

# ANDRÉ-QUILLEN HOMOLOGY OF SPECTRAL LIE ALGEBRAS WITH APPLICATION TO MOD $p$ HOMOLOGY OF LABELED CONFIGURATION SPACES

ADELA YIYU ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We provide a general method to compute the mod 2 André-Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras. This is the  $E^2$ -page of Knudsen's spectral sequence, which converges to the mod 2 homology of configuration spaces of  $k$  points in a parallelizable manifold  $M$  with labels in a connected spectrum  $V$ . The existence of higher differentials implies that the spectral Lie operad is not formal over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Then we study the mod  $p$  analog of this spectral sequence for  $p > 2$ , and observe that the mod  $p$  homology of  $B_k(M^n; \mathbb{S}^r)$  depends on the cup product structure on  $H^*(M^+; \mathbb{F}_p)$  when  $n+r$  is even. This supplements and contrasts with the result of Bödigheimer-Cohen-Taylor when  $n+r$  is odd.

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Statement of results	3
2. Preliminaries	6
2.1. Spectral Lie operad	6
2.2. Mod 2 homology of spectral Lie algebras	6
2.3. Weight grading	9
3. André-Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras	10
3.1. The derived indecomposable functor	10
3.2. Factoring the indecomposable functor	11
3.3. Indecomposables of the $\bar{Q}$ operations	12
4. Computing the André-Quillen homology	15
4.1. Modified Chevalley-Eilenberg complex	15
4.2. Explicit computations	20
4.3. Shifted Lie algebra homology	23
5. Application to Knudsen's spectral sequence	24
5.1. The universal case	25
5.2. The Euclidean space	27
6. Mod 2 homology of $B_k(M; V)$ for general $M$	29
6.1. The $E^2$ -page and low weight computations	30
6.2. Example computations: genus $g$ surfaces	32
6.3. Example computations: (punctured) $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$	34
7. Odd primary homology	36
7.1. Sphere coefficients	37
References	38

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we provide a general method to compute the mod 2 André-Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras. The same method applies to the homology of shifted Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . As an application, we study the mod  $p$  homology of the spectrum

$$B_k(M; V) = \Sigma_+^\infty \text{Conf}_k(M) \otimes_{\Sigma_k} V^{\otimes k},$$

the configuration space of  $k$  points in a parallelizable manifold  $M$  with labels in a connected spectrum  $V$  using a spectral sequence of Knudsen's. The  $E^2$ -page of this spectral sequence is the mod  $p$  André-Quillen homology of a certain spectral Lie algebra. The existence of higher differentials in the case  $p = 2$  implies that the spectral Lie operad is not formal over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . At low weights, the spectral sequence collapses and yields the  $E^\infty$ -page. For  $p > 2$  we obtain low weight computation of the mod  $p$  homology of  $B_k(M^n; S^r)$  where  $n + r$  is even and observe that it depends on the cup product structure. This supplements and contrasts with the result of Bödiger-Cohen-Taylor when  $n + r$  is odd.

Spectral Lie algebras generalize the notion of Lie algebras over a field or chain complexes. They are parametrized by the spectral Lie operad  $\partial_*(\text{Id})$ , the Koszul dual operad of the  $E_\infty$ -operad. ([Chi05]) The homology operad of the spectral Lie operad  $\{H_*(\partial_n(\text{Id}); K)\}$  recovers the ordinary Lie operad over a field  $K$  up to a shift. Behrens ([Beh12]) and Antolín-Camarena ([AC]) showed that the mod 2 homology of a spectral Lie algebra is an  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra, i.e. it admits unary operations  $\bar{Q}^j$  constructed by Behrens, as well as a compatible shifted Lie bracket with self-brackets identified with the bottom operation  $\bar{Q}_0$ . Therefore a shifted Lie algebra over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is a  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra with vanishing  $\bar{Q}^j$  operations other than  $\bar{Q}_0$ , and a totally isotropic shifted Lie algebra a  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra with trivial action by all  $\bar{Q}^j$ 's.

A natural next-step is to compute the André-Quillen homology of a given  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , denoted by  $\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ . This is defined as the total left derived functor of the indecomposable functor of the  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra structure, in analogy to the Lie algebra homology. Concretely, the André-Quillen homology is computed by the monadic bar construction

$$\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \pi_* \left( \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \right),$$

where  $s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$  is the monad against the free  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra functor. The mod 2 André-Quillen homology of a spectral Lie algebra is simply the André-Quillen homology of its mod 2 homology. Similarly we can define the the André-Quillen homology for the odd primary homology of spectral Lie algebras using the computation of Kjaer ([Kja]).

Inspired by the approach in [BHK], we replace the bar construction  $\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g})$  with a much smaller complex obtained as the total complex of a double complex. The factorization turns out to be a lot subtler than in the case of  $E$ -theory because the bottom nonvanishing Behrens' operation coincides with the self-bracket in an  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra.

As an application, we use the computation of the André-Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras to understand the mod  $p$  homology of labeled configuration spaces.

The study of labeled configuration spaces dates back to as early as Segal ([Seg73]) and McDuff ([McD75]) as generalizations of the unordered configuration spaces  $B_k(M) = B_k(M; \mathbb{S}^0)$ . Nonetheless, the mod  $p$  homology groups of these objects have remained intractable. The only known cases are the following.

(1)  $M = \mathbb{R}^\infty$  with arbitrary labeling spectra by May ([May72]) and  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  by F. Cohen ([CLM, III]) and McClure ([BMMS, IX]). Then  $\bigvee_{k \geq 0} B_k(M; V)$  is the free  $E_n$ -algebra on  $V$ . Its mod  $p$  homology is captured by Dyer-Lashof operations and Browder brackets as a functor of  $H_*(V; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

(2) Arbitrary manifold  $M$  with labeling spectrum  $V = \mathbb{S}^r$  where either  $p = 2$  or  $p > 2$  and  $n + r$  is odd, computed by Bödigeimer-Cohen-Taylor in [BCT]. In these case, there is a homology decomposition

$$H_*\left(\bigvee_{k \geq 0} B_k(M; \mathbb{S}^r)\right) \cong \bigotimes_i H_*(\Omega^i \mathbb{S}^{n+r})^{\otimes \dim H_i(M)}.$$

In particular, the homology depends only on the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -module  $H_*(M; \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

The most recent developments in the computation of the homology of labeled configuration spaces originate from a result of Knudsen in [Knu18]. Using the machinery of factorization homology, he established an equivalence of spectra

$$(1) \quad \bigvee_{k \geq 1} B_k(M; V) \simeq | \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}, \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}) |.$$

Here  $M$  is a framed  $n$ -manifold,  $s\mathcal{L}$  is the monad associated to the free spectral Lie algebra functor  $\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}$ , and  $(-)^{M^+}$  the cotensor with the one-point compactification of  $M$  in the  $\infty$ -category of spectral Lie algebras. This equivalence can be thought of as a "deformed Koszul duality".

This result opens up a path for extracting information about the homology of (labeled) configuration spaces. In terms of homology with rational coefficients, Knudsen ([Knu17]) provided a general formula for the Betti numbers of labeled configuration spaces, while Drummond-Cole and Knudsen ([DCK]) computed the Betti number of unordered configuration spaces of surfaces. In [BHK], Brantner-Hahn-Knudsen studied the spectral sequence associated to the skeletal filtration of the right hand side to give initial computations of the Morava  $E$ -theory at an odd prime of labeled configuration spaces in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and punctured tori.

Here, we adapt the approach to study the mod  $p$  homology of these spectra. The mod  $p$  Knudsen's spectral sequence has  $E^2$ -page the mod  $p$  André-Quillen homology of  $\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}$  and converges strongly to  $\bigoplus_k H_*(B_k(M; V); \mathbb{F}_p)$ .

**1.1. Statement of results.** Our strategy for computing the André-Quillen homology is to factor the indecomposable  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra functor as the indecomposable functor for the additive  $\bar{Q}^j$  operations followed by the indecomposable functor of the shifted Lie algebra structure. Note that we cannot make use of the Grothendieck spectral sequence because the latter is not an additive functor. To disentangle the bottom operation and the self-bracket, we construct a simplicial object  $\text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  (Construction 3.5.(2)) that kills all the  $\bar{Q}$  operations except for the bottom operation  $\bar{Q}_0$  on the homology of a spectral Lie algebra and use the bar construction with respect to the free Lie monad to kill the remaining Lie structure. Then we construct a map from the resulting double complex to the Chevelay-Eilenberg complex on the additive resolution  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  (Construction 3.5.(1)) and show that it induces a monomorphism.

**Theorem 1.1.** (Theorem 4.8) *The André-Quillen homology is computed by*

$$\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 = \pi_*\left(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2\right) \cong H_*\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))\right),$$

where  $s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$  is the free  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra monad.

Here  $\widehat{\text{CE}}(-)$  a simplicial modified version of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex (Construction 4.7). The computation relies on a modified version of Dwyer ([Dwy1]) and Bousfield's ([Bou68]) computation of  $\pi_*(\Lambda(V_\bullet))$ , where  $V_\bullet$  is any simplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module and  $\Lambda(V)$  the exterior algebra on  $V_\bullet$ . They showed that  $\pi_*(\Lambda(V_\bullet))$  is an exterior algebra on generators  $\gamma(\alpha)$  for certain admissible sequences  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$  and  $\alpha$  elements of a fixed  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $\pi_*(V_\bullet)$ .

For  $L$  a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra (i.e. a shifted Lie algebra with no nontrivial self-brackets), denote by  $H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$  the quotient of its  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra homology  $H_*(\text{CE}(L))$  by all classes whose image under the differential  $\delta$  is nonzero considered as an element in the symmetric algebra on  $L$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** (Theorem 4.15) *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra and  $A_\bullet$  a graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ . Then*

$$\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \cong H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))) \cong \Lambda\{\gamma(\alpha)\} \otimes H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}))),$$

where

- (1)  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \neq \emptyset$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $\gamma_{i_r} \geq 2$  and  $e(I) \leq m$ .
- (2)  $[\alpha] \in A_m$ ,  $m \geq 1$  is a basis element. If  $m \geq 2$  we further require that  $[\alpha] \neq [\bar{Q}^{|\beta|}|\beta]$  for some  $\beta \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ ;

Here  $\bar{Q}^{|\beta|}|\beta$  means the following: for  $\beta$  in simplicial degree  $m$  of  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $\bar{Q}^{|\beta|}|\beta$  lives in simplicial degree  $m+1$  and  $\bar{Q}^{|\beta|}$  comes from one application of the monad parametrizing additive operations. The homotopy groups of  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  are often computable since the algebra  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  parametrizing the additive operations is Koszul, and thus we can obtain explicit basis for  $\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

The same method applies to computing the homology of shifted Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , which we discuss briefly in section 4.3.

To demonstrate the efficacy of this theorem, we apply our method to compute the mod 2 homology of  $B_k(M; V)$  for a framed connected  $n$ -manifold  $M$  and connected spectrum  $V$ . We use the spectral sequence obtained from the skeletal filtration of the geometric realization of the bar construction in the equivalence (1). Let  $\mathfrak{g} = H_*(\text{Free}^{\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}; \mathbb{F}_2)$ , then the spectral sequence goes

$$E_{s,t}^2(k) = \pi_s(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2)_t(k) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(B_k(M; V); \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Here  $k$  is the weight, obtained as the operadic arity in the bar construction with  $\mathfrak{g}$  in weight 1.

Using Priddy's machinery ([Pri70]) applied to the Koszul algebra  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ , we are able to obtain explicit calculation of the  $E^2$ -page in Theorem 6.1. This gives an upper bound for  $H_*(B_k(M; V))$ . In particular, the  $E^2$ -page depends on the cohomology ring structure of  $\tilde{H}^*(M^+)$  but not its structure as a module over the Steenrod algebra.

The higher differentials in this spectral sequence are much harder to deduce than the analogous spectral sequences for rational homology (which collapse on the  $E^2$ -page) and  $E$ -theory (whose  $E^2$ -page is of finite dimension as an  $E_*$ -module at any weight). Although we do not have full knowledge, we are able to deduce that the spectral sequence collapses by sparsity arguments for low weights.

**Corollary 1.3** (Corollary 6.2, 6.3). *The weight 2 part of the spectral sequence*

$$E_{s,t}^2(2) = \text{AQ}_s^{\text{sLie}\bar{r}} \left( H_*(\text{Free}^{\text{s}\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}; \mathbb{F}_2) \right)_t(2) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(B_2(M; V))$$

*collapses. Let  $H \cup \{z\}$  be a basis for the cohomology ring  $H^*(M^+)$  where  $z$  represents the added base point. Then  $H_*(B_2(M; V); \mathbb{F}_2)$  is given by*

$$E^\infty(2) = E^2(2) = \text{wt}_2(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))) \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in B, y \in H} \{\bar{Q}^j|(y \otimes x), |x| - |y| \leq j < |x|\}.$$

*If in addition  $M$  is a closed manifold, then the weight 3 part of the spectral sequence also collapses at the  $E^2$ -page.*

As applications of the above general computation of the  $E^2$ -page, we focus on three examples.

1. The Euclidean spaces  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  and the universal case  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  (Theorem 5.5). This should recover the classical results of Cohen and May. The limiting case  $B_k(\mathbb{R}^\infty; \mathbb{S}^k)$  contains the universal higher differentials, since the spectral sequences for  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  embeds into the spectral sequence for  $M = \mathbb{R}^\infty$ . In this case, the  $E^2$ -page can be thought of as generated by one class  $x_k \in E_{0,k}^2$  and two types of operations  $\bar{Q}^j|$  and  $\gamma_i$ .

The computation of  $H_*(\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty \mathbb{S}^k)$  May ([May72]) immediately implies the following:

**Corollary 1.4** (Corollary 5.6). *The spectral Lie operad is not formal over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , i.e.  $C_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2)$  is not quasi-isomorphic to  $H_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2)$  as  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear symmetric operads.*

Low degree sparsity arguments suggest a universal pattern of higher differentials analogous to that in [Dwy2]. The Dyer-Lashof operations  $Q^j$  should be represented by the surviving  $\bar{Q}^j|$  operations on the  $E^\infty$ -page. The  $\gamma_i$  operations wipe out via higher differentials the  $\bar{Q}^j|$  operations that do not satisfy the admissibility condition for Dyer-Lashof operations. See Conjecture 5.7 and Remark 5.8 for a more precise conjecture of how the higher differentials behave. Proving these universal differentials is work in progress.

2. The genus  $g$  surface  $M = \Sigma_g$  and the once-punctured genus  $g$  surface  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  for  $g \geq 1$ , with  $V$  an arbitrary connected spectrum. The spectral sequence collapses for weight 2 and 3, allowing us to write down explicit  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for the homology of  $B_2(M; V)$  and  $B_3(M; V)$  in section 6.2. In particular, both depends on the cup product on  $H^*(M^+)$ .

3. The real projective space  $\mathbb{R}P^3$  and with a point removed. Similarly, the spectral sequence collapses for weight 2 and 3. Explicit  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -bases can be found in section 6.3.

In the last section (7.1), we use the strategy for computing low weights in mod 2 homology to calculate the odd primary homology for  $B_k(M; \mathbb{S}^r)$ ,  $k = 2, 3$ . This relies on the partial computation of Kjaer ([Kja]), who provided an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis for the mod  $p$  homology of a free spectral Lie algebra. In particular, we show the following:

**Theorem 1.5** (Theorem 7.2, 7.3). *If  $n + r$  is even, the odd primary homology groups for  $B_2(M; \mathbb{S}^r)$  and  $B_3(M; \mathbb{S}^r)$  are determined by  $H^*(M^+)$  along with its cup product structure.*

This is in contrast to Bödiger-Cohen-Taylor's computation where  $n + r$  is odd, which depends only on a handlebody decomposition of  $M$  and does not take into account the cup product structure. The same strategy can be used to compute the mod  $p$  homology of  $B_k(M; V)$  for  $k = 2, 3$  and arbitrary parallelizable manifold  $M$ , and connected spectrum  $V$ .

**Acknowledgements.** The author is grateful to Haynes Miller and Jeremy Hahn for many discussions and encouragement, as well as Lukas Brantner, Mike Hopkins, and Nir Gadish for helpful conversations.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Spectral Lie operad.** We start with a brief review of the spectral Lie operad  $\partial_*(\text{Id})$ . Ching ([Chi05]) showed that the Goowillie derivatives  $\{\partial_n(\text{Id})\}$  of the identity functor  $\text{Id} : \text{Top}_* \rightarrow \text{Top}_*$  form an operad  $\partial_*(\text{Id})$  in Spectra. This operad is Koszul dual to the commutative operad  $\Phi$  via the operadic bar construction

$$\partial_*(\text{Id}) \simeq B(1, \Phi, 1)^\vee.$$

For a description of the operadic bar construction, see [Chi05] for a topological model using trees and [Bra17, Appendix D] for an  $\infty$ -categorical construction along with a comparison with the topological model.

The  $n$ th-derivative  $\partial_n(\text{Id})$  admits the following explicit description due to Arone and Mahowald in [AM99]. Let  $\mathcal{P}_n$  be the poset of partitions of the set  $\underline{n} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  ordered by refinements, equipped with a  $\Sigma_n$ -action induced from that on  $\underline{n}$ . Denote by  $\hat{0}$  the discrete partition and  $\hat{1}$  the partition  $\{\underline{n}\}$ . Set  $\Pi_n = \mathcal{P}_n - \{\hat{0}, \hat{1}\}$ . Regarding a poset  $\mathcal{P}$  as a category, we obtain by the nerve construction a simplicial set  $N_\bullet(\mathcal{P})$ . The *partition complex*  $\Sigma|\Pi_n|^\diamond$ , the reduced-unreduced suspension of the realization  $|\Pi_n|$ , is modeled by the simplicial set

$$N_\bullet(\mathcal{P}_n)/(N_\bullet(\mathcal{P}_n - \hat{0}) \cup N_\bullet(\mathcal{P}_n - \hat{1}))$$

for  $n \geq 2$  and the simplicial 0-circle  $S^0$  for  $n = 1$ . Then there is an equivalence

$$\partial_n(\text{Id}) \simeq \mathbb{D}(\Sigma|\Pi_n|^\diamond)$$

of spectra with  $\Sigma_n$ -action, where  $\mathbb{D}$  denotes the Spanier-Whitehead dual of a spectrum.

In particular, for  $n = 2$  we have  $\Sigma|\Pi_2|^\diamond \simeq S^1$  with trivial  $\Sigma_2$ -action. Hence  $\partial_2(\text{Id}) \simeq \mathbb{S}^{-1}$  with trivial  $\Sigma_2$ -action.

**2.2. Mod 2 homology of spectral Lie algebras.** Next, we describe the structure on the mod 2 homology of an algebra  $L$  over the spectral Lie operad. It consists of a shifted Lie algebra structure along with Dyer-Lashof like unary operations.

The second structure map of a spectral Lie algebra  $L$  is given by

$$\xi : \partial_2(\text{Id}) \otimes_{\Sigma_2} L^2 \simeq \partial_2(\text{Id}) \otimes_{h\Sigma_2} L_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathbb{S}^{-1} \otimes_{h\Sigma_2} L_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow L.$$

At the level of homology, this gives rise to a shifted Lie bracket

$$[-, -] : H_m(L) \otimes H_n(L) \rightarrow H_{m+n-1}(L),$$

making  $H_*(L)$  a graded shifted Lie algebra. [AC, Proposition 5.2]

For  $L$  a connected spectral Lie algebra and  $j \geq d$ , Behrens ([Beh12, 1.5]) defined operations

$$\bar{Q}^j : H_d(L) \rightarrow H_{d+j-1}(L)$$

on the mod 2 homology of  $L$  to be

$$x \mapsto \xi_* \sigma^{-1} Q^j(x),$$

where  $Q^j : H_d(L) \rightarrow H_{d+j}(L_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2})$  is an extended power operation  $x \mapsto e_{j-d} \otimes x \otimes x$ ,

$$\sigma^{-1} : H_*(L_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow H_{*-1}(\partial_2(\text{Id}) \otimes L_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2})$$

is the desuspension isomorphism, and  $\xi$  is the second structure map. (cf. [AC, Definition 5.4])

Using the operadic Koszul duality between the shifted spectral Lie operad and the commutative operad  $\Phi$ , Behrens showed that

$$(2) \quad \bar{Q}^r \bar{Q}^s = \sum \binom{r-2l-1}{s-l} \bar{Q}^{r+s-l} \bar{Q}^l$$

for  $s < r \leq 2s$  generates all the relations among the  $\bar{Q}$  operations. (cf. [Beh12, proof of Theorem 1.5].)

Let  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  be the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -algebra obtained by taking the free algebra the set of symbols  $\{\bar{Q}^j, j \geq 0\}$  and imposing Behrens' relations. It has a basis consisting of  $\bar{Q}^J$  with  $J$  completely inadmissible (CU), i.e.  $j_i > 2j_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A positively graded module  $M_\bullet$  over  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  is *allowable* if for any homogeneous element  $x \in M_\bullet$  we have  $\bar{Q}^{j_1} \bar{Q}^{j_2} \dots \bar{Q}^{j_m} x = 0$  whenever  $j_1 < j_2 + \dots + j_m + |x|$ .

Denote by  $\text{Free}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$  the free allowable  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module functor. Thus we obtain a monad  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$  from the free-forgetful adjunction

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}} \\ \xleftarrow{U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}} \end{array} \text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$$

**Definition 2.2.** An *allowable*  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -sLie-algebra is a non-negatively graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space  $L_\bullet$  with a shifted Lie bracket and an allowable  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module structure on  $L_\bullet$  such that

- (1)  $\bar{Q}^k x = [x, x]$  if  $x \in L_k$ , and
- (2)  $[x, \bar{Q}^k y] = 0$  for all  $x, y$ .

From here on, we only work with allowable  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -modules and  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -sLie-algebras, so we drop the adjective allowable. Denote by  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$  the category of (allowable)  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -sLie-algebras. Similarly, we obtain a monad  $\text{s}\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$  from the adjunction

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}} \\ \xleftarrow{U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}} \end{array} \text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} .$$

Antolín-Camarena showed that the homology of an algebra  $L$  over the spectral Lie operad is an (allowable)  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -sLie-algebra. He also gave an explicit formula for the free  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -sLie-algebra on a vector space, which we shall now describe.

**Definition 2.3.** A *shifted graded totally isotropic Lie algebra*  $\mathfrak{g}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , denoted as an  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra, is a graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a *shifted totally isotropic Lie bracket*

$$[-, -] : \mathfrak{g}_m \otimes \mathfrak{g}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{m+n-1}$$

that satisfies for all homogeneous elements  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$

- (1)  $[x, x] = 0$  ;
- (2)  $[x, [y, z]] + [y, [z, x]] + [z, [x, y]] = 0$ .

This differs from the operadic definition of shifted Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  in that self-brackets are forced to be trivial.

Next we recall the construction of the *Lyndon words* on a set  $S$  in [Hall], which provides a basis for the free  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra on an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module.

**Construction 2.4.** The elements of  $S$  are Lyndon words of length one and given an arbitrary fixed total ordering.

Suppose that we have defined Lyndon words of length less than  $k$  with a total ordering. Then a Lyndon word of length  $k$  is a formal bracket  $[[w_1, w_2]]$  such that

- (1)  $w_1, w_2$  are Lyndon words whose length add up to  $k$ ;
- (2)  $w_1 < w_2$  in the order defined thus far;
- (3) To take into account the Jacobi identity, if  $w_2 = [[w_3, w_4]]$  for some Lyndon words  $w_3, w_4$ , then we require  $w_3 \leq w_1$ .

To extend the total order to Lyndon words of weight at most  $k$ , we first impose an arbitrary total ordering on Lyndon words of length  $k$ , and then declare that they are greater than all Lyndon words of lower weights.

Now we are ready to recount Antolín-Camarena's description of the free  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra functor.

**Proposition 2.5.** [AC, Proposition 7.4] *The free allowable  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra on a non-negatively graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -vector space  $V_\bullet$  can be computed as follows: Fix an ordered basis  $B$  of  $V_\bullet$ . First take the free totally isotropic Lie-algebra with  $\langle, \rangle$  the free  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$  bracket. Denote by  $B'$  the set of Lyndon words on the letters  $B$ , which is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(V_\bullet)$ . Then we take the free  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module on the underlying  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module of  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(V_\bullet)$  and obtain a basis consisting of elements of the form  $\bar{Q}^I w$  with  $w \in B'$ . Equip the free  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module*

$$\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}} \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(V_\bullet))$$

with a shifted bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  defined on the induced basis by requiring  $[\bar{Q}^I w_1, \bar{Q}^J w_2] = 0$  if  $I \neq \emptyset$  or  $J \neq \emptyset$ , and setting recursively along the ordering on  $B'$

- 1) If  $[[w_1, w_2]]$  is a Lyndon word, then  $[w_1, w_2] = [[w_1, w_2]]$ ;
- 2)  $[w, w] := \bar{Q}^{|w|} w$ ;
- 3)  $[w_1, w_2] := [w_2, w_1]$  if  $w_1 > w_2$ ;
- 4)  $[w_1, w_2] := [w_3, [w_1, w_4]] + [w_4, [w_1, w_3]]$  if  $w_1 < w_2$  and  $w_2 = [w_3, w_4]$  with  $w_1 < w_3$ .

**Remark 2.6.** A more natural way to factor  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(V_\bullet)$  is as follows. There is a forgetful functor  $U_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} : \text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} \rightarrow \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ . Its left adjoint  $\text{Free}_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}$  takes the free allowable  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module on a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebra  $L$  and set  $\bar{Q}^{|x|} x = [x, x]$  for all  $x \in L$ . Then

$$\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(V_\bullet) = \text{Free}_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(V_\bullet)),$$

with a shifted bracket induced from  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(V_\bullet)$  in a way that is compatible with  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -algebra structure, i.e.

- 1)  $[x, \bar{Q}^I y] = 0$  for all  $x, y$ ;
- 2)  $[x, x] = \bar{Q}^{|x|} x$  for  $x \in V_\bullet$ .



We introduce the less natural factorization since it produces a basis.

In general, the homology with field coefficients of a free algebra on a spectrum  $V$  over an operad is a functor of the reduced homology of  $V$ . (cf. [AC, Proposition 2.1]) Here we have a stronger result that takes into account the structure on the homology groups. Denote by  $\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}$  the free spectral Lie algebra functor

$$V \mapsto \bigvee_{n \geq 0} \partial_n(\text{Id}) \otimes_{\Sigma_n} V^{\otimes n}.$$

**Theorem 2.7.** [AC, Theorem 7.1] *For  $V$  a connected spectrum, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(V); \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(H_*(V; \mathbb{F}_2))$$

of  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebras.

**Remark 2.8.** Antolín-Camarena proved the isomorphism for  $V$  the suspension spectrum of a connected space. To extend the theorem to connected spectra, note that  $V \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$  can be written as a filtered (homotopy) colimit of finite wedges of  $\mathbb{S}^m \otimes \mathbb{F}_2$  in the category of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module spectra. Since free functors and homology commute with filtered colimit, the desired extension follows.

**2.3. Weight grading.** We refine the constructions in the previous section by taking into account the additive weight structure induced by operadic arity. Consequently, if we assign a spectra  $V$  the weight 1, then  $\partial_n(\text{Id}) \otimes_{\Sigma_n} V^{\otimes n}$  has weight  $n$  and this induces a weight structure at the level of mod 2 homology.

**Definition 2.9.** A *weighted* graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module  $M$  is an  $\mathbb{N}$ -indexed collection of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules  $\{M(w)\}_{w \in \mathbb{N}}$ . The *weight* grading of an element  $x \in M(w)$  is  $w$ .

Weighted graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules form a category  $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with morphisms weight preserving morphisms of graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules. The Day convolution  $\otimes$  makes  $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  a symmetric monoidal category. Explicitly, for  $M, N \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the weight  $w$  component of the tensor product is given by

$$(M \otimes N)(w) = \bigoplus_{u+v=w} M(u) \otimes N(v).$$

The category  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of *weighted* shifted graded Lie algebras over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  has objects  $L \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  equipped with a *weighted* shifted Lie bracket, i.e.

$$[-, -] : L_m(u) \otimes L_n(v) \rightarrow L_{m+n-1}(u+v).$$

Any free  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebra has a unique weight grading coming from a given weight grading on its indecomposables with respect to the bracket. Similarly we can define the category  $(\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}})^{\mathbb{N}}$  of weighted graded totally isotropic Lie algebras.

Finally, we can consider the category  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of weighted  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -sLie-algebras, whose objects are  $\mathfrak{g} \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  equipped with a weighted shifted Lie bracket and operations

$$\tilde{Q}^j : \mathfrak{g}_m(u) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{m+j-1}(2u)$$

compatible with bracket. A free  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra has a unique weight grading extended from a given weight grading on the indecomposables with respect to the  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -structure.

Therefore we can extend all the results in the previous section to the weighted setting. For the simplicity of notation, we will only make explicit the weight structure on the categories and suppress the  $\mathbb{N}$ -grading on the functors.

### 3. ANDRÉ-QUILLEN HOMOLOGY OF SPECTRAL LIE ALGEBRAS

Now we are ready to introduce the main object of interest, the André-Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras.

The inclusion of trivial  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}$ -algebras admits a left adjoint  $Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}$  called the indecomposable functor, i.e. we have an adjunction

$$\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}} \\ \xrightarrow{T_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}} \end{array} \mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

Any  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  has a free (cofibrant) resolution  $\mathrm{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathrm{Free}_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}, s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathfrak{g})$  in  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . The derived functor of  $Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}$  is thus computed by

$$\mathbb{L}Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathrm{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathrm{Free}_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}, s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathrm{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathrm{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathfrak{g}),$$

where  $\mathrm{id} : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is the identity functor considered as the trivial right functor over  $s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}$  with structure map the augmentation.

**Definition 3.1.** The *André-Quillen homology* of a  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , denoted by  $\mathrm{AQ}_{*}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , is the total left derived functor

$$\mathrm{AQ}_{*}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}) := H_{*}(\mathbb{L}Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \pi_{*}(\mathrm{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathrm{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathfrak{g})).$$

For  $L$  a spectral Lie algebra, its mod 2 *André-Quillen homology* is

$$\mathrm{AQ}_{*}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(H_{*}(L; \mathbb{F}_2)) \simeq \pi_{*}(\mathrm{Bar}_{\bullet}(\mathrm{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}, H_{*}(L; \mathbb{F}_2))).$$

The goal of this section is to compute the  $\mathrm{AQ}_{*}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  for any  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The strategy is to replace the bar construction with the total complex of a double complex using the factorization similar to that in Proposition 2.5. The identification of the self-brackets with the bottom nonvanishing operation requires special attention. Note that we cannot use the Grothendieck spectral sequence because  $Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}}$  and  $Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}$  are not additive functors.

**3.1. The derived indecomposable functor.** Before diving into the computation, we briefly recall without proof the homotopy theory of monads on the category of weighted graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules and especially the two-sided bar construction for simplicial objects, following closely Sections 3.1, 4.2 and 4.3 in [BHK]. For the general theory, see for instance Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of [JN].

Let  $\mathbf{T}$  be an augmented monad on the category  $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  of weighted graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules. Denote by  $\mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}})$  the category of  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebras. The forgetful functor  $U : \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  admits a left adjoint, the free functor  $\mathrm{Free}^{\mathbf{T}} : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbf{T}}(\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}})$ .

Levelwise application of this pair of adjunction gives rise to an adjunction between the corresponding categories of simplicial objects, denoted again by  $\mathrm{Free}^{\mathbf{T}} \dashv U$ , as well as a monad  $\mathbf{T}$  on the category of simplicial weighted graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules with the standard cofibrantly generated model structure. Then this adjunction induces a right transferred model structure on the category of simplicial  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebras, with weak equivalences and fibrations defined on the underlying simplicial weighted graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules.

Denote by  $T : \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} = \text{Alg}_{\text{Id}}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{T}}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}})$  the inclusion of trivial  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebras, which is induced by the augmentation. It has a left adjoint  $Q^{\mathbf{T}} : \text{Alg}_{\mathbf{T}}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , the indecomposable functor with respect to the  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra structure. Applying this pair of adjunction levelwise to the corresponding categories of simplicial objects, we obtain a Quillen adjunction denoted again as  $Q^{\mathbf{T}} \dashv T$ . The total left derived functor  $\mathbb{L}Q^{\mathbf{T}}$  of  $Q^{\mathbf{T}}$  can be computed by the following standard recipe.

**Construction 3.2.** Given a right functor  $R : \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  over  $\mathbf{T}$ , and a simplicial object  $A$  in  $\text{Alg}_{\mathbf{T}}(\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}})$ , one can apply the two-sided bar construction  $\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(R, \mathbf{T}, -)$  levelwise to  $A$ . The diagonal of the resulting bisimplicial complex is a simplicial object in  $\mathcal{D}$ , denoted by  $\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(R, \mathbf{T}, A)$ .

In particular, if we regard a  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra  $A$  as a simplicial  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebra concentrated in simplicial degree 0, denoted also as  $A$  by abuse of notation, then  $\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(R, \mathbf{T}, A)$  agrees with the usual two-sided bar construction.

The free resolution  $\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}^{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{T}, A)$  is a cofibrant replacement of  $A$  in the category of simplicial  $\mathbf{T}$ -algebras. The left derived functor of a functor  $F$  can be computed by applying  $F$  levelwise to a cofibrant replacement. Hence

$$\mathbb{L}Q^{\mathbf{T}}(A) \simeq Q^{\mathbf{T}}\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}^{\mathbf{T}}, \mathbf{T}, A) = \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{id}, \mathbf{T}, A).$$

**3.2. Factoring the indecomposable functor.** In this section, we replace  $\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g})$  with a smaller complex by factoring the indecomposable functor  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}$ . This is inspired by the method employed in Section 4.4 of [BHK]. However, the situation at hand requires a lot more care due to the entanglement  $\bar{Q}^{|x|}x = [x, x]$  of the  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module structure and the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -structure in an  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra.

Let  $\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  whose objects are  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -modules  $M$  such that  $\bar{Q}^j x = 0$  for  $j \neq |x|$ . The underlying vector space of  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}(V)$  is  $V \oplus \bar{Q}_0(V)$ , where  $\bar{Q}_0(x) := \bar{Q}^{|x|}x$  for  $x \in V$ , since Behren's relations forces  $\bar{Q}^{2|x|-1}\bar{Q}^{|x|}x = 0$ .

Then any  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra has a  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -module structure, whose  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module structure factors through a  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -module structure by setting  $\bar{Q}_0(x) := \bar{Q}^{|x|}(x) = [x, x]$  and  $\bar{Q}^i x = 0$  for  $i \neq |x|$ . The conditions for  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -structure are satisfied, since

$$[y, \bar{Q}_0(x)] = [y, [x, x]] = [x, [y, x]] + [x, [x, y]] = 0$$

by the Jacobi identity. Hence we obtain an inclusion  $T_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} : \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . It has a left adjoint  $Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} : \text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , which takes the indecomposable of operations  $\bar{Q}^i x$  for  $i > |x|$ . The inclusion  $T_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} : \text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  admits left adjoint  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}$ . Then there is an isomorphism of weighted  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -modules

$$U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} \circ Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \cong Q_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}.$$

Hence we have the following composite of adjunctions

$$\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}} \\ \xrightarrow{T_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}} \end{array} \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}} \\ \xrightarrow{T_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}} \end{array} \text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

In particular, we have a factorization

$$Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} = Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} \circ Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}.$$

Our strategy is to replace  $\mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) = Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} \circ Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, \text{s}\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g})$  with the total complex of a double bisimplicial complex that is more amenable to computations.

**3.3. Indecomposables of the  $\bar{Q}$  operations.** Now we replace  $Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, \text{s}\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g})$  with a simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra  $\text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  with underlying simplicial  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -module  $\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\bar{Q}_0, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g})$ .

The first step is to construct a  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra structure on  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which involves constructing a weighted  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket  $\langle, \rangle$  on a given  $\mathfrak{g} \in \text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -bracket  $\{, \}$ . Before proceeding, we note that this construction is noncanonical in that it involves a choice of basis, which reflects the implicit choice of basis in the factorization of  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}$  in Proposition 2.6. However, it will become clear that  $\text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  does not depend on this choice up to weak equivalences.

There is an inclusion  $T_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} : (\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with left adjoint  $Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}$  taking the indecomposable of self brackets. Since  $\{\{x, x\}, y\} = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  by the Jacobi identity, there is a split short exact sequence of  $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -modules

$$V' \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{p} V = Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where  $V'$  is the ideal of self-brackets in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Note that this is not a split as a short exact sequence of  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebras.

Now we can construct a (noncanonical)  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra structure on a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -bracket  $\{, \}$ .

**Construction 3.3.** ( $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure on  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebras.)

Fix an isomorphism  $\mathfrak{g} \cong V' \oplus W$  of weighted  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules such that  $W$  is isomorphic to  $V$  under the restriction of  $p$ . Denote by  $\langle, \rangle$  the canonical  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket on  $V = Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g})$  and consider  $V'$  as a trivial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra. Thus we obtain a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  as the product of  $V$  and  $V'$  with the above  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structures. By abuse of notation, we denote again by  $\langle, \rangle$  the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

In order to define an  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -module structure on  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  using the same construction as in Proposition 2.5, we give a concrete description of the splitting and produce a specific  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . There is an inclusion  $T_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} : \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with left adjoint  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}$  taking the indecomposables of brackets. Let  $B_0$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} U_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  and lift it to a subset of elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , equipped with a fixed arbitrary total ordering. Then elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are either in  $B_0$  or linear combinations of iterated brackets of basis elements in  $B_0$ . Hence as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module there is an embedding of  $W$  into the free  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra on the totally ordered set  $B_0$ . Thus we obtain a totally ordered  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis  $B$  of  $W$  generated by  $B_0$  via pullback. Pick a basis  $B_{V'}$  for the ideal  $V'$  of self brackets consisting of elements that are self-brackets of elements of  $B$ .

Now we define a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$  bracket  $[, ]$  using the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket  $\langle, \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  as the trivial extension of the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the identification of  $\bar{Q}^{|x|}|_x$  with the free self-bracket  $[x, x] \in \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g})$ . There is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis  $B'$  of  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  given by  $\bar{Q}'|_w$  with  $w \in B$  or  $w \in B_{V'}$ .

**Construction 3.4.** (sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -structure on  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ )

The bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  is defined on  $B'$  by requiring  $[\bar{Q}^I|w_1, \bar{Q}^J|w_2] = 0$  if  $I \neq \emptyset$  or  $J \neq \emptyset$ , and setting recursively along the ordering on  $B$

- 1) If  $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \in B$ , then  $[w_1, w_2] := \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ ;
- 2)  $[w, w] := \bar{Q}^{|w|}|w$  for  $w \in B'$ ;
- 3)  $[w_1, w_2] := [w_2, w_1]$  if  $w_1 > w_2$ ;
- 4)  $[w_1, w_2] := [w_3, [w_1, w_4]] + [w_4, [w_1, w_3]]$  if  $w_1 < w_2$  and  $w_2 = [w_3, w_4]$  with  $w_1 < w_3$ .

We can inductively define sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -structure on  $(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}})^{\text{ok}}(\mathfrak{g})$  for all  $k > 1$  by first trivially extending the sLie $_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket along the natural inclusion  $(\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}})^{\text{ok}-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}})^{\text{ok}}(\mathfrak{g})$  and then use Construction 3.4 to obtain a sLie $_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -bracket with self-bracket identified with the bottom nonvanishing operation. Then the free resolution

$$\overline{\text{AR}}(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})})$$

in the category of simplicial  $\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -modules is a simplicial sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra with brackets given

by the linear extension of  $[\alpha_0[\alpha_1|\dots|\alpha_n|x], \beta_0[\beta_1|\dots|\beta_n|y]] =$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{Q}^{|\alpha|}[\alpha] & \text{if } \alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1, [\alpha_1|\dots|\alpha_n|x] = [\beta_1|\dots|\beta_n|y] = [\alpha] \\ 1[1|\dots|1|\langle x, y \rangle] & \text{if } x \neq y \in B, \alpha_i = \beta_i = 1, 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ . Note in particular that we are implicitly imposing the identification of  $\bar{Q}^{|x|}|x$  with the self-bracket  $[1|x, 1|x]$  on the monad  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ , so that the face maps induced by  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$  are maps of sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebras.

To check that this is indeed a simplicial sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra, it suffices to check that  $d_0$  respects the sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -structure, i.e. the evaluation map  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a map of sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebras. The degeneracy maps and the rest of the face maps clearly respects the sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -structure since  $\overline{\text{AR}}(\mathfrak{g})$  is a weighted simplicial  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module. Note that it suffices to check the brackets on elements of the form  $1|x$  since brackets of operations are always zero. This follows from the observation that the original bracket  $\{\cdot, \cdot\}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be recovered from the bracket  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  and the identity  $\bar{Q}^{|x|}(x) = \{x, x\}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  coming from the evaluation of  $\bar{Q}^{|x|}|x = [x, x]$ , using the same recursive procedure in the construction of the bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

**Construction 3.5.** (1). The *additive bar construction* of a weighted sLie $_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to a fixed sLie $_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$  bracket  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  obtained by Construction 3.3 is the simplicial  $(\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra

$$\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}) := Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\overline{\text{AR}}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

It has underlying weighted simplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module

$$\text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{id}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})})$$

equipped with a levelwise sLie $_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket

$$\langle [\alpha_1|\alpha_2|\dots|\alpha_n|x], [\beta_1|\beta_2|\dots|\beta_n|y] \rangle = \begin{cases} [1|\dots|1|\langle x, y \rangle] & \text{if } \alpha_i = \beta_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}$  and  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

(2). The weighted  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebra

$$\mathbf{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}) := Q_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\overline{\mathbf{AR}}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

has underlying simplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module

$$\mathbf{Bar}_\bullet\left(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})}\right),$$

whose  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -bracket is given by first trivially extending the  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket on the subcomplex  $\mathbf{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  and then inductively adding on self-brackets  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha = \bar{Q}^{|\alpha|}|\alpha = [1|\alpha, 1|\alpha]$  on each level as in Construction 3.4. It is straightforward to check that this is indeed a simplicial  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . There is a weak equivalence of simplicial  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebras*

$$\mathbb{L}Q_{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}).$$

In particular, this implies that  $\pi_*(\mathbf{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$  and  $\pi_*(\mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathbf{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})))$  do not depend on the choice of the  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

*Proof.* Using the free resolution in  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we can rewrite

$$\mathbb{L}Q_{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) = Q_{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \mathbf{Bar}_\bullet(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}).$$

We want to show that there is a weak equivalence of simplicial  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebras

$$Q_{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \mathbf{Bar}_\bullet(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbf{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}).$$

There is a map of weighted  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -modules

$$\phi_0 : \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}} \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g})$$

defined by sending  $\bar{Q}$  operations to  $\bar{Q}$  operations and evaluating non self-brackets. More precisely, the map  $\phi$  is obtained from the underlying map of weighted  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules of the evaluation

$$\text{ev} : \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$$

of the  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket  $\langle , \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by requiring that  $\phi(\bar{Q}^j w) = \bar{Q}^j \phi(w)$  for all  $w \in \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ . This lifts to a map of  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -modules  $s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  by recursively building the  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -brackets on both side using the same basis  $B'$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as in construction 3.4, and by observing the brackets of operations are always zero.

This is *not* a map of monads. Nonetheless, we can construct a map of simplicial weighted  $\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -modules

$$\Phi_0 : \mathbf{Bar}_\bullet(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathfrak{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{AR}}(\mathfrak{g})$$

in a similar fashion, i.e,  $\Phi_0$  maps operations to operations while brackets of operations are always zero on simplicial degree  $> 0$ . Since both sides are free resolutions of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , this is a weak equivalence.

Next we want to show that  $Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}$  preserves this weak equivalence. Using the isomorphism

$$U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} \circ Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \cong U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ Q_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}},$$

we can rewrite the underlying map of simplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules of  $Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \Phi_0$  as

$$U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ Q_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ Q_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \circ U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \overline{\text{AR}}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

This is indeed a weak equivalence because on both sides we are applying the left Quillen functor  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}$  to a free resolution of the  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Thus we obtain an equivalence of simplicial weighted  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebras

$$\Phi : Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}).$$

□

Therefore the André-Quillen homology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be computed by the total complex

$$Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}} \circ Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \text{Bar}_{\bullet}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{Tot}\left(\text{Bar}_{\bullet}\left(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})\right)\right).$$

#### 4. COMPUTING THE ANDRÉ-QUILLEN HOMOLOGY

In this section we show that the the André-Quillen homology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be computed as the homology an even smaller complex  $\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ , where  $\widehat{\text{CE}}$  is a modified simplicial shifted version of the classical Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $\text{CE}$ . This allows us to obtain explicit computations of  $\text{AQ}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

##### 4.1. Modified Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.

4.1.1. *A comparison map.* Our strategy is to identify the André-Quillen homology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as the image of a monomorphism into the homology of the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of the additive bar construction  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  and identify the cokernel.

**Proposition 4.1.** *There is a monomorphism*

$$\Psi_* : H_*\left(\text{Tot}\left(\text{Bar}_{\bullet}\left(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})\right)\right)\right) \rightarrow H_*\left(\text{Tot}\left(\text{Bar}_{\bullet}\left(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})\right)\right)\right).$$

*Proof.* First we construct a map of weighted bisimplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules

$$\text{Bar}_{\bullet}\left(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})\right) \rightarrow \text{Bar}_{\bullet}\left(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})\right)$$

that kills all formal self-brackets. The weighted simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  was defined in Construction 3.5. In the simplicial direction, there is a map of weighted simplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules  $\psi : \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  given by  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha \mapsto 0, 1|\alpha \mapsto 1|\alpha$ . (Note that usually we suppress the 1 coming from the leftmost  $\text{id}$  in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ , so  $1|\alpha$  will be abbreviated as  $\alpha$ .)

Next we build a map in the homological ( $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ ) direction. For any graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module  $V$ , there is a map of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules  $\phi_1 : \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(V) \rightarrow \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}(V)$  that is the underlying map of  $Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}$ . Concretely, we fix a basis  $B$  of  $V$ . Then  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(V)$  can be computed by first taking Lyndon words as a basis for  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}(V)$  and then using Hall's procedure to recursively add in self-brackets along length of the Lyndon word. Note that as an  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -module  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(V)$  is the free  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -module on

$\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}(V)$ , and any bracket in  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}(V)$  can be written as a sum of Lyndon words in  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}(V)$  and  $\bar{Q}_0(\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}(V))$ . The map  $\phi_1$  is defined on the resulting basis by sending Lyndon words to Lyndon words and self-brackets identified with  $\bar{Q}_0$  to 0. Iterating this construction yields maps of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules  $\phi_k : (\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2})^{\circ k}(V) \rightarrow (\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}})^{\circ k}(V)$  for all  $k$ . Putting together the maps  $\psi$  and  $\phi_k$  yields a map of weighted bisimplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules

$$\Psi : \mathrm{Bar}_\bullet(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \mathrm{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bar}_\bullet(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}, \mathrm{AR}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Thus we obtain a map of total complexes

$$\Psi_* : C = \mathrm{Tot}\left(\mathrm{Bar}_\bullet(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \mathrm{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})), D\right) \rightarrow C' = \mathrm{Tot}\left(\mathrm{Bar}_\bullet(\mathrm{id}, \mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}, \mathrm{AR}(\mathfrak{g})), D'\right).$$

The total differentials are  $D = \partial + d$  and  $D' = \partial' + d'$ , where  $\partial$  and  $\partial'$  are in the homological ( $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ ) direction,  $d$  and  $d'$  are in the simplicial direction. We want to see how far away is  $\Psi_*$  from being a quasi-isomorphism.

Note that  $\mathrm{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  is a free simplicial  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -module. Furthermore,  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$  is a free  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -functor and the face and degeneracy maps in the  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$  direction respects the  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -module structure. Hence elements that are formal self-brackets in the  $\mathrm{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$  direction or of the form  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha \in \mathrm{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  in  $C$  do not appear in any nontrivial homology class. Indeed they are mapped to 0 under  $\Psi_*$ . Furthermore, the total differential  $D$  preserves self-brackets in the homological direction, with both source and target mapped to 0. Hence  $\Psi_*$  induces a monomorphism on homology.  $\square$

**4.1.2. Identifying the cokernel.** The obstruction to  $\Psi_*$  being a quasi-isomorphism consists of differentials in  $C$  whose targets are mapped to zero in  $C'$ , or equivalently boundaries in  $C$  that are in the kernel of  $\Psi_*$ . The images of these differentials under  $\Psi_*$  are generated by two types of cycles in  $C'$ :

I. Lyndon words that are mapped to self-brackets by the total differential  $D$  in  $C$ . For  $\beta$  a Lyndon word, if  $D\beta = [\alpha, \alpha] = \bar{Q}_0|\alpha$  in  $C$ , then  $\beta$  is not a cycle. In comparison,  $D'(\Psi_*(\beta)) = [\Psi_*(\alpha), \Psi_*(\alpha)] = 0$  in  $C'$ , so  $\Psi_*(\beta)$  is a cycle in  $C'$ .

II. Classes in  $\mathrm{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  of the form  $1|\bar{Q}_0|\alpha$ . If  $d(1|\bar{Q}_0|\alpha) = \bar{Q}_0|\alpha + 0 \in \mathrm{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$ , then  $1|\bar{Q}_0|\alpha$  is not a cycle in  $C$ , whereas  $d'(1|\bar{Q}_0|\alpha) = 0$  in  $\mathrm{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

The vanishing of every such differential has the potential of creating a pair of homology classes in  $H_*(C')$ . Our strategy is to identify all classes in  $C'$  that survive to homology precisely because they are of type I or II. Type II is easy to identify:  $d(1|\bar{Q}_0|\alpha) = \bar{Q}_0|\alpha \in \mathrm{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  if and only if  $d\alpha = 0$ , since  $\bar{Q}_0\alpha = 0$  by the instability condition. So  $\alpha$  has to be a cycle in  $\mathrm{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ , and  $1|\bar{Q}_0|\alpha = \bar{Q}_0|\alpha$  is a type II cycle. (Usually we suppress the  $1|$  coming from  $\mathrm{id}$  in  $\mathrm{id} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\circ k}(\mathfrak{g})$ , so here  $\bar{Q}_0$  lives in a copy of  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ .) To identify type I cycles we need to compute the homology of  $C'$ .

Recall from [May66A, Section 5] and [Pri70] that given a totally isotropic Lie algebra  $L$  over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , its  $\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}$ -algebra homology is computed by

$$H^{\mathrm{Lie}^{\mathrm{ti}}}(L) := H_*(\mathbb{L}Q_{\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathrm{ti}}}(L)[1] \oplus \mathbb{F}_2) = H_*(CE(L))$$



where the standard Chevalley-Eilenberg complex  $CE(L)$  is the exterior algebra on  $L[1]$  with differential  $\delta$  given by

$$\delta(\sigma x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [\sigma x_i, \sigma x_j] \wedge \sigma x_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\sigma x_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{\sigma x_j} \wedge \cdots \wedge \sigma x_n.$$

Since we are working with shifted ti-Lie algebras, we use a modified version for ease of notation.

Given a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $L$ , there are weak equivalences

$$(3) \quad N(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, L)) \simeq N(\Sigma \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{Lie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \Sigma^{-1}L)) \simeq \Sigma \overline{CE}(\Sigma^{-1}L[1])[-1],$$

where  $\overline{CE}$  is the reduced complex.

**Definition 4.2.** The *Chevalley-Eilenberg complex* for a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $L$  is  $\text{CE}(L) = (\Lambda(L), \delta)$ , where the exterior algebra  $\Lambda(L)$  has a shifted exterior product  $\otimes = \Sigma^{-1} \wedge [1]$  that increases homological degree by one and decreases internal degree by one, reflecting the behavior of shifted Lie brackets. The differential  $\delta$  is given by

$$\delta(x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} [x_i, x_j] \otimes x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{x}_i \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{x}_j \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n.$$

Then the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra homology of  $L$  is given by

$$H^{\text{sLie}^{\text{ti}}}(L) := H_*(\mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(L) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2) \cong H_*(N(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, L) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2)) \cong H_*(\text{CE}(L)),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from rearranging the right hand side in (3).

In the case where  $L$  is a simplicial  $(\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra, its Chevalley-Eilenberg complex is the simplicial chain complex obtained by applying the above construction levelwise. Then Dold-Kan correspondence says that homotopy groups of  $\text{CE}(L)$  are isomorphic to the homology of the total complex. The following simplicial weighted version of May's result is recorded in [BHK, Section 3]. Here we state the shifted version.

**Theorem 4.3.** ([BHK, 3.13]) *Let  $L$  be a simplicial  $(\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}})^{\mathbb{N}}$ -algebra. Then there is a natural isomorphism*

$$H_*^{\text{sLie}^{\text{ti}}}(L) := H_*(N(\mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(L) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2)) \cong H_*(\text{CE}(L)).$$

In the total complex of  $\text{CE}(L)$ , the differential in the homological direction is given by  $\delta$ . The differential  $d$  in the simplicial direction is obtained by applying the exterior algebra functor  $\Lambda$  to each differential  $d_i$  of the additive resolution and taking the alternating sum, i.e.

$$d = d_0 \otimes d_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_0 + \cdots + d_r \otimes d_r \otimes \cdots \otimes d_r.$$

Both differentials preserves weights.

Therefore, for  $\mathfrak{g} \in \text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbb{N}}$  with a fixed choice of  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure as in Construction 3.4, there is an isomorphism

$$H_*(C') = \pi_* \left( \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \right) \cong H_*(\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))).$$

We want to identify classes in  $\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  that are cycles precisely because their images under the total differential are linear sums of tensors of the form  $\alpha' \otimes (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$ , where the tensor  $\alpha'$  can be empty. Such tensors do not arise from the differential  $\delta$  on simplicial degree  $\geq 1$ :  $\text{CE}$  takes

levelwise exterior algebra and whereas  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  has trivial brackets on nondegenerate elements in positive simplicial degree. (See Construction 3.5.) They can only arise in the following two ways:

1) For  $\langle x, y \rangle = z$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  the CE differential on  $x \otimes y \otimes z \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_k$  has summand

$$\langle x, y \rangle \otimes z \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_k = z \otimes z \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \alpha_k.$$

To see this, note that the cycle in  $\text{Tot}(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})))$  corresponding to  $x \otimes y \otimes z \in \text{CE}(\text{AR})(\mathfrak{g})$  is

$$\beta = \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle + \langle \langle y, z \rangle, x \rangle + \langle \langle z, x \rangle, y \rangle \in \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}} \circ \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}(\mathfrak{g}),$$

where the two brackets live in different filtration degrees. Since  $x \otimes y \otimes z$  is a cycle, the image of  $\beta$  under the CE differential is  $\langle z, z \rangle + 0 + 0 = 0$ . However, the preimage of this differential under  $\Psi_*$  is  $[z, z] = \bar{Q}_0|z$ , which is not zero.

2) The image of some simplicial differential  $d(\beta' \otimes \beta) = d\beta' \otimes d\beta = d\beta' \otimes (\alpha \otimes \alpha)$ . This can happen only for  $\alpha$  in positive simplicial degree.

Hence it suffices to identify all classes  $\beta$  such that  $d\beta = \alpha \otimes \alpha = 0$  in the complex  $\Lambda(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ , where we forget the differential in the homological direction. The answer is provided by some classical computations due to Bousfield and Dwyer. They constructed operations on the homotopy of the exterior algebra of any simplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module  $V_\bullet$  and computed the  $\pi_*(\Lambda(V_\bullet))$  in terms of these operations.

**Theorem 4.4.** ([Dwy1, 2.1, 4.4], [Bou68]) *Let  $V_\bullet$  be a simplicial graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module. There are natural operations*

$$\gamma_i : \pi_k(\Lambda^d(V_\bullet)) \rightarrow \pi_{k+i}(\Lambda^{2d}(V_\bullet)), 1 \leq i \leq k$$

for all  $d \geq 1$  satisfying the relations

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j(x) = \sum_{(j+1)/2 \leq l \leq i-1} \binom{i-j+k-1}{i-k} \gamma_{i+j-l} \gamma_l(x) \quad \text{for all } i < 2j.$$

We briefly summarize Dwyer's construction of the operations presented in [Dwy1]. First we set up some notations. Let  $C(V_\bullet)$  and  $N(V_\bullet)$  be the unnormalized and normalized chain complex of  $V_\bullet$ . Denote by  $T$  the swap maps

$$C(V_\bullet) \otimes C(W_\bullet) \rightarrow C(W_\bullet) \otimes C(V_\bullet) \text{ or } N(V_\bullet \otimes W_\bullet) \rightarrow N(W_\bullet \otimes V_\bullet).$$

Let  $\phi_i(v \otimes w)$  be the residue class of  $v \otimes w$  in  $N(V_\bullet \otimes W_\bullet)_i$  for  $v \in V_i$  and  $w \in W_i$  and 0 otherwise. Denote by

$$D : (C(V_\bullet) \otimes C(W_\bullet))_k \rightarrow N(V_\bullet \otimes W_\bullet)_k$$

the Eilenberg-Zilber map. Then there are natural maps

$$D^i : (C(V_\bullet) \otimes C(W_\bullet))_{i+k} \rightarrow N(V_\bullet \otimes W_\bullet)_k$$

for  $0 \leq i \leq k$  such that

- (1)  $D^0 + TD^0T = D + \phi_0$ ;
- (2)  $D^i + TD^iT = dD^{i-1} + D^{i-1}d + \phi_i$ .

Let  $\rho : N(V_\bullet \otimes V_\bullet) \rightarrow N(\Lambda^2(V_\bullet))$  be the quotient map. Then  $\gamma_i : \pi_k(V_\bullet) \rightarrow \pi_{k+i}(\Lambda^2(V_\bullet))$  is defined to be

$$[\gamma_i(\alpha)] = [\rho D^{k-i}(\alpha \otimes \alpha)]$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and does not depend on the choice of the collection  $D^i$ . It follows that (cf. [HM, Theorem 3.9]) for  $\alpha \in V_m$

$$\begin{aligned} [d\gamma_i(\alpha)] &= [\gamma_i d(\alpha)], i > m > 1; \\ [d\gamma_m(\alpha)] &= [\alpha \otimes d\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have by [Dwy1, Remark 4.3]

$$d[\gamma_1(\alpha)] = [\alpha \otimes \alpha].$$

Therefore  $[\gamma_1(\alpha)]$  is a type I cycle for all  $\alpha \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ .

As a sanity check, we identify the classes  $\alpha' \in C = \text{Tot}\left(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}))\right)$  that are mapped to  $\gamma_1(\alpha)$  under  $\Psi_*$ . Suppose that  $[\bar{Q}^i|x]$  is a class in  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ , i.e.  $\bar{Q}^i(x) = 0$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with  $i \geq |x|$ . Then  $[\gamma_1(\bar{Q}^i|x)]$  is the cycle  $[1|\bar{Q}^i|x \otimes \bar{Q}^i|1|x]$  in  $\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  represented by the class

$$[1|\bar{Q}^i|x, \bar{Q}^i|1|x] \in \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})).$$

Up to boundary, its preimage under  $\Psi_*$  is given by

$$[1|1|\bar{Q}^i|x, 1|\bar{Q}^i|1|x] \in \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \circ \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset C_3.$$

Now  $\partial[1|1|\bar{Q}^i|x, 1|\bar{Q}^i|1|x]$  is the evaluation of the bracket  $[1|1|\bar{Q}^i|x, 1|\bar{Q}^i|1|x]$  in  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$ , which is zero, and

$$d[1|1|\bar{Q}^i|x, 1|\bar{Q}^i|1|x] = 0 + 0 + [1|\bar{Q}^i|x, 1|\bar{Q}^i|x] + 0 = [1|\bar{Q}^i|x, 1|\bar{Q}^i|x] \in \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2} \circ \text{id} \circ \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$$

in total degree 2, which is mapped to zero under  $\Psi$ . By functoriality of the operation  $\gamma_1$ , we deduce that if  $\alpha' \in C$  is mapped to  $\gamma_1(\alpha)$ , then up to boundary  $D(\alpha') = [1|\alpha, 1|\alpha]$  and  $\Psi_*(D(\alpha'))$  is zero in  $\text{Tot}\left(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))\right)$ .

Next we make use of Bousfield's computation of the homotopy groups of the exterior algebra on a simplicial graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module in terms of the  $\gamma$  operations to deduce that  $\gamma_1(\alpha)$  generates all type I cycles.

**Definition 4.5.** A sequence  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible if  $i_l \geq 2i_{l+1}$  for  $1 \leq l \leq m-1$ . The excess of  $I$  is  $e(I) = i_1 - i_2 - \dots - i_m$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** ([Bou68, 8.6], c.f. [HM, 3.19]) Let  $A$  be a graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for  $\pi_*(V_\bullet)$ . Then  $\pi_*(\Lambda(V_\bullet))$  is the graded exterior algebra with generators  $\gamma_I(\alpha)$ , where  $\alpha \in A$  and  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $e(I) \leq s(\alpha)$ , where  $s(\alpha)$  is the simplicial degree of the basis element  $\alpha$ .

We know already that  $\gamma_1(\alpha)$  are type I classes and  $\bar{Q}^0|\alpha$  are type II classes for  $[\alpha] \in \pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ . Now we need to check if  $\gamma_j$  applied to these classes are still type I or II classes.

Suppose that the sequence  $J = (j_m, \dots, j_1)$  with  $j_1 \geq 2$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with nonnegative excess,  $[\alpha]$  is a basis element of  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ , and  $\gamma_J \gamma_1(\alpha)$  has trivial  $\delta$  differential from the CE direction. Then  $\gamma_J \gamma_1(\alpha)$  is a homology class because

$$[d\gamma_J \gamma_1(\alpha)] = [\gamma_J d\gamma_1(\alpha)] = [\gamma_J(\alpha \otimes \alpha)] = 0.$$

So these are type I classes. The collection  $\{\gamma_J \gamma_1(\alpha)\}$  ranging over  $J$  and  $[\alpha]$  as such generates all type I classes under the exterior product. Similarly if  $2 \leq j_1 \leq s(\alpha)$ , where  $s(\alpha)$  is the simplicial degree of  $\alpha$ , then

$$[d\gamma_J(\bar{Q}^0|\alpha)] = [\gamma_J d(\bar{Q}^0|\alpha)] = [\gamma_J(\bar{Q}^0|\alpha)] = 0$$

and  $\gamma_J(\bar{Q}^{|\alpha|}|\alpha)$  is thus a type II class. If  $j_1 = s(\bar{Q}_0|\alpha)$  then  $\gamma_{j_1}(\bar{Q}_0|\alpha)$  is no longer defined. However, since  $\gamma_{j_1}$  is the top operation on  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha$ , we have

$$d[\gamma_{j_1}(\bar{Q}_0|\alpha)] = [\bar{Q}_0|\alpha \otimes d(\bar{Q}_0|\alpha)] = 0,$$

so  $\gamma_{j_1}(\bar{Q}_0|\alpha)$  is also a type II class. The collection  $\{\gamma_J(\bar{Q}_0|\alpha), j_1 \geq 2\}$  ranging over  $J$  and  $[\alpha]$  as such, along with the collection  $x \otimes y \otimes z$  where  $x, y, z$  survive to  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  and  $\langle x, y \rangle = z \in \mathfrak{g}$ , generates all type II classes under the exterior product.

4.1.3. *Modified Chevalley-Eilenberg complex.* Observe that in the normalized complex of

$$\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g})),$$

the differential sends  $[1|\bar{Q}^i|x, \bar{Q}^i|1|x] \in s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\circ 3}(\mathfrak{g})$  to  $\bar{Q}_0|\bar{Q}^i|x = [\bar{Q}^i|x, \bar{Q}^i|x] \in s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}^{\circ 2}(\mathfrak{g})$  on the left hand side. This suggests that we can construct a complex from  $\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  by "setting  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha$  to be  $\alpha \otimes \alpha$ " and thus get rid of the extra classes in  $H_*(\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})))$ . More precisely, we make the following construction:

**Construction 4.7.** (Modified Chevalley-Eilenberg complex) Let  $\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  be the bisimplicial chain complex obtained from  $\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  by changing the target of the differential  $\delta$  in the CE direction on  $\gamma_1(\alpha)$  from 0 to  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  for all  $\alpha$ , and similarly  $d\gamma_J\gamma_1(\alpha) = \gamma_J(\bar{Q}_0|\alpha)$  for all  $\gamma$ -admissible sequences  $(J, 1)$ . In addition, for  $\langle x, y \rangle = z \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we change the target of the differential  $\delta$  on  $[x \otimes y \otimes z \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_k]$  by replacing the summand  $[\langle x, y \rangle \otimes z \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_k] = 0$  in the target to  $[\bar{Q}_0|z \otimes \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_k]$ .

The resulting total differential  $\hat{D}$  satisfies  $\hat{D}^2 = 0$  since  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha = 0$  by the instability condition and brackets with operations are always zero. Furthermore,  $\gamma_1(\alpha)$  and  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha$  live in the same simplicial degree  $s(\alpha) + 1$  while their homological degree differ by one, so  $\hat{D}$  still lowers degree by 1.

Then  $H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})))$  is precisely the quotient of  $H_*(\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})))$  by all type I and type II classes, and we obtain the main theorem of the section.

**Theorem 4.8.** *The André-Quillen homology of a spectral Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is computed by*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 &= H_*(\mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g})) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \\ &\cong H_*\left(\text{Tot}(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \text{AR}_0(\mathfrak{g}))) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2\right) \cong H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))). \end{aligned}$$

4.2. **Explicit computations.** In the case where the  $s\text{Lie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is trivial, i.e. the differential in the CE-direction is zero, we can immediately deduce the answer.

**Corollary 4.9.** *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  a  $s\text{Lie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra with trivial bracket, then  $\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})))$  is an exterior graded algebra on generators indexed by  $\gamma_I(\alpha)$ , where*

- (1)  $[\alpha] \in \pi_m(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  is a basis element. If  $m \geq 2$  we further require that  $[\alpha] \neq [\bar{Q}^{|\beta|}|\beta]$  for some  $\beta \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ ;
- (2)  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r)$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $\gamma_{i_r} \geq 2$  and  $e(I) \leq m$ .

The homotopy group of  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  is very computable using of Priddy's machinery for computing the (co)homology of Koszul algebras in [Pri70]. If the  $s\text{Lie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is trivial, then we can easily obtain a basis for  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ .

First we deduce that  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  is a Koszul algebra from a sufficient condition given by Priddy. Let  $B$  be a basis for a quadratic algebra  $A$  with generators  $\{a_i\}$ . Define the  $S$ -label for  $a \in B$  to be

$(i_1, \dots, i_n)$  if  $a = a_{i_1} \cdots a_{i_n}$ . Denote by  $S$  the set of  $S$ -labels. We say that  $(B, S)$  is a *Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt* (PBW) basis if the following conditions hold:

(1) If  $(i_1, \dots, i_k), (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in S$ , then either  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_l) \in S$  or the  $S$ -label of each monomial appearing in the expression of  $I$  in terms of the basis  $B$  is greater than  $I$  in lexicographical order;

(2) For  $k > 2$ , the sequence  $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in S$  if and only if  $(i_1, \dots, i_j), (i_{j+1}, \dots, i_k) \in S$  for each  $1 \leq j < k$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** [Pri70, Theorem 5.3] *If  $A$  has a PBW basis then it is a homogeneous Koszul algebra.*

It follows that the CU basis (completely unadmissible sequences) of  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  is a PBW basis. Hence  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  is a Koszul algebra. In particular, the homology of  $\text{Tor}(x) := \text{Tor}^{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2\{x\})$  has a basis consisting of cycles indexed by  $[\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \cdots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | x]$ , where  $j_i \leq 2j_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ . ([Pri70, Theorem 2.5, 3.8])

If we impose the instability condition  $[\bar{Q}^j | \alpha] = 0$  for  $j < |\alpha|$ , then the basis of the homology of the unstable complex  $Un\text{Tor}(x_k) := Un\text{Tor}^{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\})$  is given by the basis of  $\text{Tor}(x_k)$  subject to the instability condition, i.e.  $j_m \geq |x|$  and

$$j_i \geq j_{i-1} - 1 + j_{i-2} - 1 + \cdots + j_m - 1 + |x|$$

for all  $i < m$ . To see this, observe that imposing the instability condition does not create new cycles: the differential on the bar complex is given by taking away one bar at a time. Suppose that  $d(\sum \alpha_i) = \sum \beta_j$  in  $\text{Tor}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(x_k)$  with distinct nonzero  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_j$ , then if all  $\beta_j$  vanish in  $Un\text{Tor}(x_k)$  so do the  $\alpha_i$ 's. On the other hand, if all  $\alpha_i$  vanish in  $Un\text{Tor}(x_k)$ , then at least one  $\beta_j$  would vanish for each  $\alpha_i$ , so  $d(\sum \beta_j) \neq 0$  in  $Un\text{Tor}(x_k)$ .

Combining with Bousfield's computation (Theorem 4.6), we have the following:

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a trivial  $s\text{Lie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra and  $B$  an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for  $\pi_*(\mathfrak{g})$ . Then*

$$\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g}) = H_*\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))\right)$$

is an exterior graded algebra on generators indexed by  $\gamma_l(\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \cdots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | x), x \in B$ , where

- (1)  $I$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $\gamma_r \geq 2$  and  $e(I) \leq m$ ;
- (2)  $j_m \geq |x|$ ;
- (3)  $j_{l+1} + \cdots + j_m + |x| - (m-l) \leq j_l \leq 2j_{l+1}$  for  $2 \leq l \leq m-1$ ;
- (4) if  $m \geq 2$ , then  $j_2 + \cdots + j_m + |x| - (m-1) < j_1 \leq 2j_2$ .

**Remark 4.12.** Let  $h$  be the homological degree coming from the  $\widehat{\text{CE}}$  direction,  $s$  the simplicial degree coming from the AR direction, and  $t$  the internal degree. The above basis of the exterior algebra  $\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  is generated by  $B$  and two types of operations:

- (1)  $\gamma_i$  sends  $[\alpha]_{(h,s,t)}$  to  $[\gamma_i(\alpha)]_{(2h+1,s+i,2t-1)}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq s$  and 0 otherwise.
- (2)  $\bar{Q}^j |$  sends  $[\alpha]_{(h,s,t)}$  to  $[\bar{Q}^j | \alpha]_{(h,s+1,t+j-1)}$  for  $j \geq t$  and 0 otherwise. Let  $B_\bullet := \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{g})$ .

The  $\bar{Q}^j |$  operation is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(B_m) &\xrightarrow{\bar{Q}^j} H_{*+j}((B_m)_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2}) \cong H_{*+j-1}(\partial_2(\text{id}) \otimes (B_m)_{h\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2}) \\ &\xrightarrow{\iota_*} H_{*+j-1}(s\mathcal{L}(B_m)) = H_{*+j-1}(B_{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $m \geq 0$ , where  $Q^j$  is a Dyer-Lashof operation and  $\iota$  is the inclusion of the second summand of the free spectral Lie algebra on  $V_m$ . This can be thought of as a divided power cooperation on the coalgebra  $H_*(B_\bullet)$ .

If the bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not trivial, the André-Quillen homology of  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be computed in terms of a variant of its  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra homology. The key observation is that  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  has trivial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure away from simplicial degree 0 and its degeneracies, as described in Construction 3.5.(1). An explicit basis for  $H_*(\text{CE}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})))$  can be obtained using the following algebraic result about simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebras whose simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure is essentially concentrated in simplicial degree 0.

**Definition 4.13.** For a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we say that it is *supported entirely* by a sub- $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $L$  if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is isomorphic to the product  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $N \oplus L$ , where  $N \subset \mathfrak{g}$  a sub- $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra with trivial brackets.

**Lemma 4.14.** *Suppose that  $V_\bullet = \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \mathbf{T}, A)$  is a simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra, where  $\mathbf{T}$  is a monad in  $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ . Identify the cokernel of  $d_0 - d_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_0$  with a submodule  $L$  of  $V_0$  as the complement of  $\text{im}(d_0 - d_1)$ . Suppose that  $L$  is a sub- $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra of  $V_0$ , and  $V_0$  is supported entirely by  $L$ . Suppose further that for all  $m \geq 1$ , the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $V_m$  is supported entirely by the degeneracies coming from  $L$ . Then*

$$\pi_*(\text{CE}(V_\bullet)) = \Lambda\{\gamma_i(\alpha), \alpha \in A\} \otimes H^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}(L),$$

where  $A$  be a graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for  $\pi_{\geq 1}(V_\bullet)$  and  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq \emptyset$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $e(I) \leq s(\alpha)$ .

*Proof.* Each  $V_m$  splits as  $V'_m \oplus L_m$ , where  $L_m$  is the sub- $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra consisting of (degeneracies of)  $L$ . Furthermore, this splitting respects the simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra structure of  $V_\bullet$  since  $L$  is the complement of the image of  $d_0 - d_1 : V_1 \rightarrow V_0$ . Hence  $V_\bullet \cong V'_\bullet \oplus L_\bullet$  as simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebras and thus  $\text{CE}(V_\bullet) \cong \text{CE}(V'_\bullet) \otimes \text{CE}(L_\bullet)$ , where  $V'_\bullet$  is a trivial simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra and  $L_\bullet$  the constant simplicial object on  $L$ . The lemma follows from Theorem 4.6 along with a straightforward comparison between  $H_*(\text{CE}(L_\bullet))$  and  $\pi_*(\Lambda(L_\bullet))$ .  $\square$

Here we take  $V_\bullet = \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Its the simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure is supported entirely by  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  in simplicial degree 0, since brackets of operations are always zero. Furthermore, the total left derived functor  $H_*(\mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}}(U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathfrak{g}}})) = \pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  has  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}}(U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}))$  as its zeroth homotopy group. Via a choice of basis, we can regard the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra  $Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}}U_{\text{Mod}_{\mathcal{R}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  as a sub- $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Denote by  $H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$  the quotient of  $H_*(\text{CE}(L))$  by all classes whose image under the differential  $\delta$  is nonzero considered as an element in the symmetric algebra on  $L$ . Then combining Lemma 4.14 with  $L = Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g})$  and Theorem 4.8 yields the following.

**Theorem 4.15.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be any  $\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}$ -algebra with  $A_\bullet$  a graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ . Then*

$$\text{AQ}_*^{\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \cong H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))) \cong \Lambda\{\gamma_i(\alpha)\} \otimes H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(Q_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathfrak{g}))),$$

where

- (1)  $[\alpha] \in A_m, m \geq 1$  is a basis element. If  $m \geq 2$  we further require that  $[\alpha] \neq [\bar{Q}^{|\beta|}|\beta]$  for some  $\beta \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ ;
- (2)  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_r) \neq \emptyset$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $\gamma_i \geq 2$  and  $e(I) \leq m$ .

The homotopy group of  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  is often computable, as we shall see later in the paper.

**4.3. Shifted Lie algebra homology.** We end the section with a brief description of how to compute the homology of  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebras using the above method for computing André-Quillen homology of  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}$ -algebras. The only difference is that we only need to consider the bottom operation  $\bar{Q}_0$  rather than all the operations  $\bar{Q}^j$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebra with a chosen  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket  $\langle, \rangle$  given by Construction 3.3. Denote by  $\mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}$  the monad again the free  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -module functor. Then the free resolution

$$\overline{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}, U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

in the category of simplicial  $\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}$ -modules is a simplicial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebra with brackets given by the linear extension of  $[\alpha_0[\alpha_1|\dots|\alpha_n|x], \beta_0[\beta_1|\dots|\beta_n|y]] =$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{Q}_0[\alpha] & \text{if } \alpha_0 = \beta_0 = 1, [\alpha_1|\dots|\alpha_n|x] = [\beta_1|\dots|\beta_n|y] = [\alpha] \\ 1[1|\dots|1|\langle x, y \rangle] & \text{if } x \neq y \in \mathcal{B}, \alpha_i = \beta_i = 1, 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \{1, \bar{Q}_0\}$ .

The  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0$ -bar construction is given by

$$\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}) := \mathcal{Q}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}, U_{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

with levelwise  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$  brackets

$$\langle [\alpha_1|\alpha_2|\dots|\alpha_n|x], [\beta_1|\beta_2|\dots|\beta_n|y] \rangle = \begin{cases} [1|\dots|1|\langle x, y \rangle] & \text{if } \alpha_i = \beta_i = 1, 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_j \in \{1, \bar{Q}_0\}$  and  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

The  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebra homology is given by

$$H^{\text{sLie}}(\mathfrak{g}) := H_*(N(\mathbb{L}\mathcal{Q}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g})) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \pi_*(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2).$$

Note that the bisimplicial complex  $\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$  has the same homotopy groups as  $\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \mathfrak{g})$  because  $\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \mathfrak{g})$  is a free resolution. Now we follow the same recipe in Proposition 4.1 to build a map of bisimplicial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -modules

$$\Phi : \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}, \bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$$

that induces a monomorphism in the homology of total complexes by sending self-brackets identified as  $\bar{Q}_0$  to 0 and  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -brackets to  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -brackets in the homological ( $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ ) direction, and  $\bar{Q}_0|\alpha$  to 0,  $1|\alpha$  to  $1|\alpha$  in  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  for all  $\alpha$ . The cokernel of  $\Phi_*$  is identified in the same way as the cokernel of  $\Psi_*$  in Proposition 4.1 because both arise from boundaries in the kernel of the map that kills  $\bar{Q}_0$  operations, so

$$\pi_*(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}, \mathfrak{g})) \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \cong H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g})))$$

as in Theorem 4.8.

Note that  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g})$  satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 4.14, so

$$\pi_*(\text{CE}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))) = \Lambda\{\gamma_i(\alpha), \alpha \in A\} \otimes H^{\text{sLie}^{\text{di}}}(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{di}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g})),$$

where  $A$  be a graded  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for  $\pi_{\geq 1}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$  and  $I = (i_1, \dots, i_m) \neq \emptyset$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $e(I) \leq s(\alpha)$ . On the other hand, in  $\pi_{\geq 1}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$  all cycles are of the form  $[\bar{Q}_0|\bar{Q}_0|\dots|\bar{Q}_0|x]$ . Hence after modding out the cokernel of  $\Phi_*$ , all cycles in  $\pi_{\geq 2}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$  go away. Denote by  $H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$  the quotient of  $H_*(\text{CE}(L))$  by all classes whose image under the differential  $\delta$  is nonzero considered as an element in the symmetric algebra on  $L$ . Then we obtain the analog of Theorem 4.15.

**Corollary 4.16.** *Let  $B$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $\pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$ . The  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}$ -algebra homology is given by*

$$H^{\text{sLie}}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \Lambda\{x \in B\} \otimes H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\mathcal{Q}_{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{di}}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}(\mathfrak{g}))).$$

Concretely, cycles in  $\pi_1(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_0(\mathfrak{g}))$  are of the form  $[\bar{Q}_0|x]$ , where  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  satisfies  $\bar{Q}_0(x) = 0$  and  $x \neq \bar{Q}_0(y)$  for any  $y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

## 5. APPLICATION TO KNUDSEN'S SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

The rest of the paper is devoted to studying the mod  $p$  homology of labeled configuration spaces using the computation of André-Quillen homology of spectral Lie algebras.

Let  $M$  be a manifold of dimension  $n$  and  $V$  a spectrum. The configuration space of  $k$ -points in  $M$  labeled by  $V$  is the spectrum

$$B_k(M; V) = \Sigma_+^\infty \text{Conf}_k(M) \otimes_{\Sigma_k} V^{\otimes k},$$

considered as a weighted spectra of weight  $k$ . In [Knu18], Knudsen established the following equivalence using factorization homology. Denote by  $s\mathcal{L}$  the monad associated to the free spectral Lie algebra functor  $\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}$ . The  $\infty$ -category of spectral Lie algebras is cotensored in Spaces, and we write  $(-)^{M^+}$  for the cotensor with the one-point compactification of  $M$  in this category.

**Theorem 5.1.** ([Knu18, Section 3.4], cf. [BHK, Theorem 5.1]) *Let  $M$  be a parallelizable  $n$ -manifold and  $V$  a spectrum. Consider  $V$  as a weighted spectrum of weight 1. Then there is an equivalence of weighted spectra*

$$\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} B_k(M; V) \simeq | \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}, \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}) |.$$

The left hand side is weighted by the index  $k$  and right hand side induced by the weight on  $V$ .

To compute the mod 2 homology for each weight  $k$ , we use the spectral sequence obtained from the skeletal filtration of the geometric realization of the bar construction

$$(4) \quad E_{s,t}^2(k) = H_s\left(\pi_t\left(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}, \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\right)\right)(k) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(B_k(M; V); \mathbb{F}_2).$$



Applying Theorem 2.7 repeatedly, we can rewrite the  $E^2$  page as

$$\begin{aligned} E_{s,t}^2 &= H_s \left( \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \pi_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2)) \right)_t \\ &\cong H_s \left( Q_{\text{Mod}\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}, s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+})) \right)_t \\ &\cong H_s \left( \mathbb{L}Q_{\text{Mod}\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+})) \right)_t. \end{aligned}$$

Adding a copy of  $\mathbb{F}_2$  for  $H_*(B_0(M;V))$  in weight 0 and bidegree  $(0,0)$  to the  $E^2$  page of the spectral sequence (4), we obtain the following.

**Proposition 5.2.** *There is a spectral sequence*

$$E_{s,t}^2 = \text{AQ}_s^{\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+})_t \oplus \mathbb{F}_2 \cong H_s(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+})))_t \Rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_{s+t}(B_k(M;V)).$$

The  $\text{sLie}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}$ -algebra structure on the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module

$$H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}) \cong \tilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)) \cong \tilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes s\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\tilde{H}_*(\Sigma^n V))$$

has an explicit description.

**Proposition 5.3.** [BHK, Proposition 5.9] *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a spectral Lie algebra. Then the cotensor  $\mathfrak{g}^{M^+}$  is a spectral Lie algebra structure on the cotensor  $\mathfrak{g}^{M^+}$  in the category of spectra. The weight 2 structural map factors as*

$$\partial_2(\text{Id}) \otimes (\mathbb{D}(M^+) \otimes \mathfrak{g})_{\hbar\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(M^+)_{\hbar\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2} \otimes (\partial_2(\text{Id}) \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\hbar\Sigma_2}^{\otimes 2}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}(\delta^*) \otimes \xi_*} \mathbb{D}(M^+) \otimes \mathfrak{g},$$

where  $\mathbb{D}$  is the Spanier-Whitehead dual and  $\delta$  the diagonal embedding.

As a result, the shifted Lie bracket on  $\tilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes H_*(\mathfrak{g})$  is given by

$$[y_1 \otimes x_1, y_2 \otimes x_2] := (y_1 \cup y_2) \cdot [x_1, x_2].$$

Applying the Cartan formula  $Q^j(y \otimes x) = \sum_i Q^{j-i}(y) \otimes Q^i(x)$  for the extended Dyer-Lashof operations  $Q^j : x \mapsto e_{j-|x|} \otimes x \otimes x$  and the identification  $Q^{-i} = Sq^i$  ([May70]) to the definition of the  $\bar{Q}$  operations yields the following:

**Proposition 5.4.** *The operations  $\bar{Q}^j$  act on  $\tilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes H_*(\mathfrak{g})$  by*

$$\bar{Q}^j(y \otimes x) = \sum_i Sq^{i-j}(y) \otimes \bar{Q}^i(x).$$

Now we apply Theorem 4.11 to the case where  $M$  is the Euclidean space. While the homology for  $B_k(\mathbb{R}^n; V)$  is well-known, the computation of the  $E^2$ -page will be useful in deducing the  $E^2$ -page for general  $M$ .

**5.1. The universal case.** In the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , we know that  $\Omega^n \mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}^{M^+}$  has trivial brackets. Plugging in  $V = \Sigma^\infty S^k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}) &\cong \mathbb{F}_2\{t_n\} \otimes H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^\infty S^{n+k})) \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_2\{t_n\} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^J x_{n+k}, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(n+k)\} \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^J x_k, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(n+k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}(n)$  is the quotient of  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$  by the relations  $\bar{Q}^{j_1} \cdots \bar{Q}^{j_k} = 0$  if  $j_1 < j_2 + \cdots + j_k + n$ .

Since  $\widetilde{H}^*(S^n) = \mathbb{F}_2\{\iota_n\}$  is concentrated in one dimension, the only nontrivial Steenrod operation is  $Sq^0 = \text{id}$ , so

$$\bar{Q}^j(\iota_n \otimes x) = \sigma^{-n} \bar{Q}^j(x) = \bar{Q}^j(\sigma^{-n}x).$$

In the limiting case, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H_*(\text{Free}^{s, \mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}) \cong \mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\},$$

and the spectral sequence reads

$$(5) \quad E_{s,t}^2 = H_s\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}))\right)_t \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^k).$$

We can immediately read off the  $E^2$  page from Theorem 4.11 by plugging in the trivial  $\text{sLie}_{\mathcal{R}}$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** *The  $E^2$ -page of the spectral sequence*

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}))\right)_t \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^k) \quad (5)$$

is an exterior graded algebra on generators indexed by  $\gamma_l(\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \cdots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | x_k)$ , where

- (1)  $I$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $\gamma_r \geq 2$  and  $e(I) \leq m$ ;
- (2)  $j_m \geq k$ ;
- (3)  $j_{l+1} + \cdots + j_m + k - (m-l) \leq j_l \leq 2j_{l+1}$  for  $2 \leq l \leq m-1$ ;
- (4) if  $m \geq 2$ , then  $j_2 + \cdots + j_m + k - (m-1) < j_1 \leq 2j_2$ .

In other words, the exterior algebra on the  $E^2$ -page is generated by one class  $x_k$  and two types of operations

$$\bar{Q}^j | : E_{h,s,t}^2 \rightarrow E_{h,s+1,t+j-1}^2$$

for  $j \geq t$  and

$$\gamma_i : E_{h,s,t}^2 \rightarrow E_{2h+1,s+i,2t-1}^2$$

for  $2 \leq i \leq s$  under a further splitting of the filtration degree into a sum of homological degree  $h$  coming from the  $\widehat{\text{CE}}$  direction and simplicial degree  $s$  coming from the AR direction.

Comparing with the computation of May ([May72]) of  $H_*(\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^k)$ , which is the  $E^\infty$ -page, we can immediately deduce that the  $E^2$ -page is much larger.

**Corollary 5.6.** *The spectral Lie operad in the category of  $H\mathbb{F}_2$ -module spectra is not formal, i.e.  $C_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2)$  is not quasi-isomorphic to  $H_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2)$  as  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -linear symmetric operads.*

*Proof.* The  $E^\infty$ -page is the homology of

$$C_*(|\text{Bar}_\bullet(I, \partial_*(\text{Id}), S^k)|; \mathbb{F}_2) \simeq |\text{Bar}_\bullet(I, C_*(\partial_*(\text{Id})), \Sigma^k \mathbb{F}_2)|.$$

Here the bar construction is the operadic bar construction with  $I$  the unit of symmetric sequences. Suppose that  $C_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2)$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $H_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2)$  as symmetric sequences. Then the spectral sequence (5) is isomorphic to the one obtained by the skeletal filtration of  $|\text{Bar}_\bullet(I, H_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2), \Sigma^k \mathbb{F}_2)|$ . The latter has the same  $E^2$ -page but no higher differentials, since  $H_*(\partial_*(\text{Id}); \mathbb{F}_2)$  has trivial differentials, a contradiction.  $\square$

In comparison, the spectral Lie operad is formal over  $\mathbb{Q}$  since Knudsen's spectral sequence for rational homology collapses at  $E^2$ . ([Knu17].)

Using sparsity arguments, we can identify higher differentials in low degrees, which allows us to make the following conjecture about the higher differentials.

**Conjecture 5.7.** *Each page of the spectral sequence*

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s \left( \widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\})) \right)_t \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^k)$$

*is an exterior algebra, and the higher differentials are generated on the exterior generators by*

$$d^r \gamma_r(\alpha) = \bar{Q}^{|\alpha|+r-1}(\alpha)$$

*for  $\alpha = [\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | x_k]$  with  $m > r$ ,*

$$d^m \gamma_m(\alpha) = d^m(\alpha) \otimes \alpha$$

*for  $\alpha = [\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | x_k]$ , and*

$$\gamma_i d^r(\beta) = d^{2r-1} \gamma_{i+r-2}(\beta)$$

*for  $i > r$ .*

**Remark 5.8.** The pattern in the universal case is similar to the pattern of universal higher differentials in [Dwy2, Proposition 2.6]. The Dyer-Lashof operations  $Q^j$  on the  $E^\infty$ -page should be represented by the surviving  $\bar{Q}^j$  operations. On the  $E^2$ -page, the admissibility condition for  $\bar{Q}^j$  allows for more admissible sequences than the Dyer-Lashof algebra. The  $\gamma_i$  operations eliminate the  $\bar{Q}^j$  operations that do not satisfy the admissibility condition for Dyer-Lashof operations via higher differentials.

Proving these universal differentials is work in progress. We remark that the higher differentials could arise from operadic Massey products in the bar-cobar spectral sequence for operads. This would be a generalization of May's proof in [May66B] that Massey products of the cohomology of a DGA  $A$  give rise to higher differentials in the bar-cobar spectral sequence  $E_{*,*}^2 = H_{*,*}(H^*(A)) \Rightarrow A^*$ .

**Remark 5.9.** The spectral sequence we study is analogous to a spectral sequence computing the mod 2 TAQ homology of  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebras. In unpublished work, Basterra and Mandell studied the Miller spectral sequence

$$E^2 = \text{AQ}^{DL}(H_*(A; \mathbb{F}_2)) \Rightarrow \text{TAQ}(A; \mathbb{F}_2) = \pi_*(\text{Bar}_\bullet(H\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{E}_\infty, A))$$

for any  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$ -algebra  $A$ . (cf. [Law, Proposition 1.8.9]) The  $E^2$ -page is the André-Quillen homology of  $A$ , computed as the total left derived functor of the Dyer-Lashof algebra structure along with the product structure. In this case, however, the spectral sequence collapses on the  $E^2$ -page, so the operations  $R^{j+1} = Q^j$  on  $\text{AQ}^{DL}(H_*(A; \mathbb{F}_2))$  gives rise to operations on  $\text{TAQ}(A; \mathbb{F}_2)$ .

**5.2. The Euclidean space.** Now we consider the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$\mathfrak{g} = H_*(\Omega^n \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n S^k)) \cong \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^J x_k, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(n+k)\}$$

with trivial brackets. The strategy is to compare the complex  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  with  $\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\})$  considered above in the limiting case.

Note that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^J x_k, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(n+k)\} \subset \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\})$$

is a sub allowable  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ -module and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_*(\text{AR}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}))) &= H_*\left(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, \mathcal{A}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}, \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}))\right) \\ &= H_*\left(Q_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}} \text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_2}}^{\text{Mod}_{\bar{\mathcal{R}}}}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\})\right) \\ &= \mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence any nontrivial cycle  $\alpha$  of  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  must have a component of the form  $[\cdots \bar{Q}^j | x_k]$  with  $k \leq j < n+k$ ; otherwise the differential from  $\alpha$  in  $\text{AR}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod } \mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{Mod } \bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}))$  is in the image of the inclusion  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \text{AR}(\text{Free}_{\text{Mod } \mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{Mod } \bar{\mathcal{R}}}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}))$  of subcomplex. On the other hand, such cycle  $\alpha$  also lives in  $\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\})$ . If  $d\beta = \alpha$  in  $\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\})$ , then  $\beta \in \text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  and  $d\beta = \alpha$  in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  and vice versa. Therefore  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$  has a basis indexed by  $[\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \cdots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | x_k]$  where

- (1)  $j_l \leq 2j_{l+1}$  and  $j_l \geq j_{l+1} + \cdots + j_m + k - (m-l)$  for  $1 \leq l \leq m-1$ ;
- (2)  $k \leq j_m < n+k$ .

By induction  $j_l < j_{l+1} + \cdots + j_m + k + n$  for all  $l$ .

It follows from Corollary 5.5 that the  $E^2$ -page of the spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))\right)_t \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(\Omega^n \Sigma^n S^k)$$

is an exterior graded algebra on generators indexed by  $\mathcal{H}(\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \cdots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | x_k)$ , where

- (1)  $I$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $\gamma_r \geq 2$  and  $e(I) \leq m$ ;
- (2)  $j_l \leq 2j_{l+1}$  and  $k \leq j_m < n+k$ ;
- (3) If  $m \geq 2$  then  $j_l \geq j_{l+1} + \cdots + j_m + k - (m-l)$  for  $2 \leq l < m$  and  $j_1 > j_2 + \cdots + j_m + k - (m-1)$ .

**Remark 5.10.** There is an injection of  $E^2$ -pages

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))\right)_t \subset \tilde{E}_{s,t}^2 = H_s\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{x_k\}))\right)_t.$$

This injection is induced by the inclusion of the set of generators of the graded exterior algebra, which in turn is induced by the canonical map of spectral Lie algebras

$$\Omega^n \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n \mathbb{S}^k) \rightarrow \Omega^\infty \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^\infty \mathbb{S}^k).$$

5.2.1. *With coefficients.* Next, we take up a slightly more complicated case, i.e.  $B_k(\mathbb{R}^n; V)$  with labels in a connective spectrum  $V$ . The spectral sequence reads

$$(6) \quad E_{s,t}^2 = H_s\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(H_*(\Omega^n \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n X))))\right)_t \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(\Omega^n \Sigma^n X),$$

Write  $\tilde{H}_*(V; \mathbb{F}_2) \cong \bigoplus_{k,l} \mathbb{F}_2\{x_{k,l}\}$ , where  $\{x_{k,l}\}_l$  is an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $H_k(V; \mathbb{F}_2)$  for each  $k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g} &= H_*(\Omega^n \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)) \cong \mathbb{F}_2\{\mathfrak{l}_n\} \otimes H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)) \\ &\cong \mathbb{F}_2\{\mathfrak{l}_n\} \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^J w, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(d(w))\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

by [AC, Proposition 7.3]. Here  $W$  is the set of Lyndon words on the set of letters  $\{\sigma^n x_{k,l}\}_{k,l}$ , which is a basis for the free  $\text{sLie}^{\text{fi}}$ -algebra on generators  $\{\sigma^n x_{k,l}\}_{k,l}$ .

We define the *degree* of a word  $w \in W$  to be

$$d(w) = 1 + \sum_{k,l} m_{k,l}(w)(n+k-1),$$

where  $m_{k,l}(w)$  counts the number of times the letter  $\sigma^n x_{k,l}$  appears in  $w$ . Set

$$\mathfrak{g}_w = \mathbb{F}_2\{\mathfrak{l}_n\} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^J w, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(n+|w|)\}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathfrak{g}_w$  with trivial brackets. Note that this splitting is induced by an equivalence of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ - $s\mathcal{L}$ -algebras

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V) \right)^{(\mathbb{R}^n)^+} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2 &\simeq \mathbb{D}(S^n) \otimes \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V \otimes \mathbb{F}_2) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{D}(S^n) \otimes \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}\left(\bigvee_{x_{k,l}} \Sigma^{n+k} \mathbb{F}_2\right) \\ &\simeq \bigvee_{w \in W} \left( \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^{d(w)} \mathbb{F}_2) \right)^{(\mathbb{R}^n)^+}. \end{aligned}$$

The last equivalence makes use of Corollary 5.13 in [AB]. This equivalence is only that of  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module spectra if we did not kill the brackets by cotensoring with  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^+$ .

Hence the spectral sequence (6) splits as

$$\begin{aligned} E^2 &= H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\bigoplus_{w \in W} \mathfrak{g}_w))) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{w \in W} H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}_w))) \Rightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in W} H_*(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}, \Omega^n \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n \mathbb{S}^{d(w)-n}))). \end{aligned}$$

## 6. MOD 2 HOMOLOGY OF $B_k(M; V)$ FOR GENERAL $M$

Next, we use our methods to extract information about  $H_*(B_*(M; V))$  where  $M$  is a parallelizable  $n$ -manifold possibly with nontrivial Steenrod action on  $\widetilde{H}^*(M^+)$ . The  $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$ -module structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is given by

$$\bar{Q}^j(y \otimes \alpha) = \sum_{0 \leq s \leq n} S q^{j+s}(y) \otimes \bar{Q}^s(\alpha).$$

Let  $H \cup \{z\}$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_2$  basis for the ring  $H^*(M^+)$  where  $z$  corresponds to the added point in the one-point compactification and  $H$  a basis for  $\widetilde{H}^*(M^+)$ .

Let  $\widetilde{B} = \{v_i\}_i$  be a totally ordered basis for  $H_*(V; \mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $B = \{\sigma^n v_i\}_i$  with the induced ordering. Denote by  $W$  the set of basic products on the set  $B$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{g} = \widetilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, y \in H} \mathbb{F}_2 \{y^w\} \otimes \mathbb{F}_2 \{\bar{Q}^J w, J \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}(|w|)\}$$

with shifted Lie brackets given on basis elements by

$$[y_1 \otimes w_1, y_2 \otimes w_2] = (y_1 \cup y_2) \otimes [[w_1, w_2]]$$

for  $w_1 \neq w_2 \in W$ ,

$$[y_1 \otimes w, y_2 \otimes w] = (y_1 \cup y_2) \otimes \bar{Q}^{|w|} w$$

for  $w \in W$ , and 0 otherwise. Fix a  $s\text{Lie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -bracket  $\langle, \rangle$  as in Construction 3.3. Explicitly, we can choose  $\langle, \rangle$  to be given on basis elements by

$$\langle y_1 \otimes w_1, y_2 \otimes w_2 \rangle = (y_1 \cup y_2) \otimes [[w_1, w_2]]$$

for  $(y_1, w_1) \neq (y_2, w_2)$  and 0 otherwise.

**6.1. The  $E^2$ -page and low weight computations.** We use Theorem 4.15 to compute the  $E^2$ -page. Let  $L = \tilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{W\}$  with brackets defined by the restricting  $\langle , \rangle$  to  $L$ .

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $L = \tilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{W\}$  with  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{tr}}$ -bracket defined by*

$$\langle y_1 \otimes w_1, y_2 \otimes w_2 \rangle = (y_1 \cup y_2) \otimes \llbracket w_1, w_2 \rrbracket$$

for  $(y_1, w_1) \neq (y_2, w_2)$ . Then the  $E_2$ -page of the spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s\left(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))\right)_t \Rightarrow \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} H_{s+t}(B_k(M; V))$$

is given by

$$E_{*,*}^2 \cong \Lambda(\gamma(|\bar{Q}^{j_1}| \cdots |\bar{Q}^{j_m}|(y \otimes w)), m \geq 1, y \otimes w \in L) \otimes H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)),$$

where for fixed  $y \otimes w$  we require  $\gamma(|\bar{Q}^{j_1}| \cdots |\bar{Q}^{j_m}|(y \otimes w))$  satisfy the conditions  $(*)$

- (1)  $I$  is  $\gamma$ -admissible with  $\gamma_r \geq 2$  and  $e(I) \leq m$ ;
- (2)  $j_l \leq 2j_{l+1}$  for  $1 \leq l < m$ ;
- (3)  $|w| - |y| \leq j_m < |w|$ ;
- (4) If  $m \geq 2$  then  $j_l \geq j_{l+1} + \cdots + j_m + (|w| - |y|) - (m - l)$  for  $2 \leq l \leq m - 1$  and  $j_1 \geq j_2 + \cdots + j_m + (|w| - |y|) - (m - 1)$ .

In particular, the  $E^2$ -page depends on the the cohomology  $H^*(M^+)$  as a ring, but not as a module over the Steenrod algebra.

*Proof.* In order to employ Theorem 4.15, we make use of a spectral sequence to compute  $\pi_*(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ . We abuse notation here and denote again by  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  the associated chain complex of  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Filter  $\mathfrak{g}$  by

$$F_{-p}(\mathfrak{g}) = \tilde{H}^{\geq p}(M^+) \otimes H_*(\text{Free}^{s, \mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, y \in H, |y| \geq p} \mathbb{F}_2\{y \otimes \bar{Q}^J w, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(|w|)\}$$

with associated graded

$$G_{-p}(\mathfrak{g}) = F_{-p}(\mathfrak{g})/F_{-p-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, y \in H, |y|=p} \mathbb{F}_2\{y \otimes \bar{Q}^J w, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(|w|)\}.$$

Since Steenrod operations increase cohomological degree, the induced filtration

$$F_{-p}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{AR}(F_{-p}(\mathfrak{g}))$$

makes  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  a filtered chain complex. The associated graded are

$$G_{-p}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})) = \text{AR}(G_{-p}(\mathfrak{g})) = \bigoplus_{w \in W, y \in H, |y|=p} \text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{y \otimes \bar{Q}^J w, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(|w|)\})$$

and the induced differential preserves direct summands.

Using the case  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{-p,q}^1 &= H_{-p+q}(G_p(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, y \in H, |y|=p} \pi_*\left(\text{AR}(\mathbb{F}_2\{y \otimes \bar{Q}^J w, J \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(|w|)\})\right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{w \in W, y \in H, |y|=p} \mathbb{F}_2\{[\bar{Q}^{j_1}| \cdots |\bar{Q}^{j_m}|(y \otimes w)], (j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}(p, |w|)\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\mathcal{R}}(p, |w|)$  is the set of sequences  $(j_1, \dots, j_m)$  such that

- (1)  $j_l \leq 2j_{l+1}$  for  $1 \leq l < m$  and  $|w| - p \leq j_m < |w|$ ;

- (2) If  $m \geq 2$  then  $j_l \geq j_{l+1} + \dots + j_m + |w| - (m-l)$  for  $2 \leq l \leq m-1$  and  $j_1 > j_2 + \dots + j_m + |w| - (m-1)$ .

We claim that every class on the  $E^1$ -page survives to a class on the  $E^\infty$ -page by induction along decreasing cohomological degree on  $\widetilde{H}^*(M^+)$ .

Let  $\beta = [\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | (y \otimes w)]$  be an indexing class on the  $E^1$ -page. A cycle representing this class in  $\text{AR}(G_p(\mathfrak{g}))$  is a finite sum  $\sum_T \alpha$  of classes  $\alpha_t = [\bar{Q}^{t_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{t_m} | (y \otimes w)]$  including  $\beta$ , obtained by completing the cycle recursively using the Behrens' relations starting from  $\beta$ . Note  $t_m \leq j_m < |w|$  for all  $t$ .

For  $y \in \widetilde{H}^n(M^+)$  a top cohomology class, there are no nontrivial Steenrod action on  $y$ , so the differential on  $\beta$  in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the same as the differential in  $G_{-n}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g}))$ , i.e.  $\beta$  represents a nontrivial cycle on the  $E^\infty$ -page.

Suppose that for all  $y' \in \widetilde{H}^q(M^+)$  with  $q \geq p+1$ , any indexing class  $\beta' = [\bar{Q}^{j'_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{j'_m} | (y' \otimes w)]$  on the  $E^1$ -page is a permanent cycle. Then in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$

$$\partial \sum_T \alpha_t = 0 + \sum_T [\bar{Q}^{t_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{t_m} | (y \otimes w)] = \sum_T \sum_s [\bar{Q}^{t_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{t_{m-1}} | Sq^s(y) \otimes \bar{Q}^{t_m+s}(w)].$$

Note that  $\alpha'_t = [\bar{Q}^{t_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{t_{m-1}} | Sq^s(y) \otimes \bar{Q}^{t_m+s}(w)]$  is either 0 or a boundary in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ :

- (1) If  $t_m + s < |w|$  then  $\alpha'_t = 0$ ;
- (2) If  $t_m + s \geq |w|$  and  $s \geq 1$ , by the inductive hypothesis  $\alpha'_t$  is not a cycle on the  $E^\infty$ -page, i.e. it is killed by a finite sum of classes of the form  $\beta' = [\bar{Q}^{j'_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{j'_m} | (y' \otimes w)]$  with  $|y'| \geq p+s$  in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Write  $\beta'_t$  for this finite sum in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Note that  $\beta'_t$  is not a boundary because it is maximally nondegenerate.

Hence  $d(\sum_T (\alpha_t + \beta'_t)) = 0$  in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$ , i.e.  $\sum_T (\alpha_t + \beta'_t)$  is a cycle in  $\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})$  represented by  $[\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | (y \otimes w)]$ . Therefore no differential can happen in the spectral sequence, and the result follows from Theorem 4.15 since the  $\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\text{ti}}$ -structure is supported entirely by  $L$ .  $\square$

The above theorem allows us to deduce the degeneration of the spectral sequence at low weights using sparsity arguments. Since classes in

$$A = \Lambda(\gamma_l(\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \dots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | (y_q^p \otimes w)), m \geq 1)$$

have weight at least 2, classes of weight 2 lie in exactly one of the two tensor components  $A$  and  $H(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$ . The weight 2 classes in  $A$  are of the form  $[\bar{Q}^j | (y \otimes w)]$  where  $w$  has weight 1, i.e.  $w = x_t^k$  is an element of the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis  $B$  of  $\widetilde{H}_*(V)$ . The weight 2 classes in  $H(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$  are of the form  $y \otimes [[x_t^k, x_{t'}^{k'}]]$  and  $(y \otimes x_t^k) \wedge (y' \otimes x_{t'}^{k'})$ . Hence the weight 2 part of the spectral sequence has  $E^2$ -page concentrated in filtration degree 0, 1 and thus collapses at  $E^2$ .

Denote by  $\text{wt}_2(H(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)))$  a basis of the weight 2 classes of  $H(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$ . Then we have the following computation:

**Corollary 6.2.** *The weight 2 part of the spectral sequence*

$$E_{s,t}^2(2) = H_s(\widehat{\text{CE}}(\text{AR}(\mathfrak{g})))_t \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(B_2(M; V))$$

is given by

$$E^\infty(2) = E^2(2) = \text{wt}_2(H(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))) \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in B, y_q^p} \{\bar{Q}^j | (y_q^p \otimes x), |x| - p \leq j < |x|\}.$$

In particular, this demonstrates that for  $M$  with no nontrivial Steenrod actions, the  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module  $H_*(B_2(M; V))$  depends on and only on the cup product structure of  $\tilde{H}^*(M^+)$  when  $\tilde{H}_*(V)$  has at least 2 generators.

This is in contrast to the case  $V = \mathbb{S}^r$ , where [BCT] showed that for closed  $n$ -manifold  $M$

$$\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} H_*(B_k(M; \mathbb{S}^r)) \cong \bigotimes_{i=0}^n H_*(\Omega^{n-i} \mathbb{S}^{n+r})^{\otimes \dim H_i(M)}$$

depends only on  $H^*(M)$  as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -module. On the other hand, the homology of  $\text{Conf}_2(M)$ , the space of ordered configurations of 2 points in  $M$ , also depends only on the cup product structure of  $H^*(M)$  as discussed in [Pet, Section 1.1].

**Corollary 6.3.** *If in addition  $M$  is a closed manifold, then the weight 3 part of the spectral sequence collapses at  $E^2$ , i.e.  $E^\infty(3) = E^2(3)$ .*

*Proof.* Classes in  $A$  have weights powers of 2. Hence weight 3 classes on the  $E^2$ -page either live in  $\text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)))$  or has the form

$$(\bar{Q}^j | (y \otimes x)) \wedge (y' \otimes x') \in A \otimes H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)), x, x' \in B$$

with filtration degree 2.

Denote by  $d \in \tilde{H}^0(M^+) = H^0(M)$  the unit of the cohomology ring  $H^*(M)$  with basis  $H \cup \{d\}$ . There is no nontrivial class in  $\text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)))$  with filtration degree 0, since  $y \otimes [[x_1, x_2], x_3]$  is killed by  $(d \otimes [[x_1, x_2]]) \wedge (y \otimes x_3)$  for any  $y \in H^*(M)$ . Hence  $E^2(3)$  is concentrated in filtration degree 1 and 2, so there cannot be any higher differentials.

Therefore we conclude that

$$E^\infty(3) = E^2(3) \cong \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B, y \in H} \mathbb{F}_2\{(\bar{Q}^j | (y \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y' \otimes x_2), |x_1| - |y| \leq j < |x_1|, y' \in H \cup \{d\}\} \\ \oplus \text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))),$$

i.e. we have computed  $H_*(B_3(M; V))$  up to extensions.  $\square$

For weight  $k \geq 4$ , we can no longer conclude that the spectral sequence collapses at the  $E^2$ -page due to sparsity, since in general  $E^2(k)$  is concentrated in filtration degrees  $1, \dots, k-1$ .

We close this section by two example computations, the (punctured) genus  $g$  surfaces and the (punctured)  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ .

**6.2. Example computations: genus  $g$  surfaces.** Let  $\Sigma_{g,1}$  be a once-punctured surface of genus  $g \geq 1$ . Let  $\tilde{B} = \{v_i\}_i$  be a totally ordered basis for  $\tilde{H}_*(V; \mathbb{F}_2)$  and  $B = \{\sigma^n v_i\}_i$  with the induced ordering. Then

$$\tilde{H}^*(\Sigma_{g,1}^+) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2\{a_i \oplus b_i, i = 1, \dots, g\} & * = 1 \\ \mathbb{F}_2\{c\} & * = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with nonzero cup products  $a_i \cup b_i = c$  for all  $i$  and no nontrivial Steenrod operations.



For the closed surface  $\Sigma_g$ , we have

$$\tilde{H}^*(\Sigma_g^+) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{F}_2\{d\} & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{F}_2\{a_i \oplus b_i, i = 1, \dots, g\} & * = 1 \\ \mathbb{F}_2\{c\} & * = 2 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

with nonzero cup products  $a_i \cup b_i = c$  for all  $i$  and  $d \cup y = y$  for all  $y \in \tilde{H}^*(\Sigma_g^+)$ .

For weight 1 we have

$$H_*(B_1(M; V)) = H_*(M \otimes V).$$

6.2.1. *Weight 2.* The weight 2 classes supporting  $\widehat{\text{CE}}$  differentials are

$$\delta(a_i \otimes x_1, b_i \otimes x_2) = c \otimes \llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$$

for  $x_1 \neq x_2 \in B$ . Denote by  $H^1$  the set of generators  $\{a_i, b_i, i = 1, \dots, g\}$  for  $\tilde{H}^1(\Sigma_{g,1}^+)$ . By Corollary 6.2, up to extensions  $H_*(B_2(\Sigma_{g,1}; V))$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E^\infty(2) = E^2(2) \cong & \bigoplus_{x \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^{|x|-1} | (y \otimes x), y \in H^1; \bar{Q}^{|x|-2} | (c \otimes x), \bar{Q}^{|x|-1} | (c \otimes x)\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{y \otimes \llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket, (y \otimes x_1) \wedge (y \otimes x_2), y \in H^1; (c \otimes x_1) \wedge (c \otimes x_2)\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y \otimes x_1) \wedge (c \otimes x_2), y \in H^1\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y \otimes x) \wedge (y' \otimes x), y \neq y' \in H^1 \cup \{c\}\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y \otimes x_1) \wedge (y' \otimes x_2) + (a_1 \otimes x_1) \wedge (b_1 \otimes x_2), y \neq y' \in H^1, (y, y') \neq (a_1, b_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The weight 2 classes supporting  $\widehat{\text{CE}}$  differentials are

$$\delta((a_i \otimes x_2) \wedge (b_i \otimes x_2)) = c \otimes \llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket \text{ and } \delta((d \otimes x_1) \wedge (y \otimes x_2)) = y \otimes \llbracket x_1, x_2 \rrbracket$$

for  $x_1 \neq x_2 \in B$  and  $y \in \tilde{H}^*(\Sigma_g^+)$ . By Corollary 6.2, up to extensions  $H_*(B_2(\Sigma_g; V))$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} E^\infty(2) = E^2(2) \cong & \bigoplus_{x \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^{|x|-1} | (y \otimes x), y \in H^1; \bar{Q}^{|x|-2} | (c \otimes x), \bar{Q}^{|x|-1} | (c \otimes x)\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y \otimes x_1) \wedge (y \otimes x_2), y \in H^1; (z \otimes x_1) \wedge (z \otimes x_2)\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y \otimes x_1) \wedge (z \otimes x_2), y \in H^1\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y \otimes x) \wedge (y' \otimes x), y \neq y' \in H^1 \cup \{c, d\}\} \\ & \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y \otimes x_1) \wedge (y' \otimes x_2) + (d \otimes x_1) \wedge (c \otimes x_2), y \neq y' \in H^1 \text{ or } (y, y') = (c, d)\}. \end{aligned}$$

6.2.2. *Weight 3.* Classes in  $A = \Lambda(\mathcal{Y}(\bar{Q}^{j_1} | \cdots | \bar{Q}^{j_m} | (y \otimes w)), m \geq 1)$  have weights powers of 2. Hence weight 3 classes in  $E^2(3)$  either live in  $\text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)))$  or has the form

$$(\bar{Q}^j | (y \otimes x)) \wedge (y' \otimes x') \in A \otimes H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$$

with  $x, x' \in B$ .

Let  $H$  be the set of generators for  $\tilde{H}^*(\Sigma_{g,1}^+)$  and  $H^1$  the set of generators for  $\tilde{H}^1(\Sigma_{g,1}^+)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E^2(3) \cong & \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(\bar{Q}^{|\alpha|-1} | (y \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y' \otimes x_2), y \in H^1, y' \in H\} \\ & \bigoplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(\bar{Q}^{|\alpha|-2} | (c \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y \otimes x_2), (\bar{Q}^{|\alpha|-1} | (c \otimes x)) \wedge (y \otimes x_2), y \in H\} \\ & \bigoplus \text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))). \end{aligned}$$

A complete list of an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis of  $\text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)))$  can be written down in a straight forward way.

The  $E^2$ -page is concentrated in filtration degree 0, 1, 2. We need to investigate all classes in  $E_{2,*}^2(3)$  to see if they support nontrivial  $d^2$  differentials to  $E_{0,*+1}^2(3)$ . Note that all classes in  $E_{0,*}^2(3)$  are of the form  $y \otimes [[x_1, x_2], x_3]$  for  $y \in H^1$ . Since  $E^2(3)$  is natural in  $\tilde{H}_*(V)$ , we can take  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in B$  of degree  $k \ll l \ll m$ . There are two cases:

- (1) The class  $(\bar{Q}^j | (y_1 \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y_2 \otimes x_2) \in E_{2,*}^2(3)$  has internal degree at most  $2k + l - 5$  for all  $y_1, y_2 \in H$ , while the class  $y \otimes [[x_1, x_2], x_1]$  has internal degree  $2k + l - 3$  for all  $y \in H^1$ . Hence they do not support  $d^2$  differentials.
- (2) The other type of classes in filtration 2 are of the form  $(y_1 \otimes x_1) \wedge (y_2 \otimes x_2) \wedge (y_3 \otimes x_3)$  with internal degrees at most  $k + m + l - 5$ , while the class  $y \otimes [[x_1, x_2], x_3]$  has internal degree  $k + l + m - 3$ . Hence these classes do not support  $d^2$  differentials either.

Therefore the weight 3 part of the spectral sequence collapses at  $E^2(3)$ , and we obtain  $H_*(B_3(\Sigma_{g,1}; V))$  up to extensions.

**Remark 6.4.** In general, there could be nontrivial  $d^2$  differentials coming from triple Massey products, see [Pet, section 1.1] for instance. Here  $\Sigma_{g,1}^+$  has trivial Massey products for degree reasons.

For the closed surface  $\Sigma_g$ , Corollary 6.3 says that

$$\begin{aligned} E^\infty(3) = E^2(3) \cong & \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(\bar{Q}^{|\alpha|-1} | (y \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y' \otimes x_2), y \in H^1, y' \in H \cup \{d\}\} \\ & \bigoplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(\bar{Q}^{|\alpha|-2} | (c \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y \otimes x_2), (\bar{Q}^{|\alpha|-1} | (c \otimes x)) \wedge (y \otimes x_2), y \in H \cup \{d\}\} \\ & \bigoplus \text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))). \end{aligned}$$

6.3. **Example computations: (punctured)  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ .** The simplest examples of framed manifolds admitting nontrivial Steenrod actions are the real projective space  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$  and the once-punctured real projective space  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ .

Let  $y$  be a generator for  $H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3)$ . Then

$$\tilde{H}^*((\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3)^+) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3) = \mathbb{F}_2[y]/(y^4), \tilde{H}^*((\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3)^+) = \mathbb{F}_2\{y, y^2, y^3\}$$

with the obvious cup products and one nontrivial Steenrod operation  $Sq^1(y) = y^2$ .

6.3.1. *Weight 2.* We deduce  $H_*(B_2(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3; V))$  and  $H_*(B_2(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3); V)$  from Corollary 6.2. For  $M = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ , there is only one nontrivial cup product  $y \cup y^2 = y^3$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} E^\infty(2) = E^2(2) &= \bigoplus_{x \in B, a=1,2,3} \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^j|(y^a \otimes x) : |x| - a \leq j < |x|\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{y \otimes [[x_1, x_2]]; (y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^a \otimes x_2), a = 2, 3\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^3 \otimes x_2), a = 1, 2\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y^1 \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^2 \otimes x_2) + (y^2 \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^1 \otimes x_2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $M = \mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$ , the nonzero cup products are  $y \cup y = y^2, y \cup y^2 = y^3$  and  $1 \cup y^a = y^a$  for  $0 \leq a \leq 3$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} E^\infty(2) = E^2(2) &= \bigoplus_{x \in B, a=1,2,3} \mathbb{F}_2\{\bar{Q}^j|(y^a \otimes x) : |x| - a \leq j < |x|\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^a \otimes x_2), a = 2, 3\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^3 \otimes x_2), a = 1, 2\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_2) + (y^3 \otimes x_1) \wedge (1 \otimes x_2), (a, b) \neq (3, 0)\} \\ &\oplus \bigoplus_{x_1 < x_2 \in B} \mathbb{F}_2\{(y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (1 \otimes x_2) + (1 \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^a \otimes x_2), a = 1, 2, 3\}. \end{aligned}$$

6.3.2. *Weight 3.* For the closed manifold  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$  and  $L = \tilde{H}^*((\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3)^+) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{W\} \cong H^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{W\}$ , it follows from Corollary 6.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} E^\infty(3) &= E^2(3) \\ &= \text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))) \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B, 1 \leq a \leq 3, 0 \leq b \leq 3} \mathbb{F}_2\{(\bar{Q}^j|(y^a \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_2), |x_1| - a \leq j < |x_1|\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3$  and  $L = \tilde{H}^*((\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3)^+) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{W\} \cong \tilde{H}^*(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3) \otimes \mathbb{F}_2\{W\}$ , weight 3 classes in  $E^2(3)$  either live in  $\text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)))$  or has the form

$$(\bar{Q}^j|(y^a \otimes x)) \wedge (y^b \otimes x') \in A \otimes H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))$$

with  $x, x' \in B$  and  $1 \leq a, b \leq 3$ . Therefore

$$E^2(3) = \text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L))) \oplus \bigoplus_{x_1, x_2 \in B, 1 \leq a, b \leq 3} \mathbb{F}_2\{(\bar{Q}^j|(y^a \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_2), |x_1| - a \leq j < |x_1|\}.$$

A complete list of an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -basis for  $\text{wt}_3(H_*(\widehat{\text{CE}}(L)))$  is given by

- (1)  $y \otimes [[[x_1, x_2], x_3]]$  for  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in B, x_1 < x_2, x_1 < x_3$  in filtration degree 0;
- (2)  $(y^3 \otimes [[x_1, x_2]]) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_3) + (y^3 \otimes [[x_1, x_3]]) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_2) + (y^3 \otimes [[x_2, x_3]]) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_1)$  for  $b = 1, 2$   
and  $(y \otimes [[x_1, x_2]]) \wedge (y^2 \otimes x_3) + (y \otimes [[x_1, x_3]]) \wedge (y^2 \otimes x_2) + (y \otimes [[x_2, x_3]]) \wedge (y^2 \otimes x_1)$  for distinct  $x_i \in B$  in filtration degree 1;

- (3)  $(y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_2) \wedge (y^c \otimes x_3)$  for  $\{1, 2\}, \{1, 1\} \not\subseteq \{a, b, c\}$  and  $x_i \in B$ ;  
 $\sum_{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}, i < j} (y \otimes x_i) \wedge (y \otimes x_j) \wedge (y^2 \otimes x_k),$   
 $\sum_{\{i,j,k\}=\{1,2,3\}, j < k} (y \otimes x_i) \wedge (y^2 \otimes x_j) \wedge (y^2 \otimes x_k)$  for distinct  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in B$  in filtration degree 2.

Again the  $E^2$ -page is concentrated in filtration degrees 0, 1, 2, and we use sparsity to rule out higher differentials. Suppose that  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  have internal degree  $k \ll l \ll m$ . We examine the two cases that could potentially support a  $d^2$  differential.

- (1) The class  $(\bar{Q}^j | (y^a \otimes x_1)) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_2) \in E_{2,*}^2(3)$  has internal degree at most  $2k + l - 5$  for all  $1 \leq a, b \leq 3$ , while the class  $y \otimes [[x_1, x_2], x_1]$  has internal degree  $2k + l - 3$ . Hence they do not support  $d^2$  differentials.
- (2) The other type of classes in filtration 2 are of the form  $(y^a \otimes x_1) \wedge (y^b \otimes x_2) \wedge (y^c \otimes x_3)$  with internal degrees at most  $k + m + l - 5$ , while the class  $y \otimes [[[x_1, x_2], x_3]]$  has internal degree  $k + l + m - 3$ . Hence these classes do not support  $d^2$  differentials either.

Therefore the weight 3 part of the spectral sequence collapses at the  $E^2$ -page, and we obtain  $H_*(B_3(\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^3; V))$  up to extension.

## 7. ODD PRIMARY HOMOLOGY

In the last section, we apply our methods to address the mod  $p$  homology of  $B_k(M; V)$  for small  $k$  and a fixed odd prime  $p$ .

In [Kja], Kjaer explored the case of odd primary homology of spectral Lie algebras using an approach analogous to the mod 2 case. He constructed operations

$$\overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j} : H_*(L; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_{*+2(p-1)i-\varepsilon-1}(L; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

for  $L$  a spectral Lie algebra using the mod  $p$  version of Behrens'  $\bar{Q}^j$  construction. Define the functor  $s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}} : \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  as follows. For  $M \in \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ , let  $A$  be an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis for the free shifted Lie algebra  $\text{Free}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}}^{\text{sLie}_{\mathbb{F}_p}}(M)$ . The graded  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -module  $s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(M)$  is given by

$$\{\overline{\beta_1^{\varepsilon_1} Q^{j_1}} \cdots \overline{\beta_k^{\varepsilon_k} Q^{j_k}} | x \in A, k \geq 0, j_k \geq \frac{|x|}{2}, j_i \geq j_{i+1} - \varepsilon_{i+1} \forall i\}.$$

**Theorem 7.1.** [Kja, Theorem 5.2] *For  $V$  a spectrum. there is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces*

$$s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(H_*(V; \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H_*(\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(V); \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Unlike the case  $p = 2$ , the relations among operations on the odd primary homology of a free spectral Lie algebra remain unverified, and thus we do not have a basis of  $s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(M)$  for weight greater than 3. Nonetheless, we can still extract information from the spectral sequence

$$(7) \quad E_{s,t}^2(k) = H_s\left(\pi_t\left(\text{Bar}_\bullet(\text{id}, s\mathcal{L}, \text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p\right)\right)(k) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(B_k(M; V); \mathbb{F}_p)$$

for  $k = 2, 3$  with the weight 2 part of  $s\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{P}}(H_*(V; \mathbb{F}_p))$  by explicit computation of the  $E^2$ -page, which is the mod  $p$  André-Quillen homology of  $\text{Free}^{s\mathcal{L}}(\Sigma^n V)^{M^+}$ .

**7.1. Sphere coefficients.** For  $M$  a connected  $n$ -manifold, Bödiger-Cohen-Taylor computed

$$\bigoplus_{k \geq 1} H_*(B_k(M; S^r); \mathbb{F}_p) \cong \bigotimes_{i=0}^n H_*(\Omega^{n-i} S^{n+r}; \mathbb{F}_p)^{\otimes \dim H_i(M; \mathbb{F}_p)}$$

for  $r \geq 1$  and  $r+n$  odd. ([BCT]) Their proof fails for the case where  $k+n$  is even due to the existence of nontrivial self-brackets in  $H_*(\Omega^m \Sigma^m S^l; \mathbb{F}_p)$  when  $l$  is even. Roughly speaking, their inductive proof relies on the canonical map

$$H_*(\Omega^m \Sigma^m S^l; \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(\Omega^\infty \Sigma^\infty S^l; \mathbb{F}_p)$$

to be an injection, which is only true when  $l$  is odd.

In this section, we compute explicitly  $H_*(B_2(M; S^r); \mathbb{F}_p)$  and  $H_*(B_3(M; S^r); \mathbb{F}_p)$  for  $n+r=2l$ , and show that these homology groups depend on the cup product structure of  $H^*(M^+)$  in contrast to the case where  $n+r$  is odd.

Let  $x$  be the generator of  $\tilde{H}_*(\Sigma^n \mathbb{S}^{2l})$ . Let  $M$  be a framed manifold with trivial Steenrod operations and  $H \cup \{z\}$  a set of generators for the cohomology ring  $\tilde{H}^*(M^+; \mathbb{F}_p)$  with  $z$  the generator of  $H^0(+; \mathbb{F}_p)$ . We impose  $H$  with an arbitrary total ordering.

The normalized complex of the  $E^1$ -page of the weight 2 part of the spectral sequence (7.1) has an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis given by

- (1)  $\overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j}(y \otimes x)$  for all  $j \geq 2l, y \in H$  in filtration degree 0;
- (2)  $y \otimes \llbracket x, x \rrbracket$  for all  $y \in H$  in filtration degree 0;
- (3)  $\beta^\varepsilon Q^j | (y \otimes x)$  for  $y \in H, j \geq 2l - |y|$  in filtration degree 1;
- (4)  $[y \otimes x, y' \otimes x]$  for  $y \neq y' \in H$  or  $y = y'$  with even degree in filtration degree 1;.

The  $d^1$  differential sends  $\overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j} | (y \otimes x)$  to  $\beta^\varepsilon Q^j | (y \otimes x)$  for  $j \geq 2l$  and  $[y \otimes x, y' \otimes x]$  to  $(y \cup y') \otimes \llbracket x, x \rrbracket$ . Hence the  $E^2$ -page has an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis given by

- (1)  $\beta^\varepsilon Q^j | (y \otimes x)$  for  $y \in H$  and  $2l - |y| \leq j < 2l$  in filtration degree 1;
- (2)  $\text{wt}_2(H^{\text{sLie}}(L))$  in filtration degree 0 or 1, where  $L = \tilde{H}^*(M^+) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p \{x, \llbracket x, x \rrbracket\}$  is a shifted Lie algebra with brackets defined by  $[y \otimes x, y' \otimes x] = (y \cup y') \otimes \llbracket x, x \rrbracket$ .

Hence the weight 2 part of the spectral sequence collapses at the  $E^2$ -page and we obtain

**Theorem 7.2.** *The mod  $p$  homology of  $B_2(M; S^r)$  for  $n+r=2l$  has basis*

$$\mathbb{F}_p \{ \overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j} | (y \otimes x) : y \in H, 2l - |y| \leq j < 2l, \varepsilon = 0, 1 \} \oplus \text{wt}_2(H^{\text{sLie}}(L)).$$

In contrast to the case where  $n+r$  is odd, the homology group  $H_*(B_2(M; S^r); \mathbb{F}_p)$  depends on the sLie-structure of  $L$ , which is determined by the cohomology ring  $H^*(M^+)$ , and not just its underlying graded  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -module.

On the other hand, the normalized complex of the  $E^1$ -page of the weight 3 part of the spectral sequence (7.1) has an  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis given by

- (1)  $\overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j}(y \otimes x, y' \otimes x)$  for all  $j \geq 2l, y, y' \in H$  in filtration degree 1;
- (2)  $\beta^\varepsilon Q^j | (y \otimes x, 1 | (y' \otimes x))$  for all  $j \geq 2l - |y|, y, y' \in H$  in filtration degree 2;
- (3)  $[y \otimes \llbracket x, x \rrbracket, y' \otimes x]$  for  $y, y' \in H$  in filtration degree 1;
- (4)  $\llbracket [y_1 \otimes x, y_2 \otimes x], y_3 \otimes x \rrbracket$  for  $y_1 < y_3, y_1 < y_2$  or  $y_1 = y_2$  with even degree in filtration degree 2.

Note that the brackets  $[\ ]$  here are all free brackets that increase filtration degree. There is no class in filtration degree 0 because  $[[[x, x], x]] = 0$ .

The  $d^1$  differential sends  $[\overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j} | (y \otimes x), 1 | (y' \otimes x)]$  to  $[\overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j} | (y \otimes x), y' \otimes x]$  for all  $j \geq 2l$ , and  $[[y_1 \otimes x, y_2 \otimes x], y_3 \otimes x]$  to

$$\begin{aligned} & [(y_1 \cup y_2) \otimes [x, x], y_3 \otimes x] + (-1)^{|y_2||y_3|+|y_1||y_2|} [(y_2 \cup y_3) \otimes [x, x], y_1 \otimes x] \\ & + (-1)^{|y_1||y_3|+|y_1||y_2|} [(y_3 \cup y_1) \otimes [x, x], y_2 \otimes x]. \end{aligned}$$

The  $E^2$ -page is concentrated in filtration degrees 1 and 2, so we deduce that the weight 3 part of the spectral sequence collapses at  $E^2$ .

**Theorem 7.3.** *For  $n+r=2l$ , the homology group  $H_*(B_3(M; S^r); \mathbb{F}_p)$  has basis*

$$\mathbb{F}_p \{ [\overline{\beta^\varepsilon Q^j} | (y \otimes x), y' \otimes x] : y, y' \in H, 2l - |y| \leq j < 2l, \varepsilon = 0, 1 \} \oplus \text{wt}_3(H^{\text{SLie}}(L)).$$

Again we note the cup product structure plays a crucial role. The theorem extends to arbitrary framed manifold  $M$  using the same cycle completion as in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

**Remark 7.4.** The same strategy can be used to compute the mod  $p$  homology of  $B_k(M; V)$  for  $k=2, 3$ , arbitrary framed  $M$  and arbitrary  $V$ . We do not pursue these computations here for simplicity of exposition.

## REFERENCES

- [AC] Antolín Camarena, O. (2020). The mod 2 homology of free spectral Lie algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 373(9), 6301-6319. [2](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#), [9](#), [28](#)
- [AB] Arone, G., Brantner, L. (2018). The action of Young subgroups on the partition complex. arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.01491. [29](#)
- [AM99] Arone, G. & Mahowald, M. (1999). The Goodwillie tower of the identity functor and the unstable periodic homotopy of spheres. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 135(3), 743-788. [6](#)
- [Beh12] Behrens, M. (2012). *The Goodwillie tower and the EHP sequence* (Vol. 218, No. 1026). American Mathematical Soc.. [2](#), [6](#), [7](#)
- [BCT] Bödigheimer, C. F., Cohen, F., & Taylor, L. (1989). On the homology of configuration spaces. *Topology*, 28(1), 111-123. [3](#), [32](#), [37](#)
- [Bou68] Bousfield, A. K. (1968). *Operations on derived functors of non-additive functors*. Brandeis Univ.. [4](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [Bra17] Brantner, D. L. B. (2017). *The Lubin-Tate theory of spectral Lie algebras* (Doctoral dissertation). [6](#)
- [BHK] Brantner, L., Hahn, & J., Knudsen, B. (2019). The Lubin-Tate Theory of Configuration Spaces: I. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.11321*. [2](#), [3](#), [10](#), [11](#), [17](#), [24](#), [25](#)
- [BMMS] Bruner, R. R., May, J. P., McClure, J. E., Steinberger, M. (2006). *H ring spectra and their applications* (Vol. 1176). Springer. [3](#)
- [Chi05] Ching, M. (2005). Bar constructions for topological operads and the Goodwillie derivatives of the identity. *Geometry & Topology*, 9(2), 833-934. [2](#), [6](#)
- [CLM] Cohen, F. R., Lada, T. J., & May, P. J. (2007). *The homology of iterated loop spaces* (Vol. 533). Springer. [3](#)
- [DCK] Drummond-Cole, G. C. & Knudsen, B. (2016). Betti numbers of configuration spaces of surfaces. arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.07490. [3](#)
- [Dwy1] Dwyer, W. G. (1980). Homotopy operations for simplicial commutative algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 260(2), 421-435. [4](#), [18](#), [19](#)
- [Dwy2] Dwyer, W. G. (1980). Higher divided squares in second-quadrant spectral sequences. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 437-447. [5](#), [27](#)
- [Hall] Hall, M. (1950). A basis for free Lie rings and higher commutators in free groups. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 1(5), 575-581. [8](#)
- [HM] Haugseng, R., Miller, H. (2016). On a spectral sequence for the cohomology of infinite loop spaces. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 16(5), 2911-2947. [19](#)

- [JN] Johnson, N. & Noel, J. (2014). Lifting homotopy T-algebra maps to strict maps. *Advances in Mathematics*, 264, 593-645. [10](#)
- [Kja] Kjaer, J. J. (2018). On the odd primary homology of free algebras over the spectral Lie operad. *Journal of Homotopy and Related Structures*, 13(3), 581-597. [2](#), [5](#), [36](#)
- [Knu17] Knudsen, B. (2017). Betti numbers and stability for configuration spaces via factorization homology. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, 17(5), 3137-3187. [3](#), [26](#)
- [Knu18] Knudsen, B. (2018). Higher enveloping algebras. *Geometry & Topology*, 22(7), 4013-4066. [3](#), [24](#)
- [Law] Lawson, T. (2020).  $E_n$ -spectra and Dyer-Lashof operations. In *Handbook of Homotopy Theory* (pp. 793-849). Chapman and Hall/CRC. [27](#)
- [May66A] May, J. P. (1966). The cohomology of restricted Lie algebras and of Hopf algebras. *Journal of Algebra*, 3(2), 123-146. [16](#)
- [May66B] May, J. P. (1966). The cohomology of augmented algebras and generalized Massey products for DGA-algebras. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 122(2), 334-340. [27](#)
- [May70] May, J. P. (1970). A general algebraic approach to Steenrod operations. In *The Steenrod Algebra and its Applications: a conference to celebrate NE Steenrod's sixtieth birthday* (pp. 153-231). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [25](#)
- [May72] May, J. P. (1972). *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 271, SpringerVerlag, BerlinNew York, 1972. [3](#), [5](#), [26](#)
- [McD75] McDuff, D. (1975). Configuration spaces of positive and negative particles. *Topology*, 14(1), 91-107. [2](#)
- [Pet] Petersen, D. (2020). Cohomology of generalized configuration spaces. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(2), 251-298. [32](#), [34](#)
- [Pri70] Priddy, S. B. (1970). Koszul resolutions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 152(1), 39-60. [4](#), [16](#), [20](#), [21](#)
- [Seg73] Segal, G. (1973). Configuration-spaces and iterated loop-spaces. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 21(3), 213-221. [2](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE MA 02139  
Email address: adelayyz@mit.edu