

Tracing Origins: Coref-aware Machine Reading Comprehension

Baorong Huang^{1,#}, Zhuosheng Zhang^{2,3,4,#}, Hai Zhao^{2,3,4,*}

¹Institute of Corpus Studies and Applications, Shanghai International Studies University

²Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

³Key Laboratory of Shanghai Education Commission for Intelligent Interaction and Cognitive Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

⁴MoE Key Lab of Artificial Intelligence, AI Institute, Shanghai Jiao Tong University

huangbaorong2000@163.com, zhangzs@sjtu.edu.cn, zhaohai@cs.sjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

Machine reading comprehension is a heavily-studied research and test field for evaluating new pre-trained models and fine-tuning strategies, and recent studies have enriched the pre-trained models with syntactic, semantic and other linguistic information to improve the performance of the model. In this paper, we imitated the human’s reading process in connecting the anaphoric expressions and explicitly leverage the coreference information to enhance the word embeddings from the pre-trained model, in order to highlight the coreference mentions that must be identified for coreference-intensive question answering in QUOREF, a relatively new dataset that is specifically designed to evaluate the coreference-related performance of a model. We used an additional BERT layer to focus on the coreference mentions, and a Relational Graph Convolutional Network to model the coreference relations. We demonstrated that the explicit incorporation of the coreference information in fine-tuning stage performed better than the incorporation of the coreference information in training a pre-trained language models.

1 Introduction

Machine reading comprehension (MRC), a task that automatically identifies the candidate answering from some context for the given questions, is widely used in information retrieving, search engines, etc. Several datasets on MRC that limited the answer to one single word or phrase are compiled, including TREC (Voorhees and Harman, 2003), SQuAD (Rajpurkar et al., 2018), NewsQA (Trischler et al., 2017), SearchQA (Dunn et al., 2017), and QuAC (Choi et al., 2018), and intensive efforts were made to surpass the human performance on these datasets, including the pre-trained models (Devlin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019; Yang

Context: Frankie Bono, a mentally disturbed hitman from Cleveland, comes back to his hometown in New York City during Christmas week to kill a middle-management mobster, Troiano. ...**First he follows his target to select the best possible location, but opts to wait until Troiano isn’t being accompanied by his bodyguards.** ... Losing his nerve, Frankie calls up his employers to tell them he wants to quit the job. **Unsympathetic, the supervisor tells him he has until New Year’s Eve to perform the hit.**

Question:What is the first name of the person who has until New Year’s Eve to perform a hit?

Answer: [he](#) ->[Frankie](#)

Question:What is the first name of the person who follows their target to select the best possible location? **Answer:** [he](#) ->[Frankie](#)

Table 1: Sample from Quoref: coreference resolution is required to extract the correct answer.

et al., 2019) or the ensemble fined models outperforming the human, in particular SQuAD (Lan et al., 2020; Yamada et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020b).

Human texts, especially long texts, are abound in deictic and anaphoric expressions that refer to the entities in the same text. These deictic and anaphoric expressions, in particular, constrains the generalization of the models trained without explicit awareness of the coreference. QUOREF dataset (Dasigi et al., 2019) is specifically designed to validate the performance of the models in coreferential reasoning, in that “78% of the manually analyzed questions cannot be answered without coreference” (Dasigi et al., 2019). The example in Table 1 shows that the answers to the two questions cannot be directly retrieved from the sentences due to the anaphoric pronoun **he** that refers to the antecedent **Frankie**. This coreference resolution is required to achieve the task in machine reading com-

* Corresponding author. # Equal contribution.

prehension in the SQuAD-style QUOREF dataset.

Pre-trained models, including BERT QA, RoBERTa QA and XLNet QA, that were pre-trained through self-supervised language modeling objectives like masked language modeling, perform rather poorly in the QUOREF dataset. We argue that the pre-trained models did learn the background knowledge for coreference resolution but didn't learn adequately the coreference information required for the coreference-intensive reading comprehension tasks because in the human reading process, "anaphoric resolution requires a reader to perform a text-connecting task across textual units by successfully linking an appropriate antecedent (among several prior antecedents) with a specific anaphoric referent (Pretorius, 2005)" and the direct instruction on anaphoric resolution elevated the readers' comprehension of the text (Baumann, 1986), and the pre-trained language models only captured the semantic representations of the words and sentences, and didn't explicitly perform such text-connecting in the specific coreference-intensive reading comprehension task, thus they didn't learn enough knowledge to solve the problems based on the simple self-supervised language modeling tasks during pre-training.

Explicitly injecting external knowledge such as linguistics and knowledge graph entities, has been shown effective to broaden the scope of the pre-trained models' capacity, which are often known as X-aware pre-trained models (Zhang et al., 2020a; Liu et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2021). It is plausible that we may imitate the anaphoric resolution process in human's anaphoric resolution and explicitly made the text-connecting task in our fine-tuning stage.

As an important medium to reflect the relationship between words or phrases, coreference resolution that clusters the mentions of the same entity within a given text is an active field in NLP (Kirstain et al., 2021; Joshi et al., 2020), with neural networks taking the lead in the coreference resolution challenges. The incorporation of the coreference resolution results in the pre-training to obtain the coref-informed pre-trained models, such as CorefBERT and CorefRoBERTa (Ye et al., 2020), had shown positive improvements on the QUOREF dataset, a dataset that is specially designed for measuring the models' coreference capability, but were still considerably below the human performance.

In this paper, we made a different attempt to

solve the coreference resolution to complete the anaphoric resolution process in the reading comprehension. We proposed a fine-tuned coref-aware that directly instructed the model to learn the coreference information by connecting the anaphoric expression clusters. Our model can be roughly divided into four major components: 1) pre-trained model component. We used the contextualized representations from the pre-trained models as the token embeddings for the downstream reading comprehension tasks. 2) coreference resolution component. NeuralCoref, an extension to the Spacy, was applied here to extract the mention cluster from the passage. 3) relation-enhanced graph-attention network. We used a graph neural network to obtain the graph representation of the context that enhanced the relations among the coreference clusters. 4) fusing layers. We applied three methods in incorporating the coreference knowledge: additive attention (Britz et al., 2017), dot product attention and GNN (Graph Neural Network)+auto-regressive layer.

In this paper, we demonstrated that by simulating the human behavior in explicitly connecting the anaphoric expressions to the antecedent entities and fusing the coreference knowledge into the model, our performance surpassed that of the pre-trained coref-models on the QUOREF dataset.

2 Background and related work

Recent studies on machine reading comprehension mainly relies on the neural network approaches. Before the prevalence of the pre-trained models, the main focus was to guide and fuse the attentions between questions and paragraphs in their own models, in order to gain better global and attended representation (Huang et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2018; Wang et al., 2018).

After the advent of the BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), there were two trends in solving the machine reading comprehension. The first trend was to develop better pre-trained models that captured the representation of contexts and questions (Liu et al., 2019; Yang et al., 2019; Lewis et al., 2020), and more datasets on question answering were compiled, including NewsQA (Trischler et al., 2017), SearchQA (Dunn et al., 2017), and QuAC (Choi et al., 2018) to increase the difficulty in this task. Efforts had also been made on enriching the pre-trained models with specific syntactic/semantic information (Ye et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020b). Another trend was to fine-tune the pre-trained model




Figure 1: Coref-aware Machine Reading Comprehension Model

and added additional layers to incorporate task-specific information to gain better representation, in particular the coreference information (Ouyang et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2021).

3 Coref-aware Machine Reading Comprehension

Our model consists of four parts, namely, pre-trained models, coreference resolution, graph encoder and fusing layer, as shown in Figure 1. Context in the machine reading comprehension task is first processed by coreference resolution model to explicitly identify the underlying coreference clusters. Then the clusters are processed into a coreference matrix that labels the individual cluster. Meanwhile, the context are tokenized by the tokenizer defined in the pre-trained model to retrieve the embeddings for each token. Our model used the coreference matrix to construct a graph neural network with the edges corresponding to the coreference relations. The graph representation in the graph neural network then concatenated with the embeddings of the context, and finally fed into the classifier to calculate the start/end span of the question.

3.1 Coreference Resolution

Coreference resolution is the process that identifies the expressions that refers to the same entity, clusters them together as coreference clusters, and lo-

icates their start positions. For example, after coreference resolution for the text *"Losing his nerve, Frankie calls up his employers to tell them he wants to quit the job."*, we obtained two mention clusters *[Frankie: [his, Frankie, his, he], his employers: [his employers, them]]*, as shown in Figure 2.

As pre-trained models use subwords in their tokenization, for the input sequence $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ of length n , the words $W = \{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ obtained from the coreference tokenization are mapped to the corresponding subwords (tokens) $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$ from the tokenizer in the pre-trained models, with one word contains one or more than one subwords. Then we constructed a coreference array with the following rule:

$$coref(i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if tokens}[i] \in S_m, \\ n & \text{if tokens}[i] \notin S_m, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where i is the position of the token, S_m is a set of all tokens in the coreference mentions, n is the order number of the mention cluster and $n \geq 1$. Tokens in the same mention cluster have the same order number n in the coreference array.

3.2 Graph Neural Network

We used the standard relational graph convolutional network (RGCN) (Sejr Schlichtkrull et al., 2018) to obtain the graph representation of the context enriched with coreference information. We used the coreference matrix and the word




Figure 2: Coreference Resolution

embeddings to construct a directed and labeled graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{R})$, with nodes(word) $v_i \in \mathcal{V}$, edges(relations) $(v_i, r, v_j) \in \mathcal{E}$, where $r \in \mathcal{R}$ is one of the two relation types (1 indicates coreference relation; 2 indicates global relation), as shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Coreference Graph

The constructed graph is then fed into the RGCN, with the differentiable message passing and the basis decomposition to reduce model parameter size and prevent overfitting:

$$h_i^{l+1} = \sigma(W_0^{(l)} h_i^{(l)} + \sum_{r \in \mathcal{R}} \sum_{j \in N_i^r} \frac{1}{c_{i,r}} W_r^{(l)} h_j^{(l)}),$$

$$W_r^{(l)} = \sum_{b=1}^B a_{rb}^{(l)} V_b^{(l)},$$

(2)

where N_i^r denotes the set of neighbor indices of node i under the relation $r \in \mathcal{R}$, $c_{i,r}$ is the normalization constant, and $W_r^{(l)}$ is a linear combination of basis transformation $V_b^{(l)}$ with coefficient $a_{rb}^{(l)}$.

3.3 Coreference-enhanced Attention

In addition to the Graph Neural Network (GNN) method, we also explored the possibility of using the self-attention mechanism (Vaswani et al., 2017) to explicitly add a BERT layer, incorporate the coreference information into the attention heads and guide the model to identify the mentions in the cluster as the same entity.

We used two methods to fuse the coreference information and the original embeddings

from the pre-trained model: additive attention fusing and dot product attention fusing (multiplication). Given the coreference array $A = \{m_1, 0, m_1, m_2, 0, m_2, m_3, 0, m_3, m_1 \dots\}$, where m_n denotes the n th mention cluster, and 0 denotes no mentions, the enriched attention for additive attention fusing is formulated as:

$$Attention(Q, K, V) = Softmax\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} || A\right)V,$$

$$head_i = Attention(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V),$$

(3)

where Q, K, V are the query, key and value respectively, d_k is the dimension of the keys, and W_i is trainable parameter. For dot product (multiplication) fusing, it is formulated as:

$$Attention(Q, K, V) = Softmax\left(\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}} \odot A\right)V,$$

$$head_i = Attention(QW_i^Q, KW_i^K, VW_i^V),$$

(4)

where we calculate the dot product of $\frac{QK^T}{\sqrt{d_k}}$ and the coreference array A .

3.4 Integration

A machine reading comprehension task expects the model to output the start and end positions of the answer. We fuse the hidden state of nodes v_i in the last layer of RCGN and the embeddings from the pre-trained models and calculate the start/end positions of the answer.

$$E = FC(E_{prLM} || E_{gcn}),$$

$$P_s = argmax(softmax(W_s S)),$$

(5)

where E_{prLM} denotes the embeddings from the pre-trained language model, E_{gcn} denotes the embeddings from the graph encoder, P_s denotes the predicted start positions, W_s denotes the weight matrix and S denotes the text feature. Following the practice of Ye et al. (2020) in handling multiple answers for the same question, we use the cross

entropy to calculate the losses for each answer if the question has multiple answers:

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_n &= FC(E_{prLM}, n), \\
 L_s &= \sum_i^n H(p_s i, q_s i), \\
 L_e &= \sum_i^n H(p_e i, q_e i), \\
 L_{total} &= avg(L_s + L_e + H(L_n, n)),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6}$$

where n denotes the answer count, L_n denotes the loss in predicting the answer count, L_s denotes the total loss of start positions, L_e denotes the total loss of end positions and L_{total} denotes the combined total loss.

4 Experiments

4.1 Model Settings

We developed three models based on the sequence-to-sequence Transformer architecture. The pre-trained RoBERTa-large was used as the base model and then we used the following three methods to fine-tune it: 1) Coref-GNN: feeding the coreference information into a GNN and then fuse the representations; 2) Coref-ConcatAtt: concatenate the coreference information with the self-attention; 3) Coref-MultiAtt: calculate the dot product of the coreference information with the self-attention. We used the results for CorefRoBERTa (Ye et al., 2020) as our base lines.

4.2 Setup

Our coreference resolution was implemented in Spacy (Honnibal and Montani, 2017) and Neural-Coref. NeuralCoref is an extension for Spacy that is trained on the OntoNotes coreference resolution dataset, which identifies the coreference clusters in the text as mentions, and locates their start positions.

The neural network implementation was implemented in PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) and Hugging Face Transformers (Wolf et al., 2020). We used the embeddings of the pre-trained language models, with the relational graph convolutional network implemented in Deep Graph Library (DGL) (Wang et al., 2020). We used Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015) as our optimizer, and the learning-rate was $\{1e-5, 2e-5, 3e-5\}$. We trained each model for $\{4, 6\}$ epochs and selected the best checkpoints on the development dataset with Exact match and F1

Model	Dev		Test	
	EM	F1	EM	F1
QANet*	34.41	38.26	34.17	38.90
QANet + BERT* _{BASE}	43.09	47.38	42.41	47.20
BERT ⁺ _{BASE}	61.29	67.25	61.37	68.56
CorefBERT ⁺ _{BASE}	66.87	72.27	66.22	72.96
BERT ⁺ _{LARGE}	67.91	73.82	67.24	74.00
CorefBERT ⁺ _{LARGE}	70.89	76.56	70.67	76.89
RoBERTa ⁺ _{LARGE}	74.15	81.05	75.56	82.11
CorefRoBERTa ⁺ _{LARGE}	74.94	81.71	75.80	82.81
Coref _{GNN}	79.32	85.93	-	-
Coref _{ConcatAtt}	79.65	85.84	-	-
Coref _{MultiAtt}	80.06	86.09	-	-

Table 2: Exact Match and F1 scores of baselines and our proposed models. Results with *, + are from Dasigi et al. (2019) and Ye et al. (2020) respectively.

scores. All experiments were run on two TITAN RTX GPU, each with 24GB memory.

4.3 Tasks and Datasets

Our evaluation was performed on the QUOREF dataset (Dasigi et al., 2019). The dataset contains a train set with 3,771 paragraphs and 19,399 questions, and a validation set with 454 paragraphs and 2,418 questions. The test set is not publicly available for evaluation.

4.4 Results

We quantitatively evaluated the three methods and reported the standard metrics: exact match score (EM) and word-level F1-score (F1) (Rajpurkar et al., 2016).

As shown in Table 2, compared with the base model CorefRoBERTa, the performance of our models improved significantly. In particular, Coref_{MultiAtt} performed best with 5.12%, 4.38% improvements in Exact Match and F1 score respectively. Coref_{GNN} and Coref_{ConcatAtt} also showed consistent improvements.

5 Analysis

5.1 Ablation Study

As shown in Table 2, compared with RoBERTa_{LARGE}, our methods added only a component that explicitly incorporated the coreference information, and the three methods we used all exhibited considerable improvements over the base lines. Compared with RoBERTa_{LARGE}, Coref_{ConcatAtt} and the Coref_{MultiAtt} added a BERT layer, which added over 12M parameters.

Context (Abbreviated)	Coref-resolved Context (Abbreviated)	Question	Prediction (RoBERTa)	Prediction (RoBERTa _{GNN})	Golden
Ross is the child of Trish and Heroin Bob... he also begrudgingly goes on a road trip to a punk rock concert with his only friend, Crash, as well as Crash's friend Penny.	Ross is the child of Trish and Heroin Bob...Ross also begrudgingly goes on a road trip to a punk rock concert with Ross only friend, Crash, as well as Crash's friend Penny.	What is the name of the friend of Heroin Bob's son?	Penny	Crash	Crash
After the song was completed, they wanted to play it to Rihanna, but Blanco was skeptical about the reaction towards the song because of its slow sound. After StarGate played it to her, they called Blanco from London and told him that she liked the song: 'She's flippin' out.	After the song was completed, the keyboards wanted to play the song to Rihanna, but Benny Blanco was skeptical about the reaction towards the song because of the song slow sound. After StarGate played it to Rihanna, the keyboards called Benny Blanco from London and told Benny Blanco that Rihanna liked the song: "Rihanna's flippin' out.	Who liked a song?	Blanco	Rihanna	Rihanna

Table 3: Comparison of the Predictions for Two Questions in QUOREF

Context (Abbreviated)	Coref-resolved Context (Abbreviated)	Question	Prediction	Golden
West Point cadet Rockwell "Rocky" Gilman is called before a hearing brought after an influential cadet, Raymond Denmore, Jr., is forced to leave the academy...Denmore's attorney, Lew Proctor, attacking the academy and its Honor Code system, declares that Gilman is unfit and possibly criminally liable.	West Point cadet Rockwell "Rocky" Gilman is called before a hearing brought after an influential cadet, Raymond Denmore, Jr., is forced to leave the academy...Denmore's attorney, Lew Proctor, attacking the academy and its Honor Code system, declares that Rockwell "Rocky" Gilman is unfit and possibly criminally liable.	Who's honor code system does Proctor attack?	West Point	the academy
Following a career hiatus that reignited her creativity, Beyoncé was inspired to create a record with a basis in traditional rhythm and blues that stood apart from contemporary popular music...Severing professional ties with father and manager Mathew Knowles , Beyoncé eschewed the music of her previous releases	Following a career hiatus that reignited her creativity, American singer Beyoncé was inspired to create a record with a basis in traditional rhythm and blues that stood apart from contemporary popular music...Severing professional ties with father and manager Mathew Knowles , American singer Beyoncé eschewed the music of her previous releases	What is the last name of the person who went on a career hiatus?	Beyoncé	Knowles

Table 4: Errors in Predictions for Two Questions in QUOREF

For the Coref_{GNN} method, we added one hidden layer in GNN and two linear layers to convert the feature dimensions, with around 68.7K params in total. Our predictions are that intuitively with more focuses on the coreference clues, the models performs better on the task that requires intensive coreference resolution, as we had explicitly increased the attention weights to connect the words in the same coreference mention clusters. However, the overall performance of the models is also limited by the performance of the coreference component we use.

5.2 Case studies

To understand the model's performance beyond the automated metrics, we analyse our predicted answers qualitatively. Table 3 compares the representative answers predicted by our models and RoBERTa. These examples demonstrate that, enhancing with the coreference information by connecting the anaphoric expression with its antecedents, such as the connection from **his** to **Ross** in the first example and the connection from **she** to **Rihanna** in the second example, our model accurately predicts the entity name among several names in the context, which the RoBERTa model fails to uncover.

5.3 Error analysis

To understand why the model fails to predict the correct answer, we analyse several error cases. Table 4 shows two types of errors. The first one is caused because the coreference resolution model fails to connect **its** with the antecedents, despite that the second Gilman is correctly connected to Rockwell "Rocky" Gilman and resolved accordingly. The second one is more complicated, which shows that our models fails to perform relatively long-chained reasoning. To correctly answer the second question, it requires that the model should understand the fact that Mathew Knowles is the father of Beyoncé and Beyoncé's last name is the same as her father's.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we presented intuitive methods to solve conference-intensive machine reading comprehension tasks by following the reading process of human that connects anaphoric expressions with explicit instructions. We demonstrated that our fine-tuned methods were superior to the pre-trained models that incorporated the coreference information in the pre-trained stage. As the fine-tuned methods relied on the coreference resolution supplied by other scholars, their performance was also constrained by the coreference resolution models. In addition, we introduced the GNN-based coreference graph that demonstrated promising comparable performance with other two methods, which could be enriched with more edge types to imitate the human reasoning in the future.

References

- James Baumann. 1986. [Teaching third-grade students to comprehend anaphoric relationships: The application of a direct instruction model](#). *Reading Research Quarterly - READ RES QUART*, 21.
- Denny Britz, Anna Goldie, Minh-Thang Luong, and Quoc Le. 2017. [Massive exploration of neural machine translation architectures](#). In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1442–1451, Copenhagen, Denmark. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Eunsol Choi, He He, Mohit Iyyer, Mark Yatskar, Wentaoh Yih, Yejin Choi, Percy Liang, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2018. [QuAC: Question answering in context](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2174–2184, Brussels, Belgium. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pradeep Dasigi, Nelson F. Liu, Ana Marasović, Noah A. Smith, and Matt Gardner. 2019. [Quoref: A reading comprehension dataset with questions requiring coreferential reasoning](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 5925–5932, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. [BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 4171–4186, Minneapolis, Minnesota. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Matthew Dunn, Levent Sagun, Mike Higgins, V. Ugur Guney, Volkan Cirik, and Kyunghyun Cho. 2017. [Searchqa: A new q&a dataset augmented with context from a search engine](#).
- Matthew Honnibal and Ines Montani. 2017. spaCy 2: Natural language understanding with Bloom embeddings, convolutional neural networks and incremental parsing. To appear.
- Minghao Hu, Yuxing Peng, Zhen Huang, Xipeng Qiu, Furu Wei, and Ming Zhou. 2018. [Reinforced mnemonic reader for machine reading comprehension](#). In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2018, July 13-19, 2018, Stockholm, Sweden*, pages 4099–4106. ijcai.org.
- Hsin-Yuan Huang, Chenguang Zhu, Yelong Shen, and Weizhu Chen. 2018. [Fusionnet: Fusing via fully-aware attention with application to machine comprehension](#). In *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018, Vancouver, BC, Canada, April 30 - May 3, 2018, Conference Track Proceedings*. OpenReview.net.
- Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Yinhan Liu, Daniel S. Weld, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Omer Levy. 2020. [SpanBERT: Improving pre-training by representing and predicting spans](#). *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:64–77.
- Diederik P. Kingma and Jimmy Ba. 2015. [Adam: A method for stochastic optimization](#). In *3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings*.
- Yuval Kirstain, Ori Ram, and Omer Levy. 2021. [Coreference resolution without span representations](#). In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the*

- 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 14–19, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Avinash Kumar, Vishnu Teja Narapareddy, Pranjali Gupta, Veerubhotla Aditya Srikanth, Lalita Bhanu Murthy Neti, and Aruna Malapati. 2021. Adversarial and auxiliary features-aware bert for sarcasm detection. In *8th ACM IKDD CODS and 26th COMAD*, pages 163–170.
- Zhenzhong Lan, Mingda Chen, Sebastian Goodman, Kevin Gimpel, Piyush Sharma, and Radu Soricut. 2020. **ALBERT: A lite BERT for self-supervised learning of language representations**. In *8th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2020, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, April 26-30, 2020*. OpenReview.net.
- Mike Lewis, Yinhan Liu, Naman Goyal, Marjan Ghazvininejad, Abdelrahman Mohamed, Omer Levy, Veselin Stoyanov, and Luke Zettlemoyer. 2020. **BART: Denoising sequence-to-sequence pre-training for natural language generation, translation, and comprehension**. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 7871–7880, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Tao Liu, Xin Wang, Chengguo Lv, Ranran Zhen, and Guohong Fu. 2020. **Sentence matching with syntax- and semantics-aware BERT**. In *Proceedings of the 28th International Conference on Computational Linguistics*, pages 3302–3312, Barcelona, Spain (Online). International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Yinhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. 2019. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. *ArXiv*, abs/1907.11692.
- Zhengyuan Liu, Ke Shi, and Nancy F. Chen. 2021. **Coreference-aware dialogue summarization**.
- Siru Ouyang, Zhuosheng Zhang, and Hai Zhao. 2021. **Dialogue graph modeling for conversational machine reading**. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 3158–3169, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Francisco Massa, Adam Lerer, James Bradbury, Gregory Chanan, Trevor Killeen, Zeming Lin, Natalia Gimelshein, Luca Antiga, Alban Desmaison, Andreas Köpf, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Martin Raison, Alykhan Tejani, Sasank Chilamkurthy, Benoit Steiner, Lu Fang, Junjie Bai, and Soumith Chintala. 2019. **Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library**. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pages 8024–8035.
- Elizabeth Pretorius. 2005. **English as a second language learner differences in anaphoric resolution: Reading to learn in the academic context**. *Applied Psycholinguistics*, 26:521 – 539.
- Pranav Rajpurkar, Robin Jia, and Percy Liang. 2018. **Know what you don’t know: Unanswerable questions for SQuAD**. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 784–789, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pranav Rajpurkar, Jian Zhang, Konstantin Lopyrev, and Percy Liang. 2016. **SQuAD: 100,000+ questions for machine comprehension of text**. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 2383–2392, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Michael Sejr Schlichtkrull, Thomas N. Kipf, Peter Bloem, Rianne van den Berg, Ivan Titov, and Max Welling. 2018. **Modeling relational data with graph convolutional networks**. In *2018 European Semantic Web Conference*, pages 593–607. Springer, Cham.
- Adam Trischler, Tong Wang, Xingdi Yuan, Justin Harris, Alessandro Sordani, Philip Bachman, and Kaheer Suleman. 2017. **NewsQA: A machine comprehension dataset**. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Workshop on Representation Learning for NLP*, pages 191–200, Vancouver, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N. Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. **Attention is all you need**. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 30: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2017, December 4-9, 2017, Long Beach, CA, USA*, pages 5998–6008.
- Ellen M Voorhees and Donna Harman. 2003. Overview of trec 2003. In *Trec*, pages 1–13.
- Minjie Wang, Da Zheng, Zihao Ye, Quan Gan, Mufei Li, Xiang Song, Jinjing Zhou, Chao Ma, Lingfan Yu, Yu Gai, Tianjun Xiao, Tong He, George Karypis, Jinyang Li, and Zheng Zhang. 2020. **Deep graph library: A graph-centric, highly-performant package for graph neural networks**.
- Wei Wang, Ming Yan, and Chen Wu. 2018. **Multi-granularity hierarchical attention fusion networks for reading comprehension and question answering**. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1705–1714, Melbourne, Australia. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Thomas Wolf, Lysandre Debut, Victor Sanh, Julien Chaumond, Clement Delangue, Anthony Moi, Pierric Cistac, Tim Rault, Remi Louf, Morgan Funtowicz, Joe Davison, Sam Shleifer, Patrick von Platen, Clara Ma, Yacine Jernite, Julien Plu, Canwen Xu, Teven Le Scao, Sylvain Gugger, Mariama Drame, Quentin Lhoest, and Alexander Rush. 2020. [Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing: System Demonstrations*, pages 38–45, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Ikuya Yamada, Akari Asai, Hiroyuki Shindo, Hideaki Takeda, and Yuji Matsumoto. 2020. [LUKE: Deep contextualized entity representations with entity-aware self-attention](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 6442–6454, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Zhilin Yang, Zihang Dai, Yiming Yang, Jaime G. Carbonell, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Quoc V. Le. 2019. [Xlnet: Generalized autoregressive pretraining for language understanding](#). In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 32: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2019, NeurIPS 2019, December 8-14, 2019, Vancouver, BC, Canada*, pages 5754–5764.

Deming Ye, Yankai Lin, Jiaju Du, Zhenghao Liu, Peng Li, Maosong Sun, and Zhiyuan Liu. 2020. [Coreferential Reasoning Learning for Language Representation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing (EMNLP)*, pages 7170–7186, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.

Zhuosheng Zhang, Yuwei Wu, Hai Zhao, Zuchao Li, Shuailiang Zhang, Xi Zhou, and Xiang Zhou. 2020a. [Semantics-aware BERT for language understanding](#). In *The Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2020, The Thirty-Second Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2020, The Tenth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2020, New York, NY, USA, February 7-12, 2020*, pages 9628–9635. AAAI Press.

Zhuosheng Zhang, Junjie Yang, and Hai Zhao. 2020b. [Retrospective reader for machine reading comprehension](#).