

# Isolation of the diamond graph

Jingru Yan \*

Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200241, China

## Abstract

A graph is  $H$ -free if it does not contain  $H$  as a subgraph. The diamond graph is the graph obtained from  $K_4$  by deleting one edge. We prove that if  $G$  is a connected graph with order  $n \geq 10$ , then there exists a subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$  with  $|S| \leq n/5$  such that the graph induced by  $V(G) \setminus N[S]$  is diamond-free, where  $N[S]$  is the closed neighborhood of  $S$ . Furthermore, the bound is sharp.

**Keywords.** Diamond graph, isolating set, isolation number

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 05C35, 05C69

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite simple graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . The order and the size of a graph  $G$ , denoted  $|V(G)|$  and  $|E(G)|$ , are its number of vertices and edges, respectively. For a subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$ , the neighborhood of  $S$  is the set  $N_G(S) = \{u \in V(G) \setminus S \mid uv \in E(G), v \in S\}$  and closed neighborhood of  $S$  is the set  $N_G[S] = N_G(S) \cup S$ . Thus  $N_G(v)$  and  $N_G[v]$  denote the neighborhood and closed neighborhood of  $v \in V(G)$ , respectively. The degree of  $v$  is  $d_G(v) = |N_G(v)|$ . If the graph  $G$  is clear from the context, we will omit it as the subscript.  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$  denote the minimum and maximum degree of a graph  $G$ , respectively. Denote by  $G[S]$  the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S \subseteq V(G)$ . For terminology and notations not explicitly described in this paper, readers can refer to related books [1, 9].

Given graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , the notation  $G + H$  means the *disjoint union* of  $G$  and  $H$ . Then  $tG$  denotes the disjoint union of  $t$  copies of  $G$ . For graphs we will use equality up to isomorphism, so  $G = H$  means that  $G$  and  $H$  are isomorphic. A graph is  $H$ -free if it does not contain  $H$  as a subgraph.  $\kappa(G)$  and  $\gamma(G)$  denote the connectivity and domination number of a graph  $G$ , respectively.  $P_n, C_n, K_n$  and  $K_{p,q}$  stand for the *path*, *cycle*, *complete graph* of order  $n$  and *complete bipartite graph* with partition sets of  $p$  and  $q$  vertices, respectively.

---

\*E-mail address: mathyjr@163.com




Fig. 1. Diamond graph




Fig. 2. Y

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of graphs. A subset  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -isolating set of  $G$  if  $G - N[S]$  contains no subgraph isomorphic to any  $F \in \mathcal{F}$ . The minimum cardinality of an  $\mathcal{F}$ -isolating set of a graph  $G$  will be denoted  $\iota(G, \mathcal{F})$  and called the  $\mathcal{F}$ -isolation number of  $G$ . When  $\mathcal{F} = \{H\}$ , we simply write  $\iota(G, H)$  for  $\iota(G, \{H\})$ .

The definition of isolation set is a natural extension of the commonly defined dominating set, which was introduced by Caro and Hansberg [5]. Indeed, if  $\mathcal{F} = \{K_1\}$ , then an  $\mathcal{F}$ -isolating set coincides with a dominating set and  $\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) = \gamma(G)$ . A classical result of Ore [7] is that the domination number of a graph  $G$  with order  $n$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 1$  is at most  $n/2$ . In other words, if  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n \geq 2$ , then  $\iota(G, K_1) \leq n/2$ . Caro and Hansberg [5] focused mainly on  $\iota(G, K_2)$  and  $\iota(G, K_{1,k+1})$  and gave some basic properties, examples concerning  $\iota(G, \mathcal{F})$  and the relation between  $\mathcal{F}$ -isolating sets and dominating sets. They [5] proved that if  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n \geq 3$  that is not a  $C_5$ , then  $\iota(G, K_2) \leq \frac{n}{3}$ . Since then, Borg [2] showed that if  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$ , then  $\iota(G, \{C_k : k \geq 3\}) \leq \frac{n}{4}$  unless  $G$  is  $K_3$ . After that, Borg, Fenech and Kaemawichanurat [3] proved that if  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$ , then  $\iota(G, K_k) \leq \frac{n}{k+1}$  unless  $G$  is  $K_k$ , or  $k = 2$  and  $G$  is  $C_5$ . Both the bounds are sharp. Then Zhang and Wu [10] gave the result that if  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$ , then  $\iota(G, P_3) \leq \frac{2n}{7}$  unless  $G \in \{P_3, C_3, C_6\}$ , and this bound can be improved to  $\frac{n}{4}$  if  $G \notin \{P_3, C_7, C_{11}\}$  and the girth of  $G$  at least 7. For more research on isolation set, refer to [4, 6, 8].

The *diamond graph* is the graph obtained from  $K_4$  by deleting one edge (see Figure 1). The *book graph* with  $p$  pages, denoted  $B_p$ , is the graph that consists of  $p$  triangles sharing a common edge. Obviously,  $B_2$  is the diamond graph. For the convenience of expression, we use  $B_2$  to represent the diamond graph in the sequel.

In this paper, we consider the isolation number of the diamond graph in a connected graph of a given order.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G$  is a connected graph of order  $n$ , then, unless  $G$  is the diamond graph,  $K_4$ , or  $Y$ ,*

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5},$$

where  $Y$  is shown in the Figure 2.

## 2 Main results

From the proof of Theorem 3.8 in this paper [5], we obtain Lemma 2 and give an example that satisfies the Lemma, see Figure 3. The minimum cardinality of a  $B_2$ -isolating set of the graph  $H$  of order 15 is 3.

**Lemma 2.** *There exists a connected graph  $G$  of order  $n$  such that  $\iota(G, B_2) = \frac{n}{5}$ .*




Fig. 3. H

We start with two lemmas that will be used repeatedly.

**Lemma 3.** [2] *If  $G$  is a graph,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a set of graphs,  $A \subseteq V(G)$ , and  $B \subseteq N[A]$ , then*

$$\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) \leq |A| + \iota(G - B, \mathcal{F}).$$

*In particular, if  $A = \{v\}$  and  $B = N[A]$ , then  $\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) \leq 1 + \iota(G - N[v], \mathcal{F})$ .*

**Lemma 4.** [2] *If  $G_1, \dots, G_k$  are the distinct components of a graph  $G$ , then*

$$\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{i=1}^k \iota(G_i, \mathcal{F}).$$

For any graph  $G$ , let  $A, B \subseteq V(G)$  and  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Denote by  $E(A, B)$  the set of edges of  $G$  with one end in  $A$  and the other end in  $B$  and  $e(A, B) = |E(A, B)|$ . We abbreviate  $E(\{x\}, B)$  to  $E(x, B)$  and  $e(\{x\}, B)$  to  $e(x, B)$ .

Now, we first prove Theorem 1 when the order  $n \leq 9$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \leq 9$ . Then  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$  except for  $G \in \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$ . The result is trivial if  $n \leq 4$  or  $\iota(G, B_2) = 0$ . Suppose  $5 \leq n \leq 9$  and  $\iota(G, B_2) \geq 1$ . Then we need to show that  $G$  has a  $B_2$ -isolating set  $S$  with  $|S| = 1$  except for  $G = Y$ .

Since  $\iota(G, B_2) \geq 1$ , it follows  $G$  contains  $B_2$  and  $\Delta(G) \geq 3$ . Let  $x \in V(G)$  such that  $d(x) = \Delta(G)$ . Of course,  $S = \{x\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  if  $G - N[x]$  is  $B_2$ -free. Otherwise, it implies that  $n = 8$  with  $\Delta(G) = 3$  or  $n = 9$  with  $3 \leq \Delta(G) \leq 4$ . We distinguish two cases.

*Case 1.*  $\Delta(G) = 3$  and  $n = 8$  or  $9$

Let  $u \in V(G)$  such that  $d(u) = 3$  and  $G[N[u]] = B_2$ . If  $G - N[u]$  is  $B_2$ -free, then  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . So, suppose that  $G - N[u]$  contains  $B_2$ . For  $n = 8$ , obviously,  $G - N[u] = B_2$ . Since  $G$  is a connected graph and  $\Delta(G) = 3$ , there is an edge  $e = yz$  with  $y \in N(u)$  and  $z \in V(G) \setminus N[u]$ . It is easy to check that  $\{y\}$  or  $\{z\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Hence  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . Now we prove the case of  $n = 9$ . Let us consider a copy  $H$  of  $B_2$  in  $G - N[u]$  and let  $w$  be the remaining vertex of  $G - N[u] - V(H)$ . If there is an edge  $e = yz$  with  $y \in N(u)$  and  $z \in V(H)$ , then  $\{y\}$  or  $\{z\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Otherwise,  $w$  is a cut-vertex of  $G$  and  $G - N[w]$  is  $B_2$ -free. Hence  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ .

*Case 2.*  $\Delta(G) = 4$  and  $n = 9$

Let  $u \in V(G)$  such that  $d(u) = 4$  and let  $F = G - N[u]$ . We have  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$  if  $F$  is  $B_2$ -free. Assume that  $F$  contains  $B_2$ . Since  $|V(F)| = 4$ , then  $F = B_2$  or  $F = K_4$ . The vertices are labeled as shown in the Figure 4. We distinguish two subcases.




Fig. 4.




Fig. 5.

*Subcase 2.1.*  $F = K_4$ . Note that  $e(N(u), V(F)) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $u_1$  is adjacent to  $v$ . If  $G - N[v]$  is  $B_2$ -free,  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$ . Otherwise,  $G - N[v] = K_4$  or  $B_2$

since  $|V(G) \setminus N[v]| = 4$ . For  $G - N[v] = K_4$ , we have  $G - \{u, u_1, v\}$  is  $B_2$ -free. Thus,  $\{u_1\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  and  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . For  $G - N[v] = B_2$ ,  $G - \{u, u_1, v\}$  contains  $B_2$  if and only if  $u_2$  or  $u_4$  is adjacent to at least two vertices of  $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . Now we have  $\{u_2\}$  or  $\{u_4\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  and hence  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ .

*Subcase 2.2.  $F = B_2$ .* The proof for this case is similar to Subcase 2.1. First suppose  $F$  has a vertex of degree 3 that is adjacent to one vertex of  $N(u)$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $v$ ,  $d_F(v) = 3$ , is adjacent to  $u_1$ . Then we have  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$  if  $G - N[v]$  is  $B_2$ -free. Otherwise,  $G - N[v]$  contains  $B_2$ . For  $G - N[v] = K_4$ , by the proof of Subcase 2.1,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . For  $G - N[v] = B_2$ ,  $G - \{u, u_1, v\}$  contains  $B_2$  when one of the following four cases is true. (1)  $u_2$  is adjacent to  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  and  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $v_1$ . (2)  $u_2$  is adjacent to  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  and  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $v_3$ . (3)  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $v_1$  and  $u_4$  is adjacent to  $v_1$  and  $v_2$ . (4)  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $v_3$  and  $u_4$  is adjacent to  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ . We can see from Figure 5 that the proof methods are similar for the four cases. So let us just consider the first case. Note that  $G - N[u_2]$  contains  $B_2$  if and only if  $G[u_1, u_4, v_3] = K_3$ . Observe that  $G = Y$ .

Next suppose that only the vertices of degree 2 of  $F$  are adjacent to the vertices of  $N(u)$ . Suppose  $v_1$ ,  $d_F(v_1) = 2$ , is adjacent to  $u_1$ . Then  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$  if  $G - \{u, u_1, v_1\}$  is  $B_2$ -free. Otherwise, since  $e(v, N(u)) = e(v_2, N(u)) = 0$ , we have  $G[u_2, u_3, u_4, v_3]$  contains  $B_2$  as a subgraph. Recall that  $\Delta(G) = 4$ , then  $G[u_2, u_3, u_4, v_3] = B_2$ . Moreover,  $G - N[v_3]$  is  $B_2$ -free. Thus,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ .

Hence, in all cases we obtain  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$  with  $n \leq 9$  except for  $\iota(B_2, B_2) = 1$ ,  $\iota(K_4, B_2) = 1$  and  $\iota(Y, B_2) = 2$ .  $\square$

Next, we prove Theorem 1 when  $\Delta(G) = 3$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$ .  $\iota(G', B_2) = \iota(G, B_2)$  if*

- (1)  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by attaching one edge to any vertex of  $G$ ,
- (2)  $G'$  is obtained from  $G$  by identifying one vertex of a triangle and a vertex of  $G$ ,
- (3)  $G'$  is obtained from  $G + K_3$  by adding an edge joining a vertex of  $K_3$  and a vertex of  $G$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $S$  be a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Then, clearly,  $S$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G'$  and thus  $\iota(G', B_2) \leq \iota(G, B_2)$ . Let  $S'$  be a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G'$  and let  $x$  be the vertex of  $V(G') \setminus V(G)$ . Note that  $S' \setminus \{x\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Thus,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \iota(G', B_2)$ . Now the both inequalities imply the result.

(2) and (3) can be proved similarly as (1).  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$ . If  $\Delta(G) = 3$ , then*

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$$

*except for  $G \in \{B_2, K_4\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$  with  $\Delta(G) = 3$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ . By Lemma 5, the result is trivial if  $n \leq 9$  or  $\iota(G, B_2) = 0$ . Thus, suppose that  $n \geq 10$  and  $\iota(G, B_2) \geq 1$ . Since  $G$  contains  $B_2$ , it follows that there exists at least one vertex  $u \in V(G)$  such that  $d(u) = 3$  and  $G[N[u]] = B_2$ . Let  $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$  and let  $u_2$  be the another vertex of the  $B_2$  with degree 3. As  $G$  is connected and  $\Delta(G) = 3$ , then either  $d(u_1) = 3$  or  $d(u_3) = 3$ . We distinguish the following two cases.

*Case 1.*  $d(u_1) = 3$  and  $d(u_3) = 2$  or  $d(u_1) = 2$  and  $d(u_3) = 3$

Without loss of generality, suppose  $d(u_1) = 3$  and  $d(u_3) = 2$ . Let  $w \in V(G - N[u])$  and  $w$  is adjacent to  $u_1$ . Define  $G' = G - N[u] - w$ . Note that  $|V(G')| = n - 5 \geq 5$ . Clearly,  $\{u_1\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  if  $G'$  is  $B_2$ -free. Suppose  $G'$  contains  $B_2$ . If  $G'$  is connected, by the induction hypothesis,  $\iota(G', B_2) \leq \frac{n-5}{5}$ . Then by Lemma 3 and 4, we have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G', B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ .

Suppose that  $G'$  is disconnected. It is easy to check that  $d(w) = 3$  and  $G'$  has exactly two components. Let  $G' = G_1 + G_2$ . If  $G_1 \neq B_2$  and  $G_2 \neq B_2$ , the union of a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G_1$ , a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G_2$  and  $\{u_1\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . By the induction hypothesis and Lemma 4,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \iota(G_1, B_2) + \iota(G_2, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{|V(G_1)|}{5} + \frac{|V(G_2)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G_1 = B_2$  and  $G_2 = B_2$ , we have  $n = 13$ . Observe that  $\{w\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Hence  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . So, it remains to consider the case of exactly one of  $\{G_1, G_2\}$  is isomorphic to  $B_2$ . Suppose that  $G_1 = B_2$  and  $G_2 \neq B_2$ . Let  $w_1$  be the neighbor of  $w$  in  $G_2$  and let  $G'' = G' - V(G_1) - w_1$ . Note that  $|V(G'')| = n - 10$ .

If  $G''$  is connected and  $G'' \neq B_2$ , by the induction hypothesis,  $\iota(G'', B_2) \leq \frac{n-10}{5}$ . Then the union of  $\{w\}$  and a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G''$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Thus,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-10}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . Observe that  $n = 14$  and  $\{w, w_1\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  when  $G'' = B_2$ . We also have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . Suppose that  $G''$  is disconnected. Recall that  $\Delta(G) = 3$ , then  $d(w_1) = 3$  and  $G''$  has exactly two components. Let  $G'' = G'_1 + G'_2$  (see Figure 6). Now let us consider the components  $G'_1$  and  $G'_2$ . If  $G'_1 \neq B_2$  and  $G'_2 \neq B_2$ , then




Fig. 6.

the union of a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G'_1$ , a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G'_2$  and  $\{w\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Thus, by Lemma 3, 4 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \iota(G'_1, B_2) + \iota(G'_2, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{|V(G'_1)|}{5} + \frac{|V(G'_2)|}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G'_1 = B_2$  and  $G'_2 = B_2$ , we have  $n = 18$  and  $\{w, w_1\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Hence  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . So, it remains to consider the case of exactly one of  $\{G'_1, G'_2\}$  is isomorphic to  $B_2$ . Suppose that  $G'_1 = B_2$  and  $G'_2 \neq B_2$ . Note that the union of a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G'_2$ , the neighbor of  $w_1$  in  $G'_1$  and  $\{w\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Therefore,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + 1 + \frac{|V(G'_2)|}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . This completes the proof of Case 1.

*Case 2.*  $d(u_1) = 3$  and  $d(u_3) = 3$

If  $N(u_1) = N(u_3)$ , denote  $G^* = G \setminus (N[u_1] \cup \{u_3\})$ . Then  $G^*$  is connected and  $|G^*| = n - 5 \geq 5$  since  $\Delta(G) = 3$  and  $n \geq 10$ . Observe that the union of  $\{u_1\}$  and a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G^*$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ . Hence, by the induction hypothesis,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{|V(G^*)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ . Otherwise, there exist two vertices  $w, z \in V(G)$  such that  $u_1$  is adjacent to  $w$  and  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $z$ .

We first prove  $G - N[u]$  is connected. Let  $G' = G - N[u] - w$ . Note that this case differs from Case 1 only in that there is an edge between  $u_3$  and  $G'$ . By Lemma 6 and the proof of Case 1, we have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . Therefore, we omit the proof. Next we treat  $G - N[u]$  is disconnected. Since  $\Delta(G) = 3$ , then  $G - N[u]$  contains exactly two components and  $w$  and  $z$  belong to different components. Define  $G - N[u] = G_w + G_z$ , where  $G_w$

contains  $w$  and  $G_z$  contains  $z$ . Obviously, if  $G_w = B_2$  or  $G_z = B_2$ , the union of  $\{u_1\}$  and a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G_z$  or the union of  $\{u_3\}$  and a minimum  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G_w$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$ , respectively. Hence  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . So, suppose that  $G_w \neq B_2$  and  $G_z \neq B_2$ . Let  $G' = G - V(G_z) - N[u] - w$ . By Lemma 6 (3), we have  $\iota(G_z, B_2) = \iota(G[V(G_z) \cup \{u, u_2, u_3\}], B_2)$ . Similarly, using the same method of Case 1, we have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 7.  $\square$

So far, it remains to consider Theorem 1 when  $\Delta(G) \geq 4$ .

**Lemma 8.** *The connected graph  $Y$  of order 9 has the following properties:*

- (1)  $\kappa(Y) = 4$ ,
- (2)  $\Delta(Y) = \delta(Y) = 4$ ,
- (3) for any two vertices  $u, v \in V(Y)$ ,  $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \leq 2$ ,
- (4) for any vertex  $u \in V(Y)$ , there exists a vertex  $v \in V(Y) \setminus \{u\}$  such that the graph induced by  $V(Y) \setminus (\{u\} \cup N[v])$  is  $P_3$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to check these properties of the graph  $Y$  (see Figure 2).  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** [5] *Let  $G$  be a graph on  $n$  vertices and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of graphs and let  $A \cup B$  be a partition of  $V(G)$ . Then*

$$\iota(G, \mathcal{F}) \leq \iota(G[A], \mathcal{F}) + \gamma(G[B]).$$

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$ . If  $\Delta(G) \geq 4$ , then*

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$$

*except for  $G = Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n$  with  $\Delta(G) \geq 4$ . The proof is by induction on  $n$ . By Lemma 5, the result is trivial if  $n \leq 9$  or  $\iota(G, B_2) = 0$ . Thus, suppose that  $n \geq 10$  and  $\iota(G, B_2) \geq 1$ . Denote by  $d(u) = \Delta(G)$  and  $H = G - N[u]$ . Obviously,  $\iota(G, B_2) = 1$  if  $H$  is  $B_2$ -free. If  $H = B_2$  or  $K_4$ ,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + 1 = 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$  for  $n \geq 10$ . If  $H = Y$ , then  $\Delta(G) \geq 5$ . Hence we have  $n \geq 15$  and  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \iota(Y, B_2) = 3 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . Suppose that  $H \neq B_2, K_4, Y$ . By Lemma 7 and the induction hypothesis, it is easy to check that  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$  when  $H$  is connected. Therefore, let  $H = G_1 + G_2 + \cdots + G_k$  with  $k \geq 2$  and  $|V(G_i)| = n_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . If  $H$  does not contain  $B_2, K_4$  or  $Y$  as a component, by Lemma 3, 4, 7 and the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u\}| + \sum_{i=1}^k \iota(G_i, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n_1}{5} + \frac{n_2}{5} + \cdots + \frac{n_k}{5} = \frac{n - \Delta(G) + 4}{5}.$$



Since  $\Delta(G) \geq 4$ , then  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ .

Next suppose that at least one component of  $H$  is  $B_2, K_4$  or  $Y$ . We sort the components of  $H$  in the order of  $K_4, Y, B_2$  with one vertex of degree 3 of  $B_2$  is adjacent to one vertex of  $N(u)$ ,  $B_2$  with only vertices of degree 2 of  $B_2$  are adjacent to vertices of  $N(u)$ , and others. Then  $G_1$  is isomorphic to  $K_4, Y$ , or  $B_2$ . Let  $N(u) = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{\Delta(G)}\}$ . Since  $G$  is connected, without loss of generality, suppose  $N(u_1) \cap V(G_1) \neq \phi$ . Denote by  $G^* = G - u_1 - V(G_1)$ . Obviously,  $|V(G^*)| \geq 5$ .

*Case 1.*  $G^*$  is connected.

*Subcase 1.1.*  $G_1 = K_4$ . If  $G^* = Y$ , we have  $n = 14$  and  $\Delta(G) = 5$ . By Lemma 8 (4), there exists a vertex  $v \in V(G^*)$  such that the graph induced by  $G^* - u - N[v]$  is  $P_3$ . Since  $\Delta(G) = 5$ , we have  $\{u_1, v\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  and hence  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . If  $G^* \neq Y$ , by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 7,  $\iota(G^*, B_2) \leq \frac{n-5}{5}$ . Then, by Lemma 9,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \gamma(G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\}]) + \iota(G^*, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ .

*Subcase 1.2.*  $G_1 = Y$ . Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_1$  in  $V(G_1)$ . If  $G^* = Y$ , we have  $n = 19$  and  $\Delta(G) = 5$ . Then, by Lemma 8 (4), there exist a vertex  $v_1 \in V(G^*)$  such that the graph induced by  $G^* - u - N[v_1]$  is  $P_3$  and a vertex  $v_2 \in V(G_1)$  such that the graph induced by  $G_1 - x - N[v_2]$  is  $P_3$ . Then  $\{v_1, u_1, v_2\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  and  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 3 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . If  $G^* \neq Y$ , similar to Subcase 1.1,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \gamma(G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\}]) + \iota(G^*, B_2) \leq 2 + \frac{n-10}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ .

*Subcase 1.3.*  $G_1 = B_2$  and there is one vertex of degree 3 of  $V(G_1)$  is adjacent to  $u_1$ . Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_1$  in  $V(G_1)$  and  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 3$ . If  $G^* = Y$ , we have  $n = 14$  and  $\Delta(G) = 5$ . Then, similarly, there exists  $v \in V(G^*)$  such that  $G^* - u - N[v] = P_3$ . Define  $P = P_3$ . If  $G^* - N[u_1] - N[v]$  has no  $B_2$ , then  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . Otherwise, let  $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and let  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 3$ . Observe that  $G^* - N[u_1] - N[v]$  contains  $B_2$  if and only if  $e(V(P), x_1) = 3$  or  $e(V(P), x_3) = 3$ . Assume that  $e(V(P), x_3) = 3$ , then  $d(x_3) = 5$ . By Lemma 8 (1),  $G - (N[x_3] \setminus \{x\})$  is a connected graph of order 9. Since  $G - (N[x_3] \setminus \{x\}) \neq Y$ , by Lemma 3 and 5,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |N[x_3]| + \iota(G - (N[x_3] \setminus \{x\}), B_2) \leq 1 + 1 \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G^* \neq Y$ , similar to Subcase 1.1,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \gamma(G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\}]) + \iota(G^*, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ .

*Subcase 1.4.*  $G_1 = B_2$  and only vertices of degree 2 of  $V(G_1)$  are adjacent to  $u_1$ . Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_1$  in  $V(G_1)$  and  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 2$  and Let  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 2$ . Note that the two remaining vertices of  $V(G_1) \setminus \{x, x_2\}$  have degrees of 3 in  $G$ . First we prove the case of

$u_1 \in N(x_2)$  and the case  $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$  and  $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \leq 1$ . If  $G^* = Y$ , we have  $n = 14$  and  $\Delta(G) = 5$ . By Lemma 8 (4), there exists  $v \in V(G^*)$  such that  $G^* - u - N[v] = P_3$ . Since  $\Delta(G) = 5$ , then  $\{u_1, v\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G$  and  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . If  $G^* \neq Y$ , by the induction hypothesis, Lemma 6 (1) and 7,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ . It remains the case of  $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$  and  $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \geq 2$ , we will deal with it later.

*Case 2.*  $G^*$  is disconnected.

It implies that  $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), u_1)$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ . Let us denote the components satisfying  $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), u_1)$  as  $G_{11}, G_{12}, \dots, G_{1t}$ ,  $t \geq 1$ . Let  $G_u$  be the component contains  $u$  in  $G^*$ . Then  $G^* = G_{11} + G_{12} + \dots + G_{1t} + G_u$ . Assume that there are  $s_1 B_2$ ,  $s_2 K_4$  and  $s_3 Y$  in  $\{G_{11}, G_{12}, \dots, G_{1t}\}$ .

*Subcase 2.1.*  $G_1 = K_4$ . Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_1$  in  $V(G_1)$  and let  $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . It is easy to check that  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{|V(G_{11})|}{5} + \dots + \frac{|V(G_{1t})|}{5} + \frac{|V(G_u)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$  if  $G_{11}, G_{12}, \dots, G_{1t}, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ . If  $G_u = K_4$ , then  $\Delta(G) = 4$ . Hence, by Lemma 3, 4, 7 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (5 + 4 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G_u = B_2$ , then  $\Delta(G) = 4$ . Note that  $G[N[u] \cup V(G_1)] - \{u, u_1, x\}$  contains  $B_2$  if and only if  $e(u_2, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 2$  or  $e(u_4, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 2$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $e(u_2, \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}) = 2$ . Then  $d(u_2) = 4$  and  $G - N[u_2]$  is a connected graph of order  $n - 5$  or the union of a connected graph of order  $n - 6$  and an isolated vertex. By Lemma 8,  $G - N[u_2]$  does not contain  $Y$  as an induced subgraph. Hence, by the induction hypothesis and Lemma 7,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_2\}| + \iota(G - N[u_2], B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G_u = Y$ , by Lemma 8 (4), there exists  $v \in V(G_u)$  such that  $G_u - u - N[v] = P_3$ . Note that  $\Delta(G) = 5$ , then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 2 + s_3 + \frac{n - (5 + 9 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

Suppose  $G_u \neq B_2, K_4, Y$ . Then at least one of  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$  is not less than one. Obviously,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) + \iota(G_u, B_2) \leq 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (5 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$$

when  $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$ . For  $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) > 0$ ,  $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u_1, x\} \neq B_2, K_4$ . If  $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u_1, x\} = Y$ , by Lemma 8 (4), there exists  $v$  such that  $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u_1, x, u\} - N[v] = P_3$ . Then we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 2 + s_3 + \frac{n - (2 + 9 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(G, B_2) &\leq |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G[V(G_u) \cup V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}], B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \\ &\leq 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (2 + 4s_1 + 4s_2 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}. \end{aligned}$$

*Subcase 2.2.*  $G_1 = Y$ . Note that none of the components of  $H$  is  $K_4$ . It follows that  $s_2 = 0$ . Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_1$  in  $V(G_1)$ . It is easy to check that  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 2 + \frac{|V(G_{11})|}{5} + \dots + \frac{|V(G_{1t})|}{5} + \frac{|V(G_u)|}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$  if  $G_{11}, G_{12}, \dots, G_{1t}, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ . Since  $\Delta(G) \geq 5$ , we have  $G_u \neq B_2, K_4$ . If  $G_u = Y$ , then  $\Delta(G) = 5$ . Similar to the proofs of Subcase 1.2 and Subcase 2.1, we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq 3 + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 3 + s_3 + \frac{n - (19 + 4s_1 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

Suppose  $G_u \neq Y$ . Then at least one of  $\{s_1, s_3\}$  is not less than one. If  $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$ , we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq \iota(G_1 + u_1, B_2) + \iota(G_u, B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1]) \leq 2 + s_3 + \frac{n - (10 + 4s_1 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota(G, B_2) &\leq |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G[V(G_u) \cup V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}], B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \\ &\leq 1 + s_3 + \frac{n - (2 + 4s_1 + 9s_3)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5} \end{aligned}$$

since the component of  $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u_1, x\}$  is not  $B_2, K_4$  or  $Y$ .

*Subcase 2.3.*  $G_1 = B_2$  and there is one vertex of degree 3 of  $V(G_1)$  is adjacent to  $u_1$ . Note that none of the components of  $H$  is  $K_4$  or  $Y$ . It follows that  $s_2 = s_3 = 0$ . Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_1$  in  $V(G_1)$  and  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 3$ . It is easy to check that  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$

if  $G_{11}, G_{12}, \dots, G_{1t}, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ . If  $G_u = K_4$ , by the proof of the case of  $G_u = B_2$  in Subcase 2.1, we have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . If  $G_u = B_2$ , then  $\Delta(G) = 4$ . Let  $N(x) = \{x_1, x_2, x_3\}$  and let  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 3$ . Define  $G' = G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u, u_1, x\}$ . Note that  $G'$  contains  $B_2$  when one of the following four cases is true. (1)  $u_2$  is adjacent to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  and  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $x_1$ . (2)  $u_2$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  and  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $x_3$ . (3)  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $x_1$  and  $u_4$  is adjacent to  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . (4)  $u_3$  is adjacent to  $x_3$  and  $u_4$  is adjacent to  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ . We can see that the proof methods are similar for the four cases. So let us just consider the first case. Then  $G - N[u_2]$  is a connected graph with order  $n - 5$  or the union of a connected graph with order  $n - 6$  and a isolated vertex. By Lemma 8,  $G - N[u_2]$  does not contain  $Y$  as an induced subgraph. Thus

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_2\}| + \iota(G - N[u_2], B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G_u = Y$ , we have  $\Delta(G) = 5$ . By Lemma 8 (4), there exists  $v \in V(G_u)$  such that  $G_u - u - N[v] = P_3$ . Denote  $P = P_3$ . Then  $G[V(G_u) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_1)] - \{u, u_1, x\} - N[v]$  contains  $B_2$  if and only if  $e(x_1, V(P)) = 3$  or  $e(x_3, V(P)) = 3$ . Suppose  $e(x_1, V(P)) = 3$ . Then  $d(x_1) = 5$ . By Lemma 8 (1),  $G - N[x_1] \setminus \{x\}$  is a connected graph with order  $n - 5$  and  $G - N[x_1] \setminus \{x\} \neq Y$ . Therefore,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{x_1\}| + \iota(G - (N[x_1] \setminus \{x\}), B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

Next suppose  $G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ . Then  $s_1 \geq 1$ . Obviously,

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G_u, B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n - 5 - 4s_1}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$$

when  $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) = 0$ . If  $e(V(G_u), V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}) > 0$ , then  $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u_1, x\} \neq B_2, K_4$ . If  $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u_1, x\} = Y$ , by Lemma 8 (4), there exists  $v$  such that  $G[V(G_1) \cup \{u_1\} \cup V(G_u)] - \{u, u_1, x\} - N[v] = P_3$ . Then we have

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 2 + \frac{n - (2 + 9 + 4s_1)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

Otherwise,  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \iota(G - u_1 - x, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n - (2 + 4s_1)}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$ .

*Subcase 2.4.*  $G_1 = B_2$  and only vertex of degree 2 of  $V(G_1)$  is adjacent to  $u_1$ . Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_1$  in  $V(G_1)$  and  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x) = 2$  and Let  $d_{G[V(G_1)]}(x_2) = 2$ . Note that the two remaining vertices of  $V(G_1) \setminus \{x, x_2\}$  have degrees of 3 in  $G$ . First we prove the case of  $u_1 \in N(x_2)$  and the case  $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$  and  $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| = 1$ . It is easy to check that

$\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$  if  $G_2, \dots, G_t, G_u \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$ . If  $G_u = B_2$  or  $K_4$ , then  $\Delta(G) \leq 4$  and hence

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-9-4s_1}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G_u = Y$ , by Lemma 6 (3),  $\iota(G_u, B_2) = \iota(V(G_u) \cup (V(G_1) \setminus \{x\}), B_2)$ . Furthermore, by Lemma 8 (4), there exists  $v \in V(G_u)$  such that  $G_u - u - N[v] = P_3$ . Then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1, v\}| + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G_{1i} - N[u_1], B_2) \leq 2 + \frac{n-5-9-4s_1}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

Suppose  $G_u \neq B_2, K_4, Y$ . Then  $s_1 \geq 1$ . We have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_1\}| + \frac{n-5-4s_1}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}$ . It remains the case of  $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$  and  $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \geq 2$ .

In the end, we deal with the case of  $u_1 \notin N(x_2)$  and  $|N(x_2) \cap N(u)| \geq 2$ , whether  $G^*$  is connected or not. Assume that  $u_2, u_3 \in N(x_2)$ . Denote by  $G'' = G - \{u_2, u_3, x_1, x_2, x_3\}$ . Obviously, if  $G''$  is connected, then  $G'' \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$  and we have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}$ . If  $G''$  is disconnected, it implies that  $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), \{u_2, u_3\})$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$ . Let us denote the components satisfying  $E(V(G_i), N(u)) = E(V(G_i), \{u_2, u_3\})$  as  $G''_{11}, G''_{12}, \dots, G''_{1t}$ ,  $t \geq 1$  and let  $G''_u$  be the component contains  $u$  in  $G''$ . Then  $G'' = G''_{11} + G''_{12} + \dots + G''_{1t} + G''_u$ . Clearly,  $G''_u \neq K_4$ . By Lemma 8 (3),  $G''_u \neq Y$ . And from the proof of above Subcase 2.4, we have  $\iota(G, B_2) \leq \frac{n}{5}$  if any component of  $\{G''_{11}, G''_{12}, \dots, G''_{1t}\}$  is  $B_2$ . In other words,  $G''_{1i} \notin \{B_2, K_4, Y\}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Now, we distinguish two cases. If  $G''_u \neq B_2$ , then

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{x_2\}| + \iota(G''_u, B_2) + \sum_{i=1}^t \iota(G''_{1i}, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-5}{5} = \frac{n}{5}.$$

If  $G''_u = B_2$ , then  $\Delta(G) = 4$ . Note that  $|V(G''_u) \cup N[x_2]| = 9$  and  $\{u_2\}$  is a  $B_2$ -isolating set of  $G[V(G''_u) \cup N[x_2]]$ . Hence

$$\iota(G, B_2) \leq |\{u_2\}| + \iota(G - (V(G''_u) \cup N[x_2]) \setminus \{u_3\}, B_2) \leq 1 + \frac{n-8}{5} \leq \frac{n}{5}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 10. □

**Proof of Theorem 1.** From Lemma 2, we can see that the bound is sharp. Combining the results in Lemma 5, 7, 10, we obtain Theorem 1. □

**Acknowledgement** This research was supported Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM) grant 18dz2271000.

## References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory, in: GTM, vol. 244, Springer, 2008.
- [2] P. Borg, Isolation of cycles, *Graphs Combin.* 36 (2020), no. 3, 631–637.
- [3] P. Borg, K. Fenech, P. Kaemawichanurat, Isolation of  $k$ -cliques, *Discrete Math.* 343 (2020), no. 7, 111879, 5 pp.
- [4] P. Borg, P. Kaemawichanurat, Partial domination of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 283 (2020), 306–314.
- [5] Y. Caro, A. Hansberg, Partial domination - the isolation number of a graph, *Filomat* 31 (2017), no. 12, 3925–3944.
- [6] O. Favaron, P. Kaemawichanurat, Inequalities between the  $K_k$ -isolation number and the independent  $K_k$ -isolation number of a graph, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 289 (2021), 93–97.
- [7] O. Ore, *Theory of Graphs*, in: American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 38, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1962.
- [8] S. Tokunaga, T. Jiarasuksakun, P. Kaemawichanurat, Isolation number of maximal outerplanar graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 267 (2019), 215–218.
- [9] D. B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theory*, Prentice Hall, Inc., 1996.
- [10] G. Zhang, B. Wu,  $K_{1,2}$ -isolation in graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 304 (2021), 365–374.