** (2014), pp. 45–59.
- [DF] I. Dumanski, E. Feigin, *Reduced arc schemes for Veronese embeddings and global Demazure modules*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.07988>.
- [DFE] I. Dumanski, E. Feigin, M. Finkelberg, *Beilinson–Drinfeld Schubert varieties and global Demazure modules*, Forum of Mathematics, Sigma, **9** (2021), pp. 1–25.
- [FaFFM] X. Fang, E. Feigin, G. Fourier, I. Makhlin, *Weighted PBW degenerations and tropical flag varieties*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics **21** (2019), no. 1, 1850016.
- [FaF] X. Fang, G. Fourier, *Marked chain-order polytopes*, European Journal of Combinatorics **58** (2016), pp. 267–282.
- [FaFL] X. Fang, G. Fourier, P. Littelmann, *On toric degenerations of flag varieties*, Representation Theory - Current Trends and Perspectives, EMS Series of Congress Reports (2016), pp. 187–232.

- [FaFLP] X. Fang, G. Fourier, J.-P. Litza, C. Pegel, *A Continuous Family of Marked Poset Polytopes*, *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics* **34** no. 1 (2020), pp. 611–639.
- [FaL] X. Fang, P. Littelmann, *From standard monomial theory to semi-toric degenerations via Newton–Okounkov bodies*, *Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society* **78** (2017), no. 2, pp. 331–356.
- [FF] B. Feigin, E. Frenkel, *Affine Kac-Moody algebras and semi-infinite flag manifolds*, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **128** (1990), pp. 161–189.
- [Fe] E. Feigin, \mathbb{G}_a^M *degeneration of flag varieties*, *Selecta Mathematica* **18** (3), pp. 513–537.
- [FFL1] E. Feigin, G. Fourier, P. Littelmann, *PBW filtration and bases for irreducible modules in type A_n* , *Transformation Groups* **16** (2011), pp. 71–89.
- [FFL2] E. Feigin, G. Fourier, P. Littelmann, *Favourable modules: filtrations, polytopes, Newton–Okounkov bodies and flat degenerations*, *Transformation Groups* **22** (2017), pp. 321–352.
- [FeM] E. Feigin, I. Makedonskyi, *Semi-infinite Plücker Relations and Weyl Modules*, *International Mathematical Research Notices*, **14** (2020). pp. 4357–4394.
- [FiM] M. Finkelberg; I. Mirković, *Semi-infinite flags. I. Case of global curve \mathbb{P}^1* , *Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications*, Ser. 2 **194** (1999) pp. 81–112.
- [Fu] W. Fulton, *Young Tableaux, with Applications to Representation Theory and Geometry* Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [GL] N. Gonciulea, V. Lakshmibai, *Degenerations of flag and Schubert varieties to toric varieties*, *Transformation Groups* **1** (1996), pp. 215–248.
- [H] T. Hibi, *Distributive lattices, affine semigroup rings and algebras with straightening laws*. In *Commutative Algebra and Combinatorics*, **11** (1987), pp. 93–109, *Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics*.
- [Kat] S. Kato, *Demazure character formula for semi-infinite flag varieties*, *Mathematische Annalen* **371** (2018), no. 3–4, 1769–1801.
- [Kim] G. Kim, *Richardson Varieties in a Toric Degeneration of the Flag Variety*, PhD thesis, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, 2015.
- [KM] M. Kogan, E. Miller, *Toric degeneration of Schubert varieties and Gelfand–Tsetlin polytopes*, *Advances in Mathematics* **193** (2005), no. 1, pp. 1–17.
- [M] I. Makedonskyi, *Semi-infinite Plücker relations and arcs over toric degeneration*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.04172>.
- [Ma] I. Makhlin, *Gröbner fans of Hibi ideals, generalized Hibi ideals and flag varieties*, *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* **185** (2022), 105541.
- [MS] E. Miller, B. Sturmfels, *Combinatorial commutative algebra*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics* **227** (2006), Springer.
- [Mu] M. Mustata, *Moduli Spaces and Arcs in Algebraic Geometry*, Cologne, 2006, http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~mmustata/lectures_arcs.pdf.
- [RS] L. Robbiano, M. Sweedler, *Subalgebra Bases*, *Proc. Commutative Algebra*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **1430** (1990), Springer, pp. 61–87.
- [Sot] F. Sottile, *Real rational curves in Grassmannians*, *Journal of the American Mathematical Society* **13** (2000), pp. 333–341.
- [SS] F. Sottile, B. Sturmfels, *A sagbi basis for the quantum Grassmannian*, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **158** Issues 2–3 (2001), pp. 347–366.
- [Sta] R. P. Stanley, *Two poset polytopes*, *Discrete & Computational Geometry*, **1** (1986), pp. 9–23.
- [Stu] B. Sturmfels, *Algorithms in invariant theory*, *Texts and Monographs in Symbolic Computation*, Springer, Vienna, 1993.

[Wiki] *Birkhoff's representation theorem*, Wikipedia, Wikimedia Foundation, 06.07.2021, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Birkhoff's_representation_theorem.

E. FEIGIN:
HSE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, ULITSA USACHEVA 6, MOSCOW 119048, RUSSIA
and
SKOLKOVO INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CENTER FOR ADVANCED STUDIES, BOLSHOY BOULEVARD 30, BLD. 1, MOSCOW 121205, RUSSIA
Email address: evgfeig@gmail.com

I. MAKHLIN:
SKOLKOVO INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, CENTER FOR ADVANCED STUDIES, BOLSHOY BOULEVARD 30, BLD. 1, MOSCOW 121205, RUSSIA
and
HSE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, ULITSA USACHEVA 6, MOSCOW 119048, RUSSIA
Email address: imakhl@mail.ru

A. POPKOVICH:
HSE UNIVERSITY, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, ULITSA USACHEVA 6, MOSCOW 119048, RUSSIA
Email address: aspokovich@yandex.ru