

A tangent inequality over primes

S. I. Dimitrov

2021

Abstract

In this paper we introduce a new diophantine inequality with prime numbers. Let $1 < c < \frac{10}{9}$. We show that for any fixed $\theta > 1$, every sufficiently large positive number N and a small constant $\varepsilon > 0$, the tangent inequality

$$|p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) + p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2) + p_3^c \tan^\theta(\log p_3) - N| < \varepsilon$$

has a solution in prime numbers p_1, p_2, p_3 .

Keywords: Diophantine inequality, Tangent inequality, Prime numbers.

2020 Math. Subject Classification: 11J25 · 11P55 · 11L07

1 Introduction and statements of the result

The ternary Piatetski-Shapiro inequality is a major diophantine approximation of analytic number theory. It is the diophantine inequality

$$|p_1^c + p_2^c + p_3^c - N| < \varepsilon, \tag{1}$$

where N is a sufficiently large positive number, p_1, p_2, p_3 are prime numbers, $c > 1$ is not an integer and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small constant. In 1992 Tolev [16] proved that (1) has a solution for $1 < c < \frac{15}{14}$. Subsequently the result of Tolev was improved by Cai [3] to $1 < c < \frac{13}{12}$, by Cai [4] and Kumchev and Nedeva [11] to $1 < c < \frac{12}{11}$, by Cao and Zhai [6] to $1 < c < \frac{237}{214}$, by Kumchev [12] to $1 < c < \frac{61}{55}$, by Baker and Weingartner [2] to $1 < c < \frac{10}{9}$, by Cai [5] to $1 < c < \frac{43}{36}$, by Baker [1] to $1 < c < \frac{6}{5}$ and this is the best result up to now.

Motivated by these results, recently the author [7] proved that for every sufficiently large positive number N and a small constant $\varepsilon > 0$, the logarithmic inequality

$$|p_1 \log p_1 + p_2 \log p_2 + p_3 \log p_3 - N| < \varepsilon$$

has a solution in prime numbers p_1, p_2, p_3 .

In this paper we introduce a new diophantine inequality with prime numbers. More precisely we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $1 < c < \frac{10}{9}$. For any fixed $\theta > 1$ and every sufficiently large positive number N the tangent inequality*

$$|p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) + p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2) + p_3^c \tan^\theta(\log p_3) - N| < \left(\frac{2^\theta N}{3^{\theta+3}}\right)^{\frac{1}{c^2}(c-\frac{10}{9})}$$

is solvable in prime numbers p_1, p_2, p_3 .

As usual the corresponding binary problem is out of reach of the current state of the analytic number theory. In other words we have the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *Let N is a sufficiently large positive number, $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small constant and $\theta > 1$ is fixed. There exists $c_0 > 1$ such that for any fixed $1 < c < c_0$, the tangent inequality*

$$|p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) + p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2) - N| < \varepsilon$$

is solvable in prime numbers p_1, p_2 .

The next conjecture is maybe more difficult.

Conjecture 2. *Let N is a sufficiently large positive number and $\varepsilon > 0$ is a small constant. There exists $c_0 > 1$ such that for any fixed $1 < c < c_0$, the tangent inequality*

$$|\tan^c p_1 + \tan^c p_2 + \tan^c p_3 - N| < \varepsilon$$

is solvable in prime numbers p_1, p_2, p_3 .

2 Notations

Assume that N is a sufficiently large positive number. The letter η denotes an arbitrary small positive number, not the same in all appearances. As usual $\Lambda(n)$ is von Mangoldt's function. We denote by $[y]$ the integer part of y . Moreover $e(y) = e^{2\pi iy}$. The letter p with or without subscript will always denote prime number. We denote by $\tau_k(n)$ the number of solutions of the equation $m_1 m_2 \dots m_k = n$ in natural numbers m_1, \dots, m_k . Throughout this paper we suppose that $1 < c < \frac{10}{9}$. Assume that $\theta > 1$ is a fixed. Let X is an arbitrary solution of the equation

$$\pi \left[\frac{\log X}{\pi} \right] + \arctan \frac{3}{2} = \frac{1}{c} \log \frac{2^\theta N}{3^{\theta+1}}. \quad (2)$$

Denote

$$\varepsilon = X^{\frac{1}{c}(c-\frac{10}{9})}; \quad (3)$$

$$\tau = X^{\frac{1}{9}-c}; \quad (4)$$

$$H = X^{\frac{10}{9}-c}; \quad (5)$$

$$\Delta_1 = e^{\pi \left[\frac{\log X}{\pi} \right] + \arctan \frac{4}{9}}; \quad (6)$$

$$\Delta_2 = e^{\pi \left[\frac{\log X}{\pi} \right] + \arctan 2}; \quad (7)$$

$$S(\alpha) = \sum_{\Delta_1 < p \leq \Delta_2} e(\alpha p^c \tan^\theta(\log p)) \log p; \quad (8)$$

$$I(\alpha) = \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} e(\alpha y^c \tan^\theta(\log y)) dy. \quad (9)$$

3 Lemmas

Lemma 1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a function $\psi(y)$ which is k times continuously differentiable and such that*

$$\psi(y) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad |y| \leq 3\varepsilon/4;$$

$$0 \leq \psi(y) < 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 3\varepsilon/4 < |y| < \varepsilon;$$

$$\psi(y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |y| \geq \varepsilon.$$

and its Fourier transform

$$\Psi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(y) e(-xy) dy$$

satisfies the inequality

$$|\Psi(x)| \leq \min \left(\frac{7\varepsilon}{4}, \frac{1}{\pi|x|}, \frac{1}{\pi|x|} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi|x|\varepsilon/8} \right)^k \right).$$

Proof. See ([13] or [14]). □

Lemma 2. *Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer. Suppose that $f(t)$ has $k + 2$ continuous derivatives on I , and that $I \subseteq (N, 2N]$. Assume also that there is some constant F such that*

$$|f^{(r)}(t)| \asymp FN^{-r} \quad (10)$$

for $r = 1, \dots, k + 2$. Let $Q = 2^k$. Then

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I} e(f(n)) \right| \ll F^{\frac{1}{4Q-2}} N^{1-\frac{k+2}{4Q-2}} + F^{-1}N.$$

The implied constant depends only upon the implied constants in (10).

Proof. See ([8], Theorem 2.9). □

Lemma 3. For any complex numbers $a(n)$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{a < n \leq b} a(n) \right|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{b-a}{Q}\right) \sum_{|q| < Q} \left(1 - \frac{|q|}{Q}\right) \sum_{a < n, n+q \leq b} a(n+q) \overline{a(n)},$$

where Q is any positive integer.

Proof. See ([10], Lemma 8.17). □

Lemma 4. Assume that $F(x)$, $G(x)$ are real functions defined in $[a, b]$, $|G(x)| \leq H$ for $a \leq x \leq b$ and $G(x)/F'(x)$ is a monotonous function. Set

$$I = \int_a^b G(x) e(F(x)) dx.$$

If $F'(x) \geq h > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ or if $F'(x) \leq -h < 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ then

$$|I| \ll H/h.$$

If $F''(x) \geq h > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ or if $F''(x) \leq -h < 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$ then

$$|I| \ll H/\sqrt{h}.$$

Proof. See ([15], p. 71). □

Lemma 5. Let $G(n)$ be a complex valued function. Assume further that

$$\begin{aligned} P > 2, \quad P_1 \leq 2P, \quad 2 \leq U < V \leq Z \leq P, \\ U^2 \leq Z, \quad 128UZ^2 \leq P_1, \quad 2^{18}P_1 \leq V^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then the sum

$$\sum_{P < n \leq P_1} \Lambda(n) G(n)$$

can be decomposed into $O(\log^6 P)$ sums, each of which is either of Type I

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ P < ml \leq P_1}} a_m \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} G(ml)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ P < ml \leq P_1}} a_m \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} G(ml) \log l,$$

where

$$L \geq Z, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a_m \ll \tau_5(m) \log P$$

or of Type II

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ P < ml \leq P_1}} a_m \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b_l G(ml)$$

where

$$U \leq L \leq V, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a_m \ll \tau_5(m) \log P, \quad b_l \ll \tau_5(l) \log P.$$

Proof. See ([9]). □

Lemma 6. *If $|\alpha| \leq \tau$ then*

$$S(\alpha) = I(\alpha) + \mathcal{O}\left(Xe^{-(\log X)^{1/5}}\right).$$

Proof. This lemma is very similar to result of Tolev [16]. Inspecting the arguments presented in ([16], Lemma 14), (with $T = X^{\frac{1}{5}}$) the reader will easily see that the proof of Lemma 6 can be obtained by the same way. □

Lemma 7. *We have*

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I^3(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \gg \varepsilon X^{3-c}.$$

Proof. Denoting the above integral with Θ , using (9), the definition of $\psi(y)$ and the

inverse Fourier transformation formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta &= \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(\alpha) e((y_1^c \tan^\theta(\log y_1) + y_2^c \tan^\theta(\log y_2) + y_3^c \tan^\theta(\log y_3) - N)\alpha) d\alpha dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \\
&= \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \psi(y_1^c \tan^\theta(\log y_1) + y_2^c \tan^\theta(\log y_2) + y_3^c \tan^\theta(\log y_3) - N) dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \\
&\geq \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} \int_{\Delta_1}^{\Delta_2} dy_1 dy_2 dy_3 \\
&\quad |y_1^c \tan^\theta(\log y_1) + y_2^c \tan^\theta(\log y_2) + y_3^c \tan^\theta(\log y_3) - N| < 3\varepsilon/4 \\
&\geq \int_{\Delta_\lambda}^{\Delta_\mu} \int_{\Delta_\lambda}^{\Delta_\mu} \left(\int_{\Omega} dy_3 \right) dy_1 dy_2, \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

where λ and μ are real numbers such that

$$\frac{4}{9} < \frac{3}{2} < \left(\frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{3^{\theta+1}}{2^\theta} - \frac{3}{4} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} < \lambda < \mu < \left(\frac{2}{5} \left(\frac{3^{\theta+1}}{2^\theta} - \frac{2}{3} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}} < 2, \tag{12}$$

$$\Delta_\lambda = e^{\pi \left[\frac{\log X}{\pi} \right] + \arctan \lambda}, \tag{13}$$

$$\Delta_\mu = e^{\pi \left[\frac{\log X}{\pi} \right] + \arctan \mu} \tag{14}$$

and

$$\Omega = [\Delta_1, \Delta_2] \cap [y'_3, y''_3], \tag{15}$$

where the interval $[y'_3, y''_3]$ is a solution of the system inequalities

$$\begin{cases} y_3^c \tan^\theta(\log y_3) > N - 3\varepsilon/4 - y_1^c \tan^\theta(\log y_1) - y_2^c \tan^\theta(\log y_2) \\ y_3^c \tan^\theta(\log y_3) < N + 3\varepsilon/4 - y_1^c \tan^\theta(\log y_1) - y_2^c \tan^\theta(\log y_2) \end{cases}. \tag{16}$$

From (2), (3), (6), (7), (12), (13), (14) and (16) it follows that

$$[y'_3, y''_3] \subset [\Delta_1, \Delta_2]. \tag{17}$$

Now (15) and (17) yield

$$\Omega = [y'_3, y''_3]. \tag{18}$$

Consider the function $t(y)$ defined by

$$t = y^c \tan^\theta(\log y), \tag{19}$$

for

$$y \in [y'_3, y''_3]. \quad (20)$$

The first derivative of y as implicit function of t is

$$y' = \frac{y^{1-c}}{(c \tan(\log y) + \theta \sec^2(\log y)) \tan^{\theta-1}(\log y)}. \quad (21)$$

By (6), (7), (17), (20) and (21) we deduce

$$y' \asymp X^{1-c}. \quad (22)$$

Using (11), (16), (18), (19) and the mean-value theorem we get

$$\Theta \gg \varepsilon \int_{\Delta_\lambda}^{\Delta_\mu} \int_{\Delta_\lambda}^{\Delta_\mu} y'(\xi_{y_1, y_2}) dy_1 dy_2, \quad (23)$$

where

$$\xi_{y_1, y_2} \asymp X^c.$$

Bearing in mind (13), (14), (22) and (23) we obtain

$$\Theta \gg \varepsilon X^{3-c}.$$

The lemma is proved. □

Lemma 8. *We have*

- (i) $\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll X^{2-c} \log^3 X,$
- (ii) $\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |I(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll X^{2-c} \log X,$
- (iii) $\int_n^{n+1} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll X \log^3 X.$

Proof. We only prove (i). The cases (ii) and (iii) are analogous.

From (8) we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha &= \sum_{\Delta_1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \Delta_2} \log p_1 \log p_2 \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} e((p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) - p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2))\alpha) d\alpha \\
&\ll \sum_{\Delta_1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \Delta_2} \log p_1 \log p_2 \min\left(\tau, \frac{1}{|p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) - p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2)|}\right) \\
&\ll \tau \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \Delta_2 \\ |p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) - p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2)| \leq 1/\tau}} \log p_1 \log p_2 \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < p_1, p_2 \leq \Delta_2 \\ |p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) - p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2)| > 1/\tau}} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2}{|p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) - p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2)|} \\
&\ll U\tau \log^2 X + V \log^2 X, \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$U = \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < n_1, n_2 \leq \Delta_2 \\ |n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2)| \leq 1/\tau}} 1, \tag{25}$$

$$V = \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < n_1, n_2 \leq \Delta_2 \\ |n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2)| > 1/\tau}} \frac{1}{|n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2)|}. \tag{26}$$

Let the interval $[n'_2, n''_2]$ is a solution of the system inequalities

$$\begin{cases} n_2 \in [\Delta_1, \Delta_2] \\ n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2) \geq n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - 1/\tau \\ n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2) \leq n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) + 1/\tau \end{cases}. \tag{27}$$

Consider the function $t(y)$ defined by (19) for

$$y \in [n'_2, n''_2]. \tag{28}$$

By (6), (7), (19), (21), (27) and (28) for the first derivative of y as implicit function of t we obtain

$$y' \asymp X^{1-c}. \tag{29}$$

Using (19), (25), (27) and the mean-value theorem we get

$$U \ll \sum_{\Delta_1 < n_1 \leq \Delta_2} \sum_{\Delta_1 < n_2 \leq \Delta_2} 1 \ll X + \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{\Delta_1 < n_1 \leq \Delta_2} y'(\xi), \tag{30}$$

$$n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - 1/\tau \leq n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2) \leq n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) + 1/\tau$$

where $\xi \asymp X^c$. Taking into account (6), (7), (29) and (30) we find

$$U \ll X + \frac{X^{2-c}}{\tau}. \quad (31)$$

On the other hand from (26) we have

$$V \leq \sum_l V_l, \quad (32)$$

where

$$V_l = \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < n_1, n_2 \leq \Delta_2 \\ l < |n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2)| \leq 2l}} \frac{1}{|n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2)|}$$

and l takes the values $2^k/\tau$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, with $l \ll X^c$.

Arguing as in U and using the mean-value theorem we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} V_l &\ll \frac{1}{l} \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < n_1 \leq \Delta_2 \\ n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) + l \leq n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2) \leq n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) + 2l}} \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < n_2 \leq \Delta_2 \\ n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2) \leq n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) + 2l}} 1 \\ &+ \frac{1}{l} \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < n_1 \leq \Delta_2 \\ n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - 2l \leq n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2) \leq n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - l}} \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < n_2 \leq \Delta_2 \\ n_2^c \tan^\theta(\log n_2) \leq n_1^c \tan^\theta(\log n_1) - l}} 1 \\ &\ll \sum_{n_1 \in \Delta} y'(\xi) \\ &\ll X^{2-c}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

The proof follows from (4), (24), (31), (32) and (33). \square

Lemma 9. *Assume that*

$$\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H. \quad (34)$$

Set

$$S_I = \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} a_m \sum_{\substack{L < l \leq L_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} e(\alpha m^c l^c \tan^\theta(\log(ml))) \quad (35)$$

and

$$S'_I = \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} a_m \sum_{\substack{L < l \leq L_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} e(\alpha m^c l^c \tan^\theta(\log(ml))) \log l, \quad (36)$$

where

$$L \geq X^{\frac{13}{27}}, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a_m \ll \tau_5(m) \log \Delta_1. \quad (37)$$

Then

$$S_I \ll X^{\frac{17}{18} + \eta}.$$

Proof. First we notice that (6), (7), (35) and (37) imply

$$LM \asymp X. \quad (38)$$

Denote

$$f(l, m) = \alpha m^c l^c \tan^\theta (\log(ml)). \quad (39)$$

By (6), (35), (37) and (39) we write

$$S_I \ll X^\eta \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left| \sum_{L' < l \leq L'_1} e(f(l, m)) \right|, \quad (40)$$

where

$$L' = \max \left\{ L, \frac{\Delta_1}{m} \right\}, \quad L'_1 = \min \left\{ L_1, \frac{\Delta_2}{m} \right\}. \quad (41)$$

From (37) and (41) for the sum in (40) it follows

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} M < m \leq M_1 \\ L' < l \leq L'_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2 \\ (L', L'_1] \subseteq (L, 2L] \end{array} \right|. \quad (42)$$

On the other hand for the function defined by (39) we find

$$\frac{\partial f(l, m)}{\partial l} = \alpha m^c l^{c-1} \tan^{\theta-1} (\log(ml)) \left(c \tan (\log(ml)) + \theta \sec^2 (\log(ml)) \right) \quad (43)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f(l, m)}{\partial l^2} &= \alpha m^c l^{c-2} \tan^{\theta-2} (\log(ml)) \left((2\theta \sec^2 (\log(ml)) + c^2 - c) \tan^2 (\log(ml)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2c - 1)\theta \sec^2 (\log(ml)) \tan (\log(ml)) + (\theta^2 - \theta) \sec^4 (\log(ml)) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Now (37), (42), (43) and (44) yields

$$\frac{\partial f(d, l)}{\partial l} \asymp |\alpha| M^c L^{c-1} \quad (45)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(d, l)}{\partial l^2} \asymp |\alpha| M^c L^{c-2}. \quad (46)$$

Proceeding in the same way we get

$$\frac{\partial^3 f(d, l)}{\partial l^3} \asymp |\alpha| M^c L^{c-3}. \quad (47)$$

Using (4), (5), (34), (37), (38), (40), (42), (45), (46), (47) and Lemma 2 with $k = 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
S_I &\ll X^\eta \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{6}} M^{\frac{c}{6}} L^{\frac{c}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} + |\alpha|^{-1} M^{-c} L^{1-c} \right) \\
&\ll X^\eta \left(M^{\frac{1}{2}} H^{\frac{1}{6}} X^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} + \tau^{-1} X^{1-c} \right) \\
&\ll X^\eta \left(M^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{37}{54}} + X^{\frac{8}{9}} \right) \\
&\ll X^{\frac{17}{18} + \eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the sum defined by (36) we apply Abel's summation formula and proceed in the same way to deduce

$$S'_I \ll X^{\frac{17}{18} + \eta}.$$

This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 10. *Assume that*

$$\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H. \tag{48}$$

Set

$$S_{II} = \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} a_m \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b_l e(\alpha m^c l^c \tan^\theta(\log(ml))), \tag{49}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{-11} X^{\frac{1}{27}} \leq L \leq 2^7 X^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \\
a_m \ll \tau_5(m) \log \Delta_1, \quad b_l \ll \tau_5(l) \log \Delta_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Then

$$S_{II} \ll X^{\frac{17}{18} + \eta}.$$

Proof. First we notice that (6), (7), (49) and (50) give us

$$LM \asymp X. \tag{51}$$

From (6), (39), (49), (50), (51), Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 3 with $Q = X^{\frac{1}{9}}$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{II} &\ll \left(\sum_{M < m \leq M_1} |a(m)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left| \sum_{\substack{L < l \leq L_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} b(l)e(f(l, m)) \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll M^{\frac{1}{2} + \eta} \left(\sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \frac{L}{Q} \sum_{|q| < Q} \left(1 - \frac{q}{Q} \right) \sum_{\substack{L < l, l+q \leq L_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2 \\ \Delta_1 < m(l+q) \leq \Delta_2}} b(l+q)\overline{b(l)}e\left(f(l+q, m) - f(l, m)\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll M^{\frac{1}{2} + \eta} \left(\frac{L}{Q} \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left(L^{1+\eta} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \sum_{1 \leq |q| < Q} \left(1 - \frac{q}{Q} \right) \sum_{\substack{L < l, l+q \leq L_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2 \\ \Delta_1 < m(l+q) \leq \Delta_2}} b(l+q)\overline{b(l)}e\left(f(l+q, m) - f(l, m)\right) \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll X^\eta \left(\frac{X^2}{Q} + \frac{X}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq |q| \leq Q} \sum_{L < l, l+q \leq L_1} \left| \sum_{M' < m \leq M'_1} e(f(l, m, q)) \right| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{52}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$M' = \max \left\{ M, \frac{\Delta_1}{l}, \frac{\Delta_1}{l+q} \right\}, \quad M'_1 = \min \left\{ M_1, \frac{\Delta_2}{l}, \frac{\Delta_2}{l+q} \right\}. \tag{53}$$

and

$$f(l, m, q) = \alpha m^c \left((l+q)^c \tan^\theta (\log(m(l+q))) - l^c \tan^\theta (\log(ml)) \right).$$

From (50) and (53) for the sum in (52) it follows

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L < l, l+q \leq L_1 \\ M' < m \leq M'_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2 \\ \Delta_1 < m(l+q) \leq \Delta_2 \\ (M', M'_1] \subseteq (M, 2M] \end{array} \right. . \tag{54}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial f(l, m, q)}{\partial m} &= \alpha (q+l)^c m^{c-1} \tan^{\theta-1} (\log((q+l)m)) \\
&\quad \times \left(c \tan (\log((q+l)m)) + \theta \sec^2 (\log((q+l)m)) \right) \\
&\quad - \alpha l^c m^{c-1} \tan^{\theta-1} (\log(ml)) \left(c \tan (\log(ml)) + \theta \sec^2 (\log(ml)) \right) \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 f(l, m, q)}{\partial m^2} &= \alpha(q+l)^c m^{c-2} \tan^{\theta-2}(\log((q+l)m)) \\
&\times \left((2\theta \sec^2(\log((q+l)m)) + c^2 - c) \tan^2(\log((q+l)m)) \right. \\
&+ (2c-1)\theta \sec^2(\log((q+l)m)) \tan(\log((q+l)m)) \\
&+ (\theta^2 - \theta) \sec^4(\log((q+l)m)) \left. \right) \\
&- \alpha l^c m^{c-2} \tan^{\theta-2}(\log(ml)) \left((2\theta \sec^2(\log(ml)) + c^2 - c) \tan^2(\log(ml)) \right. \\
&+ (2c-1)\theta \sec^2(\log(ml)) \tan(\log(ml)) + (\theta^2 - \theta) \sec^4(\log(ml)) \left. \right). \quad (56)
\end{aligned}$$

From (50), (54), (55) and (56) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f(l, m, q)}{\partial m} \asymp |\alpha| L^c M^{c-1} \quad (57)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(l, m, q)}{\partial m^2} \asymp |\alpha| L^c M^{c-2}. \quad (58)$$

Now (4), (5), (48), (50), (51), (52), (54), (57), (58) and Lemma 2 with $k = 0$ imply

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{II} &\ll X^\eta \left(\frac{X^2}{Q} + \frac{X}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} \left(|\alpha|^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{c}{2}} M^{\frac{c}{2}} + |\alpha|^{-1} L^{-c} M^{1-c} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll X^\eta \left(\frac{X^2}{Q} + X \left(H^{\frac{1}{2}} L X^{\frac{c}{2}} + \tau^{-1} L^{1-c} M^{1-c} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\ll X^{\frac{17}{18} + \eta}.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Lemma 11. *Let $\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H$. Then for the exponential sum denoted by (8) we have*

$$S(\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{17}{18} + \eta}.$$

Proof. In order to prove the lemma we will use the formula

$$S(\alpha) = S^*(\alpha) + \mathcal{O}\left(X^{\frac{1}{2} + \varepsilon}\right), \quad (59)$$

where

$$S^*(\alpha) = \sum_{\Delta_1 < n \leq \Delta_2} \Lambda(n) e(\alpha n^c \tan^\theta(\log n)). \quad (60)$$

Taking into account (6) and (7) we have that

$$\Delta_2 < 2\Delta_1, \quad 2^{-4}X < \Delta_1 < 2X, \quad 2^{-3}X < \Delta_2 < 2^2X, \quad (61)$$

thus we choose

$$U = 2^{-11}X^{\frac{1}{27}}, \quad V = 2^7X^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad Z = X^{\frac{13}{27}}. \quad (62)$$

According to Lemma 5, the sum $S^*(\alpha)$ can be decomposed into $O(\log^6 \Delta_1)$ sums, each of which is either of Type I

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} a_m \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} e(\alpha m^c l^c \tan^\theta(\log(ml)))$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} a_m \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} e(\alpha m^c l^c \tan^\theta(\log(ml))) \log l,$$

where

$$L \geq Z, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a_m \ll \tau_5(m) \log \Delta_1$$

or of Type II

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ \Delta_1 < ml \leq \Delta_2}} a_m \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b_l e(\alpha m^c l^c \tan^\theta(\log(ml)))$$

where

$$U \leq L \leq V, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a_m \ll \tau_5(m) \log \Delta_1, \quad b_l \ll \tau_5(l) \log \Delta_1.$$

Using (60), (61), (62), Lemma 9 and Lemma 10 we deduce

$$S^*(\alpha) \ll X^{\frac{17}{18} + \eta}. \quad (63)$$

Bearing in mind (59) and (63) we establish the statement in the lemma. \square

4 Proof of the Theorem

Consider the sum

$$\Gamma = \sum_{\substack{\Delta_1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \Delta_2 \\ |p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) + p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2) + p_3^c \tan^\theta(\log p_3) - N| < \varepsilon}} \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3.$$

The theorem will be proved if we show that $\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

According to the definition of $\psi(y)$ and the inverse Fourier transformation formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma \geq \Gamma_0 &= \sum_{\Delta_1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \Delta_2} \psi(p_1^c \tan^\theta(\log p_1) + p_2^c \tan^\theta(\log p_2) + p_3^c \tan^\theta(\log p_3) - N) \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 \\
&= \sum_{\Delta_1 < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq \Delta_2} \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 \\
&\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi(\alpha) e((y_1^c \tan^\theta(\log y_1) + y_2^c \tan^\theta(\log y_2) + y_3^c \tan^\theta(\log y_3) - N)\alpha) d\alpha \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S^3(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

We decompose Γ_0 in three parts

$$\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2 + \Gamma_3, \tag{65}$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} S^3(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha, \tag{66}$$

$$\Gamma_2 = \int_{\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H} S^3(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha, \tag{67}$$

$$\Gamma_3 = \int_{|\alpha| > H} S^3(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha. \tag{68}$$

4.1 Estimation of Γ_1

Denote the integrals

$$\Theta_\tau = \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} I^3(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha, \tag{69}$$

$$\Theta = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} I^3(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha. \tag{70}$$

For Γ_1 denoted by (66) we have

$$\Gamma_1 = (\Gamma_1 - \Theta_\tau) + (\Theta_\tau - \Theta) + \Theta. \tag{71}$$

From (66), (69), Lemma 1, Lemma 6 and Lemma 8 (i), (ii) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Gamma_1 - \Theta_\tau| &\ll \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S^3(\alpha) - I^3(\alpha)| |\Psi(\alpha)| d\alpha \\
&\ll \varepsilon \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(\alpha) - I(\alpha)| (|S(\alpha)|^2 + |I(\alpha)|^2) d\alpha \\
&\ll \varepsilon X e^{-(\log X)^{1/5}} \left(\int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha + \int_{-\tau}^{\tau} |I(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right) \\
&\ll \varepsilon X^{3-c} e^{-(\log X)^{1/6}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial \alpha y^c \tan^\theta(\log y)}{\partial y} = \alpha y^{c-1} \left(c \tan(\log y) + \theta \sec^2(\log y) \right) \tan^{\theta-1}(\log y). \tag{73}$$

Using (4), (6), (7), (9), (69), (70), (73), Lemma 1 and Lemma 4 we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Theta_\tau - \Theta| &\ll \int_{\tau}^{\infty} |I(\alpha)|^3 |\Psi(\alpha)| d\alpha \ll \frac{\varepsilon}{X^{3(c-1)}} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^3} \\
&\ll \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau^2 X^{3(c-1)}} \ll \frac{\varepsilon X^{3-c}}{\log X}.
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Bearing in mind (70), (71), (72), (74) and Lemma 7 we obtain

$$\Gamma_1 \gg \varepsilon X^{3-c}. \tag{75}$$

4.2 Estimation of Γ_2

In this subsection we use Cai's [5] argument.

Taking into account Lemma 1 and Lemma 8 (iii) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H} |S(\alpha)|^2 |\Psi(\alpha)| d\alpha &\ll \varepsilon \int_{\tau}^{1/\varepsilon} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha + \int_{1/\varepsilon}^H |S(\alpha)|^2 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \\
&\ll \varepsilon \sum_{0 \leq n \leq 1/\varepsilon} \int_n^{n+1} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha + \sum_{1/\varepsilon-1 \leq n \leq H} \frac{1}{n} \int_n^{n+1} |S(\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \\
&\ll X \log^4 X.
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

Denote

$$A(t) = \sum_{\Delta_1 < n \leq \Delta_2} e(tn^c \tan^\theta(\log n)). \quad (77)$$

From (6), (7) and (67) we write

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_2| &= \left| \sum_{\Delta_1 < p \leq \Delta_2} (\log p) \int_{\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H} e(\alpha p^c \tan^\theta(\log p)) S^2(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{\Delta_1 < p \leq \Delta_2} (\log p) \left| \int_{\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H} e(\alpha p^c \tan^\theta(\log p)) S^2(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \right| \\ &\leq (\log X) \sum_{\Delta_1 < n \leq \Delta_2} \left| \int_{\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H} e(\alpha n^c \tan^\theta(\log n)) S^2(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

By (6), (7), (78) and Cauchy's inequality we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_2|^2 &\leq X(\log X)^2 \sum_{\Delta_1 < n \leq \Delta_2} \left| \int_{\tau \leq |\alpha| \leq H} e(\alpha n^c \tan^\theta(\log n)) S^2(\alpha) \Psi(\alpha) e(-N\alpha) d\alpha \right|^2 \\ &= X(\log X)^2 \int_{\tau \leq |y| \leq H} \overline{S^2(y) \Psi(y) e(-Ny)} dy \int_{\tau \leq |t| \leq H} S^2(t) \Psi(t) e(-Nt) A(t-y) dt \\ &\leq X(\log X)^2 \int_{\tau \leq |y| \leq H} |S(y)|^2 |\Psi(y)| dy \int_{\tau \leq |t| \leq H} |S(t)|^2 |\Psi(t)| |A(t-y)| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

We have

$$\frac{\partial t y^c \tan^\theta(\log y)}{\partial y} = t y^{c-1} \tan^{\theta-1}(\log y) \left(c \tan(\log y) + \theta \sec^2(\log y) \right) \quad (80)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 t y^c \tan^\theta(\log y)}{\partial y^2} &= t y^{c-2} \tan^{\theta-2}(\log y) \left((2\theta \sec^2(\log y) + c^2 - c) \tan^2(\log y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (2c-1)\theta \sec^2(\log y) \tan(\log y) + (\theta^2 - \theta) \sec^4(\log y) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

From (6), (7), (61), (80) and (81) it follows

$$\frac{\partial t y^c \tan^\theta(\log y)}{\partial y} \asymp |t| \Delta_1^{c-1} \quad \text{for } y \in [\Delta_1, \Delta_2] \quad (82)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 t y^c \tan^\theta(\log y)}{\partial y^2} \asymp |t| \Delta_1^{c-2} \quad \text{for } y \in [\Delta_1, \Delta_2]. \quad (83)$$

Now (6), (7), (61), (77), (82), (83) and Lemma 2 with $k = 0$ imply

$$A(t) \ll \min \left(|t|^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{c}{2}} + |t|^{-1} X^{1-c}, X \right). \quad (84)$$

Using (5), (76), (84), Lemma 1 and Lemma 11 we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tau \leq |t| \leq H} |S(t)|^2 |\Psi(t)| |A(t-y)| dt \\ & \ll \int_{\substack{\tau \leq |t| \leq H \\ |t-y| \leq X^{-c}}} |S(t)|^2 |\Psi(t)| |A(t-y)| dt + \int_{\substack{\tau \leq |t| \leq H \\ X^{-c} < |t-y| \leq 2H}} |S(t)|^2 |\Psi(t)| |A(t-y)| dt \\ & \ll \varepsilon X \int_{\substack{\tau \leq |t| \leq H \\ |t-y| \leq X^{-c}}} |S(t)|^2 dt + \int_{\substack{\tau \leq |t| \leq H \\ X^{-c} < |t-y| \leq 2H}} |S(t)|^2 |\Psi(t)| \left(|t-y|^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{c}{2}} + \frac{X^{1-c}}{|t-y|} \right) dt \\ & \ll \varepsilon X \max_{\tau \leq |t| \leq H} |S(t)|^2 \int_{|t-y| \leq X^{-c}} dt + H^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{c}{2}} \int_{\tau \leq |t| \leq H} |S(t)|^2 |\Psi(t)| dt \\ & + \varepsilon X^{1-c} \max_{\tau \leq |t| \leq H} |S(t)|^2 \int_{X^{-c} < |t-y| \leq 2H} \frac{1}{|t-y|} dt \\ & \ll \varepsilon X^{1-c+\eta} \max_{\tau \leq |t| \leq H} |S(t)|^2 + H^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{c}{2}+1+\eta} \\ & \ll \varepsilon X^{\frac{26}{9}-c+\eta}. \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

Bearing in mind (3), (76), (79) and (85) we obtain

$$\Gamma_2 \ll \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} X^{\frac{22}{9}-\frac{c}{2}+\eta} \ll \frac{\varepsilon X^{3-c}}{\log X}. \quad (86)$$

4.3 Estimation of Γ_3

Using (3), (5), (8), (68), Lemma 1 and choosing $k = [\log X]$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_3 & \ll \int_H^\infty |S(\alpha)|^3 |\Psi(\alpha)| d\alpha \\ & \ll X^3 \int_H^\infty \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{k}{2\pi\alpha\varepsilon/8} \right)^k d\alpha \\ & = X^3 \left(\frac{4k}{\pi\varepsilon H} \right)^k \ll 1. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

4.4 The end of the proof

Bearing in mind (64), (65), (75), (86) and (87) we establish that

$$\Gamma \gg \varepsilon X^{3-c}. \quad (88)$$

Now (3) and (88) imply that $\Gamma \rightarrow \infty$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$.

The proof of the Theorem is complete.

References

- [1] R. Baker, *Some diophantine equations and inequalities with primes*, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, **64** (2), (2021), 203 – 250.
- [2] R. Baker, A. Weingartner, *A ternary diophantine inequality over primes*, *Acta Arith.*, **162**, (2014), 159 – 196.
- [3] Y. Cai, *On a diophantine inequality involving prime numbers*, *Acta Math. Sinica, Chinese Series*, **39**, (1996), 733 – 742.
- [4] Y. Cai, *On a diophantine inequality involving prime numbers III*, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series*, **15**, (1999), 387 – 394.
- [5] Y. Cai, *A ternary Diophantine inequality involving primes*, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **14**, (2018), 2257 – 2268.
- [6] X. Cao, W. Zhai, *A Diophantine inequality with prime numbers*, *Acta Math. Sinica, Chinese Series*, **45**, (2002), 361 – 370.
- [7] S. I. Dimitrov, *A logarithmic inequality involving prime numbers*, *Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc.*, **24**, 3, (2021), 403 – 416.
- [8] S. W. Graham, G. Kolesnik, *Van der Corput's Method of Exponential Sums*, Cambridge University Press, New York, (1991).
- [9] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Prime numbers in short intervals and a generalized Vaughan identity*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **34**, (1982), 1365 – 1377.
- [10] H. Iwaniec, E. Kowalski, *Analytic number theory*, Colloquium Publications, **53**, Amer. Math. Soc., (2004).

- [11] A. Kumchev, T. Nedeva, *On an equation with prime numbers*, Acta Arith., **83**, (1998), 117 – 126.
- [12] A. Kumchev, *A diophantine inequality involving prime powers*, Acta Arith., **89**, (1999), 311 – 330.
- [13] I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *On a variant of the Waring-Goldbach problem*, Mat. Sb., **30**, (1952), 105 – 120, (in Russian).
- [14] B. I. Segal, *On a theorem analogous to Waring's theorem*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (N. S.), **2**, (1933), 47 – 49, (in Russian).
- [15] E. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function* (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown), Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986).
- [16] D. I. Tolev, *On a diophantine inequality involving prime numbers*, Acta Arith., **61**, (1992), 289 – 306.

S. I. Dimitrov
Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Informatics
Technical University of Sofia
8, St.Kliment Ohridski Blvd.
1756 Sofia, BULGARIA
e-mail: sdimitrov@tu-sofia.bg