

THE GAME OF ARROWS ON 3-LEGGED SPIDER GRAPHS

BRYANT G. MATHEWS

ABSTRACT. The Game of Cycles is a combinatorial game introduced by Francis Su [2] in 2020 in which players take turns marking arrows on the edges of a simple plane graph. Su and his collaborators [1] found winning strategies for game boards including cycle graphs with chords and graphs with certain types of symmetry. Their paper mentions the possible use of the Sprague-Grundy Theorem to analyze the Game of Cycles, but cautions that “this is not a hopeful approach because the size of the game tree generally grows exponentially with the number of edges of the board.” In this paper, we employ the Sprague-Grundy Theorem to show that player two has a winning strategy for the Game of Cycles on any 3-legged spider graph with legs of odd length. Our proof leans heavily on a notion of state isomorphism that allows us to decompose a game state into states of smaller pieces of a graph, leading to nim-sum calculations with Grundy values. Because the cycle cell victory condition is extraneous for tree graphs (including spiders), we drop it from the rules and call the result the Game of Arrows.

1. INTRODUCTION

In Francis Su’s Game of Cycles [1, 2], two players take turns marking arrows on edges of a simple plane graph, avoiding the creation of sinks and sources. We will assume that the graph has no isolated vertices, but we do not require it to be connected. The winner is the first player to complete a “cycle cell” (a cycle around a bounded face of the graph) *or* the last player to mark an arrow. Previous work on the Game of Cycles has avoided tree graphs, which admit no cycles. Nevertheless, the game can be played on a tree, and many game positions on non-trees are equivalent to game positions on trees or forests. For example, the game position on the graph to the left in Figure 1 is equivalent to the game position on the tree to the right.




FIGURE 1. Equivalent game positions in the Game of Cycles.

The Game of Cycles is easily solved for trees of maximum degree at most two, which are just path graphs. Player two has a winning strategy on a path graph if and only if the number of edges is even. Trees with exactly one vertex of degree

greater than two are called spider graphs. We call a spider graph n -legged if its maximum degree is n . In this paper, we show that player two has a winning strategy for the Game of Cycles on any 3-legged spider graph with legs of odd length.

Because our particular interest is in trees, we can drop the cycle cell victory condition from the game rules and forget the particular embedding of the graph in the plane. The resulting game, which we call the *Game of Arrows*, can be played on any simple graph without isolated vertices, whether planar or not. The Game of Arrows on a tree is of course equivalent to the Game of Cycles on any planar embedding of that tree.

To simplify notation and calculations, we also choose to allow sinks and sources at the leaves of our graphs, calling the resulting game the *Trimmed Game of Arrows*. As we will demonstrate below, the Game of Arrows on any simple graph G is equivalent to the Trimmed Game of Arrows on some other simple graph $T(G)$, which we call the “trimming” of G . When G is a tree, the Game of Cycles on any planar embedding of G is also equivalent to the Trimmed Game of Arrows on $T(G)$. For example, the Game of Cycles and the Game of Arrows on the 3-legged spider graph G on the left of Figure 2 are both equivalent to the Trimmed Game of Arrows on the graph $T(G)$ to the right.




FIGURE 2. A spider graph G and its trimming $T(G)$.

In what follows, we assume that all graphs are simple, with no isolated vertices.

2. STATES, FOLLOWERS, AND DESCENDENTS

After defining “arrows” and “decorations” of a graph G , we introduce “states” of G to represent possible positions in the Trimmed Game of Arrows on G . Successive possible positions in the game are represented by the “followers” and “descendants” of a state.

Definition 2.1. The *set of arrows* of a graph G is the set $A(G) = \{(v, w) \mid \{v, w\} \in E(G)\}$ of arrows that can be drawn on the edges of G .

A *decoration* X of a graph G is a subset of $A(G)$ such that if $(v, w) \in X$, then $(w, v) \notin X$ (at most one mark per edge).

- A vertex w of G is a *sink* of a decoration X if $\{w \mid (v, w) \in X\} = \{w \mid \{v, w\} \in E(G)\}$.
- A vertex v of G is a *source* of a decoration X if $\{v \mid (v, w) \in X\} = \{v \mid \{v, w\} \in E(G)\}$.

These definitions are illustrated in Figure 3.




FIGURE 3. A decoration X of a graph G , with a sink at w and a source at v .

A *leaf* of a graph is a vertex of degree 1. Vertices which are not leaves are called *internal vertices*. We denote the sets of leaves and internal vertices of a graph G by $L(G)$ and $\text{Int}(G)$, respectively. A sink or source of X is *internal* if it is an internal vertex of G .

Definition 2.2. A decoration X of a graph G is called a *state* of G if it has no internal sinks or sources.

Definition 2.3. A *follower* of a state X of a graph G is a state $X \cup \{(v, w)\}$ of G such that $(v, w) \notin X$. We denote the set of all followers of the state X by $\mathcal{F}_G(X)$, or by $\mathcal{F}(X)$ when the graph is clear from the context. We extend this notation to sets of states as follows:

$$\mathcal{F}(\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k\}) = \mathcal{F}(X_1) \cup \mathcal{F}(X_2) \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{F}(X_k).$$

Then, for example, $\mathcal{F}^2(X) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{F}(X))$ is the set of all followers of followers of X . A state with no followers is called *terminal*. A *descendent* of a state X is an element of $\mathcal{F}^n(X)$ for some positive integer n . The set of all descendents of X is denoted by $\mathcal{F}^\bullet(X)$.

When we view a state X of a graph G as a position in the Trimmed Game of Arrows on G , the game tree of this position has vertex set $\{X\} \cup \mathcal{F}^\bullet(X)$ and directed edges of the form (X', X'') , where $X' \in \{X\} \cup \mathcal{F}^\bullet(X)$ and $X'' \in \mathcal{F}(X')$.

3. DORMANT STATES AND GRAPH TRIMMING

We prove that the Game of Arrows on a graph G is equivalent to the Trimmed Game of Arrows on the “trimming” of G . This will justify our focus in the remainder of the paper on the Trimmed Game of Arrows.

We have already introduced states to represent possible positions in the Trimmed Game of Arrows. We now define “dormant” states to represent possible positions in the Game of Arrows.

Definition 3.1. A state X of a graph G is *dormant* if it has no sinks or sources at the leaves of G (and therefore no sinks or sources at all). We write $\mathcal{DF}(X)$ for the set of dormant followers of X and $\mathcal{DF}^\bullet(X)$ for the set of dormant descendents of X .

When we view a dormant state X of a graph G as a position in the Game of Arrows on G , the game tree of this position has vertex set $\{X\} \cup \mathcal{DF}^\bullet(X)$, and directed edges of the form (X', X'') , where $X' \in \{X\} \cup \mathcal{DF}^\bullet(X)$ and $X'' \in \mathcal{DF}(X')$.

“Trimming” a graph G involves first removing the leaves and leaf edges, and then “splitting apart” the resulting graph at each of the vertices that were adjacent to the leaves of G . We formalize this notion of splitting apart in the following definition.

Definition 3.2. Suppose G is a graph and K is a set of vertices of G . We define the *ramification of G at K* , denoted $R_K(G)$, to be the graph with vertex set

$$(V(G) \setminus K) \cup \{a_x \mid a \in K \text{ and } \{a, x\} \in E(G)\}$$

and edge set

$$\begin{aligned} E(G - K) \cup \{ \{a_x, x\} \mid a \in K, x \notin K \text{ and } \{a, x\} \in E(G) \} \\ \cup \{ \{a_b, b_a\} \mid a, b \in K \text{ and } \{a, b\} \in E(G) \}, \end{aligned}$$

where $G - K$ is the subgraph of G induced by $V(G) \setminus K$. For example, a graph G and its ramification at the vertex set $K = \{a, b\}$ are illustrated in Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. A graph G and its ramification $R_K(G)$ at $K = \{a, b\}$.

There is a natural graph homomorphism from $R_K(G)$ to G which is the identity on $V(G) \setminus K$ and drops the subscript from any vertex of the form a_x . This homomorphism induces a bijection between the sets of arrows of the two graphs.

Definition 3.3. Let G be a graph, let G' be the subgraph of G induced by $\text{Int}(G)$, and let K be the set of internal vertices of G adjacent to leaves of G . We define the *trimming of G* to be the ramification of G' at K . We denote the trimming of G by $T(G)$. Note that when G has no leaves, $T(G) = G$.

The surjective homomorphism $e : T(G) = R_K(G') \rightarrow G'$ that drops all subscripts from the names of the vertices of $T(G)$ induces a bijection between the sets of arrows of $T(G)$ and G' , which in turn gives a bijection e^+ between decorations of $T(G)$ and decorations of G' . The next theorem shows that this bijection on decorations restricts to a bijection between states of $T(G)$ and dormant states of G . As an example, Figure 5 displays the dormant state $e^+(X)$ of the graph G , together with the corresponding state X of the trimming $T(G)$.




FIGURE 5. A dormant state $e^+(X)$ of a graph G and the corresponding state X of $T(G)$.

Theorem 3.4. *The Game of Arrows on a graph G is equivalent to the Trimmed Game of Arrows on $T(G)$.*

Proof. Let G' , K , e , and e^+ be defined as above. We will show that e^+ restricts to a bijection between states of $T(G)$ and dormant states of G . This bijection respects the inclusion relation on states, so it will restrict further to a bijection between the descendants of a state X of $T(G)$ and the dormant descendants of $e^+(X)$. This bijection respects the follower relation, so it will induce an isomorphism between the game trees of the Trimmed Game of Arrows on $T(G)$ and the Game of Cycles on G .

Suppose X is a decoration of $T(G)$ such that $e^+(X)$ is not a dormant state of G . Because $e^+(X)$ is a decoration of G' , we may assume, without loss of generality, that $e^+(X)$ has a sink at some vertex $x \in \text{Int}(G) \setminus K \subset V(T(G))$. That is, for every vertex v of G adjacent to x , $(v, x) \in e^+(X)$. In particular, for any vertex u of $T(G)$ adjacent to x , $(e(u), x) \in e^+(X)$. This implies that $(u, x) \in X$, since e induces a bijection between the sets of arrows of $T(G)$ and G' . Therefore, X has a sink at x , so X is not a state of $T(G)$.

Next, we prove the converse. Suppose X is not a state of $T(G)$. We may assume, without loss of generality, that X has a sink at some vertex $x \in \text{Int}(T(G)) = \text{Int}(G) \setminus K$. Let v be any vertex of G adjacent to x . Then $v \in \text{Int}(G)$, so there exists some vertex u of $T(G)$ adjacent to x for which $e(u) = v$. Because $(u, x) \in X$, it follows that $(v, x) \in e^+(X)$. This implies that $e^+(X)$ has a sink at x , so $e^+(X)$ is not a state. \square

It is worth noting that every graph H is the trimming of some graph G . We can take the vertex set of G to be $V(H) \cup \{x' \mid x \in L(G)\}$ and the edge set of G to be $E(H) \cup \{(x', x) \mid x \in L(G)\}$. This means that solving the Trimmed Game of Arrows for every graph is equivalent to solving the Game of Arrows for every graph. Figure 6 shows a graph H together with the graph G constructed as described above.




FIGURE 6. A graph H together with a graph G constructed so that $T(G) = H$.

Note also that the trimming of a forest is a forest, and every forest is the trimming of some forest. Therefore, solving the Game of Cycles for all forests is equivalent to solving the Trimmed Game of Arrows for all forests.

4. GRUNDY VALUES AND STATE ISOMORPHISMS

The Sprague-Grundy Theorem states that any perfect-information, impartial, finite two-player game in which the last player to make a move wins is equivalent to a game of Nim with a heap of a certain size, known as the Grundy value of the

game. When the Grundy value is nonzero, the first player has a winning strategy, and when the Grundy value is zero, the second player has a winning strategy.

Applying this result to each position in the Trimmed Game of Arrows on a graph G , we can think of each state of G as having its own Grundy value. The ‘‘Sprague-Grundy function’’ computes Grundy values of game positions (states of graphs) recursively, working backwards from terminal states towards those with fewer marks. Ideally, we hope to be able to compute the Grundy value of the empty state of a graph in order to determine which player has a winning strategy on that graph.

Grundy values behave well with respect to disjunctive sums of games (disjoint unions of states). In order to take advantage of this fact to compute Grundy values, we need a way of decomposing a state of a graph into a disjoint union of states of smaller pieces of the original graph. To serve this purpose, we introduce the notion of state isomorphism and develop criteria for identifying these isomorphisms.

Definition 4.1. The *Sprague-Grundy function* g of a graph G is a function from the set of all states of G to the nonnegative integers assigning a *Grundy value* to each state X . The function g is zero on each terminal state and is defined recursively by setting

$$g(X) = \text{mex } g(\mathcal{F}(X)),$$

the minimum excluded Grundy value among the followers of X . According to the Sprague-Grundy Theorem, a state X is a winning state for the player whose turn it is to make a mark if and only if $g(X) \neq 0$.

Definition 4.2. We write $x \oplus y$ for the *nim sum* of nonnegative integers x and y , defined to be ‘‘binary addition without carrying.’’

We write $G + H$ for the disjoint union of two graphs G and H . When X and Y are states of graphs G and H , respectively, we write $X \sqcup Y$ for the state of the disjoint union $G + H$ induced by X and Y , and we refer to this state as the *disjoint union* of X and Y .

By the Sprague-Grundy Theorem,

$$g(X \sqcup Y) = g(X) \oplus g(Y).$$

Definition 4.3. For any state X of a graph G , we let $M_G(X)$ or $M(X)$ denote the subgraph of G induced by the edges that are marked in X , that is, by the set $\{(v, w) \mid (v, w) \in X\}$. We let $U_G(X)$ or $U(X)$ denote the subgraph of G induced by the edges of G that are unmarked in X , that is, by the set $E(G) \setminus \{(v, w) \mid (v, w) \in X\}$. Figure 7 displays a state X of a graph G together with the subgraphs $M(X)$ and $U(X)$.




FIGURE 7. A state X of a graph G together with the marked subgraph $M(X)$ and the unmarked subgraph $U(X)$.

Definition 4.4. For any graph G , the *flip* operation on the set $A(G)$ of arrows of G maps each arrow (v, w) to (w, v) . The *flip* of a decoration X of a graph G is the

decoration containing the flips of all of the arrows in X . We denote the flip of X by \tilde{X} . Note that X is a state if and only if its flip is a state.

Definition 4.5. A *state isomorphism* from a state X of a graph G to a state Y of a graph H is a bijection $a : A(U(X)) \rightarrow A(U(Y))$ that commutes with the flip operation and induces a bijection from the descendants of X to the descendants of Y . To make the last condition precise, let a^+ denote the bijection from the decorations of G containing X to the decorations of H containing Y defined by $a^+(X^*) = Y \cup a(X^* \setminus X)$. We require that a^+ restrict to a bijection from $\mathcal{F}^\bullet(X)$ to $\mathcal{F}^\bullet(Y)$. The requirement that a commute with the flip operation ensures that a induces a bijection between the edge sets of $U(X)$ and $U(Y)$.

We say that a state X is *isomorphic* to a state Y if there exists a state isomorphism from X to Y . With this definition, state isomorphism is clearly an equivalence relation.

For any state X , the flip operation a on $A(U(X)) = A(U(\tilde{X}))$ is a state isomorphism from X to \tilde{X} . In this context, a^+ is the flip operation on decorations, and we have already noted above that a decoration is a state if and only if its flip is a state.

Theorem 4.6. *Isomorphic states X and Y of graphs G and H , respectively, have equal Grundy values: $g(X) = g(Y)$.*

Proof. Suppose there exists a state isomorphism a from X to Y . Then a induces a bijection a^+ from $\{X\} \cup \mathcal{F}^\bullet(X)$ to $\{Y\} \cup \mathcal{F}^\bullet(Y)$ which respects the follower relation. (This can be understood as providing an isomorphism between the game trees associated with the states X and Y .) By the definition of the Sprague-Grundy function, $g(X) = g(Y)$. \square

Definition 4.7. Suppose X and Y are states of graphs G and H , respectively, and $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ is a graph homomorphism. Then the function $a_f : A(U(X)) \rightarrow A(U(Y))$ on arrows given by $a_f((v, w)) = (f(v), f(w))$ automatically commutes with the flip operation. If a_f defines a state isomorphism from X to Y , we call it the state isomorphism *induced by f* .

In practice, the isomorphisms we employ between states X and Y will usually be induced by graph homomorphisms $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$. As an example, Figure 8 displays isomorphic states X and Y of graphs G and H , respectively. A state isomorphism from X to Y is induced by the graph homomorphism $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ that is the identity on $\{u, v, y, z\}$ and maps x_1 and x_2 to x .




FIGURE 8. Isomorphic states X and Y of graphs G and H , respectively.

Definition 4.8. Let X be any state of a graph G . For any $v \in U(X)$, we define the following decorations of G :

$$\begin{aligned} X_t(v) &= X \cup \{(v, v') \mid \{v, v'\} \in E(U(G))\}, \\ X_h(v) &= X \cup \{(v', v) \mid \{v, v'\} \in E(U(G))\}. \end{aligned}$$

These definitions are illustrated in Figure 10.




FIGURE 9. A state X of a graph G , along with the decorations $X_t(u)$ and $X_h(v)$ of G .

Note that there exists a decoration $X^* \supset X$ of G with a source at v if and only if $X_t(v)$ has a source at v . Similarly, that there exists a decoration $X^* \supset X$ of G with a sink at v if and only if $X_h(v)$ has a sink at v .

Definition 4.9. Let X and Y be states of graphs G and H , respectively. Suppose the graph homomorphism $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ induces a bijection between the edge sets of $U(X)$ and $U(Y)$, and therefore a bijection $a_f : A(U(X)) \rightarrow A(U(Y))$. We say that a_f is a *local state isomorphism at the vertex x of $U(X)$* if the following four conditions hold:

- If $X_t(x)$ has a source at $x \in \text{Int}(G)$, then $a_f^+(X_t(x))$ is not a state of H .
- If $X_h(x)$ has a sink at $x \in \text{Int}(G)$, then $a_f^+(X_h(x))$ is not a state of H .
- If $Y_t(f(x))$ has a source at $f(x) \in \text{Int}(H)$, then $(a_f^+)^{-1}(Y_t(f(x)))$ is not a state of G .
- If $Y_h(f(x))$ has a sink at $f(x) \in \text{Int}(H)$, then $(a_f^+)^{-1}(Y_h(f(x)))$ is not a state of G .

When x is the unique f -preimage of $f(x)$, we have $a_f^+(X_t(x)) = Y_t(f(x))$ and $a_f^+(X_h(x)) = Y_h(f(x))$, and the conditions above can be written more simply:

- If $X_t(x)$ has a source at $x \in \text{Int}(G)$, then $Y_t(f(x))$ is not a state of H .
- If $X_h(x)$ has a sink at $x \in \text{Int}(G)$, then $Y_h(f(x))$ is not a state of H .
- If $Y_t(f(x))$ has a source at $f(x) \in \text{Int}(H)$, then $X_t(x)$ is not a state of G .
- If $Y_h(f(x))$ has a sink at $f(x) \in \text{Int}(H)$, then $X_h(x)$ is not a state of G .

Theorem 4.10 (Local Criterion for State Isomorphism). *Let X and Y be states of graphs G and H , respectively. Suppose the graph homomorphism $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ induces a bijection between the edge sets of $U(X)$ and $U(Y)$. Then a_f is a state isomorphism from X to Y if and only if a_f is a local state isomorphism at every vertex x of $U(X)$.*

Proof. Suppose, first, that a_f is a local state isomorphism at every vertex x of $U(X)$. We show that a_f is a state isomorphism.

Let X^* be any descendent of X . Suppose, for contradiction, that $a_f^+(X^*)$ is not a descendent of Y . Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_f^+(X^*)$ has a

source at some $f(x) \in \text{Int}(H)$, where x is a vertex of $U(G)$. It follows that $Y_t(f(x))$ has a source at $f(x)$ and is a subset of $a_f^+(X^*)$. By assumption, this implies that $(a_f^+)^{-1}(Y_t(f(x))) \subset X^*$ is not a state of G , contradicting our assumption that X^* is a state of G .

Now let X^* be any decoration of G containing X , and suppose $a_f^+(X^*)$ is a descendent of Y . Suppose, for contradiction, that X^* is not a descendent of X . Without loss of generality, we may assume that X^* has a source at some $x \in \text{Int}(G)$. It follows that $X_t(x)$ has a source at x and is a subset of X^* . By assumption, $a_f^+(X_t(x)) \subset a_f^+(X^*)$ is not a state of H , contradicting our assumption that $a_f^+(X^*)$ is a state of H .

Next, we prove the inverse. Suppose a_f is a state isomorphism from X to Y . Because a_f^+ defines a bijection from the descendents of X to the descendents of Y , and because $X_t(x)$ and $X_h(x)$ both contain X , and $Y_t(y)$ and $Y_h(y)$ both contain Y , the four conditions in the theorem must all hold. \square

The following theorem gives a simple sufficient condition for a_f to be a local state isomorphism at x in the case where x is the unique f -preimage of $f(x)$.

Theorem 4.11. *Let X, Y, G, H , and f be as in Definition 4.9, and suppose the vertex x of $U(X)$ is the unique f -preimage of $f(x)$. If the following conditions hold, then a_f is a local state isomorphism at x .*

- $X_t(x)$ is a state of G if and only if $Y_t(f(x))$ is a state of H .
- $X_h(x)$ is a state of G if and only if $Y_h(f(x))$ is a state of H .

Proof. Suppose the two conditions in the theorem are true. It suffices to verify the four conditions at the end of Definition 4.9. For example, suppose $X_t(x)$ has a source at $x \notin L(G)$. Then $X_t(x)$ is not a state of G , so by assumption $Y_t(f(x))$ is not a state of H . \square

It will be helpful to reformulate the conditions from Definition 4.9 more explicitly in terms of properties of x, y , and their adjacent vertices in $U(X)$ and $U(Y)$.

Definition 4.12. For each arrow (v, w) in a decoration X , we say that v is a *tail* of X and w is a *head* of X . To simplify the notation in what follows, we write $t(X)$ for the set of tails of X and $h(X)$ for the set heads of X .

Theorem 4.13. *Let X, Y, G, H , and f be as in Definition 4.9, and suppose the vertex x of $U(X)$ is the unique f -preimage of $f(x)$. Then f is a local state isomorphism if and only if the following conditions hold, along with those obtained by swapping the t 's and h 's in the each of these conditions:*

- If $x \in h(X) \cup L(G)$, and every vertex x' of $U(X)$ adjacent to x satisfies $x' \in t(X) \cup L(G) \cup \text{Int}(U(X))$, then $f(x) \in h(Y) \cup L(H)$.
- If $f(x) \in h(Y) \cup L(H)$, and every vertex y' of $U(Y)$ adjacent to $f(x)$ satisfies $y' \in t(Y) \cup L(H) \cup \text{Int}(U(Y))$, then $x \in h(X) \cup L(G)$.

Proof. Each condition in this theorem is equivalent to the contrapositive of one of the four conditions at the end of Definition 4.9. For example, the first condition in this theorem is equivalent to the statement, "If $X_t(x)$ is a state of G , then either $Y_t(f(x))$ does not have a source at $f(x)$, or $f(x) \in L(H)$." \square

The conditions in Theorem 4.13 are implied by the simpler conditions in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.14. *Let X, Y, G, H , and f be as in Definition 4.9, and suppose the vertex x of $U(X)$ is the unique f -preimage of $f(x)$. If the following two conditions hold, then a_f is a local state isomorphism at x .*

- $x \in h(X) \cup L(G)$ if and only if $f(x) \in h(Y) \cup L(H)$.
- $x \in t(X) \cup L(G)$ if and only if $f(x) \in t(Y) \cup L(H)$.

Proof. The two conditions written out in Theorem 4.13 follow immediately from the first condition in the present theorem. The other two conditions from Theorem 4.13, with t 's and h 's swapped, follow immediately from the second condition in the present theorem. \square

When $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ is a graph isomorphism, Theorem 4.14 applies at each vertex x of $U(X)$.

Theorem 4.15. *Let X, Y, G , and H be as in Definition 4.9, and suppose $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ is a graph isomorphism. If the following conditions hold, then a_f is a state isomorphism from X to Y .*

- $f([h(X) \cup L(G)] \cap U(X)) = [h(Y) \cup L(H)] \cap U(Y)$.
- $f([t(X) \cup L(G)] \cap U(X)) = [t(Y) \cup L(H)] \cap U(Y)$.

For example, consider the states X and Y of graphs G and H displayed in Figure 10.



FIGURE 10. Isomorphic states X and Y of graphs G and H .

The graph isomorphism $f : U(X) \rightarrow U(Y)$ which sends x, y, z to x', y', z' induces a state isomorphism a_f from X to Y , because

$$\begin{aligned} [h(X) \cup L(G)] \cap U(X) &= \{x, z\} \\ [h(Y) \cup L(H)] \cap U(Y) &= \{x', z'\} \\ [t(X) \cup L(G)] \cap U(X) &= \{x\} \\ [t(Y) \cup L(H)] \cap U(Y) &= \{x'\}. \end{aligned}$$

5. FLOWS, CRASHES, TWIGS, AND RODS

For any nonnegative integer n , we write \bar{n} for the remainder when n is divided by 2.

Definition 5.1. For any positive integer n , the n -path, denoted P_n , is the graph with vertex set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ and edge set $\{\{0, 1\}, \{1, 2\}, \dots, \{n-2, n-1\}\}$. Any graph isomorphic to P_n for some n is called a path graph.

For any nonnegative integer, the n -flow state F_n of the path graph with vertex set $\{-1, 0, 1, \dots, n, n+1\}$ is defined to be $\{(-1, 0), (n, n+1)\}$. For any positive integer, the n -crash state C_n of the same path graph is defined to be $\{(-1, 0), (n+1, n)\}$. Note that F_n and C_n both have n unmarked edges. We disallow $n = 0$ for C_n because the resulting decoration would not be a state.

FIGURE 11. The states F_3 and C_3 of a path graph with 6 vertices.

Theorem 5.2. $g(F_n) = \bar{n}$ for $n \geq 0$, and $g(C_n) = \bar{n} \oplus 1$ for $n \geq 1$.


Proof. We prove both claims simultaneously by induction on n . The states F_0 and C_1 are terminal, so $g(F_0) = g(C_1) = 0$.

Assume the result is known for all F_k and C_k with $k < n$, where n is a positive integer.

Each follower of F_n is isomorphic either to some $F_k \sqcup F_{n-1-k}$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, or to some $C_k \sqcup C_{n-1-k}$, for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. By assumption, $g(F_k \sqcup F_{n-1-k}) = \overline{k \oplus n-1-k} = \bar{n} \oplus 1$, and $g(C_k \sqcup C_{n-1-k}) = (\overline{k \oplus 1}) \oplus (\overline{n-1-k \oplus 1}) = \bar{n} \oplus 1$. Therefore, $g(F_n) = \bar{n}$.

Similarly, each follower of C_n is isomorphic either to some $F_k \sqcup C_{n-1-k}$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$, or to some $C_k \sqcup F_{n-1-k}$, for $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. By assumption, $g(F_k \sqcup C_{n-1-k}) = \overline{k \oplus (n-1-k \oplus 1)} = \bar{n}$, and $g(C_k \sqcup F_{n-1-k}) = (\overline{k \oplus 1}) \oplus \overline{n-1-k} = \bar{n}$. Therefore, $g(C_n) = \bar{n} \oplus 1$. \square


Definition 5.3. The n -twig state T_n of the path graph P_{n+2} with vertex set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$ is defined to be $\{(n, n+1)\}$.

FIGURE 12. The state T_3 of the path graph P_5 .

Theorem 5.4. $g(T_n) = n$ for $n \geq 0$.

Proof. We use induction. The state T_0 is terminal, so $g(T_0) = 0$. For $n \geq 1$, each follower of T_n is isomorphic either to some $T_k \sqcup F_{n-1-k}$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, or to some $T_k \sqcup C_{n-1-k}$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, n-2\}$. By induction, $g(T_k \sqcup F_{n-1-k}) = k \oplus \overline{n-1-k}$, and $g(T_k \sqcup C_{n-1-k}) = k \oplus \overline{(n-k)}$. Therefore, $g(\mathcal{F}(T_n)) = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, so $g(T_n) = n$. \square

Definition 5.5. The n -rod state R_n of the path graph P_{n+1} with vertex set $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is defined to be the empty set, for any $n \geq 1$.

FIGURE 13. The state R_3 of the path graph P_4 .

Theorem 5.6. $g(R_n) = \bar{n}$ for $n \geq 1$.

Proof. We use induction. Both followers of R_1 are isomorphic to T_0 , so $g(R_1) = 1$. For $n \geq 1$, each follower of R_n is isomorphic to some $T_k \sqcup T_{n-1-k}$, for $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$. By induction, $g(T_k \sqcup T_{n-1-k}) = k \oplus (n-1-k)$. When n is even, the elements of $g(\mathcal{F}(T_n))$ are all odd, so $g(T_n) = 0 = \bar{n}$. When n is odd, the elements of $g(\mathcal{F}(T_n))$ are all even, and $0 = (n-1)/2 \oplus (n-1)/2 \in g(\mathcal{F}(T_n))$, so $g(T_n) = 1 = \bar{n}$. \square

6. 3-LEGGED SPIDER GRAPHS

Definition 6.1. The 3-legged spider graph $S(a, b, c)$, for nonnegative integers a , b , and c , has vertex set

$$\{u_0 = v_0 = w_0, u_1, \dots, u_a, v_1, \dots, v_b, w_1, \dots, w_c\}$$

and edge set

$$\{\{u_0, u_1\}, \dots, \{u_{a-1}, u_a\}, \{v_0, v_1\}, \dots, \{v_{b-1}, v_b\}, \{w_0, w_1\}, \dots, \{w_{c-1}, w_c\}\}.$$

The vertex $u_0 = v_0 = w_0$ is the *hub* of $S(a, b, c)$. The subgraphs induced by the sets $\{u_0, \dots, u_a\}$, $\{v_0, \dots, v_b\}$, and $\{w_0, \dots, w_c\}$ of vertices are the *legs* of $S(a, b, c)$. The *length* of a leg is its number of edges. Note that we allow legs of length 0. A leg is *even* or *odd* if it has even or odd length.





FIGURE 14. The 3-legged spider graph $S(a, b, c)$.

It will be convenient to introduce notation for decorations of a spider graph with arrows marked only on the leaf edges.

Definition 6.2. For any $a, b, c \geq 0$, the decoration $S[\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}]$ of the graph $S(a + 1, b + 1, c + 1)$ is defined to be $\{(a, a + 1), (b, b + 1), (c, c + 1)\}$. Note that all three arrows point away from the hub, and the legs are left with a , b , and c unmarked edges, respectively. In order to change the direction of an arrow, we turn the corresponding accent upside down. For example, $S[\hat{a}, \check{b}, \hat{c}]$ denotes the state $\{(a, a + 1), (b + 1, b), (c, c + 1)\}$.

If we want to remove an arrow along with the corresponding edge of the graph, we write no accent. For example, $S[a, b, \hat{c}]$ denotes the state $\{(c, c + 1)\}$ of the spider graph $S(a, b, c + 1)$. Note that a , b , and c still give the number of unmarked edges of each of the legs. Figure 15 displays the state $S[2, \check{2}, \hat{3}]$ of the graph $S(2, 3, 4)$.

FIGURE 15. The decoration $S[2, \check{2}, \hat{3}]$ of the spider graph $S(2, 3, 4)$.

If we do not wish to specify the direction of a particular arrow, perhaps because the outcome of a calculation does not depend on it, then we use a tilde. For example, $S[\tilde{a}, b, \tilde{c}]$ represents any of the following four states of the spider graph $S(a+1, b, c+1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} S[\hat{a}, b, \tilde{c}] &= \{(a, a+1), (c, c+1)\} \\ S[\hat{a}, b, \hat{c}] &= \{(a, a+1), (c+1, c)\} \\ S[\check{a}, b, \tilde{c}] &= \{(a+1, a), (c, c+1)\} \\ S[\check{a}, b, \hat{c}] &= \{(a+1, a), (c+1, c)\}. \end{aligned}$$

In order to specify a relationship between the directions of certain arrows without specifying the individual directions, we use double accents. For example, $S[\hat{\check{a}}, b, \hat{\check{c}}]$ represents either of the middle two states listed above.

Theorem 6.3. *For any positive integer n , we have the following state isomorphism and Grundy value:*

$$S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{n}}] \cong C_n \qquad g(S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{n}}]) = \bar{n} \oplus 1.$$

Likewise, for any nonnegative integer n , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{0}}, \hat{n}] &\cong F_n & g(S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{0}}, \hat{n}]) &= \bar{n} \\ S[\check{\check{0}}, \hat{0}, \check{\check{n}}] &\cong T_n & g(S[\check{\check{0}}, \hat{0}, \check{\check{n}}]) &= n \\ S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{1}}, \check{\check{n}}] &\cong C_{n+1} & g(S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{1}}, \check{\check{n}}]) &= \bar{n} \\ S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{1}}, \hat{n}] &\cong F_{n+1} & g(S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{1}}, \hat{n}]) &= \bar{n} \oplus 1 \\ S[\check{\check{0}}, \hat{1}, \check{\check{n}}] &\cong T_1 \sqcup \check{T}_n & g(S[\check{\check{0}}, \hat{1}, \check{\check{n}}]) &= n \oplus 1 \\ S[\check{\check{0}}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}] &\cong T_1 \sqcup T_n & g(S[\check{\check{0}}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]) &= n \oplus 1 \\ S[\check{\check{1}}, \hat{1}, \check{\check{n}}] &\cong F_2 \sqcup \check{T}_n & g(S[\check{\check{1}}, \hat{1}, \check{\check{n}}]) &= n \\ S[\check{\check{1}}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}] &\cong F_2 \sqcup T_n & g(S[\check{\check{1}}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]) &= n. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The isomorphism from $S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{n}}]$ to C_n is induced by the graph isomorphism $U(S[\check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{0}}, \check{\check{n}}]) = S(0, 0, n) \rightarrow U(C_n) = P_{n+1}$ which maps w_k to k , for

$k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Theorem 4.15 applies, because

$$\begin{aligned} [h(S[\check{0}, \check{0}, \check{n}]) \cup L(S(1, 1, n+1))] \cap V(S(0, 0, n)) &= \{w_0, w_n\} \\ [h(C_n) \cup L(P_{n+3})] \cap V(P_{n+1}) &= \{0, n\} \\ [t(S[\check{0}, \check{0}, \check{n}]) \cup L(S(0, 0, n))] \cap V(S(0, 0, n)) &= \emptyset \\ [t(C_n) \cup L(P_{n+3})] \cap V(P_{n+1}) &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

This same graph isomorphism induces state isomorphisms $S[\check{0}, \check{0}, \hat{n}] \rightarrow F_n$, $S[\check{0}, \hat{0}, \hat{n}] \rightarrow \tilde{T}_n$, and $S[\check{0}, \hat{0}, \hat{n}] \rightarrow T_n$.

The isomorphism from $S[\check{0}, \check{1}, \check{n}]$ to C_{n+1} is induced by the graph isomorphism $f : U(S[\check{0}, \check{1}, \check{n}]) = S(0, 1, n) \rightarrow U(C_{n+1}) = P_{n+2}$ which maps v_1 to 0 and w_k to $k+1$, for $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. Theorem 4.14 implies that a_f is a local state isomorphism at each of the vertices v_1, w_1, \dots, w_n . Because none of $X_t(w_0)$, $Y_t(1)$, $X_h(w_0)$, or $Y_h(1)$ are states, Theorem 4.11 states that a_f is also a local state isomorphism at w_0 .



FIGURE 16. The isomorphic states $S[\check{0}, \check{1}, \check{n}]$ and C_{n+1} .

The same graph isomorphism induces a state isomorphism $S[\check{0}, \check{1}, \hat{n}] \rightarrow F_{n+1}$.

An isomorphism from $X = T_1 \sqcup T_n$ to $Y = S[\check{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]$ is induced by the graph homomorphism $f : U(T_1 \sqcup T_n) = P_2 + P_{n+1} \rightarrow U(S[\check{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]) = S(0, 1, n)$ which maps vertex k in P_2 to v_k and vertex l in P_{n+1} to w_l . It follows from Theorem 4.14 that a_f is a local state isomorphism at 1 in P_2 and at $1, 2, \dots, n$ in P_{n+1} . By Definition 4.9, a_f is a local state isomorphism at 0 in P_2 and at 0 in P_{n+1} because:

- these two vertices are leaves of graph underlying X ,
- $Y_t(w_0)$ does not have a source at w_0 , and
- $(a_f^+)^{-1}Y_h(w_0)$ has a source at 1 in P_2 .



FIGURE 17. The isomorphic states $T_1 \sqcup T_n$ and $S[\check{0}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]$.

The same graph homomorphism gives a state isomorphism $T_1 \sqcup \tilde{T}_n \rightarrow S[\check{0}, \hat{1}, \check{n}]$

An isomorphism from $X = F_2 \sqcup T_n$ to $Y = S[\check{1}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]$ is given by the graph homomorphism $f : U(F_2 \sqcup T_n) = P_3 + P_{n+1} \rightarrow U(S[\check{1}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]) = S(1, 1, n)$ which maps vertex 0 in P_3 to u_1 , 1 to w_0 , and 2 to v_1 , and maps vertex k in P_{n+1} to w_k . It follows from Theorem 4.14 that a_f is a local state isomorphism at 0 and 2 in P_3 and at $1, 2, \dots, n$ in P_{n+1} . By Definition 4.9, a_f is a local state isomorphism at 0 in P_3 and at w_0 in P_{n+1} because:

- $a_f^+(X_t(1))$ has a sink at u_1 ,
- $a_f^+(X_h(1))$ has a source at v_1 ,
- 0 in P_{n+1} is a leaf of the graph underlying X ,
- $(a_f^+)^{-1}Y_t(w_0)$ has a sink at 0 in P_2 .
- $(a_f^+)^{-1}Y_h(w_0)$ has a source at 2 in P_2 .




FIGURE 18. The isomorphic states $F_2 \sqcup T_n$ and $S[\check{1}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]$.

The same graph homomorphism gives a state isomorphism $F_2 \sqcup \check{T}_n \rightarrow S[\check{1}, \hat{1}, \hat{n}]$. \square

7. EVEN- AND ODD-MOVES STATES

For some positions in the Trimmed Game of Arrows, the parity of the number of remaining moves is predetermined. The state corresponding to such a position always has Grundy value 0 or 1, depending on the aforementioned parity.

Definition 7.1. A state X is called an *even-moves state* if for every terminal descendent X^* of X , $|X^* \setminus X|$ is even. Similarly, a state Y is called an *odd-moves state* if for every terminal descendent Y^* of Y , $|Y^* \setminus Y|$ is odd. An even-moves state X corresponds to a game position that will lead to a loss for the player whose turn it is, no matter which moves the two players make. In particular, $g(X) = 0$. An odd-moves state Y corresponds to a game position that will lead to a win for the player whose turn it is, no matter which moves the two players make. Every follower of an odd-moves state Y is an even-moves state, so $g(\mathcal{F}(Y)) = \{0\}$ and $g(Y) = 1$.

We sometimes write $p(n)$ for the parity (even or odd) of an integer n , so that we can then write $p(n)$ -moves state to mean even- or odd-moves state, respectively. With this notation, a $p(n)$ -moves state X has $g(X) = \bar{n}$.

If a state X is a $p(n)$ -moves state, then so is any state isomorphic to X . A follower of a $p(n)$ -moves state is a $p(n-1)$ -moves state. A disjoint union of a $p(n)$ -moves state and a $p(m)$ -moves state is a $p(n+m)$ -moves state.

Theorem 7.2. For $n \geq 0$, F_n and C_{n+1} are $p(n)$ -moves states.

Proof. We use induction. F_0 and C_1 are terminal, so they are clearly $p(0)$ -moves states.

Assume the result is known for all F_k and C_k with $k < n$, where n is a positive integer.

Each follower of F_n is isomorphic to either $F_k \sqcup F_{n-1-k}$, for some $k \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$, or $C_k \sqcup C_{n-1-k}$, for some $a \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. By assumption, these are both $p(n-1)$ -moves states, since $k + (n-1-k) = n-1$ and $(k-1) + (n-2-k) = n-3$. Therefore, F_n is a $p(n)$ -moves state. The argument for C_{n+1} is analogous. \square

Definition 7.3. We denote descendants of a state $S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]$ by indicating the positions and directions of additional arrows within parentheses. For example, we write $S[\check{a}(\check{i}), \check{b}, c(\check{k}, \check{l})]$ for the state $S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c] \cup \{(u_i, u_{i-1}), (w_{k-1}, w_k), (w_l, w_{l-1})\}$ of the graph $S(a+1, b+1, c)$. As a second example, $S[\check{a}(\hat{i}), b, c]$ represents either of the following two states of the graph $S(a+1, b, c)$:

$$S[\hat{a}(\check{i}), b, c] = \{(u_a, u_{a+1}), (u_i, u_{i-1})\}$$

$$S[\hat{a}(\hat{i}), b, c] = \{(u_{a+1}, u_a), (u_{i-1}, u_i)\}.$$

As a third example, $S[a, \hat{b}(\hat{b}), c]$ denotes the state $\{(v_b, v_{b+1}), (v_{b-1}, v_b)\}$ of the graph $S(a, b+1, c)$. As a final example, the states $S[a(\hat{a}), b(\hat{b}), c(\hat{c})]$ and $S[\widehat{a-1}, \widehat{b-1}, \widehat{c-1}]$ of the graph $S(a, b, c)$ are equal. The state $S[2, \check{2}(\check{2}), 1(\hat{1})]$ is displayed in Figure 19.




FIGURE 19. The state $S[2, \check{2}(\check{2}), 1(\hat{1})]$ of the spider graph $S(2, 3, 1)$.

The next theorem computes the Grundy values of the states that were missing from Theorem 6.3.

Theorem 7.4. $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}]$ is a $p(n+1)$ -moves state, while $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \hat{n}]$ is a $p(n)$ -moves state. As a result,

$$g(S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}]) = \bar{n} \oplus 1,$$

$$g(S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \hat{n}]) = \bar{n}.$$

Proof. A terminal descendent Z of $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}]$ must be a descendent of one of the two states $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$ and $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$ or of neither.




FIGURE 20. The states $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$ and $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$.

If Z is a descendent of $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$, then Z is also a descendent of $S[\check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$ or $S[\check{1}, \check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{n}(\hat{1})]$, each of which is isomorphic to $C_1 \sqcup F_{n-1}$, a $p(n+1)$ -moves state.

If Z is a descendent of $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$, then Z is also a descendent of $S[\check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{n}(\hat{1})]$, which is isomorphic to C_{n-1} , a $p(n)$ -moves state. Finally, if Z is a descendent of neither $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}(\hat{1})]$ nor $S[\check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{n}(\hat{1})]$, then Z is a descendent of $S[\check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{1}(\hat{1}), \check{n}(\hat{2})]$, which is isomorphic to $C_1 \sqcup F_{n-2}$, a $p(n)$ -moves state.

In all three cases, $|Z \setminus S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}]|$ has parity opposite that of n . Therefore, $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}]$ is a $p(n+1)$ -moves state and $g(S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}]) = \bar{n} \oplus 1$.

The argument for $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, \check{n}]$ is analogous. \square

Definition 7.5. We say that states X and Y of a graph G are *associates* if they have a common follower.

The unique common follower of distinct associates X and Y is $X \cup Y$. If X and Y are distinct associates, then $X = (X \cap Y) \cup \{x_0\}$ and $Y = (X \cap Y) \cup \{y_0\}$ for some arrows x_0 and y_0 , which represent possible successive moves starting from the game position represented by $X \cap Y$.

Theorem 7.6. *If every follower of a state X of a graph G is an associate of an odd-moves state of G , then $g(X) = 0$. If every follower of a state X of G is an associate of an even-moves follower of X , then $g(X) = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose every follower of X is an associate of an odd-moves state of G . Then each such follower X^* has a follower X^{**} which is an even-moves state, so $g(X^{**}) = 0$ and $g(X^*) \neq 0$. Therefore, $g(X) = 0$.

Next, suppose every follower of X is an associate of an even-moves follower of X . Then every follower of $X \sqcup R_1$ is an associate of an odd-moves state of $G + P_2$. By the first part of the proof, $g(X \sqcup R_1) = 0$. Because $g(X \sqcup R_1) = g(X) \oplus g(R_1) = g(X) \oplus 1$, we have $g(X) = 1$. \square

Theorem 7.7. *For $b, c \geq 2$,*

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]) &= \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} \oplus 1 & g(S[\check{1}, \check{b}, \check{c}]) &= \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} \\ g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]) &= \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} & g(S[\check{1}, \check{b}, \check{c}]) &= \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} \oplus 1 \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 21. The states $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]$ and $S[\check{1}, \check{b}, \check{c}]$.

Proof. Suppose $\bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} = 1$. Then any follower of $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]$ is an associate of either $S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{1}), \check{c}]$ or $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}(\hat{1})]$. The former is isomorphic to one of the odd-moves states $F_{b-1} \sqcup C_c$ or $C_{b-1} \sqcup F_c$, and the latter is isomorphic to one of the odd-moves states $C_b \sqcup F_{c-1}$ or $F_b \sqcup C_{c-1}$. By the theorem above, $g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]) = 0 = \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} \oplus 1$.

Suppose $\bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} = 0$. Then any follower of $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]$ is an associate of either $S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{1}), \check{c}]$ or $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}(\hat{1})]$. The former is isomorphic to one of the even-moves states $F_{b-1} \sqcup C_c$ or $C_{b-1} \sqcup F_c$, and the latter is isomorphic to one of the even-moves states $C_b \sqcup F_{c-1}$ or $F_b \sqcup C_{c-1}$. By the theorem above, $g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]) = 1 = \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} \oplus 1$.

The proofs for $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \check{c}]$, $S[\check{1}, \check{b}, \check{c}]$, and $S[\check{1}, \check{b}, \check{c}]$ are analogous. \square

8. 3-LEGGED SPIDERS WITH THREE MARKED LEGS

Although our eventual goal is to find the Grundy value of an unmarked 3-legged spider graph, we begin by finding the Grundy value of a spider with a mark at the end of each leg.

Definition 8.1. The *order* of a positive integer k , denoted $\text{ord}(k)$, is $\lceil \log_2(k) \rceil + 1$, the greatest positive integer r such that $2^{r-1} \leq k$. If n has binary expansion $k_r k_{r-1} \cdots k_2 k_1$ with $k_r = 1$, then $\text{ord}(k) = r$.

Theorem 8.2. *If $a, b, c \geq 2$, then $g(S[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}]) = ((a-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2)) + 2$.*

Proof. Suppose $a, b, c \geq 2$, and let $x = ((a-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2))$. We show that $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{c}]))$ contains all nonnegative integers less than $x+2$ but does not contain $x+2$.

Grundy values 0 and 1 can be obtained from the following states, the first and last of which have value $\bar{a} \oplus \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c}$, and the second and third of which have value $\bar{a} \oplus \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} \oplus 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} S[\check{\hat{a}}(\hat{1}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] &\cong F_{a-1} \sqcup S[\check{\hat{0}}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] \\ S[\check{\hat{a}}(\check{1}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] &\cong F_{a-1} \sqcup S[\check{\hat{0}}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] \\ S[\check{\hat{a}}(\hat{1}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] &\cong C_{a-1} \sqcup S[\hat{0}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] \\ S[\check{\hat{a}}(\hat{1}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] &\cong C_{a-1} \sqcup S[\hat{0}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose next that $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, x+1\}$. Then $k-2 < x$. Let $r = \text{ord}(x \oplus (k-2))$. Then $x_r = 1$, so at least one of $(a-2)_r$, $(b-2)_r$, and $(c-2)_r$ equals 1. Suppose, without loss of generality, that $(a-2)_r = 1$. Then

$$(k-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2) = x \oplus (k-2) \oplus (a-2) < a-2,$$

so $S[\check{\hat{a}}(\overbrace{(k-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2)}^{\text{---}}) + 3, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$ is a follower of $S[\check{\hat{a}}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$. Because this state is isomorphic to

$$S[\overbrace{((k-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2))}^{\text{---}} + 2, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] \sqcup F_{a-3-\overbrace{((k-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2))}^{\text{---}}},$$

we see by induction that its Grundy value is $k \oplus \bar{k} \oplus \bar{a} \oplus \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} \oplus 1$.

If $k = x+1$, then this expression for the Grundy value reduces to k . If $k \in \{2, 3, \dots, x\}$ and the Grundy value above is not k , then the follower

$S[\check{\hat{a}}(\overbrace{(k-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2)}^{\text{---}}) + 3, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$ is isomorphic to

$$S[\overbrace{((k-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2))}^{\text{---}} + 2, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] \sqcup C_{a-3-\overbrace{((k-2) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2))}^{\text{---}}}$$

and has Grundy value $k \oplus \bar{k} \oplus \bar{a} \oplus \bar{b} \oplus \bar{c} = k$.

Finally, suppose for contradiction that there exists a follower of $S[\check{\hat{a}}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$ with Grundy value $x+2$. Assume, without loss of generality, that this follower is $S[\check{\hat{a}}(\check{m}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$, with $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, a\}$, or $S[\check{\hat{a}}(\hat{m}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$, with $m \in \{1, 2, \dots, a-1\}$. Clearly $m \notin \{1, 2\}$, because then the Grundy value would be 0 or 1.

The state $S[\check{\hat{a}}(\check{m}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$ is isomorphic to $S[\overbrace{m-1}^{\text{---}}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] \sqcup F_{a-m}$, with Grundy value $((m-3) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2)) + 2 \oplus \bar{a} \oplus \bar{m}$, which does not have the same parity as $x+2$. The state $S[\check{\hat{a}}(\hat{m}), \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}]$ is isomorphic to $S[\overbrace{m-1}^{\text{---}}, \check{\tilde{b}}, \check{\tilde{c}}] \sqcup C_{a-m}$, with Grundy

value $((m-3) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2)) + 2 \oplus \bar{a} \oplus \bar{m} \oplus 1$, which does have the same parity as $x+2$. For this value to equal to $x+2$, we would need to have

$$x = (m-3) \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2) \oplus \bar{a} \oplus \bar{m} \oplus \bar{1},$$

i.e.,

$$(a-2) \oplus (m-3) = \bar{a} \oplus \bar{m} \oplus \bar{1} \in \{0, 1\}.$$

Because $m < a$, we know $(a-2) - (m-3) \geq 2$, so $(a-2) \oplus (m-3) \notin \{0, 1\}$, contradicting the assertion above. \square

9. 3-LEGGED SPIDERS WITH A SHORT MARKED LEG

Next, we compute Grundy values of 3-legged spiders with a mark close to the hub on one leg, and with one or both of the other legs unmarked.

Theorem 9.1. For $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$ and $c \geq 2$,

$$g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) = a \oplus b \oplus c$$

$$g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]) = a \oplus b \oplus \bar{c}$$



FIGURE 22. The states $S[\check{0}, \check{0}, c] \cong T_c$ and $S[\check{0}, \hat{0}, c] \cong R_c$.

Proof. Assume $c \geq 2$ is even. Then we have the following state isomorphisms and Grundy values:

$$\begin{aligned} S[\check{0}, \check{0}, c] &\cong T_c & g(S[\check{0}, \check{0}, c]) &= c \\ S[\check{0}, \check{1}, c] &\cong S[\check{1}, \check{0}, c] \cong T_{c+1} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{1}, c]) &= g(S[\check{1}, \check{0}, c]) = c+1 \\ & & g(S[\check{1}, \check{1}, c]) &= c \\ S[\check{0}, \hat{0}, c] &\cong R_c & g(S[\check{0}, \hat{0}, c]) &= \bar{c} \\ S[\check{0}, \hat{1}, c] &\cong S[\check{1}, \hat{0}, c] \cong T_1 \sqcup R_c & g(S[\check{0}, \hat{1}, c]) &= g(S[\check{1}, \hat{0}, c]) = \bar{c} \oplus 1 \\ S[\check{1}, \hat{1}, c] &\cong F_2 \sqcup R_c & g(S[\check{1}, \hat{1}, c]) &= \bar{c} \end{aligned}$$

In the case of $S[\check{1}, \check{1}, c]$, Theorem 7.4 can be used to compute that $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{1}, \check{1}, c])) = \{0, 1, \dots, c-1, c+1\}$, justifying the claim above that $g(S[\check{1}, \check{1}, c]) = c$. \square

Theorem 9.2. For $a \in \{0, 1\}$, $b, c \geq 2$, and c even,

$$g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) = a \oplus \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \oplus 1$$

$$g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]) = a \oplus \bar{b} \oplus (c-2)$$



FIGURE 23. The states $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c]$ and $S[\check{0}, \hat{b}, c]$.

Proof. First, we compute $g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c])$ by induction on b . Assume the formulas in the theorem hold for all smaller values of b greater than or equal to 2. The followers of the state $S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c]$, along with their Grundy values, are listed below, with k representing any integer in $\{3, \dots, b\}$ and l any integer in $\{3, \dots, c\}$:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\check{1}), c] \cong F_{b-1} \sqcup T_c & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\check{1}), c]) = \bar{b} \oplus (c+1) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\check{2}), c] \cong F_{b-2} \sqcup T_{c+1} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\check{2}), c]) = \bar{b} \oplus (c+1) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\check{k}), c] \cong F_{b-k} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \widehat{k-1}, c] & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\check{k}), c]) = \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{1}), c] \cong C_{b-1} \sqcup R_c & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{1}), c]) = \bar{b} \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{2}), c] \cong C_{b-2} \sqcup T_1 \sqcup R_c & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{2}), c]) = \bar{b} \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{k}), c] \cong C_{b-k} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \widehat{k-1}, c] & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}(\hat{k}), c]) = \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \\
\\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\check{1})] \cong C_b \sqcup T_{c-1} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\check{1})]) = \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\check{2})] \cong C_{b+1} \sqcup T_{c-2} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\check{2})]) = \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\check{l})] \cong S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \widehat{l-1}] \sqcup T_{c-l} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\check{l})]) = \bar{b} \oplus \bar{l} \oplus (c-l) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\hat{1})] \cong T_b \sqcup T_{c-1} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\hat{1})]) = b \oplus (c-1) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\hat{2})] \cong T_b \sqcup T_1 \sqcup T_{c-2} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\hat{2})]) = b \oplus (c-1) \\
S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\hat{l})] \cong S[\check{0}, \check{b}, \widehat{l-1}] \sqcup T_{c-l} & g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c(\hat{l})]) = \bar{b} \oplus \bar{l} \oplus 1 \oplus (c-l).
\end{array}$$

Altogether, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c])) &= \{\bar{b} \oplus 0, \bar{b} \oplus 1, \dots, \bar{b} \oplus (c-3), \bar{b} \oplus (c-2)\} \\
&\quad \cup \{\bar{b} \oplus (c+1), b \oplus (c-1)\} \\
&= \{0, 1, \dots, c-4, c-3, \bar{b} \oplus (c-2), \bar{b} \oplus (c+1), b \oplus (c-1)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that none of these Grundy values are equal to $\bar{b} \oplus (c-1)$. When b is even, $\bar{b} \oplus (c-2) = c-2$, and so $\bar{b} \oplus (c-1) = c-1 = \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \oplus 1$ is the minimum excluded value. When b is odd, $\bar{b} \oplus (c-1) = c-2 = \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \oplus 1$ is the minimum excluded value. Therefore, $g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c]) = \bar{b} \oplus (c-2) \oplus 1$.

The arguments for $S[\check{0}, \hat{b}, c]$, $S[\check{1}, \check{b}, c]$, and $S[\check{1}, \hat{b}, c]$ are analogous. \square

Theorem 9.3. For $a \in \{0, 1\}$, $b, c \geq 2$, and b, c even,

$$g(S[\check{a}, b, c]) = a \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2).$$

Furthermore, $S[\check{a}, 0, c] \cong T_{a+c}$, so $g(S[\check{a}, 0, c]) = a + c$.




FIGURE 24. The states $S[\check{0}, b, c]$ and $S[\check{1}, b, c]$.

Proof. First, we consider the case $a = 0$. The followers of the state $S[\check{0}, b, c]$ with an arrow on the second leg, along with their Grundy values, are listed below, with k representing any integer in $\{3, \dots, b\}$:

$$\begin{aligned} S[\check{0}, b(\check{1}), c] &\cong T_{b-1} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \check{0}, c] & f(S[\check{0}, b(\check{1}), c]) &= (b-1) \oplus c \\ S[\check{0}, b(\check{2}), c] &\cong T_{b-2} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \check{1}, c] & f(S[\check{0}, b(\check{2}), c]) &= (b-2) \oplus (c+1) \\ S[\check{0}, b(\check{k}), c] &\cong T_{b-k} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \check{k}-1, c] & f(S[\check{0}, b(\check{k}), c]) &= (b-k) \oplus (c-2) \oplus \bar{k} \\ S[\check{0}, b(\hat{1}), c] &\cong T_{b-1} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \hat{0}, c] & f(S[\check{0}, b(\hat{1}), c]) &= (b-1) \oplus 0 \\ S[\check{0}, b(\hat{2}), c] &\cong T_{b-2} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \hat{1}, c] & f(S[\check{0}, b(\hat{2}), c]) &= (b-2) \oplus 1 \\ S[\check{0}, b(\hat{k}), c] &\cong T_{b-k} \sqcup S[\check{0}, \hat{k}-1, c] & f(S[\check{0}, b(\hat{k}), c]) &= (b-k) \oplus (c-2) \oplus \bar{k} \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that each Grundy value in this list appears twice. For example, $(b-1) \oplus c = (b-2) \oplus (c+1)$. The Grundy values listed above are therefore $(c-2) \oplus 0, (c-2) \oplus 1, \dots, (c-2) \oplus (b-3)$, along with $(b-2) \oplus 1, (b-2) \oplus (c+1)$. Analogously, the Grundy values of the followers of $S[\check{0}, b, c]$ with a mark on the third leg are $(b-2) \oplus 0, (b-2) \oplus 1, \dots, (b-2) \oplus (c-3)$, along with $(c-2) \oplus 1, (c-2) \oplus (b+1)$. Altogether,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{0}, b, c])) &= \{(b-2) \oplus l \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, c-3, c+1\} \\ &\cup \{(c-2) \oplus l \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, b-3, b+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that none of these elements are equal to $(b-2) \oplus (c-2)$. Suppose, for contradiction, that some nonnegative integer $n < (b-2) \oplus (c-2)$ is not in $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{0}, b, c]))$. Then $n \oplus (b-2) \geq c-2$ and $n \oplus (c-2) \geq (b-2)$. Let $r = \text{ord}(n \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2))$. The first of the three inequalities above implies that $((b-2) \oplus (c-2))_r = 1$, the second that $(c-2)_r = 0$, and the third that $(b-2)_r = 0$. Because $((b-2) \oplus (c-2))_r = (b-2)_r \oplus (c-2)_r$, we have a contradiction. We conclude that $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{0}, b, c])) = (b-2) \oplus (c-2)$.

The analysis in the case $a = 1$ is similar. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{1}, b, c])) &= \{(b-2) \oplus l \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, c-3, c\} \\ &\cup \{(c-2) \oplus l \mid l = 0, 1, \dots, b-3, b\} \\ &\cup \{(b-2) \oplus (c-2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that none of these elements are equal to $(b-2) \oplus (c-2) \oplus 1 = (b-1) \oplus (c-2) = (b-2) \oplus (c-1)$. The argument above for the $a = 0$ case shows that all nonnegative integers less than $(b-2) \oplus (c-2)$ are elements of $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{1}, b, c]))$. Because $(b-2) \oplus (c-2) \in g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{1}, b, c]))$, we see that $g(S[\check{1}, b, c]) = 1 \oplus (b-2) \oplus (c-2)$. \square

10. 3-LEGGED SPIDERS WITH TWO MARKED LEGS AND ONE EVEN UNMARKED LEG

This section uses induction to prove the key technical lemma characterizing the Grundy values of 3-legged spiders with marks at the ends of two legs, where the third, unmarked leg has even length.

Lemma 10.1. *For any even a, b , and c with $a + b \neq 0$:*

- (1) $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]) \leq c-2$ and is even
- (2) If $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]) < c-2$, then $g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c])$.
- (3) If $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]) = c-2$, then $g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) \in \{c-1, c\}$.

$$(4) \quad g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{b+1}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1, \\ g(S[\widehat{a+1}, \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1, \text{ and} \\ g(S[\widehat{a+1}, \widehat{b+1}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]).$$




FIGURE 25. The states $S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]$ and $S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]$.

Proof. We use induction on a and b . The base cases are those with $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. It suffices to check the first of these. We have $g(S[\check{0}, \hat{b}, c]) = c - 2$ and $g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c]) = c - 1$ by Theorem 9.2. By the same theorem,

$$g(S[\check{1}, \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{0}, \widehat{b+1}, c]) = c - 1 = g(S[\check{0}, \hat{b}, c]) \oplus 1, \\ g(S[\check{1}, \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{0}, \widehat{b+1}, c]) = c - 2 = g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1, \\ g(S[\check{1}, \widehat{b+1}, c]) = c - 2 = g(S[\check{0}, \hat{b}, c]), \\ g(S[\check{1}, \widehat{b+1}, c]) = c - 1 = g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c]).$$

Now, assume $a, b \geq 2$, and assume all four statements are true when either a or b is replaced by any smaller even number. First, we prove part (1). We have

$$g(S[\check{a}(\check{a}), \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\widehat{a-1}, \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\widehat{a-2}, \hat{b}, c]) \oplus 1,$$

which is odd.

If $m < a$ is odd,

$$g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\widehat{m-1}, \hat{b}, c]) \oplus 1, \\ g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\widehat{m-1}, \hat{b}, c]). \quad (10.1)$$

If $m < a$ is even,

$$g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\widehat{m-1}, \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\widehat{m-2}, \hat{b}, c]) \oplus 1, \\ g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\widehat{m-1}, \hat{b}, c]) \oplus 1 = g(S[\widehat{m-2}, \hat{b}, c]). \quad (10.2)$$

By the inductive assumptions, $g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \hat{b}, c])$ must be odd. Together, these two numbers must be either less than $c - 2$ with nim sum 1, or both equal to $c - 1$, or equal to $c - 1$ and c in that order.

The analogous results hold for $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}(\hat{b}), c])$, and for $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}(\hat{m}), c])$ and $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}(\check{m}), c])$ when $m < b$.

Finally,

$$g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c(\check{m})]) = g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, \widehat{m-1}]) \oplus (c - m).$$

When $m \geq 3$, both numbers are odd. When m is 1 or 2, both numbers are still odd:

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c(\hat{1})]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, \check{0}]) \oplus (c-1) = c-1, \\ g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c(\check{2})]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{1}]) \oplus (c-2) = c-1. \end{aligned}$$

We have seen that elements of $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]))$ are either odd, or are less than $c-2$ and belong to a pair of elements with nim sum 1, or are equal to $c-1$ or c . Therefore, the minimum excluded value of this set is an even number less than or equal to $c-2$.

Next, we prove parts (2) and (3). We have

$$g(S[\check{a}(\check{a}), \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\widetilde{a-1}, \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\widetilde{a-2}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1.$$

If $g(S[\widetilde{a-2}, \hat{b}, c]) < c-2$, then the number above equals $g(S[\widetilde{a-2}, \hat{b}, c]) \oplus 1$, which is odd. If $g(S[\widetilde{a-2}, \hat{b}, c]) = c-2$, then the number above equals $c-2$ or $c+1$.

If $m < a$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \check{b}, c]) &= g(S[\widetilde{m-1}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus \overline{m}, \\ g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \check{b}, c]) &= g(S[\widetilde{m-1}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

If $g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \hat{b}, c]) < c-2$, then we know from equations (10.1) and (10.2) that $g(S[\widetilde{m-1}, \hat{b}, c]) < c-2$. It follows by induction that $g(S[\widetilde{m-1}, \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\widetilde{m-1}, \hat{b}, c])$. Therefore, in this case,

$$g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1 = g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \hat{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \hat{b}, c]) \oplus 1.$$

If $g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \hat{b}, c]) = c-1$, then $g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \check{b}, c]) = c-2$, and $g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \check{b}, c])$ is equal to $c-2$ or $c+1$. Note in particular that $g(S[\check{a}(\hat{1}), \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{0}, \check{b}, c]) = c-2$.

The analogous results hold for $g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}(\check{b}), c])$, and for $g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}(\hat{m}), c])$ and $g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}(\check{m}), c])$ when $m < b$.

Finally,

$$g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c(\check{m})]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, \widetilde{m-1}]) \oplus (c-m).$$

When $m \geq 3$, both numbers are odd. When m is 1 or 2, both numbers are equal to $c-2$:

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c(\hat{1})]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, \check{0}]) \oplus (c-1) = c-2, \\ g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c(\check{2})]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, \hat{1}]) \oplus (c-2) = c-2. \end{aligned}$$

In summary, $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c])) \cap \{0, \dots, c-3\}$ and $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c])) \cap \{0, \dots, c-3\}$ contain exactly the same even numbers, and for each such even number both sets contain the odd number that is one greater. Moreover, $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]))$ contains $c-2$ but not c . It follows that the minimum excluded value of $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]))$ is either the same as that of $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]))$, when $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]) < c-2$, or is equal to $c-1$ or c , when $g(S[\check{a}, \hat{b}, c]) = c-2$.

To conclude, we prove part (4), beginning with $g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1$.
For $m \leq a$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \overline{b+1}, c]) &= g(S[\overline{m-1}, \overline{b+1}, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \\ &= g(S[\overline{m-1}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \oplus 1 \\ &= g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1, \end{aligned}$$

and for $m < a$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \overline{b+1}, c]) &= g(S[\widehat{m-1}, \overline{b+1}, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \oplus 1 \\ &= g(S[\widehat{m-1}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \\ &= g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $m \leq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}(\hat{m}), c]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-1}, c]) \oplus \overline{m} = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}(\hat{m}), c]) \oplus 1, \\ g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}(\check{m}), c]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \overline{m-1}, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \oplus 1 = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}(\check{m}), c]) \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}(\overline{b+1}), c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}, c(\check{m})]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}, \overline{m-1}]) \oplus (c-m) \\ &= g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, \overline{m-1}]) \oplus 1 \oplus (c-m) \\ &= g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c(\check{m})]) \oplus 1, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly for $g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}, c(\hat{m})])$.

In summary,

$$g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}, c])) = \left(g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c])) \oplus 1 \right) \cup \{g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c])\}.$$

Whether $g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c])$ is even or odd, this set equality implies that

$$g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1.$$

The proof that $g(S[\overline{a+1}, \check{b}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1$ is analogous.

Similar calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\mathcal{F}(S[\overline{a+1}, \overline{b+1}, c])) &= \left(g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c])) \right) \cup \{g(S[\overline{a+1}, \check{b}, c]), g(S[\check{a}, \overline{b+1}, c])\} \\ &= \left(g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c])) \right) \cup \{g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c]) \oplus 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g(S[\overline{a+1}, \overline{b+1}, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \check{b}, c])$. □

11. 3-LEGGED SPIDERS WITH ONE MARKED LEG AND TWO EVEN UNMARKED LEGS

We are now prepared to compute the parity of the Grundy value of a 3-legged spider with just a single mark, where the two unmarked legs have even length.

Lemma 11.1. *Suppose $a, b, c,$ and $g(S[\check{a}, b, c])$ are even. Then $g(S[\widetilde{a+1}, b, c])$ is odd.*

Proof. Beginning on the first leg, we have

$$g(S[\widetilde{a+1}(\widetilde{a+1}), b, c]) = g(S[\check{a}, b, c]).$$

For $m \leq a,$

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\widetilde{a+1}(\check{m}), b, c]) &= g(S[\widetilde{m-1}, b, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \oplus 1 = g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), b, c]) \oplus 1, \\ g(S[\widetilde{a+1}(\hat{m}), b, c]) &= g(S[\widehat{m-1}, b, c]) \oplus \overline{m} = g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), b, c]) \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed to the second leg. For $m \leq b,$

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\widetilde{a+1}, b(\check{m}), c]) &= g(S[\widetilde{a+1}, \widetilde{m-1}, c]) \oplus (b-m) \\ &= g(S[\check{a}, \widetilde{m-1}, c]) \oplus (b-m) \oplus 1 \\ &= g(S[\check{a}, b(\check{m}), c]) \oplus 1. \end{aligned}$$

The third leg is analogous to the second. This demonstrates that

$$g(\mathcal{F}(S[\widetilde{a+1}, b, c])) = (g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, b, c])) \oplus 1) \cup g(S[\check{a}, b, c]).$$

Because the even $g(S[\check{a}, b, c])$ was the minimum excluded value of $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, b, c]))$, the set equality above shows that $g(S[\widetilde{a+1}, b, c]) + 1$ is the minimum excluded value of $g(\mathcal{F}(S[\widetilde{a+1}, b, c]))$. Therefore, $g(S[\widetilde{a+1}, b, c])$ is odd. \square

Theorem 11.2. *For any $a,$ and for any even b and $c,$ $\overline{g(S[\check{a}, b, c])} = \bar{a}.$*




FIGURE 26. The state $S[\check{a}, b, c].$

Proof. We use induction on $a.$ We know that $g(S[\check{0}, b, c]) = (b-2) \oplus (c-2),$ which is even, so the result holds for $a = 0.$

Whenever the result holds for a even, it also holds for $a + 1,$ by Lemma 11.1.

Suppose a is even and the result holds for all smaller a -values. In order to show that $g(S[\check{a}, b, c])$ is even, we must show that for any even $n \in g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, b, c]))$, we also have $n + 1 \in g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, b, c])).$

Beginning on the first leg, we have $g(S[\check{a}(\check{a}), b, c]) = g(S[\widehat{a-1}, b, c])$, which is odd. Furthermore, for $m < a$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}(\check{m}), b, c]) &= g(S[\widehat{m-1}, b, c]) \oplus \overline{m}, \\ g(S[\check{a}(\hat{m}), b, c]) &= g(S[\widehat{m-1}, b, c]) \oplus \overline{m} \oplus 1, \end{aligned}$$

two numbers with a nim sum of 1.

We proceed to the second leg. When $m \leq b$ is even,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}, b(\hat{m}), c]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-1}, c]) \oplus (b-m) \\ &= g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-2}, c]) \oplus (b-m) \oplus 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is odd. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} g(S[\check{a}, b(\check{m}), c]) &= g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-1}, c]) \oplus (b-m) \\ &= g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-2}, c]) \oplus (b-m) \oplus 1, \end{aligned}$$

which either is also odd or is equal to $g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-2}, c]) \oplus (b-m)$.

When m is odd,

$$g(S[\check{a}, b(\hat{m}), c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-1}, c]) \oplus (b-m),$$

which is odd. Also,

$$g(S[\check{a}, b(\check{m}), c]) = g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-1}, c]) \oplus (b-m),$$

which either is also odd or is equal to $g(S[\check{a}, \widehat{m-1}, c]) \oplus (b-m) \oplus 1$.

The same reasoning applies to the third leg.

Combining these results, we see that for any even $n \in g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, b, c]))$, we also have $n+1 = n \oplus 1 \in g(\mathcal{F}(S[\check{a}, b, c]))$. \square

12. THE TRIMMED GAME OF ARROWS ON A 3-LEGGED SPIDER WITH EVEN LEGS

We conclude by proving our main result.

Theorem 12.1. *For any even a , b , and c , the empty state $S[a, b, c]$ of the spider graph $S(a, b, c)$ has Grundy value 0.*




FIGURE 27. The empty state $S[a, b, c]$ of the spider graph $S(a, b, c)$.

Proof. Let m be any element of $\{1, 2, \dots, a\}$. Because $S[a(\check{m}), b, c] \cong T_{a-m} \sqcup S[\widehat{m-1}, b, c]$, we have

$$g(S[a(\check{m}), b, c]) = (a-m) \oplus g(S[\widehat{m-1}, b, c]).$$

By Theorem 11.2, this implies that

$$\overline{g(S[a(\tilde{m}), b, c])} = \overline{a - m} \oplus \overline{m - 1} = 1.$$

It follows that every follower of $S[a, b, c]$ has odd Grundy value. As a result, $g(S[a, b, c]) = 0$. \square

This final result means that the second player has a winning strategy in the Trimmed Game of Arrows on any 3-legged spider graph with legs of even length. By Theorem 3.4, we can equivalently say that the second player has a winning strategy in the Game of Cycles on any 3-legged spider graph with legs of odd length.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ryan Alvarado et al. *The Game of Cycles*. 2020. arXiv: 2004.00776 [math.CO].
- [2] Francis Su and Christopher Jackson. *Mathematics for Human Flourishing*. Yale University Press, 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS, AND STATISTICS, AZUSA PACIFIC UNIVERSITY, 901
E. ALOSTA AVE., AZUSA, CA 91702
Email address: bmathews@apu.edu