

Optimal recovery of operator sequences

V. F. Babenko, N. V. Parfinovych, D. S. Skorokhodov

October 19, 2021

Abstract

In this paper we consider two recovery problems based on information given with an error. First is the problem of optimal recovery of the class $W_q^T = \{(t_1 h_1, t_2 h_2, \dots) \in \ell_q : \|h\|_q \leq 1\}$, where $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$, in the space ℓ_q when in the capacity of inexact information we know either the first $n \in \mathbb{N}$ elements of a sequence with an error measured in the space of finite sequences ℓ_r^n , $0 < r \leq \infty$, or a sequence itself is known with an error measured in the space ℓ_r . The second is the problem of optimal recovery of scalar products acting on Cartesian product $W_{p,q}^{T,S}$ of classes W_p^T and W_q^S , where $1 < p, q < \infty$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$, when in the capacity of inexact information we know the first n coordinate-wise products $x_1 y_1, x_2 y_2, \dots, x_n y_n$ of the element $x \times y \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$ with an error measured in the space ℓ_r^n . We find exact solutions to above problems and construct optimal methods of recovery. As an application of our results we consider the problem of optimal recovery of classes in Hilbert spaces by Fourier coefficients known with an error measured in the space ℓ_p with $p > 2$.

1 Introduction

Let X, Z be complex linear spaces, Y be a complex normed space, $A : X \rightarrow Y$ be an operator, in general non-linear, with domain $\mathcal{D}(A)$, $W \subset \mathcal{D}(A)$ be some class of elements. Denote by $\mathfrak{B}(Z)$ the set of non-empty subsets of Z , and let $I : \overline{\text{span } W} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(Z)$ be a given mapping called *information*. When saying that information about element $x \in W$ is available we mean that some element $z \in I(x)$ is known. An arbitrary mapping $\Phi : Z \rightarrow Y$ is called

method of recovery of operator A . Define *the error of method of recovery* Φ of operator A on the set W given information I :

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W, I, \Phi) = \sup_{x \in W} \sup_{z \in I(x)} \|Ax - \Phi(z)\|_Y. \quad (1)$$

The quantity

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W, I) = \inf_{\Phi: Z \rightarrow Y} \mathcal{E}(A, W, I, \Phi) \quad (2)$$

is called *the error of optimal recovery* of operator A on elements of class W given information I . Method Φ^* delivering inf in (2) (if any exists) is called *optimal*.

The problem of recovery of linear operators in Hilbert spaces based on exact information was studied in [14]. In case information mapping I has the form $Ix = i(x) + B$, where i is a linear operator and B is a ball of some radius defining information error, recovery problem (2) was considered in [12] (see also [15]–[16]). Alternative approach to the study of optimal recovery problems based on standard principles of convex optimization was proposed in [10]. In [12] it was shown that among optimal methods of recovery there exists a linear one, and in [10] explicit representations for optimal methods of recovery were found in cases when the error of information is measured with respect to the uniform metric. For a thorough overview of optimal recovery and related problems we refer the reader to books [17, 16] and survey [1].

Remark that results of the present work supplement and generalize results of paper [10] on optimal recovery of functions and its derivatives and paper [7].

2 Elementary lower estimate

Let us present a trivial yet effective lower estimate for the error of optimal recovery (2). Denote by θ_Z the null element of space Z and let I be some information mapping.

Lemma 1. *Let $\theta_Z \in I(W)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W, I) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in W: \\ \theta_Z \in Ix \cap Iy}} \|Ax - Ay\|_Y.$$

Proof. Indeed, for every method of recovery $\Phi : Z \rightarrow Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(A, W, I, \Phi) &\geq \sup_{\substack{x \in W: \\ \theta_Z \in Ix}} \|Ax - \Phi(\theta_Z)\|_Y \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sup_{\substack{x \in W: \\ \theta_Z \in Ix}} \|Ax - \Phi(\theta_Z)\|_Y + \sup_{\substack{y \in W: \\ \theta_Z \in Iy}} \|Ay - \Phi(\theta_Z)\|_Y \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{\substack{x, y \in W: \\ \theta_Z \in Ix \cap Iy}} \|Ax - Ay\|_Y. \end{aligned}$$

Taking inf over methods Φ we finish the proof. \square

From Lemma 1 we easily derive the following consequences.

cor 1. *Let A be an odd operator, $\tilde{x} \in W$ be such that $-\tilde{x} \in W$ and $\theta_Z \in I(\tilde{x}) \cap I(-\tilde{x})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W, I) \geq \|A\tilde{x}\|_X.$$

cor 2. *Let $Y = \mathbb{C}$, R be a (complex) normed space, $X = R \times R^*$, $W_1 \subset R$ and $W_2 \subset R^*$ be given classes. Also, let A be the scalar product of elements in $R \times R^*$, i.e. $A(x, y) = \langle y, x \rangle$, $x \in R$ and $y \in R^*$. Assume that there exist $\tilde{x}_1 \in W_1$ and $\tilde{x}_2 \in W_2$ such that either*

$$-\tilde{x}_1 \in W_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_Z \in I(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \cap I(-\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2)$$

or

$$-\tilde{x}_2 \in W_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_Z \in I(\tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) \cap I(\tilde{x}_1, -\tilde{x}_2).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W_1 \times W_2, I) \geq |\langle \tilde{x}_2, \tilde{x}_1 \rangle|.$$

Remark that similar and related lower estimates were established in many papers (see, e.g., [10, 5]).

3 Optimal recovery of sequences

Let us present notations used in the rest of the paper. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$, ℓ_q be the standard space of sequences $x = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, complex-valued in general, with corresponding norm $\|x\|_q$, and ℓ_q^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be the spaces of finite sequences. Denote by θ the null element of ℓ_q and by θ^n the null element of ℓ_q^n .

For a given non-increasing sequence $t = \{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of non-negative numbers, consider bounded operator $T : \ell_q \rightarrow \ell_q$ defined as follows

$$Th := \{t_k h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \quad h \in \ell_q,$$

and the class

$$W_q^T := \{x = Th : h \in \ell_q, \|h\|_q \leq 1\}.$$

In this section we will study the problem of optimal recovery of identity operator $A = \text{id}_X$ on the class W_q^T , also called the problem of optimal recovery of class W_q^T , when information mapping I is given in one of the following forms:

1. $Ix = I_\varepsilon^n x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) + B[\varepsilon_1] \times B[\varepsilon_n]$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \geq 0$ and $B[\varepsilon_j] = [-\varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_j]$;
2. $Ix = I_{\varepsilon, p}^n x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) + B[\varepsilon, \ell_p^n]$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $B[\varepsilon, \ell_p^n]$ is the ball of radius ε in the space ℓ_p^n centered at θ^n ;
3. $Ix = I_{\varepsilon, p} x = x + B[\varepsilon, \ell_p]$, where $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and $B[\varepsilon, \ell_p]$ is the ball of radius ε in the space ℓ_p centered at θ .

To simplify further notations, we set

$$\mathcal{E}(W, I) := \mathcal{E}(\text{id}_X, W, I), \quad \mathcal{E}(W, I, \Phi) := \mathcal{E}(\text{id}_X, W, I, \Phi),$$

and, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q < \infty$, introduce the method of recovery $\Phi_m^* : \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_q$:

$$\Phi_m^*(a) = \left\{ a_1 \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_1^q} \right), \dots, a_m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_m^q} \right), 0, \dots \right\}, \quad a \in \ell_p,$$

that would be optimal in many situations. Also, we set $\Phi_0^*(a) := \theta$, $a \in \ell_p$.

In what follows we define $\sum_{k=1}^0 a_k := 0$ for numeric a_k 's. In addition, for simplicity we assume that $t_k > 0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Results in this paper remain true in the case when t_k can attain zero value with the substitution of $1/t_k$ with $+\infty$ and t_s/t_k , $s \geq k$ with 1.

3.1 Information mapping $I_\varepsilon^n(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) + B[\varepsilon_1] \times \dots \times B[\varepsilon_n]$

Theorem 1. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \geq 0$. If*

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon_k^q}{t_k^q} \geq 0,$$

we set $m = n$. Otherwise we choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \leq n$, to be such that

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k^q}{t_k^q} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{\varepsilon_k^q}{t_k^q} < 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n, \Phi_m^*) = \left(t_{m+1}^q + \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) \varepsilon_k^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Proof. Using convexity inequality, relations $|x_k - a_k| \leq \varepsilon_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, and monotony of the sequence t , we obtain that, for $x = Th \in W_q^T$ and $a \in I_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \Phi_m^*(a)\|_q^q &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left| x_k - a_k \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) \right|^q + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |x_k|^q \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) (x_k - a_k) + \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} x_k \right|^q + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} t_k^q |h_k|^q \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) |x_k - a_k|^q + \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} |x_k|^q \right) + t_{m+1}^q \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |h_k|^q \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) |x_k - a_k|^q + \sum_{k=1}^m t_{m+1}^q |h_k|^q + t_{m+1}^q \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |h_k|^q \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) \varepsilon_k^q + t_{m+1}^q. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the lower estimate, we choose

$$u_k := \frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad \text{and} \quad u_{m+1} := \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k^q}{t_k^q} \right)^{1/q},$$

and consider $h^* = (u_1, \dots, u_{m+1}, \dots) \in l_q$. It is clear that $Th^* \in W_q^T$, as $\|h^*\|_q \leq 1$. Furthermore, by the choice of number m we have that $\theta \in I_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n(Th^*)$. Hence, by Corollary 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n))^q &\geq \|Th^*\|_q^q = \sum_{k=1}^m t_k^q u_k^q + t_{m+1}^q u_{m+1}^q \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \varepsilon_k^q + t_{m+1}^q \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k^q}{t_k^q} \right) = t_{m+1}^q + \sum_{k=1}^m \varepsilon_k^q \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof. \square

3.2 Information mapping $I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_n) + B[\varepsilon, \ell_p^n]$

We consider three cases separately: $p = \infty$, $p \leq q$ and $p > q$.

3.2.1 Case $p = \infty$

Setting $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon$, we obtain from Theorem 1 the following corollary.

Theorem 2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. If*

$$1 - \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{t_k^q} \geq 0$$

then we set $m = n$. Otherwise we choose $m \in \mathcal{Z}_+$, $m \leq n$, to be such that

$$1 - \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{t_k^q} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{t_k^q} < 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,\infty}^n) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,\infty}^n, \Phi_m^*) = \left(t_{m+1}^q + \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) \right)^{1/q}.$$

3.2.2 Case $0 < p \leq q$

Theorem 3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $0 < p \leq q$. If $\varepsilon \in [0, t_1]$ then*

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n, \Phi_n^*) = \left(t_{n+1}^q + \varepsilon^q \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_1^q} \right) \right)^{1/q},$$

and if $\varepsilon > t_1$ then $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n, \Phi_0^*) = t_1$.

Proof. First, consider the case $\varepsilon \in [0, t_1]$. For $x = Th \in W_q^T$, $\|h\|_q \leq 1$, and

$a \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x - \Phi_n^*(a)\|_q^q &= \sum_{k=1}^n \left| x_k - a_k \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) \right|^q + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |x_k|^q \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \left| \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) (x_k - a_k) + \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_k^q} x_k \right|^q + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} t_k^q |h_k|^q \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left(\left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) |x_k - a_k|^q + \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_k^q} |x_k|^q \right) + t_{n+1}^q \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |h_k|^q \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) (|x_k - a_k|^p)^{q/p} + t_{n+1}^q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_k|^q \\
&\leq \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_1^q} \right) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k - a_k|^p \right)^{q/p} + t_{n+1}^q \leq \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_1^q} \right) \varepsilon^q + t_{n+1}^q.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we establish the lower estimate for $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n)$. Let u_1 and u_{n+1} be such that $t_1 u_1 = \varepsilon$ and $u_1^q + u_{n+1}^q = 1$, *i.e.* $u_1 = \varepsilon/t_1$ and $u_{n+1}^q = 1 - \varepsilon^q/t_1^q$. Set $h^* := (u_1, 0, \dots, 0, u_{n+1}, 0, \dots)$. Obviously, $\|h\|_q \leq 1$ and $\theta \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(Th^*)$. Then by Corollary 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n))^q &\geq \|Th^*\|_q^q = t_1^q u_1^q + t_{n+1}^q u_{n+1}^q \\
&= \varepsilon^q + t_{n+1}^q \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon^q}{t_1^q} \right) = t_{n+1}^q + \varepsilon^q \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_1^q} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, consider the case $\varepsilon > t_1$. For $x = Th \in W_q^T$ and $a \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(x)$, we have

$$\|x - \Phi_0^*(a)\|_q^q = \|Th\|_q^q = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_n^q |h_n|^q \leq t_1^q \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |h_n|^q \leq t_1^q.$$

Taking $h^* := (1, 0, \dots)$, it is clear that $\theta \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(Th^*)$ and by Corollary 1,

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n) \geq \|Th^*\|_q = t_1.$$

Theorem is proved. \square

3.2.3 Case $1 \leq q < p < \infty$

This case is the most technical case. We introduce some preliminary notations. For $m = 1, \dots, n$, define

$$\delta_{j,m} := \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_j^q}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

and set $c_1 := t_1$ and, for $m \geq 2$,

$$c_m := \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{j,m}\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\delta_{j,m}^{q/p}}{t_j^q}\right)^{-1/q}. \quad (3)$$

The sequence $\{c_m\}_{m=1}^n$ is non-increasing. Indeed, let $\delta_{j,m}(\xi) := \left(1 - \frac{\xi t_m^q + (1-\xi)t_{m+1}^q}{t_j^q}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}}$ and consider the function

$$g(\xi) := \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{j,m}(\xi)\right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\delta_{j,m}^{q/p}(\xi)}{t_j^q}\right)^{-1/q}, \quad \xi \in [0, 1].$$

Differentiating g and applying the Cauchy-Swartz inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} g'(\xi) &= \frac{t_{m+1}^q - t_m^q}{p-q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{j,m}(\xi)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\delta_{j,m}^{q/p}(\xi)}{t_j^q}\right)^{-1/q-1} \times \\ &\times \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\delta_{j,m}^{q/p}(\xi)}{t_j^q}\right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{j,m}(\xi)\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\delta_{j,m}^{2q/p-1}(\xi)}{t_j^{2q}}\right) \right) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $c_{m+1} = g(0) \leq g(1) = c_m$.

For convenience, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ denote $t_{m,\lambda}^q := (1-\lambda)t_{m+1}^q + \lambda t_m^q$.

Theorem 4. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq q < p < \infty$.*

1. *If $\varepsilon \leq c_n$ then*

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n, \Phi_n^*) = \left(t_{n+1}^q + \varepsilon^q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_j^q}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \right)^{1/q};$$

2. If $\varepsilon \in (c_n, c_1]$ then there exist $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\varepsilon \in (c_{m+1}, c_m]$ and $\lambda = \lambda(\varepsilon) \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$\varepsilon = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_j^q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_j^q} \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{t_j^q} \right)^{-1/q}. \quad (4)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n, \Phi_{m,\lambda}^*) = \left(t_{m,\lambda}^q + \varepsilon^q \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_j^q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \right)^{1/q},$$

where

$$\Phi_{m,\lambda}^*(a) = \left(a_1 \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_1^q} \right), \dots, a_m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_m^q} \right), 0, \dots \right), \quad a \in \ell_p.$$

3. If $\varepsilon > c_1$ then $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n, \Phi_0^*) = t_1$.

Proof. Let $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and Φ be either Φ_n^* , or Φ_0^* , or $\Phi_{m,\lambda}^*$. For $x \in W_q^T$ and $a \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \Phi(a)\|_q^q &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left| \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_k^q} \right) (x_k - a_k) + \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_k^q} x_k \right|^q + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |x_k|^q \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_k^q} \right) |x_k - a_k|^q + \sum_{k=1}^m t_{m,\lambda}^q |h_k|^q + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} t_k^q |h_k|^q. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Hölder inequality with parameters $p/(p-q)$ and p/q to estimate the first term and inequality $t_k^q \leq t_{m,\lambda}^q$, $k = m+1, m+2, \dots$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x - \Phi(a)\|_q^q &\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_k^q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right\}^{1-q/p} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m |x_k - a_k|^p \right\}^{q/p} + t_{m,\lambda}^q \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k^q \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_k^q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right\}^{1-q/p} \varepsilon^q + t_{m,\lambda}^q, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the estimate from above.

Now, we turn to the proof of the lower estimate. First, let $\varepsilon \leq c_n$, and define

$$u_j := \frac{\varepsilon \delta_{j,n}^{1/p}}{t_j} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{j,n} \right)^{-1/p}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n+1} := \left(1 - \sum_{j=1}^n u_j^q \right)^{1/q}.$$

Consider $h^* := (u_1, \dots, u_{n+1}, 0, \dots)$. Evidently, u_{n+1} is well-defined as

$$\sum_{j=1}^n u_j^q = \varepsilon^q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{j,n} \right)^{-q/p} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\delta_{j,n}^{q/p}}{t_j^q} = \frac{\varepsilon^q}{c_n^q} \leq 1,$$

$\|h^*\|_q = 1$ and $\theta \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(Th^*)$ as $\sum_{j=1}^n t_j^p h_j^p = \varepsilon^p$. Hence, by Corollary 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n))^q \geq \|Th^*\|_q^q \\ &= \varepsilon^q \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{j,n}^{q/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{j,n} \right)^{-q/p} + t_{n+1}^q - t_{n+1}^q \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon^q \frac{\delta_{j,n}^{q/p}}{t_j^q} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{j,n} \right)^{-q/p} \\ &= \varepsilon^q \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{j,n} \right)^{-q/p} \sum_{j=1}^n \delta_{j,n}^{q/p} \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_j^q} \right) + t_{n+1}^q \\ &= t_{n+1}^q + \varepsilon^q \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1}^q}{t_j^q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, let $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ be such that $c_{m+1} < \varepsilon \leq c_m$ and $\lambda = \lambda_\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$ be defined by (4). Set

$$u_j := \frac{\varepsilon \delta_{j,m}^{1/p}(\lambda)}{t_j} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \delta_{j,m}(\lambda) \right)^{-1/p}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

and consider $h^* = (u_1, \dots, u_m, 0, \dots)$. Clearly, $\|h\|_q = 1$ and $\theta \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(Th^*)$. Using Corollary 1, we obtain the desired lower estimate for $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n)$.

Finally, let $\varepsilon > c_1$. Consider $h^* := (1, 0, 0, \dots)$. Since $c_1 = t_1$, we have $\theta \in I_{\varepsilon,p}^n(Th^*)$. Hence, by Corollary 1, $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^n) \geq \|Th^*\|_q = t_1^q$. \square

3.3 Information mapping $I(x) = I_{\varepsilon,p}(x) := x + B[\varepsilon, \ell_p]$

As a limiting case from Theorem 2, 3 and 4 we can obtain the following corollaries.

Theorem 5. *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ to be such that*

$$1 - \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{t_k^q} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{t_k^q} < 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon, \infty}) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon, \infty}, \Phi_m^*) = \left(t_{m+1}^q + \varepsilon^q \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1}^q}{t_k^q} \right) \right)^{1/q}.$$

Theorem 6. *Let $1 \leq q < \infty$ and $0 < p \leq q$. If $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq t_1$ then $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}, \text{id}) = \varepsilon$, and if $\varepsilon > t_1$ then $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}, \Phi_0^*) = t_1$.*

Define the sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ using formulas (3). It is not difficult to verify that $\{c_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is non-increasing and tend to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 7. *Let $1 \leq q < p < \infty$. If $\varepsilon \in (0, c_1]$ then there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon \in (c_{m+1}, c_m]$ and $\lambda = \lambda(\varepsilon) \in [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\varepsilon = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_j^q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_j^q} \right)^{\frac{q}{p-q}}}{t_j^q} \right)^{-1/q}. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}, \Phi_{m,\lambda}^*) = \left(t_{m,\lambda}^q + \varepsilon^q \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda}^q}{t_j^q} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-q}} \right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \right)^{1/q}.$$

where the method $\Phi_{m,\lambda}^*$ is defined in Theorem 3. Otherwise, if $\varepsilon > c_1$ then $\mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}) = \mathcal{E}(W_q^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}, \Phi_0^*) = t_1$.

4 Recovery of scalar products

Following [3] (see also [4, 6, 7]), let us consider the problem of optimal recovery of scalar product. Let $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and given operators $T : \ell_p \rightarrow \ell_p$ and $S : \ell_q \rightarrow \ell_q$ be defined as follows: for fixed non-increasing sequences $t = \{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ and $s = \{s_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$,

$$Th := \{t_k h_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \quad h \in \ell_p, \quad \text{and} \quad Sg := \{s_k g_k\}_{k=1}^\infty, \quad g \in \ell_q.$$

Consider classes of sequences

$$\begin{aligned} W_p^T &:= \{x = Th : h \in \ell_p, \|h\|_p \leq 1\}, \\ W_q^S &:= \{y = Tg : g \in \ell_q, \|g\|_q \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

and define the scalar product $A = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \ell_p \times \ell_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as usually:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k, \quad x \in \ell_p, \quad y \in \ell_q.$$

For brevity, we denote $\langle x, y \rangle_n := \sum_{k=1}^n x_k y_k$.

In this section we will consider the problem of optimal recovery of scalar product operator A on the class $W_{p,q}^{T,S} := W_p^T \times W_q^S$, when information mapping I is given in one of the following forms:

1. $I(x, y) = J_\varepsilon^n(x, y) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n : \forall k = 1, \dots, n \Rightarrow |x_k y_k - a_k b_k| \leq \varepsilon_k\}$,
where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \geq 0$;
2. $I(x, y) = J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(x, y) = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n : \|\langle x, y \rangle_n - \langle a, b \rangle_n\|_{\ell_r^n} \leq \varepsilon\}$,
where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.

Finally, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define methods of recovery $\Psi_m^* : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$:

$$\Psi_m^*(a, b) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k b_k \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

that will be optimal in many situations and set $\Psi_0^*(a, b) := 0$.

4.1 Information mapping $J_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n$

Theorem 8. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $q = p/(p-1)$, $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n \geq 0$ and $\bar{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$. If

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} \geq 0$$

we set $m = n$. Otherwise we choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \leq n$, to be such that

$$1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} - \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1}}{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}} < 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n) = \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n, \Psi_m^*) = t_{m+1} s_{m+1} + \sum_{k=1}^m \varepsilon_k \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right).$$

Proof. Using the triangle inequality, relations $|x_k y_k - a_k b_k| \leq \varepsilon_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, and monotony of sequences t and s , we obtain that, for $(x, y) = (Th, Sg) \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$ and $(a, b) \in J_{\bar{\varepsilon}}^n(x, y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, y \rangle - \Psi_m^*(a, b)| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k y_k - \sum_{k=1}^m a_k b_k \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right) |x_k y_k - a_k b_k| + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} |x_k y_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} |x_k y_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right) \varepsilon_k + t_{m+1} s_{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^m |h_k g_k| + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} t_k s_k |h_k g_k| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right) \varepsilon_k + t_{m+1} s_{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |h_k g_k| \\ &\leq t_{m+1} s_{m+1} + \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right) \varepsilon_k, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the upper estimate.

To establish the lower estimate, we set

$$u_k := \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} \right)^{1/p}, \quad v_k := \left(\frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} \right)^{1/q}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m, \quad (6)$$

$$u_{m+1} = \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} \right)^{1/p}, \quad v_{m+1} = \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} \right)^{1/q}, \quad (7)$$

and consider $u^* = (u_1, \dots, u_{m+1}, 0, \dots)$ and $v^* = (v_1, \dots, v_{m+1}, 0, \dots)$. It is clear that $(Tu^*, Sv^*) \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$ and $\theta \in J_{\varepsilon}^n(Tu^*, Sv^*) \cap J_{\varepsilon}^n(-Tu^*, Sv^*)$ due to the choice of number m . Hence, by Corollary 2,

$$\begin{aligned} E(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon}^n) &\geq |\langle Tu^*, Sv^* \rangle| = \sum_{k=1}^m t_k s_k u_k v_k + t_{m+1} s_{m+1} \left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\varepsilon_k}{t_k s_k} \right) \\ &= t_{m+1} s_{m+1} + \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right) \varepsilon_k. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the sharpness of the upper estimate. Theorem is proved. \square

4.2 Information mapping $J_{\varepsilon,r}^n$

We consider three cases separately: $r = \infty$, $0 < r \leq 1$ and $1 < r < \infty$.

4.2.1 Case $r = \infty$

Setting $\varepsilon_1 = \dots = \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon$, we obtain the following corollary from Theorem 8.

Theorem 9. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $q = p/(p-1)$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$. If*

$$1 - \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{t_k s_k} \geq 0,$$

we set $n = m$. Otherwise we choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $m \leq n$, to be such that

$$1 - \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{t_k s_k} \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 - \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} \frac{1}{t_k s_k} < 0.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,\infty}^n) = \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,\infty}^n, \Psi_m^*) = t_{m+1} s_{m+1} + \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_k s_k} \right).$$

4.2.2 Case $0 < r \leq 1$

Theorem 10. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $q = p/(p-1)$ and $r \in (0, 1]$. If $\varepsilon \leq t_1 s_1$ then

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) = \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n, \Psi_n^*) = t_{n+1} s_{n+1} + \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{t_1 s_1}\right),$$

and if $\varepsilon > t_1 s_1$ then $\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) = \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n, \Psi_0^*) = t_1 s_1$.

Proof. First, we consider the case $\varepsilon \leq t_1 s_1$. Let $(x, y) = (Th, Sg) \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$ and $(a, b) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(x, y)$. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 8 in the case $m = n$ we obtain

$$|\langle x, y \rangle - \Psi_n^*(a, b)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{t_k s_k}\right) |x_k y_k - a_k b_k| + t_{n+1} s_{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} h_k g_k. \quad (8)$$

Using the Hölder inequality and inequality $\varepsilon_1^{1/r} + \dots + \varepsilon_n^{1/r} \leq (\varepsilon_1 + \dots + \varepsilon_n)^{1/r}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, y \rangle - \Psi_n^*(a, b)| &\leq \max_{k=1, n} \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{t_k s_k}\right) \sum_{k=1}^n |x_k y_k - a_k b_k| + t_{n+1} s_{n+1} \|h\|_p \|g\|_q \\ &\leq \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{t_1 s_1}\right) \varepsilon + t_{n+1} s_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The upper estimate is proved.

Now, we establish the lower estimate. Let

$$u_1 = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{s_1 t_1}\right)^{1/p}, \quad u_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{t_1 s_1}\right)^{1/p}, \quad v_1 = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{s_1 t_1}\right)^{1/q}, \quad v_{n+1} = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{t_1 s_1}\right)^{1/q},$$

and consider elements $u^* = (u_1, 0, \dots, 0, u_{n+1}, 0, \dots)$, $v^* = (u_1, 0, \dots, 0, u_{n+1}, 0, \dots)$.

Obviously, $(Tu^*, Sv^*) \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$ and $(\theta, \theta) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(Tu^*, Sv^*) \cap J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(-Tu^*, Sv^*)$.

Then by Corollary 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) &\geq |\langle Tu^*, Sv^* \rangle| = t_1 s_1 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{t_1 s_1} + t_{n+1} s_{n+1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{t_1 s_1}\right) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{t_1 s_1}\right) \varepsilon + t_{n+1} s_{n+1}, \end{aligned}$$

which finishes the proof of the desired estimate.

Next, we let $\varepsilon > t_1 s_1$. For $(x, y) = (Th, Sg) \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$, and $(a, b) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(x, y)$, we have

$$|\langle x, y \rangle - \Psi_0^*(a, b)| = |\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k s_k |h_k g_k| \leq t_1 s_1 \|h\|_p \|g\|_q \leq t_1 s_1.$$

Taking $u^* = v^* = (1, 0, \dots)$, it is clear that $(\theta, \theta) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(Tu^*, Sv^*) \cap J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(-Tu^*, Sv^*)$. By Corollary 2,

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) \geq |(Tu^*, Sv^*)| = t_1 s_1.$$

The theorem is proved. \square

4.2.3 Case $1 < r < \infty$

First, we introduce some preliminary notations. For $m = 1, \dots, n$, we define

$$\tau_{j,m} := \left(1 - \frac{t_{m+1} s_{m+1}}{t_j s_j}\right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

and set $d_1 := t_1 s_1$ and, for $m \geq 2$,

$$d_m := \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \tau_{j,m}^r\right)^{1/r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\tau_{j,m}}{t_j s_j}\right)^{-1}.$$

The sequence $\{d_m\}_{m=1}^n$ is non-increasing, which can be verified using the arguments similar to those applied to prove monotony of sequence $\{c_m\}_{m=1}^n$ in subsection 3.2.3. In addition, for convenience, for $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, we denote

$$t_{m,\lambda} := (1 - \lambda)t_{m+1} + \lambda t_m \quad \text{and} \quad s_{m,\lambda} := (1 - \lambda)s_{m+1} + \lambda s_m.$$

Theorem 11. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < p < \infty$, $q = p/(p-1)$ and $1 < r < \infty$.*

1. *If $\varepsilon \leq d_{n+1}$ then*

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) = \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n, \Psi_n^*) = t_{n+1} s_{n+1} + \varepsilon \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{t_j s_j}\right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}.$$

2. If $\varepsilon \in (d_n, d_1]$ then there exist $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $\varepsilon \in (d_{m+1}, d_m]$ and $\lambda = \lambda(\varepsilon) \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\varepsilon = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \right)^{1/r} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{\frac{1}{r-1}}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) = \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n, \Psi_{m,\lambda}^*) = t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda} + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}},$$

where

$$\Psi_{m,\lambda}^*(a, b) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_j b_j \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda}}{t_j s_j} \right), \quad a, b \in \ell_r.$$

3. If $\varepsilon > d_1$ then $\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) = \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n, \Psi_0^*) = t_1 s_1$.

Proof. Let $m \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and Ψ be either Ψ_n^* or Ψ_0^* , or $\Psi_{m,\lambda}^*$. Using the Hölder inequality with parameters r and $\frac{r}{r-1}$, for $(x, y) = (Th, Sg) \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$ and $(a, b) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(x, y)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle x, y \rangle - \Psi(a, b)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda}}{t_j s_j} \right) |x_j y_j - a_j b_j| + t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{x_j y_j}{t_j s_j} + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} x_j y_j \\ &\leq t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda} + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \left(1 - \frac{t_{m,\lambda} s_{m,\lambda}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the upper estimate.

Now, we turn to the proof of the lower estimate. We let $\varepsilon \leq d_n$, and, for $j = 1, \dots, n$, set

$$u_j = \left(\frac{\varepsilon \tau_{j,n}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \tau_{k,n}^r \right)^{-\frac{1}{rp}}, \quad v_j = \left(\frac{\varepsilon \tau_{j,n}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \tau_{k,n}^r \right)^{-\frac{1}{rq}},$$

$u_{n+1} := (1 - u_1^p - \dots - u_n^p)^{1/p}$, and $v_{n+1} := (1 - v_1^q - \dots - v_n^q)^{1/q}$. In addition, we define $u^* = (u_1, \dots, u_n, u_{n+1}, 0, \dots)$ and $v^* = (v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{n+1}, 0, \dots)$.

By the choice of ε , numbers u_{n+1} and v_{n+1} are well defined and, hence, $(Tu^*, Sv^*) \in W_{p,q}^{T,S}$. Also,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |Tu_j^* \cdot Sv_j^*|^r = \sum_{j=1}^n |t_j s_j u_j v_j|^r = \varepsilon^r,$$

yielding that $(\theta, \theta) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(Tu^*, Sv^*) \cap J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(-Tu^*, Sv^*)$. By Corollary 2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) \geq |(Tu^*, Sv^*)| \\ &= \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{j,n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{j,n}^r \right)^{-1/r} + t_{n+1} s_{n+1} - \varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1} \tau_{j,n}}{t_j s_j} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \tau_{j,n}^r \right)^{-1/r} \\ &= t_{n+1} s_{n+1} + \varepsilon \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \left(1 - \frac{t_{n+1} s_{n+1}}{t_j s_j} \right)^{\frac{r}{r-1}} \right)^{\frac{r-1}{r}}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the desired lower estimate.

Next, let $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ be such that $d_{m+1} < \varepsilon \leq d_m$ and $\lambda = \lambda_\varepsilon \in [0, 1)$ be defined by (9). Set

$$u_j := \left(\frac{\varepsilon \tau_j}{t_j s_j} \right)^{1/p} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \tau_k^r \right)^{-\frac{1}{rp}} \quad \text{and} \quad v_j := \left(\frac{\varepsilon \tau_j}{t_j s_j} \right)^{1/q} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m \tau_k^r \right)^{-\frac{1}{rq}},$$

and define $u^* := (u_1, \dots, u_m, 0, \dots)$ and $v^* := (v_1, \dots, v_m, 0, \dots)$. It is not difficult to verify that $(\theta, \theta) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(Tu^*, Sv^*) \cap J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(-Tu^*, Sv^*)$. Using Corollary 2 we obtain the desired estimate for $\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n)$.

Finally, let $\varepsilon > t_1 s_1$. Consider $u^* = v^* = (1, 0, \dots)$. Since $d_1 = t_1 s_1$, we have $(\theta, \theta) \in J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(Tu^*, Sv^*) \cap J_{\varepsilon,r}^n(-Tu^*, Sv^*)$. Hence, by Corollary 2, $\mathcal{E}(A, W_{p,q}^{T,S}, J_{\varepsilon,r}^n) \geq |(Tu^*, Sv^*)| = t_1 s_1$. \square

4.3 Applications

Let H be a complex Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, $\{t_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a non-increasing sequence; $T : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$ be an operator mapping sequence $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$ into sequence $Tx = (t_1 x_1, t_2 x_2, \dots)$. Consider the class

$$\mathcal{W}^T := \left\{ x = \sum_{n=1}^\infty t_n c_n \varphi_n : \sum_{n=1}^\infty |c_n|^2 \leq 1 \right\},$$

and information operator $\mathcal{I}_{p,\varepsilon} : H \rightarrow \ell_p$, with $2 < p \leq \infty$, mapping an element $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \varphi_n$ into the set $\mathcal{I}_{p,\varepsilon} x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) + B[\varepsilon, \ell_p] \in \ell_p$. Due to isomorphism between ℓ_2 and H , under notations of Section 3 we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{W}^T, \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon,p}) = \mathcal{E}(W_2^T, I_{\varepsilon,p}^{\infty}). \quad (10)$$

Moreover, methods of recovery $F_{m,\lambda}^* := \mathfrak{A} \circ \Phi_{m,\lambda}^*$ are optimal, where $\mathfrak{A} : \ell_2 \rightarrow H$ is the natural isomorphism between ℓ_2 and H : $\mathfrak{A}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n \varphi_n$. Remark that $F_{m,\lambda}$ are triangular methods of recovery that play an important role in the theory of ill-posed problems (see, *e.g.* [11, Theorem 2.1] and references therein).

Consider an important case when $t_n = n^{-\mu}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with some fixed $\mu > 0$. It corresponds *e.g.*, to the space $H = L_2(\mathbb{T})$ of square integrable functions defined on a period and the class $\mathcal{W}^T = W_2^{\mu}(\mathbb{T})$ of functions having L_2 -bounded Weyl derivative of order μ . Using equality (10) and Theorems 7 and 5, we obtain

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^{-\lambda} \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{W}^T, \mathcal{I}_{\varepsilon,p}) = \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{\beta^{1/2}}{\alpha^{1/p}} \right)^{\lambda}, \quad \lambda = \frac{\mu}{\mu + 1/2 - 1/p},$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2\mu} B\left(\frac{2p-2}{p}, \frac{1}{2\mu}\right), \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2\mu} B\left(\frac{2p-2}{p}, 2 + \frac{1}{2\mu}\right),$$

and $B(\alpha, \beta)$ is the Euler beta function. Indeed, in case $2 < p < \infty$, by selecting $n = n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that equation (5) is satisfied, we can easily verify that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} n_{\varepsilon}^{\mu/\lambda} c_{n_{\varepsilon}} = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} n_{\varepsilon}^{\mu/\lambda} c_{n_{\varepsilon}+1} = \alpha^{1/p} \beta^{-1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varepsilon^{-\mu/\lambda} n_{\varepsilon}^{-\mu} = \left(\frac{\beta^{1/2}}{\alpha^{1/p}} \right)^{\mu/\lambda}.$$

Similar arguments are applicable for $p = \infty$, in which case $1/p$ should be replaced with 0.

References

- [1] Arestov V. V. Approximation of unbounded operators by bounded ones, and related extremal problems // Russian Math. Surveys. – 1996. – V 51, No. 6. – P. 1093–1126. – <https://doi.org/10.1070/RM1996v051n06ABEH003001>.

- [2] Babenko V. F. On the best use of linear functional for approximation of bilinear ones //Researches in Mathematics. – Dnepropetrovsk. – 1979. – P. 3–5.
- [3] Babenko V. F. Extremal problems of Approximation Theory and asymmetric norms //Diss. Doct. Thesis in Physical and Mathematical Sciences, DSU, – Dnepropetrovsk. – 1987.
- [4] Babenko V. F. Approximate computation of scalar products //Ukr. Math. J. – 1988. – V. 40. – P. 11–16. – <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01056438>.
- [5] Babenko V. F., Babenko Yu. V., Parfinovych N. V., Skorokhodov D. S. Optimal recovery of integral operators and its applications //J. Complexity. – 2016. – V. 35. – P. 102–123. – <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jco.2016.02.004>.
- [6] Babenko V. F., Gunko M. S., Rudenko A. A. On optimal recovery of bilinear functional by linear information //Researches in Mathematics. – Dnipropetrovsk. – 2012. – Vol. 17. – P. 11–17. – <https://doi.org/10.15421/24122001>
- [7] Babenko V. F., Gunko M. S., Parfinovych N. V., Optimal Recovery of Elements of a Hilbert Space and their Scalar Products According to the Fourier Coefficients Known with Errors //Ukr. Math. J. – 2020. – V. 72. – P. 853–870. – <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11253-020-01828-4>.
- [8] Babenko V. F., Rudenko A. A. Optimal recovery of convolutions and scalar products of functions from various classes //Ukr. Math. J. – 1991. – V. 43. – P. 1214–1219. – <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01061804>.
- [9] Babenko V. F., Rudenko A. A. On the optimal renewal of bilinear functionals in linear Normed spaces //Ukr. Math. J. – 1997. – V. 49. – P. 925–929. – <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02513432>.
- [10] Magaril-Il'yaev G. G., Osipenko K. Yu. Optimal recovery of functions and their derivatives from Fourier coefficients prescribed with an error //Sbornik: Mathematics. – 2002. – V. 193, No. 3. – P. 387–407. – <https://doi.org/10.1070/SM2002v193n03ABEH000637>.

- [11] Mathé P., Pereverzev S. V. Stable Summation of Orthogonal Series with Noisy Coefficients //J. Approx. Theory. – 2002. – V. 118, No. 1. – P. 66–80. – <https://doi.org/10.1006/jath.2002.3710>.
- [12] Melkman A.A., Micchelli C.A. Optimal estimation of linear operators in Hilbert spaces from inaccurate data //SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis. – 1979. – V 16, No. 1. – P. 87–105. – <https://doi.org/10.1137/0716007>.
- [13] Micchelli C.A. Optimal estimation of linear operators from inaccurate data: A second look //Numer Algor. – 1993. – V. 5. – P. 375–390. – <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02109419>.
- [14] Micchelli C.A., Rivlin T.J. A Survey of Optimal Recovery //In: Micchelli C.A., Rivlin T.J. (eds) Optimal Estimation in Approximation Theory. The IBM Research Symposia Series. – 1977. – Springer, Boston, MA. – P. 1–54. – https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-2388-4_1.
- [15] Micchelli C.A., Rivlin T.J. Lectures on optimal recovery //In: Turner P.R. (eds) Numerical Analysis Lancaster 1984. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 1129. – 1985. – Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. – <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0075157>.
- [16] Plaskota L. Noisy information and computational complexity //Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge. – 1996. – xii+308 p. – <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511600814>.
- [17] Traub J.F., Wasilkowski G.W., Wozniakowski H. Information-based complexity // Academic Press, Boston, San Diego, and New York. – 1988. – xiii + 523 p.