

NAKAYAMA FUNCTORS FOR COALGEBRAS AND THEIR APPLICATIONS FOR FROBENIUS TENSOR CATEGORIES

TAIKI SHIBATA AND KENICHI SHIMIZU

ABSTRACT. We introduce Nakayama functors for coalgebras and investigate their basic properties. These functors are expressed by certain (co)ends as in the finite case discussed by Fuchs, Schaumann, and Schweigert. This observation allows us to define Nakayama functors for Frobenius tensor categories in an intrinsic way. As applications, we establish the categorical Radford S^4 -formula for Frobenius tensor categories and obtain some related results. These are generalizations of works of Etingof, Nikshych, and Ostrik on finite tensor categories and some known facts on co-Frobenius Hopf algebras.

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| Organization of this paper | 3 |
| Acknowledgment | 5 |
| 2. Preliminaries | 5 |
| 2.1. Notations | 5 |
| 2.2. Basics on semiperfect coalgebras | 6 |
| 2.3. The coHom functor | 7 |
| 2.4. Relation with coHom and adjoints | 9 |
| 2.5. Ends and coends | 10 |
| 3. Nakayama functor for coalgebras | 11 |
| 3.1. Nakayama functor for coalgebras | 11 |
| 3.2. Nakayama functor as a (co)end | 12 |
| 3.3. Semiperfect coalgebras | 14 |
| 3.4. Co-Frobenius coalgebras | 17 |
| 3.5. Quasi-co-Frobenius coalgebras | 19 |
| 3.6. Symmetric coalgebras | 20 |
| 3.7. Counterexamples | 20 |
| 4. Nakayama functor for locally finite abelian categories | 23 |
| 4.1. Finiteness conditions for comodules | 23 |
| 4.2. Nakayama functor for locally finite abelian categories | 24 |
| 4.3. Interaction with adjoint functors | 25 |
| 5. Applications to Frobenius tensor categories | 27 |
| 5.1. Conventions for monoidal categories | 27 |
| 5.2. Tensor categories | 28 |
| 5.3. Frobenius tensor categories | 30 |

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18M05, 16T05.

Key words and phrases. coalgebra, Hopf algebra, Nakayama functor, locally finite abelian category, Frobenius tensor category.

| | | |
|-------------|---|----|
| 5.4. | A categorical analogue of the modular function | 31 |
| 5.5. | Categorical Radford S^4 -formula | 32 |
| 5.6. | Spherical tensor categories | 37 |
| 6. | Applications to Hopf algebras | 38 |
| 6.1. | Semiperfectness of Hopf algebras | 38 |
| 6.2. | Frobenius pairings on co-Frobenius Hopf algebras | 39 |
| 6.3. | Nakayama automorphisms of co-Frobenius Hopf algebras | 41 |
| 6.4. | Radford S^4 -formula for co-Frobenius Hopf algebras | 42 |
| Appendix A. | Finite objects in the category of comodules | 45 |
| References | | 47 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field \mathbb{k} , and let ${}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ be the category of finite-dimensional left modules over A . Given a vector space X , we denote its dual space by X^* . In representation theory, the endofunctor on ${}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ defined by $M \mapsto A^* \otimes_A M$ is called the Nakayama functor. Fuchs, Schaumann and Schweigert [FSS20] pointed out that this functor is expressed as the coend

$$(1.1) \quad A^* \otimes_A M = \int^{X \in {}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}} \text{Hom}_A(M, X)^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X$$

for $M \in {}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$. A finite abelian category is a linear abelian category that is equivalent to ${}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ for some finite-dimensional algebra A . The above coend formula of the Nakayama functor allows us to define the right exact Nakayama functor $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{R}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ for any finite abelian category \mathcal{M} without referencing an algebra A such that $\mathcal{M} \approx {}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ so that $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{R}} \cong A^* \otimes_A (-)$ if $\mathcal{M} = {}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$. As discussed in [FSS20], such an abstract treatment of the Nakayama functor is very useful in the theory of finite tensor categories and their module categories. For example, it turns out that the categorical Radford S^4 -formula for finite tensor categories [ENO04], a Frobenius property of tensor functors between finite tensor categories [Shi17a], and a criterion for a finite tensor category to be symmetric Frobenius [FSS20] follow from basic properties of $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{M}}^{\text{R}}$, the Nakayama functor. Also, it was recently revealed that the Nakayama functor plays a crucial role in the modified trace theory for finite tensor categories [SS21, SW21].

Motivated by these results, we desire to define the Nakayama functor for some wider classes of linear categories as a universal object like (1.1) to extend the above results on finite tensor categories. A locally finite abelian category [EGNO15] is a linear category that is equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ for some coalgebra C , where $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ denotes the category of finite-dimensional right C -comodules. In this paper, we show that there are endofunctors on a particular class of locally finite abelian categories expressed by (co)ends similar to (1.1). As applications, we extend several known results for finite tensor categories to Frobenius tensor categories in the sense of [ACE15]. For example, we show that the categorical Radford S^4 -formula for finite tensor categories and that some related results given in [ENO04] also hold for Frobenius tensor categories. Our results also cover some facts on co-Frobenius algebras given in [BBT07, BB09].

Our opinion is that, for general locally finite abelian categories, Nakayama functors cannot be defined by a (co)end formula like (1.1). To explain this, let C be a coalgebra. We recall that C^* has a canonical structure of an algebra and every left (right) C -comodule is naturally a right (left) C^* -module. Given a left C^* -module X , we denote its rational part by X^{rat} . In this paper, we investigate two endofunctors \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} on the category \mathfrak{M}^C of all right C -comodules defined by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}}(M) = \text{Hom}^C(C, M)^{\text{rat}} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{R}}(M) = C \otimes_{C^*} M$$

for $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, where Hom^C is the Hom functor for \mathfrak{M}^C (see Subsection 3.1 for detail). The Nakayama functor of Chin and Simson [CS10] is actually a restriction of the functor \mathbb{N}_C^{L} . By a Tannaka theoretic argument, we show that the functors \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} are expressed as (co)ends as

$$(1.3) \quad \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}}(M) = \int_{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C} \text{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{R}}(M) = \int^{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C} \text{coHom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X$$

for $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, where coHom^C is the ‘coHom’ functor introduced by Takeuchi [Tak77] (see Theorem 3.6 for the precise meaning of these formulas). The reason why (1.3) and (1.4) cannot be used to define Nakayama functors for locally finite abelian categories is that, in general, the full subcategory $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ is closed under neither \mathbb{N}_C^{L} nor \mathbb{N}_C^{R} (see Subsection 3.7).

Based on pioneering works on coalgebras and comodules [Tak77, DNT99, DNR01, CGT02, CnIDN04, CS10, GTMN03, Iov06, Iov13, Iov14], we investigate behaviors of the functors \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} . One of important observations is that $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ is closed under \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} if C is semiperfect. Thus Nakayama functors are defined for a locally finite abelian category that is equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ for some semiperfect coalgebra C . We also show that \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} are equivalences if and only if C is quasi-co-Frobenius (Theorem 3.21), and that these functors are isomorphic to the identity functor if and only if C is a symmetric coalgebra (Theorem 3.26).

Since any Frobenius tensor category is equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ for some quasi-co-Frobenius coalgebra C (Theorem 5.7), one can apply the above results to such tensor categories. One of the applications is the categorical Radford S^4 -formula mentioned in the above. Other applications are introduced during explaining the organization of this paper in the below.

Organization of this paper. This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we fix notations used throughout in this paper. We also recall some basic results on semiperfect coalgebras, the coHom functor, and (co)ends.

In Section 3, for a coalgebra C , we introduce two endofunctors \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} on \mathfrak{M}^C by (1.2). We first show that \mathbb{N}_C^{L} is right adjoint to \mathbb{N}_C^{R} (Lemma 3.3). Thus, in particular, \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} are left and right exact, respectively. Next, we show that \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} are expressed by (co)ends as above (Theorem 3.6). Their universal properties imply that \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} are Morita-Takeuchi invariant in the following sense: If $\Phi : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^D$ is an equivalence of linear categories, where C and D are coalgebras, then there are isomorphisms $\Phi \circ \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}} \cong \mathbb{N}_D^{\text{L}} \circ \Phi$ and $\Phi \circ \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{R}} \cong \mathbb{N}_D^{\text{R}} \circ \Phi$ of functors (Lemma 3.8).

In general, \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} do not seem to be for the benefit of the study of coalgebras. There is, even, an example of a coalgebra C such that both \mathbb{N}_C^{L} and \mathbb{N}_C^{R} are

zero functors (Example 3.31). However, if we assume that C is semiperfect, then \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R have some good properties as in the case of finite-dimensional algebras. For example, for a semiperfect coalgebra C , we show that \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R preserve $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ and they induce equivalences between the category of projective objects of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ and the category of injective objects of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ (Theorem 3.15).

For a co-Frobenius coalgebra C , we show that \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R are isomorphic to the functor twisting the coaction by ν^{-1} and ν , respectively, where ν is the Nakayama automorphism of C (Lemma 3.19). By this result and the Morita-Takeuchi invariance, we see that \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R are equivalences precisely if C is quasi-co-Frobenius (Theorem 3.21), and that \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R are identity functors precisely if C is a symmetric coalgebra (Theorem 3.26). Now suppose that C is a quasi-co-Frobenius coalgebra. Given a simple right C -comodule S , we denote by $E(S)$ and $P(S)$ an injective hull and a projective cover of S , respectively. Then we have

$$\text{soc } P(S) \cong \mathbb{N}_C^L(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{top } E(S) \cong \mathbb{N}_C^R(S)$$

as in the case of finite-dimensional algebra (Theorem 3.22).

In Section 4, we reformulate results of Section 3 in the setting of locally finite categories. Given a category \mathcal{A} , we denote its ind-completion by $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$. We note that a locally finite abelian category is equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ for some coalgebra C and $\text{Ind}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)$ can be identified with \mathfrak{M}^C . Now let \mathcal{A} be a locally finite abelian category. In view of (1.3) and (1.4), we can define two endofunctors $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^L$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^R$ on $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^L(M) &= \int_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M) \otimes X, \\ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^R(M) &= \int^{X \in \mathcal{A}} \text{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M) \otimes X \end{aligned}$$

for $M \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$, where \otimes is the copower and $\text{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the coHom functor for \mathcal{A} (see Section 2). By Theorem 3.15, we see that $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^L$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^R$ restrict to endofunctors on \mathcal{A} if \mathcal{A} has enough injective and projective (Theorem 4.5). We also show the following generalization of [FSS20, Theorem 3.18]: Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be locally finite abelian categories. Then, for every \mathbb{k} -linear functor $F : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})$ such that F preserves limits and the double right adjoint F^{rra} of F exists, there is an isomorphism $F \circ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^L \cong \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}^L \circ F^{\text{rra}}$ (Theorem 4.7). A similar result holds also for \mathbb{N}^R .

In Section 5, we give applications of our results to Frobenius tensor categories. If H is a Hopf algebra, then $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is a left rigid monoidal category, however, $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is not right rigid in general. This means that $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ may not be a tensor category in the sense of [EGNO15]. Mentioning this problem, in this section, we show the following criterion by utilizing Nakayama functors: Let \mathcal{C} be a locally finite abelian category equipped with a structure of a monoidal category such that the tensor product \otimes of \mathcal{C} is bilinear and exact, and the unit object $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{C}$ is simple. Suppose that \mathcal{C} has a non-zero object that is either injective or projective. Then \mathcal{C} is left rigid if and only if \mathcal{C} is right rigid (Theorem 5.2).

The discussion for proving the above result also gives some equivalent conditions for a tensor category to be Frobenius (Theorem 5.7). Now let \mathcal{C} be a Frobenius tensor category with left duality $(-)^{\vee}$. In this case $\mathbb{N}^R := \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^R$ restricts to an endofunctor on \mathcal{C} . By the basically same way as [FSS20], we obtain natural

isomorphisms

$$X \otimes \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(Y) \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X^{\vee\vee} \otimes Y) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X \otimes Y) \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X) \otimes Y^{\vee\vee}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Letting $\mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}} := \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{1})$, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(1.5) \quad X \otimes \mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbf{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes X^{\vee\vee\vee\vee}$$

for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ (Definition 5.12). We give explicit formulas for this isomorphism in two cases that \mathcal{C} is braided and that \mathcal{C} is semisimple (Theorems 5.14 and 5.18). These results are, in fact, generalizations of the categorical Radford S^4 -formula and related results given in [ENO04]. We also define a spherical tensor category in the spirit of [DSPS20] using the isomorphism (1.5) and show that a braided spherical tensor category is a ribbon category (Theorem 5.21).

In Section 6, we explain how our results are applied to Hopf algebras. In particular, we derive several known equivalent conditions for a Hopf algebra to be co-Frobenius as a corollary of our results on tensor categories (Theorem 6.1). We also show that the Radford S^4 -formula for co-Frobenius Hopf algebra given in [BBT07] is obtained from the isomorphism (1.5).

Acknowledgment. The first author (T.S.) is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP19K14517. The second author (K.S.) is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP20K03520.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations. Our basic reference on the category theory is Mac Lane [ML98]. Given a category \mathcal{C} , we denote by \mathcal{C}^{op} its opposite category. The class of objects of \mathcal{C} is written as $\text{Obj}(\mathcal{C})$, however, we also write $X \in \mathcal{C}$ to mean that X is an object of the category \mathcal{C} . An object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is written as X^{op} when it is regarded as an object of \mathcal{C}^{op} . For a functor F , we denote by F^{la} and F^{ra} a left and a right adjoint of F , respectively, if they exist.

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{k} denotes the base field. The category of all vector spaces (over \mathbb{k}) is denoted by \mathbf{Vect} . By a (co)algebra, we always mean a (co)associative (co)unital (co)algebra over the field \mathbb{k} . Given an algebra A , we denote by ${}_A\mathfrak{M}$ and \mathfrak{M}_A the category of left and right A -modules, respectively. Similarly, given a coalgebra C , we denote by ${}^C\mathfrak{M}$ and \mathfrak{M}^C the category of left and right C -comodules, respectively. The Hom functors for ${}_A\mathfrak{M}$, \mathfrak{M}_A , ${}^C\mathfrak{M}$, \mathfrak{M}^C are written as ${}_A\text{Hom}$, Hom_A , ${}^C\text{Hom}$ and Hom^C , respectively. We use the subscript fd to mean the full subcategory of finite-dimensional objects. For example, $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ is the category of finite-dimensional right C -comodules.

The comultiplication and the counit of a coalgebra C is usually denoted by $\Delta : C \rightarrow C \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} C$ and $\varepsilon : C \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, respectively. The Sweedler notation, such as

$$\Delta(c) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{(1)} \otimes \Delta(c_{(2)}) = c_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)} \otimes c_{(3)} = \Delta(c_{(1)}) \otimes c_{(2)},$$

will be used to express the comultiplication of $c \in C$. The coaction of C on a right C -comodule M is usually denoted by $\delta_M : M \rightarrow M \otimes C$ and expressed as $m \mapsto m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$. If M is a bicomodule, the left and the right coactions are denoted by δ_M^{L} and δ_M^{R} , respectively.

Given a vector space V , we denote by $V^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(V, \mathbb{k})$ the dual space of V . For $f \in V^*$ and $v \in V$, $f(v)$ is often written as $\langle f, v \rangle$. The dual space C^* of a coalgebra C is an algebra with respect to the convolution product defined by

$\langle f * g, c \rangle = f(c_{(1)})g(c_{(2)})$ for $f, g \in C^*$ and $c \in C$. Every right C -comodule M is naturally a left C^* -module by the action defined by $c^* \rightharpoonup m = m_{(0)}\langle c^*, m_{(1)} \rangle$ for $c^* \in C^*$ and $m \in M$, and this construction extends to a fully faithful functor

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow {}_{C^*}\mathfrak{M}, \quad (M, \delta_M) \mapsto (M, \rightharpoonup).$$

A left C^* -module is said to be *rational* if it belongs to the image of the functor (2.1). Every left C^* -module M has the largest rational submodule, which we call the *rational part* of M . The rational part of M will be denoted by M^{rat} . The assignment $M \mapsto M^{\text{rat}}$ extends the functor

$$(2.2) \quad (-)^{\text{rat}} : {}_{C^*}\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C, \quad M \mapsto M^{\text{rat}},$$

which is right adjoint to (2.1).

A left C -comodule is regarded as a right C^* -module in a similar way as above. The rational part of a right C^* -module M is also defined in an analogous way and denoted by the same symbol M^{rat} . This notation is ambiguous when M is a C^* -bimodule. Thus, in that case, we denote by $({}_{C^*}M)^{\text{rat}}$ and $(M_{C^*})^{\text{rat}}$ the rational part of M as a left and a right C^* -module M , respectively.

2.2. Basics on semiperfect coalgebras. For the theory of coalgebras and comodules, we refer the reader to [DNR01]. We recall some results on semiperfect coalgebras, which are especially important in this paper. Let, in general, A be an algebra and M be a left A -module. Then M^* is a right A -module by the action $\langle m^* \cdot a, m \rangle = \langle m^*, am \rangle$ ($m^* \in M^*$, $m \in M$, $a \in A$). For a while, we let C be an arbitrary coalgebra. First of all, we note:

Lemma 2.1 ([DNR01, Lemma 2.2.12]). *If M is a finite-dimensional rational left C^* -module, then M^* is a rational right C^* -module.*

In other words, if M is a finite-dimensional right C -comodule, then M^* is a left C -comodule. The left coaction of C on M^* , which we denote by $m^* \mapsto m^*_{(-1)} \otimes m^*_{(0)}$, is characterized by the equation

$$(2.3) \quad \langle m^*_{(-1)}, m \rangle m^*_{(0)} = \langle m^*, m_{(0)} \rangle m_{(1)} \quad (m^* \in M^*, m \in M).$$

By the above lemma, the assignment $M \mapsto M^*$ gives rise to an anti-equivalence between the categories $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ and ${}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$. The following observation is also important:

Lemma 2.2 ([DNR01, Corollary 2.4.20]). *A finite-dimensional right C -comodule E is injective (projective) if and only if the left C -comodule E^* is projective (injective).*

Given an abelian category \mathcal{A} , we denote by $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\text{Inj}(\mathcal{A})$ the class of projective and injective objects of \mathcal{A} , respectively. The assignment $M \mapsto M^*$ also gives equivalences

$$\text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) \approx \text{Proj}({}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}})^{\text{op}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) \approx \text{Inj}({}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}})^{\text{op}}.$$

We warn that, for an algebra A , a projective object of ${}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ may not be a projective object of ${}_A\mathfrak{M}$. For the case of coalgebras, the situation is easy:

Lemma 2.3. *For a coalgebra C , we have*

$$\text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) = \text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}^C) \cap \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) = \text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}^C) \cap \text{Obj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C).$$

Proof. The first equation follows from [DNR01, Theorem 2.4.17]. We prove the second one. Suppose $P \in \text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)$. Then P^* is an injective object of ${}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$, and hence it is also an injective object of ${}^C\mathfrak{M}$ by the first equation applied to C^{cop} . Thus, by Lemma 2.2, P is a projective object of \mathfrak{M}^C . The “ \subset ” part is proved. The converse inclusion is clear. The proof is done. \square

A coalgebra C is said to be *left (right) semiperfect* [DNR01, Definition 3.2.4] if every finite-dimensional left (right) C -comodule has a projective cover. The following conditions that are equivalent to the right semiperfectness will be used throughout this paper:

Lemma 2.4 ([DNR01, Theorem 3.2.3]). *For a coalgebra C , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) C is right semiperfect.
- (2) \mathfrak{M}^C is enough projective.
- (3) Every simple left C -comodule has a finite-dimensional injective hull.
- (4) $({}_{C^*}C^*)^{\text{rat}}$ is dense in C^* with respect to the finite topology.

Suppose that C is right semiperfect. Given $V \in {}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$, we denote its injective hull by $E(V)$. The above lemma implies that $E(V)$ is finite-dimensional. As noted in the proof of [DNR01, Theorem 3.2.3], a projective cover of a finite-dimensional right C -comodule M is given by $E(M^*)^*$. Thus we have:

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that C is right semiperfect. Then a projective cover of a finite-dimensional right C -comodule exists and is finite-dimensional.*

A coalgebra C is said to be *semiperfect* if it is both left and right semiperfect. If C is semiperfect, then $({}_{C^*}C^*)^{\text{rat}}$ is an idempotent ideal of C^* and is equal to $(C_{C^*}^*)^{\text{rat}}$ as a subspace of C^* [DNR01, Corollary 3.2.16]. Thus we may write $C^{*\text{rat}} = ({}_{C^*}C^*)^{\text{rat}} = (C_{C^*}^*)^{\text{rat}}$ for a semiperfect coalgebra C .

Lemma 2.6 ([DNT99, Lemma 2.9]). *Suppose that C is semiperfect. Then we have*

$$M^{\text{rat}} = C^{*\text{rat}} \twoheadrightarrow M \quad (M \in {}_{C^*}\mathfrak{M}).$$

2.3. The coHom functor. Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{k} -linear category, that is, a category enriched over \mathbf{Vect} . Given objects $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$ and $X \in \mathcal{A}$ such that the functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ defined by $Y \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(W, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y))$ is representable, we call the object representing this functor the *copower* of X by W and denote it by $W \otimes X \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, by definition, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(W, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, W)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(W \otimes X, W)$$

natural in the variable $Y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Example 2.7. Let C be a coalgebra. Then the copower of $X \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ by $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$ is the vector space $W \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X$ equipped with the right C -comodule structure $\text{id}_W \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \delta_X$.

For an object $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and a vector space W with $\alpha = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} W$ (which is possibly infinite), the copower $W \otimes X$ exists if and only if the direct sum $X^{\oplus \alpha}$ exists. Furthermore, if they exist, there is an isomorphism $W \otimes X \cong X^{\oplus \alpha}$ in \mathcal{A} . We note that this isomorphism is ‘not canonical’ in the sense that it depends on the choice of a basis of W .

If $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathbf{Vect}$ and $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{A}$ are full subcategories such that $W \otimes X$ exists for all $W \in \mathcal{W}$ and $X \in \mathcal{X}$, then the assignment $(W, X) \mapsto W \otimes X$ extends to a functor

from $\mathcal{W} \times \mathcal{X}$ to \mathcal{A} in such a way that the above isomorphism is also natural in the variables W and X .

Let \mathcal{A} be a \mathbb{k} -linear category, and let $X \in \mathcal{A}$ be an object such that the copower $W \otimes X$ exists for all $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$. Let Y also be an object of \mathcal{A} . If the functor $\mathbf{Vect} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$, $W \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, W \otimes X)$ is representable, then we call the object representing this functor the *coHom* space from X to Y and denote it by $\mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$. Thus, by definition, there is an isomorphism

$$(2.4) \quad \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y), W) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, W \otimes X)$$

natural in $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$. If \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are full subcategories of \mathcal{A} such that $\mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ exists for all $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$, then the assignment $(X, Y) \mapsto \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ extends to a functor from $\mathcal{X}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathcal{Y}$ to \mathbf{Vect} in such a way that the above isomorphism is also natural in X and Y .

The above definition is based on [Tak77], where the coHom functor for the category of comodules was introduced and used to establish the Morita theory for coalgebras. We note some useful results on the coHom functor for comodules: Let C be a coalgebra. For simplicity, we write

$$\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, Y) = \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathfrak{M}^C}(X, Y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ (if the right-hand side exists).

Lemma 2.8. *For all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$, $\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, Y)$ exists and is given by*

$$\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, Y) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^C(Y, X)^*.$$

Proof. By the assumption, $\mathrm{Hom}^C(Y, X)$ is finite-dimensional. We also note that $\mathrm{Hom}^C(Y, -)$ preserves direct sums since Y is assumed to be finite-dimensional. Thus we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathrm{Hom}^C(Y, X)^*, W) \cong W \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathrm{Hom}^C(Y, X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^C(Y, W \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X)$$

for all $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$. The proof is done. \square

Lemma 2.9. *For $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, $\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, Y)$ exists and is given by*

$$\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, Y) \cong X^* \otimes_{C^*} Y.$$

Proof. By the tensor-Hom adjunction, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(X^* \otimes_{C^*} Y, W) \cong {}_{C^*}\mathrm{Hom}(Y, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(X^*, W)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}^C(Y, W \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X)$$

for all $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$, where the finite-dimensionality of X is used for the second isomorphism. \square

For infinite-dimensional comodules, the coHom space may not exist. A right C -comodule X is said to be *quasi-finite* [Tak77] if $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathrm{Hom}^C(V, X) < \infty$ for all $V \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$. It is known that $\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, Y)$ exists for all $Y \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ if and only if X is quasi-finite. Moreover, if this is the case, then we have

$$\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, Y) = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathrm{Hom}^C(Y_{\lambda}, X)^*$$

for $Y \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, where $\{Y_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the set of finite-dimensional subcomodules of Y [Tak77, Proposition 1.3].

Now we suppose that $X \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ is quasi-finite. Since $\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, -)$ has a right adjoint, it preserves direct sums, and therefore it preserves copowers. Let D be

another coalgebra. If P is a D - C -bicomodule, then $\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, P)$ is a left D -comodule by the structure map

$$\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, P) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{coHom}^C(X, \delta_P^\dagger)} \mathrm{coHom}^C(C, D \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} P) \xrightarrow{\cong} D \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathrm{coHom}^C(C, P),$$

where $\delta_P^\dagger : P \rightarrow D \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} P$ is the left D -comodule structure of P and the second arrow follows from that $\mathrm{coHom}^C(C, -)$ preserves copowers. This construction gives rise to a functor

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{qf}}^C)^{\mathrm{op}} \times {}^D\mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow {}^D\mathfrak{M}, \quad (X, P) \mapsto \mathrm{coHom}^C(X, P),$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{qf}}^C$ is the full subcategory of \mathfrak{M}^C of quasi-finite comodules and ${}^D\mathfrak{M}^C$ is the category of D - C -bicomodules [Tak77].

2.4. Relation with coHom and adjoints. Following the existence result on the coHom space in the category of comodules, given a \mathbb{k} -linear category \mathcal{A} , we denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{qf}}$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{A} consisting of all objects X such that $\mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ exists for all objects $Y \in \mathcal{A}$. Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be \mathbb{k} -linear categories admitting direct sums, and let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear functor preserving the direct sums. Then we have a natural isomorphism

$$(2.5) \quad F(W \otimes X) \cong W \otimes F(X)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$. If $F(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{qf}}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\mathrm{qf}}$, then there is a linear map

$$F_{X, Y}^\dagger : \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(X), F(Y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y) \quad (X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{qf}}, Y \in \mathcal{A})$$

induced by the natural transformations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y), W) &\xrightarrow{(2.4)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y, W \otimes X) \\ &\xrightarrow{f \mapsto F(f)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F(Y), F(W \otimes X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{(2.5)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(Y), W \otimes F(X)) \\ &\xrightarrow{(2.4)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(X), F(Y)), W) \end{aligned}$$

for $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$.

Suppose moreover that the functor F has a left adjoint F^{la} . Let η and ε be the unit and the counit of the adjunction $F^{\mathrm{la}} \dashv F$. Then there is a natural transformation

$$F_{X, F^{\mathrm{la}}(Y)}^\dagger \circ \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathrm{id}_{F(X)}, \eta_Y) : \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(X), Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, F^{\mathrm{la}}(Y))$$

for $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{qf}}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. We note that F^{la} preserves direct sums (in fact any colimits) as it has a right adjoint F . Thus there is also a natural transformation

$$(F^{\mathrm{la}})_{F(X), Y}^\dagger \circ \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\varepsilon_X, \mathrm{id}_{F^{\mathrm{la}}(Y)}) : \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, F^{\mathrm{la}}(Y)) \rightarrow \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(X), Y)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{qf}}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{B}$. One can check that the above natural transformations are mutually inverse to each other. Thus, in summary, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(2.6) \quad \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{B}}(F(X), Y) \cong \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, F^{\mathrm{la}}(Y)) \quad (X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{qf}}, Y \in \mathcal{B}).$$

2.5. Ends and coends. We assume that the reader is familiar with dealing with ends and coends [ML98, IX]. Let C be a coalgebra. The following coend formula for the C -bicomodule C , which should be well-known, is essential in proving the universal property of the Nakayama functors introduced in the next section.

Lemma 2.10. *The C -bicomodule C is a coend of the functor*

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)^{\text{op}} \times (\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) \rightarrow {}^C\mathfrak{M}^C, \quad (X^{\text{op}}, Y) \mapsto X^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} Y$$

with the universal dinatural transformation given by

$$(2.7) \quad i_X : X^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X \rightarrow C, \quad i_X(x^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} x) = \langle x^*, x_{(0)} \rangle x_{(1)} \quad (x^* \in X^*, x \in X)$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$. Thus, using the integral notation for coends, we have

$$(2.8) \quad C = \int^{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C} X^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X.$$

Proof. By (2.3), one can easily check that (2.7) is a morphism of C -bicomodules. The universal property of (2.7) is proved by the standard argument in the Tannaka theory; see, e.g., [Sch92]. \square

To close this preliminary section, we note some useful formulas for (co)ends. Let $T : \mathcal{B}^{\text{op}} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ and $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be functors, where \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{V} are arbitrary categories. Suppose that the functor F admits a right adjoint. Then we have

$$(2.9) \quad \int^{X \in \mathcal{A}} T(F(X), X) \cong \int^{Y \in \mathcal{B}} T(Y, F^{\text{ra}}(Y))$$

meaning that the coend on the left hand side exists precisely if the coend on the right hand side does and, if they exist, then they are canonically isomorphic [BV12, Lemma 3.9]. We assume that the above coends exists. Let C_1 and C_2 be the left and the right hand side of (2.9), respectively, and let

$$i_X : T(F(X), X) \rightarrow C_1 \quad (X \in \mathcal{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad j_Y : T(Y, F^{\text{ra}}(Y)) \rightarrow C_2 \quad (Y \in \mathcal{B})$$

be the universal dinatural transformations for C_1 and C_2 , respectively. According to the proof of [BV12, Lemma 3.9], we find that the canonical isomorphism $\phi : C_1 \rightarrow C_2$ and its inverse are characterized by

$$(2.10) \quad \phi \circ i_X = j_{F(X)} \circ T(F(X), \eta_X) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi^{-1} \circ j_Y = i_{F^{\text{ra}}(Y)} \circ T(\varepsilon_Y, F^{\text{ra}}(Y)),$$

respectively, for all $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{B}$, where $\eta : \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow F^{\text{ra}} \circ F$ and $\varepsilon : F \circ F^{\text{ra}} \rightarrow \text{id}_{\mathcal{B}}$ are the unit and the counit for the adjunction $F \dashv F^{\text{ra}}$, respectively.

By the dual argument, we have an analogous result for ends: If $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a functor admitting a left adjoint, then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{X \in \mathcal{A}} T(F(X), X) \cong \int_{Y \in \mathcal{B}} T(Y, F^{\text{la}}(Y))$$

provided that either the left or the right hand side exists.

3. NAKAYAMA FUNCTOR FOR COALGEBRAS

3.1. Nakayama functor for coalgebras. In this section, we introduce the left exact and the right exact Nakayama functor for coalgebras and investigate their properties. Let C be a coalgebra over \mathbb{k} . If M is a right C -comodule, then the vector space $\text{Hom}^C(C, M)$ is a left C^* -module by the action given by

$$(c^* \cdot f)(c) := f(c \leftarrow c^*) = \langle c^*, c_{(1)} \rangle f(c_{(2)})$$

for $c^* \in C$, $c \in C$ and $f \in \text{Hom}^C(C, M)$. The functor $\text{Hom}^C(C, -) : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow {}_{C^*}\mathfrak{M}$ has been studied by Iovanov [Iov13] under the name of the integral functor. In general, $\text{Hom}^C(C, M)$ is not a rational left C^* -module (see Example 3.28). We denote its rational part by $\mathbb{N}_C^L(M)$ and define the left exact Nakayama functor by $M \mapsto \mathbb{N}_C^L(M)$. Namely,

Definition 3.1. The left exact Nakayama functor for C is defined by

$$\mathbb{N}_C^L : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C, \quad M \mapsto \text{Hom}^C(C, M)^{\text{rat}}.$$

For $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, we define the left C^* -module $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M)$ by $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M) = C \otimes_{C^*} M$, where C and M are regarded as a C^* -bimodule and a left C^* -module, respectively. The left C^* -module $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M)$ is a quotient of the rational left C^* -module $C \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} M$. Since a quotient of a rational left C^* -module is rational [DNR01, Theorem 2.2.6], $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M)$ is rational. Thus it can be viewed as a right C -comodule.

Definition 3.2. The right exact Nakayama functor for C is defined by

$$\mathbb{N}_C^R : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C, \quad M \mapsto C \otimes_{C^*} M.$$

As their names suggest, the functors \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R are left exact and right exact, respectively. This follows from the following lemma and the general fact that a functor between abelian categories is left (right) exact if it has a left (right) adjoint.

Lemma 3.3. \mathbb{N}_C^R is left adjoint to \mathbb{N}_C^L .

Proof. For $M, M' \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}^C(C \otimes_{C^*} M, M') &\cong {}_{C^*}\text{Hom}(C \otimes_{C^*} M, M') \\ &\cong {}_{C^*}\text{Hom}(M, {}_{C^*}\text{Hom}(C, M')) \\ &\cong {}_{C^*}\text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}^C(C, M')) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}^C(M, \text{Hom}^C(C, M')^{\text{rat}}) \\ &= \text{Hom}^C(M, \mathbb{N}_C^L(M')). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Although we will not use them in this paper, it would be worth to include a description of the unit and the counit of the adjunction $\mathbb{N}_C^R \dashv \mathbb{N}_C^L$. By the proof of the above lemma, the unit u is given by

$$u_M : M \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_C^L \mathbb{N}_C^R(M) = \text{Hom}^C(C, C \otimes_{C^*} M)^{\text{rat}}, \quad u_M(m) : c \mapsto c \otimes_{C^*} m$$

for $c \in C$ and $m \in M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$. The counit e is given by

$$e_M : \mathbb{N}_C^R \mathbb{N}_C^L(M) = C \otimes_{C^*} \text{Hom}^C(C, M)^{\text{rat}} \rightarrow M, \quad e_M(c \otimes_{C^*} \xi) = \xi(c)$$

for $c \in C$, $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ and $\xi \in \text{Hom}^C(C, M)^{\text{rat}}$.

Let $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{qf}}^C$ denote the full subcategory of \mathfrak{M}^C consisting of all quasi-finite right C -comodules (see Subsection 2.3). The restriction of \mathbb{N}_C^L to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{qf}}^C$ is expressed by the coHom functor as follows:

Lemma 3.4. *For a quasi-finite right C -comodule M , we have*

$$\mathbb{N}_C^L(M) \cong \text{coHom}^C(M, C)^{\text{*rat}}.$$

The right C -comodule structure of the right-hand side is given as follows: Let, in general, C and D be coalgebras, and let P be a C - D -bicomodule. Then there is a contravariant functor $\text{coHom}^D(-, P) : \mathfrak{M}_{\text{qf}}^D \rightarrow {}^C\mathfrak{M}$. If M is a left C -comodule, then M^* is a left C^* -module as the dual space of the right C^* -module M . This lemma actually states that the restriction of \mathbb{N}_C^L to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{qf}}^C$ is isomorphic to the composition

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\text{qf}}^C \xrightarrow{\text{coHom}^C(-, C)} {}^C\mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{(-)^*} C^*\mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\text{rat}}} \mathfrak{M}^C.$$

Proof. By the definition of the coHom functor, we have a natural isomorphism

$$(3.1) \quad \text{coHom}^C(X, Y)^* = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(\text{coHom}^C(X, Y), \mathbb{k}) \cong \text{Hom}^C(Y, X)$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{qf}}^C$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{M}^C$. It is easy to check that these isomorphisms are left C^* -linear when Y is a C -bicomodule. Thus, for $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{qf}}^C$, we have an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{N}_C^L(M) = \text{Hom}^C(C, M)^{\text{rat}} \cong \text{coHom}^C(M, C)^{\text{*rat}}$$

of right C -comodules. The proof is done. \square

Remark 3.5. Chin and Simson [CS10] have also introduced a functor named Nakayama functor. Their Nakayama functor [CS10, Equation 1.12], which we denote by \mathbb{N}_{CS} here, is defined on a particular class of quasi-finite comodules. By the definition of the domain of \mathbb{N}_{CS} and [CS10, Theorem 1.8 (a)], we have $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \text{coHom}^C(M, C) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{CS}}(M) = \text{coHom}^C(M, C)^*$ whenever M belongs to the domain of \mathbb{N}_{CS} . Thus, by Lemma 3.4, \mathbb{N}_{CS} is the restriction of our Nakayama functor \mathbb{N}_C^L .

3.2. Nakayama functor as a (co)end. Let C be a coalgebra. Here we prove:

Theorem 3.6. *For every $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, $\mathbb{N}_C^L(M)$ is an end of the functor*

$$(3.2) \quad (\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C, \quad (X^{\text{op}}, Y) \mapsto \text{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} Y$$

and $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M)$ is a coend of the functor

$$(3.3) \quad (\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C, \quad (X^{\text{op}}, Y) \mapsto \text{coHom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} Y.$$

It is convenient if we express the claim of this theorem as the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_C^L(M) &= \int_{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C} \text{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X, \\ \mathbb{N}_C^R(M) &= \int^{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C} \text{coHom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X \end{aligned}$$

for $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$. However, we shall care about ‘where the integral is taken’ when we use this kind of notation. Indeed, since the forgetful functor $\mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ does not preserve limits in general [Wis75, Proposition 31], the vector space $\mathbb{N}_C^L(M)$ may not be an end of the functor

$$(3.4) \quad (\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}, \quad (X^{\text{op}}, Y) \mapsto \text{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} Y$$

(see Remark 3.7). On the other hand, since the forgetful functor $\mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ preserves colimits [Wis75, Corollary 3], the vector space $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M)$ is a coend of the functor

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)^{\text{op}} \times \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}, \quad (X^{\text{op}}, Y) \mapsto \text{coHom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} Y.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. For $P \in {}^C\mathfrak{M}^C$ and $M, N \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_{C^*}\mathrm{Hom}(P \otimes_{C^*} M, N) &\cong {}_{C^*}\mathrm{Hom}_{C^*}(P, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, N)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^{C^e}(P, \mathrm{Rat}_{C^e}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, N))), \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism is the tensor-Hom adjunction and Rat_{C^e} is the rational part functor (2.2) for the enveloping coalgebra $C^e = C \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} C^{\mathrm{cop}}$.

Now we fix a right C -comodule M and show that $\mathbb{N}_C^L(M)$ is an end as stated. For $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$, there is the following natural isomorphism of left C^* -modules:

$$\mathrm{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}^C(X \otimes Y^*, M), \quad \xi \otimes y \mapsto [x \otimes y^* \mapsto \xi(x)\langle y^*, y \rangle].$$

Since the left C^* -module $\mathrm{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes Y$ is rational, we have

$$(3.6) \quad \mathrm{Hom}^C(X \otimes Y^*, M)^{\mathrm{rat}} \cong (\mathrm{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes Y)^{\mathrm{rat}} = \mathrm{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes Y.$$

For $P \in {}^C\mathfrak{M}^C$ and $N \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}^C(N, \mathrm{Hom}^C(P, M)^{\mathrm{rat}}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^C(P \otimes_{C^*} N, M) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^{C^e}(P, \mathrm{Rat}_{C^e}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, N))), \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism is obtained by the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.3 and the second follows from (3.5). Hence the contravariant functor $\Psi_M : {}^C\mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C$, $P \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}^C(P, M)^{\mathrm{rat}}$ sends a colimit into a limit. Thus,

$$\mathbb{N}_C^L(M) = \Psi_M(C) \stackrel{(2.8)}{\cong} \int_{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C} \mathrm{Hom}^C(X^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X, M)^{\mathrm{rat}} \stackrel{(3.6)}{\cong} \int_{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C} \mathrm{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X.$$

By (3.5), the functor $\Phi_M : {}^C\mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C$, $P \mapsto P \otimes_{C^*} M$ preserves colimits. Thus,

$$\mathbb{N}_C^R(M) = \Phi_M(C) \stackrel{(2.8)}{\cong} \int^{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C} (X^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X) \otimes_{C^*} M \cong \int^{X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C} \mathrm{coHom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X,$$

where the second isomorphism is given in Lemma 2.9. The proof is done. \square

Remark 3.7. For $M, N \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ and $P \in {}^C\mathfrak{M}^C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{C^*}\mathrm{Hom}(N, \mathrm{Hom}^C(M, P)) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^C(N, \mathrm{Hom}^C(P, M)^{\mathrm{rat}}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}^{C^e}(P, \mathrm{Rat}_{C^e}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, N))), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism follows from (3.6). Thus the contravariant functor $\mathrm{Hom}^C(-, M) : {}^C\mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow {}_{C^*}\mathfrak{M}$ turns a coend into an end. By the proof of the above theorem, we see that the left C^* -module $\mathrm{Hom}^C(C, P)$ is an end of the functor

$$(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C)^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C \rightarrow {}_{C^*}\mathfrak{M}, \quad (X^{\mathrm{op}}, Y) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}^C(X, M) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} Y.$$

Since the forgetful functor ${}_{C^*}\mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ preserves limits, $\mathrm{Hom}^C(C, M) \in \mathbf{Vect}$ is in fact an end of the functor (3.2). Thus, in general, $\mathbb{N}_C^L(M)$ is not isomorphic to an end of (3.2) (see Example 3.28).

Two coalgebras C and D are said to be *Morita-Takeuchi equivalent* if \mathfrak{M}^C and \mathfrak{M}^D are equivalent as linear categories. As an application of the universal property of the Nakayama functor, we show that the left and the right exact Nakayama functor are Morita-Takeuchi invariant in the following sense:

Lemma 3.8. *Let C and D be coalgebras, and let $\Phi : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^D$ be an equivalence of \mathbb{k} -linear categories. Then there are isomorphisms of functors*

$$\Phi \circ \mathbb{N}_C^L \cong \mathbb{N}_D^L \circ \Phi, \quad \Phi \circ \mathbb{N}_C^R \cong \mathbb{N}_D^R \circ \Phi.$$

Proof. By the fundamental theorem for comodules, a comodule over a coalgebra is finite-dimensional if and only if it is of finite length. Since an equivalence between abelian categories preserves lengths, we see that the equivalence Φ induces an equivalence between $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^D$. The proof of this lemma is now obvious by the universal property of the Nakayama functor given in Theorem 3.6. \square

3.3. Semiperfect coalgebras. Let C be a coalgebra. An *idempotent* for C is an idempotent of the algebra C^* . Given an idempotent e for C and a right C -comodule M , we write $eM := e \rightharpoonup M$ (where M is regarded as a left C^* -module by \rightharpoonup). A similar notation will be used for left comodules. It is straightforward to show:

Lemma 3.9. *For $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$, $W \in \mathbf{Vect}$ and an idempotent e for C , the map*

$$(3.7) \quad \text{Hom}^C(M, W \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} Ce) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(eM, W), \quad f \mapsto (\text{id}_W \otimes \varepsilon|_{Ce}) \circ (f|_{eM})$$

is an isomorphism of vector spaces, where ε is the counit of C .

If e is an idempotent for C , then Ce is an injective right C -comodule as a direct summand of the right C -comodule C . Moreover, every indecomposable injective right C -comodule is isomorphic to Ce for some primitive idempotent e for C [CGT02, Proposition 1.13]. Let $\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ be the full subcategory of \mathfrak{M}^C consisting of all injective right C -comodules whose socle is of finite length. Every object of $\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ is a finite direct sum of indecomposable injective right C -comodules, and thus it is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_i e_i C$ for some finite number of primitive idempotents e_i for C . We define ${}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}} \subset {}^C\mathfrak{M}$ analogously.

Lemma 3.10. *The contravariant functor*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C \rightarrow {}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}, \quad E \mapsto \text{coHom}^C(E, C)$$

is a well-defined anti-equivalence.

Proof. Let e be an idempotent for C . Then, by (3.7), we have an isomorphism

$$(3.9) \quad \text{coHom}^C(Ce, C) \cong eC$$

of left C -comodules [CGT02, Corollary 1.6]. Thus the functor (3.8) is essentially surjective. For two idempotents e and e' for C , we have $\text{Hom}^C(Ce, Ce') \cong eC^*e'$ by (3.7). By applying this formula to C^{cop} , we also have an isomorphism ${}^C\text{Hom}(e'C, eC) \cong e' *^{\text{cop}} (C^{\text{cop}})^* *^{\text{cop}} e = eC^*e'$, where $*^{\text{cop}}$ is the convolution product for $(C^{\text{cop}})^*$. If we identify $\text{Hom}^C(Ce, Ce') = eC^*e' = {}^C\text{Hom}(e'C, eC)$ by these isomorphisms, the map $\text{Hom}^C(Ce, Ce') \rightarrow {}^C\text{Hom}(e'C, eC)$ induced by the functor (3.8) is the identity. Thus (3.8) is fully faithful. The proof is done. \square

Since the duality functor $(-)^*$ is an anti-equivalence between ${}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$, it restricts to an anti-equivalence between ${}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{fd}} := \text{Inj}({}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}})$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C := \text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)$. The discussion so far is summarized into the following diagram, which commutes

up to isomorphisms:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathfrak{M}^C & \xrightarrow{\mathbb{N}_C^L} & & \mathfrak{M}^C & \\
\uparrow & & & \parallel & \\
\mathfrak{M}_{\text{sf}}^C & \xrightarrow{\text{coHom}^C(-, C)} & {}^C\mathfrak{M} & \xrightarrow{(-)^*\text{rat}} & \mathfrak{M}^C \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow & & \parallel \\
\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C & \xrightarrow[\text{anti-equivalence}]{Ce \mapsto eC} & {}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}} & \xrightarrow{(-)^*\text{rat}} & \mathfrak{M}^C \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
& & {}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{fd}} & \xrightarrow[\text{anti-equivalence}]{(-)^*} & \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C
\end{array}$$

It is known that the Nakayama functor $A^* \otimes_A (-)$ for a finite-dimensional algebra A restricts to an equivalence from $\text{Proj}({}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}})$ to $\text{Inj}({}_A\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}})$. For coalgebras, a similar result does not hold in general as the above diagram suggests. In fact, there is an example of a coalgebra C such that $\mathbb{N}_C^L(E)$ is not projective for some finite-dimensional injective right C -comodule E (see Example 3.28).

As we have discussed in Remark 3.5, the Nakayama functor of Chin and Simson [CS10] is a restriction of the functor \mathbb{N}_C^L . It has been noted in [CS10, p. 2228] without a proof that \mathbb{N}_C^L restricts to an equivalence from $\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ to $\mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$ provided that C is right semiperfect. We give a detailed proof of this result, as well as explaining that its quasi-inverse is the restriction of \mathbb{N}_C^R .

Lemma 3.11. *If C is right semiperfect, then the functors $\mathbb{N}_C^L : \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$ and $\mathbb{N}_C^R : \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ are mutually quasi-inverse to each other.*

Proof. We note that every indecomposable injective right C -comodule E is an injective hull of $\text{soc}(E)$. Suppose that C is right semiperfect. Then every simple left C -comodule has a finite-dimensional injective hull [DNR01, Theorem 3.2.3], and thus the equation ${}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}} = {}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{fd}}$ holds. By the above diagram, we see that the functor $\mathbb{N}_C^L : \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$ is an equivalence as a composition of two anti-equivalences.

To show that $\mathbb{N}_C^R : \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ is a quasi-inverse of $\mathbb{N}_C^L : \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$, we first note that every object of $\mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of right C -comodules of the form $(eC)^* \cong C^*e$ for some primitive idempotent e for C . For such an idempotent e , there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{N}_C^R(C^*e) = C \otimes_{C^*} C^*e \cong Ce$$

of right C -comodules. Thus $\mathbb{N}_C^R(P)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ whenever $P \in \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$. This implies that the adjunction $\mathbb{N}_C^R \dashv \mathbb{N}_C^L$ restricts to an adjunction between $\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ and $\mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$. Since $\mathbb{N}_C^L : \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ has been proved to be an equivalence in the above, its left adjoint $\mathbb{N}_C^R : \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C$ is a quasi-inverse of it. The proof is done. \square

The Nakayama functor for a finite-dimensional algebra sends the injective hull of a simple module to the projective cover of the simple module. We now prove an analogous result for right semiperfect coalgebras as follows:

Lemma 3.12. *Suppose that C is right semiperfect. Given a simple right C -comodule S , we denote by $E(S)$ and $P(S)$ an injective hull and a projective cover of S , respectively. Then there are isomorphisms*

$$\mathbb{N}_C^L(E(S)) \cong P(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{N}_C^R(P(S)) \cong E(S)$$

for each simple right C -comodule S .

Proof. Let S be a simple right C -comodule, and let e be a primitive idempotent for C such that $E(S) \cong Ce$ as right C -comodules. Then we have isomorphisms

$${}^C\mathrm{Hom}(S^*, eC) \stackrel{(3.7)}{\cong} eS^{**} \cong (S^*e)^* \stackrel{(3.7)}{\cong} \mathrm{Hom}^C(S, Ce)^* \neq 0.$$

Since e is primitive, eC is an injective hull of a simple left C -comodule. The above computation implies that eC is in fact an injective hull of S^* . Thus $\mathbb{N}^L(E(S)) \cong (eC)^*$ is a projective cover of $S^{**} \cong S$. Hence the former isomorphism in the claim is obtained. The latter one is obtained by Lemma 3.11 and the former one. \square

For our applications of the Nakayama functor, it is important to know when $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$ is preserved by \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R . The following Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14 give sufficient conditions:

Lemma 3.13. *Suppose that C is right semiperfect. Then, for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$, we have*

$$\mathbb{N}_C^L(M) = \mathrm{Hom}^C(C, M) \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{N}_C^L(M) < \infty.$$

Proof. We consider two functors $F, G : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ defined by

$$F(M) = \mathbb{N}_C^L(M), \quad G(M) = \mathrm{Hom}^C(C, M) \quad (M \in \mathfrak{M}^C).$$

Let S be a simple right C -comodule, and let e be a primitive idempotent for C such that Ce is an injective hull of S . Then eC is of finite-dimension and, as we have seen in the proof of Lemma 3.12, $(eC)^*$ is a projective cover of S . There are isomorphisms

$$G(Ce) = \mathrm{Hom}^C(C, Ce) \stackrel{(3.1)}{\cong} \mathrm{coHom}^C(Ce, C)^* \stackrel{(3.9)}{\cong} (eC)^* \cong F(Ce)$$

of vector spaces. Thus, for all objects $M \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{sf}}^C$, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{k}} F(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} G(M) < \infty.$$

Since F and G are left exact, (3.10) actually holds for all right C -comodule M fitting into an exact sequence of the form $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'$ in \mathfrak{M}^C for some $E, E' \in \mathfrak{J}_{\mathrm{sf}}^C$. In particular, (3.10) holds for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$. Since $G(M) \subset F(M)$, we have $F(M) = G(M)$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$. The proof is done. \square

Lemma 3.14. *If C is left semiperfect, then we have $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$ for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$.*

Proof. By the assumption, C^{cop} is right semiperfect. For $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_C^R(M)^* &= \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(C \otimes_{C^*} M, \mathbb{k}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{C^*}(C, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, \mathbb{k})) \\ &\cong {}^C\mathrm{Hom}(C, M^*) = \mathbb{N}_{C^{\mathrm{cop}}}^L(M^*), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Lemma 3.13 applied to C^{cop} . By that lemma, we also have that $\mathbb{N}_{C^{\mathrm{cop}}}^L(M^*)$ is finite-dimensional. Thus $\mathbb{N}_C^R(M) \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$. The proof is done. \square

By the above discussion, we now have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.15. *Suppose that C is a semiperfect coalgebra. Then the following hold:*

(a) The adjunction $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}} \dashv \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ restricts to the adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}} & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C & & \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}} & \end{array}$$

(b) The functors $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathfrak{J}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C$ and $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}} : \mathfrak{P}_{\text{fd}}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{J}_{\text{fd}}^C$ are mutually quasi-inverse to each other.

Proof. (a) This follows from Lemmas 3.13 and 3.14. (b) Suppose that C is semiperfect. Then we have not only ${}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}} = {}^C\mathfrak{J}_{\text{fd}}$ but also $\mathfrak{J}_{\text{sf}}^C = \mathfrak{J}_{\text{fd}}^C$. Thus the claim follows from Lemma 3.11. \square

It seems to be difficult to characterize when $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ or $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ preserves $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$. Indeed, there is a right semiperfect coalgebra C such that $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ preserves $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ (Example 3.29). Similarly, there is a left semiperfect coalgebra C such that $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ preserves $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ (Example 3.30). On the other hand, there is a coalgebra C , which is neither left nor right semiperfect, such that both $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ preserve $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ (Example 3.31).

3.4. Co-Frobenius coalgebras. We consider the case where the coalgebra is *co-Frobenius* (see below). Let V be a vector space, and let $\beta : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ be a bilinear map. We say that β is *left (resp. right) non-degenerate* if the map

$$V \rightarrow V^*, \quad v \mapsto \beta(v, -) \quad (\text{resp. } v \mapsto \beta(-, v))$$

is injective. If β is both left and right non-degenerate, we say that β is non-degenerate. The definition of a left and a right co-Frobenius coalgebra is found in [DNR01, Section 3.3]. A co-Frobenius coalgebra is a left and right co-Frobenius coalgebra. According to [Iov06, Theorem 2.8], we adopt the following equivalent definition:

Definition 3.16. A *co-Frobenius coalgebra* is a coalgebra C equipped with a non-degenerate C^* -balanced bilinear form $\beta : C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, called the *Frobenius pairing*.

Let C be a co-Frobenius coalgebra with Frobenius pairing β . It is known that a co-Frobenius coalgebra is semiperfect [DNR01, Section 3.3]. According to [CnIDN04, Section 1], the linear maps $\beta(c, -)$ and $\beta(-, c)$ belong to $C^{*\text{rat}}$ ($= (C^*C^*)^{\text{rat}} = (C_{C^*}^*)^{\text{rat}}$) for all $c \in C$. Furthermore, two linear maps

$$\beta^{\mathbb{L}} : C \rightarrow C^{*\text{rat}}, \quad c \mapsto \beta(c, -) \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^{\mathbb{R}} : C \rightarrow C^{*\text{rat}}, \quad c \mapsto \beta(-, c)$$

are bijective. The following definition is due to [CnIDN04, Section 6].

Definition 3.17. We call $\nu_C := (\beta^{\mathbb{L}})^{-1} \circ \beta^{\mathbb{R}}$ the *Nakayama automorphism* of C .

One can, alternatively, define $\nu_C : C \rightarrow C$ to be the unique linear map such that

$$(3.11) \quad \beta(y, x) = \beta(\nu_C(x), y) \quad (x, y \in C).$$

Lemma 3.18. ν_C is a coalgebra automorphism.

Proof. We write $\nu = \nu_C$. For $x, y \in C$, we have

$$\langle \beta^{\mathbb{L}} \circ \nu^{-1}(x), y \rangle = \beta(\nu^{-1}(x), y) = \beta(\nu(y), \nu^{-1}(x)) = \beta(x, \nu(y)) = \langle \beta^{\mathbb{L}}(x), \nu(y) \rangle$$

by (3.11). In other words, the equation

$$(3.12) \quad \nu^* \circ \beta^{\mathbb{L}} = \beta^{\mathbb{L}} \circ \nu^{-1}$$

holds, where $\nu^* : C^{*\text{rat}} \rightarrow C^{*\text{rat}}$ is the map $c^* \mapsto c^* \circ \nu$. Since $C^{*\text{rat}}$ is a ‘non-unital’ algebra with respect to the convolution product, C is also a ‘non-unital’ algebra with respect to the binary operation

$$x \odot y = (\beta^{\text{L}})^{-1}(\beta^{\text{L}}(x) * \beta^{\text{L}}(y)) \quad (x, y \in C),$$

and the map $\beta^{\text{L}} : (C, \odot) \rightarrow (C^{*\text{rat}}, *)$ is an isomorphism of ‘non-unital’ algebras. It is known that ν is an automorphism of (C, \odot) [CnIDN04, Section 6]. Thus, by (3.12), ν^* is an automorphism of $(C^{*\text{rat}}, *)$. By the definition of the convolution product, this means that the equation

$$(\xi \otimes \zeta) \circ \Delta \circ \nu = (\xi \otimes \zeta) \circ (\nu \otimes \nu) \circ \Delta$$

holds for all $\xi, \zeta \in C^{*\text{rat}}$. Since $C^{*\text{rat}} \subset C^*$ is dense with respect to the finite topology, the above equation implies $\Delta \circ \nu = (\nu \otimes \nu) \circ \Delta$. Namely, ν preserves the comultiplication. Since ν is bijective, it also preserves the counit. In conclusion, ν is a coalgebra automorphism of C . The proof is done. \square

Given a coalgebra map $\phi : C \rightarrow D$ between coalgebras, we denote by $(-)^{(\phi)} : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^D$ the functor induced by ϕ . For co-Frobenius coalgebras, Nakayama functors take the following simple form:

Lemma 3.19. *Let C be a co-Frobenius coalgebra. Then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$\mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}}(M) \cong M^{(\nu^{-1})}, \quad \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{R}}(M) \cong M^{(\nu)} \quad (M \in \mathfrak{M}^C),$$

where $\nu = \nu_C$ is the Nakayama automorphism of C .

Proof. Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$. By Lemma 2.6, there is an isomorphism

$$C^{*\text{rat}} \otimes_{C^*} M \rightarrow C^{*\text{rat}} \rightarrow M = M, \quad c^* \otimes_{C^*} m \mapsto m_{(0)} \langle c^*, m_{(1)} \rangle$$

of left C^* -modules. Let β be the Frobenius pairing of C . Composing the above map and $\beta^{\text{L}} \otimes_{C^*} \text{id}_M$, we have an isomorphism

$$\beta_M^{\natural} : C \otimes_{C^*} M \rightarrow M, \quad c \otimes_{C^*} m \mapsto m_{(0)} \beta(c, m_{(1)})$$

of vector spaces. Now, for $c \in C$, $c^* \in C^*$ and $m \in M$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_M^{\natural}(c^* \rightarrow c \otimes_{C^*} m) &= m_{(0)} \beta(c_{(1)}, m_{(1)}) \langle c^*, c_{(2)} \rangle \\ &= m_{(0)} \beta(\nu(m_{(1)}), c_{(1)}) \langle c^*, c_{(2)} \rangle \\ &= m_{(0)} \beta(\nu(m_{(1)}), c^* \rightarrow c) \\ &= m_{(0)} \beta(\nu(m_{(1)}) \leftarrow c^*, c) \\ &= m_{(0)} \langle c^*, \nu(m_{(1)}) \rangle \beta(\nu(m_{(2)}), c) \\ &= m_{(0)} \langle c^*, \nu(m_{(1)}) \rangle \beta(c, m_{(2)}) \\ &= c^* \nu \rightarrow \beta_M^{\natural}(c \otimes_{C^*} m), \end{aligned}$$

where the second and the sixth equalities follow from (3.11), the fourth from the C^* -balancedness of β , and the fifth from that ν is a coalgebra map. This means that β_M^{\natural} is actually an isomorphism $\beta_M^{\natural} : \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{R}}(M) \rightarrow M^{(\nu)}$ of right C -comodules. Since ν is a coalgebra automorphism, a right adjoint of \mathbb{N}_C^{R} is given by $(-)^{(\nu^{-1})}$. Thus, by the uniqueness of adjoints, \mathbb{N}_C^{L} is isomorphic to $(-)^{(\nu^{-1})}$. The proof is done. \square

3.5. Quasi-co-Frobenius coalgebras. Lemma 3.19 implies that $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ are equivalences if C is a co-Frobenius coalgebra. The converse does not hold. The reason may be said that the co-Frobenius property is not Morita-Takeuchi invariant, while the invertibility of the Nakayama functor is a Morita-Takeuchi invariant by Lemma 3.8. Now we recall the following notion:

Definition 3.20. A coalgebra C is said to be *left (right) quasi-co-Frobenius* (QcF for short) if there is a cardinal κ and an injective homomorphism $C \rightarrow (C^*)^{\oplus \kappa}$ of left (right) C^* -modules [DNR01, Definition 3.3.1]. A *QcF coalgebra* is a left and right QcF coalgebra.

Several equivalent conditions for being QcF are given in [DNR01, Section 3.3]. The following theorem states that being QcF is also characterized by the Nakayama functors.

Theorem 3.21. *For a coalgebra C , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) C is QcF.
- (2) $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}} : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C$ is an equivalence.
- (3) $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C$ is an equivalence.

Proof. We first remark that (2) and (3) are equivalent since $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ is left adjoint to $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$. Next, we suppose that (1) holds. It is known that every QcF coalgebra is Morita-Takeuchi equivalent to a co-Frobenius coalgebra [GTMN03]. Thus, by Lemma 3.8, we may assume that C is co-Frobenius. If we assume so, then (2) and (3) follow from Lemma 3.19.

To complete the proof, we prove that (3) implies (1). We suppose that (3) holds. Let $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple right C -comodules. Given a simple right C -comodule S , we denote by $E(S)$ its injective hull. Then we have $C \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} E(S_i)^{\oplus m(i)}$ for some integers $m(i) > 0$. Since $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ is assumed to be an equivalence, there is a bijection $\pi : I \rightarrow I$ determined by $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(S_i) \cong S_{\pi(i)}$ and we have

$$\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(C) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(E(S_i))^{\oplus m(i)} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} E(S_{\pi(i)})^{\oplus m(i)} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} E(S_i)^{\oplus m(\pi^{-1}(i))}$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(C) = \text{Hom}^C(C, C)^{\text{rat}} \cong (C^*C^*)^{\text{rat}}$. Thus,

$$(C^*C^*)^{\oplus \aleph_0} \cong \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(C)^{\oplus \aleph_0} \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} E(S_i)^{\oplus \aleph_0} \cong C^{\oplus \aleph_0}.$$

Now it follows from [Iov14, Lemma 1.7] that C is QcF. The proof is done. \square

Suppose that C is QcF. Then, by the above theorem, $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ induces a permutation on the set of isomorphism classes of simple right C -comodules. Following the representation theory of quasi-Frobenius algebras, we may call this permutation *the Nakayama permutation* for C . As in the case of algebras, one can use this permutation to describe the socle of a projective cover. More specifically,

Theorem 3.22. *Let C be a QcF coalgebra. Given a finite-dimensional right C -comodule V , we denote by $E(V)$ and $P(V)$ an injective hull and a projective cover of V , respectively. Then, for every simple right C -comodule S , there are isomorphisms $P(S) \cong E(\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(S))$, $\text{soc } P(S) \cong \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(S)$, $E(S) \cong P(\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}(S))$, and $\text{top } E(S) \cong \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}(S)$ of right C -comodules.*

Proof. Let S be a simple right C -comodule. Since $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$ is an equivalence, $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(E(S))$ is an injective hull of $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(S)$. By Lemma 3.12, $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(E(S))$ is also isomorphic to $P(S)$. Thus we have the first isomorphism. The third one is obtained in a similar way. Remaining ones follow from $\text{soc } E(S) \cong S$ and $\text{top } P(S) \cong S$. \square

It is known that a QcF coalgebra is co-Frobenius if and only if the socle and the top of any indecomposable injective comodule have the same dimension [Iov14, Corollary 2.3]. Combining this fact with the above theorem, we obtain the following characterization of co-Frobenius coalgebras:

Theorem 3.23. *For a QcF coalgebra C , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) C is a co-Frobenius coalgebra.
- (2) $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}(S) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} S$ for all simple right C -comodule S .
- (3) $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}(S) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}} S$ for all simple right C -comodule S .

3.6. Symmetric coalgebras. Let C be a coalgebra. A coalgebra automorphism $f : C \rightarrow C$ is said to be *coinner* if there is an invertible element $\alpha \in C^*$ such that $f(c) = \alpha \rightharpoonup c \leftarrow \alpha^{-1}$ for all $c \in C$. The following lemma is standard and its proof is omitted.

Lemma 3.24. *For a coalgebra automorphism $f : C \rightarrow C$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) f is a coinner automorphism.
- (2) The functor $(-)^{(f)} : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C$ is isomorphic to the identity functor.

Now we recall from [CnIDN04, Definition 3.2] the following class of coalgebras:

Definition 3.25. A coalgebra C is said to be *symmetric* if there is an injective homomorphism $C \rightarrow C^*$ of C^* -bimodules.

It is known that a symmetric coalgebra is precisely a co-Frobenius coalgebra whose Nakayama automorphism is coinner [CnIDN04, Proposition 6.2]. By rephrasing this fact, we give the following characterization of symmetric coalgebras in terms of the Nakayama functor:

Theorem 3.26. *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) C is a symmetric coalgebra.
- (2) $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}} : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C$ is isomorphic to the identity functor.
- (3) $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathfrak{M}^C \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}^C$ is isomorphic to the identity functor.

Proof. We remark that (2) and (3) are equivalent since $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{R}}$ is left adjoint to $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathbb{L}}$. If (1) holds, then the Nakayama automorphism of C is the identity map, and thus (2) and (3) hold by Lemma 3.19. Finally, we suppose that (2) holds. Then, by Theorems 3.21 and 3.23, C is a co-Frobenius coalgebra. By Lemma 3.19 and 3.24, the Nakayama automorphism of C is coinner. Thus C is a symmetric coalgebra. The proof is done. \square

3.7. Counterexamples. A *quiver* is a quadruple $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t})$ consisting of the non-empty set Q_0 of *vertices*, the set Q_1 of arrows and two maps \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{t} from Q_1 to Q_0 , called the *source* and the *target*, respectively. We mean that e is an element of Q_1 such that $a = \mathfrak{s}(e)$ and $b = \mathfrak{t}(e)$ by using the diagram $\bullet \xrightarrow[e]{a} \bullet$.

We fix a quiver $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t})$. According to [Iov13, Section 3], we construct the coalgebra C_Q as follows: As a vector space, C_Q has a basis $Q_0 \sqcup Q_1$. The coalgebra structure is given by

$$\Delta(v) = v \otimes v, \quad \varepsilon(v) = 1, \quad \Delta(e) = \mathfrak{s}(e) \otimes e + e \otimes \mathfrak{t}(e), \quad \varepsilon(e) = 0$$

for $v \in Q_0$ and $e \in Q_1$. Every simple comodule of C_Q is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}v$ for some $v \in Q_0$. For $v \in Q_0$, we define

$$E(v) = \mathbb{k}v \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{e \in Q_1 \mid \mathfrak{s}(e) = v\}, \quad F(v) = \mathbb{k}v \oplus \text{span}_{\mathbb{k}}\{e \in Q_1 \mid \mathfrak{t}(e) = v\}$$

The subspaces $E(v)$ and $F(v)$ of C_Q are an injective hull of $\mathbb{k}v$ as a right and as a left C_Q -comodule, respectively. Thus, as noted in [Iov13, Section 3], C_Q is left (respectively, right) semiperfect if and only if the cardinality of the set $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(v)$ (respectively, $\mathfrak{t}^{-1}(v)$) is finite for all $v \in Q_0$.

For notational convenience, we define the right C_Q -comodule \mathbb{k}_v ($v \in Q_0$) to be the vector space \mathbb{k} equipped with the coaction given by $1_{\mathbb{k}} \mapsto 1_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes v$. The following lemma is useful to compute the left exact Nakayama functor for C_Q .

Lemma 3.27. *We fix a quiver Q and write $C = C_Q$. Let $w \in Q_0$ be a vertex of Q . If $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset$, then there is an isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}^C(C, \mathbb{k}_w) \cong \prod_{e \in \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(w)} \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{s}(e)}$$

of left C^* -modules. Otherwise, there is the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{e \in \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(w)} \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{s}(e)} \longrightarrow \text{Hom}^C(C, \mathbb{k}_w) \longrightarrow \mathbb{k}_w \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We write $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Hom}^C(C, \mathbb{k}_w)$ for short. Since $C = \bigoplus_{v \in Q_0} E(v)$, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \mathfrak{X} \cong \prod_{v \in Q_0} \text{Hom}^C(E(v), \mathbb{k}_w) \subset \prod_{v \in Q_0} E(v)^*$$

as vector spaces. By the definition of the right C -comodule $E(v)$, a linear map $f : E(v) \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ belongs to the set $\text{Hom}^C(E(v), \mathbb{k}_w)$ if and only if the following (3.14) and (3.15) hold:

$$(3.14) \quad f(v)v = f(v)w.$$

$$(3.15) \quad f(v)e + f(e)\mathfrak{t}(e) = f(e)w \text{ for all } e \in \mathfrak{s}^{-1}(v).$$

We first consider the case where $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset$. Let $v \in Q_0$ and $f \in \text{Hom}^C(E(v), \mathbb{k}_w)$.

- (1) Suppose $v \neq w$. Then $f(v) = 0$ by (3.14). Let e be an arrow starting from v . Then, by (3.15), we have $f(e) = 0$ unless $\mathfrak{t}(e) = w$.
- (2) Suppose $v = w$. Let e be an arrow starting from $v = w$ (which exists since $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset$). By (3.15), we have $f(v)e + f(e)\mathfrak{t}(e) = f(e)w$. Thus, if $\mathfrak{t}(e) \neq w$, then $f(v) = f(e) = 0$ since e , $\mathfrak{t}(e)$ and w are linearly independent.

For $x, y \in Q_0$, we set $Q(x, y) := \{e \in Q_1 \mid \mathfrak{s}(e) = x, \mathfrak{t}(e) = y\}$. In either of the above two case, we see that $f(e)$ for $e \in Q(v, w)$ can be arbitrary. By the above discussion, we have

$$\text{Hom}^C(E(v), \mathbb{k}_w) = \left\{ f \in C^* \mid \begin{array}{l} f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in Q_0 \text{ and} \\ f(e) = 0 \text{ for all } e \in Q_1 \setminus Q(v, w) \end{array} \right\}$$

if we regard $\text{Hom}^C(E(v), \mathbb{k}_w)$ as a subspace of \mathfrak{X} by (3.15). Now we compute the action of C^* on \mathfrak{X} . For $f \in \text{Hom}^C(E(v), \mathbb{k}_w)$ and $c^* \in C^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle c^* \cdot f, x \rangle &= \langle c^*, x \rangle \langle f, x \rangle = 0 = \langle c^*, v \rangle \langle f, v \rangle \quad (x \in Q_0), \\ \langle c^* \cdot f, e \rangle &= \langle c^*, \mathfrak{s}(e) \rangle \langle f, e \rangle + \langle c^*, e \rangle \langle f, \mathfrak{t}(e) \rangle = \langle c^*, v \rangle \langle f, e \rangle \quad (e \in Q_1). \end{aligned}$$

Namely, $c^* \cdot f = \langle c^*, v \rangle f$. Hence the map

$$(3.16) \quad \text{Hom}^C(E(v), \mathbb{k}_w) \cong \prod_{e \in Q(v, w)} \mathbb{k}_v, \quad f \mapsto (f(e))_{e \in Q(v, w)}$$

is an isomorphism of left C^* -modules. By (3.13) and (3.16), we have isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{X} \cong \prod_{v \in Q_0} \prod_{e \in Q(v, w)} \mathbb{k}_v \cong \prod_{e \in \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(w)} \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{s}(e)}$$

of left C^* -modules. The proof for the case where $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset$ is done.

Next, we consider the case where $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(w) = \emptyset$. Let $\xi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ be the linear map such that $\xi(v) = \delta_{v, w}$ for all $v \in Q_0$ and $\xi(e) = 0$ for all $e \in Q_1$. This map is in fact a right C -comodule map $\xi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{k}_w$ because of the assumption that $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(w) = \emptyset$. Now we set $\mathfrak{X}_0 = \{f \in \mathfrak{X} \mid f(w) = 0\}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_1 = \mathbb{k}\xi$ so that $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{X}_1$. By the same argument as above, we see that \mathfrak{X}_0 is a C^* -submodule of \mathfrak{X} and there is an isomorphism $\mathfrak{X}_0 \cong \prod_{e \in \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(w)} \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{s}(e)}$ of left C^* -modules. For $f \in \mathfrak{X}$, the decomposition $f = f_0 + f_1$ ($f_i \in \mathfrak{X}_i$) is given by $f_1 = f(w)\xi$ and $f_0 = f - f_1$. Thus, for $c^* \in C^*$, we have $c^* \cdot \xi = c^*(w)\xi$ in $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}_0$. This means that $\mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}_0$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{k}_w . Now the short exact sequence of the statement of this lemma is obtained from $0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}/\mathfrak{X}_0 \rightarrow 0$. The proof is done. \square



Example 3.28. We fix an infinite set P and consider the coalgebra $C = C_Q$ associated to the quiver Q defined by $Q_0 = \{u, v, w\}$, $Q_1 = \{e_0\} \sqcup P$, $\mathfrak{s}(e_0) = u$, $\mathfrak{t}(e_0) = v$, $\mathfrak{s}(e) = v$ and $\mathfrak{t}(e) = w$ for $e \in P$ (see Figure 1). We set $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Hom}^C(C, \mathbb{k}_w)$ and define ξ , \mathfrak{X}_0 and \mathfrak{X}_1 as in the proof of Lemma 3.27. Then, by that lemma, we have

$$\mathfrak{X}_0 \cong \prod_{e \in \mathfrak{t}^{-1}(w)} \mathbb{k}_{\mathfrak{s}(e)} \cong \prod_{|P|} \mathbb{k}_v \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha} \mathbb{k}_v \quad (\alpha := \dim_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}^{|P|}).$$

Thus the left C^* -module \mathfrak{X}_0 is rational. For $f \in Q_1$, we define $\delta_f \in C^*$ by $\delta_f(e) = \delta_{e, f}$ ($e \in Q_1$) and $\delta_f(x) = 0$ ($x \in Q_0$). Then we have $\delta_f \cdot \xi = \delta_f$ for all $f \in Q_1$. Since δ_f 's are linearly independent, the space $C^* \cdot \xi$ is infinite-dimensional. By [DNR01, Theorem 2.2.6], ξ does not belong to the rational part of \mathfrak{X} . Hence $\mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}}(\mathbb{k}_w) = \mathfrak{X}^{\text{rat}} = \mathfrak{X}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{X}$.

This example shows that the left C^* -module $\text{Hom}^C(C, V)$ for $V \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ is not rational in general. It also shows that $\mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}}(E)$ for $E \in \text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}^C)$ is not projective in general. Indeed, since $\mathbb{k}v \in {}^C\mathfrak{M}$ is not injective, $(\mathbb{k}v)^* \in \mathfrak{M}^C$ is not projective. The right C -comodule $\mathfrak{X}^{\text{rat}} = \mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}}(\mathbb{k}_w)$ is not projective, since its direct summand $\mathbb{k}_v \cong (\mathbb{k}v)^*$ is not.

Example 3.29. We fix an infinite set P and consider the coalgebra $C = C_Q$ associated to a quiver Q defined by $Q_0 = \{w, w'\} \sqcup P$, $Q_1 = \{e_0\} \sqcup P$, $\mathfrak{s}(e_0) = w$, $\mathfrak{t}(e_0) = w'$, $\mathfrak{s}(v) = v$ and $\mathfrak{t}(v) = w$ for all $v \in P$ (see Figure 2). We set $\mathfrak{X} = \text{Hom}^C(C, \mathbb{k}_w)$. By Lemma 3.27 we have $\mathfrak{X} \cong \prod_{v \in P} \mathbb{k}_v$ as a left C^* -module. Since the right C -comodule $\bigoplus_{v \in P} \mathbb{k}_v$ is quasi-finite, the rational part of \mathfrak{X} is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{v \in P} \mathbb{k}_v$ by [Iov06, Lemma 2.5]. Thus, in particular, $\mathbb{N}_C^{\text{L}}(\mathbb{k}_w) = \mathfrak{X}^{\text{rat}}$ is infinite-dimensional.


 FIGURE 1. The quiver Q of Example 3.28

 FIGURE 2. The quiver Q of Example 3.29

This example shows that \mathbb{N}_C^L does not preserve $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$, even if C is left semiperfect (cf. Lemma 3.13).

Example 3.30. Let C be the left semiperfect coalgebra considered in Example 3.29, and let $D = C^{\text{cop}}$. Then D is *right* semiperfect. For $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^D$, there is an isomorphism $\mathbb{N}_D^R(M)^* \cong \mathbb{N}_C^L(M^*)$ of vector spaces by the proof of Lemma 3.14. Thus, by the discussion in Example 3.29, \mathbb{N}_D^R does not preserve $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^D$.

Example 3.31. Let C be a coalgebra. Then, by the Hom-tensor adjunction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_C^R(M)^* &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(C \otimes_{C^*} M, \mathbb{k}) \cong {}_{C^*}\text{Hom}(M, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(C, \mathbb{k})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}^C(M, (C^*C^*)^{\text{rat}}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $M \in \mathfrak{M}^C$. Thus $\mathbb{N}_C^R = 0$ (as a functor) if and only if $(C^*C^*)^{\text{rat}} = 0$. Since \mathbb{N}_C^L is right adjoint to \mathbb{N}_C^R , this is also equivalent to that $\mathbb{N}_C^L = 0$. Thus, in particular, both \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R preserve $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ if $(C^*C^*)^{\text{rat}} = 0$.

Now we consider the polynomial algebra $C = \mathbb{k}[X]$ and endow it with a structure of a Hopf algebra by $\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1_C + 1_C \otimes X$ and $\varepsilon(X) = 0$. The dual algebra C^* is isomorphic to the algebra of formal power series. Since C^*f is infinite-dimensional for all non-zero element $f \in C^*$, we have $(C^*C^*)^{\text{rat}} = 0$. Thus, by the above discussion, $\mathbb{N}_C^L = \mathbb{N}_C^R = 0$. Since $\mathbb{k}1_C$ is a unique simple comodule of C (up to isomorphisms) and its injective hull is C , we have $\text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) = \text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) = 0$. Hence \mathbb{N}_C^L and \mathbb{N}_C^R induce equivalences between $\text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)$ and $\text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)$, although C is not semiperfect (cf. Theorem 3.15).

4. NAKAYAMA FUNCTOR FOR LOCALLY FINITE ABELIAN CATEGORIES

4.1. Finiteness conditions for comodules. A \mathbb{k} -linear abelian category \mathcal{A} is said to be *locally finite* [EGNO15, Definition 1.8.1] if it is essentially small, every object of \mathcal{A} is of finite length, and $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, Y)$ is finite-dimensional for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$. It is known that a \mathbb{k} -linear category is a locally finite abelian category if and only if it is equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ for some coalgebra C [EGNO15, Theorem 1.9.15]. In this section, we rephrase the results of the previous section in the setting of locally

finite abelian categories and their ind-completions. First, we recall the following finiteness conditions:

Definition 4.1. By a *filtered colimit*, we mean a limit of a functor from a small filtered category. Let \mathcal{A} be a category, and let X be an object of \mathcal{A} . We say that X is *finitely presented* (respectively, *finitely generated*) if the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, -) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ preserves filtered colimits (respectively, filtered colimits of monomorphisms) existing in the category \mathcal{A} [KS06, Chapter 6]. We denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{fp}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{fg}}$ the full subcategory of finitely presented objects and finitely generated objects of \mathcal{A} , respectively.

If $\mathcal{A} = {}_R\mathfrak{M}$ for some \mathbb{k} -algebra R , then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{fp}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{fg}}$ are precisely the category of finitely presented and finitely generated left R -modules, respectively. In this paper, we are interested in the case where \mathcal{A} is the category of comodules over a coalgebra.

Lemma 4.2. *If $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}^C$ for some coalgebra C , then we have $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{fp}} = \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{fg}} = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$.*

Although this result may be known, we include a proof of this lemma as we could find no suitable references; see Appendix A.

Given a category \mathcal{A} , we denote its ind-completion [KS06, Chapter 6] by $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} is a cocomplete category such that every object of \mathcal{A} is a filtered colimit of finitely presented objects, then \mathcal{A} is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{fp}})$ [KS06, Corollary 6.5]. By this fact and Lemma 4.2, we have $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C) \approx \mathfrak{M}^C$ for any coalgebra C .

4.2. Nakayama functor for locally finite abelian categories. Let \mathcal{A} be a locally finite abelian category. By counterexamples given in Subsection 3.7, the (co)end formula for the Nakayama functors (Theorem 3.6) cannot be used to define endofunctors on \mathcal{A} in general. Thus we first introduce endofunctors on $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ as follows:

Definition 4.3. We define two endofunctors $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{R}}$ on $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{L}}(M) &= \int_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M) \otimes X, \\ \mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{R}}(M) &= \int^{X \in \mathcal{A}} \mathrm{coHom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, M) \otimes X, \end{aligned}$$

respectively, for $M \in \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$. We call the functors $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{R}}$ the *left exact* and the *right exact Nakayama functor* for \mathcal{A} , respectively.

If $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$ for some coalgebra C , then we may identify $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{R}}$ with $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_C^{\mathrm{R}}$, respectively, in view of Theorem 3.6 and Lemma 4.4. Since the ind-completion of a locally finite abelian category is equivalent to \mathfrak{M}^C for some coalgebra C , we see that the functors $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\mathrm{R}}$ do exist for every locally finite category \mathcal{A} .

We say that an abelian category \mathcal{A} is *semiperfect* if every finitely generated object of \mathcal{A} has a projective cover (*cf.* the definition of semiperfect rings). There is the following characterization of one-sided semiperfectness of coalgebras:

Lemma 4.4. *For a coalgebra C , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The coalgebra C is right semiperfect.*
- (2) *The category \mathfrak{M}^C is semiperfect.*
- (3) *The category $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^C$ is semiperfect.*

- (4) The category $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ is enough projective.
- (5) The category ${}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ is enough injective.

Proof. It is trivial from the definition of right semiperfectness that (1) and (2) are equivalent. It is also trivial that (3) implies (4). Since there is an anti-equivalence between $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ and ${}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$, the assertions (4) and (5) are equivalent. The implication (4) \Rightarrow (1) follows from (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of [DNR01, Corollary 2.4.21] applied to C^{cop} . The implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) follows from Lemmas 2.3 and 2.5. \square

Let \mathcal{A} be a locally finite abelian category. We are interested in when $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{R}}$ induce endofunctors on the full subcategory $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$. For this to hold, the semiperfectness of \mathcal{A} is not sufficient as we have seen in Example 3.30. Here we give the following sufficient condition:

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{A} be a locally finite abelian category. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is both enough projective and enough injective. Then $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{R}}$ restrict to endofunctors on \mathcal{A} .*

Proof. Let C be a coalgebra such that $\mathcal{A} \approx \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$. By Lemma 4.4 and the assumption that \mathcal{A} is enough projective, C is right semiperfect. Since ${}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}} (\approx \mathcal{A}^{\text{op}})$ is enough projective, C is left semiperfect again by Lemma 4.4. Thus C is semiperfect. Now the result follows from Theorem 3.15. \square

Definition 4.6. Suppose that \mathcal{A} is a locally finite abelian category satisfying the assumption of the above theorem. Then we denote by $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{R}}$ the endofunctors on \mathcal{A} defined as restrictions of $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{R}}$, respectively.

4.3. Interaction with adjoint functors. Fuchs, Schaumann and Schweigert [FSS20] observed that several non-trivial results on finite tensor categories easily follow from the universal property of the Nakayama functor. Applications of our results to tensor categories, which are not necessarily finite, will be given in the next section. Here we remark the following relation between the Nakayama functor and adjoint functors, which generalizes [FSS20, Theorem 3.18] to the locally finite setting.

Theorem 4.7. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be locally finite abelian categories. Then the following hold:*

- (a) *For every \mathbb{k} -linear functor $F : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})$ such that F preserves limits and the double right adjoint $F^{\text{rra}} := (F^{\text{ra}})^{\text{ra}}$ exists, there is an isomorphism of functors*

$$\phi_F^{\text{L}} : F \circ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}^{\text{L}} \circ F^{\text{rra}}.$$

- (b) *For every \mathbb{k} -linear functor $F : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})$ such that F preserves colimits and the double left adjoint $F^{\text{lla}} := (F^{\text{la}})^{\text{la}}$ exists, there is a canonical isomorphism of functors*

$$\phi_F^{\text{R}} : F \circ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{R}} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}^{\text{R}} \circ F^{\text{lla}}.$$

The isomorphisms ϕ_F^{L} and ϕ_F^{R} are natural in the variable F and ‘coherent’ in the following sense: For every functors $F : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})$ and $G : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$,

where \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} are locally finite abelian categories, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \circ F \circ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{G \circ F}^{\text{L}}} & \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^{\text{L}} \circ (G \circ F)^{\text{rra}} \\ \text{id}_G \circ \phi_F^{\text{L}} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G \circ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}^{\text{L}} \circ F^{\text{rra}} & \xrightarrow{\phi_G^{\text{L}} \circ \text{id}_{F^{\text{rra}}}} & \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^{\text{L}} \circ G^{\text{rra}} \circ F^{\text{rra}} \end{array}$$

commutes whenever F and G satisfy the assumptions of Part 1. Here, the unlabeled arrow in the diagram represents the canonical isomorphism $(G \circ F)^{\text{rra}} \cong G^{\text{rra}} \circ F^{\text{rra}}$ arising from the uniqueness of right adjoints. An analogous diagram for ϕ^{R} also commutes provided that F and G satisfy the assumptions of Part 2.

Proof. The proof goes along a similar way as [FSS20, Theorem 3.18]. Let $F : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear functor fulfilling the assumption of Part 1. We note that F preserves direct sums as it is assumed to have a right adjoint. For $M \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}}(M)) &= F\left(\int_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}(X, M) \otimes X\right) \\ &\cong \int_{X \in \mathcal{A}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}(X, M) \otimes F(X) \\ &\cong \int_{Y \in \mathcal{B}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}(F^{\text{ra}}(Y), M) \otimes Y \\ &\cong \int_{Y \in \mathcal{B}} \text{Hom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}(Y, F^{\text{rra}}(M)) \otimes Y = \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}^{\text{L}}(F^{\text{rra}}(M)), \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from the assumption that F preserves limits and direct sums, the second one is (2.11), and the third one is the adjunction isomorphism. The coherence property of the resulting isomorphism $\phi_F^{\text{L}} : F \circ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}} \circ F^{\text{rra}}$ follows from that each of isomorphisms in the above are ‘coherent’ in a similar sense as for ϕ^{L} .

Similarly, if $F : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfies the assumption of Part 2, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{R}}(M)) &= F\left(\int^{X \in \mathcal{A}} \text{coHom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}(X, M) \otimes X\right) \\ &\cong \int^{X \in \mathcal{A}} \text{coHom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}(X, M) \otimes F(X) \\ &\cong \int^{Y \in \mathcal{B}} \text{coHom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}(F^{\text{la}}(Y), M) \otimes Y \\ &\cong \int^{Y \in \mathcal{B}} \text{coHom}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}(Y, F^{\text{lla}}(M)) \otimes Y = \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}^{\text{R}}(F^{\text{lla}}(M)) \end{aligned}$$

for $M \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})$. Here, the first isomorphism follows from the assumption that F preserves colimits, the second from (2.9), and the third from (2.6). By the same reason as in Part 1, the resulting isomorphism is coherent. \square

The following variant of Theorem 4.7 is essential for our applications:

Corollary 4.8. *Let \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} be locally finite abelian categories that are both enough projective and enough injective, and let $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a \mathbb{k} -linear functor.*

- (a) *If both F^{la} and F^{rra} exist, then $F \circ \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{L}} \cong \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{L}} \circ F^{\text{rra}}$ as functors.*
- (b) *If both F^{ra} and F^{lla} exist, then $F \circ \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\text{R}} \cong \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\text{R}} \circ F^{\text{lla}}$ as functors.*

Proof. We only prove Part (a), since the other one is verified in a similar way. Suppose that F fulfills the assumptions of Part (a). By the functorial property of the ind-completion, we have a chain

$$\text{Ind}(F^{\text{la}}) \dashv \text{Ind}(F) \dashv \text{Ind}(F^{\text{ra}}) \dashv \text{Ind}(F^{\text{rra}})$$

of adjunctions. By applying Theorem 4.7 (a) to $\text{Ind}(F) : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})$, we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Ind}(F) \circ \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})}^{\text{L}} \cong \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{B})}^{\text{L}} \circ \text{Ind}(F^{\text{rra}}).$$

The proof is completed by restricting the both sides to $\mathcal{A} = \text{Ind}(\mathcal{A})_{\text{fp}}$. \square

5. APPLICATIONS TO FROBENIUS TENSOR CATEGORIES

5.1. Conventions for monoidal categories. In this section, we give applications of our results on Nakayama functors to tensor categories in the sense of [EGNO15]. We first fix conventions on monoidal categories. Our notation and terminology basically follow [EGNO15]. In view of Mac Lane's strictness theorem, we assume that every monoidal category is strict. Given a monoidal category \mathcal{C} , the monoidal product and the unit object of \mathcal{C} are written as \otimes and $\mathbb{1}$, respectively. A *left dual object* of an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is a triple (W, ε, η) consisting of an object $W \in \mathcal{C}$ and morphisms $\varepsilon : W \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ and $\eta : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes W$ in \mathcal{C} satisfying the zig-zag identities. The morphisms ε and η are called the *evaluation* and the *coevaluation*, respectively. We say that \mathcal{C} is *left rigid* if every object of \mathcal{C} has a left dual object. In a left rigid monoidal category, we always assume that a left dual of each object has been fixed and write it as

$$(X^{\vee}, \text{ev}_X : X^{\vee} \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{1}, \text{coev}_X : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow X \otimes X^{\vee}).$$

We say that a monoidal category \mathcal{C} is *rigid* if \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^{rev} are left rigid, where \mathcal{C}^{rev} is the monoidal category obtained from \mathcal{C} by reversing the order of the monoidal product. If \mathcal{C} is a rigid monoidal category, then we may, and do, assume that the assignment $X \mapsto X^{\vee}$ gives rise to a strict monoidal isomorphism $(-)^{\vee}$ from \mathcal{C} to $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op,rev}}$. The inverse of $(-)^{\vee}$ is written as ${}^{\vee}(-)$.

By a *\mathbb{k} -linear monoidal category*, we mean a \mathbb{k} -linear category \mathcal{C} equipped with a structure of a monoidal category such that the monoidal product $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is \mathbb{k} -bilinear and $\text{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathbb{k}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -linear monoidal category admitting finite direct sums. An object of \mathcal{C} is said to be *trivial* if it is isomorphic to the direct sum of finitely many copies of the unit object $\mathbb{1}$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\text{triv}}$ the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of all trivial objects of \mathcal{C} .

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be as above. There is a unique natural isomorphism*

$$(5.1) \quad \tau_{X,T} : X \otimes T \rightarrow T \otimes X \quad (X \in \mathcal{C}, T \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{triv}})$$

characterized by the property that $\tau_{X,\mathbb{1}} = \text{id}_X$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. If \mathcal{C} has a braiding σ , then we have

$$(5.2) \quad \tau_{X,T} = \sigma_{X,T} = (\sigma_{T,X})^{-1} \quad (X \in \mathcal{C}, T \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{triv}}).$$

Proof. See [NS07, Lemma 7.1] for the existence of such a natural isomorphism (there \mathcal{C} is assumed to be semisimple, however, that assumption is not needed for proving this). Equation (5.2) follows from the fact that the braiding σ satisfies $\sigma_{X,\mathbb{1}} = \text{id}_X = (\sigma_{\mathbb{1},X})^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. \square

This lemma is useful when we consider copowers in a \mathbb{k} -linear monoidal category. Let \mathcal{C} be as above. Then the category $\mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}$ is identified with $\mathcal{C}_{\text{triv}}$ through the functor sending \mathbb{k} to $\mathbb{1}$. Under this identification, the copower of $T \in \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is just the monoidal product $T \otimes X$ of $T \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{triv}}$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Every \mathbb{k} -linear

functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is canonically a module functor over $\mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}}$. If $F = X \otimes (-)$ for some $X \in \mathcal{C}$, then the structure morphism of F is given by

$$F(T \otimes Y) = X \otimes T \otimes Y \xrightarrow{\tau_{X,T} \otimes \text{id}_Y} T \otimes X \otimes Y = T \otimes F(Y)$$

for $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $T \in \mathbf{Vect}_{\text{fd}} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{triv}}$.

5.2. Tensor categories. A *tensor category* [EGNO15] is a locally finite abelian category \mathcal{C} equipped with a structure of a rigid monoidal category such that the tensor product $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is \mathbb{k} -linear in each variable and the unit object $\mathbb{1} \in \mathcal{C}$ is a simple object.

We note that the \mathbb{k} -linear locally finite abelian category $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ for a Hopf algebra H is naturally a left rigid monoidal category, however, it may not be a tensor category in the above sense because of the lack of right rigidity. Indeed, the monoidal category $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is rigid if and only if the antipode of H is bijective [Ul90], and some examples of Hopf algebras with non-bijective antipodes are known [Tak71]. It could be important to know when the one-sided rigidity of a monoidal category implies the rigidity on the other side. Motivated by the fact that a one-sided semiperfect Hopf algebra is QcF and the antipode of such a Hopf algebra is bijective (see Subsection 6.1), here we prove:

Theorem 5.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a locally finite abelian category equipped with a structure of a monoidal category such that the tensor product of \mathcal{C} is \mathbb{k} -linear and exact in each variable, and the unit object $\mathbb{1}$ of \mathcal{C} is a simple object. Suppose that \mathcal{C} has either a non-zero projective object or a non-zero injective object. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is rigid.
- (2) \mathcal{C} is left rigid.
- (3) \mathcal{C} is right rigid.

If these equivalent conditions hold, then $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ as \mathbb{k} -linear categories for some QcF coalgebra H .

For the case where \mathcal{C} is a finite abelian category, a similar result has been known [EGNO15, Proposition 4.2.10]. The proof given in *loc. cit.* relies on the finiteness of the category \mathcal{C} and thus cannot be applied in the case considered in the above theorem. Our proof actually relies on basic properties of the Nakayama functor established in the previous section.

To prove the above theorem, we first remark:

Lemma 5.3. *Let \mathcal{C} be a \mathbb{k} -linear abelian category equipped with a structure of a left rigid monoidal category such that the tensor product of \mathcal{C} is \mathbb{k} -linear and exact in each variable, and let P and X be objects of \mathcal{C} . If P is projective, then so are $P \otimes X$ and P^\vee .*

Proof. Let P be a projective object of \mathcal{C} . Then $P \otimes X$ is projective for all objects $X \in \mathcal{C}$ [EGNO15, Proposition 4.2.12]. Although the rigidity is assumed in [EGNO15, Proposition 6.1.3], one can prove that P^\vee is projective even in our setting in the same way as that proposition. \square

Lemma 5.4. *For \mathcal{C} as in the statement of Lemma 5.3, we have $\text{Inj}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. This result is well-known for finite multitensor categories [EGNO15, Remark 6.1.4]. Since the proof in *loc. cit.* seems to use the rigidity, we here demonstrate

that the left rigidity is sufficient for proving this result. Let $P \in \text{Proj}(\mathcal{C})$, and let $0 \rightarrow X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence in \mathcal{C} . By applying the functor $P^\vee \otimes (-)$, which is exact by our assumption, we obtain an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P^\vee \otimes X \rightarrow P^\vee \otimes Y \rightarrow P^\vee \otimes Z \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{C} . Since P^\vee is projective by Lemma 5.3, each term of this sequence is projective again by Lemma 5.3, and thus it splits. Hence the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P^\vee \otimes Z, \mathbb{1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P^\vee \otimes Y, \mathbb{1}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(P^\vee \otimes X, \mathbb{1}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. By the left rigidity, this sequence is isomorphic to the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Z, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, P) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, P) \rightarrow 0,$$

which proves $P \in \text{Inj}(\mathcal{C})$. We have verified that $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \text{Inj}(\mathcal{C})$. The converse inclusion is proved by applying the above argument to the left rigid monoidal category $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op,rev}}$. \square

Even if \mathcal{C} is a category satisfying the assumptions of the above lemma, there may not be a QcF coalgebra H such that $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ as \mathbb{k} -linear categories. Indeed, there are non-QcF Hopf algebras H such that the equations $\text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H) = \{0\} = \text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H)$ hold (see Example 3.31). If we impose the semiperfectness assumption, then the equation “Proj = Inj” implies being QcF. Precisely, we have:

Lemma 5.5. *A semiperfect coalgebra C satisfying $\text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) = \text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)$ is QcF.*

Proof. Let C be such a coalgebra, and let $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple right C -comodules. Then $C \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} E(S_i)^{\oplus m_i}$ for some $m_i > 0$, where $E(S_i)$ is an injective hull of S_i . Since C is left semiperfect, $\dim_{\mathbb{k}} E(S_i) < \infty$ all i . Thus, by the assumption that $\text{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C) = \text{Inj}(\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C)$, we see that C is a projective right C -comodule. By [DNR01, Theorem 3.3.4], C is left QcF.

Since $V \in {}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}$ is injective (projective) if and only if $V^* \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$ is projective (injective), we also have $\text{Proj}({}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}) = \text{Inj}({}^C\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}})$. Thus, by applying the above argument to C^{cop} , we see that C is also right QcF. The proof is done. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Suppose that \mathcal{C} satisfies the assumptions of this theorem. Let H be a coalgebra such that $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. It is trivial that (1) implies both (2) and (3). Below we show that (2) implies (1) and find that H is QcF during the proof. The implication (3) \Rightarrow (1) is proved by applying the same argument to \mathcal{C}^{rev} .

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is left rigid. By Lemma 5.4 and the assumption of this theorem, \mathcal{C} has a non-zero projective object, say P . Then $\text{ev}_P : Q := P^\vee \otimes P \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ is an epimorphism in \mathcal{C} as a non-zero morphism to the simple object $\mathbb{1}$. For $V \in \mathcal{C}$, we consider the morphism $\pi_V = \text{ev}_P \otimes \text{id}_V : Q \otimes V \rightarrow V$. Since the tensor product of \mathcal{C} is assumed to be exact, we have $\text{Im}(\pi_V) = \text{Im}(\text{ev}_P) \otimes V = V$, and thus π_V is an epimorphism. By Lemmas 5.3 and 5.4, $Q \otimes V$ is projective. To sum up, we have proved that \mathcal{C} is enough projective.

Applying the same argument to $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op,rev}}$ (which is left rigid because \mathcal{C} is), we see that $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op,rev}}$ is enough projective. Thus \mathcal{C} is enough injective.

By Lemma 5.5 and the above discussion, the coalgebra H is QcF. Thus, by Theorem 3.21, the Nakayama functor $\mathbb{N}^L := \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^L$ and $\mathbb{N}^R := \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^R$ are mutually quasi-inverse to each other. Set $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{N}^R(\mathbb{1})$ and $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{N}^L(\mathbb{1})$ for simplicity. We fix an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Then there is a chain of adjunctions

$$X^{\vee\vee\vee} \otimes (-) \dashv X^{\vee\vee} \otimes (-) \dashv X^\vee \otimes (-) \dashv X \otimes (-).$$

By applying Corollary 4.8 (1) to the functor $F = X^{\vee\vee} \otimes (-)$, we have isomorphisms

$$(5.3) \quad \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{L}}(X) = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{L}}(F^{\text{tra}}(\mathbb{1})) \cong F\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong X^{\vee\vee} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Similarly, by applying Corollary 4.8 (2) to $F = (-) \otimes X^{\vee\vee}$, we also have

$$(5.4) \quad \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X) = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(F^{\text{lla}}(\mathbb{1})) \cong F\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes X^{\vee\vee}.$$

By these isomorphisms, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \mathbb{1} \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{L}}\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathfrak{g}^{\vee\vee} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \mathbb{1} \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{L}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee\vee}.$$

By taking the left duals, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\vee\vee\vee} \cong \mathbb{1} \cong \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee\vee} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}.$$

Since $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are equivalences, and since $\mathbb{1}$ is a simple object by the assumption, both \mathfrak{g} and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ are simple objects. We recall that the inequality $l(X \otimes Y) \geq l(X) \cdot l(Y)$ holds for all objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, where $l(X)$ denotes the length of X (follow [EGNO15, Exercise 4.3.11]). Applying this inequality to (5.6), we obtain $l(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}) = 1 = l(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee})$. This means that \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}$ are simple objects. Furthermore, by (5.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{g}^{\vee\vee} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \mathbb{1}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \neq 0, \\ \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee}, \mathfrak{g}) &\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathfrak{g} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee\vee}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Schur's lemma, $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\vee} \cong \mathfrak{g}$. Now, by (5.4), one can easily deduce that \mathfrak{g} and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ are invertible objects (follow [EGNO15, Exercise 4.3.11] again). By (5.3), the double dual functor is an equivalence. This means that \mathcal{C} is right rigid. \square

5.3. Frobenius tensor categories.

Definition 5.6. A *Frobenius tensor category* [ACE15] is a tensor category \mathcal{C} such that every simple object of \mathcal{C} has an injective hull in \mathcal{C} .

Stated differently, a Frobenius tensor category is a tensor category \mathcal{C} such that $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ for some left semiperfect coalgebra H . A left semiperfect Hopf algebra is known to be QcF (as we will recall in Subsection 6.1). Motivated by this fact, we give some characterizations of Frobenius tensor categories as follows:

Theorem 5.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be a tensor category, and let H be a coalgebra such that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ as a \mathbb{k} -linear category. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is a Frobenius tensor category.
- (2) \mathcal{C} has a non-zero projective object.
- (3) \mathcal{C} has a non-zero injective object.
- (4) H is either left or right semiperfect.
- (5) H is either left or right QcF.
- (6) H is semiperfect.
- (7) H is QcF.

Proof. The duality $(-)^{\vee}$ gives an equivalence between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^{op} . Thus $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ and $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^{H^{\text{cop}}}$ are also equivalent. By taking their ind-completions, we find that H and H^{cop} are Morita-Takeuchi equivalent. Thus the assertions (1), (4) and (6) are equivalent. By the same reason, (5) and (7) are equivalent. By Lemma 5.4, (2) and (3) are equivalent. It is trivial that (7) implies (6), and that (6) implies (2). By Theorem 5.2, we see that (3) implies (7). The proof is done. \square

5.4. A categorical analogue of the modular function. Let \mathcal{C} be a Frobenius tensor category. By Theorem 5.7, \mathcal{C} is enough projective and enough injective. Thus, by Theorem 4.5, the Nakayama functors $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^{\text{R}}$ restrict to endofunctors $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}$ on \mathcal{C} , respectively. Now we define

$$(5.7) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}(\mathbb{1}) \in \mathcal{C}.$$

As we will see in Section 6, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ corresponds to the modular function on a co-Frobenius Hopf algebra. According to the nomenclature in the Hopf algebra theory, we introduce the following terminology:

Definition 5.8. We call $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ the *modular object* of \mathcal{C} . We say that \mathcal{C} is *unimodular* if $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \cong \mathbb{1}$.

Given a \mathcal{C} -bimodule category \mathcal{M} and two integers a and b , we denote by ${}_{(2a)}\mathcal{M}_{(2b)}$ the \mathcal{C} -bimodule category obtained from \mathcal{M} by twisting the left and the right \mathcal{C} -action by a -th and b -th power of the double dual functor $(-)^{\vee\vee}$, respectively. The following results have been proved in the proof of Theorem 5.2.

Theorem 5.9 (cf. [FSS20, Theorems 4.4 and 4.5]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a Frobenius tensor category. Then the modular object $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is invertible and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{L}}(\mathbb{1}) \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\vee}$. Furthermore, there are natural isomorphisms*

$$(5.8) \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\vee} \otimes {}^{\vee\vee}X \cong \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{L}}(X) \cong X^{\vee\vee} \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\vee}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes X^{\vee\vee} \cong \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}(X) \cong {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$$

for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ making $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{L}}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}$ into \mathcal{C} -bimodule functors

$$\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{L}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_{(2)}\mathcal{C}_{(-2)}, \quad \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow {}_{(-2)}\mathcal{C}_{(2)}$$

Let $\psi_X : {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}(X)$ ($X \in \mathcal{C}$) be the isomorphism given in the above theorem. The morphism ψ_X is actually defined to be the $\mathbb{1}$ -component of the natural isomorphism ϕ_F^{R} with $F = {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes (-)$ given by Theorem 4.7. Thus ψ_X is a unique morphism making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}(\mathbb{1}) & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_{{}^{\vee\vee}X} \otimes i_V(\mathbb{1})} & {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes \text{coHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, \mathbb{1}) \otimes V \\ \downarrow \psi_X & & \downarrow \tau_{{}^{\vee\vee}X, \text{coHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, \mathbb{1})} \otimes \text{id} \\ & & \text{coHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, \mathbb{1}) \otimes {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes V \\ & & \downarrow \text{coHom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\text{ev}_{{}^{\vee\vee}X} \otimes \text{id}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \\ & & \text{coHom}_{\mathcal{C}}({}^{\vee}X \otimes {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes V, \mathbb{1}) \otimes {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes V \\ & & \downarrow (2.6) \text{ for } F = {}^{\vee\vee}X^{\vee} \otimes (-) \\ \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}(X) & \xleftarrow{i_{{}^{\vee\vee}X} \otimes V(X)} & \text{coHom}_{\mathcal{C}}({}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes V, X) \otimes {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes V \end{array}$$

commute for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$, where $i_V(X) : \text{coHom}(V, X) \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\text{R}}(X)$ is the universal dinatural transformation and τ is the natural isomorphism given in Lemma 5.1. Other isomorphisms are described in a similar manner.

Now we give immediate consequences of the above theorem. Corollary 5.10 below has been proved in [ACE15, Remark 2.10] under the assumption that \mathcal{C} admits a dimension function. By Theorems 3.22 and 5.9, we have:

Corollary 5.10. *Let \mathcal{C} be a Frobenius tensor category. Given an object V of \mathcal{C} , we denote by $E(V)$ and $P(V)$ an injective hull and a projective cover of V , respectively.*

Then we have

$$E(S) \cong P(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes S^{\vee\vee}), \quad P(S) \cong E(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\vee} \otimes {}^{\vee\vee}S)$$

for all simple objects $S \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the top of $E(\mathbb{1})$ as well as the dual of the socle of $P(\mathbb{1})$.

By Theorems 3.26 and 5.9, we have:

Corollary 5.11. *For a Frobenius tensor category \mathcal{C} , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is unimodular and the double dual functor $(-)^{\vee\vee}$ on \mathcal{C} is isomorphic to the identity functor.
- (2) $\mathcal{C} \approx \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ for some symmetric coalgebra H .

5.5. Categorical Radford S^4 -formula. Radford gave a useful formula for the fourth power of the antipode of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, which is now called *the Radford S^4 -formula*. This formula has been generalized to co-Frobenius Hopf algebras in [BBT07, Theorem 2.8]. The formula also has a generalization to finite tensor categories [ENO04]. It may be natural to ask whether there is a categorical Radford S^4 -formula for ‘infinite’ tensor categories covering the result of [BBT07].

Recently, it turned out that the categorical Radford S^4 -formula for finite tensor categories easily follows from a property of the Nakayama functor [FSS20]. Now we have the same functor for Frobenius tensor categories. Thus, by the same way as [FSS20], we obtain a ‘categorical’ Radford S^4 -formula for Frobenius tensor categories. Here is the detail: Given an object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we write $X^{\vee 3} = X^{\vee\vee\vee}$, $X^{\vee 4} = X^{\vee\vee\vee\vee}$ and so on.

Definition 5.12. Given a Frobenius tensor category \mathcal{C} , we define \mathfrak{R}_X by the composition

$$\mathfrak{R}_X := \left(X \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow[\cong]{(5.8)} \mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathfrak{R}}(X^{\vee\vee}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{(5.8)} \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes X^{\vee 4} \right)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and call $\mathfrak{R} = \{\mathfrak{R}_X\}_{X \in \mathcal{C}}$ the *Radford isomorphism*.

The isomorphism (5.8) is an instance of the natural isomorphism given in Theorem 4.7. The coherent property claimed in that theorem ensures that equation

$$\mathfrak{R}_{X \otimes Y} = (\mathfrak{R}_X \otimes \text{id}_{Y^{\vee 4}}) \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \mathfrak{R}_Y)$$

holds for all objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. The following generalization of [ENO04, Theorem 3.3] to Frobenius tensor categories is now easily obtained:

Corollary 5.13. *For a Frobenius tensor category \mathcal{C} with modulus $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$, there is the following isomorphism of monoidal functors:*

$$\mathfrak{g}^{\vee} \otimes X \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}^{\vee}} \otimes \mathfrak{R}_X} \mathfrak{g}^{\vee} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \otimes X^{\vee 4} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee 4}}} X^{\vee 4} \quad (X \in \mathcal{C}).$$

We will explain how this result specializes to [BBT07, Theorem 2.8] in Subsection 6.4.

5.5.1. *The braided case.* Let \mathcal{C} be a braided rigid monoidal category with braiding σ . Then we define

$$(5.9) \quad u_X^\sigma = (\text{ev}_X \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \circ (\sigma_{X, X^\vee} \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes \text{coev}_{X^\vee}) \quad (X \in \mathcal{C})$$

and call u^σ the *Drinfeld isomorphism* associated to σ . We will use string diagrams to express morphisms in a braided rigid monoidal category. Our convention is that a morphism goes from the top to the bottom of the diagram. The evaluation, the coevaluation, the braiding and its inverse are expressed as follows:

$$\text{ev}_X = \begin{array}{c} X^\vee \quad X \\ \cup \\ \end{array} \quad \text{coev}_X = \begin{array}{c} \cup \\ X \quad X^\vee \end{array} \quad \sigma_{X,Y} = \begin{array}{c} X \quad Y \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ Y \quad X \end{array} \quad (\sigma_{X,Y})^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} Y \quad X \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ X \quad Y \end{array}$$

The Drinfeld isomorphism and its inverse are given as follows:

$$(5.10) \quad u_X^\sigma = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \cup \\ X^{\vee\vee} \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ \cup \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ X^{\vee\vee} \end{array} \quad (u_X^\sigma)^{-1} = \begin{array}{c} X^{\vee\vee} \\ \cup \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ X \end{array} = \begin{array}{c} X^{\vee\vee} \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \cup \\ X \end{array}$$

It is known that the Radford isomorphism of a braided finite tensor category is written in terms of the braiding [EGNO15, Theorem 8.10.7]. We give a generalization of this result to the setting of braided Frobenius tensor categories as follows:

Theorem 5.14. *For a braided Frobenius tensor category \mathcal{C} , we have*

$$(5.11) \quad \mathfrak{R}_X = (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (u_{X^\vee}^\vee)^{-1} u_X) \circ (\sigma_{\mathfrak{g}, X})^{-1}$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, where σ is the braiding of \mathcal{C} and $u = u^\sigma$.

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.8, we assume that the coHom functor of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by $\text{coHom}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)^*$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. For $V, X \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote by $i_V(X) : \text{coHom}(V, X) \otimes V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathfrak{R}}(X)$ the universal dinatural transformation for $\mathbb{N}^{\mathfrak{R}}(X) := \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^{\mathfrak{R}}(X)$ as a coend. We fix two objects $V, X \in \mathcal{C}$ and consider the commutative diagram given as Figure 3. By (5.2) and the hexagon axiom for a braiding, we have

$$(5.12) \quad (\tau_{X, \text{coHom}(V, \mathbb{1})} \otimes \text{id}_V) \circ (\sigma_{X, \text{coHom}(V, \mathbb{1}) \otimes V})^{-1} = \text{id}_{\text{coHom}(V, \mathbb{1})} \otimes \sigma_{V, X}.$$

The path from --- (1) to --- (2) in the diagram is equal to $\Theta_{V, X}^* \otimes \text{id}_X \otimes \text{id}_V$, where $\Theta_{V, X}^*$ is the linear dual of the following linear map:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{V, X} &: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes V \otimes X^{\vee\vee}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, V), \\ f &\mapsto (\text{ev}_X \otimes \text{id}_V \otimes \text{ev}_{X^\vee}) \circ (\text{id}_{X^\vee} \otimes f \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \circ \text{coev}_{X^\vee} \end{aligned}$$

An object T of a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} is said to be *transparent* if $\sigma_{X,T} \circ \sigma_{T,X} = \text{id}_T \otimes \text{id}_X$ for all objects $X \in \mathcal{C}$, where σ is the braiding of \mathcal{C} . It is known that the modular object of a braided finite tensor category is transparent [EGNO15, Corollary 8.10.8]. With the help of the above theorem, we can prove the following result by the same way as [EGNO15, Corollary 8.10.8].

Corollary 5.15. *The modular object of a braided Frobenius tensor category is transparent.*

The Müger center of a braided monoidal category \mathcal{C} , denoted by \mathcal{C}' , is the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} consisting of all transparent objects of \mathcal{C} . If \mathcal{C} is a braided finite tensor category with the trivial Müger center (*i.e.*, $\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}_{\text{triv}}$), then it is unimodular by [ENO04, Proposition 4.5] and [Shi19]. The above corollary implies that the same holds in the infinite setting as follows:

Corollary 5.16. *A braided Frobenius tensor category with the trivial Müger center is unimodular.*

5.5.2. *The semisimple case.* The fact that a cosemisimple coalgebra is a symmetric coalgebra [CnIDN04] implies that a semisimple tensor category is a Frobenius tensor category whose Nakayama functor is isomorphic to the identity. Thus, by Corollary 5.11 and the categorical Radford S^4 -formula, we have:

Corollary 5.17. *If \mathcal{C} is a semisimple tensor category, then the following hold:*

- (1) \mathcal{C} is unimodular.
- (2) The double dual functor $(-)^{\vee\vee}$ is isomorphic to $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ as a mere functor.
- (3) The quadruple dual functor $(-)^{\vee^4}$ is isomorphic to $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ as a monoidal functor.

Let \mathcal{C} be as above. Specifically speaking, the isomorphism $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow (-)^{\vee^4}$ of Part 3 of the above corollary is given by the natural isomorphism \mathfrak{R}' characterized by the following equation:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes \mathfrak{R}'_X = \mathfrak{R}_X \circ (\tau_{X, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}})^{-1} \quad (X \in \mathcal{C}).$$

In this subsection, we show that the isomorphism \mathfrak{R}'_X is characterized by a certain trace condition as in [ENO04, Theorem 7.3]. To state the result, we introduce the following notation: Given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow X^{\vee\vee}$ in \mathcal{C} , we define its trace $\text{tr}(f) \in \mathbb{k}$ by

$$\text{tr}(f) \cdot \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}} = \text{ev}_{X^{\vee\vee}} \circ (f \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee}}) \circ \text{coev}_X.$$

Theorem 5.18. *Let \mathcal{C} be a semisimple tensor category such that every simple object of \mathcal{C} is an absolutely simple. Then, for a simple object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we have*

$$(5.13) \quad \mathfrak{R}'_X = \frac{\text{tr}((\phi^{-1})^{\vee})}{\text{tr}(\phi)} \cdot \phi^{\vee\vee} \phi,$$

where $\phi : X \rightarrow X^{\vee\vee}$ is an arbitrary isomorphism in \mathcal{C} . Thus,

$$(5.14) \quad \text{tr}((\phi^{-1})^{\vee\vee} \circ \mathfrak{R}'_X) = \text{tr}((\phi^{-1})^{\vee}).$$

Proof. We note that $\text{tr}(\phi) \neq 0$ [EGNO15, Proposition 4.8.4]. In view of Lemma 2.8, we assume that the coHom functor of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is given by $\text{coHom}(X, Y) := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(Y, X)^*$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. We realize the coend $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(M) := \mathbb{N}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}^{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ for $M \in \mathcal{M}$ as follows:

We fix a set $\{L_i\}_{i \in I}$ of complete representatives of the isomorphism classes of simple objects of \mathcal{C} . By the semisimplicity of \mathcal{C} , for each object $X \in \mathcal{C}$, there are a finite set $A(X)$, a map $\lambda : A(X) \rightarrow I$ and a family

$$\{p_a^X \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, L_{\lambda(a)}), q_a^X \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(L_{\lambda(a)}, X)\}_{a \in A(X)}$$

of morphisms in \mathcal{C} such that the equations $\sum_{a \in A(X)} q_a^X \circ p_a^X = \text{id}_X$ and $p_a^X \circ q_b^X = \delta_{a,b} \text{id}_{L_{\lambda(a)}}$ hold for all $a, b \in A(X)$. The Nakayama functor is given by the direct sum

$$(5.15) \quad \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(M) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{coHom}(L_i, M) \otimes L_i$$

with the universal dinatural transformation

$$(5.16) \quad i_X(M) = \sum_{a \in A(X)} \text{coHom}(q_a^X, M) \otimes p_a^X : \text{coHom}(X, M) \otimes X \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(M)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{C}$, where the inclusion morphism $\text{coHom}(L_i, M) \otimes L_i \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ ($i \in I$) is omitted.

We compute the Radford isomorphism by using the above realization of the coend $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(M)$. We fix a simple object X of \mathcal{C} and an isomorphism $\phi : X \rightarrow X^{\vee\vee}$ in \mathcal{C} . We set $Y = X \otimes X^{\vee\vee}$. Since

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, Y) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, X \otimes X^{\vee\vee}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X^{\vee\vee}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, X) \cong \mathbb{k},$$

we may assume that there is a special element $0 \in A(Y)$ such that

$$L_{\lambda(0)} = \mathbb{1}, \quad p_0^Y = \text{ev}_{X^{\vee\vee}}(\phi^{\vee\vee} \phi \otimes \text{id}), \quad q_0^Y = \text{tr}(\phi)^{-1} \cdot (\phi^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \text{coev}_{X^{\vee\vee}}.$$

Then, since $\text{coHom}(L_{\lambda(a)}, \mathbb{1}) = 0$ for all elements $a \in A(Y) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$(5.17) \quad i_Y(\mathbb{1}) \stackrel{(5.16)}{=} \sum_{a \in A(Y)} \text{coHom}(q_a^Y, \mathbb{1}) \otimes p_a^Y = \text{coHom}(q_0^Y, \mathbb{1}) \otimes p_0^Y.$$

Now we consider the diagram given as Figure 4. The path from $\underline{\quad}$ (1) to $\underline{\quad}$ (2) in the diagram is equal to the morphism $\Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}^* \otimes \text{id}_X$ (see the proof of Theorem 5.14 for the definition of $\Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}$). Note:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr}(\phi) \cdot \Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}(q_0^Y) &= (\text{ev}_X \otimes \text{ev}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \circ (\text{id}_{X^{\vee}} \otimes q_0^Y \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \circ \text{coev}_{X^{\vee}} \\ &= \text{tr}((\phi^{-1})^{\vee}) \cdot \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\xi \in \text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})$, we have

$$(5.18) \quad \langle \text{coHom}(q_0^Y, \mathbb{1}) \Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}^*(\xi), \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}} \rangle = \langle \xi, \Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}(q_0^Y) \rangle = \frac{\text{tr}((\phi^{-1})^{\vee})}{\text{tr}(\phi)} \langle \xi, \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}} \rangle.$$

This shows $\text{coHom}(q_0^Y, \mathbb{1}) \Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}^* = \frac{\text{tr}((\phi^{-1})^{\vee})}{\text{tr}(\phi)} \cdot \text{id}_{\text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})}$. By (5.17) and (5.18), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{R}_X \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes i_{\mathbb{1}}(\mathbb{1})) &= (i_Y(\mathbb{1}) \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \circ (\text{id}_{\text{coHom}(Y, \mathbb{1})} \otimes \text{coev}_{X^{\vee\vee}}) \\ &\quad \circ (\Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}^* \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ \tau_{X, \text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})} \\ &= (\text{coHom}(q_0^Y, \mathbb{1}) \Theta_{\mathbb{1}, X}^* \otimes \phi^{\vee\vee} \phi) \circ \tau_{X, \text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})} \\ &= \text{tr}((\phi^{-1})^{\vee}) \text{tr}(\phi)^{-1} \cdot (\text{id}_{\text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})} \otimes \phi^{\vee\vee} \phi) \circ \tau_{X, \text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \otimes \mathfrak{g} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X \otimes i_1(\mathbb{1})} & X \otimes \text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes \mathbb{1} \\
\downarrow (5.8) & & \downarrow \tau_{X, \text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1})} \otimes \text{id}_{\mathbb{1}} \\
& & \underline{\text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes X \otimes \mathbb{1}} \quad (1) \\
& & \downarrow \text{coHom}(\text{ev}_X \otimes \text{id}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id} \\
& & \text{coHom}(X^\vee \otimes X \otimes \mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes X \\
& & \downarrow (2.6) \text{ for } F = X^\vee \otimes (-) \\
\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X^{\vee\vee}) & \xleftarrow{i_{X \otimes \mathbb{1}}(X^{\vee\vee})} & \text{coHom}(X, X^{\vee\vee}) \otimes X \otimes \mathbb{1} \\
\downarrow (5.8) & & \downarrow (2.6) \text{ for } F = (-) \otimes X^{\vee 3} \\
& & \underline{\text{coHom}(X \otimes X^{\vee 3}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes X} \quad (2) \\
& & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \text{coev}_{X^{\vee 3}} \\
\mathfrak{g} \otimes X^{\vee 4} & \xleftarrow{i_{X \otimes X^{\vee 3}}(\mathbb{1}) \otimes \text{id}_{X^{\vee 4}}} & \text{coHom}(X \otimes X^{\vee 3}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes X \otimes X^{\vee 3} \otimes X^{\vee 4}
\end{array}$$

FIGURE 4. Proof of Theorem 5.18

By (5.15) and (5.16), we have $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} = \text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and $i_1(\mathbb{1}) = \text{id}_{\text{coHom}(\mathbb{1}, \mathbb{1}) \otimes \mathbb{1}}$. Now (5.13) is verified by the definition of \mathfrak{R}'_X and the above computation. The proof is done. \square

5.6. Spherical tensor categories. A *pivotal structure* of a rigid monoidal category \mathcal{C} is an isomorphism $\text{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow (-)^{\vee\vee}$ of monoidal functors, where $(-)^{\vee\vee}$ is the double left dual of \mathcal{C} . The sphericity of a pivotal structure was first introduced in [BW99] in relation with Turaev-Viro invariants of 3-manifolds. Later, a modified definition of a spherical pivotal structure for a finite tensor category was introduced in [DSPS20] in view of applications to topological field theories arising from non-semisimple categories. Here, we extend the definition of [DSPS20] to unimodular Frobenius tensor categories as follows:

Definition 5.19. Let \mathcal{C} be a unimodular Frobenius tensor category, and let $f : \mathbb{1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ be an isomorphism in \mathcal{C} . We say that a pivotal structure p of \mathcal{C} is *spherical* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X^{\vee\vee} & \xrightarrow{p_{X^{\vee\vee}}} & X^{\vee 4} \\
\text{id} \otimes f \downarrow & & & & \downarrow f \otimes \text{id} \\
X \otimes \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{R}_X} & & & \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes X^{\vee 4}
\end{array}$$

commutes for all objects $X \in \mathcal{C}$ (since $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{1}, \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is one-dimensional by Schur's lemma, this definition does not depend on the choice of f). A *spherical tensor category* is a unimodular Frobenius tensor category equipped with a spherical pivotal structure.

Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal monoidal category with pivotal structure p . Then the *left pivotal trace* $\text{ptr}_r(f)$ and the *right pivotal trace* $\text{ptr}_L(f)$ of an endomorphism $f :$

$X \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} are defined by

$$\mathrm{ptr}^{\mathrm{L}}(f) = \mathrm{tr}(p_{X^\vee} \circ f^\vee) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{ptr}^{\mathrm{R}}(f) = \mathrm{tr}(p_X \circ f),$$

respectively. According to [DSPS20], we say that \mathcal{C} is *trace spherical* if $\mathrm{ptr}^{\mathrm{L}}(f) = \mathrm{ptr}^{\mathrm{R}}(f)$ for all endomorphism f in \mathcal{C} (or, equivalently, \mathcal{C} is spherical in the sense of [BW99]). As noted in [DSPS20], neither that a spherical tensor category is trace spherical nor its converse hold, however, two conditions are equivalent for a pivotal fusion category (= a semisimple finite tensor category). Here we remark that the same holds in the infinite setting:

Theorem 5.20. *A semisimple tensor category is spherical if and only if it is trace spherical.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a pivotal fusion category. The proof of [DSPS20, Proposition 3.5.4] relies on the fact that the equation $\mathrm{tr}(f^\vee) = \mathrm{tr}(f^{\vee\vee} \circ \mathfrak{R}'_X)$ holds for all morphism $f : X^{\vee\vee} \rightarrow X$ in \mathcal{C} . By Theorem 5.18, one can verify that the same equation holds in a semisimple tensor category (which is not necessarily finite). Thus the proof of [DSPS20, Proposition 3.5.4] actually applies for proving this theorem. \square

A *ribbon category* is a braided rigid monoidal category \mathcal{C} equipped with a *twist*, that is, a natural isomorphism $\theta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying

$$(5.19) \quad \theta_{X \otimes Y} = \sigma_{Y, X} \circ \sigma_{X, Y} \circ (\theta_X \otimes \theta_Y),$$

$$(5.20) \quad \theta_{X^\vee} = (\theta_X)^\vee$$

for all objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, where σ is the braiding of \mathcal{C} . A braided spherical tensor category is naturally a ribbon category. Indeed, we have:

Theorem 5.21. *Let \mathcal{C} be a unimodular braided Frobenius tensor category. For a pivotal structure p of \mathcal{C} , the following are equivalent:*

- (1) p is spherical.
- (2) $\theta = u^{-1} \circ p$ is a twist, where u is the Drinfeld isomorphism (5.9).

Proof. The assignment $p \mapsto u^{-1} \circ p$ gives a bijective correspondence between the set of pivotal structures of \mathcal{C} and the set of natural transformations $\theta : \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfying (5.19). Now let p be a pivotal structure of \mathcal{C} . Then $\theta := u^{-1} \circ p$ is a twist (*i.e.*, it satisfies (5.20)) if and only if the equation

$$p_{X^{\vee\vee}} \circ p_X = (u_{X^\vee}^{-1})^\vee \circ u_X$$

holds for all objects $X \in \mathcal{C}$ [Shi17b, Lemma5.2]. Thus, by Theorem 5.14, the pivotal structure p is spherical if and only if θ is a twist. The proof is done. \square

6. APPLICATIONS TO HOPF ALGEBRAS

6.1. Semiperfectness of Hopf algebras. In this section, we apply our results to Hopf algebras. No new significant results on Hopf algebras are given: The main purpose of this section is, rather, to give new insights on the theory of Hopf algebras by explaining how our categorical results can be applied to Hopf algebras.

In what follows, the unadorned symbol \otimes means the tensor product over \mathbb{k} . Let H be a Hopf algebra with comultiplication Δ , counit ε and antipode S . Then the category $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^H$ is a left rigid monoidal category. We recall that a left dual object of $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathrm{fd}}^H$, denoted by X^\vee as in the last section, is the vector space X^* equipped with the right H -coaction characterized by $\langle x_{(0)}^*, x \rangle x_{(1)}^* = \langle x^*, x_{(0)} \rangle S(x_{(1)})$ for $x \in X$ and

$x^* \in X^*$. If S is bijective, then a right dual object of $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is given by the same way as X^\vee but by using S^{-1} instead of S . It is known that $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is rigid if and only if S is bijective [Ulb90]. Now we apply our results to obtain the following theorem, which is a part of [DNR01, Theorem 5.3.2].

Theorem 6.1. *For a Hopf algebra H , the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) H is co-Frobenius (as a coalgebra; the same applies below).
- (2) H is QcF.
- (3) H is semiperfect.
- (4) H is either left or right QcF.
- (5) H is either left or right semiperfect.

If these equivalent assertions are satisfied, then the antipode S of H is bijective.

Proof. By Theorems 5.2 and 5.7, (2)–(5) are equivalent. Moreover, these equivalent conditions imply that $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is rigid, or, equivalently, S is bijective. It is trivial that (1) implies (2). Thus it remains to show that (2) implies (1). Suppose that (2) holds. For simplicity, we write $\mathcal{C} = \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. By Theorem 3.21, the Nakayama functor $\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbb{R}} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is an equivalence. The modular object $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is of dimension one (as a vector space) since, by Theorem 5.9, it is an invertible object. Again by Theorem 5.9, we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathbb{N}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbb{R}}(X)) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{C}}) \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(X^{**}) = \dim_{\mathbb{k}}(X)$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.23, H is co-Frobenius. The proof is done. \square

6.2. Frobenius pairings on co-Frobenius Hopf algebras. In the previous subsection, we have given equivalent conditions for a Hopf algebra to be co-Frobenius. Here we prove that a non-zero cointegral on a co-Frobenius Hopf algebra exists and it gives a Frobenius pairing on the Hopf algebra. This fact has been known (see, e.g., the proof of [DNR01, Theorem 5.3.2]), however, we include a proof since the discussion below gives a helpful viewpoint for later subsections.

Let H be a Hopf algebra. Given an H -bicomodule algebra A and an H -bimodule coalgebra C , we introduce two categories ${}^C_A\mathfrak{M}_H^H$ and ${}^C_A\mathfrak{YD}$ as follows: An object of the former category is an C - H -bicomodule M equipped with an A - H -bimodule structure such that the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_M^{\text{L}}(a \cdot m \cdot h) &= a_{(-1)}m_{(-1)}h_{(1)} \otimes a_{(0)}m_{(0)}h_{(2)}, \\ \delta_M^{\text{R}}(a \cdot m \cdot h) &= a_{(0)}m_{(0)}h_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)}m_{(1)}h_{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

hold for all $a \in A$, $m \in M$ and $h \in H$. An object of the latter one, ${}^C_A\mathfrak{YD}$, is a right C -comodule V equipped with a left A -module structure such that the Yetter-Drinfeld condition

$$(6.1) \quad (a_{(0)}v)_{(0)}a_{(1)} \otimes (a_{(0)}v)_{(1)} = a_{(-1)}v_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)}v_{(0)}$$

is satisfied for all elements $a \in A$ and $v \in V$. In both categories, a morphism is a linear map respecting all the actions and coactions.

Lemma 6.2. *The categories ${}^C_A\mathfrak{YD}$ and ${}^C_A\mathfrak{M}_H^H$ are equivalent.*

Proof. This is only a slight variant of [BDRVO98, Theorem 3.1 (i)]. For later use, we include how the equivalence is established. We first define the functor $F : {}^C_A\mathfrak{YD} \rightarrow {}^C_A\mathfrak{M}_H^H$ by $F(V) = V \otimes H$ for $V \in {}^C_A\mathfrak{YD}$, where the left C -coaction

$\delta_{F(V)}^L$, the right H -coaction $\delta_{F(V)}^R$ and the A - H -bimodule structure on $F(V)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{F(V)}^L(v \otimes h) &= v_{(-1)}h_{(1)} \otimes v_{(0)}h_{(2)}, & \delta_{F(V)}^R &= \text{id}_V \otimes \Delta, \\ a \cdot (v \otimes h) \cdot h' &= a_{(0)}v \otimes a_{(1)}hh'\end{aligned}$$

for $h, h' \in H$, $v \in V$ and $a \in A$. We also define the functor $I : {}_A^C\mathfrak{M}_H^H \rightarrow {}_A^C\mathfrak{YD}$ by

$$I(M) = \{m \in M \mid \delta_M^R(m) = m \otimes 1_H\}$$

for $M \in {}_A^C\mathfrak{M}_H^H$. The vector space $I(M)$ is a left C -comodule as a subcomodule of M . It becomes an object of ${}_A^C\mathfrak{YD}$ together with the left A -action given by

$$(6.2) \quad a \triangleright m = a_{(0)}mS(a_{(1)}) \quad (a \in A, m \in I(M)).$$

By the same way as [BDRVO98], one can verify that the following are natural isomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned}FI(M) &\rightarrow M, & m \otimes h &\mapsto mh \quad (m \in M \in {}_A^C\mathfrak{M}_H^H, h \in H), \\ V &\rightarrow IF(V), & v &\mapsto v \otimes 1_H \quad (v \in V \in {}_A^C\mathfrak{YD}).\end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We suppose that H satisfies the equivalent conditions of Theorem 6.1. Then, by that theorem, the antipode of H is bijective. We define \tilde{H} to be the H -bimodule coalgebra whose underlying coalgebra is same as that of H but whose H -bimodule structure is given by $h \cdot x \cdot h' = S^{-2}(h)xS^2(h')$ for $x \in \tilde{H}$ and $h, h' \in H$. By the semiperfectness of H , the space $H^{*\text{rat}} \subset H^*$ is rational both as a left and a right H^* -module, and thus it has an H -bicomodule structure determined by

$$f_{(0)}\langle \xi, f_{(1)} \rangle = \xi * f \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \xi, f_{(-1)} \rangle f_{(0)} = f * \xi$$

for $f \in H^{*\text{rat}}$ and $\xi \in H^*$. We endow $H^{*\text{rat}}$ with an H -bimodule structure given by

$$(6.3) \quad h \cdot f \cdot h' = S(h') \rightharpoonup f \leftharpoonup S^{-1}(h)$$

for $h, h' \in H$ and $f \in H^{*\text{rat}}$ (one can verify that the right-hand side actually belongs to $H^{*\text{rat}}$ by the same way as [Swe69, Lemma 2.8]). It is straightforward to check that the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{H^{*\text{rat}}}^R(h \cdot f \cdot h') &= (h_{(1)} \cdot f_{(0)} \cdot h'_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}f_{(1)}h'_{(2)} \\ \delta_{H^{*\text{rat}}}^L(h \cdot f \cdot h') &= S^{-2}(h_{(1)})f_{(-1)}S^2(h'_{(1)}) \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot f_{(0)} \cdot h'_{(2)})\end{aligned}$$

hold for all $f \in H^{*\text{rat}}$ and $h, h' \in H$. This means that $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is an object of ${}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{H}}\mathfrak{M}_H^H$.

We recall that a left cointegral on H is a linear map $\lambda : H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ satisfying $h_{(1)}\langle \lambda, h_{(2)} \rangle = \langle \lambda, h \rangle 1_H$ for all $h \in H$. As has been observed in [Swe69], the space $I(H^{*\text{rat}})$ coincides with the space of left cointegrals on H . By the proof of Lemma 6.2, we have an isomorphism

$$(6.4) \quad I(H^{*\text{rat}}) \otimes H \rightarrow H^{*\text{rat}}, \quad \lambda \otimes h \mapsto S(h) \rightharpoonup \lambda$$

in the category ${}_{\tilde{H}}^{\tilde{H}}\mathfrak{M}_H^H$. Now we are ready to prove:

Theorem 6.3. *For H as above, the space $I(H^{*\text{rat}})$ is one-dimensional. Let λ be a non-zero left cointegral on H . Then H is a co-Frobenius coalgebra with Frobenius pairing given by*

$$(6.5) \quad \beta : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}, \quad \beta(a, b) = \langle \lambda, aS(b) \rangle \quad (a, b \in H).$$

Proof. By the above argument, we have, in particular, an isomorphism $I(H^{*\text{rat}}) \otimes H \cong H^{*\text{rat}}$ of left H^* -modules. We apply the functor $\mathbb{k} \otimes_{H^*} (-)$ to the both sides. Since the left H^* -module structure of the right hand side comes only from the second tensorands, we have

$$\mathbb{k} \otimes_{H^*} (I(H^{*\text{rat}}) \otimes H) \cong I(H^{*\text{rat}}) \otimes (\mathbb{k} \otimes_{H^*} H).$$

On the other hand, we have $\mathbb{k} \otimes_{H^*} H^{*\text{rat}} \cong \mathbb{k} \cdot H^{*\text{rat}} = \mathbb{k}$ by the semiperfectness of H . Thus,

$$I(H^{*\text{rat}}) \otimes (\mathbb{k} \otimes_{H^*} H) \cong \mathbb{k}$$

as vector spaces. Therefore $I(H^{*\text{rat}})$ is one-dimensional.

The non-degeneracy of β follows from that (6.4) is bijective and that $H^{*\text{rat}}$ is dense in H^* . The left \tilde{H} -colinearity of (6.4) implies that β is H^* -balanced. The proof is done. \square

The bijectivity of the map (6.4) have been proved by Sweedler [Swe69] by using the fundamental theorem for Hopf modules, *i.e.*, Lemma 6.2 for $A = C = \mathbb{k}$. Unlike the case of [Swe69], we have used the bijectivity of the antipode of H . As a trade-off, in our setting, the map (6.4) is not only a morphism of Hopf modules, but also preserves the left \tilde{H} -coaction and the left H -action. Below, we see that some useful formulas involving cointegrals can be derived by this observation.

6.3. Nakayama automorphisms of co-Frobenius Hopf algebras. Let H be a Hopf algebra satisfying the equivalent conditions of Theorem 6.1. By Theorem 6.3, there is a non-zero cointegral λ on H and, moreover, the bilinear map $\beta : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ given by (6.5) is a Frobenius pairing on H . We denote by ν_H the Nakayama automorphism associated to β . Since the antipode of H is bijective, the non-degeneracy of β implies that the pairing $(a, b) \mapsto \lambda(ab)$ ($a, b \in H$) is also non-degenerate. According to [BBT07], we define $\chi_H : H \rightarrow H$ by

$$(6.6) \quad h \mapsto \lambda = \lambda \leftarrow \chi_H(h)$$

for $h \in H$. The map χ_H is called the *generalized Nakayama automorphism* of H in [BBT07]. We note that, unlike ν_H , it is not a coalgebra automorphism but an algebra automorphism of H .

By Theorem 6.3, there is a grouplike element $g_H \in H$ and an algebra map $\alpha_H : H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ such that the left \tilde{H} -coaction and the left H -action on $I(H^{*\text{rat}}) \in \tilde{H} \mathfrak{M} \mathfrak{D}$ are given by $\delta_{I(H^{*\text{rat}})}(\lambda) = g_H \otimes \lambda$ and $h \triangleright \lambda = \alpha_H(h)\lambda$ for all $h \in H$, respectively. The former equation is equivalent to that

$$(6.7) \quad \langle \lambda, h_{(1)} \rangle h_{(2)} = \lambda(h)g_H$$

holds for all $h \in H$. Namely, g_H is the *distinguished grouplike element* of H introduced in [BDR97]. By (6.2) and (6.3), the latter one is equivalent to that

$$(6.8) \quad S^2(h_{(2)}) \mapsto \lambda \leftarrow S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) = \alpha_H(h) \cdot \lambda$$

holds for all $h \in H$. Now we prove:

Lemma 6.4. $\chi_H(h) = S^{-2}(\alpha_H \leftarrow h)$ for $h \in H$.

This lemma implies $\alpha_H = \varepsilon \circ \nu_H$. Thus, α_H is the distinguished grouplike element of H^* in the sense of [BBT07, Definition 2.3] and the above formula of χ_H is same as that given in [BBT07, Lemma 2.5].

Proof. By (6.6) and (6.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \leftarrow \chi_H(S^2(h)) &= S^2(h) \rightarrow \lambda = S^2(h_{(3)}) \rightarrow \lambda \leftarrow S^{-1}(h_{(2)})h_{(1)} \\ &= \alpha_H(h_{(2)})\lambda \leftarrow h_{(1)} = \lambda \leftarrow (\alpha_H \rightarrow h)\end{aligned}$$

for all $h \in H$. Thus $\chi_H(h) = \alpha_H \rightarrow S^{-2}(h) = S^{-2}(\alpha_H \rightarrow h)$ for all $h \in H$. \square

Lemma 6.5. $\nu_H(h) = g_H S^2(h)$ for $h \in H$.

This lemma implies that the modular object of $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{k}g_H$.

Proof. Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}^H$. By the proof of Lemma 3.19, the map

$$\beta_M^{\natural} : H \otimes_{H^*} M \rightarrow M^{(\nu_H)}, \quad h \otimes_{H^*} m \mapsto m_{(0)}\beta(h, m_{(1)}) \quad (h \in H, m \in M)$$

is an isomorphism of right H -comodules. The H -colinearity of this map implies that the equation

$$(6.9) \quad m_{(0)}\beta(h_{(1)}, m_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} = m_{(0)} \otimes \nu_H(m_{(1)})\beta(h, m_{(2)})$$

hold for all $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. The left-hand side is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}m_{(0)}\beta(h_{(1)}, m_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)} &= m_{(0)} \otimes \langle \lambda, h_{(1)}S(m_{(3)}) \rangle h_{(2)}S(m_{(2)})S^2(m_{(1)}) \\ &\stackrel{(6.7)}{=} m_{(0)} \otimes \langle \lambda, hS(m_{(2)}) \rangle g_H S^2(m_{(1)}) = m_{(0)} \otimes \beta(h, m_{(2)})g_H S^2(m_{(1)}).\end{aligned}$$

By letting $M = H$ and applying $\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_H$ to the both sides of (6.9), we have

$$g_H S^2(m_{(1)})\beta(h, m_{(2)}) = \nu_H(m_{(1)})\beta(h, m_{(2)}) \quad (m, h \in H).$$

Since $\text{Im}(\beta^{\natural}) = H^{*\text{rat}}$ is dense in H^* , we have $g_H S^2(m_{(1)}) \otimes m_{(2)} = \nu_H(m_{(1)}) \otimes m_{(2)}$ for all $m \in H$. The desired formula is now obtained by applying $\text{id}_H \otimes \varepsilon$ to the both sides. \square

6.4. Radford S^4 -formula for co-Frobenius Hopf algebras. Radford gave a significant formula for the fourth power of the antipode of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, which we call the Radford S^4 -formula. This formula was generalized by Beattie, Bulacu and Torrecillas to co-Frobenius Hopf algebras [BBT07, Theorem 2.8]. The aim of this subsection is to explain the relation between their result and our categorical Radford S^4 -formula (Corollary 5.13). Although it is not directly related to this purpose, we note the following short and interesting proof of [BBT07, Theorem 2.8]. Let H be a co-Frobenius Hopf algebra with non-zero left cointegral λ , and define α_H and g_H as in the previous subsection.

Theorem 6.6 ([BBT07, Theorem 2.8]). *For all $h \in H$, we have*

$$S^4(h) = g_H^{-1}(\alpha_H \rightarrow h \leftarrow \alpha_H^{-1})g_H.$$

Proof. Since $I(H^{*\text{rat}})$ is an object of the category $\tilde{H}_H^H \mathfrak{YD}$, we have

$$\alpha_H(h_{(1)})g_H S^2(h_{(2)}) \otimes \lambda = S^{-2}(h_{(1)})g_H \otimes \alpha_H(h_{(2)})\lambda \quad (h \in H)$$

by the Yetter-Drinfeld condition (6.1). The S^4 -formula is now immediate. \square

We give an explicit formula of the Radford isomorphism \mathfrak{R}_X ($X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$) in the Frobenius tensor category $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. We recall that the isomorphism \mathfrak{R}_X is constructed in terms of the ‘twisted’ $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ -bimodule structure of the right exact Nakayama functor $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{N}_H^{\mathbb{R}}$, which we denote by

$$\Psi_{X,Y}^{\mathbb{L}} : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow {}^{\vee\vee}X \otimes \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(Y), \quad \Psi_{X,Y}^{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X \otimes Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{R}}(X) \otimes Y^{\vee\vee}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. Given a finite-dimensional vector space V , we denote by $\phi_V : V \rightarrow V^{**}$ the canonical isomorphism. Then, by Definition 5.12, we have

$$(6.10) \quad \mathfrak{R}_X = \Psi_{\mathbb{1}, X^{\vee\vee}}^R \circ (\Psi_{X^{\vee\vee}, \mathbb{1}}^L)^{-1} \circ (\phi_{X^{**}} \phi_X \otimes \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$, where $\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{N}^R(\mathbb{1})$. Thus we compute $\Psi_{X,Y}^L$ and $\Psi_{X,Y}^R$.

Lemma 6.7. *For $x \in X$, $h \in H$ and $y \in Y$, we have*

$$(6.11) \quad \Psi_{X,Y}^L(h \otimes_{H^*} (x \otimes y)) = \phi_X(x_{(0)}) \otimes (S^{-1}(x_{(1)})h \otimes_{H^*} y),$$

$$(6.12) \quad \Psi_{X,Y}^R(h \otimes_{H^*} (x \otimes y)) = (hS(y_{(1)}) \otimes_{H^*} x) \otimes \phi_Y(y_{(0)}).$$

Proof. We only show that the map $\Psi_{X,Y}^L$ is expressed as stated, since the expression for $\Psi_{X,Y}^R$ can be verified in a similar way. In view of Lemma 2.9, we may assume that the coHom functor for $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is given by $\text{coHom}(V, W) := V^* \otimes_{H^*} W$ for $V, W \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. The adjunction isomorphism

$$\Theta_{V,W,X} : \text{coHom}(V, X \otimes W) \xrightarrow{(2.6)} \text{coHom}({}^\vee X \otimes V, W) \quad (V, W, X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H)$$

associated to the adjunction $X \otimes (-) \dashv {}^\vee X \otimes (-)$ is given by

$$\Theta_{V,W,X}(v^* \otimes_{H^*} (x \otimes w)) = (\phi_X(x) \otimes v^*) \otimes_{H^*} w \quad (v^* \in V^*, x \in X, w \in W).$$

Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. By the proof of Lemma 3.6, the universal dinatural transformation for $\mathbb{N}^R(M)$ as a coend is given by

$$\begin{aligned} i_V(M) : \text{coHom}(V, M) \otimes V &= (V^* \otimes_{H^*} M) \otimes V \rightarrow H \otimes_{H^*} M = \mathbb{N}^R(M), \\ (v^* \otimes_{H^*} m) \otimes v &\mapsto \langle v^*, v_{(0)} \rangle v_{(1)} \otimes_{H^*} m \quad (v^* \in V^*, v \in V, m \in M) \end{aligned}$$

for $V \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. Since the forgetful functor $\mathfrak{M}^H \rightarrow \mathbf{Vect}$ preserves small colimits [Wis75], the morphism $\Psi_{X,Y}^L$ is a unique linear map making the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{N}^R(X \otimes Y) & \xleftarrow{i_V(X \otimes Y)} & \text{coHom}(V, X \otimes Y) \otimes V \\ & & \downarrow (1) \Theta_{V,Y,X} \otimes \text{id} \\ & & \text{coHom}({}^\vee X \otimes V, Y) \otimes V \\ & & \downarrow (2) \text{id} \otimes \text{coev}_{V, X} \otimes \text{id} \\ & & \text{coHom}({}^\vee X \otimes V, Y) \otimes {}^{\vee\vee} X \otimes {}^\vee X \otimes V \\ & & \downarrow (3) \tau_{\text{coHom}({}^\vee X \otimes V, Y) \otimes {}^{\vee\vee} X} \\ {}^{\vee\vee} X \otimes \mathbb{N}^R(Y) & \xleftarrow{(4) \text{id} \otimes i_{V, X \otimes V}(Y)} & {}^{\vee\vee} X \otimes \text{coHom}({}^\vee X \otimes V, Y) \otimes {}^\vee X \otimes V \end{array}$$

commute for all $V \in \mathcal{C}$, where τ is the natural isomorphism of Lemma 5.1 (which just permutes tensorands in this case). We fix a basis $\{x_j\}$ of X , and let $\{x^j\}$ be the basis of ${}^\vee X (= X^*)$ dual to $\{x_j\}$. Then $\{\phi_X(x_j)\}$ is the basis of X^{**} dual to $\{x^j\}$. We chase an element of $\text{coHom}(V, X \otimes Y) \otimes V$ along the path through the

lower right corner as follows: For $v^* \in V^*$, $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^* \otimes_{H^*} (x \otimes y)) \otimes v &\xrightarrow{(1)} ((\phi_X(x) \otimes v^*) \otimes_{H^*} y) \otimes v \\
&\xrightarrow{(2)} ((\phi_X(x) \otimes v^*) \otimes_{H^*} y) \otimes \phi_X(x_j) \otimes x^j \otimes v \\
&\xrightarrow{(3)} \phi_X(x_j) \otimes ((\phi_X(x) \otimes v^*) \otimes_{H^*} y) \otimes x^j \otimes v \\
&\xrightarrow{(4)} \phi_X(x_j) \otimes \langle \phi_X(x) \otimes v^*, (x^j \otimes v)_{(0)} \rangle (x^j \otimes v)_{(1)} \otimes_{H^*} y \\
&= \phi_X(x_j) \otimes \langle \phi_X(x), x_{(0)}^j \rangle \langle v^*, v_{(0)} \rangle x_{(1)}^j v_{(1)} \otimes_{H^*} y \\
&= \phi_X(x_j) \otimes \langle x^j, x_{(0)} \rangle \langle v^*, v_{(0)} \rangle S^{-1}(x_{(1)}) v_{(1)} \otimes_{H^*} y \\
&= \phi_X(x_{(0)}) \otimes \langle v^*, v_{(0)} \rangle S^{-1}(x_{(1)}) v_{(1)} \otimes_{H^*} y,
\end{aligned}$$

where the summation over j is suppressed. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^* \otimes_{H^*} (x \otimes y)) \otimes v &\xrightarrow{i_V(X \otimes Y)} \langle v^*, v_{(0)} \rangle v_{(1)} \otimes_{H^*} (x \otimes y) \\
&\xrightarrow{(6.11)} \phi_X(x_{(0)}) \otimes \langle v^*, v_{(0)} \rangle S^{-1}(x_{(1)}) v_{(1)} \otimes_{H^*} y.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (6.11) makes the above diagram commute. The proof is done. \square

Lemma 6.8. *The Radford isomorphism in $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ is given by*

$$(6.13) \quad \mathfrak{R}_X(x \otimes (h \otimes_{H^*} 1)) = (x_{(2)} h S^3(x_{(1)}) \otimes_{H^*} 1) \otimes \phi_{X^{**}} \phi_X(x_{(0)})$$

for $x \in X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ and $h \in H$.

Proof. We first note that the inverse of (6.11) is given by

$$(\Psi_{X,Y}^L)^{-1}(\phi_X(x) \otimes (h \otimes_{H^*} y)) = S^{-2}(x_{(1)}) h \otimes_{H^*} (x_{(0)} \otimes y)$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$, $x \in X$, $y \in Y$ and $h \in H$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{1,X}^R(\Psi_{X,1}^L)^{-1}(\phi_X(x) \otimes (h \otimes_{H^*} 1)) &= \Psi_{1,X}^R(S^{-2}(x_{(1)}) h \otimes_{H^*} (x_{(0)} \otimes 1)) \\
&= \Psi_{1,X}^R(S^{-2}(x_{(1)}) h \otimes_{H^*} (1 \otimes x_{(0)})) \\
&= (S^{-2}(x_{(2)}) h S(x_{(1)}) \otimes_{H^*} 1) \otimes \phi_X(x_{(0)})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ and $h \in H$, where we have identified $X \otimes \mathbb{1}$ with $\mathbb{1} \otimes X$ at the second equality. The desired formula is obtained by replacing X with $X^{\vee\vee}$ (notice that ϕ_X is actually an isomorphism $\phi_X : X^{(S^2)} \rightarrow X^{\vee\vee}$ of right H -comodules). \square

For $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$, we define

$$\mathfrak{R}'_X : X \otimes \mathbb{1}^{(\nu_H)} \rightarrow \mathbb{1}^{(\nu_H)} \otimes X^{(S^4)}, \quad x \otimes 1 \mapsto \langle \alpha_H^{-1}, x_{(1)} \rangle \otimes x_{(0)}.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.19, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\beta_M^{\natural} : \mathbb{N}^R(M) \rightarrow M^{(\nu_H)}, \quad h \otimes_{H^*} m \mapsto \langle \lambda, h S(m_{(1)}) \rangle m_{(0)}$$

for $M \in \mathfrak{M}^H$ associated to the Frobenius pairing (6.5).

Lemma 6.9. *For all $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \otimes \mathbb{1}^{(\nu_H)} & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_X \otimes \beta_1^{\natural}} & X \otimes \mathbb{N}^R(\mathbb{1}) \\
\mathfrak{R}'_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathfrak{R}_X \\
\mathbb{1}^{(\nu_H)} \otimes X^{(S^4)} & \xleftarrow{\beta_1^{\natural} \otimes \phi_X^{-1} \phi_{X^{**}}^{-1}} & \mathbb{N}^R(\mathbb{1}) \otimes X^{\vee\vee}
\end{array}$$

Proof. Since $\lambda \neq 0$, there is an element $t \in H$ such that $\langle \lambda, t \rangle = 1$. We fix such an element $t \in H$. Then we have $(\beta_{\mathbb{1}}^h)^{-1}(1_{\mathbb{k}}) = t \otimes_{H^*} 1_{\mathbb{k}}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\beta_{\mathbb{1}}^h \otimes \phi_X^{-1} \phi_{X^{**}}^{-1}) \mathfrak{R}_X (\text{id}_X \otimes \beta_{\mathbb{1}}^h)^{-1} (x \otimes 1_{\mathbb{k}}) \\
&= (\beta_{\mathbb{1}}^h \otimes \phi_X^{-1} \phi_{X^{**}}^{-1}) \mathfrak{R}_X (x \otimes (t \otimes_{H^*} 1)) \\
(6.13) \quad &= \langle \lambda, x_{(2)} t S^3(x_{(1)}) \rangle \otimes x_{(0)} \\
(6.6) \quad &= \langle \lambda, t S^3(x_{(1)}) \chi_H^{-1}(x_{(2)}) \rangle \otimes x_{(0)} \\
(\text{Lemma 6.4}) \quad &= \langle \lambda, t S^3(x_{(1)}) S^2(x_{(2)}) \rangle \langle \alpha_H^{-1}, S^2(x_{(3)}) \rangle \otimes x_{(0)} \\
&= \langle \lambda, t \rangle \langle \alpha_H^{-1}, x_{(1)} \rangle \otimes x_{(0)} = \mathfrak{R}'_X (x \otimes 1_{\mathbb{k}})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$. The proof is done. \square

Another proof of Theorem 6.6. By the above lemma, the linear map \mathfrak{R}'_X is a morphism of right H -comodules for all $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. Thus we have

$$\langle \alpha_H^{-1} x_{(1)} \rangle \otimes x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(2)} g_H = \langle \alpha_H^{-1}, x_{(2)} \rangle \otimes x_{(0)} \otimes g_H S^4(x_{(1)})$$

in $\mathbb{1} \otimes X \otimes H$ for all $x \in X$. Now let $h \in H$. Then there is a finite-dimensional subcomodule X of H to which h belongs. By letting $x = h$ and applying $\text{id}_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}_H$ to the both sides of the above equation, we obtain

$$(h \leftarrow \alpha_H^{-1}) g_H = g_H S^4(\alpha_H^{-1} \rightarrow h).$$

The S^4 -formula easily follows from this equation. \square

One can use Lemma 6.9 to interpret Theorem 5.14 in the context of Hopf algebras. We suppose that H possesses a universal R-form $r : H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ so that the monoidal category $\mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$ has the braiding given by

$$\sigma_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X, \quad x \otimes y \mapsto r(x_{(1)}, y_{(1)}) y_{(0)} \otimes x_{(0)}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. We define $u, v, b \in H^*$ by

$$u(h) = r(h_{(2)}, S(h_{(1)})), \quad v = u \circ S, \quad b(h) = r(g_H, h) \quad (h \in H).$$

For reader's convenience, we note that our g_H and b correspond to a^{-1} and β_a^{-1} of [BB09], respectively. The Drinfeld isomorphism (5.9) is given by

$$u_X : X \rightarrow X^{\vee\vee}, \quad x \mapsto \phi_X(u \rightarrow x) \quad (X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H).$$

Thus, by Theorem 5.14 and Lemma 6.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathfrak{R}'_X)^{-1}(1 \otimes x) &= \sigma_{\mathbb{1}(\nu_H), X} (\text{id}_{\mathbb{1}(\nu_H)} \otimes u_X^{-1} u_X^{\vee} \phi_{X^{**}} \phi_X) (1 \otimes x) \\
&= x_{(0)} \otimes \langle b * u^{-1} * v, x_{(1)} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^H$. This implies $\alpha_H = b * u^{-1} * v$ [BB09, Theorem 3.3].

APPENDIX A. FINITE OBJECTS IN THE CATEGORY OF COMODULES

Let C be a coalgebra, and write $\mathcal{A} = \mathfrak{M}^C$. Here we give a proof of Lemma 4.2, which states $\mathcal{A}_{\text{fp}} = \mathcal{A}_{\text{fg}} = \mathfrak{M}_{\text{fd}}^C$.

Let $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{fg}}$. By the fundamental theorem for comodules, we have $X = \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$, where $\{X_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is the filtered system of all finite-dimensional subcomodules of X . The canonical map $\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}^C(X, X_\lambda) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^C(X, X)$ is bijective by the assumption that X is finitely generated. This implies that the identity map $\text{id}_X \in \text{Hom}^C(X, X)$ factors through X_λ for some λ . Thus X is finite-dimensional.

The above argument shows $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{f}g} \subset \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{f}d}^C$. It is trivial that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{f}p} \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{f}g}$. To complete the proof, we show $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{f}d}^C \subset \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{f}p}$. Let $X \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathfrak{f}d}^C$, and let $F : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a functor from a small filtered category Λ . We realize a colimit of F as $\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda) = (\bigsqcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda)) / \sim$, where \sim is the equivalence relation defined by

$$(x \in F(\lambda)) \sim (y \in F(\mu)) \iff \begin{array}{l} \text{There are morphisms } \lambda \xrightarrow{a} \nu \xleftarrow{b} \mu \text{ in } \Lambda \\ \text{such that } F(a)(x) = F(b)(y) \end{array}$$

and adopt a similar realization for $\varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}^C(X, F(\lambda))$. Then the canonical map

$$\phi : \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \text{Hom}^C(X, F(\lambda)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^C(X, \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda))$$

sends $[f_\lambda \in \text{Hom}^C(X, F(\lambda))]$ to $i_\lambda f_\lambda$, where $i_\lambda : F(\lambda) \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda)$ is the quotient map $x \mapsto [x]$.

We show that ϕ is injective. Let $f_\lambda : X \rightarrow F(\lambda)$ be a morphism in \mathfrak{M}^C such that $[f_\lambda]$ belongs to the kernel of ϕ . We fix a basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X . Then we have $[f_\lambda(x_i)] = [0]$ for all i . This means that, for each i , there are an object λ_i and a morphism $a_i : \lambda \rightarrow \lambda_i$ in Λ such that $F(a_i)(f_\lambda(x_i)) = 0$ in $F(\lambda_i)$. Since Λ is filtered, there are an object $\nu \in \Lambda$ and morphisms $b_i : \lambda_i \rightarrow \nu$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) such that $b_i a_i = b_j a_j$ for all $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. Letting $\tilde{a} = a_1 b_1$, we have $F(\tilde{a})(f_\lambda(x_i)) = F(b_i)F(a_i)(f_\lambda(x_i)) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis of X , we have $F(\tilde{a}) \circ f_\lambda = 0$, and thus $[f_\lambda] = 0$. Hence ϕ is injective.

Finally, we show that ϕ is surjective. Let $f : X \rightarrow \varinjlim_{\lambda \in \Lambda} F(\lambda)$ be a morphism. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we denote by $[F(\lambda)]$ the image of i_λ . By a similar argument as above, we see that $\text{Im}(f) \subset [F(\lambda)]$ for some λ . There is a linear map $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow F(\lambda)$ such that $f(x) = [\tilde{f}(x)]$ for all $x \in X$. Since X is finite-dimensional, there is a subcomodule $M \subset F(\lambda)$ containing $\text{Im}(\tilde{f})$. By the fundamental theorem for coalgebras, there is a finite-dimensional subcoalgebra $D \subset C$ such that $\delta_X(X) \subset X \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} D$ and $\delta_M(M) \subset M \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} D$. Now we consider the linear map

$$\tilde{f} : D^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X \rightarrow F(\lambda), \quad d^* \otimes x \mapsto d^* \rightarrow \tilde{f}(x),$$

which is actually a homomorphism of right C -comodules by the choice of the subcoalgebra D .

We define $\pi : D^* \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} X \rightarrow X$ by $d^* \otimes x \mapsto d^* \rightarrow x$. We note that π is a surjective homomorphism of right C -comodules. Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} i_\lambda \tilde{f}(d^* \otimes x) &= i_\lambda(d^* \rightarrow \tilde{f}(x)) = d^* \rightarrow i_\lambda(\tilde{f}(x)) \\ &= d^* \rightarrow f(x) = f(d^* \rightarrow x) = f\pi(d^* \otimes x) \end{aligned}$$

for $d^* \in D^*$ and $x \in X$, where the second equality follows from that i_λ is C -colinear, the third from the definition of \tilde{f} , and the fourth from that f is C -colinear. Let $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^m$ be a basis of $\text{Ker}(\pi)$. By the above computation, we have $i_\lambda \tilde{f}(v_i) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Thus there are an object $\mu \in \Lambda$ and a morphism $a : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$ such that $F(a)(\tilde{f}(v_i)) = 0$ in $F(\mu)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, that is, $\text{Ker}(\pi)$ is contained in the kernel of $F(a) \circ \tilde{f}$. Now let $f_\mu : X \rightarrow F(\mu)$ be the unique morphism such that $F(a) \circ \tilde{f} = f_\mu \circ \pi$. Then we have

$$i_\mu f_\mu(x) = i_\mu F(a) \tilde{f}(\varepsilon \otimes x) = i_\lambda \tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$$

for all $x \in X$. This means that $\phi([f_\mu]) = f$. The proof is done.

REFERENCES

- [ACE15] Nicolás Andruskiewitsch, Juan Cuadra, and Pavel Etingof. On two finiteness conditions for Hopf algebras with nonzero integral. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 14(2):401–440, 2015.
- [BB09] Margaret Beattie and Daniel Bulacu. On the antipode of a co-Frobenius (co)quasitriangular Hopf algebra. *Comm. Algebra*, 37(9):2981–2993, 2009.
- [BBT07] Margaret Beattie, Daniel Bulacu, and Blas Torrecillas. Radford’s S^4 formula for co-Frobenius Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 307(1):330–342, 2007.
- [BDR97] M. Beattie, S. Dăscălescu, and Ş. Raianu. Galois extensions for co-Frobenius Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 198(1):164–183, 1997.
- [BDRVO98] M. Beattie, S. Dăscălescu, Ş. Raianu, and F. Van Oystaeyen. The categories of Yetter-Drinfel’d modules, Doi-Hopf modules and two-sided two-cosided Hopf modules. *Appl. Categ. Structures*, 6(2):223–237, 1998.
- [BV12] Alain Bruguières and Alexis Virelizier. Quantum double of Hopf monads and categorical centers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(3):1225–1279, 2012.
- [BW99] John W. Barrett and Bruce W. Westbury. Spherical categories. *Adv. Math.*, 143(2):357–375, 1999.
- [CGT02] J. Cuadra and J. Gómez-Torrecillas. Idempotents and Morita-Takeuchi theory. *Comm. Algebra*, 30(5):2405–2426, 2002.
- [CnIDN04] F. Castaño Iglesias, S. Dăscălescu, and C. Năstăsescu. Symmetric coalgebras. *J. Algebra*, 279(1):326–344, 2004.
- [CS10] William Chin and Daniel Simson. Coxeter transformation and inverses of Cartan matrices for coalgebras. *J. Algebra*, 324(9):2223–2248, 2010.
- [DNR01] Sorin Dăscălescu, Constantin Năstăsescu, and Şerban Raianu. *Hopf algebras*, volume 235 of *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 2001. An introduction.
- [DNT99] S. Dăscălescu, C. Năstăsescu, and B. Torrecillas. Co-Frobenius Hopf algebras: integrals, Doi-Koppinen modules and injective objects. *J. Algebra*, 220(2):542–560, 1999.
- [DSPS20] Christopher L. Douglas, Christopher Schommer-Pries, and Noah Snyder. Dualizable tensor categories. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 268(1308):vii+88, 2020.
- [EGNO15] Pavel Etingof, Shlomo Gelaki, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, volume 205 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
- [ENO04] Pavel Etingof, Dmitri Nikshych, and Viktor Ostrik. An analogue of Radford’s S^4 formula for finite tensor categories. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (54):2915–2933, 2004.
- [FSS20] Jürgen Fuchs, Gregor Schaumann, and Christoph Schweigert. Eilenberg-Watts calculus for finite categories and a bimodule Radford S^4 theorem. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 373(1):1–40, 2020.
- [GTMN03] J. Gómez-Torrecillas, C. Manu, and C. Năstăsescu. Quasi-co-Frobenius coalgebras. II. *Comm. Algebra*, 31(10):5169–5177, 2003.
- [Iov06] Miodrag Cristian Iovanov. Co-Frobenius coalgebras. *J. Algebra*, 303(1):146–153, 2006.
- [Iov13] Miodrag Cristian Iovanov. Abstract algebraic integrals and Frobenius categories. *Internat. J. Math.*, 24(10):1350081, 36, 2013.
- [Iov14] Miodrag Cristian Iovanov. Generalized Frobenius algebras and Hopf algebras. *Canad. J. Math.*, 66(1):205–240, 2014.
- [KS06] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira. *Categories and sheaves*, volume 332 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.
- [ML98] Saunders Mac Lane. *Categories for the working mathematician*, volume 5 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [NS07] Siu-Hung Ng and Peter Schauenburg. Higher Frobenius-Schur indicators for pivotal categories. In *Hopf algebras and generalizations*, volume 441 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 63–90. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [Sch92] Peter Schauenburg. *Tannaka duality for arbitrary Hopf algebras*, volume 66 of *Algebra Berichte [Algebra Reports]*. Verlag Reinhard Fischer, Munich, 1992.

- [Shi17a] Kenichi Shimizu. The relative modular object and Frobenius extensions of finite Hopf algebras. *J. Algebra*, 471:75–112, 2017.
- [Shi17b] Kenichi Shimizu. Ribbon structures of the Drinfeld center of a finite tensor category. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:1707.09691, July 2017.
- [Shi19] Kenichi Shimizu. Non-degeneracy conditions for braided finite tensor categories. *Adv. Math.*, 355:106778, 36, 2019.
- [SS21] Taiki Shibata and Kenichi Shimizu. Modified traces and the Nakayama functor. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2103.13702, March 2021.
- [SW21] Christoph Schweigert and Lukas Woike. The Trace Field Theory of a Finite Tensor Category. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2103.15772, March 2021.
- [Swe69] Moss Eisenberg Sweedler. Integrals for Hopf algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 89:323–335, 1969.
- [Tak71] Mitsuhiro Takeuchi. Free Hopf algebras generated by coalgebras. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 23:561–582, 1971.
- [Tak77] Mitsuhiro Takeuchi. Morita theorems for categories of comodules. *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.*, 24(3):629–644, 1977.
- [Ulbr90] K.-H. Ulbrich. On Hopf algebras and rigid monoidal categories. volume 72, pages 252–256. 1990. Hopf algebras.
- [Wis75] Manfred B. Wischnewsky. On linear representations of affine groups. I. *Pacific J. Math.*, 61(2):551–572, 1975.

(T. Shibata) DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE, 1-1 RIDAI-CHO, KITA-KU OKAYAMA-SHI, OKAYAMA 700-0005, JAPAN.

Email address: shibata@xmath.ous.ac.jp

(K. Shimizu) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, SHIBAURA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 307 FUKASAKU, MINUMA-KU, SAITAMA-SHI, SAITAMA 337-8570, JAPAN.

Email address: kshimizu@shibaura-it.ac.jp