

*A tribute to Ibn al-Banna al-Marrakushi  
on the 700<sup>th</sup> anniversary of his death*

# On the sum of the values of a polynomial at natural numbers which form a decreasing arithmetic progression

BAKIR FARHI

Laboratoire de Mathématiques appliquées

Faculté des Sciences Exactes

Université de Bejaia, 06000 Bejaia, Algeria

[bakir.farhi@gmail.com](mailto:bakir.farhi@gmail.com)

<http://farhi.bakir.free.fr/>

## Abstract

The purpose of this paper consists to study the sums of the type  $P(n) + P(n - d) + P(n - 2d) + \dots$ , where  $P$  is a real polynomial,  $d$  is a positive integer and the sum stops at the value of  $P$  at the smallest natural number of the form  $(n - kd)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ). Precisely, for a given  $d$ , we characterize the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_d$  constituting of the real polynomials  $P$  for which the above sum is polynomial in  $n$ . The case  $d = 2$  is studied in more details. In the last part of the paper, we approach the problem through formal power series; this inspires us to generalize the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$  and the underlying results. Also, it should be pointed out that the paper is motivated by the curious formula:  $n^2 + (n - 2)^2 + (n - 4)^2 + \dots = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6}$ , due to Ibn al-Banna al-Marrakushi (around 1290).

**MSC 2010:** Primary 11B68, 11C08, 13F25.

**Keywords:** Ibn al-Banna's formula, Bernoulli polynomials, Bernoulli numbers, Euler polynomials, Genocchi numbers.

## 1 Introduction and Notation

Throughout this paper, we let  $\mathbb{N}^*$  denote the set  $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  of positive integers. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $[x]$  denote the integer part of  $x$ . For a given prime number  $p$ , we let  $\vartheta_p$  denote the usual  $p$ -adic valuation. For a given positive integer  $n$ , we call *the odd part* of  $n$ , which we denote by  $\text{odd}(n)$ , the greatest odd positive divisor of  $n$ ; that is  $\text{odd}(n) = n/2^{\vartheta_2(n)}$ . For a given rational number  $r$ , *the denominator* of  $r$  designates the smallest positive integer  $d$  such that  $dr \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Next, we

let  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  denote the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of polynomials in  $X$  with coefficients in  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , we let  $\deg P$  denote the degree of  $P$ . Besides, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let  $\mathbb{R}_n[X]$  denote the  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  constituting of real polynomials with degree  $\leq n$ , and we set by convention  $\mathbb{R}_{-1}[X] := \{0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}\}$  (the null vector subspace of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we let also  $\binom{X}{n}$  denote the polynomial of  $\mathbb{R}_n[X]$  defined by  $\binom{X}{n} := \frac{X(X-1)\cdots(X-n+1)}{n!}$ . The dimension of a vector space  $E$  is denoted by  $\dim E$  and the codimension of a linear subspace  $F$  of a given vector space  $E$  is denoted by  $\text{codim}_E F$ .

Further, the Bernoulli polynomials  $B_n(X)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) can be defined by their exponential generating function:

$$\frac{te^{Xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} B_n(X) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

and the Bernoulli numbers  $B_n$  are the values of the Bernoulli polynomials at  $X = 0$ ; that is  $B_n := B_n(0)$  ( $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ). To make the difference between the Bernoulli polynomials and the Bernoulli numbers, we always put the indeterminate  $X$  in evidence when it comes to polynomials. Similarly, the Euler polynomials  $E_n(X)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) can be defined by their exponential generating function:

$$\frac{2e^{Xt}}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} E_n(X) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

The Genocchi numbers  $G_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) can also be defined by their exponential generating function:

$$\frac{2t}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} G_n \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

The famous Genocchi theorem [6] states that the  $G_n$ 's are all integers. The Bernoulli polynomials and numbers, the Euler polynomials and the Genocchi numbers have been studied by several authors (see e.g., [3, 4, 5, 7]) and have many important and remarkable properties; among them we just cite the followings:

$$B_n(X + 1) - B_n(X) = nX^{n-1} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.1)$$

$$B_n\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} - 1\right) B_n \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.2)$$

$$G_n = 2(1 - 2^n) B_n = nE_{n-1}(0) \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*). \quad (1.3)$$

In Arabic mathematics, there is a long tradition of determining closed forms for the sums of the type:  $(1^k + 2^k + \cdots + n^k)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ). Apart from the sum  $(1 + 2 + \cdots + n)$  for which the closed form has been known since antiquity (equal to  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ ), we can cite

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \cdots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6} \quad (\text{al-Karaji}),$$

$$1^3 + 2^3 + \cdots + n^3 = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4} \quad (\text{al-Karaji}),$$

$$1^4 + 2^4 + \cdots + n^4 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)(3n^2+3n-1)}{30} \quad (\text{Ibn al-Haytham}).$$

In the course of the seventeenth century, it became increasingly evident that for any nonzero polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , the sum  $P(0) + P(1) + \dots + P(n)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) is polynomial in  $n$  with degree  $(\deg P + 1)$ . Equivalently, for any  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , there exists  $Q \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  satisfying  $Q(X+1) - Q(X) = P(X)$ . More explicitly, Jacob Bernoulli [2] obtained the following remarkable formula:

$$0^k + 1^k + \dots + n^k = \frac{1}{k+1} \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k+1}{i} B_i n^{k+1-i} + n^k \quad (\forall k, n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

For a given positive integer  $d$  and a given polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , let us write:

$$P(n) + P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + \dots \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}) \quad (\Sigma)$$

to designate the sum of the values of  $P$  from  $i = n$  to the smallest natural number of the form  $(n - kd)$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ), by decreasing  $i$  in each step by  $d$ ; that is the sum  $\sum_{0 \leq k \leq \frac{n}{d}} P(n - kd)$ . Although the sums  $(\Sigma)$  are polynomial in  $n$  when  $d = 1$  (as seen above), this is not always the case for  $d \geq 2$ . Indeed, we have for example (for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ):

$$n + (n-2) + (n-4) + \dots = \left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \right\rfloor \left( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right),$$

which is not polynomial in  $n$ . In his pioneer book entitled “Raf’ al-ḥijab ’an wujuh a’mal al-ḥisab” (see e.g., [1]), meaning “Lifting the veil from the faces of the workings of Arithmetic”, the great Arabic mathematician Ibn al-Banna al-Marrakushi (1256 - 1321) found a case when the sum  $(\Sigma)$  becomes polynomial in  $n$  with  $d \neq 1$ . He precisely obtained the following curious formula:

$$n^2 + (n-2)^2 + (n-4)^2 + \dots = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (1.4)$$

More interestingly is the proof of Ibn al-Banna of his formula (1.4). A natural way to prove (1.4) consists to distinguish two cases according to the parity of  $n$ . But Ibn al-Banna did not do this; he proved (1.4) in one step! To do so, he remarked that any perfect square number can be written as a sum of two consecutive triangular numbers; precisely, we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$n^2 = T_n + T_{n-1},$$

where  $T_k := \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$  is the triangular number of order  $k$ . By admitting negative numbers (one thing that Ibn al-Banna himself avoids!<sup>1</sup>), the Ibn al-Banna method for proving (1.4) becomes as follows:

*For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 + (n-2)^2 + (n-4)^2 + \dots &= (T_n + T_{n-1}) + (T_{n-2} + T_{n-3}) + (T_{n-4} + T_{n-5}) + \dots \\ &= T_n + T_{n-1} + T_{n-2} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Although his predecessor al-Samawal (1130-1180) uses negative numbers with great skill.

where the last sum stops at  $T_{-1}$  when  $n$  is even and stops at  $T_0$  when  $n$  is odd. But because  $T_{-1} = 0$ , we can stop the sum in question at  $T_0$  in both cases. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} n^2 + (n-2)^2 + (n-4)^2 + \dots &= T_0 + T_1 + T_2 + \dots + T_n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k(k+1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)}{6}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Looking closely at the previous Ibn al-Banna proof of Formula (1.4), we see that the fundamental property that makes it work is  $T_{-1} = 0$ . So, by proceeding in the same way, we can establish other formulas of the same type as (1.4) and as curious as it is, with other values of  $d$ . I have obtained for example the following:

$$n(n^2+1) + (n-3)((n-3)^2+1) + (n-6)((n-6)^2+1) + \dots = \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{12}. \quad (1.5)$$

To prove (1.5), let us observe that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$n(n^2+1) = \alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-2},$$

where  $\alpha_k := \frac{k(k+1)(k+2)}{3}$  ( $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(n^2+1) + (n-3)((n-3)^2+1) + (n-6)((n-6)^2+1) + \dots \\ &= (\alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-2}) + (\alpha_{n-3} + \alpha_{n-4} + \alpha_{n-5}) + \dots \\ &= \alpha_n + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_{n-2} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum stops at  $\alpha_{-2}$  when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , stops at  $\alpha_{-1}$  when  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and stops at  $\alpha_0$  when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . But because  $\alpha_{-2} = \alpha_{-1} = 0$ , we can stop the sum in question at  $\alpha_0$  in both cases. So we get

$$\begin{aligned} n(n^2+1) + (n-3)((n-3)^2+1) + (n-6)((n-6)^2+1) + \dots &= \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k(k+1)(k+2)}{3} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{12}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Actually, we can even find a sum of type  $(\Sigma)$  with  $d = 3$  which is polynomial in  $n$  with degree only 2. To do so, it suffices to take in the previous reasoning  $\alpha_k = (k+1)(k+2)$  (instead of  $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{3}k(k+1)(k+2)$ ). We then obtain that for  $P_0(n) = 3n^2 + 3n + 2$  ( $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we have

$$P_0(n) + P_0(n-3) + P_0(n-6) + \dots = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)}{3} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

However, I personally find Formula (1.5) more elegant than the last! Actually, the mystery of Formula (1.4) can be explained otherwise by using the following immediate identity which holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$n^2 + (n-2)^2 + (n-4)^2 + \cdots = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^n (n-2k)^2;$$

but unfortunately, this simple technique cannot be used to explain other identities of the same type as (1.4) (it is incapable for example to explain (1.5)).

Throughout this paper, for a given positive integer  $d$ , we let  $\mathcal{E}_d$  denote the set of all polynomials  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  for which the sum  $(\Sigma)$  is polynomial in  $n$ . For example, we have (according to what is said above):  $X \notin \mathcal{E}_2, X^2 \in \mathcal{E}_2, X(X^2+1) \in \mathcal{E}_3$  and  $3X^2+3X+2 \in \mathcal{E}_3$ . It is immediate that  $\mathcal{E}_d$  ( $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) is a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ . This paper is devoted to studying the detailed structure of  $\mathcal{E}_d$ ; in particular to determine for it an explicit basis and to specify its codimension in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ . The case  $d=1$  is trivial because we know that the sum  $(\Sigma)$  is always polynomial in  $n$  when  $d=1$ ; thus  $\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathbb{R}[X]$ . The case  $d=2$  is studied in more detail by providing for  $\mathcal{E}_2$  a basis which is close (in a some sense) to the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ; this will connect us with the sequence of the values of the Euler polynomials at the origin. Then, we go on to prove the generalizability of the Ibn al-Banna method (exposed above). Precisely, we will show that whenever the sum  $(\Sigma)$  is polynomial in  $n$ , the Ibn al-Banna method can be used to determine its closed form. We conclude the paper by giving (briefly) another approach (using convolution products and power series) to studying the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$ . This approach inspires us moreover a generalization of the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$ , and some extensive results are given without proofs. It should also be noted that during the description of the second approach of studying and generalizing the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$ , some other notations will be introduced. Especially, for more clarity and convenience, the sum  $(\Sigma)$  is denoted by  $S_{P,d}(n)$ , highlighting each of the parameters  $P, d$  and  $n$ .

## 2 The results and the proofs

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $d$  be a positive integer. Then, a polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_d$  if and only if it has the form:*

$$P(X) = \binom{X+d}{d} f(X+d) - \binom{X}{d} f(X), \tag{2.1}$$

where  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . Besides, if (2.1) holds then we have for any natural number  $n$ :

$$P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + P(n-3d) + \cdots = \binom{n}{d} f(n).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ .

- Suppose that  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ ; that is there exists  $S \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  for which we have for any natural number  $n$ :

$$P(n) + P(n - d) + P(n - 2d) + \cdots = S(n). \quad (2.2)$$

Using (2.2), we have in particular:

$$S(0) = P(0) , S(1) = P(1) , \dots , S(d - 1) = P(d - 1).$$

This shows that the real polynomial  $(S(X) - P(X))$  vanishes at  $0, 1, \dots, d - 1$ . Consequently, there exists  $f_0 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  such that:

$$S(X) - P(X) = X(X - 1) \cdots (X - d + 1)f_0(X) = \binom{X}{d} f(X),$$

where  $f := d!f_0 \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . By specializing the obtained polynomial equality  $S(X) - P(X) = \binom{X}{d} f(X)$  to  $X = n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we get (according to (2.2)):

$$P(n - d) + P(n - 2d) + P(n - 3d) + \cdots = \binom{n}{d} f(n) \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.3)$$

Next, by applying (2.3) for  $(n + d)$  (instead of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we get

$$P(n) + P(n - d) + P(n - 2d) + \cdots = \binom{n + d}{d} f(n + d) \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}). \quad (2.4)$$

Then, by subtracting (2.3) from (2.4), we obtain that:

$$P(n) = \binom{n + d}{d} f(n + d) - \binom{n}{d} f(n) \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Finally, since the two sides of the last formula are both polynomials in  $n$  then its validity for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  implies its validity as a polynomial identity; that is

$$P(X) = \binom{X + d}{d} f(X + d) - \binom{X}{d} f(X),$$

as required.

- Conversely, suppose that  $P$  has the form (2.1). Then, for any  $n, q \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^q P(n - kd) &= \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^q \left\{ \binom{n - (k-1)d}{d} f(n - (k-1)d) - \binom{n - kd}{d} f(n - kd) \right\}}_{\text{telescopic sum}} \\ &= \binom{n}{d} f(n) - \binom{n - qd}{d} f(n - qd). \end{aligned}$$

By taking in particular  $q = \lfloor \frac{n}{d} \rfloor$  and putting  $r := n - qd$  (so  $r$  is the remainder of the euclidean division of  $n$  by  $d$ , and consequently it is the smallest natural number among the integers  $n, n - d, n - 2d, n - 3d, \dots$ ), we find that:

$$P(n - d) + P(n - 2d) + P(n - 3d) + \cdots = \binom{n}{d} f(n) - \binom{r}{d} f(r).$$

But since  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ , we have  $\binom{r}{d} = 0$ ; hence

$$P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + P(n-3d) + \dots = \binom{n}{d} f(n),$$

showing that the sum  $P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + P(n-3d) + \dots$  is polynomial in  $n$ ; thus  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ , as required. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

From Theorem 2.1, we derive the following corollary which determines an explicit basis for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_d$  ( $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ).

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $d$  be a positive integer. Then the family of real polynomials*

$$\mathcal{B} := \left( \binom{X+d}{d} (X+d)^k - \binom{X}{d} X^k \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$$

*constitutes a basis for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_d$ .*

*Proof.*

• First, let us show that  $\mathcal{B}$  generates  $\mathcal{E}_d$ . For any given  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ , we can write (according to Theorem 2.1):

$$P(X) = \binom{X+d}{d} f(X+d) - \binom{X}{d} f(X),$$

for some  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . Then, by expressing  $f$  in the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ; that is in the form:

$$f(X) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k$$

(with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ ), we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} P(X) &= \binom{X+d}{d} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k (X+d)^k - \binom{X}{d} \sum_{k=0}^n a_k X^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \left[ \binom{X+d}{d} (X+d)^k - \binom{X}{d} X^k \right], \end{aligned}$$

which is an expression of  $P$  as a linear combination (with real coefficients) of elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{B}$  generates  $\mathcal{E}_d$ .

• Now, let us show that  $\mathcal{B}$  is a free family in the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ . Let  $K$  be a finite nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $(\lambda_k)_{k \in K}$  be a family of real numbers such that:

$$\sum_{k \in K} \lambda_k \left( \binom{X+d}{d} (X+d)^k - \binom{X}{d} X^k \right) = 0. \tag{2.5}$$

So, we have to show that  $\lambda_k = 0$  for every  $k \in K$ . By putting  $Q(X) := \sum_{k \in K} \lambda_k X^k \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , we have

$$(2.5) \iff Q(X+d) = Q(X) \iff Q \text{ is } d\text{-periodic.}$$

But if  $Q$  is not zero, we have that  $\deg Q \geq \deg \binom{X}{d} = d \geq 1$ ; so  $Q$  cannot be  $d$ -periodic (because a real continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}$  which is  $d$ -periodic is inevitably bounded, while a real polynomial with degree  $\geq 1$  is never bounded). Thus  $Q$  is zero, implying that  $\lambda_k = 0$  for every  $k \in K$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{B}$  is free.

In conclusion,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_d$ . The corollary is proved.  $\square$

**An important example (the case  $d = 2$ ):**

The particular case  $d = 2$  is the one to which Ibn al-Banna's formula corresponds, and for this reason, it requires more attention. By applying Corollary 2.2 for  $d = 2$ , we find that the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_2$  has as a basis the family of polynomials  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , defined by:

$$e_k(X) := \binom{X+2}{2} (X+2)^k - \binom{X}{2} X^k \quad (\forall k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

The calculations give

$$\begin{aligned} e_0(X) &= 2X + 1, \\ e_1(X) &= 3X^2 + 4X + 2, \\ e_2(X) &= 4X^3 + 9X^2 + 10X + 4, \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we observe that the polynomial  $X^2$  (involved in Ibn al-Banna's formula) can be written as:

$$X^2 = \frac{1}{3} (e_1 - 2e_0),$$

which is a linear combination of the  $e_k$ 's, so belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_2$ . Next, we observe that:

$$X^3 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} (e_2 - 3e_1 + e_0),$$

showing that  $(X^3 - \frac{1}{4}) \in \mathcal{E}_2$ . More generally, we will show latter (see Corollary 2.4 and Theorem 2.5) that for all natural number  $k$ , we have

$$(X^k - E_k(0)) \in \mathcal{E}_2.$$

In addition, the family of polynomials  $(X^k - E_k(0))_{k \in \mathbb{N}^*}$  constitutes a basis for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_2$  (simpler than  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ ).

In what follows, we derive from Theorem 2.1 a "simple" complement subspace (in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ) of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_d$  ( $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ). We have the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $d$  be a positive integer. Then the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$  is a complement subspace (in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ) of  $\mathcal{E}_d$ ; that is*

$$\mathcal{E}_d \oplus \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] = \mathbb{R}[X].$$

*In particular, we have*

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}[X]} \mathcal{E}_d = d - 1.$$



*Proof.* We have to show that  $\mathcal{E}_d \cap \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] = \{0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}\}$  and that  $\mathcal{E}_d + \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] = \mathbb{R}[X]$ .

• Let us first show that  $\mathcal{E}_d \cap \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] = \{0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}\}$ . So, let  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d \cap \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$  and let us show that  $P$  is necessarily the zero polynomial (the second inclusion  $\{0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}\} \subset \mathcal{E}_d \cap \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$  is trivial). From  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ , we deduce (by Theorem 2.1) that there is  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  such that:

$$P(X) = \binom{X+d}{d} f(X+d) - \binom{X}{d} f(X).$$

If we suppose  $P \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}$ , we would have  $f \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}$  and

$$\deg P = \deg \left( \binom{X}{d} f(X) \right) - 1 = d + \deg f - 1 \geq d - 1,$$

which contradicts the fact that  $P \in \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$ . Hence  $P = 0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}$ , as required. This confirms that  $\mathcal{E}_d \cap \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] = \{0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}\}$ .

• Now, let us show that  $\mathcal{E}_d + \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] = \mathbb{R}[X]$ . So, let  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  and let us show the existence of two polynomials  $Q \in \mathcal{E}_d$  and  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$  such that  $P = Q + R$  (the other inclusion  $\mathcal{E}_d + \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] \subset \mathbb{R}[X]$  is trivial). To do so, let us consider  $P^* \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  such that:

$$P^*(X+1) - P^*(X) = P(dX)$$

(such  $P^*$  exists because  $P(dX) \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ ). Let us then consider the euclidean division (in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ) of  $P^*$  by the polynomial  $\binom{dX}{d}$  (which is of degree  $d$ ):

$$P^*(X) = \binom{dX}{d} q(X) + r(X), \tag{2.6}$$

where  $q, r \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  and  $\deg r \leq d - 1$ . Using (2.6), we have that

$$P^*(X+1) - P^*(X) = \binom{dX+d}{d} q(X+1) - \binom{dX}{d} q(X) + r(X+1) - r(X);$$

that is

$$P(dX) = \binom{dX+d}{d} q(X+1) - \binom{dX}{d} q(X) + r(X+1) - r(X).$$

By substituting in this last equality  $X$  by  $\frac{X}{d}$ , we get

$$P(X) = \binom{X+d}{d} q\left(\frac{X+d}{d}\right) - \binom{X}{d} q\left(\frac{X}{d}\right) + r\left(\frac{X}{d} + 1\right) - r\left(\frac{X}{d}\right).$$

So, it suffices to take

$$Q(X) := \binom{X+d}{d} q\left(\frac{X+d}{d}\right) - \binom{X}{d} q\left(\frac{X}{d}\right)$$

and

$$R(X) := r\left(\frac{X}{d} + 1\right) - r\left(\frac{X}{d}\right)$$

to have  $P = Q + R$ , with  $Q \in \mathcal{E}_d$  (according to Theorem 2.1) and  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$  (since  $\deg R = \deg r - 1 \leq d - 2$ ). Consequently, we have  $\mathbb{R}[X] = \mathcal{E}_d + \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$ , as required. This completes the proof of the corollary.  $\square$

From Corollary 2.3, we derive the following important corollary relating to the particular case  $d = 2$ .

**Corollary 2.4.** *There is a unique real sequence  $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that:*

$$(X^n - c_n) \in \mathcal{E}_2 \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Besides, we have  $c_{2n} = 0$  for any positive integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* By Corollary 2.3, we have

$$\mathbb{R}[X] = \mathcal{E}_2 \oplus \mathbb{R}_0[X].$$

Since  $\mathbb{R}_0[X]$  is constituted by constant polynomials, this shows in particular that for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the monomial  $X^n$  can be written in a unique way as  $X^n = P_n(X) + c_n$ , with  $P_n \in \mathcal{E}_2$  and  $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . In other words, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a unique  $c_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $(X^n - c_n) \in \mathcal{E}_2$ . This confirms the first part of the corollary. Next, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , the immediate formula:

$$N^{2n} + (N - 2)^{2n} + (N - 4)^{2n} + \dots = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^N (N - 2k)^{2n} \quad (\forall N \in \mathbb{N})$$

insures that  $X^{2n} \in \mathcal{E}_2$ ; hence  $c_{2n} = 0$ . This confirms the second part of the corollary and achieves the proof.  $\square$

For the sequel, we let  $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  denote the real sequence established by Corollary 2.4. The following theorem provides explicit expressions of the  $c_n$ 's ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), using the Euler polynomials and the Genocchi numbers.

**Theorem 2.5.** *For every natural number  $n$ , we have*

$$c_n = E_n(0) = \frac{G_{n+1}}{n+1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n$  be a fixed natural number. We exactly follow the second part of the proof of Corollary 2.3 (i.e., the proof of the fact that  $\mathbb{R}[X] = \mathcal{E}_d + \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X]$ ) by taking  $d = 2$  and  $P(X) = X^n$ . We thus need a polynomial  $P^* \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  such that:

$$P^*(X + 1) - P^*(X) = P(2X) = (2X)^n = 2^n X^n.$$

According to Formula (1.1), we can take

$$P^*(X) = \frac{2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1}(X).$$

Then, consider the euclidean division (in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ) of this chosen polynomial  $P^*(X)$  by the polynomial  $\binom{2X}{2} = X(2X - 1)$ :

$$P^*(X) = X(2X - 1)q(X) + r(X),$$

where  $q, r \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  and  $\deg r \leq 1$ . Expressing  $r(X)$  as  $r(X) = aX + b$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ), we get the polynomial identity:

$$\frac{2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1}(X) = X(2X-1)q(X) + aX + b. \quad (2.7)$$

According to the second part of the proof of Corollary 2.3, we have

$$c_n = R(X) := r\left(\frac{X}{2} + 1\right) - r\left(\frac{X}{2}\right) = a\left(\frac{X}{2} + 1\right) + b - \left(a\frac{X}{2} + b\right) = a.$$

So, we have to show that  $a = E_n(0) = \frac{G_{n+1}}{n+1}$ . By taking in (2.7) successively  $X = 0$  and  $X = \frac{1}{2}$ , we obtain that:

$$b = \frac{2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1}(0) = \frac{2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a}{2} + b &= \frac{2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{2^n}{n+1} \left(\frac{1}{2^n} - 1\right) B_{n+1} \quad (\text{according to (1.2)}) \\ &= \frac{1 - 2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2 \left[ \left(\frac{a}{2} + b\right) - b \right] \\ &= 2 \left[ \frac{1 - 2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1} - \frac{2^n}{n+1} B_{n+1} \right] \\ &= \frac{2(1 - 2^{n+1})}{n+1} B_{n+1} \\ &= E_n(0) = \frac{G_{n+1}}{n+1} \quad (\text{according to (1.3)}), \end{aligned}$$

as required. This completes the proof. □

*Remarks 2.6.*

1. Since, as known,  $G_n = 0$  for any odd integer  $n \geq 3$ , we deduce from Theorem 2.5 the fact that  $c_n = 0$  for any even integer  $n \geq 2$ , which is already announced by Corollary 2.4 (but proved in a different way).
2. Using the formula  $c_n = \frac{G_{n+1}}{n+1}$  (of Theorem 2.5) together with the fact that each Genocchi number  $G_n$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) is a multiple of the odd part of  $n$  (see e.g., [5, Corollary 2.2]), we easily show that the  $c_n$ 's are all rational numbers with denominators powers of 2. Precisely, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can write  $c_n = \frac{a}{2^e}$ , with  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $e \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $e \leq \vartheta_2(n+1)$ .

The following table provides the values of the first numbers  $c_n$  ( $n = 0, 1, \dots, 15$ ):

$n$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15
$c_n$	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{1}{4}$	0	$-\frac{1}{2}$	0	$\frac{17}{8}$	0	$-\frac{31}{2}$	0	$\frac{691}{4}$	0	$-\frac{5461}{2}$	0	$\frac{929569}{16}$

Now, we will derive from our main theorem 2.1 that the Ibn al-Banna method (exposed in §1) for expressing in a closed form a sum of the type  $P(n) + P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + \dots$ , when  $d \geq 2$  is an integer and  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ , is in fact general. Precisely, we will show that in a such context, it is always possible to express  $P$  in the form  $P(X) = \alpha(X) + \alpha(X-1) + \dots + \alpha(X-d+1)$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  and  $\alpha(-1) = \alpha(-2) = \dots = \alpha(-d+1) = 0$ .

**Theorem 2.7** (Generalizability of the Ibn al-Banna method). *Let  $d \geq 2$  be an integer. Then a real polynomial  $P$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_d$  if and only if there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , satisfying  $\alpha(-1) = \alpha(-2) = \dots = \alpha(-d+1) = 0$ , such that:*

$$P(X) = \alpha(X) + \alpha(X-1) + \dots + \alpha(X-d+1).$$

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  be fixed.

• Suppose that  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$  and let us show that  $P$  is of the form required by the theorem. According to Theorem 2.1, there exists  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  such that:

$$P(X) = \binom{X+d}{d} f(X+d) - \binom{X}{d} f(X).$$

Now, consider  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  defined by:

$$\alpha(X) := \binom{X+d}{d} f(X+d) - \binom{X+d-1}{d} f(X+d-1).$$

So, we have clearly

$$\alpha(-1) = \alpha(-2) = \dots = \alpha(-d+1) = 0.$$

Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(X) + \alpha(X-1) + \dots + \alpha(X-d+1) &= \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \alpha(X-k) \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \left\{ \binom{X-k+d}{d} f(X-k+d) - \binom{X-k+d-1}{d} f(X-k+d-1) \right\}}_{\text{telescopic sum}} \\ &= \binom{X+d}{d} f(X+d) - \binom{X}{d} f(X) \\ &= P(X). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $P$  has the form required by the theorem.

• Conversely, suppose that there is  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , satisfying  $\alpha(-1) = \alpha(-2) = \dots = \alpha(-d+1) = 0$ , such that:

$$P(X) = \alpha(X) + \alpha(X-1) + \dots + \alpha(X-d+1)$$

and let us show that  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ . For a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denoting by  $r_n$  the remainder of the euclidean division of  $n$  by  $d$  (so  $r_n \in \{0, 1, \dots, d-1\}$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(n) + P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + \dots &= P(n) + P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + \dots + P(r_n) \\
 &= \alpha(n) + \alpha(n-1) + \dots + \alpha(n-d+1) \\
 &\quad + \alpha(n-d) + \alpha(n-d-1) + \dots + \alpha(n-2d+1) \\
 &\quad + \alpha(n-2d) + \alpha(n-2d-1) + \dots + \alpha(n-3d+1) \\
 &\quad + \vdots \\
 &\quad + \alpha(r_n) + \alpha(r_n-1) + \dots + \alpha(r_n-d+1) \\
 &= \alpha(n) + \alpha(n-1) + \dots + \alpha(r_n-d+1).
 \end{aligned}$$

But since  $-d+1 \leq r_n-d+1 \leq 0$  and  $\alpha(k) = 0$  for  $k = -1, -2, \dots, -d+1$ , it follows that:

$$P(n) + P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + \dots = \alpha(n) + \alpha(n-1) + \dots + \alpha(0),$$

which is polynomial in  $n$  (since  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ ). This concludes that  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ , as required. The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

## Study of the spaces $\mathcal{E}_d$ through formal power series and generalization

We briefly present in what follows a new approach (using convolution products and formal power series) to studying the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_d$  ( $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) and expressing in a closed form the sums of the type:

$$P(n) + P(n-d) + P(n-2d) + \dots \quad (P \in \mathbb{R}[X], n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then, we lean on the obtained result in order to generalize the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$ . The results concerning the generalized spaces in question, which are analog to the above results on the  $\mathcal{E}_d$ 's, are given without proofs.

By definition, *the ordinary convolution product* of two real sequences  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the real sequence  $(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , defined by:

$$(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b})_n := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

It is known that the convolution product constitutes a commutative and associative law of composition on the set of the real sequences. In addition, the Dirac delta sequence  $\boldsymbol{\delta} = (\delta_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , defined by:  $\delta_0 = 1$  and  $\delta_n = 0$  ( $\forall n \geq 1$ ) is the neutral element of  $*$ . Finally, every real sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $a_0 \neq 0$  has an inverse element for  $*$ . Further, *the ordinary generating function* of a given real sequence  $\mathbf{u} = (u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is the formal power series defined by:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{u}) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} u_n X^n.$$

When  $\mathbf{a} = (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are two real sequences, we have the fundamental formula:

$$\sigma(\mathbf{a}) \cdot \sigma(\mathbf{b}) = \sigma(\mathbf{a} * \mathbf{b}).$$

Next, for a positive integer  $d$ , let  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(d)} = (\delta_n^{(d)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  denote the real sequence defined by:

$$\delta_n^{(d)} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is a multiple of } d \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

(Notice that  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(1)} \equiv 1$  and  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(+\infty)} = \boldsymbol{\delta}$ ). So, the ordinary generating function of  $\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(d)}$  ( $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ) is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(d)}) &:= \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \delta_n^{(d)} X^n \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ n \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} X^n \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} X^{dk}; \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\sigma(\boldsymbol{\delta}^{(d)}) = \frac{1}{1 - X^d}. \quad (2.8)$$

For simplicity, for  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  and  $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we let  $S_{P,d}$  denote the real sequence of general term  $S_{P,d}(n)$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) defined by:

$$S_{P,d}(n) := P(n) + P(n - d) + P(n - 2d) + \dots$$

(recall that the sum on the right stops at  $P(r)$ , where  $r$  is the remainder of the euclidean division of  $n$  by  $d$ ). So, given  $d$  a positive integer and  $P$  a real polynomial, we have for any natural number  $n$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_{P,d}(n) &:= P(n) + P(n - d) + P(n - 2d) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \delta_k^{(d)} P(n - k) \\ &= \left( \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(d)} * P \right) (n); \end{aligned}$$

hence the fundamental formula of this approach:

$$S_{P,d} = \boldsymbol{\delta}^{(d)} * P. \quad (2.9)$$

We also need for this approach the following well-known theorem which characterizes the ordinary generating functions of polynomial sequences.

**Theorem 1** ([8, Corollary 4.3.1]). *Let  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a non-zero real sequence. Then  $(a_n)_n$  is polynomial in  $n$  if and only if its ordinary generating function is a rational function of the form  $\frac{U(X)}{(1-X)^\alpha}$ , with  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ ,  $\deg U < \alpha$  and  $U(1) \neq 0$ . In addition, in the situation where  $\sigma(a_n) = \frac{U(X)}{(1-X)^\alpha}$  (with the above conditions on  $U$  and  $\alpha$ ), the degree of  $a_n$  (as a polynomial in  $n$ ) is equal to  $(\alpha - 1)$ .*

According to Theorem 1, it is associated to every polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  a unique polynomial  $A_P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  satisfying the identity of formal power series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} P(n)X^n = \frac{A_P(X)}{(1-X)^{\deg P+1}}. \quad (2.10)$$

If, in addition,  $P \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}$  then we have  $A_P \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}$ ,  $A_P(1) \neq 0$  and  $\deg A_P \leq \deg P$ . The correspondence  $P \mapsto A_P$  provides a simple characterization of the property of belonging to a certain space  $\mathcal{E}_d$  for a given real polynomial. This characterization inspires us a generalization of the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$ . First, we have the following proposition:

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $d$  be a positive integer and  $P$  be a real polynomial. Then  $P$  belongs to  $\mathcal{E}_d$  if and only if  $A_P$  is a multiple (in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ) of the polynomial  $(1 + X + X^2 + \dots + X^{d-1})$ .*

*Proof.* The result of the proposition is obvious for  $P = 0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}$ . Suppose for the sequel of this proof that  $P \neq 0_{\mathbb{R}[X]}$  and set  $s := \deg P \in \mathbb{N}$ . By using successively Formulas (2.9), (2.8) and (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(S_{P,d}) &= \sigma(\delta^{(d)} * P) \\ &= \sigma(\delta^{(d)}) \cdot \sigma(P) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-X^d} \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} P(n)X^n \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-X)(1+X+X^2+\dots+X^{d-1})} \cdot \frac{A_P(X)}{(1-X)^{s+1}} \\ &= \frac{A_P(X)}{(1+X+X^2+\dots+X^{d-1})(1-X)^{s+2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

- Suppose that  $A_P$  is a multiple (in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ) of the polynomial  $(1 + X + X^2 + \dots + X^{d-1})$  and let  $U(X) := \frac{A_P(X)}{1+X+X^2+\dots+X^{d-1}} \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ . Then  $\deg U = \deg A_P - (d - 1) \leq \deg A_P \leq \deg P = s$  and  $U(1) = \frac{A_P(1)}{d} \neq 0$ . Next, Formula (2.11) becomes:  $\sigma(S_{P,d}) = \frac{U(X)}{(1-X)^{s+2}}$ , which implies (according to Theorem 1) that  $S_{P,d}(n)$  is polynomial in  $n$ ; that is  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ .

- Conversely, suppose that  $P \in \mathcal{E}_d$ ; that is  $S_{P,d}(n)$  is polynomial in  $n$ . By Theorem 1, the power series  $\sigma(S_{P,d})$  has the form:

$$\sigma(S_{P,d}) = \frac{U(X)}{(1-X)^\alpha},$$

where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $U \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ ,  $\deg U < \alpha$  and  $U(1) \neq 0$ . It follows (according to (2.11)) that:

$$\frac{U(X)}{(1-X)^\alpha} = \frac{A_P(X)}{(1+X+X^2+\dots+X^{d-1})(1-X)^{s+2}}.$$

Thus

$$(1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{d-1})(1 - X)^{s+2}U(X) = (1 - X)^\alpha A_P(X).$$

This shows in particular that  $(1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{d-1}) \mid (1 - X)^\alpha A_P(X)$ . But since  $(1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{d-1})$  is coprime with  $(1 - X)^\alpha$  (because  $(1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{d-1})$  does not vanish at  $X = 1$ ), it follows (according to Gauss's lemma) that  $(1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{d-1}) \mid A_P(X)$ , as required. This completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

By carefully observing the proof of Proposition 2.8, we see that the polynomial  $(1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{d-1})$  which appears there has no particularity apart from the fact that it does not vanish at  $X = 1$ . This inspires us a generalization of the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$  in the following way.

**Definition 2.9** (Generalization of the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$ ). Let  $D \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  such that  $D(1) \neq 0$ . We define  $\mathcal{E}_D$  as the set of all polynomials  $P \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  for which the polynomial  $A_P$  is a multiple of  $D$  (in  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ ).

Notice that for all positive integer  $d$ , we have (according to Proposition 2.8)

$$\mathcal{E}_d = \mathcal{E}_{(1+X+X^2+\cdots+X^{d-1})}.$$

Further, we show quite easily that for all  $D \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , with  $D(1) \neq 1$ , the set  $\mathcal{E}_D$  constitutes a  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear subspace of  $\mathbb{R}[X]$ . A basis of  $\mathcal{E}_D$  (as a  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space) is specified by the following theorem, the proof of which (left to the reader's imagination) mainly uses Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $d$  be a positive integer and  $D$  be a real polynomial of degree  $(d - 1)$  such that  $D(1) \neq 0$ . Let  $h \in \mathbb{R}[X]$  defined by the formal power series identity:*

$$\frac{D(X)}{(1 - X)^\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} h(n)X^n.$$

*Then, the family of polynomials  $(h, h * 1, h * X, h * X^2, \dots)$  constitutes a basis for the  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $\mathcal{E}_D$ .*  $\square$

*Remark 2.11.* We can derive our main theorem 2.1 on the spaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$  from the general theorem 2.10 as follows.

- First, show that we have for all positive integer  $d$ :

$$\frac{1 + X + X^2 + \cdots + X^{d-1}}{(1 - X)^d} = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \left( \binom{n+d}{d} - \binom{n}{d} \right) X^n.$$

- (Less easy!). Show the following statement:

*For all positive integer  $d$  and all polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , there exists a unique polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{R}[X]$ , which vanishes at  $(-1)$ , such that:*

$$\left( \binom{n+d}{d} - \binom{n}{d} \right) * f(n) = \binom{n+d}{d} g(n+d) - \binom{n}{d} g(n).$$

*In addition, the correspondence  $f \mapsto g$  from  $\mathbb{R}[X]$  to  $\{g \in \mathbb{R}[X] : g(-1) = 0\}$  is bijective.*



We finally derive from Theorem 2.10 the below corollary, generalizing Corollary 2.3.

**Corollary 2.12.** *In the context of Theorem 2.10, we have*

$$\mathcal{E}_D \oplus \mathbb{R}_{d-2}[X] = \mathbb{R}[X].$$

*In particular, we have*

$$\text{codim}_{\mathbb{R}[X]}\mathcal{E}_D = d - 1. \quad \square$$

## References

- [1] M. ABALLAGH. Raf al-hijab d'Ibn al-Banna: édition critique, traduction, étude philosophique et analyse mathématique. Thèse de l'université Paris I, 1988.
- [2] J. BERNOULLI. *Ars Conjectandi*, Thurneysen Brothers, Bâle, 1713.
- [3] L. COMTET. *Advanced Combinatorics. The Art of Finite and Infinite Expansions*, revised and enlarged ed., *D. Reidel Publ. Co.*, Dordrecht, 1974.
- [4] B. FARHI. A new generalization of the Genocchi numbers and its consequence on the Bernoulli polynomials, preprint, 2020. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.01969>.
- [5] B. FARHI. Arithmetic properties of the Genocchi numbers and their generalization, preprint, 2021. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.07890>.
- [6] A. GENOCCHI. Intorno all'espressioni generali di numeri Bernoulliani, *Annali di scienze mat. e fisiche, compilati da Barnaba Tortolini*, **3** (1852), p. 395-405.
- [7] N. NIELSEN. *Traité élémentaire des nombres de Bernoulli*, *Gauthier-Villars*, Paris, 1923.
- [8] R.P. STANLEY. *Enumerative combinatorics*, vol. 1, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* **49**, *Cambridge Univ. Press*, Cambridge, 2011.