

Newton Polygons of Sums on Curves I: Local-to-Global Theorems

Joe Kramer-Miller and James Upton

October 19, 2021

The purpose of this article is to study Newton polygons of certain abelian L -functions on curves. Let X be a smooth affine curve over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q and let $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ be a finite character of order p^n . By previous work of the first author, the Newton polygon $\text{NP}(\rho)$ lies above a ‘Hodge polygon’ $\text{HP}(\rho)$, which is defined using local ramification invariants of ρ . In this article we study the touching between these two polygons. We prove that $\text{NP}(\rho)$ and $\text{HP}(\rho)$ share a vertex if and only if a corresponding vertex is shared between the Newton and Hodge polygons of ‘local’ L -functions associated to each ramified point of ρ . As a consequence, we determine a necessary and sufficient condition for the coincidence of $\text{NP}(\rho)$ and $\text{HP}(\rho)$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivation	2
1.2	Main results	4
1.3	Outline of proof	6
1.4	Applications to \mathbb{Z}_p -towers of curves	7
1.4.1	\mathbb{Z}_p -Towers with Strictly Stable Monodromy	8
1.4.2	Overconvergent \mathbb{Z}_p -Towers	9
1.5	Acknowledgments	9
2	Growth Conditions	9
2.1	Weak Completions	10
2.2	Weak Base Change	10
3	Fredholm Theory	12
3.1	Spectral Theory of Completely Continuous Operators	12
3.1.1	Normed Vector Spaces	12
3.1.2	Completely Continuous Maps	13

3.1.3	Orthonormal and Integral Bases	14
3.2	Nuclear Operators	16
3.3	Newton and Hodge Polygons	17
3.4	Iteration	19
4	Geometric Setup	20
4.1	Mapping to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$	20
4.2	The Global Lifting	21
4.3	Semi-Local Liftings	23
4.4	Semi-Local Decomposition	24
5	σ-Modules	25
5.1	Definitions	25
5.2	σ -Modules over the Global Lifting	26
5.3	Semi-Local Growth Conditions	27
5.4	Global growth conditions	28
5.5	Semi-Local Twisting	30
6	Estimating Dwork Operators	31
6.1	Local Estimates	31
6.1.1	First case: $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞	32
6.1.2	Second Case: $\eta(P) = 1$	32
6.2	Global Estimates	33
7	Perturbing Operators and Main Results	35
7.1	Newton-Hodge Interaction and Perturbation Theory	35
7.2	Local-to-global extensions	37
7.3	Perturbations Coming from Local-to-Global Extensions	39
7.4	Main results	40
8	Glossary of notation	41
8.1	Basic definitions	41
8.2	The space A , its modifications, and some associated notation	42

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Let p be an odd prime and let q be a power of p . Let X/\mathbb{F}_q be a smooth affine variety with étale fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$. Consider a continuous character $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ of the étale fundamental group of X . A fundamental problem in arithmetic geometry is to understand the character sums

$$S_k(X, \rho) = \sum_{x \in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^k})} \rho(\text{Frob}_x),$$

where Frob_x denotes the Frobenius element at x . By the Weil conjectures, there exist algebraic integers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{d_1}, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{d_2}$ such that

$$S_k(X, \rho) = \sum_{i=1}^{d_1} \beta_i^k - \sum_{i=1}^{d_2} \alpha_i^k.$$

What can we say about these algebraic integers? The Riemann Hypothesis states that the α_i and β_i are q -Weil numbers, i.e. that there exist positive integers $a_1, \dots, a_{d_1}, b_1, \dots, b_{d_2}$ such that for any Archimedean place $|\cdot|_\infty$ we have $|\alpha_i|_\infty = q^{\frac{a_i}{2}}$ and $|\beta_i|_\infty = q^{\frac{b_i}{2}}$. We also understand what happens at the finite places away from p : both the α_i and the β_i are ℓ -adic units for any prime $\ell \neq p$.

The p -adic properties of these numbers are less definitive. There is no exact formula as in the ℓ -adic and Archimedean cases. In general, the best one can hope for are lower bounds on the p -adic valuations, i.e., that the Newton polygon of ρ lies above some sort of Hodge polygon. Under additional congruence conditions involving p and the local monodromy of ρ , one may hope to completely determine the p -adic valuations (i.e. that the Newton and Hodge polygons coincide). The classical approach to ‘Newton over Hodge’ results for exponential sums has been restricted to the case where X is an algebraic torus. This wonderful theory was developed by Robba, Adolphson-Sperber, Wan, and others, building off of ideas of Dwork. For instance, Adolphson-Sperber prove a general ‘Newton over Hodge’ result for exponential sums over \mathbb{G}_m^d (see [1]) and Wan establishes a criterion for equality of the two polygons (see [27]). Outside of the \mathbb{G}_m^d case nothing was known until recent work of the first author (see [16] and [17]). In these articles Kramer-Miller establishes a ‘Newton over Hodge’ theorem for abelian L -functions on curves of any genus. Unfortunately, this work ignores the question of touching or equality between these two polygons.

The purpose of this article is to study touching between the Newton and Hodge polygons of character sums on higher genus curves. Our main result establishes a local criterion that is necessary and sufficient for the Newton and Hodge polygons to touch at a vertex. It roughly states the following: Assume the compactification of X is an ordinary curve \overline{X} .¹ For each point at infinity $P \in \overline{X} \setminus X$, we may localize ρ at P and construct a local-to-global extension ρ_P^{ext} , in the sense of Gabber-Katz. This ρ_P^{ext} is a representation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{G}_m)$, whose localization at ∞ agrees with the localization of ρ at P . When ρ has order p^n , we prove that the Newton and Hodge polygons of ρ share a vertex if and only if the Newton and Hodge polygons of ρ_P^{ext} share a corresponding vertex for every $P \in \overline{X} \setminus X$. This allows us to reduce the study of Newton-Hodge interaction to the classical \mathbb{G}_m case. In particular, we establish a necessary and sufficient local condition for the Newton and Hodge polygons of ρ to agree. In subsequent papers we will apply these local-to-global theorems to study \mathbb{Z}_p -towers of curves.

¹By the Dering-Shafarevich formula, if \overline{X} is non-ordinary, we immediately know that the Newton polygon will be strictly above the Hodge polygon

1.2 Main results

Suppose now that X is a smooth affine curve over \mathbb{F}_q with smooth compactification \overline{X} . Let S denote the complement of X in \overline{X} . Let $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ be a character of order p^n , assumed to be totally ramified at each $P \in S$. We will write

$$L(\rho, s) = \prod_{x \in |X|} \frac{1}{1 - \rho(\text{Frob}_x) s^{\deg(x)}} \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_p[\rho][s]$$

for the Artin L -function of ρ and $\text{NP}_q(\rho)$ for the q -adic Newton polygon of $L(\rho, s)$.

For each $P \in S$, let F_P denote the local field at P and let G_P denote the absolute Galois group of F_P . We localize ρ to obtain a continuous character

$$\rho_P : G_P \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

By a theorem of Katz-Gabber, the local character ρ_P extends to a character ρ_P^{ext} of the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1)$. We may therefore speak of the *local Newton polygon* $\text{NP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ of ρ at P . If d_P denotes the Swan conductor of ρ at P , then we define the *local Hodge polygon* $\text{HP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ of ρ at P to be the convex polygon with slope set

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{d_P}, \dots, \frac{d_P - 1}{d_P} \right\}.$$

By a theorem of Liu-Wei [19], the Newton polygon $\text{NP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ lies above $\text{HP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ and both polygons have the same terminal point.

Let g denote the genus of \overline{X} . The *global Hodge polygon* $\text{HP}_q(\rho)$ of ρ is obtained by concatenating the local Hodge polygons with $g - 1 + |S|$ segments of slope 0 and slope 1. In other words, $\text{HP}_q(\rho)$ is the the convex polygon with slope set:

$$\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{g-1+|S|} \sqcup \underbrace{\{1, \dots, 1\}}_{g-1+|S|} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \left\{ \frac{1}{d_P}, \dots, \frac{d_P - 1}{d_P} \right\}.$$

By a theorem of the first author [16], the Newton polygon $\text{NP}_q(\rho)$ lies above $\text{HP}_q(\rho)$ and both polygons have the same terminal point.

To state our main theorem we introduce the following convention: For each $r > 0$, the *r -truncated Newton polygon* $\text{NP}_q^{<r}(\rho)$ is obtained from $\text{NP}_q(\rho)$ by removing all segments of slope $\geq r$. We will use similar notation for all Newton and Hodge polygons attached to ρ .

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that X is ordinary. Let $r \in [0, 1]$. Then $\text{HP}_q^{<r}(\rho)$ and $\text{NP}_q^{<r}(\rho)$ have the same terminal point if and only if $\text{HP}_q^{<r}(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ and $\text{NP}_q^{<r}(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ have the same terminal point for each $P \in S$.*

Remark 1.2. In Theorem 7.13 we prove the same result for a much wider class of characters, which we call δ -Hodge characters. This general statement will be used to study equicharacteristic L -functions and T -adic L -functions in [18] and [25].

As a corollary to Theorem 1.1, we obtain a complete characterization of when the Newton polygon $\text{NP}_q(\rho)$ coincides with $\text{HP}_q(\rho)$. In this paper, we prove the following theorem for $n = 1$. The general case will follow from the $n = 1$ case and from our work on \mathbb{Z}_p -towers in the sequel [18]:

Theorem 1.3. $\text{NP}_q(\rho) = \text{HP}_q(\rho)$ if and only if

1. \overline{X} is ordinary.
2. $\delta_P = d_P/p^{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $P \in S$.
3. $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\delta_P}$ for all $P \in S$.

Remark 1.4. The “only if” part of Theorem 1.3 can be deduced from Theorem 1.1 for all n . Indeed, assume $\text{NP}_q(\rho) = \text{HP}_q(\rho)$. Then by the Deuring-Shafarevich formula we know that \overline{X} is ordinary. From Theorem 1.1 we know that $\text{HP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}}) = \text{NP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ for each $P \in S$. In particular, we know that $\text{NP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ contains the vertex $(1, \frac{1}{d_P})$. However, the vertices of $\text{NP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ are contained in $\frac{1}{p^{n-1}(p-1)}\mathbb{Z}$. This implies $d_P | p^{n-1}(p-1)$. To prove 2 and 3 it suffices to show $p^{n-1} | d_P$. In particular, it is enough to prove $d_P \geq p^{n-1}$. Let $F_{P,n}/F_P$ be the finite extension corresponding to $\ker(\rho_P)$, so that $\text{Gal}(F_{P,n}/F_P) = \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. We then let $F_{P,i} \subset F_{P,n}$ be the field corresponding to $p^{n-i}\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. Let $d_{P,i}$ be the largest upper numbering ramification break of $\text{Gal}(F_{P,i}/F_P)$ (so that $d_{P,n}$ is d_P). By class field theory we know that $d_{P,i+1} \geq p d_{P,i}$ (see e.g. [15, Lemma 5.2] for more details), which implies $d_{P,n} \geq p^{n-1}$.

Remark 1.5. We may apply Theorem 1.3 to determine the Newton polygon of certain covers of an ordinary curve \overline{X} . Namely, let $X' \rightarrow X$ be a finite Galois $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -cover, which is totally ramified at each $P \in S$. We will assume that for each P the largest ramification break (in upper numbering) of X'/X at P is of the form $\delta_P p^{n-1}$, where $\delta_P \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{\delta_P}$. The zeta function of \overline{X}' has a product decomposition

$$Z(\overline{X}', s) = Z(\overline{X}, s) \prod_{\rho} L(\rho, s), \quad (1)$$

where the product is over all nontrivial characters ρ of $\text{Gal}(\overline{X}/X)$. If ρ has order p^j , then the Swan conductor of ρ at P is exactly $\delta_P p^{j-1}$. In particular, we may apply Theorem 1.3 to each L -function in (1) to completely determine the Newton polygon of \overline{X}' .

In the case of an Artin-Schreier cover, we are able to recover a result of Booher and Pries (see [3, Corollary 4.3]). In fact, we obtain a much stronger result: In [3], Booher and Pries show that there *exists* an Artin-Schreier cover $X' \rightarrow X$ such that the q -adic Newton polygon of \overline{X}' has slope set

$$\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{pg+(p-1)(|S|-1)} \sqcup \underbrace{\{1, \dots, 1\}}_{pg+(p-1)(|S|-1)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \left\{ \frac{1}{d_P}, \dots, \frac{d_P - 1}{d_P} \right\}^{\times(p-1)},$$

where the $\times n$ superscript means each slope appears with multiplicity n . By Grothendieck's specialization theorem, this determines the Newton polygon for a generic $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -cover of X with these ramification invariants. However, by the above paragraph we see that this generic Newton polygon occurs for *every* Artin-Schreier cover $X' \rightarrow X$ with the same ramification breaks.

1.3 Outline of proof

The starting point of our proof is the method developed by the first author in [17] and [16]. However, the methods in this earlier work only produce lower bounds on $\text{NP}_q(\rho)$, and are woefully inadequate for pinpointing exact vertices. The necessary new ingredient is a perturbation theory for p -adic operators, which allows us to relate the Newton polygons $\text{NP}_q(\rho)$ and $\text{NP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$.

Characters and Liftings Our general approach is to study $L(\rho, s)$ via the Monsky trace formula, which expresses $L(\rho, s)$ as a ratio of Fredholm determinants of operators. This requires us to lift the Frobenius on U to characteristic 0. We use the lifting construction developed by the first author in [17]. Choose a tame Belyi map $\eta : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ for which $\eta(P) = 0$ for all $P \in S$. Let $U \subseteq X$ denote the étale locus of η . Let A be a smooth lifting of the coordinate ring of U to characteristic 0, and write A^\dagger for the p -adic weak completion of A (see §2.1). Using η we construct a lifting of Frobenius $\sigma : A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$ whose local expression at each $P \in S$ is particularly simple, see §4.3.

Let ρ_U denote the restriction of ρ to $\pi_1(U)$. Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\rho]$ be a uniformizer, and consider the base change

$$A_\pi^\dagger = \mathbb{Z}_p[\rho] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A^\dagger.$$

In this setting, the Monsky trace formula states that there is a $\mathbb{Z}_q[\rho]$ -linear endomorphism $\Theta_q : A_\pi^\dagger \rightarrow A_\pi^\dagger$ for which

$$L(\rho_U, s) = \frac{\det(1 - s\Theta_q|A_\pi^\dagger[\frac{1}{\pi}])}{\det(1 - qs\Theta_q|A_\pi^\dagger[\frac{1}{\pi}])}.$$

The Space A_π^\dagger It follows from the trace formula that the truncated Newton polygon $\text{NP}_q^{<1}(\rho_U)$ agrees with that of the characteristic series $\det(1 - s\Theta_q|A_\pi^\dagger[\frac{1}{\pi}])$. Thus, our goal in this paper is to estimate the Newton polygon of this series. In the classical situation $X = \mathbb{G}_m$, the ring A^\dagger may be identified with the p -adic weak completion of the ring of Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{Z}_q[t^\pm]$. The \mathbb{Z}_q -module structure of A^\dagger is quite explicit, as the elements t^k for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ form a natural topological basis. Choosing a suitable basis for the lifting A^\dagger is a key point of the papers [17] and [16], where a Riemann-Roch argument is used to construct a basis with prescribed poles at each branch point P of η .

For each such P , choose a local parameter t_P at P and consider the ring $\mathcal{A}_P = \mathbb{Z}_q((t_P))$. This ring is a lifting of the local field F_P to characteristic 0, and there is a unique map $A^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P^\dagger$ given by “expanding functions in the parameter t_P ”. The Riemann-Roch

theorem implies that there is an exact sequence of \mathbb{Z}_q -modules

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow A^\dagger \rightarrow \bigoplus_P \mathcal{A}_P^{\dagger, \text{tr}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where L is a free \mathbb{Z}_q -module of finite rank, and $\mathcal{A}_P^{\dagger, \text{tr}}$ is a space of truncated Laurent series in $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}$ with prescribed poles. The space $\mathcal{A}_P^{\dagger, \text{tr}}$ admits a topological basis of the form $B_P = \{t_P^{-k} : k > \mu(P)\}$, where $\mu(P)$ is a parameter depending on the branching of the map η at P . It is then straightforward to “lift” these bases to produce a global basis B for A^\dagger .

Perturbing π -adic Operators Let P be a branch point of η , and let $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P} = \mathbb{Z}_q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{A}_P$. The operator Θ_q extends in a natural way to the π -adic weak completion $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\dagger$. If $P \in S$, then the truncated space $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger, \text{tr}}$ is invariant with respect to the action of Θ_q^2 , and we may therefore speak of the local Fredholm determinant

$$\det(I - s\Theta_q|_{\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger, \text{tr}}[\frac{1}{\pi}]}) \in 1 + s\mathbb{Z}_q[\rho][[s]].$$

In §7.2, we relate the Newton polygon of this series to that of the local extension ρ_P^{ext} . In particular, we are able to give a lower bound for this Newton polygon in terms of the local Hodge polygon $\text{HP}_q(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$.

The key insight of this paper is that the Newton polygon of Θ_q acting on A_π^\dagger should be closely related to the local Newton polygons at the ramified points $P \in S$. Let $\Psi = \Theta_q|_B$ denote the matrix of Θ_q with respect to our chosen global basis. In §7.3 we construct a new matrix Ψ' in terms of the local matrices $\Theta_q|_{B_P}$ at each $P \in S$. To quantify the close relationship between Ψ and Ψ' , we introduce in §7.1 the notion of a π -adic r -perturbation. The r -perturbation condition implies the following relation between the Newton and Hodge polygons of both matrices:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Touching between the } \pi\text{-adic Hodge} & & \text{Touching between the } \pi\text{-adic Hodge} \\ \text{and Newton polygons of } \Psi \text{ for} & \iff & \text{and Newton polygons of } \Psi' \text{ for} \\ \text{slopes smaller than } r & & \text{slopes smaller than } r \end{array}$$

In §7.3 we show using the estimates of Section 6 that Ψ' is an r -perturbation of Ψ for appropriate r . This allows us to deduce Theorem 1.1.

1.4 Applications to \mathbb{Z}_p -towers of curves

Our primary motivation for this article is to study the p -adic variation of L -functions along \mathbb{Z}_p -towers of curves. A \mathbb{Z}_p -tower X_∞/X is a sequence of finite Galois coverings

$$\cdots \rightarrow X_2 \rightarrow X_1 \rightarrow X_0 = X,$$

²Strictly speaking, this is only true after replacing Θ_q with a suitable “twist.” This is a technical point which we ignore in this introduction.

together with compatible identifications $\text{Gal}(X_n/X) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for all n . Equivalently, a \mathbb{Z}_p -tower over X corresponds to a continuous and surjective map $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$. We will typically regard X_∞/X as a family of characters of $\pi_1(X)$ as follows: For every continuous p -adic character $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$, we obtain a composite character

$$\rho_\chi = \chi \circ \rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times.$$

Daqing Wan has devised a program (see [28]) to understand how the Newton polygon $\text{NP}_q(\rho_\chi)$ varies as a function of χ . The general philosophy is that for well behaved towers we expect the Newton polygons to exhibit a certain degree of regularity as χ varies. Recently, there has been much progress surrounding the case $X = \mathbb{A}^1$ (see e.g. [6] for one of the first articles on the topic or [14] for results on a general class of towers). However, essentially nothing is known for higher genus curves or when multiple points along the tower are ramified. The local-to-global results of this article allow us to approach these questions when the base curve is ordinary. This is the content of the sequel articles [18] and [25].

1.4.1 \mathbb{Z}_p -Towers with Strictly Stable Monodromy

Let $\delta = (\delta_P)_{P \in S}$ be a tuple of positive rational numbers in $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p}]$. We say that the tower X_∞/X has δ -stable monodromy if for each $P \in S$, the highest ramification break of X_n/X at P is of the form $p^{n-1}\delta_P$ for all $n \gg 0$.

Theorem 1.6 ([18]). *Suppose that \bar{X} is ordinary. Let X_∞/X be a \mathbb{Z}_p -tower of curves with δ -stable monodromy. Then for a finite character $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^\times$ of order p^n :*

1. (Slope Uniformity) *The q -adic Newton slopes of ρ_χ are equidistributed in the interval $[0, 1]$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.*
2. (Slope Stability) *Suppose that $\delta_P \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that $\delta_P \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $P \in S$. Then $\text{NP}_q(\rho_\chi)$ has slope set*

$$\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{g-1+|S|} \sqcup \underbrace{\{1, \dots, 1\}}_{g-1+|S|} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta_P p^{n-1}}, \dots, \frac{\delta_P p^{n-1} - 1}{\delta_P p^{n-1}} \right\}.$$

In particular, the invariants $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_P$ completely determine the Newton polygon of each curve X_n .

This theorem generalizes work of Kosters-Zhu (see [14]) for δ -stable towers over $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$. To the best of our knowledge, there were no prior examples of slope uniform or slope stable towers beyond the $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ case. Using the methods of [16] we obtain similar theorems for δ -stable towers twisted by a tame finite character $\psi : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

1.4.2 Overconvergent \mathbb{Z}_p -Towers

Any tower X_∞/X is determined by an Artin-Schreier-Witt equation $F(x) - x = f$, where f is an element of the p -typical Witt vectors of the coordinate ring of X . We say that X_∞/X is *overconvergent* if f may be taken to be an overconvergent Witt vector in the sense of [5]. This is a natural condition on the growth of the poles of the Witt coordinates of f , closely related to the notion of overconvergence found in rigid cohomology. We remark that any overconvergent tower is δ -stable for some δ , but that most δ -stable towers are not overconvergent.

A notable class of examples are the towers over $X = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ studied in work of Davis-Wan-Xiao [6]. Those authors prove that a special class of towers are slope-uniform and slope-stable. In fact, they prove a beautiful *spectral halo theorem* which describes the p -adic variation of the zero locus of $L(\rho_\chi, s)$ as χ varies through the character space $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$. In the final part of this series of articles [25], the second author shows that the spectral halo theorem holds for a general overconvergent tower $X_\infty/\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$. By combining this result with our local-to-global theorems, we obtain the following stability result for overconvergent towers over an ordinary curve:

Theorem 1.7. *Suppose that X is ordinary. Let X_∞/X be an overconvergent \mathbb{Z}_p -tower of curves. There exist a non-negative integer m_0 and positive rational numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$ with the following property: For every χ of order p^n with $n > m_0$, the slope set of $\text{NP}_q(\rho_\chi)$ is*

$$\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{g-1} \sqcup \underbrace{\{1, \dots, 1\}}_{g-1+|S|} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{p^n - m_0 - 1} \left\{ \frac{i}{p^n - m_0 - 1}, \frac{\alpha_1 + i}{p^n - m_0 - 1}, \dots, \frac{\alpha_d + i}{p^n - m_0 - 1} \right\}.$$

As a byproduct of our approach, we obtain similar estimates for the Newton polygon $\text{NP}_q^{<1}(\rho_\chi)$ for *any* χ (finite or infinite) near the boundary of the character space $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p^\times)$. This may be regarded as a “small-slope” analogue of the spectral halo theorem of Davis-Wan-Xiao. Unfortunately, our perturbation approach does not produce any information regarding the zeroes of $L(\rho_\chi, s)$ outside of the disk $v_p(s) > -v_p(q)$. It seems likely that new techniques are necessary to study zeros in this region.

1.5 Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Daqing Wan for sharing his insight on exponential sums and towers. In addition, we acknowledge Kiran Kedlaya for discussing this work with us.

2 Growth Conditions

Throughout this article, our basic coefficient ring will be a complete discrete valuation ring R with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field \mathbb{F}_p (we do not assume that R has characteristic 0). We fix a non-zero topologically nilpotent element $\pi \in R$. We will frequently work with algebras of functions over R , which are π -adically overconvergent. In this

section we spell out our conventions regarding these “growth conditions” and introduce some basic constructions.

2.1 Weak Completions

Let A be an R -algebra equipped with the π -adic topology. We will write A^∞ for the π -adic completion of A . Given real numbers m, b with $m > 0$, let $A^m(b)$ denote the set of $a \in A^\infty$ with the following property: there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and polynomials $p_j \in \pi^j R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ such that

$$a = \sum_j p_j(a_1, \dots, a_n) \quad (2)$$

and $\deg(p_j) < mj + b$. Then each $A^m(b)$ is a π -adically complete submodule of A^∞ . The following basic properties are immediate:

Lemma 2.1. *For each $m > 0$,*

1. $A^m(b_1) \cdot A^m(b_2) \subseteq A^m(b_1 + b_2)$.
2. $\pi^k A^m(b) \subseteq A^m(b - km)$.

Definition 2.2. The *weak completion* A^\dagger of A is the union of the submodules $A^m(b)$.

It is clear from Lemma 2.1 that A^\dagger is a subring of A^∞ and is independent of the choice of $\pi \in R$. By [21, Theorem 1.4], the natural map $A/\pi A \rightarrow A^\dagger/\pi A^\dagger$ is an isomorphism. Let us say that a subset $S \subseteq A^\dagger$ is a set of *weak generators* for A^\dagger if every $a \in A^\dagger$ has the form (2), where the a_i are elements of S . For our purposes we will only consider weak completions in two situations:

1. Let A be an R -algebra such that A^\dagger admits a finite set of weak generators. In this case a theorem of Fulton [8] guarantees that A^\dagger is Noetherian.
2. Let $A = R_q((t))$ be the ring of formal Laurent series over R_q . Then

$$A^\dagger \cong (R_q[[t]] \otimes_R R[X]^\dagger)/(tX - 1).$$

This is once again a Noetherian ring. In this case we have:

$$A^m(b) = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k t^{-k} : v_\pi(a_k) \geq \frac{k-b}{m} \text{ for } k > 0 \right\}.$$

2.2 Weak Base Change

A typical situation for us will be as follows: Let A be a \mathbb{Z}_p -algebra, equipped with the p -adic topology. We define the base change $A_\pi = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$, which we equip with the π -adic topology. We will always assume that the modules $A^m(b)$ are given by p -adic

growth conditions, whereas the $A_\pi^m(b)$ are given by π -adic growth conditions (hence the choice of subscript).

Since many of our constructions will be given initially over \mathbb{Z}_p , it is convenient to have a “comparison” between the weak completions A^\dagger and A_π^\dagger . First, we require a basic result from commutative algebra:

Lemma 2.3. *Consider an exact sequence of R -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} N. \quad (3)$$

Let $\bar{f} : \bar{M} \rightarrow \bar{N}$ denote the reduction of f modulo π . Then:

1. *If M is π -adically complete, N is π -adically separated, and \bar{f} is surjective, then f is surjective.*
2. *If N is π -torsion free and f is surjective, then $\bar{L} = \ker(\bar{f})$.*
3. *If M and N are π -torsion free, M is π -adically separated, and \bar{f} is injective, then f is injective.*

Proof. Let $n \in N$. Since \bar{f} is surjective, there exists $m_0 \in M$ such that $n = f(m_0) + \pi n_1$, for some $n_1 \in N$. Repeating this procedure, we inductively construct $m_i \in M$ such that $n_i = f(m_i) + \pi n_{i+1}$. Since M is π -adically complete, the sum

$$m = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} m_i \pi^i$$

converges in M . The difference $f(m) - n$ vanishes because N is π -adically separated, proving (1). Since R is a discrete valuation ring, if N is π -torsion free then N is flat over R . Claim (2) then follows by tensoring (3) with $R/\pi R$.

Suppose then that M and N are π -torsion free, M is π -adically separated, and that \bar{f} is injective. Since M and N are flat over R , by tensoring with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^{j+1}R \rightarrow \pi^j R \rightarrow \pi^j R/\pi^{j+1}R \rightarrow 0,$$

we have an identification $\pi^j M/\pi^{j+1}M \cong \pi^j R/\pi^{j+1}R \otimes_{R/\pi R} \bar{M}$ and similarly for N . Since R is a discrete valuation ring, $\pi^j R/\pi^{j+1}R$ is flat over $R/\pi R$ for all $j \geq 0$. By tensoring \bar{f} with $\pi^j R/\pi^{j+1}R$, and using the above identification, we see that f induces an injective map

$$\pi^j M/\pi^{j+1}M \rightarrow \pi^j N/\pi^{j+1}N.$$

It follows that the kernel of f lies in $\bigcap_j \pi^j M = 0$. Since M is π -adically separated, this proves (3). \square

By base change, the natural map $A \rightarrow A^\dagger$ induces a map $A_\pi \rightarrow (A^\dagger)_\pi$. Passing to weak completions, we obtain a map

$$A_\pi^\dagger \rightarrow (A^\dagger)_\pi^\dagger. \quad (4)$$

Our “comparison” between A^\dagger and A_π^\dagger is provided by the following:

Lemma 2.4 (Weak Base Change). *Suppose that A is flat over \mathbb{Z}_p . Then the map (4) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The flatness condition guarantees that A_π^\dagger and $(A^\dagger)_\pi^\dagger$ are π -torsion free and π -adically separated. By Lemma 2.3, it suffices to prove that (4) is surjective. Since $(A^\dagger)_\pi$ is a set of weak generators for $(A^\dagger)_\pi^\dagger$, and since the image of (4) is weakly complete, we only need to show that the image contains $(A^\dagger)_\pi$. Now A constitutes a set of weak generators for A^\dagger , so every element of $(A^\dagger)_\pi$ is a finite sum of elements of the form

$$r \otimes \sum_j p_j(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

where $r \in R$, $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ and $p_j \in p^j \mathbb{Z}_p[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ has degree $\leq mj + b$. Since p is topologically nilpotent in R , we see that this is exactly the image of the convergent sum

$$\sum_j (r \otimes p_j)(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A_\pi^\dagger,$$

where we regard $r \otimes p_j$ as a polynomial in $R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$. □

3 Fredholm Theory

Let $K = R[\frac{1}{\pi}]$ denote the field of fractions of R . If q is a power of p , then we define the ring $R_q = \mathbb{Z}_q \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R$ and its field of fractions $K_q = K \otimes_R R_q$. We will now discuss the Fredholm theory of nuclear operators acting on K_q -vector space and the corresponding theory of Newton and Hodge polygons of such operators.

3.1 Spectral Theory of Completely Continuous Operators

3.1.1 Normed Vector Spaces

Definition 3.1. A *normed vector space* over K_q is a K_q -vector space of the form $V = K \otimes_R M$, where M is a π -adically separated R_q -module. We say that V is a *Banach space* over K_q if M is π -adically complete.

Let $V = K \otimes_R M$ be a normed vector space over K_q . We topologize V by taking the R_q -submodules $\mathfrak{m}^n M$ to be a fundamental system of neighborhoods of 0 in V . As the terminology suggests, the choice of $M \subseteq V$ uniquely determines a norm $\|\cdot\|_\pi$ on V defined as follows: If $\pi R = \mathfrak{m}^d R$, then we define the *π -adic valuation* on V via

$$v_\pi(x) = \frac{1}{d} \sup\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : x \in \mathfrak{m}^n M\}.$$

The condition that M is π -adically separated guarantees that $\|x\|_\pi = p^{-v_\pi(x)}$ defines a norm on V . Since we can recover M as the R_q -submodule of $x \in V$ with $\|x\|_\pi \leq 1$, the choice of M is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_\pi$ or the valuation v_π .

Example 3.2. Let I be a set. We define $b(I)$ to be the K_q -vector space of I -families $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$ of elements of K_q which are π -adically bounded, in the sense that

$$\|x\|_\pi = \sup_{i \in I} \|x_i\| < \infty.$$

Then $b(I)$ is a Banach space over K_q . For each $i \in I$, let $e_i \in b(I)$ denote the element whose i th component is 1, and whose other components are all 0. Then we may represent an element $x \in b(I)$ as a formal sum

$$x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i e_i. \quad (5)$$

Let $c(I)$ be the subspace of $b(I)$ consisting of those x for which $x_i \rightarrow 0$ in the finite-complement topology on I . Then $c(I)$ is a Banach space over K_q , and for each $x \in c(I)$ the formal sum (5) converges to x in the norm topology on $c(I)$.

Let $V = K \otimes_R M$ and $W = K \otimes_R N$ be normed vector spaces over K_q . Let $M \hat{\otimes}_{R_q} N$ denote the π -adic completion of the R_q -module $M \otimes_{R_q} N$. We define the *completed tensor product* of V and W to be the K_q -Banach space $V \hat{\otimes}_{K_q} W = K \otimes_R (M \hat{\otimes}_{R_q} N)$. Similarly, for each $n \geq 0$ we let $\wedge^n M$ denote the π -adic completion of the n th exterior power of M (over R_q). We define the *n th completed exterior power* of V to be the K_q -Banach space $\wedge^n V = K \otimes_R \wedge^n M$.

We write $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ for the K_q -vector space of *continuous* K_q -linear maps $V \rightarrow W$, equipped with the usual norm

$$\|\psi\|_\pi = \sup_{x \in M} \|\psi(x)\|_\pi < \infty.$$

In particular, we will write $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, K)$ for the *continuous* dual space of V .

3.1.2 Completely Continuous Maps

Definition 3.3. Let V and W be Banach spaces over K_q . We say that a continuous K_q -linear map $\psi : W \rightarrow V$ is *completely continuous* if ψ is a limit of operators of finite rank. Equivalently, ψ is completely continuous if and only if ψ lies in the image of the natural map

$$W^* \hat{\otimes}_{K_q} V \rightarrow \text{Hom}(W, V).$$

In particular, we identify the space of completely continuous operators $V \rightarrow V$ with the Banach space $V^* \hat{\otimes}_{K_q} V$. The *trace map* on this space is defined in the usual way:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr} : V^* \hat{\otimes}_{K_q} V &\rightarrow K_q \\ f \otimes v &\mapsto f(v). \end{aligned}$$

If $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ is completely continuous, then for each $n \geq 0$ the n th exterior power $\wedge^n \psi$ is a completely continuous operator on $\wedge^n V$.

Definition 3.4. Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a completely continuous operator. For each $n \geq 0$ we define the n th *Fredholm coefficient* to be

$$c_n(\psi) = (-1)^n \text{Tr}(\wedge^n \psi).$$

The *Fredholm determinant* of ψ is the power series

$$\det(I - s\psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(\psi) s^n \in 1 + sK_q[[s]].$$

Lemma 3.5 ([10, Proposition 15]). *Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a completely continuous operator. Then the dual map $\psi^* : V^* \rightarrow V^*$ is completely continuous, and there is an equality of Fredholm series*

$$\det(I - s\psi) = \det(I - s\psi^*).$$

3.1.3 Orthonormal and Integral Bases

Definition 3.6. Let V be a Banach space over K_q . Let I be a set and let $B = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of elements of V indexed by I . We say that B is an *orthonormal basis* for V if, for every $x \in V$ there exists a unique $(x_i) \in c(I)$ such that $\|x\|_{\pi} = \sup_{i \in I} |x_i|_{\pi}$ and

$$x = \sum_{i \in I} x_i e_i.$$

Let $V = K_q \otimes_{R_q} M$ be a Banach space over K_q and let $B = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ be subset of V . By [10, Proposition 1], B is an orthonormal basis for V if and only if $B \subseteq M$ and B reduces to an \mathbb{F}_q -basis for $\mathbb{F}_q \otimes_{R_q} M$. It follows that every Banach space over K_q admits an orthonormal basis. An orthonormal basis for V indexed by I is equivalent to an isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces $\iota : V \xrightarrow{\sim} c(I)$, sending each $x \in V$ to the corresponding I -family $(x_i) \in c(I)$.

Definition 3.7. Let V be a normed vector space over K_q . A *formal basis* for V is a pair (B, ι) , where $B = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ is a subset of V , and $\iota : V \rightarrow b(I)$ is an isometric embedding sending $e_i \mapsto e_i$ for all $i \in I$.

Typically we will abuse notation and refer to a formal basis (B, ι) simply by B , leaving the embedding into $b(I)$ implicit.

Example 3.8. Let V be a Banach space and let $B = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V . By the preceding discussion, B is a formal basis for V in a natural way. For each $i \in I$, consider the continuous linear functional $e_i^* \in V^*$ defined by $e_i^*(x) = x_i$. Let $B^* = \{e_i^* : i \in I\} \subset V^*$. If $f \in V^*$ is any continuous linear functional, then we define

$$\iota(f) = (f(e_i)) \in b(I).$$

Then $\iota : V^* \cong b(I)$ is an isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces over K_q , and the pair (B^*, ι) is a formal basis for V^* .

Let I be a set. Let $\Psi = (\psi_{i,j})$ be an $I \times I$ matrix with entries in K_q . We say that Ψ is of *trace class* if $\psi_{i,i} \rightarrow 0$ in the finite-complement topology on I . In this case, we define the *trace* of Ψ to be

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\Psi) = \sum_{i \in I} \psi_{i,i} \in K_q.$$

Choose any ordering on I . For each $n \geq 0$, the exterior power $\wedge^n \Psi$ is a matrix with entries in K_q indexed by the set

$$\wedge^n I = \{(i_1, \dots, i_n) : i_1 < \dots < i_n\}.$$

For each subset $J \subseteq I$, let Ψ_J denote the $J \times J$ submatrix of Ψ corresponding to J .

Definition 3.9. Suppose that $\wedge^n \Psi$ is of trace class for all $n \geq 0$. We define the n th *Fredholm coefficient* of Ψ to be

$$c_n(\Psi) = (-1)^n \mathrm{Tr}(\wedge^n \Psi) = (-1)^n \sum_{|J|=n} \det(\Psi_J). \quad (6)$$

The *Fredholm determinant* of Ψ is the power series

$$\det(I - s\Psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n(\Psi) s^n \in 1 + sK_q[[s]].$$

Lemma 3.10 ([10, §5]). *Let V be a Banach space over K_q and let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a completely continuous operator. Let $B = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V , and let $\psi|_B$ denote the $I \times I$ matrix of ψ with respect to B . Then there is an equality of Fredholm series*

$$\det(I - s\psi) = \det(I - s\psi|_B).$$

Definition 3.11. We say that an $I \times I$ matrix Ψ with entries in K_q is *tight* if:

1. For each $i \in I$, the i th column Ψ_i of Ψ lies in $b(I)$.
2. $\Psi_i \rightarrow 0$ in the finite-complement topology on I .

The definition ensures that if Ψ is a tight $I \times I$ matrix and $x \in b(I)$, then the sum

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{i \in I} x_i \Psi_i.$$

converges in $b(I)$. Thus every tight $I \times I$ matrix defines an associated continuous K_q -linear operator $\psi : b(I) \rightarrow b(I)$.

Proposition 3.12. *Let Ψ be a tight $I \times I$ matrix, and let $\psi : b(I) \rightarrow b(I)$ be the corresponding K_q -linear operator. Then ψ is completely continuous, and there is an equality of Fredholm series*

$$\det(I - s\psi) = \det(I - s\Psi).$$

Proof. Let Ψ' denote the transpose of Ψ . From (6) we see that $\det(I - s\Psi) = \det(I - s\Psi')$. Since the rows of Ψ' tend to 0 in the finite-complement topology on I , Ψ' defines a *completely continuous* operator $\psi' : c(I) \rightarrow c(I)$. If we identify $c(I)^* \cong b(I)$ as in Example 3.8, then $\psi = (\psi')^*$. By Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\det(I - s\psi) = \det(I - s\psi') = \det(I - s\Psi') = \det(I - s\Psi).$$

□

In light of the proposition, it will generally be convenient to identify the tight $I \times I$ matrix Ψ with the corresponding operator $\psi : b(I) \rightarrow b(I)$.

Proposition 3.13. *Let $V' \subseteq V$ be a containment of normed vector spaces over K_q . Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a K_q -linear operator such that V' is ψ -invariant. Suppose that there exists a formal basis $B = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ and elements $c_i \in K_q$ such that $B' = \{c_i e_i : i \in I\}$ is a formal basis for V' . Then there is an equality of Fredholm series*

$$\det(I - s\psi|B) = \det(I - s\psi|B'),$$

when either series exists.

Proof. For each finite set $J \subseteq I$ the finite matrices $(\psi|B)_J$ and $(\psi'|B)_J$ are similar, and the result follows from the explicit formula (6). □

3.2 Nuclear Operators

Let V be a K_q -vector space and let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a K_q -linear operator. For every polynomial $g \in 1 + sK_q[s]$, we define the subspace

$$V_g = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \ker(g(\psi)^n).$$

Let \overline{K}_q be an algebraic closure of K_q . For any $\lambda \in \overline{K}_q^\times$, let $g_\lambda = 1 + sK_q[s]$ be the irreducible polynomial of λ over K_q . We say that λ is a *non-zero eigenvalue* if $V_{g_\lambda} \neq 0$.

Definition 3.14. A K_q -linear operator $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ is *nuclear* if:

1. For every $g \in 1 + sK_q[s]$ there is a ψ -equivariant decomposition $V = F_g \oplus V_g$, where $g(\psi) : F_g \rightarrow F_g$ is bijective and V_g is finite-dimensional.
2. The non-zero eigenvalues of ψ tend to ∞ in the finite-complement topology.

Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a nuclear operator. For each real number r define the subspace

$$V^{<r} = \sum_{v_\pi(\lambda) > -r} V_{g_\lambda},$$

Since ψ is nuclear, each $V^{<r}$ is a finite-dimensional subspace of V . The *characteristic series* of ψ is defined to be the power series

$$C(\psi|V, s) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \det(I - s\psi|V^{<r}) \in 1 + K_q[[s]].$$

Over \overline{K}_q , this series factors as a product $C(\psi|V, s) = \prod_{\lambda} (1 - s/\lambda)$, where λ runs through the non-zero eigenvalues of ψ counted with multiplicity. It follows that $C(\psi|V, s)$ is a π -adic entire function on K_q .

Example 3.15. The following basic examples of nuclear operators, which we will refer to frequently, are due to Monsky [20]:

1. Let V be a Banach space over K_q . Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a completely continuous operator. Then by [20, Theorem 1.3], ψ is nuclear and

$$C(\psi|V, s) = \det(I - s\psi).$$

2. Let V be a K_q -vector space, and let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a nuclear operator. Let $W \subseteq V$ be a ψ -invariant subspace such that ψ restricts to a nuclear operator on W . Then by [20, Theorem 1.4] the action of ψ on V/W is nuclear and

$$C(\psi|V, s) = C(\psi|W, s) \cdot C(\psi|V/W, s).$$

3. Let V be a K_q -vector space. Let I be a linearly ordered set, and let $\{V_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a family of K_q -subspaces of V such that $V_j \subseteq V_i$ whenever $i \leq j$, with $V = \bigcup_{i \in I} V_i$. Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a K_q -linear operator. Suppose that for all $i \gg 0$, ψ restricts to a nuclear operator on V_i , and that the characteristic series $C(\psi|V_i, s)$ is independent of i . Then by [20, Theorem 1.6], ψ is a nuclear operator on V and for such i we have

$$C(\psi|V, s) = C(\psi|V_i, s).$$

3.3 Newton and Hodge Polygons

Definition 3.16. Let S be a countable multiset of real numbers. We say that S is a *slope set* if for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, the multiset $S^{<r} = \{s \in S : s < r\}$ is finite.

Let S be a slope set. If S is finite of cardinality n , then there is a unique convex function $f : [0, n] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \sqcup \{\infty\}$ such that:

1. $f(0) = 0$
2. For each $0 \leq i < n$, the restriction of f to $[i, i + 1]$ is a linear function.
3. S is equal to the multiset $\{f(i + 1) - f(i) : 0 \leq i < n\}$.

In this case, we define the *Newton polygon* $\text{NP}(S)$ of S to be the graph of f in \mathbb{R}^2 . If S is not finite, then we define the *Newton polygon* of S to be the union

$$\text{NP}(S) = \bigcup_r \text{NP}(S^{<r}).$$

If S' is another slope set, we define the *concatenation* of S and S' to be the slope set $S \sqcup S'$. In particular, we will write $S^{\times n}$ for the n -fold concatenation of S with itself. The basic operations on slope sets carry over to their Newton polygons as well. We define a partial order on slope sets (or their Newton polygons) by writing $S_1 \succeq S_2$ whenever the Newton polygon of S_1 lies on or above the Newton polygon of S_2 .

Example 3.17. 1. Let $P(s) = 1 + c_1 s + \cdots + c_d s^d$ be a polynomial with coefficients in K_q . We define the π -adic *Newton polygon* $\text{NP}_\pi P(s)$ of $P(s)$ to be the lower convex hull in \mathbb{R}^2 of the points $(n, v_\pi(c_n))$ for $0 \leq n \leq d$. Then $\text{NP}_\pi P(s)$ is the Newton polygon of a unique slope set which we call the π -adic *Newton slopes* of $P(s)$. If we factor

$$P(s) = \prod_{i=1}^d (1 - \alpha_i s)$$

over \overline{K}_q , then the π -adic Newton slopes of $P(s)$ are precisely the $v_\pi(\alpha_i)$ counted with multiplicity.

2. Let $C(s) \in 1 + sK_q[[s]]$ be a power series converging in the disk $v_\pi(s) > -r$. By the Weierstrass preparation theorem there is a factorization

$$C(s) = P(s) \cdot C'(s),$$

where $P(s) \in 1 + sK_q[s]$ has all π -adic Newton slopes $< r$, and $C'(s)$ converges and is non-zero in the disk $v_\pi(s) > -r$. In this case we define $\text{NP}_\pi^{<r} C(s) = \text{NP}_\pi P(s)$. In particular, if $C(s)$ is entire then we may write

$$\text{NP}_\pi C(s) = \bigcup_r \text{NP}_\pi^{<r} C(s).$$

3. Let V be a K_q -vector space and let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a nuclear operator. Then we define the π -adic *Newton polygon* of ψ to be $\text{NP}_\pi(\psi) = \text{NP}_\pi C(\psi, s)$.

Definition 3.18. Let V be a Banach space over K_q . Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a completely continuous operator. The π -adic *Hodge polygon* $\text{HP}_\pi(\psi)$ of ψ is the lower convex hull in \mathbb{R}^2 of the points $(n, v_\pi \wedge^n \psi)$.

Let I be a set, and let Ψ be a tight $I \times I$ matrix with entries in K_q . Then we may write $\text{HP}_\pi(\Psi)$ for the Hodge polygon of the induced operator $b(I) \rightarrow b(I)$. For tight matrices, the following “naive” Hodge polygon is often useful for making explicit estimates:

Definition 3.19. The *column Hodge polygon* $\text{cHP}_\pi(\Psi)$ of Ψ is the convex polygon with slope set

$$\{v_\pi \Psi(e_i) : i \in I\}.$$

It is straightforward to show that $\text{HP}_\pi(\Psi)$ always lies on or above $\text{cHP}_\pi(\psi)$, and therefore that $\text{HP}_\pi(\Psi)$ is the Newton polygon of a unique slope set. We will frequently make use of the following much stronger estimate:

Lemma 3.20. *Let Ψ be a (finite) $n \times n$ matrix with entries in K_q . Then every slope of $\text{HP}_\pi(\Psi)$ is greater than or equal to the corresponding slope of $\text{cHP}_\pi(\Psi)$. Consequently, if both polygons pass through a point (n, m) then they agree on the interval $[0, n]$.*

Proof. Recall that the “invariant factor theorem” states that Ψ can be put in diagonal form by applying finitely many of the following operations: (1) Permuting the rows or columns of Ψ , (2) Adding an R_q -multiple of one row of Ψ to another, and (3) Given a column with one non-zero entry, adding an R_q -multiple of this column to clear other entries in the same row. It is well known that these operations do not affect the Hodge polygon of Ψ . On the other hand, each of these operations can only increase the column slopes $v_\pi \Psi(e_i)$. Since $\text{HP}_\pi(\Psi) = \text{cHP}_\pi(\Psi)$ when Ψ is diagonal, this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.21. *Let V be a Banach space, and let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a completely continuous operator. Then*

1. $\text{HP}_\pi(\psi)$ is the Newton polygon of a unique slope set.
2. $\text{NP}_\pi(\psi) \succeq \text{HP}_\pi(\psi)$.
3. If V is finite-dimensional and $\det(\psi) \neq 0$, then $\text{NP}_\pi(\psi)$ and $\text{HP}_\pi(\psi)$ have the same terminal point.

Proof. Let $B = \{e_i : i \in I\}$ be an orthonormal basis for V . Let $\Psi = \psi|_B$ and let Ψ' denote the transpose of Ψ . Then Ψ' is tight, and $\text{HP}_\pi(\psi) = \text{HP}_\pi(\Psi')$. Claim 1 then follows from the preceding discussion. Evidently $v_\pi \text{Tr } \wedge^n \psi \geq v_\pi \wedge^n \psi$ for all n , proving 2. For 3, simply note that the terminal point of both polygons is $(n, v_\pi \det(\psi))$. \square

3.4 Iteration

Let F denote the canonical Frobenius endomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_q . By base change, F induces an R -linear endomorphism $F : R_q \rightarrow R_q$.

Definition 3.22. Let V be a vector space over K_q . We say that a K -linear operator $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ is F^{-1} -linear if

$$\psi(F(r)x) = r\psi(x)$$

for all $r \in R$ and $x \in V$. In this case, the iterate $\psi_q = \psi^{v_p(q)}$ is a K_q -linear operator.

Definition 3.23. Let V be a normed vector space over K_q . Let B_q be a formal basis for V . An *associated K -Basis* for V is a formal basis for V (as a normed vector space over K) of the form

$$B = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{v_p(q)-1} F^i(\xi) \otimes B_q, \quad (7)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ is any element such that the $F^i(\xi)$ generate \mathbb{Z}_q as a \mathbb{Z}_p -module.

Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be an F^{-1} -linear operator. Via the partition (7), the matrix $\psi_q|B$ is block diagonal of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi(\psi_q|B_q) & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & & F^{v_p(q)-1}(\xi)(\psi_q|B_q) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Consider the parameter $\pi_q = \pi^{v_p(q)}$. We have the following relation between the Newton polygons of the operators ψ and ψ_q :

Lemma 3.24. *Let V be a Banach space over K_q . Let $\psi : V \rightarrow V$ be a completely continuous F^{-1} -linear operator. Then ψ_q is completely continuous as a K_q -linear operator and*

$$\text{NP}_{\pi_q}(\psi_q)^{\times v_p(q)} = \text{NP}_{\pi}(\psi).$$

Proof. Note that ψ_q is completely continuous as a K -linear operator. Let B_q be an orthonormal K_q -basis for V , and let B be an associated K -basis for V . From the above block matrix, we see that the rows of $\psi_q|B_q$ tend to 0 in the finite-complement topology, thus ψ_q is completely continuous as a K_q -linear operator. We see moreover that $\det(I - s\psi_q|B) = \det(I - s\psi_q|B_q)^{v_p(q)}$. It follows that

$$\det(I - s^{v_p(q)}\psi_q|B_q)^{v_p(q)} = \det(I - s^{v_p(q)}\psi_q) = \prod_{\zeta^{v_p(q)}=1} \det(I - s\zeta\psi|B).$$

The Newton polygons of the matrices $\zeta\psi|B$ do not depend on ζ . Thus,

$$\text{NP}_{\pi_q}(\psi_q|B_q)^{\times v_p(q)} = \text{NP}_{\pi} \det(I - s^{v_p(q)}\psi_q|B_q) = \text{NP}_{\pi}(\psi|B).$$

The claim follows from Lemma 3.10. □

4 Geometric Setup

Fix a smooth projective curve \overline{X} over \mathbb{F}_q of genus g . Let S be a finite set of closed points of \overline{X} and let $X = \overline{X} \setminus S$. In this section we construct the global lifting (A^\dagger, σ) , which we will use to study abelian L -functions over X . We will give our constructions over the ring \mathbb{Z}_p , with $\pi = p$. Later, we will pass to general R and π by weak base change.

4.1 Mapping to $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$

The construction of our global lifting relies on an analogue of Belyi's theorem in characteristic p . For this we require:

Theorem 4.1 (Fulton [9]). *After extending the base field, there exists a finite, separable, tamely ramified morphism $\eta_0 : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$.*

Remark 4.2. In [23], Sugiyama and Yasuda extend Fulton’s result to the case $p = 2$. We have omitted this case for other reasons (see Remark 6.5). By a recent theorem of Kedlaya-Litt-Witaszek, η exists even without extending the base field [13].

From now on we fix a choice of η_0 as in Theorem 4.1. As in [13, Theorem 9.3], the existence of η_0 implies the existence of a tamely ramified map $\overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ with three ramified points. We shall need some control over the branching of this map, so we modify the construction slightly.

By extending the base field, we assume that all branch points of η_0 and every point in S is \mathbb{F}_q -rational. We assume moreover that q is large enough so that there are two \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ which are disjoint from the branch points of η_0 and the image of S . Label these points as 0 and ∞ , and let 1 denote any other \mathbb{F}_q -rational point of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$. Consider the composition

$$\eta_q : \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \xrightarrow{q-1} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \xrightarrow{p-1} \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1.$$

Here, the first and third maps denote the $(q-1)$ - and $(p-1)$ -power maps, respectively. The second map is a linear transformation fixing 1 and ∞ and sending 0 to any other \mathbb{F}_q -rational point of $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$. The final map is also a linear transformation which fixes ∞ and swaps 0 with 1. Then η_q is ramified over $\{0, 1, \infty\}$ and all branch points of η_q are \mathbb{F}_q -rational. We have:

Proposition 4.3. *The composite $\eta = \eta_q \circ \eta_0 : \overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ is a tame Belyi map such that*

1. $\eta(P) = 0$ for each $P \in S$.
2. If $\eta(P) = 1$ then the ramification index of η at P is $p-1$.

For each $Q \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, let r_Q denote the cardinality of the fiber $\eta^{-1}(Q)$. If $\eta(P) = Q$, then we let e_P denote the ramification index of P over Q . In particular, if $Q = 1$ then $e_P = p-1$. It follows that that $r_1(p-1) = \deg(\eta)$. The Riemann-Hurwitz formula gives

$$2(g-1) + r_0 + r_1 + r_\infty = \deg(\eta). \quad (8)$$

4.2 The Global Lifting

Let $V = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Let u_0 denote a parameter at 0, and define $u_1 = u_0 - 1$, $u_\infty = u_0^{-1}$. The coordinate ring of V is a generated by u_0 , u_∞ , and u_1^{-1} over \mathbb{F}_q . We consider the lifting

$$B = \mathbb{Z}_q[u_0, u_\infty, u_1^{-1}]. \quad (9)$$

We define σ to be the unique Frobenius endomorphism of B^\dagger satisfying $\sigma(u_0) = u_0^p$.

Let $U = \eta^{-1}(V)$. Then U is an affine open subset of X , which is finite étale over V . We define $S_\eta = X - V$. We will lift U to characteristic 0 rather than all of X , because the étale property of η is essential for constructing our Frobenius endomorphism: First, a theorem of Elkik [7] states that there exists a smooth \mathbb{Z}_q -algebra A such that $U = \text{Spec}(A/pA)$. By [26, Theorem 2.4.4], there is a (necessarily unique) lifting of η to an étale map $B^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$.

Definition 4.4. The *global lifting* is the ring A^\dagger equipped with the unique extension of σ along η .

Lemma 4.5. *The Frobenius $\sigma : A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$ is injective, and A^\dagger is a finite projective $\sigma(A^\dagger)$ -module of rank p .*

Proof. The first statement is [21, Theorem 3.2(3)]. The second follows from that fact that A^\dagger is finite [21, Theorem 6.2] and flat [21, Theorem 2.1(2)] over $\sigma(A^\dagger)$. \square

Let Ω_{A^\dagger} denote the A^\dagger -module of continuous differentials of A^\dagger/\mathbb{Z}_q , and similarly for B^\dagger . Then Ω_{B^\dagger} is a free B^\dagger -module of rank 1 generated by the differential $\frac{du_0}{u_0}$. Since $\eta : B^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger$ is étale, we have

$$\Omega_{A^\dagger} = A^\dagger \otimes_{B^\dagger} \Omega_{B^\dagger} = A^\dagger \frac{du_0}{u_0}.$$

The Frobenius σ induces an injective \mathbb{Z}_q -linear endomorphism σ_1 of Ω_{A^\dagger} . Explicitly,

$$\sigma_1 \left(a \frac{du_0}{u_0} \right) = \sigma(a) p \frac{du_0}{u_0}.$$

Thus $\sigma_1(\Omega_{A^\dagger}) = \Omega_{\sigma(A^\dagger)}$ is a free $\sigma(A^\dagger)$ -module of rank 1, generated by $p \frac{du_0}{u_0}$. By Lemma 4.5, there is a $\sigma(A^\dagger)$ -linear *trace map*

$$\mathrm{Tr} : A^\dagger \rightarrow \sigma(A^\dagger).$$

Given $a \in A^\dagger$, the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(a)$ may be identified with the coefficient of X^{p-1} in the minimal polynomial $g_a(X)$ of a over $\sigma(A^\dagger)$. Note that $g_a \equiv X^p - a^p \pmod{p}$, so that $\mathrm{Tr}(a)$ in fact lies in $p\sigma(A^\dagger)$. The trace map induces a $\sigma(A^\dagger)$ -linear map $\mathrm{Tr}_1 : \Omega_{A^\dagger} \rightarrow \sigma_1(\Omega_{A^\dagger})$. Explicitly,

$$\mathrm{Tr}_1 \left(a \frac{du_0}{u_0} \right) = \mathrm{Tr}(a) \frac{du_0}{u_0} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}(a)}{p} p \frac{du_0}{u_0}.$$

Definition 4.6. The *global U_p operator* is the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear endomorphism

$$U_p = \sigma_1^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Tr}_1 : \Omega_{A^\dagger} \rightarrow \Omega_{A^\dagger}.$$

The global U_p operator is a p -Dwork operator on Ω_{A^\dagger} and the iterate $U_q = U_p^{v_p(q)}$ is a q -Dwork operator. If we identify Ω_{A^\dagger} with A^\dagger using the basis $\frac{du_0}{u_0}$, then U_p is identified with the operator

$$U_p = \frac{1}{p} \sigma^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Tr} : A^\dagger \rightarrow A^\dagger.$$

4.3 Semi-Local Liftings

For each $Q \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, the completion of B/pB along Q may be identified with the local field $F_Q = \mathbb{F}_q((u_Q))$. For $P \in \overline{X}$ lying above Q , let F_P denote the completion of A/pA along P . Then F_P is a local field, and our assumptions on the branching of η allow us to choose a uniformizer $t_P \in F_P$ such that $t_P^{e_P} = u_Q$. We have a Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/pA & \longrightarrow & \prod_{P \in S_\eta} F_P \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B/pB & \longrightarrow & \prod_Q F_Q \end{array} .$$

For each $Q \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$ we define $\mathcal{A}_Q = \mathbb{Z}_q((u_Q))$. There is a natural map $B^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_Q^\dagger$ which is given by “expansion in the parameter u_Q .” Similarly, if P is a point of \overline{X} lying above Q , then we let $\mathcal{A}_P = \mathbb{Z}_q((t_P))$. Since A^\dagger is étale over B^\dagger , the natural map $A^\dagger \rightarrow F_P$ lifts uniquely to a map $A^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P^\dagger$, which we regard as “expansion in the parameter t_P .” These maps fit into a lifted Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \prod_{P \in S_\eta} \mathcal{A}_P^\dagger \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ B^\dagger & \longrightarrow & \prod_Q \mathcal{A}_Q^\dagger \end{array} . \tag{10}$$

For each $Q \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, the endomorphism $\sigma : B \rightarrow B$ restricts to an endomorphism of \mathcal{A}_Q . Explicitly,

$$\sigma(u_0) = u_0^p, \quad \sigma(u_\infty) = u_\infty^p, \quad \sigma(u_1) = (u_1 + 1)^p - 1.$$

If P is a point of \overline{X} lying above Q , then σ extends uniquely along the étale map $\mathcal{A}_Q^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_P^\dagger$ to an endomorphism of \mathcal{A}_P^\dagger . Evidently, if $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞ then $\sigma(t_P) = t_P^p$. The local Frobenius for $\eta(P) = 1$ is more complicated:

$$\sigma(t_P) = {}^{p-1}\sqrt{(t_P^{p-1} + 1)^p + 1}.$$

Definition 4.7. Let $\mathcal{A} = \prod_{P \in S_\eta} \mathcal{A}_P$. The *semi-local lifting* is the ring \mathcal{A}^\dagger equipped with the Frobenius endomorphism σ , defined as the product of the local Frobenius endomorphisms described above.

Lemma 4.8. *The Frobenius $\sigma : \mathcal{A}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\dagger$ is injective, and \mathcal{A}^\dagger is a finite projective $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$ -module of rank p .*

Proof. The first statement follows exactly as in Lemma 4.5, as does the fact that \mathcal{A}^\dagger is flat over $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$. It remains to show that \mathcal{A}^\dagger is finite over $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$. To see this, note that if $\eta(P) = Q \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, then we have a Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma(\mathcal{A}_P^\dagger) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_P^\dagger \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \sigma(\mathcal{A}_Q^\dagger) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{A}_Q^\dagger \end{array}$$

The lower horizontal map is finite of degree p , as can be seen explicitly from the local Frobenius structure at Q . \square

As in the global situation, Lemma 4.8 implies there is a $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$ -linear *trace map*

$$\mathrm{Tr} : \mathcal{A}^\dagger \rightarrow \sigma(\mathcal{A}^\dagger).$$

As before, image of Tr lies in $p\sigma(\mathcal{A}^\dagger)$. This allows us to extend U_p to all of \mathcal{A}^\dagger :

Definition 4.9. The *semi-local U_p -operator* is defined to be the \mathbb{Z}_p -linear operator

$$U_p = \frac{1}{p}\sigma^{-1} \circ \mathrm{Tr} : \mathcal{A}^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\dagger.$$

4.4 Semi-Local Decomposition

For local-to-global arguments, it will be convenient to have a description of the underlying \mathbb{Z}_q -module structure of the lifting A^\dagger , analogous to the partial fraction decomposition of B^\dagger . For each point P of \overline{X} lying above $Q \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, we define

$$\mu(P) = \begin{cases} 0 & Q = 0 \text{ or } 1 \\ p-1 & Q = \infty \end{cases}. \quad (11)$$

Consider the \mathbb{Z}_q -submodule of \mathcal{A}_P consisting of $\mu(P)$ -truncated series

$$\mathcal{A}_P^{\mathrm{tr}} = \left\{ \sum_k a_k t^{-k} \in \mathcal{A}_P : a_k = 0 \text{ for all } k \leq \mu(P) \right\}. \quad (12)$$

We define $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{tr}} = \bigoplus_P \mathcal{A}_P^{\mathrm{tr}}$, regarded as a \mathbb{Z}_q -submodule of \mathcal{A} . There is a natural projection map $\mathrm{pr} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{tr}}$. Since $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ is a p -saturated submodule of \mathcal{A} , the p -adic completion $\mathcal{A}^{\infty, \mathrm{tr}}$ is naturally a \mathbb{Z}_q -submodule of \mathcal{A}^∞ . We define $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger, \mathrm{tr}} = \mathcal{A}^\dagger \cap \mathcal{A}^{\infty, \mathrm{tr}}$.

Proposition 4.10. *There is an exact sequence of \mathbb{Z}_q -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\dagger \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}} \mathcal{A}^{\dagger, \mathrm{tr}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where L is a finite free \mathbb{Z}_q -module of rank

$$N = g - 1 + r_0 + r_1 + r_\infty. \quad (13)$$

Proof. First, consider the map $\text{pr} : A^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\infty, \text{tr}}$. Any element of the kernel L has poles of finite order about each P , so that $L \subseteq A^\dagger$. Consider the reduction modulo p :

$$\overline{L} \rightarrow \overline{A} \xrightarrow{\overline{\text{pr}}} \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{tr}}.$$

By the Riemann-Roch theorem, $\overline{\text{pr}}$ is surjective. The kernel is precisely the global sections of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}(D)$, where $D = \sum_{\eta(P)=1} (p-1)P$. Again by Riemann-Roch, this is a finite \mathbb{F}_q -module of rank N . By Lemma 2.3(1)-(2), the map $\text{pr} : A^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\infty, \text{tr}}$ is surjective and $\ker(\overline{\text{pr}}) = \overline{L}$. Since L is p -torsion free, Nakayama's lemma implies that L is a finite free \mathbb{Z}_q -module of rank N .

It remains to show that $\text{pr} : A^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\dagger, \text{tr}}$ is surjective. Observe that $a \in \mathcal{A}^{\infty, \text{tr}}$ lies in $\mathcal{A}^{\dagger, \text{tr}}$ if and only if there exists $\hat{a} \in A^\dagger$ such that $\text{pr}(\hat{a}) = a$. By the preceding discussion, we may choose \hat{a} to lie in the image of A^∞ . Since $A^\dagger = A^\dagger \cap A^\infty$, this completes the proof. \square

5 σ -Modules

5.1 Definitions

For this subsection, let X denote a general affine \mathbb{F}_p -scheme. By a *flat lifting* of X over R , we will mean a pair (A, σ) , where A is a lifting of the coordinate ring of X to a flat R -algebra, and $\sigma : A \rightarrow A$ is a lifting of the absolute Frobenius endomorphism of X .

Definition 5.1. A σ -module over A is a pair (M, ϕ) , where M is a finite projective A -module and $\phi : \sigma^*M \rightarrow M$ is an R -linear map such that $K \otimes \phi$ is an isomorphism. We say that (M, ϕ) is *unit-root* if ϕ is an isomorphism.

Let (M, ϕ) be a σ -module over A . Let (B, τ) be a flat lifting of another affine \mathbb{F}_p -scheme Y . Given a *Frobenius-compatible* map $f : A \rightarrow B$ of R -algebras, we obtain by extension of scalars a τ -module $(B \otimes_A M, \tau \otimes \phi)$ over B .

Theorem 5.2 ([11], 4.1.1). *There is a rank-preserving equivalence between the category of unit-root σ -modules over A^∞ and the category of R -valued representations of $\pi_1(X)$. Under this equivalence, the pullback of representations along $Y \rightarrow X$ corresponds to extension of scalars along the Frobenius-compatible map $f : A^\infty \rightarrow B^\infty$.*

Let (M, ϕ) be a σ -module over A . By composition with the natural map $M \rightarrow \sigma^*M$, we will usually regard ϕ as an endomorphism of M which is σ -semilinear, in the sense that $\phi(am) = \sigma(a)\phi(m)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $m \in M$. We will typically restrict our attention to the case that M is a *free* A -module of rank 1. If $e \in M$ is any basis for M and $E = \phi(e)$ is the “matrix” of ϕ with respect to E , then we have an isomorphism of σ -modules

$$(M, \phi) \cong (A, E \circ \sigma),$$

In this case, we refer to E as a *Frobenius structure* for (M, ϕ) .

For each $x \in |X|$, let $\deg(x) = [k(x) : \mathbb{F}_q]$. Consider the flat lifting $(R(x), F)$ of $k(x)$ over R , where $R(x) = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} W(k(x))$ and F is the canonical R -linear lifting of Frobenius. By [20, Theorem 3.3] there is a unique Frobenius-compatible map

$$\hat{x} : A \rightarrow R(x),$$

which we call the *Teichmüller lifting* of x . Let (M_x, ϕ_x) denote the F -module over $R(x)$ obtained by extension of scalars along \hat{x} . The map ϕ_x is only R -linear, but the iterate $\phi_x^{v_p(q) \deg(x)}$ is $R(x)$ -linear. Since $R(x)$ is local, M_x is free of finite rank and we may define:

Definition 5.3. The L -function of (M, ϕ) is the power series

$$L(\phi, s) = \prod_{x \in |U|} \frac{1}{\det(1 - \phi_x^{v_p(q) \deg(x)} s^{\deg(x)})} \in 1 + sR[[s]].$$

5.2 σ -Modules over the Global Lifting

We will now return to the setting of §4. Let (A^\dagger, σ) denote the global lifting of U as defined in §4.2. Let $A_\pi = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$, which we equip with the π -adic topology. By weak base change, we obtain a flat lifting (A_π^\dagger, σ) of U over R . Let $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow R^\times$ be a continuous character. Let ρ_U denote the restriction of ρ to $\pi_1(U)$, and let (M, ϕ) be the corresponding unit-root σ -module over A_π^∞ . The L -function $L(\phi, s)$ coincides with the Artin L -function $L(\rho_U, s)$ of ρ_U over U . In other words,

$$\prod_{\substack{x \in |X-U| \\ x \notin S}} (1 - \rho(\text{Frob}_x)s) \cdot L(\rho, s) = L(\phi, s). \quad (14)$$

Definition 5.4. We say that (M, ϕ) is *overconvergent* if (M, ϕ) is obtained by extension of scalars from a σ -module over A_π^\dagger .

If (M, ϕ) is overconvergent, then $L(\phi, s)$ is known to be meromorphic by the Monsky trace formula. Let us state the trace formula in the special case that M is free of rank 1. First, observe that (M, ϕ) is overconvergent if and only if (M, ϕ) admits an overconvergent Frobenius structure $E \in A_\pi^\dagger$. By weak base change, the operator U_p induces an R -linear endomorphism $U_p : A_\pi^\dagger \rightarrow A_\pi^\dagger$. Upon fixing a choice of E , we define “Dwork operator”

$$\Theta = U_p \circ E : A_\pi^\dagger \rightarrow A_\pi^\dagger.$$

Let $V_\pi = K \otimes_R A_\pi$, regarded as a vector space over K_q . By [20, Theorem 2.1], the action of Θ_q on V_π^\dagger is nuclear. We can now state Monsky’s trace formula:

Theorem 5.5 ([20], or [24] when $\text{char}(R) = p$). *Suppose that (M, ϕ) is overconvergent and that M is free of rank 1. Then*

$$L(\phi, s) = \frac{C(\Theta_q|V_\pi^\dagger, s)}{C(\Theta_q|V_\pi^\dagger, qs)}.$$

Corollary 5.6. *Let $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow R^\times$ be a continuous character and let (M, ϕ) be the unit-root σ -module over A_π^\dagger corresponding to ρ_U . Assume that (M, ϕ) is overconvergent and that M is free of rank 1. Then*

$$\prod_{\substack{x \in |X-U| \\ x \notin S}} (1 - \rho(\text{Frob}_x)s) \cdot L(\rho, s) = \frac{C(\Theta_q|V_\pi^\dagger, s)}{C(\Theta_q|V_\pi^\dagger, qs)}.$$

Corollary 5.7. *In the setting of Corollary 5.6, $L(\rho, s)$ is analytic in the disk $v_\pi(s) > -v_\pi(q)$.*

Proof. Note that $L(\phi, s)$ is analytic in this disk by the Monsky trace formula. By a theorem of Crew [4], $L(\rho, s)$ has no poles in the region $v_\pi(s) = 0$. The claim follows from Corollary 5.6. \square

5.3 Semi-Local Growth Conditions

Let P be a branch point of the covering η defined in §4.1. Recall that we have defined a flat lifting $(\mathcal{A}_P^\dagger, \sigma)$ of the local field F_P over \mathbb{Z}_p in §4.3. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P} = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{A}_P$, equipped with the π -adic topology. Again by weak base change, we obtain a flat lifting $(\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\dagger, \sigma)$ of F_P over R . Let $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow R^\times$ be a continuous character, and let (M, ϕ) be unit-root σ -module over A_π^∞ corresponding to ρ_U . By extension of scalars along the Frobenius-compatible map $A_\pi^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\infty$, we obtain a σ -module (M_P, ϕ_P) over $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\infty$.

Lemma 5.8. *If ρ is unramified at P , then (M_P, ϕ_P) admits a constant Frobenius structure $\tilde{E}_P \in R_q$.*

Proof. Since (M, ϕ) is unramified, ρ factors through a character $\bar{\rho} : \pi_1(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_q)) \rightarrow R^\times$. The latter corresponds to a unit-root F -module $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi})$ over R_q . By Theorem 5.2, (M, ϕ) is obtained by extension of scalars from $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi})$ along $R_q \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}$, so (M, ϕ) must admit a Frobenius structure in R_q . \square

We define as before $\mathcal{A}_\pi = \prod_{P \in S_\eta} \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}$. By a *semi-local Frobenius structure* for (M, ϕ) , we will mean an element $\tilde{E} = (\tilde{E}_P) \in \mathcal{A}_\pi^\infty$ such that \tilde{E}_P is a Frobenius structure for (M_P, ϕ_P) for all $P \in S_\eta$.

Definition 5.9. Let $\delta = (\delta_P)$ be a tuple of positive rational numbers indexed by S . We say that ρ is π -adically δ -overconvergent if (M, ϕ) admits a semi-local Frobenius structure \tilde{E} such that:

1. If $P \notin S$, then $\tilde{E}_P \in 1 + \pi R_q$ is constant. In particular, (M, ϕ) is unramified at P .
2. If $P \in S$, then $\tilde{E}_P = \tilde{E}_P \in R_q[t_P^{-1}]^{\delta_P} \cap (1 + \pi R_q \langle t_P^{-1} \rangle)$.

In this case we refer to \tilde{E} as a δ -Frobenius structure for (M, ϕ) .

Example 5.10. 1. Suppose that $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow R^\times$ is finite of order p^n . Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\rho]$ be a uniformizer. For each $P \in S$, let d_P denote the Swan conductor of ρ at P , and let $\delta_P = d_P/p^{n-1}$. By [17, Proposition 5.5], ρ is π -adically δ -overconvergent.

2. Let X_∞/X be a \mathbb{Z}_p -tower of curves (§1.4.1), regarded as a surjective map

$$\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow R^\times$ be a continuous character, and let $\rho_\chi = \chi \circ \rho$. We define $\pi_\chi = \chi(1) - 1$. In [18] we prove that if X_∞/X has δ -stable monodromy and R has characteristic p , then ρ_χ is π_χ -adically δ -overconvergent.

3. Continuing the previous example: Let X_∞/X be an overconvergent \mathbb{Z}_p -tower of curves (1.4.2), so that X_∞/X has δ -stable monodromy for some $\delta = (\delta_P)_{P \in S}$. In [25], we show that for $v_{\pi_\chi}(p) \gg 0$, ρ_χ is π_χ -adically δ -overconvergent.

5.4 Global growth conditions

The Monsky trace formula requires that the σ -module (M, ϕ) in question is overconvergent (i.e. it has an overconvergent Frobenius structure). The following proposition says that one may check the overconvergence of M locally at each $P \in S$. In particular, the Monsky trace formula may be applied to any σ -module that is δ -overconvergent.

Proposition 5.11. *Let (M, ϕ) be a σ -module over A_π^∞ with Frobenius structure $E \in 1 + \pi A_\pi^\infty$. Suppose that (M, ϕ) admits an overconvergent semi-local Frobenius structure $\tilde{E} \in 1 + \pi A_\pi^\dagger$. Then there exists $a \in 1 + \pi A_\pi^\infty$ such that $E' = \sigma(a)Ea^{-1}$ is contained in $1 + \pi A_\pi^\dagger$. In particular (M, ϕ) is overconvergent.*

We define the k -th partial valuation on $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\infty$ as follows: For $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\infty$ write $f = \sum a_n t_P^n$. We define $w_k^P(f)$ to be the t_P -adic order of f reduced modulo π^{k+1} . That is,

$$w_k^P(f) = \min_{v_\pi(a_n) \leq k} n.$$

Note that we have

$$w_k^P(fg) \geq \min_{i+j=k} w_i^P(f) + w_j^P(g) \tag{15}$$

Lemma 5.12. *Let m be an integer large enough so that $\sigma(t_P) \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{pm}(p)$ (note that by our definition of σ and t_P , such an m always exists). Let $x \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\infty$. Fix a natural number k_0 . Assume that $w_k^P(x) > -km$ for $k < k_0$ and $w_{k_0}^P(x) < -k_0m$. Then $w_{k_0}^P(\sigma(x)) = pw_{k_0}^P(x)$ and $w_k^P(\sigma(x)) > -kpm$ for $k < k_0$.*

Proof. This is deduced from (15). □

Lemma 5.13. *Let E'_P be a Frobenius structure of (M_P, ϕ_P) with $E'_P \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ and let $C > 0$. Assume that for $k \gg 0$ we have either $w_k^P(E'_P) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus p\mathbb{Z}$ or $w_k^P(E'_P) \geq -C$. Then E'_P is overconvergent.*

Proof. Let \tilde{E}_P be the P -th coordinate of \tilde{E} . In particular, \tilde{E}_P is a Frobenius structure of (M_P, ϕ_P) contained in $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\dagger$ with $\tilde{E}_P \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$. Then we have $\tilde{E}_P \frac{\sigma(b)}{b} = E'_P$ for some $b \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\infty$ with $b \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$. We need to prove b is overconvergent. Assume the contrary. In terms of partial valuations, this means that for every $m > 0$ there exists k_m such that $w_{k_m}^P(b) < -mk_m$. Assume that k_m is the smallest integer such that this inequality holds (so that $w_k^P(b) \geq -mk$ for $k \leq k_m$). If m is sufficiently large, we know from (15) and Lemma 5.12 that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{k_m}^P\left(\frac{\sigma(b)}{b}\right) &= pw_{k_m}^P(b) < -pmk_m, \\ w_k^P\left(\frac{\sigma(b)}{b}\right) &> -pmk, \text{ for } k < k_m. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Since \tilde{E}_P is overconvergent, there exists $m_0 > 0$ such that $w_k^P(\tilde{E}_P) \geq -m_0k$ for all $k \geq 0$. Then from (15) and (16) we have

$$w_{k_m}^P(E'_P) = pw_{k_m}^P(b),$$

for m sufficiently large. If we take m to be larger than C , this contradicts our assumption on the partial valuations of E'_P . \square

Proof. (Of proposition 5.11) Recall that $E \in A_\pi^\infty$ be a Frobenius structure of (M, ϕ) with $E \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$. For each P , let $A_{\pi, P}$ denote the subring of A_π whose only poles are at $[P]$, the Teichmüller lift of P . Then $\text{Spec}(A_{\pi, P})$ is an affine curve over whose special fiber is $\overline{X} - P$. We will show that there exists $a_P \in A_{\pi, P}^\infty$ such that $E \frac{\sigma(a_P)}{a_P}$ is overconvergent at P (i.e. it lies in $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\dagger$). Note that if E is overconvergent at $Q \neq P$, then so is $E \frac{\sigma(a_P)}{a_P}$, since a_P does not have a pole at Q . The proposition will follow by repeating for each P .

Let C be sufficiently large so that $H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}((C+n)P)) / H^0(\overline{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(CP))$ has dimension n . We will find $a_P \in A_{\pi, P}^\infty$ such that $E \frac{\sigma(a_P)}{a_P}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 5.13. More precisely, we will inductively construct $a_{P, n} \in A_{\pi, P}^\infty$ satisfying

1. $a_{P, n+1} \equiv a_{P, n} \pmod{\pi^{n+1}}$
2. We can write $E \frac{\sigma(a_{P, n})}{a_{P, n}} \equiv b_{P, n} + c_{P, n} \pmod{\pi^{n+1}}$ where $c_{P, n} \in t_P^{-C} R[[t_P]]$ and $b_{P, n}$ is of the form

$$b_{P, n} = \sum_{p \nmid k} x_{P, n, k} t_P^k.$$

We then take $a_P = \lim a_{P, n}$ and the result follows from Lemma 5.13.

For $n = 0$ we take $a_{P, 0} = 1$. Let $n > 0$ and assume such an $a_{P, n}$ exists. We write

$$E \frac{\sigma(a_{P, n})}{a_{P, n}} = b_{P, n} + c_{P, n} + \pi^{n+1} r_n \pmod{\pi^{n+2}}.$$

Let $r_{n,0}$ denote the reduction of r_n modulo π . Break up the t_P -adic expansion of $r_{n,0}$:

$$r_{n,0} = \underbrace{\sum_{k=-pC}^{\infty} y_{0,k} t_P^k}_{\alpha_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{k < -pC \\ p \nmid k}} y_{0,k} t_P^k}_{\beta_0} + \underbrace{\sum_{pk < -pC} y_{0,pk} t_P^{pk}}_{\gamma_0}.$$

By our assumption on C , there exists a regular function c_0 on $\overline{X} - P$ with

$$c_0^p \equiv \gamma_0 \pmod{t_P^{-C} \mathbb{F}_q[[t_P]]}.$$

We then set $r_{n,1} = r_{n,0} - c_0^p + c_0$. Break up $r_{n,1}$ into $\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \gamma_1$ as above and we find c_1 with $c_1^p \equiv \gamma_1 \pmod{t_P^{-C} \mathbb{F}_q[[t_P]]}$. We define $r_{n,2} = c_1^p - c_1$. Repeat this process. Note that the order of the pole of γ_i decreases. In particular, for i large enough we have $\gamma_i = 0$. Write $c = \sum c_i$ and let \tilde{c} be a lift of c to $A_{\pi,P}$. By definition we have

$$r_{n,0} - c^p + c = \sum_{k=-pC}^{\infty} y_k t_P^k + \sum_{\substack{k < -pC \\ p \nmid k}} y_k t_P^k.$$

We define $a_{P,n+1} = a_{P,n}(1 - \pi^{n+1}\tilde{c})$, which satisfies the correct properties \square

5.5 Semi-Local Twisting

Henceforth we will assume that $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow R^\times$ is δ -overconvergent, and let (M, ϕ) denote the unit-root σ -module over A_π^\dagger corresponding to ρ . We fix a δ -Frobenius structure \tilde{E} for (M, ϕ) and a global Frobenius structure $E = \sigma(a)\tilde{E}a^{-1} \in A_\pi^\dagger$ as in Proposition 5.11. By weak base change, the semi-local U_p operator (4.3) induces a p -Dwork operator $U_p : \mathcal{A}_\pi^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\pi^\dagger$. We define $\tilde{\Theta}$ to be the p -Dwork operator

$$\tilde{\Theta} = U_p \circ \tilde{E} : \mathcal{A}_\pi^\dagger \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\pi^\dagger.$$

Since Θ is σ^{-1} -linear, we have

$$\Theta = U_p \circ E = U_p \circ (\sigma(a) \circ \tilde{E} \circ a^{-1}) = a \circ (U_p \circ \tilde{E}) \circ a^{-1}.$$

Let $\tilde{A}_\pi^\dagger = a^{-1} \cdot A_\pi^\dagger$ and $\tilde{V}_\pi^\dagger = K \otimes_R \tilde{A}_\pi^\dagger$, regarded as a K_q -vector space. The following is now immediate:

Proposition 5.14. *The operator $\tilde{\Theta}$ restricts to an endomorphism of \tilde{V}_π^\dagger . Moreover, the action of $\tilde{\Theta}_q$ on this space is nuclear, and there is an equality of Fredholm series*

$$C(\tilde{\Theta}_q | \tilde{V}_\pi^\dagger, s) = C(\Theta_q | V_\pi^\dagger, s).$$

For each point P of \overline{X} with $\eta(P) \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, let $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\text{tr}} = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{A}_P^{\text{tr}}$, regarded as an R_q -submodule of $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}$. We define as before $\mathcal{A}_{\pi}^{\text{tr}} = \bigoplus_P \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\text{tr}}$. As in Proposition 4.10, we see that there is an exact sequence of R_q -modules

$$0 \rightarrow L_{\pi} \rightarrow A_{\pi}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\pi}^{\dagger, \text{tr}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where L_{π} is a finite free R_q -module of rank N (13). The following lemma states that the “twisted” space $\tilde{A}_{\pi}^{\dagger}$ can be decomposed in a similar way:

Lemma 5.15. *There is an exact sequence of R_q -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_{\pi} \rightarrow \tilde{A}_{\pi}^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\pi}^{\dagger, \text{tr}} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\tilde{L}_{\pi} = a^{-1}L_{\pi}$ is a finite free R_q -module of rank N .

Proof. Indeed, since $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\pi}$ this sequence reduces modulo π to the exact sequence described above. The result follows from Lemma 2.3. \square

6 Estimating Dwork Operators

In this section we give explicit estimates for the Newton polygon of $\tilde{\Theta}_q$ acting on the twisted space $\tilde{V}_{\pi}^{\dagger}$.

6.1 Local Estimates

We begin by studying the action of $\tilde{\Theta}$ on the local spaces $\mathcal{V}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger} = K \otimes_R \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger}$. Our approach will be to restrict $\tilde{\Theta}$ to a Banach subspace $\mathcal{V}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}} \subset \mathcal{V}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger}$, which is defined in terms of certain local growth conditions at P . In §6.1.1-6.1.2 below we estimate the action of $\tilde{\Theta}$ on this subspace.

First, let us explain our notational conventions. The superscript $\mathbf{m} = (m_P)$ will denote a tuple of positive rational numbers indexed by S_{η} . Since the Newton polygon of $\tilde{\Theta}$ remains the same under extension of scalars, it will be convenient to assume that R contains an m_P -th root of π for each $P \in S_{\eta}$. We will define a subspace $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger}$ using certain growth conditions depending on m_P and $\eta(P)$. For $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞ , the definition is simple:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}} = \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{m_P} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger}.$$

When $\eta(P) = 1$, the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}}$ is more complicated and will be given in §6.1.2.

Our present goal is to estimate the columns of the matrix of $\tilde{\Theta}$ with respect to a formal basis of $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}, \text{tr}} = \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}} \cap \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger, \text{tr}}$, at least for suitable \mathbf{m} . To explain the condition on \mathbf{m} , consider the tuple of rational numbers $\mathbf{m}_{\pi} = (m_{\pi, P})_{P \in S_{\eta}}$ defined by:

$$m_{\pi, P} = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta_P}{p} & P \in S \\ 0 & P \notin S \text{ and } \eta(P) \in \{0, \infty\} \\ \frac{1}{v_{\pi}(p)} & \eta(P) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

We will write $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_{\pi}$ if $m_P \geq m_{\pi, P}$ for all $P \in S_{\eta}$. We will give our estimates for all tuples \mathbf{m} of *positive* rational numbers with $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_{\pi}$.

6.1.1 First case: $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞

We first consider the case $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞ . In this case, we have already defined $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}$ to be $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{m_P}$. We have $\sigma(t_P) = t_P^p$, and the operator U_p is given explicitly as follows: Let $k = p\ell + r$ with $0 \leq r < p$. Then

$$U_p(t_P^k) = \begin{cases} t_P^\ell & r = 0 \\ 0 & r \neq 0 \end{cases}.$$

From the above formula we see that for every $m > 0$,

$$U_p(\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^m) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{m/p}. \quad (17)$$

Proposition 6.1. *Suppose that $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞ , and that $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$. Then*

$$\tilde{\Theta}(\pi^{k/m_P} t_P^{-k}) \in \pi^{\frac{k(p-1)}{p m_P}} \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Proof. If $P \notin S$, then $\tilde{E}_P \in 1 + \pi R_q$ is constant. If $P \in S$, then $\tilde{E}_P \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\delta_P}$ since (M, ϕ) is δ -overconvergent. In either case, we have $\tilde{E}_P \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{p m_P}$. By definition, we know that $\pi^{k/m_P} t_P^{-k}$ is contained in $\pi^{\frac{k(p-1)}{p m_P}} \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{p m_P}$. The result follows from (17). \square

6.1.2 Second Case: $\eta(P) = 1$

We now turn to the case $\eta(P) = 1$. Since $P \notin S$, \tilde{E}_P is constant. Thus we will focus on estimating the action of the operator U_p . Let $u_P = t_P^{p-1}$ and let $\mathcal{B}_{\pi,P} = R_q((u_P))$. Then $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}/\mathcal{B}_{\pi,P}$ is finite and Galois so we have a decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} t_P^{-i} \mathcal{B}_{\pi,P}$$

into eigenspaces for the distinct characters of the Galois group. The induced splitting of $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^\dagger$ is σ -equivariant. Consider the subspace:

$$\mathcal{B}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}} = \mathcal{B}_{\pi,P}^{m_P} = \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k u^{-k} : v_\pi(b_k) > \frac{k}{m_P} \text{ for all } k > 0 \right\}.$$

Lemma 6.2. *Suppose that $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$. Let $k = p\ell + r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < p$. Then*

$$U_p(t^{-k}) \in t^{-(\ell+r)} \mathcal{B}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Proof. For $R = \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\pi = p$, this is [17, Corollary 4.7]. The condition $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$ guarantees that $m_P \geq \frac{1}{v_\pi(p)}$, so the general result follows by tensoring up to R . \square

Definition 6.3. We define

$$\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}} = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} t_P^{-i} \mathcal{B}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

For each $k > p - 1$, define the positive integer

$$a(k) = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{p-1} \right\rfloor.$$

Then the elements $\pi^{a(k)/m_P} t_P^{-k}$ constitute a formal basis for $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}}$. We can now give our local estimate for $\tilde{\Theta}$ in this case:

Proposition 6.4. *Suppose $\eta(P) = 1$ and that $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$. Then for each $k = p\ell + r > p - 1$, we have*

$$\tilde{\Theta}(\pi^{a(k)/m_P} t_P^{-k}) \in \pi^{\ell/m_P} \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Proof. Observe that $a(k) - a(\ell + r) = \ell$. Thus, from Lemma 6.2 we have

$$U_p(\pi^{a(k)/m_P} t_P^{-k}) = \pi^{\ell/m_P} \pi^{a(\ell+r)/m_P} t_P^{-(\ell+r)} \mathcal{B}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}} \subseteq \pi^{\ell/m_P} \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

The claim follows since $\tilde{E}_P \in 1 + \pi R_q$. \square

Remark 6.5. Suppose that $p = 2$. For $k \geq 3$, define $a(k) = \lfloor (k-1)/3 \rfloor$. A similar construction provides a submodule $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^\dagger$ with the following property: Let $k = 2\ell - r$ with $r = 0$ or 1 . Then

$$U_p(\pi^{a(k)/m_P} t_P^{-k}) \in \pi^{(a(k)-a(\ell+r))/m_P} \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

This estimate is too low for applications to the global setting. For example, if $k = 5 = 2 \cdot 3 - 1$, then $a(k) - a(\ell + r) = 0$, and this contributes an extra segment of slope 0 in the global Hodge bound below.

6.2 Global Estimates

Let $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$, and consider the “twisted” spaces $\tilde{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}} = \mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}} \cap \tilde{A}_\pi^\dagger$ and $\tilde{V}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}} = K \otimes_R \tilde{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}$. We will now define a formal basis for $\tilde{V}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}$ by lifting the local bases used in §6.1. Let $\mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}$ denote the product of the $\mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}}$ defined in §6.1. Define $\mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}, \text{tr}} = \mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}} \cap \mathcal{A}_\pi^{\dagger, \text{tr}}$. Note that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{L}_\pi \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}, \text{tr}} \rightarrow 0$$

and recall that \tilde{L}_π is a finite free R_q -module of rank N . Let $B_{q, 0}^{\mathbf{m}} = \{e_{0, k}^{\mathbf{m}} : 1 \leq k \leq N\}$ be any R_q -basis for \tilde{L}_π . For P and each $k > n(P)$, choose a lifting

$$e_{P, k} = t_P^{-k} + c_{P, k} \in \mathcal{A}_{\pi, P}^{\mathbf{m}},$$

where $\text{pr}(c_{P, k}) = 0$. Then we define $B_{q, P}^{\mathbf{m}} = \{e_{P, k}^{\mathbf{m}} : k > \mu(P)\}$, where

$$e_{P, k}^{\mathbf{m}} = \begin{cases} \pi^{k/m_P} e_{P, k} & \eta(P) = 0 \text{ or } \infty \\ \pi^{a(k)/m_P} e_{P, k} & \eta(P) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Our desired formal basis for $\tilde{V}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}$ is:

$$B_q^{\mathbf{m}} = B_{q, 0}^{\mathbf{m}} \sqcup \bigsqcup_P B_{q, P}^{\mathbf{m}}. \quad (18)$$

Proposition 6.6 (Global Column Estimate). *Suppose that $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$. Then:*

1. If $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞ , then for all $k > 0$

$$\tilde{\Theta}(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}}) \in \pi^{\frac{k(p-1)}{pm_P}} \tilde{V}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

2. If $\eta(P) = 1$, then for all $k = p\ell + r > p - 1$ with $0 \leq r < p$

$$\tilde{\Theta}(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}}) \in \pi^{\frac{\ell}{m_P}} \tilde{V}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Proof. We consider each case separately:

1. If $\eta(P) = 0$ or ∞ then we have

$$\tilde{\Theta}(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \tilde{\Theta}(\pi^{k/m_P} t_P^{-k}) + \pi^{k/m_P} \tilde{\Theta}(c_k).$$

The first term lies in $\pi^{k(p-1)/pm_P} \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m},\text{tr}}$ by Proposition 6.1. Moreover, we know that $\tilde{\Theta}$ restricts to an endomorphism of $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}$, so that the second term lies in $\pi^{k/m_P} \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}$. The claim follows since $\pi^k \mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}} \cap \tilde{A}_\pi^\dagger = \pi^k (\mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}} \cap \tilde{A}_\pi^\dagger)$

2. If $\eta(P) = 1$, then

$$\tilde{\Theta}(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}}) = \tilde{\Theta}(\pi^{a(k)/m_P} t_P^{-k}) + \pi^{a(k)/m_P} \tilde{\Theta}(c_{P,k}).$$

By assumption, $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$ so that Proposition 6.4 holds. Thus, the first term lies in $\pi^{\ell} \mathcal{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}$. The π -adic valuation of the second term is at least $a(k)/m_P \geq \ell/m_P$. The claim follows exactly as above. □

Corollary 6.7. *For all $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$, the characteristic series $C(\Theta_q|V_\pi^\dagger, s)$ agrees with the Fredholm determinant $\det(I - s\tilde{\Theta}_q|B_q^{\mathbf{m}})$.*

Proof. First, observe that \tilde{V}_π^\dagger is a union of Banach subspaces

$$\tilde{V}_\pi^\dagger = \bigcup_{\mathbf{m}} \tilde{V}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}.$$

Let $B^{\mathbf{m}}$ be an associated K -basis to $B_q^{\mathbf{m}}$, as in §3.4. By the estimates in Proposition 6.6, if $\mathbf{m} \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$ then the matrix $\tilde{\Theta}|B^{\mathbf{m}}$ is tight. It follows that $\tilde{\Theta}_q|B_q^{\mathbf{m}}$ is tight, and so $\tilde{\Theta}_q$ acts completely continuously on $\tilde{V}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}$. By Proposition 3.13, the Fredholm series $\det(I - s\psi|\tilde{V}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}})$ is *independent* of \mathbf{m} . The claim follows as in Example 3.15(3). □

Corollary 6.8 (Global Hodge Bound). *The Newton polygon $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<v_\pi(p)}(\Theta_q|V_\pi^\dagger)$ lies on or above the convex polygon with slope set:*

$$\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_r \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \left\{ \frac{k(p-1)}{\delta_P} : 1 \leq k < v_\pi(p)\delta_P \right\}.$$

Proof. Fix a positive number $e \leq v_\pi(p)$, and consider the tuple $\mathbf{m}_e = (m_{e,P})_{P \in S_\eta}$ defined by

$$m_{e,P} = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta_P}{p} & P \in S \\ \frac{1}{pe} & P \notin S \text{ and } \eta(P) \in \{0, \infty\} \\ \frac{1}{e} & \eta(P) = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then $\mathbf{m}_e \geq \mathbf{m}_\pi$. Since the matrix of $\tilde{\Theta}$ with respect to $B^{\mathbf{m}_e}$ has coefficients in R , we see that $v_\pi(\tilde{\Theta}(e_{0,k}^{\mathbf{m}_e})) \geq 0$ for all $1 \leq k \leq N$. Now let $B^{\mathbf{m}_e}$ be an associated K -basis to $B_q^{\mathbf{m}_e}$, as in §3.4. By Proposition 6.6, the column Hodge polygon $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<e}(\tilde{\Theta}|B^{\mathbf{m}_e})$ lies on or above the convex polygon with slope set:

$$\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{rv_p(q)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \left\{ \frac{k(p-1)}{\delta_P} : 1 \leq k < e\delta_P \right\}^{\times v_p(q)}.$$

Upon taking the limit $e \rightarrow v_\pi(p)^+$ (or setting $e = v_\pi(p)$ if R has characteristic 0) the claim follows from Corollary 6.7 and Lemma 3.24. \square

7 Perturbing Operators and Main Results

In this final section we will study the interaction of the Newton polygon $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}(\tilde{\Theta}_q|\tilde{V}_\pi^\dagger)$ with certain Hodge polygons attached to the action of $\tilde{\Theta}$ on the Banach spaces $\tilde{V}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}}$. For this purpose we fix a positive rational number $e \leq v_\pi(p)$, and let \mathbf{m}_e be defined as in the proof of Corollary 6.8 (when R has characteristic 0, we may take $e = v_\pi(p)$).

7.1 Newton-Hodge Interaction and Perturbation Theory

Let I be a countable set. Let Ψ be a tight matrix with entries in R indexed by I , regarded as a completely continuous operator $\psi : b(I) \rightarrow b(I)$. We will now discuss the interaction between the Newton, Hodge, and column Hodge polygons of Ψ . For every $r > 0$, let

$$I^{<r}(\Psi) = \{i \in I : v_\pi\psi(e_i) < r\}.$$

Let $\Psi^{<r}$ denote the finite diagonal submatrix of Ψ indexed by $I^{<r}(\Psi)$. We are primarily interested in the following strong type of Newton-Hodge interaction:

Lemma 7.1. *The following are equivalent:*

1. $\text{NP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ and $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ have the same terminal point.
2. $\text{NP}_\pi(\Psi^{<r})$ and $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ have the same terminal point.

Proof. Choose an ordering on I so that the column slopes $v_\pi\psi(e_i)$ are increasing. Label the elements $I^{<r} = \{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$ in increasing order, and let (n, m) denote the terminal point of $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ so that

$$m = v_\pi\Psi(e_{i_1}) + \dots + v_\pi\Psi(e_{i_n}).$$

Let $J = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$ be any subset of I of cardinality n , with elements labelled in increasing order. Then for every $1 \leq k \leq n$ we have

$$v_\pi \Psi(e_{j_k}) \geq v_\pi \Psi(e_{i_k}).$$

If $J \neq I^{<r}(\Psi)$, then the inequality is *strict* for $k = n$, since $e_{j_n} \notin I^{<r}(\Psi)$. Since $\text{NP}_\pi(\Psi_J) \succeq \text{cHP}_\pi(\Psi_J)$, we see that every $J \times J$ minor of Ψ has π -adic valuation $\geq v_\pi \det(\Psi^{<r})$, with strict inequality when $J \neq I^{<r}(\Psi)$. Thus $\text{NP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ and $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ have the same terminal point if and only if $v_\pi \det(\Psi^{<r}) = m$, i.e. if and only if $\text{NP}_\pi(\Psi^{<r})$ and $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ have the same terminal point \square

Lemma 7.2. *Suppose that $\text{NP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ and $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ have the same terminal point. Then the polygons*

$$\text{HP}_\pi(\Psi^{<r}), \text{cHP}_\pi(\Psi^{<r}), \text{HP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi), \text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$$

are all equal.

Proof. Observe that each of the listed polygons lies on or below $\text{HP}_\pi(\Psi^{<r})$ and on or above $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$. By Lemma 7.1, all of these polygons share the same terminal point. Since each column slope of $\Psi^{<r}$ is greater than or equal to the corresponding column slope of Ψ , we must have that $\text{cHP}_\pi(\Psi^{<r}) = \text{cHP}_\pi(\Psi)$. It suffices then to consider the case that $\Psi = \Psi^{<r}$ is a finite matrix whose π -adic column slopes are $< r$. But this is immediate from Lemma 3.20. \square

Definition 7.3. Let $\Psi' = \Psi + \varepsilon$, where ε is a matrix with entries in R indexed by I . We say that Ψ' is a π -adic r -perturbation of Ψ if:

1. $v_\pi \varepsilon(e_i) > v_\pi \Psi(e_i)$ for all $i \in I^{<r}(\Psi)$.
2. $v_\pi \varepsilon(e_i) \geq r$ for all $i \notin I^{<r}(\Psi)$.

If Ψ' is a tight π -adic r -perturbation of Ψ , then the truncated column Hodge polygons $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ and $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi')$ of Lemma 3.20 necessarily agree. The stronger perturbation condition guarantees the following interaction between the Newton polygons of both matrices:

Lemma 7.4 (Perturbation Lemma). *Suppose that $\Psi' = \Psi + \varepsilon$ is a tight π -adic r -perturbation of Ψ . If $\text{NP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi)$ has the same terminal point as $\text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi) = \text{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi')$, then the same is true of $\text{NP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi')$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2, we immediately reduce to the case that Ψ and Ψ' are finite matrices whose column slopes are all $< r$. We must show that $v_\pi \det(\Psi) = v_\pi \det(\Psi')$. Choose an ordering of I so that the sequence $v_\pi \Psi(e_i)$ is increasing. Given an index $(i_1, \dots, i_k) \in \wedge^n I$, we will abbreviate $e_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}$. Let us write

$$\wedge^k \Psi' = \wedge^k \Psi + \varepsilon_k$$

for all $k \geq 0$. A straightforward computation shows that

$$\varepsilon_{k+1}(e_{i_1, \dots, i_{k+1}}) = \wedge^k \Psi(e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) \wedge \varepsilon(e_{i_{k+1}}) + \varepsilon_k(e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) \wedge \Psi'(e_{i_{k+1}}).$$

Since Ψ' is an r -perturbation of Ψ , by induction on k we see that

$$v_\pi(\varepsilon_n) > v_\pi\Psi(e_1) + \cdots + v_\pi\Psi(e_n).$$

By assumption, the latter is exactly $\det(\Psi)$. It follows that

$$v_\pi \det(\Psi') = v_\pi(\det(\Psi) + \varepsilon_n) = v_\pi \det(\Psi).$$

□

7.2 Local-to-global extensions

Let $P \in S$. Let G_P denote the absolute Galois group of F_P , and let ρ_P denote the restriction of ρ to G_P . By a theorem of Katz-Gabber [12], ρ_P extends in a canonical way to a continuous character

$$\rho_P^{\text{ext}} : \pi_1(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1) \rightarrow R^\times.$$

Let us describe ρ_P^{ext} explicitly in terms of σ -modules. We define a flat lifting (A_P, σ) of $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ over \mathbb{Z}_p , where $A_P = \mathbb{Z}_q[t_P^{-1}]$ and $\sigma(t_P^{-1}) = t_P^{-p}$. Let $A_{\pi, P} = R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A_P$. Since \tilde{E} is a δ -Frobenius structure for (M, ϕ) , we may regard \tilde{E}_P as an element of $A_{\pi, P}^{\delta_P}$. Then ρ_P^{ext} corresponds to the unit-root σ -module

$$(M_P^{\text{ext}}, \phi_P^{\text{ext}}) = (A_{\pi, P}^\dagger, \tilde{E}_P \circ \sigma).$$

Since ρ is δ -overconvergent, the Dwork trace formula (i.e. the Monsky trace formula over \mathbb{G}_m) guarantees that $L(\rho_P^{\text{ext}}, s)$ is analytic in the disk $v_\pi(s) > -v_\pi(q)$. To state the trace formula, we define as before

$$U_p = \frac{1}{p} \sigma^{-1} \circ \text{Tr} : A_P^\dagger \rightarrow A_P^\dagger.$$

By weak base change, U_p induces a p -Dwork operator on $A_{\pi, P}^\dagger$. Consider the p -Dwork operator $\tilde{\Theta} = U_p \circ \tilde{E}_P$. Let $V_{\pi, P}^\dagger = K \otimes_R A_{\pi, P}^\dagger$. Then the action of $\tilde{\Theta}_q$ on $V_{\pi, P}^\dagger$ is nuclear, and the Dwork trace formula asserts that

$$(1 - \alpha s)L(\rho_P^{\text{ext}}, s) = \frac{C(\tilde{\Theta}_q | V_{\pi, P}^\dagger, s)}{C(\tilde{\Theta}_q | V_{\pi, P}^\dagger, qs)},$$

where $\alpha \in 1 + \pi R_q$ denotes constant term of \tilde{E}_P . Equivalently,

$$C(\tilde{\Theta}_q | V_{\pi, P}^\dagger, s) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 - \alpha q^j s) L(\rho_P^{\text{ext}}, q^j s).$$

Lemma 7.5. *There is a factorization*

$$C(\tilde{\Theta}_q | V_{\pi, P}^\dagger, s) = (1 - \alpha s) \cdot C(\tilde{\Theta}_q | \mathcal{V}_{\pi, P}^{\dagger, \text{tr}}, s).$$

Proof. We have a decomposition $A_{\pi,P}^\dagger = R_q \oplus \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\dagger,\text{tr}}$. We have already seen that the rightmost factor is $\tilde{\Theta}_q$ -invariant and that $\tilde{\Theta}_q$ acts as a nuclear operator on this space. The desired factorization is obtained as in Example 3.15(2). \square

Definition 7.6. Let $P \in S$. The π_q -adic local Newton polygon of ρ_P is

$$\text{NP}_{\pi_q}(\rho_P) = \text{NP}_{\pi_q}(\tilde{\Theta}_q | \mathcal{V}_{\pi,P}^{\dagger,\text{tr}}).$$

By the Dwork trace formula, we see that $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<e}(\rho_P) = \text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<e}(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$, and in particular this polygon does not depend on our local lifting (\mathcal{A}_P, σ) . We now turn our attention to the Hodge polygons of local-to-global extensions. First, for each $P \in S$ we define the δ_P -Hodge polygon $\text{HP}(\delta_P)$ to be the convex polygon with slope set

$$\left\{ \frac{p-1}{\delta_P}, \frac{2(p-1)}{\delta_P}, \dots \right\}.$$

Recall that since $P \in S$, the growth condition $m_{e,P} = \delta_P/p$ does not depend on e . By Proposition 6.1, we know that

$$\text{HP}_\pi(\tilde{\Theta} | \mathcal{V}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}_e,\text{tr}}) \succeq \text{HP}(\delta_P)^{\times v_p(q)}. \quad (19)$$

Definition 7.7. We say that ρ_P is π -adically δ_P -Hodge if (19) is an equality. In this case, we define the π_q -adic local Hodge polygon of (M, ϕ) at P to be

$$\text{HP}_{\pi_q}(\rho_P) = \text{HP}(\delta_P).$$

We say that ρ is π -adically δ -Hodge if ρ_P is π -adically δ_P -Hodge for all $P \in S$.

Remark 7.8. In general, the local Hodge polygon $\text{HP}_{\pi_q}(\rho_P)$ need not agree with the Hodge polygon $\text{HP}_{\pi_q}(\tilde{\Theta}_q | \mathcal{V}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}_e,\text{tr}})$. However, by Lemma 3.24 we always have

$$\text{NP}_{\pi_q}(\rho_P) \succeq \text{HP}_{\pi_q}(\rho_P).$$

Proposition 7.9. Let r_i be a sequence of positive rational numbers with $r_i \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose that for each i , the polygons $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r_i}(\rho_P)$ and $\text{HP}^{<r_i}(\delta_P)$ have the same terminal point. Then ρ_P is π -adically δ_P -Hodge.

Proof. By Lemma 3.24 we see that

$$\text{NP}_\pi(\tilde{\Theta} | \mathcal{V}_{\pi,P}^{\dagger,\text{tr}}) \succeq \text{HP}_\pi(\tilde{\Theta} | \mathcal{V}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}_e,\text{tr}}) \succeq \text{cHP}_\pi(\tilde{\Theta} | B_P^{\mathbf{m}_e}) \succeq \text{HP}(\delta_P)^{\times v_p(q)}.$$

By the estimates in §6.1.1, we know that every column slope of $\tilde{\Theta} | B_P^{\mathbf{m}_e}$ is greater than or equal to the corresponding column slope of $\text{HP}(\delta)^{\times v_p(q)}$. By assumption, the $< r_i$ -truncation of all four polygons have the same terminal point for all i . Since $r_i \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from Lemma 7.2 that

$$\text{HP}_\pi(\tilde{\Theta} | \mathcal{V}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}_e,\text{tr}}) = \text{cHP}_{\pi_q}(\tilde{\Theta} | B_P^{\mathbf{m}_e}) = \text{HP}(\delta_P)^{\times v_p(q)}.$$

\square

$$\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
P \in S \\
P \notin S
\end{array}$$

Figure 1: The shape of the block matrix Ψ' , with block indices labelled.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow R^\times$ be a finite character of order p^n . Let $\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\rho]$ be a uniformizer. For each $P \in S$, let d_P denote the Swan conductor of ρ at P , and let $\delta_P = d_P/p^{n-1}$. Then:*

1. *For all $P \in S$ and all $n > 0$, the polygons $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}(\rho_P)$ and $\text{HP}(\delta_P)$ agree on the interval $[nd_P - 1, nd_P]$.*
2. *ρ is π -adically δ -Hodge.*

Proof. Since $L(\rho_P^{\text{ext}}, s)$ is a polynomial of degree $d_P - 1$, we see that $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<e}(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ and $\text{HP}^{<e}(\delta)$ share the same terminal point $(d_P - 1, (d_P - 1)/\delta_P)$. Claim 1 follows immediately from the Dwork trace formula, and claim 2 follows from Proposition 7.9. \square

In the follow-up papers [18], [25] we will verify that each of the δ -overconvergent characters of Example 5.10 are also δ -Hodge.

7.3 Perturbations Coming from Local-to-Global Extensions

We will now define a perturbation of the matrix $\Psi = \tilde{\Theta}|B^{\mathbf{m}_e}$ using local-to-global extensions. Using the partition (18), we may regard $\Psi = (\Psi_{\alpha,\beta})$ as a block matrix, where α, β are either 0 or one of the P . Let us define a new block matrix $\Psi' = (\Psi'_{\alpha,\beta})$ as follows: For any α , we let $\Psi'_{\alpha,0} = \Psi_{\alpha,0}$. If $P \in S$, then we let $\Psi'_{P,P} = \tilde{\Theta}|B_P^{\mathbf{m}_e}$. We define all other blocks to be zero.

Lemma 7.11. *If ρ is π -adically δ -Hodge, then Ψ' is an e -perturbation of Ψ .*

Proof. First, recall from the global Hodge bound that the π -adic valuation of $\tilde{\Theta}(e_{\alpha,k}^{\mathbf{m}_e})$ is $\geq e$ if either: $\alpha = P \notin S$, or $\alpha = P \in S$ and $k \geq e\delta_P$. Thus we need only consider the cases $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = P \in S$ with $k < e\delta_P$. Let $\Psi' = \Psi + \varepsilon$, and regard $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{\alpha,\beta})$ as a block matrix. Then by construction we see that $\varepsilon_{\alpha,0} = 0$ regardless of α , so for these columns Ψ' satisfies the perturbation condition.

It remains to consider the case $\alpha = P \in S$ and $k < e\delta_P$. Write $e_{P,k} = t_P^{-k} + c_{P,k}$, so that

$$\tilde{\Theta}(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}_e}) = \tilde{\Theta}(\pi^{kp/\delta_P} t^{-k}) + \pi^{kp/\delta_P} \tilde{\Theta}(c_k).$$

Regarding ε as an endomorphism of $\tilde{A}_\pi^{\mathbf{m}_e}$, we see that

$$\varepsilon(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}_e}) = -\pi^{kp/\delta_P} \tilde{\Theta}(c_k).$$

It follows that

$$v_\pi(\varepsilon(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}_e})) \geq \frac{kp}{\delta_P}.$$

On the other hand, the assumption that ρ is π -adically δ -Hodge implies that

$$v_\pi(\tilde{\Theta}(e_{P,k}^{\mathbf{m}_e})) = \frac{k(p-1)}{\delta_P}.$$

□

7.4 Main results

We are now ready to state and prove the general form of Theorem 1.1. Let $r \leq v_\pi(p)$, so that $L(\phi, s)$ is analytic in the region $v_{\pi_q}(s) > -r$ by the Monsky trace formula. We define the r -truncated π_q -adic Newton polygon of (M, ϕ) to be

$$\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi) = \text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\Theta_q | V_\pi^\dagger).$$

Lemma 7.12. *If \overline{X} is ordinary, then $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi)$ has N segments of slope 0.*

Proof. Observe that (M, ϕ) is congruent to the trivial σ -module (A_π^∞, σ) modulo π . In particular, if we write $P(U, s)/(1 - qs)$ for the zeta function of U , then

$$L(\phi, s) \equiv P(U, s) \pmod{\pi}.$$

The result follows from the Deuring-Shafarevich formula. □

Assume now that ρ is π -adically δ -Hodge. We define the r -truncated π_q -adic Hodge polygon of (M, ϕ) to be

$$\text{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi) = \text{NP}\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_N \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \text{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho_P^{\text{ext}}).$$

Theorem 7.13. *Suppose that \overline{X} is ordinary and that ρ is π -adically δ -Hodge. Then $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ and $\text{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho_P^{\text{ext}})$ have the same terminal point for each $P \in S$ if and only if $\text{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi)$ and $\text{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi)$ have the same terminal point.*

Proof. Since $r \leq v_\pi(p)$, we may enlarge e as necessary and assume that $r \leq e$. By Lemma 7.11 the matrix Ψ' of 7.3 is a π -adic r -perturbation of Ψ . Since \overline{X} is assumed to be ordinary, we know that $\mathrm{NP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi) = \mathrm{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi)^{\times v_p(q)}$ must have $Nv_p(q)$ segments of slope 0. By the perturbation lemma, the same is true of Ψ' . Thus

$$\mathrm{NP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi') = \mathrm{NP}\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{Nv_p(q)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \mathrm{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho_P^{\mathrm{ext}})^{\times v_p(q)}.$$

and

$$\mathrm{cHP}_\pi^{<r}(\Psi') = \mathrm{NP}\underbrace{\{0, \dots, 0\}}_{Nv_p(q)} \sqcup \bigsqcup_{P \in S} \mathrm{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho_P^{\mathrm{ext}})^{\times v_p(q)}.$$

The claim is immediate from the perturbation lemma. \square

Corollary 7.14. *Suppose that \overline{X} is ordinary and that $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow R^\times$ is π -adically δ -Hodge. Let $r \leq v_\pi(p)$. If $\mathrm{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho_P^{\mathrm{ext}})$ and $\mathrm{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho_P^{\mathrm{ext}})$ have the same terminal point for each $P \in S$, then $\mathrm{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho)$ and $\mathrm{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho)$ have the same terminal point.*

Proof. Let (M, ϕ) be the unit-root σ -module over A_π^∞ corresponding to ρ_U . From the equality (14), the Newton polygon $\mathrm{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi)$ is the concatenation of $\mathrm{NP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho)$ with $r_0 + r_1 + r_\infty - |S|$ segments of slope 0. By definition, the Hodge polygon $\mathrm{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\phi)$ is a concatenation of $\mathrm{HP}_{\pi_q}^{<r}(\rho)$ with $r_0 + r_1 + r_\infty - |S|$ segments of slope 0. The result follows by canceling out these extra slope-0 segments. \square

Corollary 7.15. *Theorem 1.1 holds.*

Proof. By Theorem 7.10 we know that any finite character of order p^n is δ -Hodge, where δ_P is the Swan conductor at P divided by p^{n-1} . The result is then an immediate consequence of Corollary 7.14. \square

Corollary 7.16. *Theorem 1.3 holds for finite characters of order p .*

Proof. By Remark 1.4 we only need to show the “if” direction. By our assumption on the order of ρ we have $d_P \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{d_P}$. By [22] (see also [2, Remark 4.1]) we know $\mathrm{NP}_q(\rho_P^{\mathrm{ext}}) = \mathrm{HP}_q(\rho_P^{\mathrm{ext}})$ for each $P \in S$. The result follows from Theorem 7.10. \square

8 Glossary of notation

The spaces studied in this paper are numerous and intricate. For this reason, we thought the reader would benefit from a notation glossary.

8.1 Basic definitions

R A complete discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} and residue field \mathbb{F}_p .

π A non-zero topologically nilpotent element of R .

- K The fraction field of R , i.e. $K = R[\frac{1}{\pi}]$.
- q A power of p .
- R_q The unramified extension of R whose residue field is \mathbb{F}_q .
- K_q The fraction field of R_q , i.e. $K_q = R_q[\frac{1}{\pi}]$.
- π_q The $v_p(q)$ -th power of π : i.e. $\pi_q = \pi^{v_p(q)}$.
- X A smooth affine curve over \mathbb{F}_q .
- \overline{X} The smooth compactification of X .
- S The points of \overline{X} at infinity, i.e. $S = \overline{X} \setminus X$.
- η A tame morphism $\overline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{F}_q}^1$ that is only ramified over $\{0, 1, \infty\}$. See §4.1 for more details.
- S_η The branch points of η , i.e. $S_\eta = \eta^{-1}(\{0, 1, \infty\})$.
- μ A function from S_η to \mathbb{Z} . More precisely, if $\eta(P) \in \{0, \infty\}$ we have $\mu(P) = 0$ and if $\eta(P) = 1$ we have $\mu(P) = p - 1$.
- A A smooth \mathbb{Z}_q -algebra whose special fiber is the coordinate ring of $\overline{X} - S_\eta$.

8.2 The space A , its modifications, and some associated notation

In this article, we frequently work with modifications to the space of functions A . These modified spaces are notated using combinations of superscripts, subscripts, and font changes. These modifiers are occasionally applied to spaces other than A . However, for expository purposes, it seems prudent to use A as our ‘running example’. We will now explain these notation modifiers, as well as explain some other notation for objects associated to A .

- A_π The ring $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$.
- A_π^∞ The π -adic completion of A_π .
- A_π^m The elements of A_π^∞ that ‘overconverge’ π -adically with a radius of m . See §2.1 for more details.
- A_π^\dagger The elements of A_π^∞ that ‘overconverge’ π -adically. In particular, it is the union of all A_π^m .
- V_π^\dagger The K -vector space $K \otimes_R A_\pi^\dagger$. More generally, when A is replaced with V , this signifies tensoring with K .
- \tilde{A}_π^\dagger The semi-local ‘twist’ of A_π^\dagger .

- $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}$ The completion of A_{π} along the ideal defining P . We use the superscripts m , \dagger , and ∞ for the appropriate π -adic growth conditions.
- \mathbf{m} A tuple $(m_P)_{P \in S_{\eta}}$ of rational numbers indexed by S_{η} .
- $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\mathbf{m}}$ This is a subspace of $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\dagger}$ with very precise growth conditions depending on $\eta(P)$ and \mathbf{m} . See §6.1.1 and §6.1.2 for the precise definitions.
- $\mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}^{\text{tr}}$ The subspace of elements in \mathcal{A}_P of ‘truncated’ power series. More precisely, elements that can be written as $\sum_{k=\mu(P)}^{\infty} a_k t_P^{-k}$. This will frequently be combined with another ‘growth’ superscript, such as \dagger , ∞ , or \mathbf{m} .
- \mathcal{A}_{π} The product $\prod_{P \in S_{\eta}} \mathcal{A}_{\pi,P}$. We use the superscripts \mathbf{m} , \dagger , and ∞ for the appropriate π -adic growth conditions. We also use the tr superscript to denote the ‘truncated’ power series in each summand.

References

- [1] Alan Adolphson and Steven Sperber. Exponential sums and Newton polyhedra: cohomology and estimates. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 130(2):367–406, 1989. ISSN 0003-486X. doi: 10.2307/1971424. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/1971424>.
- [2] Régis Blache and Éric Férard. Newton stratification for polynomials: the open stratum. *J. Number Theory*, 123(2):456–472, 2007. ISSN 0022-314X. doi: 10.1016/j.jnt.2006.06.009. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2006.06.009>.
- [3] Jeremy Booher and Rachel Pries. Realizing Artin-Schreier covers of curves with minimal Newton polygons in positive characteristic. *J. Number Theory*, 214:240–250, 2020. ISSN 0022-314X. doi: 10.1016/j.jnt.2020.04.010. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2020.04.010>.
- [4] Richard Crew. L -functions of p -adic characters and geometric Iwasawa theory. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 88:395–404, 1987. URL <http://eudml.org/doc/143459>.
- [5] Christopher Davis, Andreas Langer, and Thomas Zink. Overconvergent Witt vectors. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 668:1–34, 2012. ISSN 0075-4102. doi: 10.1515/CRELLE.2011.141. URL <https://doi.org/10.1515/CRELLE.2011.141>.
- [6] Christopher Davis, Daqing Wan, and Liang Xiao. Newton slopes for Artin-Schreier-Witt towers. *Math. Ann.*, 364(3-4):1451–1468, 2016.
- [7] Renée Elkik. Solutions d’équations à coefficients dans un anneau Hensélien. *Annales Scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure*, 6(4):553–603, 1973.
- [8] William Fulton. A note on weakly complete algebras. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 75(3):591–593, 1969.

- [9] William Fulton. Hurwitz schemes and irreducibility of moduli of algebraic curves. *Annals of Mathematics*, 90(3):542–575, 1969.
- [10] Serre Jean-Pierre. Endomorphismes complètement continus des espaces de Banach p -adiques. *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.*, 12:69–85, 1962.
- [11] Nicholas M. Katz. p -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms. In Willem Kuyk and Jean-Pierre Serre, editors, *Modular Functions in One Variable III*, volume 350. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1973.
- [12] Nicholas M. Katz. Local-to-global extensions of representations of fundamental groups. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 36(4):69–106, 1986. ISSN 0373-0956. URL http://www.numdam.org/item?id=AIF_1986__36_4_69_0.
- [13] Kiran S. Kedlaya, Daniel Litt, and Jakub Witaszek. Tamely ramified morphisms of curves and Belyi’s theorem in positive characteristic, 2020.
- [14] Michiel Kosters and Hui June Zhu. On slopes of L -functions of \mathbb{Z}_p -covers over the projective line. *Journal of Number Theory*, 187:430 – 452, 2018.
- [15] Joe Kramer-Miller. The monodromy of unit-root F -isocrystals with geometric origin. to appear in *Compositio Mathematica*.
- [16] Joe Kramer-Miller. p -adic estimates of abelian Artin L -functions on curves, 2020. to appear in *Forum of Mathematics*, σ .
- [17] Joe Kramer-Miller. p -adic estimates of exponential sums on curves. *Algebra & Number Theory*, 15(1):141–171, Mar 2021.
- [18] Joe Kramer-Miller and James Upton. Newton polygons of sums on curves II: Variation in p -adic families. Preprint, 2021.
- [19] Chunlei Liu and Dasheng Wei. The L -functions of Witt coverings. *Math. Z.*, 255(1):95–115, 2007. ISSN 0025-5874. doi: 10.1007/s00209-006-0014-2. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00209-006-0014-2>.
- [20] Paul Monsky. Formal cohomology III. *Annals of Mathematics*, 88(2):181–217, 1968.
- [21] Paul Monsky and Gerard Washnitzer. Formal cohomology. *Annals of Mathematics*, 93(2):315–343, 1971.
- [22] Philippe Robba. Index of p -adic differential operators. III. Application to twisted exponential sums. Number 119-120, pages 7, 191–266. 1984. p -adic cohomology.
- [23] Yusuke Sugiyama and Seidai Yasuda. Belyi’s theorem in characteristic two. *Compositio Mathematica*, 156(2):325–339, Dec 2019. ISSN 1570-5846. doi: 10.1112/s0010437x19007723. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/S0010437X19007723>.
- [24] Yuichiro Taguchi and Daqing Wan. Entireness of L -functions of ϕ -sheaves on affine complete intersections. *Journal of Number Theory*, 63(1):170 – 179, 1997.

- [25] James Upton. Newton polygons of sums on curves III: Overconvergent families. Preprint, 2021.
- [26] Marius van der Put. The cohomology of Monsky and Washnitzer. *Mémoires de la Société Mathématique de France, Nouvelle Série*, 23:33–59, 1986.
- [27] Daqing Wan. Newton polygons of zeta functions and L functions. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 137(2):249–293, 1993. ISSN 0003-486X. doi: 10.2307/2946539. URL <https://doi.org/10.2307/2946539>.
- [28] Daqing Wan. Zeta functions of \mathbb{Z}_p -towers of curves, 2019.