

A NEW CLASS OF FINITELY GENERATED POLYNOMIAL SUBALGEBRAS WITHOUT FINITE SAGBI BASES

SHIGERU KURODA

ABSTRACT. The notion of initial ideal for an ideal of a polynomial ring appears in the theory of Gröbner basis. Similarly to the initial ideals, we can define the initial algebra for a subalgebra of a polynomial ring, or more generally of a Laurent polynomial ring, which is used in the theory of SAGBI (Subalgebra Analogue to Gröbner Bases for Ideals) basis. The initial algebra of a finitely generated subalgebra is not always finitely generated, and no general criterion for finite generation is known.

The aim of this paper is to present a new class of finitely generated subalgebras having non-finitely generated initial algebras. The class contains a subalgebra for which the set of initial algebras is continuum, as well as a subalgebra with finitely many distinct initial algebras.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a field, $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] = k[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_n^{-1}]$ the Laurent polynomial ring in n variables over k , and Ω the set of total orders on \mathbf{Z}^n such that $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ implies $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{c} \preceq \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{c}$ for each $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. We fix $\preceq \in \Omega$. For $f = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^n} u_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \in k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ with $u_{\mathbf{a}} \in k$, we define $\text{supp } f := \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Z}^n \mid u_{\mathbf{a}} \neq 0\}$, and $\text{deg}_{\preceq} f := \max_{\preceq}(\text{supp } f)$ if $f \neq 0$, where $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} := x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. Then, we define

$$\text{deg}_{\preceq} V := \{\text{deg}_{\preceq} f \mid f \in V \setminus \{0\}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{in}_{\preceq} V := \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \text{deg}_{\preceq} V} k\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$$

for each $V \subset k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. If A is a k -subalgebra of $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, then $\text{deg}_{\preceq} A$ is an additive submonoid of \mathbf{Z}^n . Hence, $\text{in}_{\preceq} A$ is a k -subalgebra of $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, which we call the *initial algebra* of A . The notion of initial algebra and similar notions sometimes appear in the solution of deep problems in polynomial rings (cf. [9]).

Now, let A be a k -subalgebra of $k[\mathbf{x}] := k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and \preceq a *monomial order* on $k[\mathbf{x}]$, i.e., an element of Ω with $\min_{\preceq}((\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n) = \mathbf{0}$, where $\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers. Then, $S \subset A \setminus \{0\}$ is called a *SAGBI basis* of A if $\{\text{in}_{\preceq} f \mid f \in S\}$ generates the k -algebra $\text{in}_{\preceq} A$. This notion was introduced by Robbiano-Sweedler [13] as a Subalgebra Analogue to Gröbner Bases for Ideals (see also [5]). By definition, A has a finite SAGBI basis if and only if the k -algebra $\text{in}_{\preceq} A$ is finitely generated, or equivalently, the monoid $\text{deg}_{\preceq} A$ is finitely generated. If this is the case, a theory similar to Gröbner basis theory works for SAGBI bases. However, even if A is finitely generated, $\text{in}_{\preceq} A$ is not necessarily finitely generated. It is an important problem to find good criteria which guarantee finite generation for $\text{in}_{\preceq} A$, as proposed in [16, Chap. 11]. For this purpose, it will be helpful to study the mechanism of non-finite generation of the initial algebras.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 13E15, Secondary 13P10.
This work is partly supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K03219.

The best known result in this direction is the following: For a subgroup G of the symmetric group S_n , consider the invariant ring

$$(1.1) \quad k[\mathbf{x}]^G = \{f \in k[\mathbf{x}] \mid f(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(n)}) = f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (\forall \sigma \in G)\}.$$

Then, $\text{in}_{\preceq} k[\mathbf{x}]^G$ is finitely generated if and only if the group G is generated by transpositions. This result is due to Göbel [4] when \preceq is the lexicographic order, and Kuroda [6], [7] (see also [8]), Reichstein [11] (see also [3]) and Thiéry-Thomassé [18] for general \preceq . Moreover, $\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} k[\mathbf{x}]^G \mid \preceq \in \Omega_0\}$ is equal to $|G|$ if G is generated by transpositions, and $\#\mathbf{R}$ otherwise, where Ω_0 is the set of monomial orders on $k[\mathbf{x}]$ (cf. Kuroda's papers cited above, Tesemma [17] and Anderson et al. [1]). This result is interesting because $\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} I \mid \preceq \in \Omega_0\} < \infty$ if I is an ideal of $k[\mathbf{x}]$ (cf. [15]). We mention that [6], [7], [11], [3], [17] and [1] treated more general classes of invariant rings than (1.1).

In this paper, we present a new construction of finitely generated k -subalgebras \mathcal{A} of $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ for which $\{\preceq \in \Omega \mid \text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} \text{ is not finitely generated}\} \neq \emptyset$. The construction is very general, and we obtain a large class of k -subalgebras with this property. The class contains a k -subalgebra \mathcal{A} with $\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} \mid \preceq \in \Omega_0\} = \#\mathbf{R}$, as well as \mathcal{A} with $\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} \mid \preceq \in \Omega\} < \infty$. Except for a class of invariant rings mentioned above, no such class of k -subalgebras are previously found. The technique we use to show the non-finite generation of initial algebras is different from that used for the invariant rings. In fact, as k -vector spaces, the invariant rings have k -bases with nice properties (cf. e.g. [7, Lem. 2.4]), but our k -subalgebras have no such structures in general.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the construction and state the main theorem. In Section 3, we show some preliminary results, which are used in Section 4 to prove the main theorem. In Section 5, we give some examples, and shortly discuss the cardinality of the set of initial algebras.

Notation We define $\text{supp } V := \bigcup_{f \in V \setminus \{0\}} \text{supp } f$ for each $V \subset k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, $K_{\geq 0} := \{a \in K \mid a \geq 0\}$ and $K_{> 0} := \{a \in K \mid a > 0\}$ for each $K \subset \mathbf{R}$, and

$$K_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + K_s \mathbf{a}_s := \{\lambda_1 \mathbf{a}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_s \mathbf{a}_s \mid \lambda_1 \in K_1, \dots, \lambda_s \in K_s\}$$

for each $K_i \subset \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbf{R}^n$. The coordinate unit vectors of \mathbf{R}^n are denoted by $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n$. For each $\preceq \in \Omega$ and $f \in k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}] \setminus \{0\}$, we define $\text{in}_{\preceq} f := u_{\mathbf{a}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$, where $\mathbf{a} := \deg_{\preceq} f$ and $u_{\mathbf{a}} \in k^*$ is the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ in f . For $\preceq \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, we write $\mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}$ if $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}$.

2. CONSTRUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

We begin with a lemma about commutative algebras. Let k be a field, B a commutative k -algebra, and J an ideal of B . Assume that

$$B = k[\{a_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, r, j = 1, \dots, N\} \cup U],$$

where $r \geq 2$ and $N \geq 1$, $a_{i,j}$'s are elements of B such that $a_{i_1, j} a_{i_2, j} \in J$ for each $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq r$ and $j = 1, \dots, N$, and U is a finite subset of J . We define

$$A := k[\{a_{1,j} + \cdots + a_{r,j} \mid j = 1, \dots, N\}] + J.$$

Lemma 2.1. (i) B is integral over A .
(ii) The k -subalgebra A of B is finitely generated.

Proof. For $j = 1, \dots, N$, the monic polynomial $(x - a_{1,j}) \cdots (x - a_{r,j})$ belongs to $A[x]$, since $a_{1,j} + \cdots + a_{r,j} \in A$, and $a_{i_1,j} a_{i_2,j} B \subset J \subset A$ for each $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq r$. Hence, $a_{1,j}, \dots, a_{r,j}$ are integral over A . Clearly, elements of $U \subset J \subset A$ are integral over A , proving (i). Since the k -algebra B is finitely generated, (ii) follows from (i) (cf. [2, Prop. 7.8]). \square

Now, let $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a *convex cone*, i.e., a nonempty subset such that $\alpha \mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{b} \in C$ holds for all $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in C$. We call $F \subset C$ a *face* of C if there exists $\omega \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $F = \{\mathbf{a} \in C \mid \omega \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0\}$ and $\omega \cdot \mathbf{a} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{a} \in C$, where \cdot denotes the standard inner product. We call this ω a *normal vector* of F . Take faces C_1, \dots, C_r of C such that $C_i \not\subset C_j$ if $i \neq j$, where $r \geq 2$. Then, we define

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} C^\circ &:= C \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i = \{\mathbf{a} \in C \mid \omega_i \cdot \mathbf{a} > 0 \ (i = 1, \dots, r)\}, \\ C_i^\circ &:= C_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} C_j = \{\mathbf{a} \in C \mid \omega_i \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0, \ \omega_j \cdot \mathbf{a} > 0 \ (\forall j \neq i)\} \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, r$, where ω_i is a normal vector of C_i . By definition, $C^\circ, C_1^\circ, \dots, C_r^\circ$ are pairwise disjoint. We can check that

(C1) $\mathbf{a} \in C$ and $\mathbf{b} \in C^\circ$ imply $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in C^\circ$;

(C2) $\mathbf{a} \in C_i^\circ, \mathbf{b} \in C_j^\circ$ and $i \neq j$ imply $\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in C^\circ$.

Example 2.2. $C := (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^2$ is a convex cone, and $C_i := \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ are faces of C . In this case, we have $C^\circ = (\mathbf{R}_{> 0})^2$ and $C_i^\circ := \mathbf{R}_{> 0} \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.



We use the following objects to construct our k -algebra:

(A1) A finitely generated k -domain R and an ideal $I \neq \{0\}$ of R such that $R = k + I$.

(A2) Injective homomorphisms $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_r : R \rightarrow k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ of k -algebras such that $\text{supp } \phi_i(I) \subset C_i^\circ$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

(A3) A finite subset $U \subset k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ such that $\text{supp } U \subset C^\circ$ (U may be empty).

By (A1), there exist $N \geq 1$ and $r_1, \dots, r_N \in I \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$R = k[r_1, \dots, r_N] \quad \text{and} \quad I = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_N) \neq (0, \dots, 0)} kr_1^{i_1} \cdots r_N^{i_N}.$$

Moreover, since $\mathbf{0} \notin \text{supp } \phi_1(I)$ by (A2), we see that $R = k \oplus I$. We define

$$\mathcal{B} := k[\phi_1(I) \cup \cdots \cup \phi_r(I) \cup U] = k[\{\phi_i(r_j) \mid i = 1, \dots, r, j = 1, \dots, N\} \cup U],$$

and J to be the ideal of \mathcal{B} generated by $\bigcup_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq r} \phi_{i_1}(I) \phi_{i_2}(I) \cup U$, or by

$$(2.2) \quad \{\phi_{i_1}(r_{j_1}) \phi_{i_2}(r_{j_2}) \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq r, j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, N\}\} \cup U.$$

We define a k -linear map by $\phi := \phi_1 + \cdots + \phi_r : R \rightarrow k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, and set

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{A} := k[\phi(r_1), \dots, \phi(r_N)] + J.$$

Then, we get the following theorem by Lemma 2.1 (ii).

Theorem 2.3. *The k -algebra \mathcal{A} is finitely generated.*

Note that, for each $p, q \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(p)\phi(q) &= (\phi_1(p) + \cdots + \phi_r(p))(\phi_1(q) + \cdots + \phi_r(q)) \\ &\equiv \phi_1(p)\phi_1(q) + \cdots + \phi_r(p)\phi_r(q) = \phi(pq) \pmod{J}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can write (2.3) as

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{A} = k + \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_N) \neq (0, \dots, 0)} k\phi(r_1^{i_1} \cdots r_N^{i_N}) + J = k + \phi(I) + J.$$

Therefore, \mathcal{A} is also defined independently of the choice of r_1, \dots, r_N .

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\preceq \in \Omega$ be such that $\min_{\preceq}(\deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(R)) = \mathbf{0}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then, $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$ is not finitely generated.*

By the definition of monomial order, Theorem 2.4 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *If there exists $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\phi_i(R) \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, then $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$ is not finitely generated for any monomial order $\preceq \in \Omega$.*

In the rest of this section, we discuss basic structure of $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$.

Lemma 2.6. *We have $\text{supp } \mathcal{B} \subset C$ and $\text{supp } J \subset C^\circ$.*

Proof. If $f \in \phi_1(I) \cup \cdots \cup \phi_r(I) \cup U$, then we have $\text{supp } f \subset C$ by (A2) and (A3). This implies $\text{supp } \mathcal{B} \subset C$. If g is in (2.2), then we have $\text{supp } g \subset C^\circ$ by (C2) and (A3). Since $\text{supp } \mathcal{B} \subset C$, it follows from (C1) that $\text{supp } gh \subset C^\circ$ for any $h \in \mathcal{B}$. This implies $\text{supp } J \subset C^\circ$. \square

Now, by (2.4), each $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is written as

$$(2.5) \quad f = c + \phi(p) + g, \quad \text{where } c \in k, p \in I \text{ and } g \in J.$$


Then, $\text{supp } c$, $\text{supp } \phi(p)$ and $\text{supp } g$ are pairwise disjoint, since $\text{supp } c \subset \{\mathbf{0}\}$, $\text{supp } \phi(p) \subset C_1^\circ \cup \cdots \cup C_r^\circ$ and $\text{supp } g \subset C^\circ$ by Lemma 2.6, and $\{\mathbf{0}\}$, $C_1^\circ \cup \cdots \cup C_r^\circ$ and C° are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, for each $\preceq \in \Omega$, we have

$$(2.6) \quad \deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{0}\} \sqcup \deg_{\preceq} \phi(I) \sqcup \deg_{\preceq} J \quad \text{and} \quad \text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} = k \oplus \text{in}_{\preceq} \phi(I) \oplus \text{in}_{\preceq} J.$$

Example 2.7. Let C , C_1 and C_2 be as in Example 2.2. Let $R := k[x]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable, $I := xk[x]$, $\phi_i : k[x] \ni p(x) \mapsto p(x_i) \in k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $U := \emptyset$. Then, we have $\mathcal{B} = k[x_1, x_2]$, $J = (x_1 x_2)$, and

$$\mathcal{A} = k[x_1 + x_2] + J = k + \sum_{i \geq 1} k(x_1^i + x_2^i) + J$$

by (2.4). For $\preceq \in \Omega$ with $\mathbf{e}_1 \succ \mathbf{e}_2$, we have $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{0}\} \sqcup \mathbf{Z}_{>0} \mathbf{e}_1 \sqcup (\mathbf{Z}_{>0})^2$. This monoid is not finitely generated.



In [13], Robbiano-Sweedler gave $A = k[x_1 + x_2, x_1x_2, x_1x_2^2]$ as an example of a finitely generated k -subalgebra whose initial algebra is not finitely generated. We note that \mathcal{A} in Example 2.7 is equal to this A .

Remark 2.8. (i) \mathcal{A} is not equal to \mathcal{B} for the following reason: For each $p \in I \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\text{supp } \phi(p) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r \text{supp } \phi_i(p) \not\subset C_1^\circ$, since $C_1^\circ, \dots, C_r^\circ$ are pairwise disjoint. Hence, $\text{supp } f \not\subset C_1^\circ$ holds for any $f \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{0\}$ by (2.5). On the other hand, we have $\phi_1(r_1) \in \mathcal{B} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\text{supp } \phi_1(r_1) \subset C_1^\circ$.
(ii) We have $Q(\mathcal{A}) = k(J) = Q(\mathcal{B})$, since $g\mathcal{A} \subset g\mathcal{B} \subset J$ for $0 \neq g \in J$. Here, $Q(\cdot)$ denotes the field of fractions. Therefore, \mathcal{A} is not normal by (i) and Lemma 2.1 (i).

Remark 2.9. In the construction above, we may assume without loss of generality that C is a rational polyhedral cone (see Section 3) by replacing C with $C' := \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}$, where $\mathcal{F} := \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{j=1}^N \text{supp } \phi_i(r_j) \cup \text{supp } U$. Actually, since $C' \subset C$, we see that $C'_i := \{\mathbf{a} \in C' \mid \omega_i \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0\}$ is a face of C' for $i = 1, \dots, r$, and we have $\text{supp } \phi_i(I) \subset C'_i \setminus \bigcup_{j \neq i} C'_j$ for each i and $\text{supp } U \subset C' \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^r C'_j$.

3. PRELIMINARY

Recall that a convex cone $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is *polyhedral* if $C = \bigcap_{i=1}^t \{\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \eta_i \cdot \mathbf{a} \geq 0\}$ for some $t \geq 0$ and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_t \in \mathbf{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. If this is the case, C is a closed subset of \mathbf{R}^n for the Euclidean topology. By the Farkas-Minkowski-Weyl theorem (cf. [14, Cor. 7.1a]), a convex cone $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ is polyhedral if and only if *finitely generated*, i.e., $C = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_s$ for some $s \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in C$.

For each $S \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, we denote by $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S$ the convex cone generated by S , i.e., the union of $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_s$ for all $s \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in S$. If $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S$ is finitely generated, then $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S_0$ holds for some finite subset S_0 of S . Actually, for any $s \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S$, there exists a finite subset S_0 of S such that $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S_0$.

We call $C \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ a *rational polyhedral cone* if $C = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_s$ for some $s \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{Q}^n$. When this is the case, $\mathbf{Q}^n \cap C$ is equal to $\mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_s$. In fact, to see this, we may assume that $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s$ are linearly independent by Carathéodory's theorem (cf. e.g., [14, Cor. 7.1i]), and hence are part of a \mathbf{Q} -basis of \mathbf{Q}^n .

The following lemma is a variant of Gordan's lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let S be a submonoid of \mathbf{Z}^n such that $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_i$ for some $s \geq 0$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in S$. Then, $S = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_i + F$ holds for some finite subset F of S . In particular, S is finitely generated.*

Proof. Note that $A := \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in S} k\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}$ is a k -subalgebra of $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ containing $R := k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_s}]$. We show that A is a finite R -module, i.e., $A = \sum_{i=1}^u Rf_i$ for some $u \geq 1$ and $f_1, \dots, f_u \in A$. Then, $S = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_i + F$ holds with $F := \bigcup_{i=1}^u \text{supp } f_i$.

By assumption, $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S$ is a rational polyhedral cone. Hence, the monoid $\widehat{S} := \mathbf{Z}^n \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S$ is finitely generated by Gordan's lemma (cf. [10, Prop. 1.1 (ii)]). Choose $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_t \in \widehat{S}$ so that $\widehat{S} = \sum_{i=1}^t \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{b}_i$. Since $\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, \mathbf{b}_t \in \widehat{S} \subset \mathbf{Q}^n \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}S = \mathbf{Q}^n \cap \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_i = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Q}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_i$, there exists $l \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ such that $l\mathbf{b}_1, \dots, l\mathbf{b}_t \in \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_i$. This implies $(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_1})^l, \dots, (\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_t})^l \in R$. Hence, $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_t}$ are integral over R . Thus, $k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_t}]$ is a Noetherian R -module. Since $S \subset \widehat{S}$, we have $A \subset k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}_t}]$. Therefore, A is a finite R -module. \square

Let \mathcal{W} be the set of $(w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ such that w_1, \dots, w_n are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} . This set is the complement of a countable union of nowhere dense subsets $\{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} = 0\}$ of \mathbf{R}^n , where $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{Q}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Hence, \mathcal{W} is dense in \mathbf{R}^n by Baire's theorem.

Lemma 3.2 below is well known when \preceq is a monomial order. We include a proof here, because we could not find a suitable reference dealing with general $\preceq \in \Omega$.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\preceq \in \Omega$, $s \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ with $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \succ \mathbf{0}$, there exists $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 > 0, \dots, \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a}_s > 0$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{W} is dense and $V := \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{R}^n \mid \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a}_1 > 0, \dots, \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a}_s > 0\}$ is open in \mathbf{R}^n , it suffices to check $V \neq \emptyset$, or equivalently $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is a face of $C := \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}\mathbf{a}_i$. If not, then C is not *strongly convex* (cf. [10, Chap. 1.1]), so there exists $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i=1}^s \in (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^s \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \mathbf{a}_i = \mathbf{0}$. Since $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, we may choose α from $(\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^s \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then, we have $\mathbf{0} = \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i \mathbf{a}_i \succ \mathbf{0}$, a contradiction. \square

The following lemma is obtained by using Lemma 3.2 for $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s\} := \{\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a} \mid \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}\}$.

Lemma 3.3. *For every finite subset \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{Z}^n and $\preceq \in \Omega$, we have*

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}, \preceq} := \{\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W} \mid \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b} \iff \mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b} \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F})\} \neq \emptyset.$$

We remark that the same argument as above shows, for every finite subset \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{Z}^n and $\preceq \in \Omega$, there exists $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbf{Q}^n$ such that $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b} \iff \mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b} \quad (\forall \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{F})$, since \mathbf{Q}^n is also dense in \mathbf{R}^n .

The following are also used to prove Theorem 2.4.

Lemma 3.4. *Let V be a k -vector subspace of $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, $\preceq' \in \Omega$, and $S \subset \deg_{\preceq'} V$. If S is well-ordered for \preceq' , and $S \cap \text{supp } f \neq \emptyset$ for each $f \in V \setminus \{0\}$, then we have $S = \deg_{\preceq'} V$.*

Proof. Suppose that there exists $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq'} V$ not belonging to S . Pick $f \in V \setminus \{0\}$ with $\deg_{\preceq'} f = \mathbf{a}$. Then, $\mathbf{a}_f := \max_{\preceq'}(S \cap \text{supp } f)$ is less than \mathbf{a} . Since S is well-ordered, we can choose f so that \mathbf{a}_f is minimal. Since $\mathbf{a}_f \in S \subset \deg_{\preceq'} V$, there exists $g \in V \setminus \{0\}$ with $\text{in}_{\preceq'} g = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_f}$. Set $h := f - cg$, where $c \in k^*$ is the coefficient of $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_f}$ in f . Then, we have $\mathbf{a}_h \prec' \mathbf{a}_f$, $h \in V$, and $\deg_{\preceq'} h = \mathbf{a}$, since $\deg_{\preceq'} g = \mathbf{a}_f \prec' \mathbf{a} = \deg_{\preceq'} f$. This contradicts the minimality of \mathbf{a}_f . \square

For each $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$, we define $\preceq \in \Omega$ by $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ if $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} \leq \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ for $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. We denote this \preceq by $o(\mathbf{w})$, and set $o(\mathcal{V}) := \{o(\mathbf{w}) \mid \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{V}\}$ for $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{W}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let P be a k -subalgebra of $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$, and let $\preceq \in \Omega$ be such that $\deg_{\preceq} P$ is finitely generated and $\min_{\preceq}(\deg_{\preceq} P) = \mathbf{0}$. Then, there exists a finite subset \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{Z}^n for which the following (*) holds for each $\preceq' \in o(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}, \preceq})$:*

(*) $\deg_{\preceq} P$ is well-ordered for \preceq' and $\deg_{\preceq'} P = \deg_{\preceq} P$.

Proof. By assumption, there exist $s \geq 0$ and $f_1, \dots, f_s \in P \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\deg_{\preceq} P = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \deg_{\preceq} f_i$ and $\deg_{\preceq} f_i \succ \mathbf{0}$ for each i . Set $\mathcal{F} := \{\mathbf{0}\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^s \text{supp } f_i$. Take any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}, \preceq}$ and put $\preceq^r := o(\mathbf{w})$. Then, $\mathbf{w} \cdot \deg_{\preceq} f_i \succ \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{0} = 0$ holds for each i . Hence, for any $\mathbf{b} \in \deg_{\preceq} P$, the number of $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq} P = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \deg_{\preceq} f_i$ with $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ is finite. This implies that $\deg_{\preceq} P$ is well-ordered for \preceq^r .

Since $\deg_{\preceq} f_i = \deg_{\preceq^r} f_i \in \deg_{\preceq^r} P$ by the definition of \mathcal{F} , we have $\deg_{\preceq} P = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \deg_{\preceq} f_i \subset \deg_{\preceq^r} P$. We also have $\text{supp } f \cap \deg_{\preceq} P \neq \emptyset$ for each $f \in P \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, we get $\deg_{\preceq} P = \deg_{\preceq^r} P$ by Lemma 3.4 with $V := P$ and $S := \deg_{\preceq} P$. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4

The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2.4. Throughout, let R and I be as in (A1), and let $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r : R \rightarrow k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ be injective homomorphisms of k -algebras, where $r \geq 2$. We assume that $\text{supp } \psi_i(R) = \{\mathbf{0}\} \sqcup \text{supp } \psi_i(I)$ for each i , and $\text{supp } \psi_i(I) \cap \text{supp } \psi_j(I) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. These assumptions are fulfilled for ϕ_1, \dots, ϕ_r in (A2). For the moment, we fix $\preceq \in \Omega$. We remark that $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(R) = \{\mathbf{0}\} \sqcup \deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I)$ for each i .

Set $\psi := \psi_1 + \dots + \psi_r : R \rightarrow k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$. For $i = 1, \dots, r$, we define

$$I_{\preceq, i} := \{p \in I \setminus \{0\} \mid \deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(p) \succ \deg_{\preceq} \psi_j(p) \ (\forall j \neq i)\}.$$

Then, since $\text{supp } \psi_i(I) \cap \text{supp } \psi_j(I) = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$, we have $I \setminus \{0\} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^r I_{\preceq, i}$, and

$$(4.1) \quad p \in I_{\preceq, i} \iff \deg_{\preceq} \psi(p) = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(p)$$

for $p \in I \setminus \{0\}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. We remark that the following hold for each i .

(1°) $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I) \cap \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I) = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I_{\preceq, i})$.

(2°) $I_{\preceq, i}$ is closed under multiplication, so $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I_{\preceq, i})$ is closed under addition. Since $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I_{\preceq, i}) \subset \text{supp } \psi_i(I) \subset \mathbf{Z}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$, it follows that $\#\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I_{\preceq, i}) = \infty$ if $I_{\preceq, i} \neq \emptyset$.

Definition 4.1. (i) We say that $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -complete if, for $i = 1, \dots, r$, the cone $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(R))$ is generated by a finite subset of $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I_{\preceq, i})$, or equivalently $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(R))$ is equal to $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I_{\preceq, i}))$ and is finitely generated.

(ii) We say that $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -incomplete if $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is not \preceq -complete.

If $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -complete, then the following are true.

(3°) By Lemma 3.1, $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(R)$ is finitely generated for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

(4°) Put $\psi' := \psi_1 + \psi_2$. Then, $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I) \cap \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I) \subset \deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(I) \cap \deg_{\preceq} \psi'(I)$ holds for $i = 1, 2$. Hence, $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^2$ is also \preceq -complete in view of (1°).

Now, let \mathcal{A} be the k -subalgebra of $k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ constructed in Section 2, and $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^r$ as in (A2).

Theorem 4.2. *If $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$ is finitely generated, then $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -complete.*

Proof. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$. Since $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}) \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\text{supp } \mathcal{B}) \subset C$ by Lemma 2.6, we see that $C_i \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$ is a face of $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$ with normal vector ω_i . Since $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$ is finitely generated by assumption, the cone $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$ is finitely generated, and hence polyhedral. Thus, the cone $C_i \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$ is also polyhedral, and therefore finitely generated. We show that

$$(4.2) \quad \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I) \subset C_i \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I_{\preceq, i})).$$

Then, it follows that $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(R))$ is equal to $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I_{\preceq, i}))$ and is finitely generated, since $\deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(R) = \{\mathbf{0}\} \sqcup \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I) \supset \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I_{\preceq, i})$,

We have $\deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I) \subset \text{supp } \phi_i(I) \subset C_i$ by (A2). We check $\deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I) \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$. Pick any $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I)$, and $f \in \phi_i(I) \setminus \{0\}$ with $\deg_{\preceq} f = \mathbf{a}$. Since $r \geq 2$, we can find $j \in \{1, \dots, r\} \setminus \{i\}$ and $g \in \phi_j(I) \setminus \{0\}$. Then, for each $l \geq 1$, we have $f^l g \in J \subset \mathcal{A}$. Hence, $\mathbf{a} + l^{-1} \deg_{\preceq} g = l^{-1} \deg_{\preceq} f^l g$ belongs to $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$. Since $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$ is a polyhedral cone, $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$ is a closed subset of \mathbf{R}^n . Thus, $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (\mathbf{a} + l^{-1} \deg_{\preceq} g) = \mathbf{a}$ belongs to $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A})$. This proves “ \subset ” in (4.2).

Next, note that $C_i \cap \deg_{\preceq} \phi(I) \subset C_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^r \deg_{\preceq} \phi_j(I) = \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I) \subset C_i$, since $C_i \cap \deg_{\preceq} \phi_j(I) \subset C_i \cap C_j^\circ = \emptyset$ for any $j \neq i$. Hence, we get $C_i \cap \deg_{\preceq} \phi(I) = \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I) \cap \deg_{\preceq} \phi(I) = \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I_{\preceq, i})$ by (4.1). Since $C_i \cap \deg_{\preceq} J \subset C_i \cap C^\circ = \emptyset$ by Lemma 2.6, we see from (2.6) that $C_i \cap \deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{0}\} \cup \deg_{\preceq} \phi_i(I_{\preceq, i})$. This implies “ $=$ ” in (4.2). \square

Thanks to Theorem 4.2, we are reduced to proving the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *If $\min_{\preceq}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R)) = \mathbf{0}$, then $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -incomplete.*

We prove this proposition in the remainder of this section. First, we show two fundamental lemmas.

Lemma 4.4 (Finiteness principle). *If $\preceq \in o(\mathcal{W})$, then the following assertions hold.*

- (i) $(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R) \setminus \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)) \cap \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{a}_i$ is a finite set for any $s \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I_{\preceq, 1}))$.
- (ii) If the cone $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R))$ is generated by a finite subset of $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I_{\preceq, 1})$, then $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R) \setminus \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$ is a finite set.

Proof. (i) Since $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I_{\preceq, 1}))$, there exists a finite subset \mathcal{F} of $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I_{\preceq, 1})$ such that $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathcal{F}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{a}_i \subset \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathcal{F}$, it suffices to show that $(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R) \setminus \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)) \cap \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathcal{F}$ is a finite set. Hence, by replacing $\{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s\}$ with \mathcal{F} , we may assume $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I_{\preceq, 1})$. Set $S := \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R) \cap \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{a}_i$. Then, since $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_s \in S$, we have $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} S = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{a}_i$. Hence, by Lemma 3.1, there exists a finite subset $F \subset S \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} \subset \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R) \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I)$ such that $S = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{a}_i + F$. Now, let $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$ be such that $\preceq = o(\mathbf{w})$. For $i = 1, \dots, s$, pick $p_i \in I_{\preceq, 1}$ with $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p_i) = \mathbf{a}_i$, and set $\mathbf{a}_{i,j} := \deg_{\preceq} \psi_j(p_i)$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$. Then, $\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_{i,j}) > 0$ holds for each i and j , since $\mathbf{a}_{i,j} \prec \mathbf{a}_i$. For each $\mathbf{b} \in F$, pick $q_{\mathbf{b}} \in I \setminus \{0\}$ with $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(q_{\mathbf{b}}) = \mathbf{b}$, and set $\mathbf{b}_j := \deg_{\preceq} \psi_j(q_{\mathbf{b}})$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$. Then, there exists $u \in \mathbf{Z}_{> 0}$ such that, for any $i = 1, \dots, s$, $j = 2, \dots, r$ and $\mathbf{b} \in F$, we have $\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_{i,j}) > u^{-1} |\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}_j)|$.

Now, take any $l_1, \dots, l_s \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^s l_i \geq u$ and $\mathbf{b} \in F$. We show that $\mathbf{c} := \sum_{i=1}^s l_i \mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{b}$ belongs to $\deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$. Then, it follows that $S \setminus \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I) = (\sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{a}_i + F) \setminus \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$ is a finite set. For $p := p_1^{l_1} \cdots p_s^{l_s} q_{\mathbf{b}} \in I \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p) = \mathbf{c}$, and $\mathbf{c}_j := \deg_{\preceq} \psi_j(p) = \sum_{i=1}^s l_i \mathbf{a}_{i,j} + \mathbf{b}_j$ for $j = 2, \dots, r$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{c}_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^s l_i \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{a}_i - \mathbf{a}_{i,j}) + \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}_j) \\ &> \sum_{i=1}^s l_i u^{-1} |\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}_j)| + \mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}_j) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

by the choice of u , we get $\mathbf{c} = \max_{\preceq} \{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}_2, \dots, \mathbf{c}_r\} = \deg_{\preceq} \psi(p) \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$.
(ii) The assertion follows from (i). \square

Next, for each $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(I_{\preceq,2})$, we define

$$M_{\preceq}^{\mathbf{a}} := \{\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p) \mid p \in I_{\preceq,2}, \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p) = \mathbf{a}\} \subset \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I).$$

By definition, $\mathbf{b} \prec \mathbf{a}$ holds for each $\mathbf{b} \in M_{\preceq}^{\mathbf{a}}$.

Lemma 4.5 (Injectivity principle). *If there exists $\mu(\mathbf{a}) := \min_{\preceq} M_{\preceq}^{\mathbf{a}}$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(I_{\preceq,2})$, then the following assertions hold.*

- (i) $\mu(\mathbf{a}) \notin \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(I_{\preceq,2})$.
- (ii) The map $\mu : \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(I_{\preceq,2}) \rightarrow \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R) \setminus \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$ is injective.
- (iii) If $I_{\preceq,2} \neq \emptyset$, then $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R) \setminus \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$ is an infinite set.

Proof. (i) Suppose that $\mu(\mathbf{a}) \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi(I)$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(I_{\preceq,2})$. Then, $\mu(\mathbf{a})$ belongs to $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I) \cap \deg_{\preceq} \psi(\bar{I}) = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(I_{\preceq,1})$ by (1°). Choose $p \in I_{\preceq,2}$ and $q \in I_{\preceq,1}$ so that $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p) = \mathbf{a}$ and $\text{in}_{\preceq} \psi_1(p) = \text{in}_{\preceq} \psi_1(q) = \mathbf{x}^{\mu(\mathbf{a})}$. Then, $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p - q) = \deg_{\preceq} (\psi_1(p) - \psi_1(q))$ is less than $\mu(\mathbf{a})$. We claim that $p - q \in I_{\preceq,2}$ and $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p - q) = \mathbf{a}$, namely, $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p - q) = \mathbf{a}$ and $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(p - q) \prec \mathbf{a}$ for all $i \neq 2$. In fact, we have $\mathbf{a} = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p) \succ \deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(p)$ for all $i \neq 2$ since $p \in I_{\preceq,2}$, and $\mathbf{a} \succ \mu(\mathbf{a}) = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(q) \succeq \deg_{\preceq} \psi_j(q)$ for all j since $q \in I_{\preceq,1}$. This contradicts the minimality of $\mu(\mathbf{a})$.

(ii) Suppose that $\mu(\mathbf{a}) = \mu(\mathbf{a}')$ for some $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}' \in \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(I_{\preceq,2})$ with $\mathbf{a} \succ \mathbf{a}'$. Choose $p, p' \in I_{\preceq,2}$ so that $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p) = \mathbf{a}$, $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p') = \mathbf{a}'$ and $\text{in}_{\preceq} \psi_1(p) = \text{in}_{\preceq} \psi_1(p') = \mathbf{x}^{\mu(\mathbf{a})}$. Then, we have $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p - p') \prec \mu(\mathbf{a})$. We can also check that $p - p' \in I_{\preceq,2}$ and $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p - p') = \mathbf{a}$ as in the proof of (i), by noting $\mathbf{a} = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p) \succ \deg_{\preceq} \psi_i(p)$ for all $i \neq 2$, and $\mathbf{a} \succ \mathbf{a}' = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_2(p') \succeq \deg_{\preceq} \psi_j(p')$ for all j . This contradicts the minimality of $\mu(\mathbf{a})$.

(iii) follows from (ii) and (2°). \square

Now, we can give a practical sufficient condition for \preceq -incompleteness.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\preceq \in \Omega$. If there exists a finite subset \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{Z}^n such that the following conditions hold for each $\preceq' \in o(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}, \preceq})$, then $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -incomplete.*

- (1) $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq'} \psi_1(R)) = \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R))$.
- (2) There exists $\min_{\preceq'} M_{\preceq'}^{\mathbf{a}}$ for each $\mathbf{a} \in \deg_{\preceq'} \psi_2(I_{\preceq',2})$.

Proof. Suppose that $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -complete. Then, we have $\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R)) = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p_i))$ for some $p_1, \dots, p_s \in I_{\preceq,1}$, and $I_{\preceq,2} \neq \emptyset$. Pick any $p_0 \in I_{\preceq,2}$, and set $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{F} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{j=0}^s \text{supp } \psi_i(p_j)$.

Now, let $\preceq' \in o(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{G}, \preceq}) \subset o(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}, \preceq})$. Then, we have $p_i \in I_{\preceq',1}$ and $\deg_{\preceq'} \psi_1(p_i) = \deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p_i)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$, and $p_0 \in I_{\preceq',2}$. Since $\preceq' \in o(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}, \preceq})$, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq'} \psi_1(R)) &= \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(p_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^s \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0}(\deg_{\preceq'} \psi_1(p_i)) \end{aligned}$$

by (1). Hence, $\deg_{\preceq'} \psi_1(R) \setminus \deg_{\preceq'} \psi(I)$ is a finite set because of Lemma 4.4 (ii). On the other hand, since $I_{\preceq',2} \neq \emptyset$, we know by (2) and Lemma 4.5 (iii) that $\deg_{\preceq'} \psi_1(R) \setminus \deg_{\preceq'} \psi(I)$ is an infinite set. This is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.3. In view of (3^o), we may assume that $\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R)$ is finitely generated. Since $\min_{\preceq}(\deg_{\preceq} \psi_1(R)) = \mathbf{0}$ by assumption, we can find a finite subset \mathcal{F} of \mathbf{Z}^n as in Proposition 3.5 for $P := \psi_1(R)$. We claim that (1) and (2) in Theorem 4.6 hold for each $\preceq' \in o(\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{F}, \preceq})$. Actually, (1) follows from $\deg_{\preceq'} P = \deg_{\preceq} P$, and (2) follows from its well-orderedness. Therefore, $(\psi_i)_{i=1}^r$ is \preceq -incomplete thanks to Theorem 4.6. \square

5. EXAMPLES

In this section, we give some examples of interest. We also discuss the cardinality of the set of initial algebras shortly. For all \mathcal{A} given in this section, $\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$ are not finitely generated if \preceq is a monomial order thanks to Corollary 2.5.

First, we give an example in which $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$ is finitely generated for some $\preceq \in \Omega$.

Example 5.1. Assume that $n = 2$, and let C, C_1 and C_2 be as in Example 2.2. Set $I := (x^2 + x)k[x]$ and $R := I + k$. Then, (A1) is satisfied, because k -subalgebras of $k[x]$ are always finitely generated. We define homomorphisms $\phi'_1, \phi'_2 : k[x] \rightarrow k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ of k -algebras by $\phi'_1(x) = -x_1 - 1$ and $\phi'_2(x) = x_2$. Then, $\phi_i := \phi'_i|_R$ for $i = 1, 2$ satisfy (A2), since $\phi_i(x^2 + x) = x_i^2 + x_i$. Take any $U \subset k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$ as in (A3).

Now, let $\preceq \in \Omega$ be such that $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \prec \mathbf{0}$ and $l_1 \mathbf{e}_2 \prec \mathbf{e}_1$ and $l_2 \mathbf{e}_1 \prec \mathbf{e}_2$ for some $l_1, l_2 \geq 1$, say $\preceq = o(-\mathbf{w})$ with $\mathbf{w} \in (\mathbf{R}_{>0})^2 \cap \mathcal{W}$. We show that $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$, which implies $\deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} = \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{e}_2$. We have $\deg_{\preceq} f = \mathbf{e}_1$, where

$$f := \phi((x^2 + x)x^{l_1}) = (x_1^2 + x_1)(-x_1 - 1)^{l_1} + (x_2^2 + x_2)x_2^{l_2} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Take $c_1, \dots, c_{l_2-1} \in k$ so that $x_1^2 + x_1 - \sum_{i=1}^{l_2-1} c_i (x_1^2 + x_1)^i (x_1 + 1) \in x_1^{l_2} k[x_1]$ and set $p := x^2 + x + \sum_{i=1}^{l_2-1} c_i (x^2 + x)^i x \in I$. Then, we have $\deg_{\preceq} \phi(p) = \deg_{\preceq} \phi_2(p) = \mathbf{e}_2$. This proves $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in \deg_{\preceq} \mathcal{A}$.

Examples 5.2 and 5.3 below are generalizations of Example 2.7.

Example 5.2. Let $C \subset (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^n$ be a rational polyhedral cone which is not contained in a line, and let $\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \cap C$ be minimal generators of C , where $r \geq 2$. Take $C_i := \mathbf{R}_{\geq 0} \mathbf{e}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then, we have $C_i^\circ := \mathbf{R}_{>0} \mathbf{e}_i$ for each i . Let $R = k[x]$ and $I = xk[x]$, and define $\phi_i : R \ni p(x) \mapsto p(\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i}) \in k[\mathbf{x}]$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Then, (A1) and (A2) hold. Take $U \subset k[\mathbf{x}]$ to be a finite set of monomials as in (A3). In this case, we have

$$\mathcal{A} = k + \phi(I) + J = k + \sum_{l \geq 1} k(\mathbf{x}^{l\mathbf{a}_1} + \dots + \mathbf{x}^{l\mathbf{a}_r}) + J,$$

where J is the ideal of $\mathcal{B} = k[\{\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_1}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_r}\} \cup U]$ generated by $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i + \mathbf{a}_j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ and U . Now, pick any $\preceq \in \Omega$. Since J is generated by monomials as a k -vector space, we have $\text{in}_{\preceq} J = J$. Hence, we know that $\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} = k + \sum_{l \geq 1} k\mathbf{x}^{l\mathbf{a}_i} + J = k[\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}_i}] + J$ by (2.6), where $1 \leq i \leq r$ is such that $\mathbf{a}_i = \max_{\preceq} \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r\}$. Conversely, for each i and fixed $\mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{W}$, we can find $\lambda \gg 0$ such that $\mathbf{w} - \lambda \omega_i \in \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathbf{a}_i = \max_{\preceq} \{\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_r\}$, where ω_i is a normal vector of the face C_i of C and $\preceq_i := o(\mathbf{w} - \lambda \omega_i)$, since $\{\lambda \in \mathbf{R}_{>0} \mid \mathbf{w} - \lambda \omega_i \notin \mathcal{W}\}$ is at most countable. Therefore, we get $\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} \mid \preceq \in \Omega\} = r$.

Finally, we give an example for which the set of initial algebras is continuum. We note that $\#\Omega = \#\mathbf{R}$ if $n \geq 2$, since $\#o(\mathcal{W}) = \#\mathbf{R}$, and each element of Ω is

determined by at most n elements of \mathbf{R}^n (cf. [12]). Hence, $\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} A \mid \preceq \in \Omega\} \leq \#\mathbf{R}$ holds for any $A \subset k[\mathbf{x}^{\pm 1}]$.

The example is realized in $k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] := k[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$, the polynomial ring in $2n$ variables over k . We fix a monomial order \preceq on $k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$. For each $\lambda > 0$, we set $\mathbf{w}_\lambda := (\mathbf{e}_1, \lambda \mathbf{e}_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$. Then, we can define a monomial order \preceq_λ on $k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ by $\mathbf{a} \preceq_\lambda \mathbf{b}$ if $\mathbf{w}_\lambda \cdot \mathbf{a} < \mathbf{w}_\lambda \cdot \mathbf{b}$, or $\mathbf{w}_\lambda \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{w}_\lambda \cdot \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{a} \preceq \mathbf{b}$ for each $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{Z}^n \times \mathbf{Z}^n$.

Example 5.3. Let $C := (\mathbf{R}_{>0})^n \times (\mathbf{R}_{>0})^n$, $C_1 := (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^n \times \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $C_2 := \{\mathbf{0}\} \times (\mathbf{R}_{\geq 0})^n$. Then, we have $C_i^{\circ} = C_i \setminus \{(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $R = k[\mathbf{x}]$ and $I = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, which satisfy (A1). We pick an automorphism θ of the k -algebra $k[\mathbf{x}]$ with $\theta(I) = I$, and define homomorphisms $\phi_1, \phi_2 : R \rightarrow k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ of k -algebras by $\phi_1(x_i) = \theta(x_i)$ and $\phi_2(x_i) = y_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since $\phi_j(x_i)$'s have no constant terms, (A2) is satisfied. Set $U := \emptyset$. Then, noting $\phi_1(I) = \theta(I) = I$, we can check that $\mathcal{B} = k[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$, $J = (\{x_i y_j \mid i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}\})$, and

$$\mathcal{A} = k[\theta(x_1) + y_1, \dots, \theta(x_n) + y_n] + J.$$

Now, assume that $n \geq 2$ and $\theta = \text{id}_{k[\mathbf{x}]}$. We show that $\text{in}_{\preceq_\lambda} \mathcal{A} \neq \text{in}_{\preceq_\mu} \mathcal{A}$ for each $\lambda > \mu > 0$. This implies that

$$\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq_\lambda} \mathcal{A} \mid \lambda > 0\} = \#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} \mid \preceq \in \Omega_0\} = \#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} \mid \preceq \in \Omega\} = \#\mathbf{R}.$$

Suppose that $\text{in}_{\preceq_\lambda} \mathcal{A} = \text{in}_{\preceq_\mu} \mathcal{A}$ for some $\lambda > \mu > 0$. Then, we have $\text{in}_{\preceq_\lambda} \phi(I) = \text{in}_{\preceq_\mu} \phi(I)$ by (2.6). The k -vector space $\phi(I) = \{p + \phi_2(p) \mid p \in I\}$ has a basis

$$\{f_i := x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} + y_1^{i_1} \cdots y_n^{i_n} \mid \mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}\}.$$

Since $\text{supp } f_i \cap \text{supp } f_j = \emptyset$ if $\mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j}$, it follows that $\text{in}_{\preceq_\lambda} f_i = \text{in}_{\preceq_\mu} f_i$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in (\mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$. However, if $\mathbf{i} = a\mathbf{e}_1 + b\mathbf{e}_n$ for $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$ with $\lambda > a/b > \mu$, then we have $\text{in}_{\preceq_\lambda} f_i = y_1^a y_n^b$ and $\text{in}_{\preceq_\mu} f_i = x_1^a x_n^b$. This is a contradiction.

The author proved that, if the transcendence degree of R over k is at least two, and if there exist $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ such that the set Ω' of $\preceq \in \Omega$ satisfying $I_{\preceq, i} \neq \emptyset$, $I_{\preceq, j} \neq \emptyset$ and $\min_{\preceq}(\text{supp } \phi_i(R)) = \mathbf{0}$ is not empty, then $\#\{\text{in}_{\preceq} \mathcal{A} \mid \preceq \in \Omega'\} = \#\mathbf{R}$. The proof of this result is rather long, and it will appear in our next paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Anderson, A. Smith, P. Stewart, M. Tesemma and J. Usatine, A topological structure on certain initial algebras, *Topology Appl.* **180** (2015), 199–208.
- [2] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald, *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, MA, 1969.
- [3] A. Duncan and Z. Reichstein, Sagbi bases for rings of invariant Laurent polynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (2009), no. 3, 835–844.
- [4] M. Göbel, *A constructive description of SAGBI bases for polynomial invariants of permutation groups*, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **26** (1998), no. 3, 261–272.
- [5] D. Kapur and K. Madlener, A completion procedure for computing a canonical basis for a k -subalgebra, in *Computers and mathematics (Cambridge, MA, 1989)*, 1–11, Springer, New York.
- [6] S. Kuroda, The infiniteness of the SAGBI (subalgebra analogue to Gröbner bases for ideals) bases for certain invariant rings, *Sūrikaiseikikenkyūsho Kōkyūroku No. 1175* (2000), 51–62.
- [7] S. Kuroda, *The infiniteness of the SAGBI bases for certain invariant rings*, *Osaka J. Math.* **39** (2002), no. 3, 665–680.
- [8] S. Kuroda, Initial algebras and the Jung–van der Kulk theorem, in *Affine algebraic geometry*, 193–204, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 54, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

- [9] S. Kuroda, *Recent developments in polynomial automorphisms: the solution of Nagata's conjecture and afterwards*, Sugaku Expositions **29** (2016), no. 2, 177–201.
- [10] T. Oda, *Convex bodies and algebraic geometry*, translated from the Japanese, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 15, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
- [11] Z. Reichstein, SAGBI bases in rings of multiplicative invariants, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), no. 1, 185–202.
- [12] L. Robbiano, Term orderings on the polynomial ring, in *EUROCAL '85, Vol. 2 (Linz, 1985)*, 513–517, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 204, Springer, Berlin.
- [13] L. Robbiano and M. Sweedler, Subalgebra bases, in Commutative Algebra (W. Bruns and A. Simis, eds.) 61–87, Lecture Notes in Math. **1430**, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Tokyo, 1988.
- [14] A. Schrijver, *Theory of linear and integer programming*, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics, Wiley, Chichester, 1986.
- [15] N. Schwartz, Stability of Gröbner bases, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **53** (1988), no. 1-2, 171–186.
- [16] B. Sturmfels, *Gröbner bases and convex polytopes*, University Lecture Series, 8, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [17] M. Tesemma, On initial algebras of multiplicative invariants, J. Algebra **320** (2008), no. 11, 3851–3865.
- [18] N. M. Thiéry and S. Thomassé, Convex cones and SAGBI bases of permutation invariants, in Invariant theory in all characteristics, 259–263, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 35, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, 1-1 MINAMI-OSAWA, HACHIOJI, TOKYO, 192-0397, JAPAN

Email address: kuroda@tmu.ac.jp