

SEGAL-BARGMANN TRANSFORMS ASSOCIATED TO A FAMILY OF COUPLED SUPERSYMMETRIES

CAMERON L. WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. The Segal-Bargmann transform is a Lie algebra and Hilbert space isomorphism between real and complex representations of the oscillator algebra. As such, it is a useful tool in mathematical physics as it provides a simpler functional analytic setting for studying the quantum harmonic oscillator via the complex structure of the Segal-Bargmann space. It is also useful in time-frequency analysis as it is closely related to the short-time Fourier transform. The Segal-Bargmann space also provides a useful example of a reproducing kernel Hilbert space. Coupled supersymmetries (coupled SUSYs) are generalizations of the quantum harmonic oscillator that have a built-in supersymmetric nature and enjoy many analogous properties to the quantum harmonic oscillator. In this paper, we will develop Segal-Bargmann transforms for a specific class of coupled SUSYs which includes the quantum harmonic oscillator as a special case. We will show that the associated Segal-Bargmann spaces are distinct from the usual Segal-Bargmann space: their associated weight functions are no longer Gaussian and are spanned by stricter subsets of the holomorphic polynomials. The coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann spaces also provide new examples of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The harmonic oscillator is one of the most fundamental systems in quantum mechanics due in part to its Lie algebraic structure and the fact that many potentials near their local minima may be well-approximated by a quadratic potential. The Lie algebra associated to the quantum harmonic oscillator is comprised of the Hamiltonian and creation and annihilation operators which have the interpretations of creating or destroying quanta of the quantum field. For our purposes, we define the quantum harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian defined on sufficiently nice functions on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ to be the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\text{HO}}f(x) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}x^2f(x)$. The quantum harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian can be formally factored as $\mathcal{H}_{\text{HO}} = \frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{d}{dx} + x\right)\left(\frac{d}{dx} + x\right)f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(x)$. A standard exercise in quantum mechanics shows that the Hermite-Gauss functions, h_l , given by $h_l(x) = \frac{(-1)^l}{\sqrt{2^l l! \sqrt{\pi}}} e^{\frac{x^2}{2}} \frac{d^l}{dx^l} e^{-x^2}$, are the $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ eigenfunctions of the quantum harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian.

For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \cap AC(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ such that $xf \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, and $f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, define the operator a by $af(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(f'(x) + xf(x))$. Restricted to a similar set of functions, the adjoint a^* has the form $a^*f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-f'(x) + xf(x))$ so that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{HO}} = a^*a + \frac{1}{2}$ on sufficiently nice functions. The operators a , a^* , and a^*a satisfy the following commutation relations

$$(1.1) \quad [a, a^*] = 1, \quad [a^*a, a] = -a, \quad [a^*a, a^*] = a^*.$$

A simple inspection shows that the span $\{1, a, a^*, a^*a\}$ is closed under commutators and thus forms the basis of a Lie algebra. This Lie algebra is sometimes referred to as the oscillator algebra [28]. It has as a Lie subalgebra the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra which is generated by the commutation relation $[a, a^*] = 1$ in (1.1).

The quantum harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian can be abstractly viewed as a Hamiltonian of the form a^*a where a , a^* , and a^*a satisfy the above commutation relations. Therefore one may view \mathcal{H}_{HO} and its raising and lowering operators as a concrete representation of the abstract quantum harmonic oscillator given by (1.1) on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$. Another representation is in terms of number states: given a separable Hilbert space \mathfrak{H} and an orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n=0}^\infty$, define $ae_n = \sqrt{n}e_{n-1}$

(and extend a linearly), then $a^*e_n = \sqrt{n+1}e_{n+1}$ and $a^*ae_n = ne_n$. Simple calculations show that these operators also satisfy the commutation relations in (1.1) and thus form another representation of the quantum harmonic oscillator.

Yet another representation exists on the Segal-Bargmann space [4, 26, 5], denoted \mathfrak{F} —a Hilbert space of entire functions on \mathbb{C} with measure $d\rho(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi}e^{-z\bar{z}}dA(z)$ where $dA(z)$ is the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} given by $dx \wedge dy$ or $-\frac{1}{4i}z \wedge \bar{z}$. The functions $(e_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ given by $e_n(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}z^n$ form an orthogonal basis for the Segal-Bargmann space. On the Segal-Bargmann space, the complex derivative operator $\mathbf{a}f(z) = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}f(z)$ has adjoint $\mathbf{a}^*f(z) = zf(z)$. It is easy to see that the operators \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{a}^* , and $\mathbf{a}^*\mathbf{a}$ on the Segal-Bargmann space also satisfy the conditions of the oscillator algebra in (1.1), allowing for the application of complex analytic methods to the study of the quantum harmonic oscillator.

The Segal-Bargmann transform is a Lie algebra and Hilbert space isomorphism between the $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ representation of the oscillator algebra and the \mathfrak{F} representation of the oscillator algebra. The Segal-Bargmann transform, denoted \mathcal{B} , is a unitary map from $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ to \mathfrak{F} given by

$$(1.2) \quad \mathcal{B}f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} e^{\sqrt{2}zx} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} f(x) dx$$

with inverse given by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{B}^{-1}f(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2} + \sqrt{2}zx - \frac{x^2}{2}} f(z) d\rho(z, \bar{z})$$

The Hilbert space and Lie algebra isomorphism properties that define the Segal-Bargmann transform can be summarized (for sufficiently nice $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$) by:

$$(1.4) \quad \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\mathcal{B}f(z)|^2 e^{-z\bar{z}} dA(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)|^2 dx$$

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{a}f)(z) = \mathbf{a}\mathcal{B}f(z)$$

$$(1.6) \quad \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{a}^*f)(z) = \mathbf{a}^*\mathcal{B}f(z)$$

$$(1.7) \quad \mathcal{B}(\mathbf{a}^*\mathbf{a}f)(z) = \mathbf{a}^*\mathbf{a}\mathcal{B}f(z)$$

The isomorphisms can be summarized via the following commutative diagrams.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{F} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} & \mathfrak{F} \\ \mathcal{B} \uparrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{B} \\ L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} & L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{F} & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{a}^*} & \mathfrak{F} \\ \mathcal{B} \uparrow & & \uparrow \mathcal{B} \\ L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{a}^*} & L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \end{array}$$

On the $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ representation of the oscillator algebra, $\mathbf{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{d}{dx} + x\right)$ is a lowering operator and similarly $\mathbf{a} = \frac{d}{dz}$ is a lowering operator in the Segal-Bargmann representation. Likewise, $\mathbf{a}^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(-\frac{d}{dx} + x\right)$ is a raising operator on the $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ representation of the oscillator algebra and $\mathbf{a}^* = z$ is a raising operator in the Segal-Bargmann representation. A straightforward induction shows that the Hermite-Gauss functions h_l are mapped to $\frac{1}{\sqrt{l!}}z^l$ under the Segal-Bargmann transform.

In [31], the notion of a coupled supersymmetry (coupled SUSY) was introduced as a generalization of the quantum harmonic oscillator with a built-in supersymmetric nature, informed by supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSY QM) [9, 10]. Whereas SUSY QM only has a Lie superalgebra structure that is not strong enough to fully identify the eigenstructure of the associated

quantum systems, coupled SUSYs have associated to them additional $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ Lie algebra structures which allow for the exact solvability of the eigenstructure.

Recently, there has been a wide range of Segal-Bargmann-like analysis beyond \mathbb{R}^n to Lie groups via heat kernel and geometric quantization techniques [17, 18, 27, 20, 19, 13, 7, 21]. There have also been Segal-Bargmann transform applications to the study of superalgebras and supersymmetric quantum systems [29, 3, 2], as well as analogues developed in the quaternionic and Clifford algebra settings [1, 8, 11, 12, 14, 22].

In this paper, we will present new generalizations of the usual Segal-Bargmann space and the Segal-Bargmann transform that arise from a specific class of coupled SUSYs, leveraging the $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ structure that come with coupled SUSYs.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will briefly discuss the notion of a coupled supersymmetry, particularly focusing on a family of coupled supersymmetries on \mathbb{R} first introduced in [31] of which the quantum harmonic oscillator is a special case. In Section 3, we will develop generalizations of the Segal-Bargmann space on which holomorphic representations of the coupled SUSYs live and remark on the reproducing kernel Hilbert space nature thereof. In Section 4, we will establish generalizations of the Segal-Bargmann transform that act as Lie algebra and Hilbert space isomorphisms between the two representations and discuss the relationships between the generalized Segal-Bargmann spaces. In Section 5, we will discuss the relationship of the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms to short-time transforms and coherent states, particularly the work developed in [6].

2. A CLASS OF COUPLED SUPERSYMMETRIES

For the sake of self-containment, we will restate some of the basics of coupled supersymmetry, including basic results without proof.

Definition 1. Let \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 be Hilbert spaces, $a : \mathfrak{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_2$, and $b : \mathfrak{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_1$ be closed, densely-defined operators such that the domains are such that the proceeding identities are well-defined. We say that the ordered quadruplet $\{a, b, \gamma, \delta\}$ where $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ form a *coupled supersymmetry (coupled SUSY)* if they satisfy the following relations

$$(2.1) \quad a^*a = bb^* + \gamma 1_{\mathfrak{H}_1}$$

$$(2.2) \quad aa^* = b^*b + \delta 1_{\mathfrak{H}_2}.$$

In what follows, we suppress the notation $1_{\mathfrak{H}}$ for brevity as it is implied. The operators a^*a , aa^* , b^*b , and bb^* are called Hamiltonians. A coupled SUSY is *unbroken* if $\ker(a)$ and $\ker(b)$ are both nontrivial, *partially unbroken* if exactly one of $\ker(a)$ and $\ker(b)$ is nontrivial, and *broken* if $\ker(a)$ and $\ker(b)$ are both trivial.

Remark. Note that taking $b = a$ and $-\gamma = 1 = \delta$ in a coupled SUSY gives the Heisenberg-Weyl commutation relations so that the abstract quantum harmonic oscillator is indeed a special case of a coupled SUSY.

In many cases, the coupled SUSY operators (a, b, a^*, b^*) map a Hilbert space back to itself, but distinguishing the domain and codomain and allowing for the two to be the same makes the diagram chasing in what follows simpler. In traditional SUSY terms, \mathfrak{H}_1 represents the Hilbert space for the first (bosonic) sector, whereas \mathfrak{H}_2 represents the Hilbert space for the second (fermionic) sector [9]. Another reason to think of these as separate spaces is that even if the Hilbert spaces containing the domain and range are the same, the kinds of functions these operators can act on within each can be very different, e.g. due to perhaps singular behavior of the operators as we will see the family considered herein.

Coupled SUSYs have an $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ Lie algebra structure generated by the raising and lowering operators a^*b^* (or b^*a^*) and ba (or ab) and the coupled SUSY Hamiltonians a^*a and bb^* (or aa^*)

and b^*b):

$$(2.3) \quad [a^*a, a^*b^*] = (\delta - \gamma)a^*b^*$$

$$(2.4) \quad [a^*a, ba] = -(\delta - \gamma)ba$$

$$(2.5) \quad [a^*b^*, ba] = -2(\delta - \gamma)\left(a^*a - \frac{\gamma}{2}\right)$$

The Lie structure determines the eigenstructure of coupled SUSYs. In an unbroken coupled SUSY the eigenvalue structure is uniquely determined as $\ker(a)$ and $\ker(b)$ being nontrivial force zero points in the spectra of a^*a and b^*b . In (partially) broken coupled SUSYs, the spectra will exhibit an overall shift. In an unbroken coupled SUSY, the eigenvalues of a^*a are given by $m(\delta - \gamma)$ and $m(\delta - \gamma) + \delta$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We also have the following identities which will be useful in later analysis.

Proposition 1. Given a coupled SUSY formed from $\{a, b, \gamma, \delta\}$, the following identities hold

$$(2.6) \quad (ba)^l (a^*b^*)^l = \prod_{k=1}^l (a^*a + (k-1)\delta - k\gamma)(a^*a + k\delta - k\gamma),$$

$$(2.7) \quad (ab)^l (b^*a^*)^l = \prod_{k=1}^l (aa^* + (k-1)\delta - (k-1)\gamma)(aa^* + (k-1)\delta - k\gamma).$$

Proof. We will prove (2.6) inductively with base case $l = 1$. The proof for (2.7) is very similar.

$$(2.8) \quad (ba)(a^*b^*) = b(b^*b + \delta)b^*$$

$$(2.9) \quad = (bb^*)(bb^* + \delta)$$

$$(2.10) \quad = (a^*a - \gamma)(a^*a + \delta - \gamma)$$

which agrees with (2.6) when $l = 1$. Suppose it is true then for some $l \geq 1$. We will show it is true for $l + 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} (ba)^{l+1} (a^*b^*)^{l+1} &= ba(ba)^l (a^*b^*)^l (a^*b^*) \\ &= ba \left(\prod_{k=1}^l (a^*a + (k-1)\delta - k\gamma)(a^*a + k\delta - k\gamma) \right) a^*b^* \\ &= baa^* \left(\prod_{k=1}^l (aa^* + (k-1)\delta - k\gamma)(aa^* + k\delta - k\gamma) \right) b^* \\ &= b(b^*b + \delta) \left(\prod_{k=1}^l (b^*b + k\delta - k\gamma)(b^*b + (k+1)\delta - k\gamma) \right) b^* \\ &= bb^*(bb^* + \delta) \prod_{k=1}^l (bb^* + k\delta - k\gamma)(bb^* + (k+1)\delta - k\gamma) \\ &= (a^*a - \gamma)(a^*a + \delta - \gamma) \prod_{k=1}^l (a^*a + k\delta - (k+1)\gamma)(a^*a + (k+1)\delta - (k+1)\gamma) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{l+1} (a^*a + (k-1)\delta - k\gamma)(a^*a + k\delta - k\gamma) \end{aligned}$$

And thus it is true in general. \square

In this paper, we will be concerned with a family of coupled SUSYs indexed by $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\gamma = -1$ and $\delta = 2n - 1$, i.e.

$$(2.11) \quad a^*a = bb^* - 1$$

$$(2.12) \quad aa^* = b^*b + 2n - 1.$$

We will suppress the explicit dependence on n of the operators a , a^* , b , and b^* throughout for ease of notation.

Definition 2. Define the operators a and b on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ by

$$(2.13) \quad a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dx} + x^n \right), \quad b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + x^n \right)$$

These operators were introduced in [31]. a can be formally defined on those $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ such that $x^n f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ and $\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, similar for b . Examples of such functions include the compactly supported smooth functions supported away from zero. A straightforward computation shows that on sufficiently nice functions, e.g. the compactly supported smooth functions supported away from zero, the adjoints a^* and b^* can be represented by

$$(2.14) \quad a^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + x^n \right), \quad b^* = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dx} + x^n \right).$$

Note the functional similarity between a^* and b and likewise a and b^* . This plays a crucial role in the analysis that follows.

Remark. Taking $n = 1$ in the above reveals the quantum harmonic oscillator ladder operators. Furthermore, $a = b$ and therefore $a^* = b^*$ so that the coupled SUSY relations (2.1) and (2.2) collapse to the single equation $a^*a = aa^* - 1$ which is exactly the Heisenberg-Weyl commutation for the quantum harmonic oscillator.

In a slight abuse of notation in light of the definition of a coupled SUSY, we will reserve \mathfrak{H}_1 and \mathfrak{H}_2 henceforth to be $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ on which the above representation lives. \mathfrak{H}_1 represents the Hilbert space corresponding to the eigenfunctions of a^*a (or equivalently bb^*), whereas \mathfrak{H}_2 represents the Hilbert space corresponding to the eigenfunctions of aa^* (or equivalently b^*b). Define the L^2 -normalized functions $\psi_0 \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ and $\tilde{\psi}_0 \in \mathfrak{H}_2$ by

$$(2.15) \quad \psi_0(x) = \frac{n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4n}}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}} e^{-\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}}, \quad \tilde{\psi}_0(x) = \frac{n^{\frac{1}{4n}}}{\sqrt{\Gamma(1 - \frac{1}{2n})}} x^{n-1} e^{-\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}}$$

then a simple computation shows that

$$(2.16) \quad a\psi_0 = 0, \quad b\tilde{\psi}_0 = 0$$

That is to say that a annihilates ψ_0 and b annihilates $\tilde{\psi}_0$. Thus $a^*a\psi_0 = 0$; similarly, $b^*b\tilde{\psi}_0 = 0$ and so $aa^*\tilde{\psi}_0 = 2n - 1$ by the coupled SUSY relations.

Remark. When $n = 1$, $\psi_0(x) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} = \tilde{\psi}_0(x)$, giving the usual quantum harmonic oscillator ground state.

Further (non-normalized) $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ eigenfunctions of a^*a can be generated by repeatedly applying a^*b^* to ψ_0 . Similarly, further (non-normalized) $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ eigenfunctions of aa^* can be generated by repeatedly applying b^*a^* to $\tilde{\psi}_0$. By way of the SUSY structure, these eigenfunctions can be mapped to eigenfunctions of the partner Hamiltonian by applying a and a^* (or b and b^*) accordingly. By reasoning presented in [31], these are all of the L^2 eigenfunctions of the coupled SUSY Hamiltonians. The ladder structure is summarized in the diagrams below. Note that the notation differs slightly from [31] for ease of discussion.



FIGURE 1. The actions of a , b , a^* , and b^* in a coupled SUSY.

FIGURE 2. The raising operator structure for the first sector in a coupled SUSY.




FIGURE 3. The lowering operator structure for the first sector in a coupled SUSY.

A simple inductive argument shows that the eigenfunctions of a^*a generated in this way have Rodrigues formulae resembling that for the Hermite-Gauss functions given by

$$(2.17) \quad (a^*b^*)^l e^{-\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}} = \frac{1}{2^l} e^{\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{2n-2}} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l e^{-\frac{x^{2n}}{n}}$$

$$(2.18) \quad (a^*b^*)^l \left(2x^{2n-1} e^{-\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}} \right) = \frac{1}{2^l} e^{\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{2n-2}} \frac{d}{dx} \right)^l \left(2x^{2n-1} e^{-\frac{x^{2n}}{n}} \right).$$

Similar formulae exist for the eigenfunctions of aa^* .

3. A HOLOMORPHIC REPRESENTATION

The following relations hold for the complex variable $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and holomorphic derivative $\frac{d}{dz}$ as operators:

$$(3.1) \quad (z^n) \left(\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} \right) = \left(\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \right) (z^n) - 1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} \right) (z^n) = (z^n) \left(\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \right) + 2n - 1,$$

mimicking the coupled SUSY relations in (2.1) and (2.2). In order for z^n , $\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz}$, and $\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}}$ to possibly form a coupled SUSY on (a subspace of) the entire functions, we need that $\text{ran} \left(\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} \right) \subseteq \text{dom} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \right)$ and $\text{ran} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \right) \subseteq \text{dom} \left(\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} \right)$.

$\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz}$ is well-defined as an operator from entire functions to entire functions on the space spanned by $\{1, z^n, z^{n+1}, \dots\}$ with range spanned by $\{1, z, z^2, \dots\}$, and similarly $\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}}$ is well-defined on $\{z^{n-1}, z^n, z^{n+1}, \dots\}$ with range $\{1, z, z^2, \dots\}$.

In order for the coupled SUSY domain and range conditions to hold, we must restrict the domains for $\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz}$ and $\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}}$. Define $\text{dom} \left(\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} \right)$ to be those entire functions spanned by $\{1, z^{2n-1}, z^{2n}, z^{4n-1}, z^{4n}, \dots\}$ and $\text{dom} \left(\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \right)$ to be those entire functions spanned by $\{z^{n-1}, z^n, z^{3n-1}, z^{3n}, \dots\}$. It is easy to see that these are the maximal subspaces for which the coupled SUSY domain and range conditions hold.

To this end, we define the following not-yet-closed spaces of entire functions:

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{O}_1(\mathbb{C}) = \text{span}\{1, z^{2n-1}, z^{2n}, z^{4n-1}, z^{4n}, \dots\}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{C}) = \text{span}\{z^{n-1}, z^n, z^{3n-1}, z^{3n}, \dots\}$$

Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be not-yet-defined weights on $\mathcal{O}_1(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{C})$, respectively, defining inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$, respectively, by

$$(3.5) \quad \langle f_1, g_1 \rangle_1 = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_1(z) \overline{g_1(z)} \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) dA(z)$$

$$(3.6) \quad \langle f_2, g_2 \rangle_2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_2(z) \overline{g_2(z)} \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) dA(z).$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_1$ denote the norm resulting from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ and Let $\|\cdot\|_2$ denote the norm resulting from $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2$. Much like in [4], ρ_1 and ρ_2 will be defined so that the adjoints of $\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz}$ and $\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}}$ are both z^n , agreeing with the desired coupled SUSY structure.

If we wish to realize $\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz}$ and $\frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}}$ as a representation of the coupled SUSY given in (3.3) and (3.4), then the following relations must hold for $f_1, g_1 \in \mathcal{O}_1(\mathbb{C})$ and $f_2, g_2 \in \mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{C})$:

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} f_1(z) \overline{g_2(z)} \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_1(z) \overline{z^n g_2(z)} \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) dA(z)$$

$$(3.8) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} f_2(z) \overline{g_1(z)} \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_2(z) \overline{z^n g_1(z)} \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) dA(z)$$

Integrating by parts in (3.7) and (3.8) and assuming the boundary terms go to zero gives

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_1(z) \overline{g_2(z)} \bar{z}^n \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_1(z) \overline{g_2(z)} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) \right) dA(z),$$

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_2(z) \overline{g_1(z)} \bar{z}^n \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) dA(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_2(z) \overline{g_1(z)} \left(-\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) \right) dA(z).$$

It is easy to see that the following relationships for ρ_1 and ρ_2 guarantee that the above hold:

$$(3.11) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}^n \rho_1(z, \bar{z})$$

$$(3.12) \quad -\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}^n \rho_2(z, \bar{z}).$$

Decoupling these equations leads to the following partial differential equations for ρ_1 and ρ_2 :

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z^{2n-2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}^{2n} \rho_1(z, \bar{z})$$

$$(3.14) \quad \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) = \bar{z}^{2n} \rho_2(z, \bar{z}).$$

We define ρ_1 and ρ_2 to be

$$(3.15) \quad \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{2}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \pi \Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})} (z\bar{z})^{n-\frac{1}{2}} K_{1-\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{(z\bar{z})^n}{n} \right)$$

$$(3.16) \quad \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{2}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \pi \Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})} (z\bar{z})^{n-\frac{1}{2}} K_{\frac{1}{2n}} \left(\frac{(z\bar{z})^n}{n} \right),$$

where K_ν is the modified Bessel function of the second kind given by

$$(3.17) \quad K_\nu(z) = \frac{\pi}{2 \sin(\nu\pi)} (I_{-\nu}(z) - I_\nu(z)),$$

and I_ν is the modified Bessel function of the first kind given by

$$(3.18) \quad I_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(l+\nu+1)l!} \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2l}.$$

From these representations in terms of the modified Bessel function K_ν , we can show that ρ_1 and ρ_2 solve the above differential equations and that ρ_1 and ρ_2 are indeed non-negative. The modified Bessel function K_ν has the following integral representation:

$$(3.19) \quad K_\nu(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x \cosh(t)} \cosh(\nu t) dt, \quad |\text{ph}(x)| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

For $x = z\bar{z}$, where $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the integrand is positive and thus so is $K_\nu(x)$ and thus so are ρ_1 and ρ_2 , making them admissible weight functions.

Remark. When $n = 1$, ρ_1 and ρ_2 are identical and reduce to a single ρ which is given by

$$(3.20) \quad \rho(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} |z| K_{\frac{1}{2}}(|z|^2).$$

Noting that for $x > 0$, $K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x}$, [23] we obtain

$$(3.21) \quad \rho(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-z\bar{z}} = \frac{1}{\pi} e^{-|z|^2}.$$

This matches the usual Segal-Bargmann space weight function exactly as expected.

The modified Bessel function K_ν has a nice asymptotic expansion as $x \rightarrow \infty$ [23]:

$$(3.22) \quad K_\nu(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2x}} e^{-x} \left(1 + \frac{4\nu^2 - 1}{8x} + O(x^{-2}) \right).$$

Thus, asymptotically, ρ_1 and ρ_2 have the following asymptotic expansions:

$$(3.23) \quad \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) \sim \frac{1}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} |z|^{n-1} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2n}}{n}} (1 + \alpha |z|^{-2n} + O(z^{-4n}))$$

$$(3.24) \quad \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) \sim \frac{1}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} |z|^{n-1} e^{-\frac{|z|^{2n}}{n}} (1 + \alpha |z|^{-2n} + O(z^{-4n})).$$

Therefore the weights ρ_1 and ρ_2 are exponentially decaying, much as in the case of the traditional Segal-Bargmann space, which gives that the monomials z^n have finite norm. Indeed for $n = 1$, the asymptotic expansion is exact and is a Gaussian in $|z|$. This informs the assumption that the boundary terms in the integration by parts in (3.7) and (3.8) vanish.

Much as in the case of the Segal-Bargmann space, the functions z^{2nl} and $z^{2nl+2n-1}$ in $\mathcal{O}_1(\mathbb{C})$ and $z^{2nl+n-1}$ and z^{2nl+n} in $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{C})$ are not normalized. To this end, we define e_l and \tilde{e}_l by

$$(3.25) \quad e_l(z) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}{(2n)^{2l} \Gamma(l + \frac{1}{2n}) l!}} z^{2nl}, & l \text{ even} \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}{(2n)^{2l+2-\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma(l+2-\frac{1}{2n}) l!}} z^{2nl+2n-1}, & l \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.26) \quad \tilde{e}_l(z) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}{(2n)^{2l+1-\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma(l+1-\frac{1}{2n}) l!}} z^{2nl+n-1}, & l \text{ even} \\ \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}{(2n)^{2l+1} \Gamma(l+1+\frac{1}{2n}) l!}} z^{2nl+n}, & l \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

Note that $e_0 \equiv 1$, but $\tilde{e}_0 \neq 1$ in general.

Definition 3. Let \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 be the holomorphic function spaces corresponding to $\mathcal{O}_1(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{O}_2(\mathbb{C})$, respectively, i.e.

$$(3.27) \quad \mathfrak{F}_1 = \left\{ f_1 = \sum_l c_l e_l \mid \sum_l |c_l|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

$$(3.28) \quad \mathfrak{F}_2 = \left\{ f_2 = \sum_l d_l \tilde{e}_l \mid \sum_l |d_l|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

\mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are Hilbert spaces as they are equivalent to an ℓ^2 space. Standard arguments [16] show that point evaluation is a bounded linear functional on \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 which allows norm convergence to pass to uniform convergence on compact sets. As uniform limits of holomorphic functions on compact sets are again holomorphic, we can conclude that \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are comprised of entire functions.

Proposition 2. For $f_1 \in \mathfrak{F}_1$, $\langle f_1, z^k \rangle_1 = 0$ if $k \neq 2nl$ or $k \neq 2nl + 2n - 1$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Similarly, for $f_2 \in \mathfrak{F}_2$, $\langle f_2, z^k \rangle_2 = 0$ if $k \neq 2nl + n - 1$ or $k \neq 2nl + n$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. First note that as ρ_1 and ρ_2 are radial weights, i.e. functions only of $|z|$, and have exponential decay, $\langle z^k, z^{k'} \rangle_1 = 0 = \langle z^k, z^{k'} \rangle_2$ if $k \neq k'$. The result follows by taking weak limits, noting that f_1 is a (possibly infinite) linear combination of z^{2nl} and $z^{2nl+2n-1}$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and f_2 is a (possibly infinite) linear combination of $z^{2nl+n-1}$ and z^{2nl+n} for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$. \square

With the establishment of the holomorphic function spaces \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 , we can define the holomorphic realization of the coupled SUSY operators.

Definition 4. Define the operators $\mathbf{a} : \mathfrak{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ and $\mathbf{b} : \mathfrak{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1$ by

$$(3.29) \quad \mathbf{a}f_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} f_1(z)$$

$$(3.30) \quad \mathbf{b}f_2(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} f_2(z).$$

Since $\mathbf{a} : \mathfrak{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$, $\mathbf{a}^* : \mathfrak{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1$, and similarly since $\mathbf{b} : \mathfrak{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1$, $\mathbf{b}^* : \mathfrak{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$. From previous arguments by way of the constructions of ρ_1 and ρ_2 , we have that

$$(3.31) \quad \mathbf{a}^* g_2(z) = z^n g_2(z)$$

$$(3.32) \quad \mathbf{b}^* g_1(z) = z^n g_1(z).$$

With the adjoints now established, we see that the ordered quadruplet $\{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, -1, 2n - 1\}$ forms a coupled SUSY on the spaces \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 .

In a further parallel with the typical Segal-Bargmann space, the holomorphic function spaces \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces [24], a fact that will prove useful in the next section. We state the formal definition of a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) for self-containment.

Definition 5. Let X be a set and \mathfrak{H} be a vector subspace of the complex-valued functions defined on X with Hilbert space structure. \mathfrak{H} is called a *reproducing kernel Hilbert space* if the evaluation functional is a continuous linear functional on \mathfrak{H} . The function f_x for $x \in X$ such that $\langle h, f_x \rangle = h(x)$ is called the *reproducing kernel*.

Theorem 1. The holomorphic function spaces \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces with the respective reproducing kernels F_w and \tilde{F}_w given by

$$(3.33) \quad F_w(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} e_l(z) \overline{e_l(w)}$$

$$(3.34) \quad \tilde{F}_w(z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \tilde{e}_l(z) \overline{\tilde{e}_l(w)}$$

Proof. Elementary methods show that the series converge for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and thus guarantee the entirety of F_w and \tilde{F}_w . From the definitions of e_l and \tilde{e}_l , it is straightforward to see that F_w and \tilde{F}_w can be represented by hypergeometric functions ${}_0F_1$:

$$(3.35) \quad F_w(z) = {}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2n} - 1; \frac{(z\bar{w})^{2n}}{(2n)^2}\right) + \frac{(z\bar{w})^{2n-1}}{(2n)^{2-\frac{1}{n}}} {}_0F_1\left(1 - \frac{1}{2n}; \frac{(z\bar{w})^{2n}}{(2n)^2}\right),$$

$$(3.36) \quad \tilde{F}_w(z) = \frac{(z\bar{w})^{n-1}}{(2n)^{1-\frac{1}{n}}} {}_0F_1\left(-\frac{1}{2n}; \frac{(z\bar{w})^{2n}}{(2n)^2}\right) + \frac{(z\bar{w})^n}{2n} {}_0F_1\left(\frac{1}{2n}; \frac{(z\bar{w})^{2n}}{(2n)^2}\right).$$

We now show that $F_w \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\tilde{F}_w \in \mathfrak{F}_2$ for fixed $w \in \mathbb{C}$. To this end we compute $\langle F_w, F_w \rangle_1$ and $\langle \tilde{F}_w, \tilde{F}_w \rangle_2$. Noting that $\langle e_l, e_l \rangle_1 = 1 = \langle \tilde{e}_l, \tilde{e}_l \rangle$ for all l ,

$$(3.37) \quad \langle F_w, F_w \rangle_1 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |e_l(w)|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}) |w|^{4nl}}{(2n)^{2l} \Gamma(l + \frac{1}{2n}) l!} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}) |w|^{4nl+4n-2}}{(2n)^{2l+2-\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma(l + 2 - \frac{1}{2n}) l!}$$

$$(3.38) \quad \langle \tilde{F}_w, \tilde{F}_w \rangle_2 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} |\tilde{e}_l(w)|^2 = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}) |w|^{4nl+2n-2}}{(2n)^{2l+1-\frac{1}{n}} \Gamma(l + 1 - \frac{1}{2n}) l!} + \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2n}) |w|^{4nl+2n}}{(2n)^{2l+1} \Gamma(l + 1 + \frac{1}{2n}) l!}.$$

A straightforward analysis shows that both sums converge for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $F_w \in \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\tilde{F}_w \in \mathfrak{F}_2$ for all $w \in \mathbb{C}$.

That F_w and \tilde{F}_w are reproducing kernels follows directly from the fact that $\langle e_l, F_w \rangle_1 = e_l(w)$ and $\langle \tilde{e}_l, \tilde{F}_w \rangle_2 = \tilde{e}_l(w)$, extended linearly to the whole space, noting that limits pass through by virtue of $\|F_w\|_1 < \infty$ and $\|\tilde{F}_w\|_2 < \infty$. \square

Remark. When $n = 1$, F_w and \tilde{F}_w simplify to $e^{z\bar{w}}$, matching the reproducing kernel in the Segal-Bargmann space as expected.

In the next section, we will explore the relationship between the real line realization of the coupled SUSY and the holomorphic realization and develop a generalization of the Segal-Bargmann transform.

4. THE SEGAL-BARGMANN KERNELS AND TRANSFORMS

As the ordered quadruplet $\{a, \hat{b}, -1, 2n - 1\}$ satisfies the same coupled SUSY relations as the ordered quadruplet $\{a, b, -1, 2n - 1\}$, it is natural to find operators—the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms—which make this isomorphism explicit. We would like the Segal-Bargmann transforms to map a to \hat{a} , b to \hat{b} , a^* to \hat{a}^* , and b^* to \hat{b}^* . Let the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms $\mathcal{B}_1 : \mathfrak{H}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 : \mathfrak{H}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_2$ to be the bounded operators defined via the following commutative diagrams.




FIGURE 4. Commutative diagrams which define the Segal-Bargmann transforms \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2

For $f_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_1$, $\mathcal{B}_1 f_1$ is a holomorphic function and is therefore well-defined pointwise, similarly for $f_2 \in \mathfrak{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 f_2$. As the evaluation map $z \mapsto \mathcal{B}_1 f_1(z)$ is bounded by way of Theorem 1, $|\mathcal{B}_1 f_1(z)| \leq C \| \mathcal{B}_1 f_1 \|_1$. Furthermore since \mathcal{B}_1 is assumed to be bounded, $|\mathcal{B}_1 f_1(z)| \leq D \| f_1 \|$ and so by the Riesz representation theorem, there exists a function $A_1(z, \cdot) \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ for each fixed z such that

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{B}_1 f_1(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_1(z, x) f_1(x) dx.$$

Similar is true for $\mathcal{B}_2 f_2$. The commutative diagrams in conjunction with the above representation for \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 can be realized as

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dz} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_1(z, x) f_1(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_2(z, x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dx} + x^n \right) f_1(x) dx$$

$$(4.3) \quad z^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_1(z, x) f_1(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_2(z, x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dx} + x^n \right) f_1(x) dx$$

$$(4.4) \quad z^n \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_2(z, x) f_2(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_1(z, x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + x^n \right) f_2(x) dx$$

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_2(z, x) f_2(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_1(z, x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + x^n \right) f_2(x) dx.$$

Since we wish for these identities to hold for all f_1 and f_2 , applying integrations by parts—assuming sufficiently nice (exponentially decaying) behavior for A_1 and A_2 —that the following relations hold:

$$(4.6) \quad \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} A_1(z, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + x^n \right) A_2(z, x)$$

$$(4.7) \quad z^n A_1(z, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} + x^n \right) A_2(z, x)$$

$$(4.8) \quad z^n A_2(z, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x^n \right) A_1(z, x)$$

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} A_2(z, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x^n \right) A_1(z, x)$$

By taking linear combinations, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + z^n \right) A_1(z, x) = x^n A_2(z, x)$$

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} + z^n \right) A_2(z, x) = x^n A_1(z, x)$$

We now establish basic identities that will aid in the solution of the above differential equations. Note the following identities

$$(4.12) \quad \left(\frac{1}{t^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dt} + t^n \right) e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}} f(t) = e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}} \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} \frac{d}{dt} f(t),$$

$$(4.13) \quad \left(\frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} + t^n \right) e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}} f(t) = e^{-\frac{t^{2n}}{2n}} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t^{n-1}} f(t).$$

Define $B_1(z, x) = e^{\frac{z^{2n}}{2n}} A_1(z, x) e^{\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}}$ and $B_2(z, x) = e^{\frac{z^{2n}}{2n}} A_2(z, x) e^{\frac{x^{2n}}{2n}}$, then making use of the above identities and (4.6)-(4.9), we obtain intertwining relations for B_1 and B_2 :

$$(4.14) \quad \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_1(z, x) = \sqrt{2} z^n B_2(z, x)$$

$$(4.15) \quad \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} B_1(z, x) = \sqrt{2} x^n B_2(z, x)$$

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{1}{x^{n-1}} B_2(z, x) = \sqrt{2} z^n B_1(z, x)$$

$$(4.17) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \frac{1}{z^{n-1}} B_2(z, x) = \sqrt{2} x^n B_1(z, x).$$

These equations are symmetric in x and z and resemble the intertwining relations for ρ_1 and ρ_2 with the primary differences being the appearance of the multiplicative factor of $\sqrt{2}$ and x rather than \bar{z} . Taking cues from the analysis for ρ_1 and ρ_2 , B_1 and B_2 have the following forms

$$(4.18) \quad B_1(z, x) = \alpha \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^l (zx)^{2nl}}{(2n)^{2l} l! \Gamma(l + \frac{1}{2n})} + \beta \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{l+\frac{1}{2}} (zx)^{2nl+2n-1}}{(2n)^{2l+1} l! \Gamma(l + 2 - \frac{1}{2n})},$$

$$(4.19) \quad B_2(z, x) = \beta \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^l (zx)^{2nl+n-1}}{(2n)^{2l} l! \Gamma(l + 1 - \frac{1}{2n})} + \alpha \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^{l+\frac{1}{2}} (zx)^{2nl+n}}{(2n)^{2l+1} l! \Gamma(l + 1 + \frac{1}{2n})},$$

where α and β are to be determined. To uniquely identify α and β , we require that

$$(4.20) \quad e_0(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_1(z, x) \psi_0(x) dx$$

$$(4.21) \quad \tilde{e}_0(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_2(z, x) \tilde{\psi}_0(x) dx$$

Under these assumptions, α and β are given by

$$(4.22) \quad \alpha = n^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4n}} \sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)}$$

$$(4.23) \quad \beta = 2^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2n}} n^{-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4n}} \sqrt{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2n}\right)}.$$

As with F_w and \tilde{F}_w , A_1 and A_2 can be represented by the hypergeometric function ${}_0F_1$.

Remark. When $n = 1$, $\alpha = \pi^{\frac{1}{4}} = \beta$ and \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 simplify to a single integral operator given by

$$(4.24) \quad \mathcal{B}f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-\frac{z^2}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{2}zx} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} f(x) dx$$

which can be recognized as the usual Segal-Bargmann transform.

Theorem 2. The Segal-Bargmann transforms $\mathcal{B}_1 : \mathfrak{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2 : \mathfrak{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{F}_2$ are unitary, i.e. for $f_1 \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ and $f_2 \in \mathfrak{H}_2$,

$$(4.25) \quad \langle \mathcal{B}_1 f_1, \mathcal{B}_1 f_1 \rangle_1 = \langle f_1, f_1 \rangle,$$

$$(4.26) \quad \langle \mathcal{B}_2 f_2, \mathcal{B}_2 f_2 \rangle_2 = \langle f_2, f_2 \rangle.$$

Proof. By construction of A_1 and A_2 ,

$$(4.27) \quad \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0(z) = e_0,$$

$$(4.28) \quad \mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0(z) = \tilde{e}_0$$

By virtue of the normalization of e_0 , \tilde{e}_0 , ψ_0 , and $\tilde{\psi}_0$,

$$(4.29) \quad \langle \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0, \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0 \rangle_1 = 1 = \langle \psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle,$$

$$(4.30) \quad \langle \mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0, \mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0 \rangle_2 = 1 = \langle \tilde{\psi}_0, \tilde{\psi}_0 \rangle.$$

From the intertwining relations defining the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms, we have that

$$(4.31) \quad (\mathbf{b}a)^l (\mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{b}^*)^l \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}_1 (\mathbf{b}a)^l (\mathbf{a}^* \mathbf{b}^*)^l$$

$$(4.32) \quad (\mathbf{a}b)^l (\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{a}^*)^l \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2 (\mathbf{a}b)^l (\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{a}^*)^l$$

As $a\psi_0 = 0$ and $b\tilde{\psi}_0 = 0$, the kernels for a and b are nontrivial. $\mathbf{a}e_0 = 0$ and $\mathbf{b}\tilde{e}_0 = 0$ and so the kernels for \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are also nontrivial. Thus both representations are unbroken. As the coupled

SUSYs share the same δ and γ and are both unbroken, the eigenvalue spectra for their respective Hamiltonians are the same. Noting that (2.6) and (2.7) are comprised only of a^*a (a^*a) and aa^* (aa^*) and choosing $f_1 = \psi_0$ and $f_2 = \tilde{\psi}_0$ which are eigenfunctions of a^*a and aa^* , respectively, we have that

$$(4.33) \quad \langle (ba)^l (a^*b^*)^l \psi_0, \psi_0 \rangle = \prod_{k=1}^l 2nk(2nk - 2n + 1) = \langle (\mathbf{b}a)^l (a^* \mathbf{b}^*)^l \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0, \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0 \rangle_1,$$

and thus

$$(4.34) \quad \langle (a^*b^*)^l \psi_0, (a^*b^*)^l \psi_0 \rangle = \langle (a^* \mathbf{b}^*)^l \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0, (a^* \mathbf{b}^*)^l \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0 \rangle_1.$$

Similar logic shows that

$$(4.35) \quad \langle (b^*a^*)^l \tilde{\psi}_0, (b^*a^*)^l \tilde{\psi}_0 \rangle = \langle (\mathbf{b}^* a^*)^l \mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0, (\mathbf{b}^* a^*)^l \mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0 \rangle_2$$

$$(4.36) \quad \langle b^* (a^*b^*)^l \psi_0, b^* (a^*b^*)^l \psi_0 \rangle = \langle \mathbf{b}^* (a^* \mathbf{b}^*)^l \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0, \mathbf{b}^* (a^* \mathbf{b}^*)^l \mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0 \rangle_2$$

$$(4.37) \quad \langle a^* (b^*a^*)^l \tilde{\psi}_0, a^* (b^*a^*)^l \tilde{\psi}_0 \rangle = \langle a^* (\mathbf{b}^* a^*)^l \mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0, a^* (\mathbf{b}^* a^*)^l \mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0 \rangle_1$$

Thus the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are norm-preserving. It only remains to show surjectivity of each. \mathfrak{F}_1 is generated by z^{2nl} and $z^{2nl+2n-1}$ whereas \mathfrak{F}_2 is generated by $z^{2nl+n-1}$ and z^{2nl+n} for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. These each can be realized (up to a multiplicative factor) from $\mathcal{B}_1 \psi_0$, $\mathcal{B}_1 a^* \tilde{\psi}_0$, $\mathcal{B}_2 \tilde{\psi}_0$, and $\mathcal{B}_2 b^* \psi_0$, respectively. Surjectivity follows from taking linear combinations and limits in norm, aided by the norm-preservation shown above. Thus \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 are unitary. \square

Much like the typical Segal-Bargmann transform, we also have explicit expressions for the inverse coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms.

Corollary. The coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 have inverses $\mathcal{B}_1^{-1} : \mathfrak{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_1$ and $\mathcal{B}_2^{-1} : \mathfrak{F}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{H}_2$, respectively, given by

$$(4.38) \quad \mathcal{B}_1^{-1} f_1(x) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} A_1(\bar{z}, x) f_1(z) \rho_1(z, \bar{z}) dA(z)$$

$$(4.39) \quad \mathcal{B}_2^{-1} f_2(x) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} A_2(\bar{z}, x) f_2(z) \rho_2(z, \bar{z}) dA(z)$$

Proof. The proof follows from a simple application of Fubini-Tonelli, noting the exponential decay of A_1 and A_2 as well as ρ_1 and ρ_2 . \square

Remark. A quick inspection shows that there is a canonical inclusion of the Segal-Bargmann spaces \mathfrak{F}_1 and F_2 into \mathfrak{F} by sending z^k in \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 to z^k in \mathfrak{F} . Moreover, a function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ will in general have distinct holomorphic representations, not in contradiction with the identity theorem as the representations are related by the Segal-Bargmann transforms and are not directly equal to each other.

5. THE CONNECTION TO SHORT-TIME TRANSFORMS AND COHERENT STATES

The usual Segal-Bargmann transform is closely related to the short-time Fourier transform and coherent states. The short-time Fourier transform is a time-frequency representation wherein the frequency content of segment of a function is analyzed [15]. This is achieved by taking the Fourier transform of the function with a moving window. Rigorously,

Definition 6. Given (typically non-negative and L^2 -normalized) $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$, the short-time Fourier transform with window function g is the map $\mathcal{V}_g : L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, dx dy)$ given by

$$(5.1) \quad \mathcal{V}_g f(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-i\omega\tau} g(\tau - t) f(\tau) d\tau.$$

This is a well-defined integral operator as $g_t f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ by Cauchy-Schwartz. That it maps to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ follows from the unitarity of the Fourier transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$.

Coherent states have multiple different definitions that are not in general equivalent [25]. At least three competing definitions exist: a coherent state is an eigenfunction of the lowering operator, coherent states are generated from dilation operators, and coherent states are minimum uncertainty states (for a given pair of non-commuting operators). In some cases, these definitions can coincide, for instance in the oscillator algebra case. For our purposes, we will be concerned with eigenfunctions of a lowering operator.

The connection between the short-time Fourier transform and the Segal-Bargmann transform can be made by letting $z = \frac{t}{\sqrt{2}} - i\sqrt{2}\omega$ and $g(t) = \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{1}{4}}}e^{-\frac{t^2}{2}}$ to get

$$(5.2) \quad \mathcal{V}_g f(\omega, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{t^2}{4} - \omega^2} e^{2i\omega t} \mathcal{B}f(z).$$

The connection between coherent states and the Segal-Bargmann transform is immediate as the Segal-Bargmann kernel is an eigenfunction of the lowering operator.

In [30], the authors defined a short-time analogue for the Φ_n transforms built upon the concept of moving windows. The Φ_n transform of a sufficiently nice function as an integral transform is given by

$$(5.3) \quad \Phi_n f(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_n(xy) f(x) dx,$$

where φ_n is a solution to the differential equation

$$(5.4) \quad -\frac{d}{dx} \frac{1}{x^{2n-2}} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi_n(xy) = y^{2n} \varphi_n(xy)$$

with $\varphi_n(0) = \frac{n}{(2n)^{\frac{1}{2n}} \Gamma(\frac{1}{2n})}$ and $\varphi_n'(0) = -i \frac{n}{(2n)^{2-\frac{1}{2n}} \Gamma(2-\frac{1}{2n})}$. The short-time Φ_n transform of a function f with window g is then defined to be

$$(5.5) \quad \mathcal{V}_g^{(n)}(y, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_n(y(x' - x)) g(x' - x) f(x') dx'.$$

The Φ_n transforms are closely related to the coupled SUSY involving a and b by the following identity:

$$(5.6) \quad \Phi_n ab = -ab\Phi_n.$$

However despite connection to the coupled SUSYs at hand, a simple inspection shows that the short-time Φ_n transforms are distinct from the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms. This is a break from traditional Segal-Bargmann transform theory and is a direct result of the fact that the Φ_n transforms do not play nicely with translations in general—this is a (nearly) uniquely identifying property of the Fourier transform. Thus the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms may be viewed as an altogether new time-frequency representation.

Despite the break from short-time Φ_n transform, the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann kernels can be viewed as coherent states and thus the coupled SUSY Segal-Bargmann transforms can be viewed as coherent state transforms. Rewriting (4.7) and (4.8) in a matricial form, we have that

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} = z^n \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

or by squaring,

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{pmatrix} ba & 0 \\ 0 & ab \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix} = z^{2n} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the combined state $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{pmatrix}$ is an eigenfunction of a lowering operator (for a matricial Hamiltonian in this case) and thus a generalized $SU(1, 1)$ coherent state.

This work is closely related to the coherent states and Segal-Bargmann transform developed in [6]. In there, the Segal-Bargmann transform also takes the form of the hypergeometric function ${}_0F_1$ and the weight functions and reproducing kernels are similar. The Segal-Bargmann space is also spanned by z^l for $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, whereas the Segal-Bargmann spaces developed here are stricter vector subspaces. Therein, limits were taken to obtain the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, imagining the $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ Lie algebra as a q -deformation of the Heisenberg-Weyl algebra, and thus the usual Segal-Bargmann transform is not directly generalized, whereas the quantum harmonic oscillator is baked directly into coupled SUSY and so direct generalizations exist in our case. Furthermore, the representations for the $\mathfrak{su}(1, 1)$ elements were of a relatively complicated form, but their analogues in \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} and their adjoints and products are of a very simple form in \mathfrak{F}_1 and \mathfrak{F}_2 . The work presented herein is also of a supersymmetric flavor which is not present in their analysis.

6. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank Dr. John R. Klauder for the suggestion for this avenue of research and the late Dr. Donald J. Kouri for his mentorship in this project.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Alpay, F. Colombo, I. Sabadini, and G. Salomon. The fock space in the slice hyperholomorphic setting. In S. Bernstein, U. Kähler, I. Sabadini, and F. Sommen, editors, *Hypercomplex Analysis: New Perspectives and Applications*, pages 43–59. Springer International Publishing, 2014.
- [2] S. Barbier and S. Claerebout. A Schrödinger model, Fock model and Intertwining Segal-Bargmann Transform for the Exceptional Lie Superalgebra $D(2, 1; \alpha)$. 4 2021.
- [3] S. Barbier, S. Claerebout, and H. De Bie. A fock model and the segal-bargmann transform for the minimal representation of the orthosymplectic lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(m, 2|2n)$. *Symmetry, Integrability, and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 16, 2020.
- [4] V. Bargmann. On a hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform part i. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14(3):187–214, 1961.
- [5] V. Bargmann. On a hilbert space of analytic functions and an associated integral transform. part ii. a family of related function spaces application to distribution theory. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 20(1):1–101, 1967.
- [6] A. O. Barut and L. Girardello. New “coherent” states associated with non-compact groups. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 21:41–55, 1971.
- [7] Alice Z. Chan. The segal-bargmann transform on classical matrix lie groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 278(9):108430, 2020.
- [8] L. Cnudde and H. De Bie. Slice segal–bargmann transform. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 50(25):255207, jun 2017.
- [9] F. Cooper, Khare A., and U. Sukhatme. Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics. *Physics Reports*, 251(5):267–385, 1995.
- [10] J. David and C. Fernandez. Supersymmetric quantum mechanics. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 1287(1):3–36, 2010.
- [11] A. De Martino and M. Diki. On the quaternionic short-time fourier and segal–bargmann transforms. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 18(3), 2021.
- [12] K. Diki and A. Ghanmi. A quaternionic analogue of the segal–bargmann transform. *Complex Analysis and Operator Theory*, 11(2):457–473, 2017.
- [13] B. K. Driver, B. C. Hall, and T. Kemp. The complex-time segal–bargmann transform. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 278(1):108303, 2020.
- [14] S. Eaknipitsari and W. Lewkeeratiyutkul. Clifford algebra-valued segal–bargmann transform and taylor isomorphism. *Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras*, 31(5), 2021.
- [15] K. Gröchenig. *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis*. Birkhäuser, 2000.
- [16] B. C. Hall. Holomorphic methods in mathematical physics.
- [17] B. C. Hall. The segal-bargmann “coherent state” transform for compact lie groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 122(1):103–151, 1994.

- [18] B. C. Hall. Geometric quantization and the generalized segal-bargmann transform for lie groups of compact type. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 226(2):233–268, 2002.
- [19] J. Hilgert, T. Kobayashi, J. Möllers, and B. Ørsted. Fock model and segal–bargmann transform for minimal representations of hermitian lie groups. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 263(11):3492–3563, 2012.
- [20] J. Hilgert and G. Zhang. Segal–bargmann and weyl transforms on compact lie groups. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 158:285–305, 2009.
- [21] William D. Kirwin, José M. Mourão, and João P. Nunes. Complex time evolution in geometric quantization and generalized coherent state transforms. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 265(8):1460–1493, 2013.
- [22] José Mourão, João P. Nunes, and Tao Qian. Coherent state transforms and the weyl equation in clifford analysis. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 58(1):013503, 2017.
- [23] F. Oliver, D. Lozier, R. Boisvert, and C. Clark. *The NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [24] Vern I. Paulsen and Mrinal Raghupathi. *An Introduction to the Theory of Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2016.
- [25] A. Perelomov. *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.
- [26] I. E. Segal. *Mathematical Problems of Relativistic Physics*. American Mathematical Society, 1963.
- [27] M. B. Stenzel. The segal–bargmann transform on a symmetric space of compact type. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 165(1):44–58, 1999.
- [28] R. F. Streater. The Representations of the Oscillator Group. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 4(3):217 – 236, 1967.
- [29] H. P. Thienel. A Generalization of the Bargmann-Fock Representation to Supersymmetry by Holomorphic Differential Geometry. *J. Phys. A*, 29:6983, 1996.
- [30] C. L. Williams, B. G. Bodmann, and D. J. Kouri. Fourier and beyond: Invariance properties of a family of fourier-like integral transforms. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, page to appear.
- [31] C. L. Williams, N. N. Pandya, B. G. Bodmann, and D. J. Kouri. Coupled supersymmetry and ladder structures beyond the harmonic oscillator. *Molecular Physics*, 116(19-20):2599–2612, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EMBRY-RIDDLE AERONAUTICAL UNIVERSITY, 3700 WILLOW CREEK ROAD, PRESCOTT, AZ 86301