

HURWITZ EQUIVALENCE OF REFLECTION FACTORIZATIONS IN G_7

TYLER MINNICK, COLIN PIRILLO, SARAH RACILE, YUEQI WANG

ABSTRACT. We prove that two reflection factorizations of a given element in an exceptional rank-2 complex reflection group of tetrahedral type are Hurwitz-equivalent if and only if they generate the same subgroup and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a group G , a *Hurwitz move* on position i of the factorization $T = (g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$ is defined as the following operation:

$$\sigma_i(T) = (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, \quad g_{i+1}, \quad g_{i+1}^{-1}g_i g_{i+1}, \quad g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n).$$

Two factorizations are *Hurwitz equivalent* if one can be obtained by applying Hurwitz moves to the other, and the set of all factorizations Hurwitz equivalent to T is called the *Hurwitz orbit* of T (see Section 2.3 for more on Hurwitz equivalence).

The main result in this paper is inspired by the following theorem on Hurwitz equivalence between factorizations of elements in dihedral groups.

Theorem 1 (Berger [1, Theorem 2.1]). *Let G be a dihedral group and let T and V be length- n factorizations whose elements belong to G . Then T and V are Hurwitz equivalent if and only if they factor the same element, generate the same subgroup S of G , and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes of S .*

In this paper we study Hurwitz equivalence in finite complex reflection groups, as suggested by Lewis-Reiner [4]. Complex reflection groups are defined as groups generated by elements called reflections (see Section 2.1), and dihedral groups, generated by real-valued reflections, are a special case. Our main theorem shows that Berger's necessary and sufficient conditions for Hurwitz equivalence also hold in G_7 , the largest tetrahedral rank-2 complex reflection group (defined in Section 2.2).

Theorem 2 (Main Theorem). *Let T and V be any two length- n reflection factorizations of elements in G_7 . Then T and V are Hurwitz equivalent if and only if they factor the same element, generate the same subgroup of G_7 , and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes.*

Section 3 contains proofs that the more complicated subgroups of G_7 are what we call *class-searchable*, a property which we then use in Lemma 20 to prove Theorem 2. Section 4 outlines a method to categorize reflection factorizations, creating standard factorizations for each length and class of element being factored.

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank Joel Lewis for his continued mentorship throughout the duration of this project.

2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Complex reflection groups. We begin with some background on complex reflection groups, using the terminology and notation found in Lehrer–Taylor [3].

Let V be a complex vector space. Given a linear transformation $t : V \rightarrow V$, the *fixed space* of t is the set of elements $v \in V$ such that $t(v) = v$. We call t a *reflection* if its fixed space has dimension $\dim(V) - 1$. A finite group G of linear transformations on V is called a *complex reflection group* if it is generated by its subset R of reflections. Further, *reflection subgroups* of G are defined as subgroups of G that are generated by reflections in G . The reflections in a complex reflection group G may be partitioned into conjugacy classes of G , which we call *reflection conjugacy classes* of the group.

Every complex reflection group is a direct product of *irreducible* groups. The irreducibles were classified by Shephard and Todd [6] as the following: every irreducible is either a member of an infinite family $G(m, p, n)$ of complex reflection groups, or one of 34 exceptional groups G_4, \dots, G_{37} . Groups in the infinite family are of the form

$$G(m, p, n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n \times n \text{ monomial matrices whose nonzero entries are} \\ m\text{th roots of unity with product a } \frac{m}{p}\text{th root of unity} \end{array} \right\}$$

for positive integers m, p, n with $p \mid m$.

Let $Z(G)$ denote the center of G . The structure of an exceptional complex reflection group G is called *tetrahedral* if the quotient group $G/Z(G)$ is isomorphic to the alternating group $\text{Alt}(4)$, which represents the rotations of a tetrahedron. The group G_7 is the highest-order tetrahedral exceptional group, and it has reflection subgroups isomorphic to the rest of the tetrahedral exceptional groups.

2.2. The group G_7 . We now describe the structure of G_7 in more detail. The group is generated by three reflections t, u , and s , and is defined [7, Section 5.8] as

$$G_7 = \langle s, t, u \mid s^2 = t^3 = u^3 = 1, stu = ust = tus \rangle.$$

Concretely, we can take these generators to be the matrices

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad t = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} (1 + \sqrt{3}) + (-1 + \sqrt{3})i & (1 + \sqrt{3}) + (-1 + \sqrt{3})i \\ (-1 + \sqrt{3}) - (1 + \sqrt{3})i & (1 - \sqrt{3}) + (1 + \sqrt{3})i \end{bmatrix}, \quad u = t^\top.$$

There are 144 elements in G_7 , 22 of which are reflections. These are partitioned into five reflection conjugacy classes, which we label R_1 , R_1^{-1} , R_2 , R_2^{-1} , and S . The generators s , t , and u belong to S , R_1 , and R_2 respectively. The elements of R_1^{-1} are the inverses of the elements in R_1 , and the same is true for R_2^{-1} and R_2 . The class S contains six reflections of order 2, and the remaining reflection conjugacy classes each have four reflections of order 3.

Each proper reflection subgroup of G_7 is a complex reflection group isomorphic to one of the following groups: the abelian groups \mathbb{Z}_2 , \mathbb{Z}_3 , $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$, the dihedral group $D_{2 \times 4}$, the complex reflection group $G(4, 2, 2)$, or the exceptional complex reflection groups G_4 , G_5 , and G_6 . Figure 2.2 describes the reflection subgroup structure of G_7 .

Each reflection in S generates a copy of \mathbb{Z}_2 , and each reflection in R_1 , R_1^{-1} , R_2 , or R_2^{-1} generates a copy of \mathbb{Z}_3 . This results in eight unique copies of \mathbb{Z}_3 , as the elements in inverse classes will generate the same copies. Next, each pair of inverse elements in $R_1 \cup R_1^{-1}$ commutes with a unique pair of inverses in $R_2 \cup R_2^{-1}$, and four total copies of $\mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$ in G_7 are generated this way. There are three distinct copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ in G_7 , generated by pairing commuting elements in S ; and there are three copies of $D_{2 \times 4}$, generated by combinations of the copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The groups G_4 , G_5 , and G_6 are exceptional complex reflection group with a tetrahedral structure. The group G_4 is generated by $\{t, sts\}$, contains 24 elements, and has a total of 8 reflections each of order 3. These reflections are partitioned into two conjugacy classes R_1 and R_1^{-1} (equal to the conjugacy classes of G_7 with the same name, mentioned above). A second copy of G_4 is generated by $\{u, sus\}$ and has reflection conjugacy classes R_2 and R_2^{-1} .

The group G_5 is generated by $\{t, u\}$ and contains 72 elements, including 16 reflections of order 3. The reflection conjugacy classes of G_5 are R_1 , R_1^{-1} , R_2 , and R_2^{-1} .

The group $G(4, 2, 2)$ is an irreducible complex reflection group generated by $\{s, tst^{-1}, t^{-1}st\}$, and is a member of the infinite family described in Section 2.1. The elements of $G(4, 2, 2)$ are sixteen 2×2 monomial matrices whose nonzero entries are $\pm i$ and ± 1 , such that the nonzero entries multiply to ± 1 . Six of these elements are reflections of order two, split evenly into three reflection conjugacy classes S_1 , S_2 , and S_3 .

The group G_6 is generated by $\{s, t\}$. It contains 48 elements, with 8 reflections of order 3 and 6 reflections of order 2. The reflections of order 3 are partitioned into two conjugacy classes, R_1 , and R_1^{-1} , while the reflections of order 2 are all in the same conjugacy class, S . A second copy of G_6 is generated by $\{s, u\}$, with reflection conjugacy classes S , R_2 , and R_2^{-1} .




FIGURE 1. This picture shows the reflection subgroups of G_7 , with each edge connecting a group to its reflection subgroups. Isomorphic copies of the same group are distinguished by the list of reflection conjugacy classes shown in parentheses. The multiplicities on the dashed edges represent how many distinct copies of the subgroup exist in the parent group.

2.3. Hurwitz moves. Suppose g is an element in a complex reflection group G . A *reflection factorization* of g is a tuple $T = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ of reflections such that $g = r_1 \cdots r_n$. The *length* of the factorization is n . The set $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ generates some subgroup S of G , so we say the factorization T *generates* S . The *multiset of conjugacy classes* of T is the collection of conjugacy classes of S whose elements appear in T , where the multiplicity of each class is the number of elements in T belonging to that class.

Let $T = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$ be a reflection factorization of an element g in a complex reflection group. The *Hurwitz move* σ_i is an operation defined on a position i in T ,

with $1 \leq i < n$, given by

$$\sigma_i(T) = (r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, \quad r_{i+1}, \quad r_{i+1}^{-1}r_i r_{i+1}, \quad r_{i+2}, \dots, r_n).$$

Importantly, $r_{i+1}^{-1}r_i r_{i+1}$ is a reflection, and the product of the reflections in $\sigma_i(T)$ equals g , and so $\sigma_i(T)$ is another reflection factorization of g . Furthermore, r_i and $r_{i+1}^{-1}r_i r_{i+1}$ belong to the same conjugacy class, so the multiset of conjugacy classes is preserved by Hurwitz moves.

The *Hurwitz orbit* of a factorization T is the set of all factorizations which can be produced by applying Hurwitz moves to T . Two factorizations belonging to the same Hurwitz orbit are said to be *Hurwitz equivalent*.

3. CLASS-SEARCHABLE GROUPS AND PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Our main theorem is restated here for convenience and with the added context of the definitions in Section 2.

Theorem 2 (Main Theorem). *Let T and V be any two length- n reflection factorizations of elements in G_7 . Then T and V are Hurwitz equivalent if and only if they factor the same element, generate the same subgroup of G_7 , and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes.*

It is true in general that if T and V are Hurwitz equivalent reflection factorizations with elements in any complex reflection group G , then T and V factor the same element, generate the same subgroup H of G , and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes of H . Therefore, it is only necessary to prove that the converse holds in G_7 . Our proof is by cases based on the subgroup of G_7 generated by T and V . The dihedral case follows from Theorem 1. The abelian case is handled by the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *Suppose G is an abelian group, and let T and V be length- n reflection factorizations which generate G , factor the same element of G , and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes. Then T and V are Hurwitz equivalent.*

Proof. Each element of G belongs to its own conjugacy class, so the multiset of conjugacy classes of T and V determines exactly which elements belong to T and V . Hurwitz moves on pairs of commuting elements simply swap the two elements within the factorization, so the elements of T may be permuted by Hurwitz moves to match those of V . \square

If T and V do not generate an abelian or dihedral group, then they generate either a tetrahedral subgroup of G_7 , or $G(4, 2, 2)$. We now introduce a key component of our proof strategy for these cases.

Definition 4. Let G be a complex reflection group. We say that G is *class-searchable* at length n if the following statement holds: If T is any length- n reflection factorization generating G , and if K is a reflection conjugacy class of G which is represented at least twice in T , then, for all $x \in K$, there exists a factorization T' Hurwitz equivalent to T , such that the last factor of T' is x and the first $k - 1$ factors generate G .

The next five subsections prove that G_4 , G_5 , $G(4, 2, 2)$, G_6 , and G_7 are class-searchable at various lengths. Finally, Lemma 20 in Section 3.6 uses the class-searchable property in an inductive argument. This proof uses low length base cases that may be proved simply by calculation, and the inductive step requires a group to be class-searchable at lengths greater than those calculated. If a group G is class-searchable, two length n factorizations T and V of the same element in G are both equivalent to factorizations with some $x \in K$ in the right-most position. The induction is then invoked by taking two new length $n - 1$ factorizations, the same as T and V respectively, except without the element x . These new factorizations are equivalent by hypothesis, and then it is easy to show that T and V are equivalent.

3.1. Factorizations generating G_4 . We begin with a basic fact about the Hurwitz orbits of length-2 factorizations that generate G_4 .

Proposition 5. *Suppose the reflection factorization $T = (x, y)$ generates G_4 . If x and y belong to the same conjugacy class, then the Hurwitz orbit of T introduces one new reflection from the same conjugacy class. If x and y belong to different conjugacy classes, then two new reflections are introduced in the orbit of T , one from each conjugacy class.*

Proof. Lazreq et al.[2] and our own computations in Sage. □

With this we show that G_4 is class-searchable at lengths 5 or greater.

Proposition 6. *Let T be a length $\ell \geq 5$ reflection factorization generating the subgroup G_4 of G_7 . Let m be the number of reflections in T from conjugacy class R_1 , and n the number from R_1^{-1} . If $m = 0$, then, for each $x \in R_1^{-1}$, there is a factorization V in the Hurwitz orbit of T such that the right-most element of V is x , and the first $\ell - 1$ elements of V generate G_4 . The same statement is true when m is replaced with n and R_1^{-1} is replaced with R_1 . If neither m nor n is 0, then the statement is true when x is any reflection.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on ℓ . The base case $\ell = 5$ was verified by computation. Assume the statement holds when $\ell = k - 1$, with $k \geq 6$, and let T be a length- k reflection factorization. To show that the proposition holds for T , it is enough to produce a factorization T' Hurwitz equivalent to T , such that the last $k - 1$

elements of T' generate G_4 and contain at least one element of each conjugacy class represented in T . The proposition then follows by applying the inductive hypothesis to the length- $(k-1)$ factorization obtained by ignoring the first element of T' .

If $m = 0$ then $n = k$ and $T = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, with $r_i \in R_1^{-1}$ for all i . If r_2, \dots, r_n generate G_4 , then the proposition follows from the inductive hypothesis (let $T' = T$ in the previous paragraph). Otherwise $r_2, \dots, r_n = x$ for some $x \in R_1^{-1}$, because any two distinct reflections from the same conjugacy class generate G_4 . Thus $r_1 \in R_1^{-1} \setminus \{x\}$. One Hurwitz move sends T to the factorization $T' = (x, x^{-1} r_1 x, x, \dots, x)$. But $x^{-1} r_1 x \neq x$, so the last $k-1$ factors of T' generate G_4 , and we are done. The argument so far works if we exchange n and m and exchange R_1^{-1} and R_1 .

Now assume $m, n \neq 0$, and either m or n is 1. If $m = 1$, then we can shift the single reflection from R_1 to position 2 by a series of Hurwitz moves on T , so that T is Hurwitz equivalent to a factorization $(r_1, x, r_2, \dots, r_n)$ with $x \in R_1$ and $r_i \in R_1^{-1}$ for all i . If x, r_2, \dots, r_n generate G_4 , then the proposition follows from the inductive hypothesis. Otherwise r_2, \dots, r_n are all equal to x^{-1} , because any pair of noninverse reflections from different conjugacy classes generate G_4 . Since T generates G_4 , it must be the case that $r_1 \neq x^{-1}$. By Proposition 5, there exist $x' \in R_1$ and $y' \in R_1^{-1}$ with $x' \neq x$ such that

$$(r_1, x, x^{-1}, \dots, x^{-1}) \sim (y', x', x^{-1}, \dots, x^{-1}).$$

Since x', x^{-1} generate G_4 , the proposition follows again from the inductive hypothesis.

Finally, assume $m, n \geq 2$. Using Hurwitz moves we can group the reflections in T by conjugacy class, so that

$$T \sim (r_1, \dots, r_m, s_1, \dots, s_n),$$

with $r_i \in R_1$ and $s_i \in R_1^{-1}$. If $r_2, \dots, r_m, s_1, \dots, s_n$ generate G_4 then the statement follows by the inductive hypothesis. Otherwise, $r_2, \dots, r_m = x$, $s_1, \dots, s_n = x^{-1}$ for some $x \in R_1$, and $r_1 \neq x$. With one Hurwitz move we have

$$T \sim (r_1, x, \dots, x, x^{-1}, \dots, x^{-1}) \sim (x, x^{-1} r_1 x, x, \dots, x, x^{-1}, \dots, x^{-1}).$$

Since $x^{-1} r_1 x \neq x$, the last $k-1$ reflections generate G_4 , and we may invoke the inductive hypothesis. \square

3.2. Factorizations generating G_5 . The exceptional complex reflection group G_5 is treated next. The following proposition shows that G_5 is class-searchable starting at length five.

Proposition 7. *Let T be a reflection factorization of length $\ell \geq 5$ generating G_5 . If at least two reflections from some reflection conjugacy class $K \in \{R_1, R_1^{-1}, R_2, R_2^{-1}\}$ of G_5 appear in T , then for all $x \in K$, there exists a factorization T' , Hurwitz equivalent to T , of the form $(r_1, \dots, r_{\ell-1}, x)$, such that $r_1, \dots, r_{\ell-1}$ generate G_5 .*

Proof. The proof is by induction on ℓ , with base case $\ell = 5$ done by computation. Assume the statement is true when $\ell = k - 1 \geq 5$. Let T be a reflection factorization of length k that generates G_5 , with conjugacy class K represented at least twice in T . Since T generates G_5 , T must contain some reflection a belonging to one of $\{R_1, R_1^{-1}, R_2, R_2^{-1}\} \setminus \{K, K^{-1}\}$ (otherwise T would generate at most a copy of G_4). Move a by Hurwitz moves to the first coordinate of T , and move two representatives of K to the second and third coordinates, producing a factorization $T_1 = (a, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$ with $h_1, h_2 \in K$. Let $T'_1 = (h_1, \dots, h_{k-1})$. If T'_1 generates G_5 , then the proposition follows by the inductive hypothesis. Similarly if the reflections in T'_1 generate an isomorphic copy of G_4 , then the proposition follows by Proposition 6.

If the subgroup of G_5 generated by T'_1 is not isomorphic to G_4 or G_5 , then it is abelian, and h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} commute. But T_1 generates G_5 , so a and h_1 must not commute (noninverse commuting reflections generate $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$). By computing all Hurwitz orbits of length-2 reflection factorizations in G_5 , we have determined that two Hurwitz moves on (a, h_1) give

$$T \sim (a, h_1, \dots, h_{k-1}) \stackrel{\sigma_1^2}{\sim} (a', h'_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1}),$$

where a and a' belong to the same conjugacy class, $h'_1 \in K$, and $h'_1 \neq h_1$. In particular, h'_1 does not commute with h_2, \dots, h_{k-1} , so the subgroup G of G_5 generated by $h'_1, h_2, \dots, h_{k-1}$ is not abelian. Thus G is either G_5 or isomorphic to G_4 . If $G = G_5$, the proposition follows by the inductive hypothesis; if $G \cong G_4$, use Proposition 6. \square

3.3. Factorizations generating $G(4, 2, 2)$. Proposition 8 outlines the groups that are isomorphic to the reflection subgroups of $G(4, 2, 2)$ and how they are generated.

Proposition 8. *Taking reflections from all three conjugacy classes S_1, S_2 , and S_3 will generate the group $G(4, 2, 2)$.*

Proof. The 27 combinations with at least one reflection from each class were proven by calculation through Sage to generate the entire group. \square

The following two propositions give the necessary conditions for the right-most element of a factorization in $G(4, 2, 2)$ to be any desired element t .

Proposition 9. *Let s and r be two reflections in $G(4, 2, 2)$. If s and r are in the same conjugacy class, conjugating s by r will result in s . If they are not in the same class, conjugating s by r will result in the other reflection in the conjugacy class of s .*

Proof. When s and r are in the same class, they commute with each other, and so

$$r^{-1}sr = r^{-1}rs = s.$$

When s and r are not in the same class, the result is proven by direct calculation in Sage. \square

Proposition 10. *Take a factorization T with length $\ell \geq 3$. For any reflection t in $G(4, 2, 2)$, if T contains at least one reflection from each conjugacy class, then there is a factorization in the Hurwitz orbit of T whose right-most element is t .*

Proof. By using Hurwitz moves, group all reflections in the same conjugacy class as t on the right of the factorization. This factorization will be called T' , and is naturally in the Hurwitz orbit of T .

If t is present in T' , it can easily be moved to the right-most position, since elements in the same class commute with each other.

Otherwise, take r to be the left-most reflection in the conjugacy class of t , where r is the k -th element of the factorization, and so (by construction) the $k - 1$ element is not in the same class as r . Use a Hurwitz move to swap the elements in the $k - 1$ and k position, so that r is now in the $k - 1$ position. Then, use another Hurwitz move to swap the elements in the $k - 1$ and k position, and so the k -th element is now t by Proposition 9. Then, t can be moved to the right-most position as all elements to the right of it are in the same class. \square

With the results from Propositions 8 and 10, we may give the conditions under which $G(4, 2, 2)$ is class-searchable.

Proposition 11. *Take a factorization T with length $\ell \geq 4$, with the factorization consisting of at least one element from each conjugacy class. Let r be a reflection in one of the conjugacy classes that appears multiple times. If t is a reflection in the same conjugacy class as r , then there is a factorization whose right-most element is t and whose first $\ell - 1$ elements from the left generate the group $G(4, 2, 2)$ that is in the Hurwitz orbit of T .*

Proof. Use Hurwitz moves to group the reflections in T by conjugacy class, with t as the right-most element, which can be moved there by Proposition 10. Since t is in a conjugacy class with multiple representatives in the factorization, there is still at least one reflection from each conjugacy class in the first $\ell - 1$ elements of T . Therefore, the factorization will generate $G(4, 2, 2)$, and so the statement holds. \square

3.4. Factorizations generating G_6 . The following observation denotes new notation about the conjugacy classes in G_6 .

Observation 12. G_6 's 14 reflections are split into 3 conjugacy classes, R_1 , R_1^{-1} , and S , where R_1^{-1} contains the inverse of each element in R_1 . Let $R' = R_1 \cup R_1^{-1}$.

A similar strategy as that used for the proof of the group $G(4, 2, 2)$ is used to prove that G_6 is class-searchable.

Proposition 13. *Any combination of one or more reflections from R' and one or more from S will generate the entire group G_6 .*

Proof. Proved by calculating the 48 possible combinations of one reflection from R' and one from S through Sage. \square

Proposition 14. *Take a factorization T with length $\ell \geq 3$. For any t in the multiset of conjugacy classes of T , there is a factorization in the Hurwitz orbit of T whose right-most element is t , if at least one of the following is true:*

- (1) T contains at least two elements from S and at least one element from R' .
- (2) T contains at least two elements from R_1 and at least one element from S .
- (3) T contains at least two elements from R_1^{-1} and at least one element from S .

Proof. For each case, the length-3 factorization case is proven by direct calculation in Sage. Then, for the first case, for longer lengths, use Hurwitz moves to place two elements from S and one element from R' into the three right-most positions of the factorization. If elements from both R_1 and R_1^{-1} appear in the factorization, and the desired element to be found in the right-most position is an element from one of these classes, choose an element from the same class as the desired element to appear in the right-most three positions. Then, by using the same Hurwitz moves in the respective length three factorization case, any element in the multiset of T may be found in the right-most position.

The same strategy holds for the second and third cases, by placing the proper elements in the three right-most positions. \square

By combining the results of Propositions 13 and 14, the following describes the conditions under which G_6 is class-searchable.

Proposition 15. *Let T be a reflection factorization with at least two elements in R' and at least two elements in S . Then, there is a factorization whose right-most element is any element t in the multiset of T , and whose first $\ell - 1$ elements from the left generate the group G_6 , that is in the Hurwitz orbit of T .*

Proof. Use Hurwitz moves to group the reflections in T by conjugacy class, with t as the right-most element, which can be moved there by Proposition 14.

If t is in S , there is still at least one reflection from S in the first $\ell - 1$ elements of T . Therefore, since the first $\ell - 1$ elements still includes at least one element from R' and at least one element from S , this smaller factorization generates G_6 , and so the statement holds.

If t is in R' , there is still at least one reflection from R' in the first $\ell - 1$ elements of T . If t is the only element in the factorization from R_1 or R_1^{-1} , the first $\ell - 1$

elements of T still includes at least one element from R' and at least one element from S by hypothesis, and thus in both cases will still generate G_6 . Therefore, the statement holds. \square

3.5. Factorizations generating G_7 . The following observation describes more notation about the conjugacy classes in G_7 .

Observation 16. The 22 reflections in G_7 split into 5 conjugacy classes: R_1 , R_1^{-1} , R_2 , R_2^{-1} , and S , where R_1^{-1} contains the inverses of R_1 and R_2^{-1} contains the inverses of R_2 . Let $R' = R_1 \cup R_1^{-1}$ and $R'' = R_2 \cup R_2^{-1}$.

The following propositions follow the same structure as the $G(4, 2, 2)$ and G_6 sections to prove the conditions where G_7 is class-searchable.

Proposition 17. *Any combination of one or more reflections from each of R' , R'' , and S will generate the entire group G_7 .*

Proof. Proved by calculating the 384 possible combinations of reflections from R' , R'' , and S through Sage. \square

Proposition 18. *Take a factorization T with length $\ell \geq 4$. For any t in the multiset of T , if T contains at least one element from S , R' , and R'' , there is a factorization in the Hurwitz orbit of T whose right-most element is t .*

Proof. At length $\ell = 4$, the proof is done by direct calculation through Sage. For longer lengths, use Hurwitz moves to place four desired elements in the four right-most positions of the factorization as follows: at least one element from S , R' , and R'' , as well as ensuring that at least one of the four elements are from the same conjugacy class as t . Then, by using the same Hurwitz moves as in the respective length $\ell = 4$ case, any t in the multiset of T may be found in the right-most position of the factorization. \square

The following statement describes the conditions under which G_7 is class-searchable.

Proposition 19. *Take a factorization T with length $\ell \geq 4$, with the factorization consisting of at least one element from S , R' , and R'' . Let r be a reflection in one of the subsets that appears multiple times. If t is a reflection in the same subset as r , then there is a factorization whose right-most element is t and whose first $\ell - 1$ elements from the left generate the group G_7 that is in the Hurwitz orbit of T .*

Proof. Use Hurwitz moves to group the reflections in T by conjugacy class, with t as the right-most element, which can be moved there by Proposition 18. Since t is in a subset with multiple representatives in the factorization, there is still at least one reflection from S , R' , and R'' in the first $\ell - 1$ elements of the appropriate

factorization in the Hurwitz orbit of T . Therefore, this factorization generates G_7 , and so the statement holds. \square

3.6. Proof of main theorem. Now that the large reflection subgroups of G_7 have been shown to be class-searchable, we prove our main theorem using the following lemma.

Lemma 20. *Let G be a reflection subgroup of G_7 , and let n be the number of distinct reflection conjugacy classes in G . Suppose there exists an integer $m \geq n$ such that G is class-searchable at lengths greater than m , and that Theorem 2 holds for factorizations of lengths $1, \dots, m$ that generate G . Then Theorem 2 holds for factorizations of any length generating G .*

Proof. We must show that Theorem 2 holds for all factorizations of length greater than m generating G . We proceed by induction: assume Theorem 2 is true in G at factorization lengths $1, \dots, k$ for some $k \geq m$. Let T and V be length $k+1$ reflection factorizations of some element $g \in G$, with identical multisets of conjugacy classes which generate G . Then the proof is complete once we show T and V are Hurwitz equivalent.

Since $k+1 > n$, there exists a reflection conjugacy class R of G such that R is represented at least twice in both T and V . Since G is class-searchable at length $k+1$, it follows that T is Hurwitz equivalent to some factorization (r_1, \dots, r_k, x) and V is Hurwitz equivalent to (s_1, \dots, s_k, x) , where $x \in R$ and $\langle r_i \rangle = \langle s_i \rangle = G$. Now (r_1, \dots, r_k) and (s_1, \dots, s_k) both factor gx^{-1} , generate G , and share the same multiset of conjugacy classes. By assumption, Theorem 2 applies to length k factorizations of elements in G , so $(r_1, \dots, r_k) \sim (s_1, \dots, s_k)$. Thus

$$T \sim (r_1, \dots, r_k, x) \sim (s_1, \dots, s_k, x) \sim V. \quad \square$$

We now have all the necessary results to complete a proof of our main theorem.

Theorem 2 (Main Theorem). *Let T and V be any two length- n reflection factorizations of elements in G_7 . Then T and V are Hurwitz equivalent if and only if they factor the same element, generate the same subgroup of G_7 , and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes.*

Proof. Let G be the reflection subgroup of G_7 generated by T and V . If G is isomorphic to the dihedral group $D_{2 \times 4}$, then the theorem follows from Theorem 1. If G is abelian, we may invoke Proposition 3.

For the remaining possible reflection subgroups G of G_7 , we use Lemma 20. The group G_4 has 2 reflection conjugacy classes, it is class-searchable at lengths greater than 4 by Proposition 6, and we have determined by computation that Theorem 2 holds for factorizations of length up to 4 which generate G_4 . Thus, by Lemma 20, the theorem holds for factorizations of any length generating G_4 .

Similarly, Propositions 7, 11, 15, and 19 respectively show that if G is G_5 , $G(4, 2, 2)$, G_6 , or G_7 , then G is class-searchable at all lengths greater than some integer m , where m is greater than the number of reflection conjugacy classes of G . Computations in Sage show that in each case, Theorem 2 holds for all factorizations that generate G and have length up to m . Thus we find by Lemma 20 that Theorem 2 is true for factorizations of any length which generate G_4 , G_5 , $G(4, 2, 2)$, G_6 , or G_7 .

This covers all possible isomorphism classes of reflection subgroups $G \leq G_7$, completing the proof. \square

4. STANDARD FORMS IN G_4

This section describes an approach to find a representative factorization for every Hurwitz orbit produced by a reflection factorization of an element in G_7 . Our main theorem and proof strategy gives both the necessary and sufficient conditions for determining if two factorizations are Hurwitz equivalent. However, one downside to this approach is that it gives no information about how to categorize Hurwitz orbits. In this section, we show one method to categorize all orbits for G_4 , which may be expanded to find all the orbits of G_7 .

To begin, the twenty-four elements in G_4 can be sorted into five different categories: the identity, the negative identity, eight reflections, eight Coxeter elements (the product of two different reflections from the same class), and six other elements with determinant 1 that are the product of two reflections from different classes. When considering a factorization of one of these elements, a standard form may be constructed for any Hurwitz orbit depending on the length of the factorization and which category of element is being factored.

The following definition describes the standard forms of factorizations of the eight reflections. All congruence equations in this definition consider congruence mod 3.

Definition 21. Let x be a reflection in the G_4 conjugacy class R_1 . We will define a reflection factorization with length $l \geq 8$ called $[n, m]_{G_4}^x$, the *standard factorization* of x with n reflections in R_1 , m in R_1^{-1} , and whose elements generate G_4 . By considering the determinant of the product, we can deduce that $n + 2m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Fix some $y \in R_1^{-1}$ where $y \neq x^{-1}$. There are five unique cases to treat.

- (1) If $n \equiv 2$ (equivalently $2m \equiv 2$, $m \equiv 1$), then the standard factorization of x under these conditions is defined as

$$[n, m]_{G_4}^x = \underbrace{(x, \dots, x)}_{n-2}, x, y^{-1}, y, \underbrace{y, \dots, y)}_{m-1}.$$

- (2) If $n \equiv 1$, or equivalently $2m \equiv 0$, $m \equiv 0$, and $m \geq 3$, then the standard factorization is defined as

$$[n, m]_{G_4}^x = (\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_m).$$

- (3) If $n \equiv 1$ like the previous case, but $m = 0$, then the standard form is

$$[n, m]_{G_4}^x = (\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{n-4}, x, y^{-1}, y^{-1}, y^{-1}).$$

- (4) If $n \equiv 0$, or equivalently $2m \equiv 1$, $m \equiv 2$, and $m \geq 5$, then the standard factorization is defined as

$$[n, m]_{G_4}^x = (\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n, x^{-1}, x^{-1}, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_{m-2}).$$

- (5) If $n \equiv 0$ like the previous case, but $m = 2$, then the standard form is

$$[n, m]_{G_4}^x = (\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{n-3}, x^{-1}, x^{-1}, y^{-1}, y^{-1}, y^{-1}).$$

With the five cases defined above, the next step is to prove that any factorization of a reflection in G_4 is Hurwitz equivalent to one of these standard forms.

Proposition 22. *Let x be a reflection in R_1 . Let T be a reflection factorization of x with length $l \geq 8$ whose elements generate G_4 , with n reflections in R_1 and m in R_1^{-1} . Then, Definition 21 lists a representative of the Hurwitz orbit of T .*

Proof. To prove, we first want to show that T is Hurwitz equivalent to $[n, m]_{G_4}^x$, and then that Definition 21 describes the Hurwitz orbit of T . By construction, T and $[n, m]_{G_4}^x$ both generate G_4 and have the same multiset of conjugacy classes. Since x^3 and y^3 are both equal to the identity in G_4 , it is easy to check that each standard form is a factorization of x . Therefore, by Theorem 2, T is Hurwitz equivalent to $[n, m]_{G_4}^x$. Next, we have to prove that Definition 21 describes every Hurwitz orbit of a factorization of a reflection. Since the cases describe every combination of n and m that can construct a factorization that generates G_4 , the list is complete. \square

This section outlines the methodology used to classify the Hurwitz orbits for select elements. A list of the standard forms of the other elements in G_4 is displayed in Appendix A. This strategy was also considered for the other complex reflection groups discussed in this paper, although the number of cases to treat in these larger order groups proved to be prohibitively large to achieve any meaningful progress. For example, when considering just the reflections in the group G_5 , it would be necessary to treat the separate cases for each combination of the four conjugacy classes in G_5 , which would have significantly more representatives than those in

Definition 21. While we believe this categorization is achievable, a full proof would require intensive amounts of time (and paper), while being unnecessary towards the understanding of our main theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Berger, Emily. *Hurwitz equivalence in dihedral groups*. Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, 18, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 2011.
- [2] Gawankar, Gaurav; Lazreq, Dounia; Rai, Mehr; Sabar, Seth. *Hurwitz Actions on Reflection Factorizations in Complex Reflection Group G_6* . [arxiv:2002.05102v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.05102v1), 2020.
- [3] Lehrer, Gustav I. and Taylor, Donald E. *Unitary reflection groups*. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series, 20. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [4] Lewis, Joel B. and Reiner, Victor. *Circuits and Hurwitz Action in finite root systems*. New York Journal of Mathematics, 22, 2016.
- [5] SageMath, the Sage Mathematics Software System (Version 8.9), The Sage Developers, 2019, <https://www.sagemath.org>.
- [6] Shephard, G. C., and Todd J. A. *Finite unitary reflection groups*. Canadian Journal of Mathematics, 6, 1954.
- [7] Shi, Jian-yi. *Presentations for finite complex reflection groups*. Proceedings of the International Conference on Complex Geometry and Related Fields, 263–275, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 39, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007. <http://math.ecnu.edu.cn/~jyshi/myart/jyshi-1a.pdf>

APPENDIX A. ADDITIONAL STANDARD FORMS

The following definition lists the standard forms of the elements of G_4 not included in Section 4, that is, the sixteen non-reflection elements in G_4 .

Definition 23. With order six, Coxeter elements are partitioned into two groups: $C_1 = \{c_3, c_4, c_5, c_6\}$ with determinant $-e^{\frac{5\pi i}{3}}$; $C_2 = \{c_1, c_2, c_7, c_8\}$ with determinant $e^{\frac{10\pi i}{3}}$, where elements in C_1 are products of two reflections in R_2 and elements in C_2 are products of two reflections in R_1 . Coxeter elements:

In a factorizations of $c \in C_1$, then $n + 2m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_1^{-1}}_n, y_1, y_2, \underbrace{y_2, \dots, y_2}_{m-2})$ where $y_1 \cdot y_2 = c$ and $y_1, y_2 \in R_2$.
- If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form for $m \geq 3$: $(\underbrace{y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_1^{-1}}_{n-1}, y_1^{-1}, y_1, y_1, y_2, \underbrace{y_2, \dots, y_2}_{m-3})$ where $y_1 \cdot y_2 = c$ and $y_1, y_2 \in R_2$.
Standard form for $m = 0$: $(\underbrace{y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_1^{-1}}_{n-4}, y_1^{-1}, y_1^{-1}, y_2^{-1}, y_2^{-1})$ where $y_1 \cdot y_2 = c$ and $y_1, y_2 \in R_2$.
- If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_1^{-1}}_{n-2}, y_1^{-1}, y_1^{-1}, y_2, \underbrace{y_2, \dots, y_2}_{m-1})$ where $y_1 \cdot y_2 = c$ and $y_1, y_2 \in R_2$.

And for the factorizations of $c \in C_2$, $n + 2m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$.

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: for $m \geq 4$: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, x_1^{-1}, x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, \underbrace{x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_2^{-1}}_{m-4})$
with $x_1, x_2 \in C_1, x_1 \cdot x_2 = c$.
Standard form: for $m = 1$: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-3}, x_1, x_2, x_2, x_2^{-1})$ with $x_1, x_2 \in C_1, x_1 \cdot x_2 = c$.
- If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-1}, x_1, x_2^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, \underbrace{x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_2^{-1}}_{m-2})$.
- If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-2}, x_1, x_2, \underbrace{x_2^{-1}, \dots, x_2^{-1}}_m)$.

The next element to check is the identity element, o_1 , which naturally has determinant 1. It has the following standard forms.

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_n, \underbrace{y, \dots, y}_m)$ with $x \in R_1, y \in R_2$.
- If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{n-1}, x, \underbrace{x^{-1}y, \dots, y}_{m-1})$ with $x \in R_1, y \in R_2$.
- If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{x, \dots, x}_{n-2}, x, x_2, x_1, \underbrace{x_1^{-1}, x_2^{-1}y, \dots, y}_{m-2})$ with $x, x_1, x_2 \in R_1, y \in R_2$.

The next element is the negative identity. This is only one element, o_7 , of order two with determinant 1. So we have $n + 2m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Note that $o_7 = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3$ where $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in R_1$. Also, since o_7 has order 2, $x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_3 = x_3^{-1} \cdot x_2^{-1} \cdot x_1^{-1}$. For $n + m = l \geq 4$:

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form for $n \geq 3$: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-3}, x_1, x_2, x_3, \underbrace{x_3^{-1}, \dots, x_3^{-1}}_m)$. Or:
Standard form for $n = 0$: $(\underbrace{x_3^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_1^{-1}}_{n-3}, \underbrace{x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_1^{-1}}_m)$.
- If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form for $n = 1$: $(x_1, \underbrace{x_2^{-1}, x_2^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, \dots, x_3^{-1}}_{m-1})$.
Standard form for $n \geq 4$: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-4}, x_1, x_2, x_3, \underbrace{x_3, x_3^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, \dots, x_3^{-1}}_{m-1})$.
- If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-2}, x_1, x_2, \underbrace{x_3^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, x_3^{-1}, \dots, x_3^{-1}}_{m-2})$.

The final elements to check consist of the other six elements with determinant 1. We call these elements $\{o_2, o_3, o_4, o_5, o_6, o_8\}$. So $n + 2m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. For $n + m = l \geq 3$:

- If $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$:
Standard form for $m \geq 3$: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_n, x_1^{-1}, x_1^{-1}, y_1, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m-3})$ where $x_1 \in R_1, y_1 \in R_2, x_1 \cdot y_1 = o$ for some o in the set.

Standard form for $m = 0$: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-3}, x_1, y_1^{-1}, y_1^{-1})$ where $x_1 \in R_1, y_1 \in$

$R_2, x_1 \cdot y_1 = o$ for some o in the set.

- If $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$:

Standard form: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-1}, x_1, y_1, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m-1})$ where $x_1 \in R_1, y_1 \in R_2, x_1 \cdot$

$y_1 = o$ for some o in the set.

- If $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, m \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$:

Standard form: $(\underbrace{x_1, \dots, x_1}_{n-2}, x_1^{-1}, x_1^{-1}, y_1^{-1}, y_1^{-1}, \underbrace{y_1, \dots, y_1}_{m-2})$ where $x_1 \in R_1, y_1 \in$

$R_2, x_1 \cdot y_1 = o$ for some o in the set.

In conjunction with Section 4, every possible Hurwitz orbit of a reflection factorization of an element in G_4 has been listed.