

L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals *

Kateryna Tatarko[†] and Elisabeth M. Werner[‡]

Abstract

Inspired by an L_p Steiner formula for the L_p affine surface area proved by Tatarko and Werner, we define, in analogy to the classical Steiner formula, L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals. Special cases include the classical mixed volumes, the dual mixed volumes, the L_p affine surface areas and the mixed L_p affine surface areas. We investigate the properties of the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals. In particular, we show that they are rotation and reflection invariant valuations on the set of convex bodies with a certain degree of homogeneity. Such valuations seem new and not have been observed before.

1 Introduction and Results

An extension of the classical Brunn Minkowski theory, the L_p *Brunn Minkowski theory*, was initiated by Lutwak in the groundbreaking paper [15]. This theory evolved rapidly over the last years and due to a number of highly influential works, see, e.g., [3] - [7], [16] - [23], [25], [33] - [35], it is now a focal part of modern convex geometry. Central objects in the L_p Brunn Minkowski theory are the L_p affine surface areas,

$$as_p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{p}{n+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n+p}}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

where $N(x)$ denotes the outer unit normal at $x \in \partial K$, the boundary of K , $H_{n-1}(x)$ is the Gauss curvature at x and \mathcal{H}^{n-1} is the usual surface area measure on ∂K . The missing part in the L_p Brunn Minkowski theory was an analog to the classical Steiner formula [2, 24] which says that the volume of the outer parallel body $K + tB_2^n$ of the convex body K with the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n is a polynomial in t ,

$$\text{vol}_n(K + tB_2^n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} W_i(K) t^i. \quad (1)$$

The coefficients $W_i(K)$ are the classical *quermassintegrals*. If boundary ∂K of the body K is sufficiently smooth, and hence the principal curvatures are well-defined, then $W_i(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial K} H_{i-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ where H_j denotes the j -th normalized elementary symmetric function of the principal curvatures for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, see (4).

In [29] an L_p Steiner formula was proved for the L_p affine surface area. Namely, if K is C_+^2 , then we have for all suitable t and for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$, that

$$as_p(K + tB) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) \right] t^k. \quad (2)$$

*Keywords: Steiner formula, curvature measures, (Dual) Brunn Minkowski theory, L_p Brunn Minkowski theory, 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 52A39 (Primary), 28A75, 52A20, 53A07 (Secondary)

[†]Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L 3G1. E-mail: ktatarko@uwaterloo.ca

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA, 44106. E-mail: elisabeth.werner@case.edu. Partially supported by NSF grant DMS-2103482 and by a Simons Fellowship

The coefficients $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K)$ are called L_p Steiner coefficients and defined for a (general) convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n , for all $k, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as

$$\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

The $c(n, p, m)$ are certain binomial coefficients, see (18) for the details. The $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p$ involve integration on ∂K . We also define expressions $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p$ in (17) as integrals over the sphere. If K is sufficiently smooth, we can pass from one expression to the other via the Gauss map.

It was also shown in [29] that for $p = 0$ the above formula gives the classical Steiner formula (1). In particular, the sum appearing in (2) is then a finite sum. The sum is also finite for all $p = -\frac{n(l-1)}{l}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, [29]. In general, the sum is infinite and thus proving (2) requires a careful analysis of convergence issues. Moreover, (2) also includes the Steiner formula for Minkowski outer parallel body of the dual Brunn Minkowski theory of Lutwak [13] as special case.

In this paper we define in analogy to the classical Steiner formula, for a general convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals, or L_p -Steiner mixed volumes as

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(K) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K). \quad (3)$$

If $p = 0$, then the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals (3) are the above classical quermassintegrals $W_i(K)$ (see [2, 24]) and if $p = \pm\infty$, they are Lutwak's dual quermassintegrals [?, 13]. Moreover, the L_p mixed affine surface areas [14, 33] are special cases of the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals.

We investigate in detail the properties of the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals. Remarkably, it turns out that they are new valuations on the set of convex bodies, which seem not to have been observed before. Valuation on convex sets can be considered as a generalization of the notion of a measure. Examples of valuations which are not measures in the usual sense are the quermassintegrals and the L_p affine surface areas (see below). Much work has been devoted to the study of valuations. Often additional properties like continuity with respect to the Hausdorff metric and invariance under e.g., rigid motions are prescribed. Such valuations have been completely classified in a remarkable theorem by Hadwiger as linear combinations of the quermassintegrals in ([8]), or for a simpler proof, see ([9]). Subsequently, one direction of study in the theory of valuations concentrated on characterization theorems when one successively relaxes the requirements. For instance, upper semi continuous, $GL(n)$ -invariant valuations have been characterized by Ludwig and Reitzner [12], involving, in particular, affine surface area.

The following theorem combines some of our main results, namely (parts of) Theorems 5.1 and 5.6 below.

Theorem

Let K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

- (i) \mathcal{V}_k^p is homogeneous of degree $n\frac{n-p}{n+p} - k$.
- (ii) \mathcal{V}_k^p is invariant under rotations and reflections.
- (iii) \mathcal{V}_k^p is a valuation.

In the next two corollaries we list a few consequences of our main theorems. When $p = 1$, the expressions \mathcal{V}_k^p simplify and we denote $\mathcal{W}_{k,k} = \mathcal{V}_k^1$. Additionally to the rotation and reflection invariance, one has translation invariance for $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$.

Corollary

For all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{V}_k^1 = \mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ are rigid motion invariant, $n \frac{n-1}{n+1} - k$ homogeneous valuations.

For $m = 0$, the $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p$ are in addition upper or lower semi continuous (depending on the range of p). As these coincide with the mixed L_p affine surface areas $as_{p+\frac{k}{n}(n+p),-k}$, this shows continuity properties of the latter, which, as far as we know, had not been noted before.

Corollary

For $p \geq 0$, $\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p = as_{p+\frac{k}{n}(n+p),-k}$ are upper semi continuous $n \frac{n-p}{n+p} - k$ homogeneous valuations that are invariant under rotation and reflections.

In view of these corollaries, we remark that a characterization of rigid motion invariant upper semi continuous valuations has been given so far only in the plane [10]. Because of the last corollary and the fact that the L_p affine surface areas are upper, respectively lower semi continuous depending on the p -range, it is natural to ask about continuity properties of the \mathcal{V}_k^p and $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p$. We show that in general we cannot expect any semi continuity properties in Section 5.3.

As a byproduct of our analysis, we arrived to the following combinatorial formula. It may be known, but we did not find a reference for it.

Corollary

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then

$$\binom{n \frac{n-p}{n+p}}{k} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{n(1-p)}{k-m} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j}.$$

2 Background

2.1 Background from differential geometry

For more information and the details in this section we refer to e.g., [2, 24].

For a point x on the boundary ∂K of K we denote by $N(x)$ the unique outward unit normal vector of K at x . Occasionally, we use $N_K(x)$ to emphasize that it is the normal vector of a body K at $x \in \partial K$. The map $N : \partial K \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ is called the spherical image map or Gauss map of K and is of class C^1 . Its differential is called the Weingarten map. The eigenvalues of the Weingarten map are the principal curvatures $k_i(x)$ of K at x .

The j -th normalized elementary symmetric functions of the principal curvatures are denoted by H_j . They are defined as follows

$$H_j = \binom{n-1}{j}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n-1} k_{i_1} \cdots k_{i_j} \tag{4}$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $H_0 = 1$. Note that

$$H_1 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} k_i$$

is the mean curvature, that is the average of principal curvatures, and

$$H_{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i$$

is the Gauss curvature.

We say that K is of class C_+^2 if K is of class C^2 and the Gauss map N is a diffeomorphism. This means in particular that N has a smooth inverse. This assumption is stronger than just C^2 , and is equivalent to the assumption that all principal curvatures are strictly positive, or that the Gauss curvature $H_{n-1} \neq 0$. It also means that the differential of N , i.e., the Weingarten map, is of maximal rank everywhere.

Let K be of class C_+^2 . For $u \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, let $\xi_K(u)$ be the unique point on the boundary of K at which u is an outward normal vector. The map ξ_K is defined on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Its restriction to the sphere S^{n-1} , the map $\bar{\xi}_K : S^{n-1} \rightarrow \partial K$, is called the reverse spherical image map, or reverse Gauss map. The differential of $\bar{\xi}_K$ is called the reverse Weingarten map. The eigenvalues of the reverse Weingarten map are called the principal radii of curvature r_1, \dots, r_{n-1} of K at $u \in S^{n-1}$.

The j -th normalized elementary symmetric functions of the principal radii of curvature are denoted by s_j . In particular, $s_0 = 1$, and for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ they are defined by

$$s_j = \binom{n-1}{j}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n-1} r_{i_1} \cdots r_{i_j}. \quad (5)$$

Note that the principal curvatures are functions on the boundary of K and the principal radii of curvature are functions on the sphere.

Now we describe the connection between H_j and s_j . For a body K of class C_+^2 , we have for $u \in S^{n-1}$ that $\bar{\xi}_K(u) = N^{-1}(u)$. In particular, the principal radii of curvature are reciprocals of the principal curvatures, that is

$$r_i(u) = \frac{1}{k_i(\bar{\xi}_K(u))}.$$

This implies that for $x \in \partial K$ with $N(x) = u$,

$$s_j = \binom{n-1}{j}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n-1} \frac{1}{k_{i_1}(\bar{\xi}_K(u)) \cdots k_{i_j}(\bar{\xi}_K(u))} = \frac{H_{n-1-j}}{H_{n-1}}(\bar{\xi}_K(u))$$

and

$$H_j = \frac{s_{n-1-j}}{s_{n-1}}(N(x)),$$

for $j = 1, \dots, n-1$.

The mixed volumes $W_i(K)$ of the classical Steiner formula (1) can be expressed with the help of the elementary symmetric functions of the principal curvatures. By definition, $W_0(K) = \text{vol}_n(K)$ and

$$W_i(K) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\partial K} H_{i-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \quad (6)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

The dual mixed volume of the convex bodies K and L that contain 0 in its interior is defined for all real i by

$$\tilde{V}_i(K, L) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K(u)^{n-i} \rho_L(u)^i d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u),$$

where $\rho_K(u) = \max\{\lambda \geq 0 \mid \lambda u \in K\}$ is the radial function of K . In particular, if $L = B_2^n$, then

$$\tilde{W}_i(K) = \tilde{V}_i(K, B_2^n) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{S^{n-1}} \rho_K(u)^{n-i} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u) \quad (7)$$

are called *dual quermassintegrals* of order i . The corresponding Steiner formula in the dual Brunn Minkowski theory is

$$\text{vol}_n(K \tilde{+} tB_2^n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \tilde{W}_i(K) t^i.$$

2.2 Background from affine geometry

From now on we will always assume that the centroid of a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n is at the origin. For real $p \neq -n$, we define the L_p affine surface area $as_p(K)$ of K as in [13] ($p > 1$) and [27] ($p < 1$, $p \neq -n$) by

$$as_p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{p}{n+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n+p}}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \quad (8)$$

and

$$as_{\pm\infty}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_{n-1}(x)}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^n} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x). \quad (9)$$

In particular, for $p = 0$,

$$as_0(K) = \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = n \operatorname{vol}_n(K). \quad (10)$$

The case $p = 1$,

$$as_1(K) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

is the classical affine surface area which is independent of the position of K in space. For dimensions 2 and 3 and sufficiently smooth convex bodies, its definition goes back to Blaschke [1].

If the boundary of K is sufficiently smooth, then (8) and (9) can be written as integrals over the boundary $\partial B_2^n = S^{n-1}$ of the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$as_p(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{f_K(u)^{\frac{n}{n+p}}}{h_K(u)^{\frac{n(p-1)}{n+p}}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u), \quad (11)$$

where $f_K(u)$ is the curvature function, i.e. the reciprocal of the Gaussian curvature $H_{n-1}(x)$ at this point $x \in \partial K$ that has u as outer normal. In particular, for $p = \pm\infty$,

$$as_{\pm\infty}(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{1}{h_K(u)^n} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u) = n \operatorname{vol}_n(K^\circ), \quad (12)$$

where $K^\circ = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in K\}$ is the polar body of K .

For $p = -n$, the L_{-n} affine surface area was introduced in [21] as

$$as_{-n}(K) = \max_{u \in S^{n-1}} f_K(u)^{\frac{1}{2}} h_K(u)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}. \quad (13)$$

3 L_p Steiner formula and L_p Steiner quermassintegrals

We will need the generalized binomial coefficients. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, they are defined as

$$\binom{\alpha}{k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k < 0 \text{ or } \alpha = 0, \\ \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)\cdots(\alpha-k+1)}{k!} & \text{if } k > 0. \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

It was shown in [29] that the following L_p Steiner formulas hold. They are a generalization of the classical Steiner formula, which corresponds to the case $p = 0$ and Lutwak's Steiner formula of the dual Brunn Minkowski theory, which corresponds to the case $p = \pm\infty$:

Theorem 3.1 [29] Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$. If K is C_+^2 , then we have for all $0 \leq t \leq \min_{u \in S^{n-1}} h_K(u)$,

$$as_p(K + tB_2^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) \right] t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K) \right] t^k, \quad (15)$$

where for a (general) convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$1. \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad (16)$$

and

$$2. \mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K^{m-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} s_{n-1}^{\frac{n}{n+p}}(u) \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} s_{n-1-j}^{i_j}(u)}{\sum_j^{i_j} s_{n-1}(u)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \quad (17)$$

There, when $i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m$, we have put

$$c(n, p, m) = \binom{\frac{n}{n+p}}{i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}} \binom{i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}}, \quad (18)$$

and

$$\binom{q}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} = \frac{q!}{i_1! i_2! \dots i_r!}$$

is the multinomial coefficient where $q = i_1 + \dots + i_r$. Note that

$$\binom{q}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r} = 0 \quad \text{if } i_j < 0 \text{ or } i_j > q.$$

In the case $r = 2$, we get the binomial coefficients.

If K is C^1 , then we can pass from $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p$ to $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p$ via the Gauss map $N : \partial K \rightarrow S^{n-1}$.

Remark (see [29])

If $p = 1$, the L_p Steiner formula (15) reduces to

$$as_1(K + tB_2^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_{k,k}(K) t^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}(K) t^k, \quad (19)$$

and the expressions (16) and (17) simplify to

$$1. \mathcal{W}_{k,k}(K) = \mathcal{W}_{k,k}^1(K) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = k}} c(n, 1, k) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
2. \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}(K) &= \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}^1(K) = \\
&= \int_{S^{n-1}} s_{n-1}^{\frac{n}{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = k}} c(n, 1, k) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} s_{n-1}^{i_j}}{s_{n-1}^{\sum i_j}(u)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \quad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

In analogy to the classical Steiner formula (see, e.g., [24]), this leads us to define the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals.

Definition 3.2 (L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals) *Let K be a convex body K in \mathbb{R}^n , $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then we define the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals*

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(K) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K), \quad (22)$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_k^p(K) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K). \quad (23)$$

Again, if K is C^1 , then we can pass from \mathcal{U}_k^p to \mathcal{V}_k^p via the Gauss map $N : \partial K \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ and if K is strictly convex, we can pass from \mathcal{V}_k^p to \mathcal{U}_k^p via the inverse of the Gauss map.

If $p = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{V}_k^1(K) = \mathcal{W}_{k,k}(K) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{U}_k^1(K) = \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}(K).$$

The expressions $\mathcal{V}_k^p(K)$ (also $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K)$) are well defined for all convex bodies K and all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$ with the convention that $0 \cdot \infty = 0$. With the same convention, the expressions $\mathcal{U}_k^p(K)$ (also $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K)$) are well defined for all convex bodies K and all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$. When K is not C_+^2 , special care has to be taken in the range $-n < p < 0$, as expressions of the form $\infty - \infty$ may occur.

Remark

The L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals are closely parallel to the classical Steiner coefficients. In the classical Steiner formula (1), the first and the last coefficients are

$$W_0(K) = \text{vol}_n(K) \quad \text{and} \quad W_n(K) = \text{vol}_n(B_2^n),$$

respectively.

In the L_p Steiner formulas (15), the first coefficient is $\mathcal{V}_0^p(K) = as_p(K)$. It was shown in [29] that when $p = \frac{-n(l-1)}{l}$ with $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the sums (15) are finite with the highest term $t^{n(2l-1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
as_{-\frac{n(l-1)}{l}}(K + tB_2^n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{l(n-1)} \left[\sum_{m=0}^k \binom{l+n(l-1)}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}(K) \right] t^k + \\
&\sum_{k=l(n-1)+1}^{l+n(l-1)} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{l(n-1)} \binom{l+n(l-1)}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}(K) \right] t^k + \sum_{k=l+n(l-1)+1}^{n(2l-1)} \left[\sum_{m=k-(l+n(l-1))}^{l(n-1)} \binom{l+n(l-1)}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}(K) \right] t^k.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the last coefficient is

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} s_{n-1}^l(u) \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = l(n-1)}} \binom{l}{i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}} \binom{i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}}{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} s_{n-1}^{i_j}(u)}{s_{n-1}^{\sum i_j}(u)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u).$$

We only get a contribution to the above sum if $i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1} \leq l$ and $i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = l(n-1)$. Therefore,

$$i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-2)i_{n-2} = (l - i_{n-1})(n-1) \geq (n-1)(i_1 + \dots + i_{n-2})$$

since $i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1} \leq l$. This is true only when $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_{n-2} = 0$. Thus, the only possible choice of indexes is $i_1 = i_2 = \dots = i_{n-2} = 0$ and $i_{n-1} = l$. Then the coefficient with $t^{n(2l-1)}$, i.e. the last coefficient, is

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) = as_p(B_2^n),$$

which shows the parallel behavior of classical and L_p Steiner coefficients.

4 A first analysis of the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals

4.1 Special Cases and Examples

The L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals generalize the known quermassintegrals, the dual mixed volumes and the mixed L_p affine surface areas. Indeed,

(i) If $p = 0$, then we have for all convex bodies K in \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\mathcal{V}_0^0(K) = \mathcal{W}_{0,0}^0(K) = n \text{vol}_n(K), \quad (24)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_0^0(K) = \mathcal{Z}_{0,0}^0(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} h_K s_{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{S^{n-1}} s_n d\mathcal{H}^{n-1},$$

where, when the body is C_+^2 , we have used Minkowski integral formulae (see [24, p.297]) in the last identity.

And

$$\mathcal{V}_n^0(K) = \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{1}{n-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,n}^0(K) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

For $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_k^0(K) &= \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{1}{k-m} \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k+1} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, 0, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{1}{k-m} \binom{n-1}{m} \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k+1} H_m d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^k \binom{1}{l} \binom{n-1}{k-l} \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{-l+1} H_{k-l} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \binom{n-1}{k} \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle H_k d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + \binom{n-1}{k-1} \int_{\partial K} H_{k-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \int_{\partial K} H_{k-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where in the fourth last identity we have used that $c(n, 0, m) = \binom{1}{i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}} \binom{i_1 + \dots + i_{n-1}}{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}}$ only has a contribution different from 0 (and then equal to 1) for $i_m = 1$ and all other $i_j = 0$. In the second

last identity we have used Minkowski integral formulae in the case when K is C_+^2 . Thus if K is C_+^2 ,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^0(K) = n \binom{n}{k} W_k(K), \quad (26)$$

where $W_k(K)$ are the classical quermassintegrals (6) (see [2, 24]). Similarly, for $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\mathcal{U}_k^0(K) = \binom{n}{k} \int_{S^{n-1}} s_{k-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

If K is C_+^2 , then (26) can be deduced immediately as follows. From (15) we get that

$$as_0(K + tB_2^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_k^0(K) t^k. \quad (27)$$

On the other hand, by the classical Steiner formula,

$$as_0(K + tB_2^n) = n \operatorname{vol}_n(K + tB_2^n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{W}_k(K) t^k. \quad (28)$$

Comparing (27) and (28), we see that $\mathcal{V}_k^0(K) = 0$ for all $k > n$ and for $0 \leq k \leq n$ (26) follows immediately.

(ii) For $p = \pm\infty$ we get from the definition that

$$\mathcal{V}_k^{\pm\infty}(K) = \binom{-n}{k} \widetilde{W}_{-k}(K^\circ) = (-1)^k \binom{n+k-1}{k} \widetilde{W}_{-k}(K^\circ)$$

where $\widetilde{W}_k(K)$ are the dual quermassintegrals, [13].

(iii) The L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals generalize the known mixed L_p affine surface areas [14, 33]. We recall that for all $p \neq -n$ and all real s , the s -th mixed L_p affine surface area of K is defined as

$$as_{p,s}(K) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}(x)^{\frac{s+p}{n+p}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{(1-p)\frac{n-s}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

respectively as

$$as_{p,s}(K) = \int_{S^{n-1}} f_p(K, u)^{\frac{n-s}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u),$$

where $f_p(K, u) = f_K(u)h_K(u)^{1-p}$. Then we get for $k = l(n-1)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $p = 1$,

$$\mathcal{V}_{l(n-1)}^1(K) = \mathcal{W}_{l(n-1), l(n-1)}(K) = \binom{n}{l} as_{1, l(n+1)}(K).$$

Similarly, for $m = 0$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p(K) = \int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = as_{p + \frac{k}{n}(n+p), -k}(K). \quad (29)$$

This term corresponds to the last summand in $\mathcal{V}_k^p(K)$. Note that when, in addition, $p = 0$ and $k = 1$, $\mathcal{W}_{0,1}^0(K)$ is the surface area $\operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\partial K)$ of K .

(iv) For the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_k^p(B_2^n) &= \mathcal{U}_k^p(B_2^n) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(B_2^n) \\ &= \operatorname{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

We define

$$C(n, p, k) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j}. \quad (31)$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(B_2^n) = \mathcal{U}_k^p(B_2^n) = C(n, p, k) \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n). \quad (32)$$

In particular,

$$C(n, 1, k) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = k}} c(n, 1, k) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} \quad (33)$$

and

$$\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(B_2^n) = \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}(B_2^n) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, k). \quad (34)$$

The coefficients \mathcal{V}_k^p and \mathcal{U}_k^p can be negative, see Proposition 4.4).

(v) For polytopes P , the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals exhibit similar properties as the L_p affine surface areas. By (29),

$$\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^0(P) = \int_{\partial P} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{-k+1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = as_{k,-k}(P),$$

and by definition,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^0(P) = \binom{1}{k} \mathcal{W}_{0,k}^0(P) = \begin{cases} n \text{vol}_n(P) & \text{if } k = 0 \\ \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial P) & \text{if } k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

For $p \neq 0$, we get for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(P) = 0 \text{ if } 0 < p \leq \infty \text{ and } -\infty \leq p < -n \quad (36)$$

and if $-n < p < 0$, it makes sense to define with the convention that $0 \cdot \infty = 0$,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(P) = \pm\infty,$$

depending on the sign of the involved binomial coefficients. We refer the reader to [29] for more details on polytopes.

(vi) But even if K is C_+^2 almost everywhere, it can happen that $\mathcal{V}_k^p(K) = \pm\infty$ and $\mathcal{U}_k^p(K) = \pm\infty$:

Example 4.1 Consider the convex body K in \mathbb{R}^2 whose boundary consists of four pieces: the curve $f(x) = (1-x) - (1-x) \ln(1-x)$ on $x \in [0, 1]$ and its reflections about $x = 0$ axis and about $y = 0$ axis, respectively. Then

$$\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) = \mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K) = c(2, p, m) \int_{\partial K} \frac{H_1^{m+\frac{p}{2+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2+p}+k-m}} d\mathcal{H}^1(x).$$

Now we use that for the convex body K there are $0 < r \leq R < \infty$ such that $r \leq \langle x, N(x) \rangle \leq R$, to estimate $\int_{\partial K} \frac{H_1^{m+\frac{p}{2+p}}}{\langle x, N(x) \rangle^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2+p}+k-m}} d\mathcal{H}^1(x)$ depending on the signs of $c(2, p, m)$ and $\frac{2(p-1)}{2+p} + k -$

m . Assume first that $c(2, p, m) > 0$ and $\frac{2(p-1)}{2+p} + k - m \geq 0$. We use that $H_1 = \frac{|f''|}{(1+(f')^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \frac{1}{(1-x)(1+(\ln(1-x))^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$ and $d\mathcal{H}^1(x) = (1 + (\ln(1-x))^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} dx$ to get

$$\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) \geq \frac{4c(2, p, m)}{R^{\frac{2(p-1)}{2+p} + k - m}} \int_0^1 \frac{dx}{(1-x)^{m + \frac{p}{2+p}} (1 + (\ln(1-x))^2)^{\frac{3}{2}(m + \frac{p}{2+p}) - \frac{1}{2}}}$$

and the last integral is divergent to $+\infty$ if $m + \frac{p}{2+p} > 1$. Similarly, depending on the signs, one obtains divergence to $-\infty$. This means that also $\mathcal{V}_k^1(K) = \mathcal{U}_k^1(K) = \mathcal{W}_{k,k}^1(K) = \pm\infty$ for an appropriate k .

4.2 The expressions $C(n, p, k)$

The L_p affine surface area is homogeneous of degree $n\frac{n-p}{n+p}$, i.e.,

$$as_p(tK) = t^{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}} as_p(K). \quad (37)$$

Using this, we get that

$$as_p((1+t)B_2^n) = (1+t)^{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}}{k} t^k. \quad (38)$$

Observe that for $p = n$, $as_n((1+t)B_2^n) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n)$ and that on the right hand side of (38) $\binom{0}{k} = 0$ for all k , except for $k = 0$, when $\binom{0}{0} = 1$. In particular, this also means that

$$C(n, n, k) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

For $p \neq n, -n$, applying (15) when K is the Euclidean unit ball B_2^n , we get

$$as_p(B_2^n + tB_2^n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_k^p(B_2^n) t^k.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(B_2^n) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) \binom{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}}{k}$$

which implies that

$$C(n, p, k) = \binom{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}}{k}. \quad (39)$$

This observation, in particular, results in the following interesting combinatorial formula.

Corollary 4.2 *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$. Then*

$$\binom{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}}{k} = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{n(1-p)}{n+p} \binom{n(1-p)}{k-m} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j}.$$

We summarize some consequences for the Euclidean ball in the next corollary.

Corollary 4.3

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{V}_k^0(B_2^n) = \binom{n}{k} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq n+1. \end{cases}$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{V}_k^n(B_2^n) = C(n, n, k) \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) = \begin{cases} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) & \text{if } k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

We now analyze the expressions $C(n, p, k)$ further. This is also needed to determine continuity of L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals in section 5.3. We start with the case $p = 1$.

Proposition 4.4 *The following holds for all $n \geq 2$:*

- (i) $C(n, 1, k) > 0$ for $k \leq n - 1$;
- (ii) $(-1)^{k-n+1} C(n, 1, k) > 0$ for $k \geq n$.

Proof

- (i) Denote $\alpha = n \frac{n-1}{n+1}$. Using (39),

$$C(n, 1, k) = \binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2) \cdots (\alpha-k+1)}{k(k-1) \cdots 1}. \quad (40)$$

It is clear that the denominator is positive. We show that the numerator is also positive when $k \leq n - 1$. Note that $\alpha - j = n - 2 + \frac{2}{n+1} - j$ for $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. Then $\alpha - j > 0$ when $j \leq n - 2$. Since $k \leq n - 1$, j can change in the interval $1 \leq j \leq n - 2$ which implies that $C(n, 1, k) > 0$.

- (ii) The numerator of (40) consists of $k - 1$ factors $\alpha - j$ each of which is positive when $j \leq n - 2$ and negative when $j \geq n - 1$. If $k \geq n$, the first $n - 2$ terms of (40) are positive, and the remaining $k - n + 1$ terms are negative which implies the statement.

Now we address the general case.

Proposition 4.5 *The following holds for all $n \geq 2$:*

- (i) If $p < -n$, then $(-1)^k C(n, p, k) > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (ii) If $-n < p < n - 2 + \frac{2}{n+1}$, then $C(n, p, k) > 0$ for all $k \leq \lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor + 1$ and $(-1)^{k - (\lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor + 1)} C(n, p, k) > 0$ for $k > \lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor + 1$;
- (iii) If $n - 2 + \frac{2}{n+1} \leq p < n$, then $(-1)^{k-1} C(n, p, k) > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (iv) If $p = n$, then $C(n, p, 0) = 1$ and $C(n, p, k) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- (v) If $p > n$, then $(-1)^k C(n, p, k) > 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark

We can also distinguish the special case when $p = \frac{n(n-l)}{n+l}$ for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $\frac{n(n-p)}{n+p}$ is an integer which simplifies binomial coefficients $\binom{\frac{n(n-p)}{n+p}}{k}$ that appear in (22). Thus, the coefficients $C(n, p, k) > 0$ for $k \leq l$ and $C(n, p, k) = 0$ for $k > l$.

Proof Denote $\alpha = n \frac{n-p}{n+p}$. By (39),

$$C(n, p, k) = \binom{\alpha}{k} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2) \cdots (\alpha-k+1)}{k(k-1) \cdots 1}. \quad (41)$$

- (i) Since denominator of (41) is positive, numerator of (41) determines the sign of $C(n, p, k)$. If $p < -n$, then $\alpha < 0$ which implies that $\alpha - j < 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$. As there are k negative terms in the numerator, we get the result.
- (ii) Here $\alpha - j > 0$ when $j \leq \lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor$, and $\alpha - j < 0$ otherwise. Since $1 \leq j \leq k - 1$, if $k \leq \lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor + 1$, then $\alpha - j > 0$ which yields that $C(n, p, k) > 0$. If $k > \lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor + 1$, then the first $\lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor$ terms $\alpha - j$ are positive and the remaining $k - (\lfloor n - 2p + \frac{2p^2}{n+p} \rfloor + 1)$ terms are negative which implies the result.
- (iv) If $p = n$, then $\alpha = 0$, $C(n, p, 0) = \binom{0}{0} = 1$ and $C(n, p, k) = \binom{0}{k} = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof of (iii) and (v) is similar to proof of (i).

5 Properties of the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals

5.1 Homogeneity and Invariance

Theorem 5.1 *Let K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K)$ and $\mathcal{V}_k^p(K)$ are homogeneous of degree $n \frac{n-p}{n+p} - k$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K)$ and $\mathcal{V}_k^p(K)$ are invariant under rotations and reflections.

Theorem 5.2 *Let K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for all $p \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \neq -n$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

- (i) $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K)$ and $\mathcal{U}_k^p(K)$ are homogeneous of degree $n \frac{n-p}{n+p} - k$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K)$ and $\mathcal{U}_k^p(K)$ are invariant under rotations and reflections.

The following corollary, for the case $p = 1$, immediately follows from Theorems 5.1 and 5.2. In addition to rotation- and reflection-invariance, we also have invariance under translations.

Corollary 5.3 *Let K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{V}_k^1 = \mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_k^1 = \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}$ are invariant under rigid motions and homogeneous of degree $n \frac{n-1}{n+1} - k$.*

Remark

Property (i) of Theorem 5.1 and 5.2 implies that for the Euclidean ball with radius r ,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(rB_2^n) = \mathcal{U}_k^p(rB_2^n) = r^{n \frac{n-p}{n+p} - k} \mathcal{V}_k^p(B_2^n) = r^{n \frac{n-p}{n+p} - k} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, p, k). \quad (42)$$

5.1.1 Proof of Theorems 5.1 and 5.2

We show the proof of Theorem 5.1. The proof of Theorem 5.2 is similar. We need the following facts (see, e.g., [27]).

Proposition 5.4 *Let $g : \partial K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an integrable function, and $T : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ an invertible, linear map. Then*

$$\int_{\partial K} g(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = |\det(T)|^{-1} \int_{\partial T(K)} \|T^{-1t}(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|^{-1} g(T^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

and

$$\langle T^{-1}(y), N_K(T^{-1}(y)) \rangle = \langle y, N_{T(K)}(y) \rangle \|T^{-1t}(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|$$

for all $y \in \partial T(K)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) &= \\
& |\det(T)|^{-1} \int_{\partial T(K)} \|T^{-1t}(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|^{m-k-1+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} \langle y, N_{T(K)}(y) \rangle^{m-k+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}}(T^{-1}(y)) \\
& \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1+2i_2+\dots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(T^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y). \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

(i) Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We apply (43) to $T = a \text{Id}$. We also use that for $y \in \partial(aK)$,

$$H_j(y) = \frac{H_j(T^{-1}y)}{a^j}.$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) &= a^{k-n\frac{n-p}{n+p}} \\
& \int_{\partial aK} \langle y, N_{aK}(y) \rangle^{m-k+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}}(y) \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1+2i_2+\dots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(y) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\
& = a^{k-n\frac{n-p}{n+p}} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(aK).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(K) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) = a^{k-n\frac{n-p}{n+p}} \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(aK) = a^{k-n\frac{n-p}{n+p}} \mathcal{V}_k^p(aK).$$

(ii) If T is a rotation or a reflection, then $|\det T| = 1$, $\|T^{-1t}(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\| = \|N_K(T^{-1}(y))\| = 1$ and for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,

$$\{H_j(y) : y \in \partial T(K)\} = \{H_j(x) : x \in \partial K\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) &= \\
& \int_{\partial T(K)} \langle y, N_{T(K)}(y) \rangle^{m-k+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}}(y) \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1+2i_2+\dots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(y) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \\
& = \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(T(K)),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(K) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K) = \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(T(K)) = \mathcal{V}_k^p(T(K)).$$

Remarks

1. Under the additional assumption that K is C_+^2 , the proof of the homogeneity property is an immediate consequence of the homogeneity of L_p affine surface area and (15). Indeed, we have

$$as_p(\lambda K + tB_2^n) = as_p\left(\lambda\left(K + \frac{t}{\lambda}B_2^n\right)\right) = \lambda^{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}} as_p\left(K + \frac{t}{\lambda}B_2^n\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_k^p(K) \lambda^{n\frac{n-p}{n+p}-k} t^k.$$

On the other hand, using (15) directly, we get

$$as_p(\lambda K + tB) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{V}_k^p(\lambda K) t^k.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(\lambda K) = \lambda^{n \frac{n-p}{n+p} - k} \mathcal{V}_k^p(K).$$

2. We cannot expect that $\mathcal{V}_k^p(K)$, $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p(K)$, $\mathcal{U}_k^p(K)$, $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p(K)$ are invariant under general linear transformations. For instance, by (43), and also using (see [27]) that

$$H_{n-1}(x) = \|T^{-1t}(N_K(x))\|^{n+1} |\det(T)|^2 H_{n-1}(T(x))$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1^p(K) &= \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p} \mathcal{W}_{0,1}^p(K) + \mathcal{W}_{1,1}^p(K) = \frac{n}{n+p} |\det(T)|^{-\frac{n-p}{n+p}} \\ &\quad \left((1-p) \int_{\partial T(K)} \|T^{-1t}(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|^{-1} \langle y, N_{T(K)}(y) \rangle^{-1 + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}}(y) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (n-1) \int_{\partial T(K)} \langle y, N_{T(K)}(y) \rangle^{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}}(y) H_1(T^{-1}(y)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $n = 2$, then $H_{n-1} = H_1$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1^p(K) &= \frac{2(1-p)}{2+p} \mathcal{W}_{0,1}^p(K) + \mathcal{W}_{1,1}^p(K) = \\ &\quad \frac{2}{2+p} |\det(T)|^{-\frac{2-p}{2+p}} \left((1-p) \int_{\partial T(K)} \|T^{-1t}(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|^{-1} \langle y, N_{T(K)}(y) \rangle^{-1 + \frac{2(1-p)}{2+p}} H_1^{\frac{2}{2+p}}(y) d\mathcal{H}^1(y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\det(T)|^2 \int_{\partial T(K)} \|T^{-1t}(N_K(T^{-1}(y)))\|^3 \langle y, N_{T(K)}(y) \rangle^{\frac{2(1-p)}{2+p}} H_1^{\frac{2(p+1)}{2+p}}(y) d\mathcal{H}^1(y) \right), \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_1^p(T(K)) &= \frac{2(1-p)}{2+p} \mathcal{W}_{0,1}^p(T(K)) + \mathcal{W}_{1,1}^p(T(K)) = \\ &\quad \frac{2}{2+p} \left((1-p) \int_{\partial T(K)} \langle y, N(y) \rangle^{-1 + \frac{2(1-p)}{2+p}} H_1^{\frac{2}{2+p}}(y) d\mathcal{H}^1(y) + \int_{\partial T(K)} \langle y, N(y) \rangle^{\frac{2(1-p)}{2+p}} H_1^{\frac{2(p+1)}{2+p}}(y) d\mathcal{H}^1(y) \right). \end{aligned}$$

That is, unless T is an isometry, we cannot expect to have invariance.

5.2 Valuation property

For $p = 1$, we have the following

Theorem 5.5 *Let K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{k,k}$ are valuations.*

In general, for a larger range of parameter p

Theorem 5.6 *Let K is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for $p \geq 0$ and $p < -n$, and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p$ are valuations. Moreover, \mathcal{V}_k^p and \mathcal{U}_k^p are also valuations.*

5.2.1 Proof of Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 5.6

We need the following lemma which can be found in e.g. [26].

Lemma 5.7 *Let C and K be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n and suppose that $C \cup K$ is a convex body. Then we have for all $x \in \partial C \cap \partial K$ and for all $\alpha \geq 0$ where the principal curvatures $k_j(\partial(C \cup K), x)$, $k_j(\partial(C \cap K), x)$, $k_j(C, x)$ and $k_j(K, x)$ exist for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$,*

$$H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cup K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} k_j(\partial(K \cup C), x)^\alpha = \min\{H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} k_j(C, x)^\alpha, H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} k_j(K, x)^\alpha\}$$

and

$$H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cap K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} k_j(\partial(K \cap C), x)^\alpha = \max\{H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} k_j(C, x)^\alpha, H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} k_j(K, x)^\alpha\}.$$

Moreover, for all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_j \leq n-1$, and for all $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cup K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(\partial(K \cup C), x)^{\alpha_j} = \\ & \min\{H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(C, x)^{\alpha_j}, H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(K, x)^{\alpha_j}\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cap K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(\partial(K \cap C), x)^{\alpha_j} = \\ & \max\{H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(C, x)^{\alpha_j}, H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(K, x)^{\alpha_j}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.8 *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n . For all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_j \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(x) \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

is a valuation.

Proof We follow the approach of [26]. Let C and K be convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n such that $C \cup K$ is a convex body. We decompose

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(C \cup K) &= \{\partial C \cap \partial K\} \cup \{\partial C \cap K^c\} \cup \{C^c \cap \partial K\} \\ \partial(C \cap K) &= \{\partial C \cap \partial K\} \cup \{\partial C \cap \text{int}(K)\} \cup \{\text{int}(C) \cap \partial K\} \\ \partial C &= \{\partial C \cap \partial K\} \cup \{\partial C \cap K^c\} \cup \{\partial C \cap \text{int}(K)\} \\ \partial K &= \{\partial C \cap \partial K\} \cup \{\partial K \cap C^c\} \cup \{\partial K \cap \text{int}(C)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since all the sets (except possibly $\partial C \cap \partial K$) are open subsets of ∂C , ∂K , $\partial(C \cap K)$ and $\partial(C \cup K)$, the integrals over those sets cancel out. Below we use $d\mu_K$ to denote the usual surface area measure on ∂K and $k_j(K, x)$ to emphasize that this is the principal curvature of K at point x . It remains to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cup K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(\partial(K \cup C), x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_{C \cup K} \\ & + \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cap K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(\partial(K \cap C), x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_{C \cap K} \\ & = \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(C, x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_C + \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(K, x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_K. \end{aligned}$$

Please note that $\mu_{C \cup K} = \mu_{C \cap K}$ on $\partial K \cap \partial C$. This holds because both measure are equal to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Therefore, it is left to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cup K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(\partial(K \cup C), x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_{C \cap K} \\ & + \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(\partial(C \cap K), x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(\partial(K \cap C), x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_{C \cap K} \\ & = \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(C, x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_C + \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(K, x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_K. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.7 this is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} \min\{H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(C, x)^{\alpha_j}, H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(K, x)^{\alpha_j}\} d\mu_{C \cap K} \\ & + \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} \max\{H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(C, x)^{\alpha_j}, H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(K, x)^{\alpha_j}\} d\mu_{C \cap K} \\ & = \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(C, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(C, x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_C + \int_{\partial C \cap \partial K} H_{n-1}(K, x)^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}(K, x)^{\alpha_j} d\mu_K. \end{aligned}$$

This holds since for any real numbers a and b , we have

$$a + b = \min\{a, b\} + \max\{a, b\}.$$

We note that in the settings of Lemma 5.7, $N_K(x) = N_C(x) = N_{C \cap K}(x) = N_{C \cup K}(x)$. Using this observation together with Lemma 5.7 and the decomposition in the proof of Theorem 5.8, we get the following generalization.

Theorem 5.9 *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and let $p \geq 0$ or $p < -n$. For all $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_j \leq n-1$ and $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\partial K} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{m-k+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+p}}(x) \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

is a valuation.

Proof of Theorem 5.5 The result follows immediately from Theorem 5.8 as

$$\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(K) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(x) \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = k}} c(n, 1) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

is a sum of (up to some constants) integrals of the form

$$\int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(x) \prod_{i=1}^j k_{i_j}^{\alpha_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

and as the sum of valuations, it is again a valuation.

Proof of Theorem 5.6 The result follows immediately from Theorem 5.9 and the fact that the linear combination of valuations is again a valuation.

Remarks

1. When $j = n - 1$, Theorem 5.8 states that for any $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \geq 0$

$$\int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(x) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i^{\alpha_i}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x)$$

is a valuation. In particular, when $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = \frac{s}{n+1}$ for any $s > 0$, then

$$\int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}(x) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} k_i(x) \right)^{\frac{s}{n+1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = \int_{\partial K} H_{n-1}^{\frac{s+1}{n+1}}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) = as_{1,s}(K)$$

and Theorem 5.8 implies that mixed affine surface areas $as_{1,s}$ are valuations. It seems that this fact was not known before.

2. Under the additional assumption that K is C_{\pm}^2 , the proof of the valuation property follows from (2).

5.3 Continuity

For convex bodies K and L , their Hausdorff distance is

$$d_H(K, L) = \min\{\varepsilon : K \subset L + \varepsilon B_2^n, L \subset K + \varepsilon B_2^n\}. \quad (44)$$

It was proved by Lutwak [15] (see also [11]) that for $p \geq 1$, L_p affine surface area is an upper semi continuous functional with respect to the Hausdorff metric. In fact, it follows from Lutwak's proof that the same holds for all $0 \leq p < 1$ (aside from the case $p = 0$, which is just volume and hence continuous). For $-n < p \leq 0$, the functional is lower semi continuous.

As $\mathcal{V}_0^p(K) = \mathcal{W}_{0,0}^p = as_p(K)$, it is natural to ask about the continuity properties for the L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals \mathcal{V}_k^p and \mathcal{U}_k^p , and the L_p Steiner coefficients $\mathcal{W}_{m,k}^p$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{m,k}^p$. Of course, if $p = 0$, then $\mathcal{V}_0^0(K) = n \text{vol}_{n-1}(K)$ which is continuous.

First, we observe the upper-, respectively lower semi continuity of $\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{0,k}^p$. As those coincide with the mixed L_p affine surface areas $as_{p+\frac{k}{n}(n+p),-k}$, this shows the continuity properties of the latter, which, as far as we know, had not been stated before.

Theorem 5.10 *Let K be a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n and let $k \geq 0$.*

- (i) $\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p$ is upper semi continuous for $0 \leq p \leq \infty$ and lower semi continuous for $-n < p \leq 0$.
- (ii) $\mathcal{Z}_{0,k}^p$ is upper semi continuous for $p \geq 0$.
- (iii) The $as_{p,s}(K)$ are upper semi continuous when $s < 0$ and $p > -s$, and lower semi continuous if $s < 0$ and $-n < p < -s$

Proof We note that if K_l converges to K in the Hausdorff metric, then h_{K_l} converges to h_K uniformly on S^{n-1} . The proof of (ii) then follows immediately, with the obvious modifications, from the proof of upper semi continuity of a generalized L_p affine surface area given in [11]. Similarly for (i) and (iii).

The next corollary follows immediately from this theorem and the previous sections. A characterization of upper semi continuous rigid motion invariant valuations on convex bodies in the plane was given in [10].

Corollary 5.11 *For $p \geq 0$, $\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p$ and $\mathcal{Z}_{0,k}^p$ are upper semi continuous $n\frac{n-p}{n+p} - k$ homogeneous valuations that are invariant under rotation and reflections.*

We will repeatedly use the following example:

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $\|x\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |x_i|$ and let $B_\infty^n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\}$. For $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider convex bodies K_l . We describe K_l for the first quadrant \mathbb{R}_+^n , and call them K_l^+ . The other quadrants are described accordingly. Let $x_0 = (1 - \frac{1}{l}, \dots, 1 - \frac{1}{l})$ and $B_2^n(x_0, \frac{1}{l})$ be the Euclidean ball centered at x_0 with radius $\frac{1}{l}$. We put

$$K_l^+ = B_\infty^n \cap B_2^n\left(x_0, \frac{1}{l}\right). \quad (45)$$

Remark

Let K_l be as in (45). Then $K_l \rightarrow B_\infty^n$ in the Hausdorff metric and $\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p(B_\infty^n) = 0$ for $-\infty \leq p < -n$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p(K_l) &= \int_{\partial K_l} H_{n-1}^{\frac{p}{n+1}} \langle x, N(x) \rangle^{-k-n\frac{p-1}{n+1}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &\geq n^{\frac{1}{2}(-k-n\frac{p-1}{n+1})} l^{-n\frac{p-1}{n+1}} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that $\mathcal{W}_{0,k}^p$ is not upper semi continuous for $-\infty \leq p < -n$. It is also not lower semi continuous for that p -range as is easily seen by taking a sequence of polytopes that converges to the Euclidean unit ball.

While there are continuity properties of the L_p Steiner coefficients for $m = 0$, we cannot expect that any of the other L_p Steiner coefficients or L_p -Steiner quermassintegrals have continuity properties.

We start with the case $p = 1$. It is clear that $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ is not continuous with respect to the Hausdorff metric: take a sequence of polytopes that approximates the Euclidean ball.

Proposition 5.12

Let $k \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{V}_k^1 = \mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ and $\mathcal{U}_k^1 = \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}$ are neither lower semi continuous nor upper semi continuous.

Proof We first consider $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$. Let K_l be as in (45). Then $K_l \rightarrow B_\infty^n$ in the Hausdorff metric and $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(B_\infty^n) = 0$. Moreover, using (34),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_{k,k}(K_l) &= \int_{\partial K_l} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = k}} c(n, 1, k) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &= \int_{\partial B_2^n(0, \frac{1}{l})} H_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = k}} c(n, 1, k) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} H_j^{i_j}(x) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x) \\ &= \mathcal{W}_{k,k}\left(B_2^n\left(0, \frac{1}{l}\right)\right) \\ &= l^{k-n\frac{n-1}{n+1}} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, k). \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Let first $k \geq n$. If $k - n + 1$ is even, then we have by Proposition 4.4 that $C(n, 1, k) > 0$. Therefore, as $k - n\frac{n-1}{n+1} > 0$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(K_l) = l^{k-n\frac{n-1}{n+1}} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, k) \rightarrow \infty,$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$, but $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(B_\infty^n) = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ is not upper semi continuous in this case. $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ is also not lower semi continuous. We take a sequence P_l of polytopes that converges to B_2^n in the Hausdorff metric. Then $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(P_l) = 0$ for all l but $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(B_2^n) > 0$.

Let now $k \geq n$ be such that $k - n + 1$ is odd. Then $C(n, 1, k) < 0$ by Proposition 4.4 and thus by (30), $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(B_2^n) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, k) < 0$. As $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(P_l) = 0$ for all l , where P_l is a sequence

of polytopes that converges to B_2^n in the Hausdorff metric, this shows that $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ is not lower semi continuous in this case. $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ is also not upper semi continuous. Indeed, $K_l \rightarrow B_\infty^n$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ in the Hausdorff metric and $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(B_\infty^n) = 0$. But

$$\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(K_l) = l^{k-n} \frac{n-1}{n+1} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, k) \rightarrow -\infty.$$

This settles negatively the semi continuity of $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ for all $n \geq 2$ and all $k \geq n$.

Let now $k \leq n-1$. By (30), we have that $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(B_2^n) = \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, k) > 0$. As $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}(P) = 0$ for every polytope P , and as by Proposition 4.4, $C(n, 1, k) > 0$ for all $n \geq 2$, this shows that $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ is not lower semi continuous in this k -range. Moreover, by Proposition 4.4, for every $n \geq 2$, $C(n, 1, n-1) > 0$. Therefore, for all $n \geq 2$

$$\mathcal{W}_{n-1, n-1}(K_l) = l^{\frac{n-1}{n+1}} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, n-1) \rightarrow \infty,$$

as $l \rightarrow \infty$ and thus $\mathcal{W}_{n-1, n-1}$ is also not upper semi continuous, which settles negatively the semi continuity of $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ for all $n \geq 2$ and all $k \geq n-1$. In particular, the case $n = 2$ is settled for all k .

To settle upper semi continuity for all dimensions and all $k < n-1$ requires further examples. For instance, for $n = 3$, only upper semi continuity for $k = 1$ is not yet settled. To resolve this we need to consider

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,1}(K) = \frac{3}{2} \int_{\partial K} H_2(x)^{\frac{1}{4}} H_1(x) d\mathcal{H}^2(x).$$

We place the body K_l in the xz -plane and we let $Z_l \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be the body of revolution obtained by rotating K_l about the z -axis. Let $x \in \partial Z_l$. Then the maximal principle curvature $\kappa_1(x) = l$ and the minimal principle curvature $\kappa_2(x) \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$H_2(x)^{\frac{1}{4}} \geq l^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad \text{and} \quad H_1(x) \geq \frac{1}{2}(l+1)$$

and consequently

$$\mathcal{W}_{1,1}(Z_l) \geq \frac{3}{4} l^{\frac{1}{4}} (l+1) \int_{\partial Z_l} d\mathcal{H}^2(x) \geq 3\pi l^{\frac{1}{4}} (l+1) \frac{1 - \frac{1}{l}}{l}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{W}_{1,1}(Z_l) \rightarrow \infty$, as $l \rightarrow \infty$, but Z_l converges in the Hausdorff metric to the cylinder Z of height 2 and a 2-dimensional Euclidean unit ball as base and $\mathcal{W}_{1,1}(Z) = 0$. Thus $\mathcal{W}_{1,1}$ is not upper semi continuous and this settles $n = 3$ for all k .

Modifications of these examples show that $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$ is not upper semi continuous for all $n \geq 4$ and all $1 \leq k < n-1$.

Similar considerations hold for $\mathcal{Z}_{k,k}$. For instance, as for polytopes P , $\mathcal{Z}_{k,k}(P) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, with the convention that $0 \cdot \infty = 0$. Approximating the Euclidean ball by polytopes shows that $\mathcal{Z}_{k,k}$ is not lower semi continuous. Also, with K_l as in (45),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}_{k,k}(K_l) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} s_{n-1}^{\frac{n}{n+1}}(u) \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = k}} c(n, 1, k) \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} s_{n-1-j}^{i_j}(u)}{\sum_j^i s_{n-1}^j(u)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(u) \\ &= \mathcal{Z}_{k,k} \left(B_2^n \left(0, \frac{1}{l} \right) \right) = \mathcal{W}_{k,k} \left(B_2^n \left(0, \frac{1}{l} \right) \right) = l^{k-n} \frac{n-1}{n+1} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) C(n, 1, k), \end{aligned}$$

and a similar analysis holds as for $\mathcal{W}_{k,k}$.

Now we treat the general case. By (24), $\mathcal{V}_0^0(K) = n \text{vol}_n(K)$ and by (25) $\mathcal{V}_1^0(K) = c(n) \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial K)$, where $c(n)$ is a constant depending only on n . Thus, \mathcal{V}_0^0 and \mathcal{V}_1^0 are continuous. Moreover, $\mathcal{V}_0^p(K) = as_p(K)$ which, as noted above, is upper semi continuous when $p \geq 0$ and lower semi continuous for $-n < p \leq 0$.

In general however, we do not have any continuity property.

Proposition 5.13 *Let $p \neq -n$ and let $k \geq 1$. Then \mathcal{V}_k^p and \mathcal{U}_k^p are in general neither lower semi continuous nor upper semi continuous.*

Proof We start with \mathcal{V}_k^p and we first consider p such that $\frac{p}{n+p} \geq 0$, or, equivalently, $0 \leq p \leq \infty$ or $-\infty \leq p < -n$.

If $p = 0$ and $k \geq 2$, then by (35), $\mathcal{V}_k^0(P) = 0$ for every polytope P and by Corollary 4.3, $\mathcal{V}_k^0(B_2^n) = \binom{n}{k} \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n)$, for $2 \leq k \leq n$. Thus, taking a sequence P_l of polytopes that converges to B_2^n , this shows that \mathcal{V}_k^0 is not lower semi continuous for $2 \leq k \leq n$.

If $p \neq 0$, then by (36), $\mathcal{V}_k^p(P) = 0$ for every polytope P and if $p < -n$ or $p > n$ then by (32) and Proposition 4.5,

$$\mathcal{V}_k^p(B_2^n) = C(n, p, k) \text{vol}_{n-1}(\partial B_2^n) \begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ > 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Taking a sequence P_l of polytopes that converges to B_2^n , this shows that for $-\infty \leq p < -n$ or $n < p \leq \infty$, \mathcal{V}_k^p is not upper semi continuous when k is odd and not lower semi continuous when k is even. Similarly, absence of upper resp. lower semi continuity can be determined for the other p -ranges, using Proposition 4.5.

For the semi continuity issues not yet settled, we will now use the convex bodies K_l , $l \in \mathbb{N}$ given by (45). To determine $\mathcal{V}_k^p(K_l)$, it is enough in this case to consider $B_l = \partial K_l \cap \mathbb{R}_+^n \cap \partial B_2^n(x_0, \frac{1}{l})$, where $x_0 = (1 - \frac{1}{l})(1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Note that for $x = x_0 + \frac{1}{l}\xi \in B_l$, $\xi \in S_+^{n-1}$, we have

$$\langle x, N(x) \rangle = h_{K_l}(\xi) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \frac{1}{l}. \quad (47)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_k^p(K_l) &= 2^n \sum_{m=0}^k \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \int_{S_+^{n-1}} h_{K_l}^{m-k+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} \frac{l^{(n-1)\frac{p}{n+p}+m}}{l^{n-1}} \\ &\quad \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1+2i_2+\dots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ &= 2^n \sum_{m=0}^k l^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{n+p}+m} \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1+2i_2+\dots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} \\ &\quad \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{m-k+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3) and (47) in the first equality. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_k^p(K_l) &= 2^n \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^k l^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{n+p}+m} \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1+2i_2+\dots+(n-1)i_{n-1}=m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} \\ &\quad \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{m-k+\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

As for $\xi \in S_+^{n-1}$, $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \leq \sqrt{n}$, the functions under the integral are uniformly in l bounded by an integrable function and by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence theorem we can interchange

integration and limit. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{m-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \\ \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i + \frac{1}{l} \right)^{m-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \right)^{m-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_k^p(K_l) &= 2^n \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{m=0}^k l^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{n+p} + m} \binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{k-m} \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j} \\ &\quad \int_{S_+^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \right)^{m-k + \frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

We look in more detail at the case $p < -n$. In this case, in (48) the exponent $-\frac{n(n-1)}{n+p} + m$ of l is strictly positive. We observe that

$$\binom{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}}{l} \begin{cases} = 0 & \text{if } l = 0 \\ < 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is odd} \\ > 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is even} \end{cases}.$$

The analysis of the expression $F_m(p) = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ i_1 + 2i_2 + \dots + (n-1)i_{n-1} = m}} c(n, p, m) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{i_j}$ is more involved.

We only consider

$$F_1(p) = (n-1) \frac{n}{n+p}$$

which is increasing and $F_1(p) \leq 0$ on $[-\infty, -n)$.

$$F_2(p) = \frac{(n-1)}{2!} \frac{n}{n+p} \left((n-1) \frac{n}{n+p} - 1 \right),$$

which is decreasing and $F_2(p) \geq 0$ on $[-\infty, -n)$.

$$F_3(p) = \frac{(n-1)}{3!} \frac{n}{n+p} \left((n-1)^2 \left(\frac{n}{n+p} \right)^2 - 3(n-1) \frac{n}{n+p} + 2 \right),$$

which is increasing and $F_3(p) \leq 0$ on $[-\infty, -n)$.

Starting from $m = 4$, the behavior of F_m is not monotone anymore on all of $[-\infty, 0)$. From the analysis of the signs of $\left(\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p} \right)$ and the F_m we conclude from (48) that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_1^p(K_l) = -\infty$$

and thus \mathcal{V}_1^p is not lower semi continuous for $p \in [-\infty, -n)$,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_2^p(K_l) = \infty$$

and thus \mathcal{V}_2^p is not upper semi continuous for $p \in [-\infty, -n)$,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_3^p(K_l) = -\infty$$

and thus \mathcal{V}_3^p is not lower semi continuous for $p \in [-\infty, -n)$. Similarly, for $-n < p < 0$ or $n < p < n(n-2)$,

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{V}_{\frac{n(n-1)}{n+p}}^p(K_l) = F_{\frac{n(n-1)}{n+p}}(p) \int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \right)^{\frac{n(1-p)}{n+p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$$

is a positive or negative number, which disproves upper or lower semi continuity, depending on the sign of $F_{\frac{n(n-1)}{n+p}}(p)$. If K is sufficiently smooth, these also provide examples that disprove upper or lower semi continuity of \mathcal{U}_k^p .

Acknowledgement

The second author wants to thank the Hausdorff Research Institute for Mathematics. Part of the work on the paper was carried out during her stay there.

References

- [1] W. BLASCHKE, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie II: Affine Differentialgeometrie*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1923.
- [2] R. J. GARDNER, *Geometric Tomography*, second edition, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [3] R. J. GARDNER, A. KOLDOBSKY, AND T. SCHLUMPRECHT, *An analytical solution to the Busemann-Petty problem on sections of convex bodies*, Ann. of Math. (2) **149** (1999), 691–703.
- [4] R. J. GARDNER AND G. ZHANG, *Affine inequalities and radial mean bodies*. Amer. J. Math. **120** (1998), no.3 , 505–528.
- [5] C. HABERL, *Blaschke valuations*, Amer. J. of Math. **133**(3) (2011), 717–751.
- [6] C. HABERL AND F. SCHUSTER, *General L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, J. Differential Geometry **83** (2009), 1–26.
- [7] C. HABERL, E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *The even Orlicz Minkowski problem*, Adv. Math. **224** (2010), 2485–2510
- [8] H. HADWIGER, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche, und Isoperimetrie*, SpringerVerlag, Berlin, 1957.
- [9] D. KLAIN, *A short proof of Hadwiger’s characterization theorem*, Mathematika **42** (1995), 329–339.
- [10] M. LUDWIG, *Upper semicontinuous valuations on the space of convex discs*, Geometriae Dedicata **80** (2000), 263–279.
- [11] M. LUDWIG, *On the Semicontinuity of Curvature Integrals*, Mathematische Nachrichten **227** (2001), 99–108.
- [12] M. LUDWIG AND M. REITZNER, *A classification of $SL(n)$ invariant valuations*, Annals of Mathematics, Second Series **172**, no. 2 (2010), 1219–1267.
- [13] E. LUTWAK, *Dual mixed volume*, Pacific J. Math. **58**(2) (1975), 531–538.
- [14] E. LUTWAK, *Mixed affine surface area*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **125** (1987), 351–360.
- [15] E. LUTWAK, *The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. II. Affine and geominimal surface areas*, Adv. Math. **118** (1996), 244–294.

- [16] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *A new ellipsoid associated with convex bodies*, Duke Math. J. **104** (2000), 375–390.
- [17] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Sharp Affine L_p Sobolev inequalities*, J. Differential Geometry **62** (2002), 17–38.
- [18] E. LUTWAK, D. YANG AND G. ZHANG, *Volume inequalities for subspaces of L_p* , J. Differential Geometry **68** (2004), 159–184.
- [19] E. LUTWAK AND G. ZHANG, *Blaschke-Santaló inequalities*, J. Differential Geom. **47** (1997), 1–16.
- [20] M. MEYER AND E. M. WERNER, *The Santaló-regions of a convex body*, Transactions of the AMS **350** (1998), no.11, 4569–4591.
- [21] M. MEYER AND E. M. WERNER, *On the p -affine surface area*. Adv. Math. **152** (2000), 288–313.
- [22] F. NAZAROV, F. PETROV, D. RYABOGIN AND A. ZVAVITCH, *A remark on the Mahler conjecture: local minimality of the unit cube*, Duke Math. J. **154**, (2010), 419–430.
- [23] G. PAOURIS AND E. M. WERNER, *Relative entropy of cone measures and L_p -centroid bodies*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **104** (2012), no. 2, 253–286.
- [24] R. SCHNEIDER, *Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory*. 2nd expanded edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [25] F. SCHUSTER, *Crofton measures and Minkowski valuations*, Duke Math. J. **154**, (2010), 1–30.
- [26] C. SCHÜTT, *On the affine surface area*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **118**(4) (1993), 1213–1218.
- [27] C. SCHÜTT AND E. M. WERNER, *Surface bodies and p -affine surface area*, Adv. Math. **187** (2004), 98–145.
- [28] A. STANCU, *On the number of solutions to the discrete two-dimensional L_0 -Minkowski problem*. Adv. Math. **180** (2003), 290–323.
- [29] K. TATARKO AND E. M. WERNER, *A Steiner formula in the L_p Brunn Minkowski theory*, Adv. Math. **355** (2019).
- [30] T. WANNERER, *Integral geometry of unitary area measures*, Adv. Math. **263** (2014), 1–44.
- [31] E. M. WERNER, *On L_p -affine surface areas*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **56**, No. 5 (2007), 2305–2324.
- [32] E. M. WERNER AND D. YE, *New L_p affine isoperimetric inequalities*, Adv. Math. **218** (2008), no. 3, 762–780.
- [33] E. M. WERNER AND D. YE, *Inequalities for mixed p -affine surface area*, Math. Ann. **347** (2010), 703–737.
- [34] G. ZHANG, *New Affine Isoperimetric Inequalities*, ICCM 2007, Vol. II, 239–267.
- [35] Y. ZHAO, *The dual Minkowski problem for negative indices*, Calc. Var. **56** (2017), 18.