

HESSIAN OF THE BUSEMANN FUNCTION ON DAMEK-RICCI SPACES

HIROYASU SATOH

ABSTRACT. In this note, we calculate the Hessian of the Busemann function on a Damek-Ricci space and show its positive definiteness (Theorem 5).

1. PRELIMINARIES

1.1. Generalized Heisenberg groups. Let $(\mathfrak{n}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}})$ be a 2-step nilpotent algebra with an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$. We denote by \mathfrak{z} the center of \mathfrak{n} and by \mathfrak{v} the orthogonal complement to \mathfrak{z} . For $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$, we define the linear map $J_Z : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$ by $\langle J_Z U, V \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}} = \langle Z, [U, V]_{\mathfrak{n}} \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}}$ for $U, V \in \mathfrak{v}$. If for every $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ $(J_Z)^2 = -|Z|^2 \text{id}_{\mathfrak{v}}$ holds, then we say that \mathfrak{n} is a *generalized Heisenberg algebra*. Here $\text{id}_{\mathfrak{v}}$ is the identity map on \mathfrak{v} .

Lemma 1 ([3, p.24-25]). Let $(\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}})$ be a generalized Heisenberg algebra. For $U, V \in \mathfrak{v}$ and $X, Y \in \mathfrak{z}$, we

- (i) $J_X \circ J_Y + J_Y \circ J_X = -2\langle X, Y \rangle \text{id}_{\mathfrak{v}}$
- (ii) $\langle J_X U, V \rangle = -\langle U, J_X V \rangle$
- (iii) $\langle J_X U, J_X V \rangle = |X|^2 \langle U, V \rangle$
- (iv) $\langle J_X U, J_Y V \rangle + \langle J_Y U, J_X V \rangle = 2\langle U, V \rangle \langle X, Y \rangle$
- (v) $\langle J_X U, J_Y U \rangle = |U|^2 \langle X, Y \rangle$
- (vi) $[J_X U, V] - [U, J_X V] = -2\langle U, V \rangle X$
- (vii) $[J_X U, J_Y U] = [U, J_X(J_Y U)]$
- (viii) $[J_X U, J_X V] = -|X|^2 [U, V] - 2\langle U, J_X V \rangle X$
- (ix) $[U, J_X U] = |U|^2 X$

Fix a $V \in \mathfrak{v}$, we obtain an orthogonal decomposition of \mathfrak{v} as follows:

$$\mathfrak{v} = \ker(\text{ad}(V)) \oplus J_{\mathfrak{z}} V, \quad (1)$$

where $J_{\mathfrak{z}} V := \{J_Z V \mid Z \in \mathfrak{z}\}$.

1.2. Damek-Ricci spaces. Let $(\mathfrak{n}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}})$ be a generalized Heisenberg algebra. We set a new vector space $\mathfrak{s} := \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, where $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{R}A$ is a one dimensional real vector space, and define its bracket product $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{s}}$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$ by

$$[V + Y + aA, V' + Y' + a'A]_{\mathfrak{s}} = \frac{a}{2}V' - \frac{a'}{2}V + aY' - a'Y + [V, V']_{\mathfrak{n}}, \quad (2)$$

$$\langle V + Y + aA, V' + Y' + a'A \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}} = \langle V, V' \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}} + \langle Y, Y' \rangle_{\mathfrak{n}} + aa', \quad (3)$$

respectively. We find immediately that the derived subalgebra $[\mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}]_{\mathfrak{s}}$ of \mathfrak{s} is equal to \mathfrak{n} . This shows that \mathfrak{s} is a solvable Lie algebra.

Definition 2 ([4]). We call the simply connected Lie group S whose Lie algebra is \mathfrak{s} equipped with the left invariant metric induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{s}}$ a *Damek-Ricci space*.

Date: October 19, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C20, 58C40, 22E60.

Key words and phrases. Damek-Ricci space, Busemann function, Hessian.

If we identify S with $\mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ via the exponential map, the group structure on S is given by

$$(V, Y, a) \cdot (V', Y', a') = \left(V + \sqrt{a}V', Y + aY' + \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2}[V, V'], aa' \right). \quad (4)$$

we refer to [3, Chap. 4] for details.

1.3. The Busemann function. Let (M, g) be a Hadamard manifold, i.e., complete and simply connected and has everywhere non-positive sectional curvature. Then, for a geodesic $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$, we can define a function b_γ on M , called the *Busemann function*, by

$$b_\gamma(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (d(\gamma(t), x) - t). \quad (5)$$

Since the Busemann function is a convex function, the Hessian of the Busemann function is positive semi-definite.

Remark 3. The gradient vector field ∇b_γ of the Busemann function b_γ is a unit vector field. Hence, we find that the Hessian of b_γ has 0-eigenvalue associated with ∇b_γ .

Every Hadamard manifold M carries its ideal boundary, denoted by ∂M , which is a quotient space of all geodesic rays on M divided by an equivalence relation \sim defined as follows; $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ if and only if $d(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2(t)) < \infty$ on $t \in [0, \infty)$. If $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$, then $b_{\gamma_1} - b_{\gamma_2}$ is a constant function. Hence, we find that if $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$, then the Hessian of b_{γ_1} coincides with of b_{γ_2} . Namely, the Hessian of the Busemann function is defined for $\theta \in \partial M$.

We refer to [2] for details about Hadamard manifolds and the Busemann function.

A Damek-Ricci space S is an Hadamard manifold and its ideal boundary is identified with $\mathfrak{n} \cup \{\infty\}$ (see [1, 5]). Let b_θ be the Busemann function of a Damek-Ricci space S . We refer for a group-theoretic representation of the Busemann function to [5] as follows.

Proposition 4 ([5, Theorem 4]). For $x = (V, Y, a) \in S$

$$b_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} \log \left(\frac{(a + \frac{1}{4}|v - V|^2)^2 + |y - Y - \frac{1}{2}[V, v]_{\mathfrak{n}}|^2}{a \left((1 + \frac{1}{4}|v|^2)^2 + |y|^2 \right)} \right), & \theta = (v, y) \\ -\log a, & \theta = \infty \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

2. HESSIAN OF THE BUSEMANN FUNCTION ON S

In this section, we show the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Each Busemann function of a Damek-Ricci space S has positive definite hessian on ∇b_θ^\perp , the orthogonal complement of the gradient vector field ∇b_θ .

To show above theorem, we compute the Hessian ∇db_θ of the Busemann function on a Damek-Ricci space and investigating its eigenvalues. At first, we introduce a global coordinate systems on $S \simeq \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z} \times \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1, \dots, k}$ and $\{e_{k+r}\}_{r=1, \dots, m}$ be orthonormal bases of \mathfrak{v} and \mathfrak{z} , respectively and $V^i, i = 1, \dots, k$, and $Y^r, r = 1, \dots, m$ the corresponding coordinate system on $N \simeq \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z}$. Moreover, we set

$a : \mathbb{R}A \ni sA \mapsto e^s \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then, a left invariant orthonormal frame field of S at $x = (V, Y, a)$ is written by

$$\begin{cases} E_0 = a \frac{\partial}{\partial a}, \\ E_i = \sqrt{a} \frac{\partial}{\partial V^i} - \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{r=1}^m A_{ij}^r V^j \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^r}, & (i=1, 2, \dots, k), \\ E_{k+r} = a \frac{\partial}{\partial Y^r}, & (r=1, 2, \dots, m), \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where $A_{ij}^r := \langle [e_i, e_j]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle$ (see [3, 4.1.5]). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_i} E_j &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_r A_{ij}^r E_{k+r} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} E_0, & \nabla_{E_i} E_{k+r} &= \nabla_{E_{k+r}} E_i = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j A_{ij}^r E_j, \\ \nabla_{E_i} E_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} E_i, & \nabla_{E_{k+r}} E_{k+l} &= \delta_{rl} E_0, & \nabla_{E_{k+r}} E_0 &= -E_{k+r}, & \nabla_{E_0} E_\alpha &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, $1 \leq r, l \leq m$ and $1 \leq \alpha \leq k+m$ (see [3, 4.1.6]).

Now we compute components of $\nabla db_\theta = (b_{\alpha, \beta})$ with respect to $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha=0,1,\dots,k+m}$, *i.e.*,

$$b_{\alpha, \beta} := (\nabla_{E_\alpha} db_\theta)(E_\beta) = E_\alpha(E_\beta b_\theta) - (\nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta) b_\theta.$$

Immediately, from Proposition 4, we have the following.

Theorem 6. If $\theta = \infty$, then we have

$$b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad b_{k+r,k+l} = \delta_{rl}, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0,$$

that is, eigenvalues of $(b_{\alpha, \beta})$ are $0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 whose multiplicities are $1, k$ and m , respectively.

To compute ∇db_θ in the case $\theta \neq \infty$, it is convenient to define certain maps and positive functions on $S \times \partial S$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} : S \times \partial S &\rightarrow \mathfrak{v}; \quad \mathcal{V}_{(x,\theta)} = v - V, \\ \mathcal{Y} : S \times \partial S &\rightarrow \mathfrak{z}; \quad \mathcal{Y}_{(x,\theta)} = y - Y - \frac{1}{2}[V, v]_{\mathfrak{n}}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$f_{(x,\theta)} = a + \frac{1}{4} |\mathcal{V}_{(x,\theta)}|^2, \quad F_{(x,\theta)} = f^2 + |\mathcal{Y}_{(x,\theta)}|^2, \quad (9)$$

where $x = (V, Y, a) \in S$ and $\theta = (v, y) \in \partial S \setminus \{\infty\} \simeq \mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{z}$. Then, we have

$$b_\theta(x) = \log F_{(x,\theta)} - \log a + C(\theta). \quad (10)$$

Here C is a certain function on $\partial S \setminus \{\infty\}$. Below, we omit the subscript (x, θ) .

Easy computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 f &= a, & E_i f &= -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2} \langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle, & E_{k+r} f &= 0, \\ E_0 F &= 2af, & E_i F &= -\sqrt{a} \langle f\mathcal{V} - J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle, & E_{k+r} F &= -2a \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

from which we have, by using lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{0,0} &= \frac{2a}{F^2} (fF + aF - 2af^2), \\
b_{0,i} &= -\frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F^2} \{ (fF + 2aF - 4af^2) \langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle + (4af - F) \langle J\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \}, \\
b_{0,k+r} &= \frac{2a}{F^2} (2af - F) \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \\
b_{i,j} &= \frac{a}{2F} (\langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle \mathcal{V}, e_j \rangle + \langle [e_i, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, [e_j, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}} \rangle) \\
&\quad - \frac{a}{F^2} \langle f\mathcal{V} - J\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle f\mathcal{V} - J\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V}, e_j \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \\
b_{i,k+r} &= -\frac{2a\sqrt{a}}{F^2} \langle f\mathcal{V} - J\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+r} \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \langle [e_i, (f - 2a)\mathcal{V} - J\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \\
b_{k+r,k+l} &= -\frac{4a^2}{F^2} \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+r} \rangle \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+l} \rangle + \frac{1}{F} (F - 2af + 2a^2) \delta_{rl}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Lemma 7. Assume that $\theta \neq \infty$. If

(i) $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{Y} = 0$, then we have

$$b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad b_{k+r,k+l} = \delta_{rl}, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0.$$

(ii) $\mathcal{V} = 0$ and $\mathcal{Y} \neq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{0,0} &= \frac{4a^2}{F^2} (F - a^2), \quad b_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \quad b_{k+r,k+l} = -\frac{4a^2}{F^2} \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+r} \rangle \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+l} \rangle + \delta_{rl}, \\
b_{0,k+r} &= \frac{2a}{F^2} (2a^2 - F) \langle \mathcal{Y}, e_{k+r} \rangle, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{Y} = 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{0,0} &= \frac{2a(f-a)}{f^2}, \quad b_{i,j} = \frac{a}{2f^2} (-\langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle \langle \mathcal{V}, e_j \rangle + \langle [e_i, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}}, [e_j, \mathcal{V}]_{\mathfrak{n}} \rangle) + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}, \\
b_{0,i} &= -\frac{\sqrt{a}(f-2a)}{2f^2} \langle \mathcal{V}, e_i \rangle, \quad b_{i,k+r} = \frac{\sqrt{a}(f-2a)}{2f^2} \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+r}} \mathcal{V} \rangle, \\
b_{k+r,k+l} &= \frac{1}{F} (F - 2af + 2a^2) \delta_{rl}, \quad (\text{otherwise}) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (9), if $\mathcal{V} = 0$ and $\mathcal{Y} = 0$, then we have $f = a$ and $F = f^2$, respectively. Substituting these equations into (11), we obtain our lemma. \square

Theorem 8. Assume that $\theta \neq \infty$. If $\mathcal{V} = 0$ or $\mathcal{Y} = 0$, then eigenvalues of $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ are $0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 whose multiplicities are $1, k$ and m , respectively.

Proof. In the case of $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{Y} = 0$, our assertion is trivial from lemma 7 (i).

If $\mathcal{V} = 0$ and $\mathcal{Y} \neq 0$, then we choose an orthonormal basis $\{e_{k+r}\}_{r=1,\dots,m}$ of \mathfrak{z} satisfying

$$e_{k+1} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{Y}|} \mathcal{Y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{F-f^2}} \mathcal{Y}, \quad \text{and} \quad e_{k+r} \in \mathcal{Y}^\perp, r = 2, \dots, m. \tag{12}$$

Then, we can write the matrix $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ of which some rows and columns are interchanged, as

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & O & O \\ O & \frac{1}{2} I_k & O \\ O & O & I_{m-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix and

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{0,0} & b_{0,k+1} \\ b_{k+1,0} & b_{k+1,k+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{F^2} \begin{pmatrix} 4a^2(F-a^2) & 2a(2a^2-F)\sqrt{F-a^2} \\ 2a(2a^2-F)\sqrt{F-a^2} & F^2-4a^2(F-a^2) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Easy computation show that $\det(B_1) = 0$ and $\det(B_1 - I_2) = 0$ from which we find that eigenvalues of the 2×2 -matrix B_1 are 0 and 1. Hence, in this case the matrix $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ has eigenvalues $0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 whose multiplicities are 1, k and $1 + (m-1) = m$, respectively.

If $\theta \neq \infty$, $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{Y} = 0$, then we choose an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{v} satisfying

$$\begin{cases} e_1 = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|} \mathcal{V} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{f-a}} \mathcal{V}, \\ e_{(k-m)+r} = J_{e_{k+r}} e_1 \in J_3 \mathcal{V}, & r=1, \dots, m, \\ e_i \in \ker(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{V}}), & i=2, \dots, k-m. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Then, we can write the matrix $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ of which some rows and columns are interchanged, as

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_2 & O & O \\ O & \frac{1}{2}I_{k-m-1} & O \\ O & O & B_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} b_{0,0} & b_{0,1} \\ b_{1,0} & b_{1,1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{f^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2a(f-a) & -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) \\ -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) & \frac{1}{2}(f-2a)^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ B_3 &= \begin{pmatrix} (b_{k-m+r,k-m+l}) & (b_{k-m+r,k+l}) \\ (b_{k+r,k-m+l}) & (b_{k+r,k+l}) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{f^2} \begin{pmatrix} \{2a(f-a) + \frac{1}{2}f^2\} I_m & -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a)I_m \\ -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a)I_m & (f^2 - 2af + 2a^2) I_m \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Easy computation show that $\det(B_2) = 0$ and $\det(B_2 - \frac{1}{2}I_2) = 0$ from which we find that eigenvalues of the 2×2 -matrix B_2 are 0 and $\frac{1}{2}$. On the other hand, Since it is easy to check that the 2×2 -matrix

$$B'_3 = \frac{1}{f^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2a(f-a) + \frac{1}{2}f^2 & -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) \\ -\sqrt{a(f-a)}(f-2a) & f^2 - 2af + 2a^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies $\det(B'_3 - I_2) = \det(B'_3 - \frac{1}{2}I_2) = 0$, we find that B_3 has eigenvalues $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1 whose multiplicities are m . Hence, in this case the matrix $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ has eigenvalues $0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 whose multiplicities are 1, $1 + (k-m-1) + m = k$ and m , respectively. \square

Finally, we consider the eigenvalues of the matrix $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ in the case $\mathcal{V} \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{Y} \neq 0$. If we choose orthonormal basis $\{e_i; e_{k+r}\}_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq r \leq m}$ of $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$ satisfying (12) and (13), then the matrix $(b_{\alpha,\beta})$ of which some rows and columns are interchanged can be described as follows;

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{B}_1 & O & O & O \\ O & \frac{1}{2}I_{k-m-1} & O & \mathcal{B}_2 \\ O & O & b_1 I_{m-1} & b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3 \\ O & {}^t \mathcal{B}_2 & b_3 I_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_3 & b_2 I_{m-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Here $b_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2a}{F}(f-a)$, $b_2 = 1 - \frac{2a}{F}(f-a)$ and \mathcal{B}_1 is a 4×4 -matrix defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{0,0} & b_{0,1} & b_{0,k-m+1} & b_{0,k+1} \\ b_{1,0} & b_{1,1} & b_{1,k-m+1} & b_{1,k+1} \\ b_{k-m+1,0} & b_{k-m+1,1} & b_{k-m+1,k-m+1} & b_{k-m+1,k+1} \\ b_{k+1,0} & b_{k+1,1} & b_{k+1,k-m+1} & b_{k+1,k+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_{0,0} &= \frac{2a}{F^2}(fF + aF - 2af^2), \\ b_{1,0} &= -b_{k+1,k-m+1} = -\frac{1}{F^2}(fF + 2aF - 4af^2)\sqrt{a(f-a)}, \\ b_{k-m+1,0} &= b_{k+1,1} = -\frac{1}{F^2}(4af - F)\sqrt{a(f-a)(F-f^2)}, \\ b_{k+1,0} &= \frac{2a}{F^2}(2af - F)\sqrt{F-f^2}, \\ b_{1,1} &= -\frac{2a}{F^2}(f-a)(2f^2 - F) + \frac{1}{2}, \\ b_{k-m+1,1} &= \frac{4af}{F^2}(f-a)\sqrt{F-f^2}, \\ b_{k-m+1,k-m+1} &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2a}{F^2}(f-a)(2f^2 - F), \\ b_{k+1,k+1} &= 1 - \frac{2a}{F^2}(fF + aF - 2af^2), \end{aligned}$$

and \mathcal{B}_2 is a $(k-m-1) \times (m-1)$ -matrix defined by

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = (b_{i,k+r}), \quad b_{i,k+r} = \frac{\sqrt{a}}{2F} \langle [e_i, J\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V}], e_{k+r} \rangle, \quad (15)$$

where $2 \leq i \leq k-m$ and $2 \leq r \leq m$. We put a skew-symmetric $(k-1) \times (k-1)$ -matrix

$$\mathcal{B}_3 = \left(\frac{1}{4F} \sqrt{\frac{a}{f-a}} \langle [J_{e_{k+l}}\mathcal{V}], J\mathcal{Y}\mathcal{V} \rangle, e_{k+r} \right), \quad 2 \leq r, l \leq m \quad (16)$$

and a function

$$b_3 = \frac{1}{F}(f-2a)\sqrt{a(f-a)}. \quad (17)$$

Then, we have

$$(b_{k+r,(k-m)+l}) = b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3. \quad (18)$$

Lemma 9. The eigenvalues of \mathcal{B}_1 are $0, \frac{1}{2}$ and 1 whose multiplicities are $1, 2$ and 1 , respectively.

Proof. We can easily check (for example, by using *Mathematica*) that

$$\det(\mathcal{B}_1) = \det(\mathcal{B}_1 - I_4) = \det(\mathcal{B}_1 - \frac{1}{2}I_4) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}(\mathcal{B}_1) = 2,$$

from which we obtain our lemma. \square

Theorem 10. Eigenvalues of a matrix

$$\mathcal{B} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}I_{k-m-1} & O & \mathcal{B}_2 \\ O & b_1 I_{m-1} & b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3 \\ {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 & b_3 I_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_3 & b_2 I_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

which is a submatrix of (14) are all positive.

To prove Proposition (10), we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 11. we have

$$(\mathcal{B}_3)^2 - {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 = b_4 I_{m-1}, \quad (20)$$

where $b_4 = -\frac{a}{4F^2}|\mathcal{Y}|^2|\mathcal{V}|^2 = -\frac{a}{F^2}(f-a)(F-f^2)$. Moreover, $b_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ satisfy

$$b_1 b_2 - b_3^2 + b_4 = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (21)$$

Proof. From (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{B}_3)_{r,l}^2 &= \frac{a}{16F^2(f-a)} \sum_{h=2}^m \langle [J_{e_{k+h}} \mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], e_{k+r} \rangle \langle [J_{e_{k+l}} \mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], e_{k+h} \rangle \\ &= \frac{a}{16F^2(f-a)} \sum_{h=1}^m \langle [J_{e_{k+h}} \mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], e_{k+r} \rangle \langle [J_{e_{k+l}} \mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], e_{k+h} \rangle \\ &= -\frac{a}{4F^2|\mathcal{V}|^2} \langle [J_{e_{k+r}} \mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], [J_{e_{k+l}} \mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}] \rangle \\ &= -\frac{a}{4F^2|\mathcal{V}|^2} \langle [\mathcal{V}, J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], [\mathcal{V}, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}] \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, from (15) and (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2)_{r,l} &= \frac{a}{4F^2} \sum_{i=2}^{k-m} \langle [e_i, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], e_{k+r} \rangle \langle [e_i, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], e_{k+l} \rangle \\ &= \frac{a}{4F^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \\ &= \frac{a}{4F^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \sum_{h=1}^m \langle J_{e_{k+h}} \mathcal{V}, J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \langle J_{e_{k+h}} \mathcal{V}, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \right\} \\ &= \frac{a}{4F^2} \left\{ \langle J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle - \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}|^2} \langle [\mathcal{V}, J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}], [\mathcal{V}, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}] \rangle \right\} \\ &= \frac{a}{4F^2} \langle J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V}, J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \delta_{rl} + (\mathcal{B}_3)_{r,l}^2 \\ &= \frac{a}{4F^2} |\mathcal{Y}|^2 |\mathcal{V}|^2 \delta_{rl} + (\mathcal{B}_3)_{r,l}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

from which we obtain (20). (21) is verified by straightforward computation. \square

Proof of Theorem 10. Since \mathcal{B} is a submatrix of the Hessian of the Busemann function, its eigenvalues are non-negative. Hence, it is enough to show $\det(\mathcal{B}) > 0$.

From properties of determinant and Lemma 11, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\mathcal{B}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} I_{k-m-1} & O & 2\mathcal{B}_2 \\ O & b_1 I_{m-1} & b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3 \\ {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 & b_3 I_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_3 & b_2 I_{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} I_{k-m-1} & O & 2\mathcal{B}_2 \\ O & b_1 I_{m-1} & b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3 \\ O & b_3 I_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_3 & b_2 I_{m-1} - 2 {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 I_{m-1} & b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3 \\ b_3 I_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_3 & b_2 I_{m-1} - 2 {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} b_1 I_{m-1} & b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3 \\ O & b_2 I_{m-1} - 2 {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 - \frac{1}{b_1} (b_3 I_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_3)(b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det (b_1 b_2 I_{m-1} - 2b_1 {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 - (b_3 I_{m-1} - \mathcal{B}_3)(b_3 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3)) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det (b_1 b_2 I_{m-1} - 2b_1 {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 - b_3^2 I_{m-1} + \mathcal{B}_3^2) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det ((b_1 b_2 - b_3^2 + b_4) I_{m-1} + (1 - 2b_1) {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-m-1} \det \left(\frac{1}{2} I_{m-1} - \frac{4a(f-a)}{F} {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 \right) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \det \left(I_{m-1} - \frac{8a(f-a)}{F} {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Since \mathfrak{v} has an orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{v} = \ker(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{V}}) \oplus J_{\mathfrak{z}}\mathcal{V}$ (see (1)), for an orthonormal basis $\{e_{k+r}\}$ there exist $\mathcal{V}_r \in \ker(\text{ad}_{\mathcal{V}})$ and $\mathcal{Y}_r \in \mathfrak{z}$ satisfying

$$J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_r + J_{\mathcal{Y}_r} \mathcal{V}. \quad (24)$$

We obtain immediately that

$$|\mathcal{V}|^2 |\mathcal{Y}|^2 \delta_{r,l} = \langle \mathcal{V}_r, \mathcal{V}_l \rangle + |\mathcal{V}|^2 \langle \mathcal{Y}_r, \mathcal{Y}_l \rangle \quad (25)$$

from which a symmetric matrix $(\langle \mathcal{V}_r, \mathcal{V}_l \rangle)$ has non-negative eigenvalues $\mu_r, r = 2, 3, \dots, m$ satisfying $|\mathcal{V}|^2 |\mathcal{Y}|^2 \geq y_r \geq 0$. From (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
({}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2)_{r,l} &= \frac{a}{4F^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+r}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \langle e_i, J_{e_{k+l}} J_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{V} \rangle \\
&= \frac{a}{4F^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-m} \langle e_i, \mathcal{V}_r \rangle \langle e_i, \mathcal{V}_l \rangle \\
&= \frac{a}{4F^2} \langle \mathcal{V}_r, \mathcal{V}_l \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\det(\mathcal{B}) &= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \det \left\{ I_{m-1} - \frac{8a(f-a)}{F} {}^t\mathcal{B}_2 \cdot \mathcal{B}_2 \right\} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \det \left\{ I_{m-1} - \frac{2a^2(f-a)}{F^3} (\langle \mathcal{V}_r, \mathcal{V}_l \rangle) \right\} \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \prod_{r=2}^m \left\{ 1 - \frac{2a^2(f-a)}{F^3} \mu_r \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{2a^2(f-a)}{F^3}\mu_r &\geq 1 - \frac{a^2}{2F^3}|\mathcal{V}|^4|\mathcal{Y}|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{F^3} \left\{ (f^2 + |\mathcal{Y}|^2)^3 - \frac{a^2}{2}|\mathcal{V}|^4|\mathcal{Y}|^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{F^3} \left\{ f^6 + \left(3f^4 - \frac{a^2}{2}|\mathcal{V}|^4 \right) |\mathcal{Y}|^2 + 3f^2|\mathcal{Y}|^4 + |\mathcal{Y}|^6 \right\} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

because

$$\begin{aligned} 3f^4 - \frac{a^2}{2}|\mathcal{V}|^4 &= 3 \left(a + \frac{1}{4}|\mathcal{V}|^2 \right)^4 - \frac{a^2}{2}|\mathcal{V}|^4 \\ &= 3 \left(a^4 + \frac{3a^2}{8}|\mathcal{V}|^4 + \frac{1}{256}|\mathcal{V}|^8 + a^3|\mathcal{V}|^2 + \frac{a}{16}|\mathcal{V}|^6 \right) - \frac{a^2}{2}|\mathcal{V}|^4 \\ &= 3 \left(a^4 + \frac{1}{256}|\mathcal{V}|^8 + a^3|\mathcal{V}|^2 + \frac{a}{16}|\mathcal{V}|^6 \right) + \frac{5a^2}{8}|\mathcal{V}|^4 > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have from which we have $\det(\mathcal{B}) > 0$. □

From Theorem 6, 8 and 10, we obtain Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.- P. Anker, E. Damek and C. Yacoub, Spherical analysis on harmonic AN groups, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **23** (1996), 643–679.
- [2] W. Ballmann, M. Gromov and V. Schroeder, *Manifolds of nonpositive curvature*, Progr. Math. **61**, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [3] J. Berndt, F. Tricerri and L. Vanhecke, *Generalized Heisenberg groups and Damek-Ricci harmonic spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1598**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [4] E. Damek and F. Ricci, A class of nonsymmetric harmonic Riemannian spaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (1992), 139–142.
- [5] M. Itoh and H. Satoh, Information Geometry of Poisson Kernels on Damek-Ricci Spaces, Tokyo J. Math. **33**(2010), 129–144.

LIBERAL ARTS AND SCIENCES, NIPPON INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 4-1 GAKUENDAI, MIYASHIROMACHI, SAITAMA 345-8501, JAPAN

Email address: hiroyasu@nit.ac.jp