

# Generic modules for the category of filtered by standard modules for a quasi-hereditary algebra

R. Bautista, E. Pérez and L. Salmerón

## Abstract

Here we show that, given a quasi-hereditary finite-dimensional algebra  $\Lambda$  over an algebraically closed field, the category  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  of filtered by standard modules is tame if and only if, for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of generic  $\Lambda$ -modules adapted to  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with endlength  $d$ . We study the relationship between these generic modules and one-parameter families of indecomposables in  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ .

## 1 Introduction

Denote by  $k$  a fixed field and let  $\Lambda$  be a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra. Given a  $\Lambda$ -module  $G$ , recall that by definition the *endlength* of  $G$  is its length as a right  $\text{End}_\Lambda(M)^{op}$ -module. The module  $G$  is called *generic* if it is indecomposable, of infinite length as a  $\Lambda$ -module, but with finite endlength. The algebra  $\Lambda$  is called *generically tame* if, for each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is only a finite number of isoclasses of generic  $\Lambda$ -modules with endlength  $d$ . This notion was introduced by W. W. Crawley-Boevey in [9], where he proved that, in case  $k$  is algebraically closed, the class of generically tame algebras coincides with the class of tame algebras. Recall that an algebra  $\Lambda$ , over an algebraically closed field  $k$ , is tame if the pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable modules in each dimension can be parametrized by a finite number of one-parameter families. Thus, the notion of generic tameness generalizes the notion of tameness for arbitrary fields.

In order to be more precise, we recall some definitions from [1].

**Definition 1.1.** For any  $k$ -algebra  $R$  and any  $R$ -module  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$  we write  $E_M = \text{End}_R(M)^{op}$ . Any  $M \in R\text{-Mod}$  is naturally a right  $E_M$ -module. By definition, the *endlength* of  $M$ , denoted by  $\text{endol}(M)$ , is its length as an  $E_M$ -module. We say that  $M$  is *endofinite* if it has finite endlength. The  $R$ -module

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 16G60, 16G70, 16G20.

*Keywords and phrases*: differential tensor algebras, ditalgebras, quasi-hereditary algebras, tame and wild algebras, reduction functors, generic modules.

$M$  is called *pregeneric* iff it is an endofinite indecomposable with infinite dimension over  $k$ .

If  $R$  is a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra, the notion of pregeneric  $R$ -module coincides with the usual notion of generic  $R$ -module.

**Definition 1.2.** Given a finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $R$  and a family of pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable finitely generated  $R$ -modules  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in [1, n]}$ , we have the following associated categories.

Denote by  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  the full subcategory of  $R\text{-mod}$  with objects the  $R$ -modules  $M$  which admit a filtration

$$0 = M_\ell \subseteq M_{\ell-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M$$

such that  $M_j/M_{j+1}$  is isomorphic to a member of the family  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in [1, n]}$ , for all  $j \in [0, \ell - 1]$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$  the full subcategory of  $R\text{-Mod}$  with objects the  $R$ -modules  $M$  which admit a filtration

$$0 = M_\ell \subseteq M_{\ell-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M$$

such that  $M_j/M_{j+1}$  is isomorphic to a (possibly infinite) direct sum of modules in the family  $\{\Delta_i\}_{i \in [1, n]}$ , for all  $j \in [0, \ell - 1]$ .

The main results of this article belong to the study of the category of filtered by standard modules of hereditary algebras. We recall the definition, see [11].

**Definition 1.3.** A finite-dimensional algebra  $\Lambda$  with  $m$  simple modules (up to isomorphism) is called *quasi-hereditary* if there exist indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -modules  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m$  with the following properties:

1.  $\text{End}_\Lambda(\Delta_i) \cong k$ , for each  $i \in [1, m]$ ;
2.  $\text{Hom}_\Lambda(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) \neq 0$  implies that  $i \leq j$ ;
3.  $\text{Ext}_\Lambda^1(\Delta_i, \Delta_j) \neq 0$  implies that  $i < j$ ;
4.  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ .

Here,  $\Delta := \{\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_m\}$  is called *the set of standard  $\Lambda$ -modules*

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-hereditary algebra over an algebraically closed field and consider the standard  $\Lambda$ -modules  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ . Then,

1. A  $\Lambda$ -module  $G$  is called a *generic module for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$*  iff  $G$  is an endofinite indecomposable module with infinite length as a  $\Lambda$ -module and  $G \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$ .
2. The category  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is called *generically tame* iff, for each  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is only a finite number of non-isomorphic generic modules for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with endlength  $d$ .

The main results of this article are the following two statements.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a quasi-hereditary algebra over an algebraically closed field  $k$  and consider its standard modules  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is not wild and that  $G$  is a generic  $\Lambda$ -module for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ , then following statements hold.*

1. *There is a rational algebra  $\Gamma_G$  and a  $\Lambda$ - $\Gamma_G$ -bimodule  $Z_G$  such that as a right  $\Gamma_G$ -module  $Z_G$  is free of rank equal to the endlength of  $G$ . If  $Q_G$  is the field of fractions of  $\Gamma_G$ , then  $G \cong Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} Q_G$ .*
2. *The functor  $Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} - : \Gamma_G\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$  preserves isoclasses and indecomposability.*
3. *The functor  $Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} - : \Gamma_G\text{-mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  preserves Auslander-Reiten sequences.*

In the following, when we say that almost all objects in a class  $\mathcal{C}$  of modules satisfy some property, we mean that every object in this class has this property, with the exception of those lying in a finite union of isoclasses in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** *Assume that  $\Lambda$  is a quasi-hereditary algebra over an algebraically closed field and consider its standard modules  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ . Then,  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is generically tame iff it is tame.*

*Moreover, if  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is generically tame, for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , almost all indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module  $M \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with  $\dim_k M \leq d$  is of the form  $M \cong Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} N$ , for some generic module  $G$  for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with  $\text{endol}(G) \leq d$  and some indecomposable  $N \in \Gamma_G\text{-mod}$ , with the notation of (1.5).*

The proof of the preceding results rely on the construction of a directed triangular weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta)$  associated to the category  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ , in such a way that  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is generically tame iff  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta)$  is pregenerically tame in the sense of the following definition. The construction of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta)$  is due to Koenig, Külshammer, and Ovsienko, see [12]. A more general version, with the terminology of this paper, can be found in [4]. We will assume some familiarity with the language introduced in the first sections of [3]. So  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  (resp.  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-mod}$ ) denotes the category of modules (resp. finite-dimensional modules) over an interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  and, given  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , we denote by  $\text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)$  its endomorphism algebra in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be an interlaced weak ditalgebra, with layer  $(R, W)$ , see [3](4.1). Given  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , denote by  $E_M := \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op}$  the opposite of its endomorphism algebra. Then,  $M$  admits a structure of  $R$ - $E_M$ -bimodule, where  $m \cdot (f^0, f^1) = f^0(m)$ , for  $m \in M$  and  $(f^0, f^1) \in E_M$ . By definition, the *endlength* of  $M$ , denoted by  $\text{endol}(M)$ , is the length of  $M$  as a right  $E_M$ -module.

A module  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  is called *pregeneric* iff  $M$  is indecomposable, with finite endlength but with infinite dimension over the ground field  $k$ . The interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is called *pregenerically tame* iff, for each natural number  $d$ , there are only finitely many isoclasses of pregeneric  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules of endlength  $d$ .

The preceding notion extends the one introduced in [1]§2 for layered ditalgebras. As remarked there, if  $B$  is any  $k$ -algebra, we have the corresponding regular ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with layer  $(B, 0)$  and we can identify canonically the categories  $\mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$  with  $B\text{-Mod}$ . Thus, if  $B$  is a finite-dimensional algebra, the notions of *pregeneric module* and *pregeneric tameness* for the algebra  $B$  coincide with the usual notions of *generic module* and *generic tameness*.

**Definition 1.8.** An *elementary bigraph*  $\mathbb{B}$  is a triple  $\mathbb{B} = (\mathcal{P}, \mathbb{B}_0, \mathbb{B}_1)$  formed by a finite set  $\mathcal{P} = \{1, \dots, n\}$  of *points*, a finite set  $\mathbb{B}_0$  of *full arrows*, and a finite set  $\mathbb{B}_1$  of *dashed arrows*. Each arrow  $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}_0 \cup \mathbb{B}_1$  has a *starting point*  $s(\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$  and a *terminal point*  $t(\alpha) \in \mathcal{P}$ . An elementary bigraph  $\mathbb{B}$  is called *directed* iff it admits no oriented (non-trivial) cycle (composed by any kind of arrows).

Given an elementary bigraph  $\mathbb{B}$  with  $n$  points, we consider the  $k$ -algebra  $R$  defined as the product  $R := k \times k \times \dots \times k$  of  $n$  copies of the ground field  $k$ . Denote by  $e_i$  the idempotent of  $R$  with 1 in its  $i^{\text{th}}$  coordinate and 0 in the others. Then, we consider the vector space  $W_0$  (resp.  $W_1$ ) as the vector space with basis  $\mathbb{B}_0$  (resp.  $\mathbb{B}_1$ ). Make  $W := W_0 \oplus W_1$ . If we define  $e_j \alpha e_i = \delta_{i, s(\alpha)} \delta_{t(\alpha), j} \alpha$ , we get a natural structure of  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule on the space  $W$ , and  $W = W_0 \oplus W_1$  is an  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule decomposition. Then, we have the graded tensor algebra  $T = T_R(W)$ , with  $[T]_0 = T_R(W_0)$  and  $[T]_1 = [T]_0 W_1 [T]_0$ , which is called *the  $t$ -algebra of the elementary bigraph  $\mathbb{B}$* .

Notice that  $T$  can be identified with the *path algebra*  $k\mathbb{B}$  of the bigraph  $\mathbb{B}$ , with underlying vector space with basis the set of paths (of any kind of arrows) of  $\mathbb{B}$  (including one trivial path for each point  $i \in \mathcal{P}$ ). Each primitive idempotent  $e_i$  of  $R$  is identified with the trivial path at the point  $i$ . The homogeneous elements  $[k\mathbb{B}]_u$  of degree  $u$  are the linear combinations of paths containing exactly  $u$  dashed arrows.

A triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$ , as in [3](5.2), is called *directed* iff the underlying  $t$ -algebra  $T$  of  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  is the tensor algebra of some directed elementary bigraph.

The proof of the main theorem (1.6) relies on the following result.

**Theorem 1.9.** *Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed. Then, every directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is pregenerically tame iff it is tame.*

The proof of this last statement will follow the line of reasoning of [3], adapting the arguments to handle pregeneric modules. This strategy is similar to the one used by Crawley-Boevey to transit from [8] to [9]. In order to present a readable argument for the proof of our main results, we could not avoid to recall many definitions and constructions from [9] and [3].

## 2 Endlength and pregeneric tameness

In the following lemmas, we recall elementary reduction procedures on triangular interlaced weak ditalgebras studied in [3]§7, and we describe their effect on the

endolength. The first four of them correspond to the lemmas (7.2), (7.3), (7.4), and (7.5) of [3]. For the statements on the endolength in all of these cases, see the proof of [1](2.2).

Here, as in [1], given a layered interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  with underlying weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  and layer  $(R, W)$ , we denote by  $A = [T]_0 = T_R(W_0)$  the subalgebra of  $T = T_R(W)$  formed by the degree-zero elements and, by  $V = [T]_1 = AW_1A$  the  $A$ - $A$ -subbimodule of  $T$  formed by the degree-one elements.

**Proposition 2.1** (deletion of idempotents). *Suppose that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$ . Assume that  $e \in R$  is a central idempotent of  $R$ . Consider the canonical projections  $\phi_\bullet : R \rightarrow eRe$ , and  $\phi_r : W_r \rightarrow eW_re$ , for  $r \in [0, 1]$ . Make  $T^d := T_{eRe}(eWe)$ . Thus, we have a morphism of graded  $k$ -algebras  $\phi : T \rightarrow T^d$  and we have the ideal  $I^d = \phi(I)$  of  $A^d$ . Then, there is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d = (\mathcal{A}^d, I^d)$  with layer  $(R^d, W^d)$  where  $R^d = eRe$ ,  $W_0^d = eW_0e$ , and  $W_1^d = eW_1e$ . The morphism  $\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^d$  of interlaced weak ditalgebras induces a full and faithful functor  $F^d := F_\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^d\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  whose image consists of the  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules annihilated by  $1 - e$ . Moreover, the functor  $F^d$  preserves endolength. Therefore,  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d$  is pregenerically tame whenever  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is so.*

We say that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d$  is obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  by deletion of the idempotent  $1 - e$ .

**Proposition 2.2** (regularization). *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with underlying weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  and triangular layer  $(R, W)$ . Assume that we have  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule decompositions  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  and  $W_1 = \delta(W'_0) \oplus W''_1$ , make  $W'' := W''_0 \oplus W''_1$ . Consider the identity map  $\phi_\bullet : R \rightarrow R$ , the canonical projections  $\phi_j : W_j \rightarrow W''_j$ , for  $j \in [0, 1]$ , and the tensor algebra  $T^r = T_R(W'')$ . Then, we have a morphism of graded algebras  $\phi : T \rightarrow T^r$  and the ideal  $I^r = \phi(I)$  of  $A^r$ . Then, there is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^r = (\mathcal{A}^r, I^r)$  with layer  $(R^r, W^r)$ , where  $R^r = R$ ,  $W_0^r = W''_0$ , and  $W_1^r = W''_1$ . The morphism  $\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^r$  of interlaced weak ditalgebras induces a full and faithful functor  $F^r := F_\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^r\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  which preserves endolength. So  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^r$  is pregenerically tame whenever  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is so. Moreover, if  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra, then  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  is isomorphic to an object in the image of  $F^r$  iff  $\text{Ker } \delta \cap W'_0$  annihilates  $M$ . In particular, if this intersection is zero,  $F^r$  is an equivalence of categories.*

**Lemma 2.3** (factoring out a direct summand of  $W_0$ ). *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with underlying weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  and triangular layer  $(R, W)$ . Assume that there is a decomposition of  $R$ - $R$ -bimodules  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$ , such that  $W'_0 \subseteq I$  and  $\delta(W'_0) \subseteq AW'_0V + VW'_0A$ . Make  $T^q = T_R(W^q)$ , where  $W_0^q = W''_0$ ,  $W_1^q = W_1$ , and  $W^q = W_0^q \oplus W_1^q$ . Then, there is a derivation  $\delta^q$  on  $T^q$  such that  $\mathcal{A}^q := (T^q, \delta^q)$  is a weak ditalgebra with triangular layer  $(R, W^q)$ . The  $t$ -algebra  $A^q = T_R(W''_0)$  can be identified with the quotient algebra  $A/I'$ , where  $I' = AW'_0A$  is the ideal of  $A$  generated by  $W'_0$ , so we can consider  $I^q := I/I'$  as an ideal of  $A^q$ . Then  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^q = (\mathcal{A}^q, I^q)$*

is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra, and there is a morphism of interlaced weak ditalgebras  $\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^q$ , with  $\phi(I) = I^q$ , which induces an endlength preserving equivalence of categories  $F^q := F_\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^q\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ . So,  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^q$  is pregenerically tame iff  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is so.

**Lemma 2.4** (absorption). *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with underlying weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  and triangular layer  $(R, W)$ . Assume that there is a decomposition of  $R$ - $R$ -bimodules  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  with  $\delta(W'_0) = 0$ . Then, we can consider another layer  $(R^a, W^a)$  for the same weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , where  $R^a$  is the subalgebra of  $T$  generated by  $R$  and  $W'_0$ , thus we can identify it with  $T_R(W'_0)$ ,  $W_0^a := R^a W''_0 R^a$ , and  $W_1^a := R^a W_1 R^a$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{A}^a$  the same weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  equipped with its new layer  $(R^a, W^a)$ ; in particular, we have  $\delta^a = \delta$ . The layer  $(R^a, W^a)$  is triangular and we obtain a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^a = (\mathcal{A}^a, I^a)$ , with  $I^a = I$ . The identity is a morphism of interlaced weak ditalgebras  $\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^a$ , which induces an endlength preserving equivalence of categories  $F^a := F_\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^a\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , and  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^a$  is pregenerically tame iff  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is so.*

We say that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^a$  is obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  by absorption of the bimodule  $W'_0$ .

In order to fix notation and terminology, we recall from [3](7.6) the following two propositions.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  is a weak ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$  such that there is an  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule decomposition  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  with  $\delta(W'_0) = 0$ . Suppose that  $X$  is an admissible  $B$ -module, where  $B = T_R(W'_0)$ . This means that the algebra  $\Gamma = \text{End}_B(X)^{op}$  admits a splitting  $\Gamma = S \oplus P$ , where  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $\Gamma$ ,  $P$  is an ideal of  $\Gamma$ , the direct sum is an  $S$ - $S$ -bimodule decomposition of  $\Gamma$ , and the right  $S$ -modules  $X$  and  $P$  are finitely generated projective. By  $(x_i, \nu_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $(p_j, \gamma_j)_{j \in J}$  we denote finite dual basis of the right  $S$ -modules  $X$  and  $P$ , respectively.*

*There is a comultiplication  $\mu : P^* \longrightarrow P^* \otimes_S P^*$  induced by the multiplication of  $P$ , which is coassociative (see [5](11.7)). For  $\gamma \in P^*$ , we have*

$$\mu(\gamma) = \sum_{i, j \in J} \gamma(p_i p_j) \gamma_j \otimes \gamma_i.$$

*The action of  $P$  on  $X$  induces (see [5](10–11)) a morphism of  $S$ - $R$ -bimodules  $\lambda : X^* \longrightarrow P^* \otimes_S X^*$  and a morphism of  $R$ - $S$ -bimodules  $\rho : X \longrightarrow X \otimes_S P^*$  with*

$$\lambda(\nu) = \sum_{i \in I, j \in J} \nu(x_i p_j) \gamma_j \otimes \nu_i \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(x) = \sum_{j \in J} x p_j \otimes \gamma_j.$$

*Make  $\underline{W}_0 = B W''_0 B$  and  $\underline{W}_1 = B W_1 B$ . Recall that we can identify  $A = T_R(W_0)$  with  $T_B(\underline{W}_0)$  and  $T$  with  $T_B(\underline{W})$ , where  $\underline{W} = \underline{W}_0 \oplus \underline{W}_1$ , see [5](12.2).*

*We have the  $S$ - $S$ -bimodules*

$$W_0^X = X^* \otimes_B \underline{W}_0 \otimes_B X = X^* \otimes_R W_0'' \otimes_R X$$

$$W_1^X = (X^* \otimes_B \underline{W}_1 \otimes_B X) \oplus P^* = (X^* \otimes_R W_1 \otimes_R X) \oplus P^*.$$

Consider the tensor algebra  $T^X = T_S(W^X)$ , where  $W^X = W_0^X \oplus W_1^X$ . Following [5](12.8), observe that for  $\nu \in X^*$  and  $x \in X$ , there is a linear map

$$\sigma_{\nu,x} : T \longrightarrow T^X$$

such that  $\sigma_{\nu,x}(b) = \nu(bx)$ , for  $b \in B$ , and given  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in \underline{W}$ , we have  $\sigma_{\nu,x}(w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n)$  is given by

$$\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{n-1}} \nu \otimes w_1 \otimes x_{i_1} \otimes \nu_{i_1} \otimes w_2 \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes \nu_{i_2} \otimes w_3 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{n-1}} \otimes \nu_{i_{n-1}} \otimes w_n \otimes x.$$

There is a derivation  $\delta^X$  on  $T^X$  determined by  $\delta^X(\gamma) = \mu(\gamma)$ , for  $\gamma \in P^*$  and

$$\delta^X(\nu \otimes w \otimes x) = \lambda(\nu) \otimes w \otimes x + \sigma_{\nu,x}(\delta(w)) + (-1)^{\deg w + 1} \nu \otimes w \otimes \rho(x),$$

for  $w \in \underline{W}_0 \cup \underline{W}_1$ ,  $\nu \in X^*$ , and  $x \in X$ .

Then,  $\mathcal{A}^X = (T^X, \delta^X)$  is a weak ditalgebra. If the layer  $(R, W)$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is triangular and  $X$  is a triangular admissible  $B$ -module, as in [5](14.6), then the layer  $(S, W^X)$  of  $\mathcal{A}^X$  is also triangular.

**Proposition 2.6** (reduction with an admissible module  $X$ ). *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with underlying weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  and triangular layer  $(R, W)$ . Suppose that there is an  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule decomposition  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  with  $\delta(W'_0) = 0$  and that  $X$  is a triangular admissible  $B$ -module, where  $B = T_R(W'_0)$ . As usual, denote by  $A^X = [T^X]_0$ . Consider the ideal  $I^X$  of  $A^X$  generated by the elements  $\sigma_{\nu,x}(h)$ , for  $\nu \in X^*$ ,  $h \in I$ , and  $x \in X$ . Then,*

1. The pair  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X := (\mathcal{A}^X, I^X)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra;
2. There is a functor  $F^X : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  such that for  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod}$ , the underlying  $B$ -module of  $F^X(M)$  is  $X \otimes_S M$  and

$$a \cdot (x \otimes m) = \sum_i x_i \otimes \sigma_{\nu_i, x}(a)m,$$

for  $a \in A$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $m \in M$ . Moreover, given the morphism  $f = (f^0, f^1) \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X}(M, N)$ , we have  $F^X(f) = (F^X(f)^0, F^X(f)^1)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} F^X(f)^0[x \otimes m] &= x \otimes f^0(m) + \sum_j x p_j \otimes f^1(\gamma_j)[m] \\ F^X(f)^1(v)[x \otimes m] &= \sum_i x_i \otimes f^1(\sigma_{\nu_i, x}(v))[m] \end{aligned}$$

for  $v \in V$ ,  $x \in X$ , and  $m \in M$ .

3. For any  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  which is isomorphic as a  $B$ -module to some  $B$ -module of the form  $X \otimes_S M$ , for some  $M \in S\text{-Mod}$ , we have  $N \cong F^X(\overline{M})$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , for some  $\overline{M} \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod}$ .

We also need to recall from [3] the following additional condition on the admissible  $B$ -module  $X$  which guarantees that  $F^X$  is full and faithful.

**Remark 2.7.** Assume that  $B = T_R(W'_0)$  is a tensor algebra and let  $X$  be an admissible  $B$ -module. Thus, we have a splitting  $\Gamma = \text{End}_B(X)^{op} = S \oplus P$ , as in (2.5). We have the ditalgebra  $(B, 0)$ , with trivial differential, and the ditalgebra  $(B, 0)^X = (T_S(P^*), \delta)$ , where  $\delta$  is the differential determined by the comultiplication  $\mu : P^* \longrightarrow P^* \otimes_S P^*$ . Recall from [5], that the admissible  $B$ -module  $X$  is called *complete* if the functor

$$F^X : (B, 0)^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow B\text{-Mod}$$

is full and faithful. From (17.4), (17.5), and (17.11) of [5], we know that we obtain complete admissible  $B$ -modules  $X$  in the following cases:

1.  $X$  is a finite direct sum of non-isomorphic finite-dimensional indecomposables in  $B\text{-mod}$ ;
2.  $X$  is the  $B$ -module obtained from the regular  $S$ -module  $S$  by restriction through a given epimorphism of  $k$ -algebras  $\phi : B \longrightarrow S$ ;
3.  $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ , where  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are complete triangular admissible  $B$ -modules such that  $\text{Hom}_B(\mathcal{I}_{X_i}, \mathcal{I}_{X_j}) = 0$ , for  $i \neq j$ , and  $\mathcal{I}_{X_i}$  denotes the class of  $B$ -modules of the form  $X_i \otimes_S N$ , for some  $N \in S\text{-Mod}$ .

All the complete admissible  $B$ -modules we shall consider in this paper are constructed using 1, 2, and 3.

Again, from [3], we have the following.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Under the assumptions of (2.6), consider the ideal  $I_0 := B \cap I$  of  $B = T_R(W'_0)$ . Then, we can consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{B}, I_0)$ , where  $\mathcal{B} = (B, 0)$ . Then, for any complete admissible  $B$ -module  $X$ , we have a full and faithful functor*

$$F'^X : (\mathcal{B}^X, I_0^X)\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{B}, I_0)\text{-Mod} = (B/I_0)\text{-Mod},$$

and a full and faithful functor  $F^X : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ .

**Definition 2.9.** An interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$  over the field  $k$  is called *wild* iff there is an  $A/I$ - $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -bimodule  $Z$ , which is free of finite rank as a right  $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -module, such that the composition functor

$$k\langle x, y \rangle\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} -} A/I\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{(\mathcal{A}, I)}} (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-Mod}$$

preserves isoclasses of indecomposables. Here  $L_{(\mathcal{A}, I)}$  denotes the canonical embedding functor mapping each morphism  $f^0$  onto  $(f^0, 0)$ . In this case, we say that  $Z$  produces the wildness of  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$ .

We recall from [3](7.13) the following statement.



**Proposition 2.10.** *Assume that a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}^z, I^z)$  is obtained from a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$  by some of the procedures described in this section, that is  $z \in \{d, r, q, a, X\}$ . Then,  $(\mathcal{A}^z, I^z)$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra. The associated full and faithful functor*

$$F^z : (\mathcal{A}^z, I^z)\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-Mod}$$

*preserves isoclasses and indecomposables. Moreover, if  $(\mathcal{A}^z, I^z)$  is wild (with wildness produced by an  $(\mathcal{A}^z/I^z)\text{-}k\langle x, y \rangle$ -bimodule  $Z$ ) then so is  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$  (with wildness produced by the  $(\mathcal{A}/I)\text{-}k\langle x, y \rangle$ -bimodule  $F^z(Z)$ ).*

**Proposition 2.11.** *Any pregenerically tame triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is not wild.*

*Proof.* The proof of [1](2.9) readily adapts to this situation.  $\square$

### 3 Bimodules and reduction functors

We recall some basic notions of [9], adapted to the language used here.

**Definition 3.1.** Given an interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  and a  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , an  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodule is an object  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , together with a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $\alpha_M : E \rightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op}$ . If  $N$  is another  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodule, then

$$\text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E}(M, N) = \{f \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M, N) \mid f\alpha_M(e) = \alpha_N(e)f, \text{ for all } e \in E\}.$$

The category of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodules, where the rule of composition is the same as for  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , is denoted by  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ .

We shall denote  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A}/I$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the tensor algebra generated by the degree zero elements in the underlying tensor algebra of  $\mathcal{A}$ . An  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodule  $M$  is *proper* iff  $\alpha_M$  factors through the canonical embedding map

$$\text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op} \text{ such that } f \mapsto (f, 0).$$

The full subcategory of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  formed by the proper  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodules will be denoted by  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p$ . The canonical embedding functor  $L_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , which maps each  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -morphism  $f$  to  $(f, 0)$ , induces a functor  $L_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}^E : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ . Clearly, the proper  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodules coincide with the images of the  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodules under the faithful functor  $L_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}^E$ , we will identify this class of objects with the  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodules.

The following lemma is shown as in the classical case, see [5](21.3).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that  $E$  is a  $k$ -algebra and  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}}'$  are interlaced weak ditalgebras. Then any functor  $F : \underline{\mathcal{A}}'\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  induces a functor*

$$F_E : \underline{\mathcal{A}}'\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod},$$

which maps any object  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}'-E\text{-Mod}$  onto  $F(M) = F_E(M)$ , where the structure of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E$ -bimodule on  $F(M)$  is given by the composition morphism

$$\alpha_{F(M)} : E \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}'}(M)^{op} \xrightarrow{F} \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(F(M))^{op}.$$

Moreover, if  $F$  is full and faithful, then  $F_E$  is so. In this case, if an  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E$ -bimodule  $M$  is isomorphic as an  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -module to  $F(N)$ , for some  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}'$ -module  $N$ , then  $N$  admits a natural structure of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}'-E$ -bimodule such that  $M \cong F_E(N)$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}$ .

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  be a interlaced weak ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$  and  $E$  any  $k$ -algebra. Given any  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E$ -bimodule  $M$ , the composition of  $\alpha_M : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op}$  with the projection  $\pi_M : \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op} \longrightarrow \text{End}_R(M)^{op}$ , which maps  $(f^0, f^1)$  onto  $f^0$ , determines a structure of  $R$ - $E$ -bimodule on  $M$ . We will denote by  $\ell_E(M)$  the length of this right  $E$ -module.

The full subcategory of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}$  formed by the finite  $E$ -length bimodules is denoted by  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-mod}$  and its intersection with  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}_p$  by  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-mod}_p$ .

Suppose that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}'$  are layered interlaced weak ditalgebras and  $E$  is a  $k$ -algebra. Then we say that a functor  $F_E : \underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}'-E\text{-Mod}$  is *length controlling* iff  $\ell_E(M)$  finite implies  $\ell_E(F(M))$  finite, and, furthermore,  $\ell_E(M) \leq \ell_E(F(M))$ , for all  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}$ . If  $\ell_E(M) = \ell_E(F(M))$ , for all  $M$ , then  $F$  is called *length preserving*.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}'$  be a morphism of triangular interlaced weak ditalgebras and  $F_\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}}'\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  the associated restriction functor. Then, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , the induced functor  $F_\phi^E : \underline{\mathcal{A}}'-E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}$  is length preserving and it sends proper bimodules to proper bimodules.

*Proof.* The proof of [5](21.6) immediately adapts to this situation.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra, that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d$  is obtained by deletion of an idempotent  $e$ , and let  $F^d : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^d\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  be the associated functor, as in (2.1). Then, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have:

1.  $F_E^d : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^d-E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}$  is a length preserving functor. It induces an equivalence from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d-E\text{-Mod}$  to the full subcategory of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}$  formed by the bimodules  $M$  with  $eM = 0$ .
2.  $F_E^d$  restricts to an equivalence from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d-E\text{-Mod}_p$  to the full subcategory of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}_p$  formed by the proper bimodules  $M$  satisfying  $eM = 0$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (3.4), because  $F^d = F_\phi$ , where  $\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^d$  is the morphism constructed in (2.1).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with triangular layer  $(R, W)$ . Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^q$  is obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  factoring out a direct summand of  $W_0$ , as in (2.3), and consider the associated functor  $F^q : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^q\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ . Then, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have:

1.  $F_E^q : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^q-E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}-E\text{-Mod}$  is a length preserving equivalence.

2. The functor  $F_E^q$  restricts to an equivalence  $F_E^q : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^q\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p$ .

*Proof.* It follows from (3.4), because  $F^q = F_\phi$ , where  $\phi : \underline{\mathcal{A}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^q$  is the morphism constructed in (2.3).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra and  $X$  a complete triangular admissible  $B$ -module, where  $B = T_R(W'_0)$  as in (2.6). Consider the weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X$  and the associated functor  $F^X : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ . Assume that for  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  there is an  $S$ -module  $N$  such that  $X \otimes_S N \cong M$  in  $B\text{-Mod}$ . Then, there is  $\overline{N} \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod}$  with  $F^X(\overline{N}) \cong M$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ .*

*Moreover, given a  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , if  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p$ ,  $N \in S\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , and  $X \otimes_S N \cong M$  in  $B\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , then  $\overline{N}$  admits a natural structure of proper  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-}E$ -bimodule with underlying  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X$ -module  $N$  and such that  $F_E^X(\overline{N}) \cong M$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to the proof of [5](25.5), now using (2.6)(3).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$ . Under the assumptions of (2.6), consider the ideal  $I_0 := B \cap I$  of  $B = T_R(W'_0)$ . Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X$  is the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  by reduction, using a complete triangular admissible  $B$ -module  $X$ , thus  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X$  has layer  $(S, W^X)$ , where  $\Gamma = \text{End}_B(X)^{op} = S \oplus P$ . Assume that  $S$  is a minimal algebra, as defined in (3.9). Consider the associated full and faithful functor  $F^X : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , as in [5](12.10). Denote by  $\mu(X)$  the number of generators in a set of generators of the right  $S$ -module  $X$  with minimal cardinality. Then, the following holds.*

1. *For all  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod}$ , we have that  $\text{endol}(F^X(N)) \leq \mu(X) \times \text{endol}(N)$ . Then  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X$  is pregenerically tame, whenever  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is so.*
2. *For any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , the associated functor  $F_E^X : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  is length controlling, full and faithful. For any  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , the inequality  $\ell_E(F_E^X(N)) \leq \mu(X) \times \ell_E(N)$  holds.*

*Proof.* The argument is essentially the same as [2](4.7), an adaptation of the proof of [5](25.7), we sketch it here.

We first prove the second item. Take an  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-}E$ -bimodule  $N$ , with bimodule structure  $\alpha_N : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X}(N)^{op}$ , so the  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E$ -bimodule  $F_X(N)$ , has bimodule structure  $\alpha_{F^X(N)} : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(F^X(N))^{op}$ , as in (3.2).

As in the proof of [5](25.7), it can be shown that  $\ell_E(X \otimes_S N)$  coincides with the length of the right  $E$ -module  $X \otimes_S N$ , where  $E$  acts as usual on the right tensor factor  $N$ . Since  $S$  is a minimal algebra, from this it follows that  $\ell_E(N) \leq \ell_E(F_E^X(N))$ , see the argument in the proof of [5](25.7).

Moreover, from an epimorphism  $S^{\mu(X)} \longrightarrow X$  of right  $S$ -modules, we obtain an epimorphism of right  $E$ -modules  $S^{\mu(X)} \otimes_S N \longrightarrow X \otimes_S N$ . Therefore, we obtain  $\ell_E(F^X(N)) = \ell_E(X \otimes_S N) \leq \ell_E(N^{\mu(X)}) = \mu(X) \times \ell_E(N)$ , as wanted.

For the first item, given  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod}$ , make  $E := \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X}(N)^{op}$ . Then, we have the  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-}E$ -bimodule  $N$ , with bimodule structure  $\alpha_N : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}^X}(N)^{op}$  given by the identity map.

Since  $F^X$  is full and faithful, we have the isomorphism of algebras  $E \cong \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(F^X(N))^{op}$  induced by  $F^X$ . It provides, by restriction, a structure of right  $E$ -module on  $F_X(N)$ . Clearly,  $\text{endol}(N) = \ell_E(N)$  and  $\text{endol}(F^X(N)) = \ell_E(F^X(N))$ . So, the formula in 1 follows from 2.

Finally, if we assume that  $\underline{A}^X$  is not pregenerically tame, we have an infinite family of pairwise non-isomorphic pregeneric  $\underline{A}^X$ -modules with bounded endolength. Then, applying the full and faithful functor  $F^X$  to them, we obtain an infinite family of pairwise non-isomorphic pregeneric  $\underline{A}$ -modules with bounded endolength. Hence, the interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A}$  is not pregenerically tame.  $\square$

**Definition 3.9.** Recall that a *minimal algebra*  $R$  is a finite product of algebras  $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ , where each  $R_i$  is either a rational  $k$ -algebra or is isomorphic to the field  $k$ . Assume  $\mathcal{A}$  is a triangular weak ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$ , where  $R$  is a minimal algebra. Then, a *source point*  $i_0$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a centrally primitive idempotent  $e_{i_0}$  of  $R$  such that  $e_{i_0}W = 0$  and  $Re_{i_0} = ke_{i_0}$ .

The following special type of weak ditalgebra, introduced in [3], plays a relevant role in the construction of the reduction functors used in the proof of our main results.

**Definition 3.10.** A *stellar weak ditalgebra*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a weak ditalgebra with triangular layer  $(R, W)$ , with  $R$  a minimal algebra, such that there is a source point  $i_0$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $W_0 = W_0e_{i_0}$ . So, we can consider the points  $i_1, \dots, i_s$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $e_{i_1}W_0e_{i_0} \neq 0, \dots, e_{i_s}W_0e_{i_0} \neq 0$ . The algebra  $A'$  generated by  $R' = \prod_{j=0}^s Re_{i_j}$  and  $W'_0 = \sum_{j=1}^s e_{i_j}W_0e_{i_0}$  will be called the *star* of  $\mathcal{A}$ . The points  $e_{i_0}, \dots, e_{i_s}$  are called the *points of the star*, and  $e_{i_0}$  is its *center*.

Notice that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a stellar weak ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$ , then we have  $A = R \oplus W_0$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** Let  $\underline{A} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a stellar triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with triangular layer  $(R, W)$ . Moreover, assume that  $I \subseteq W_0$  and take any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there is a stellar triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A}^Y = (\mathcal{A}^Y, I^Y)$  with triangular layer  $(S, W^Y)$ , such that  $I^Y$  is a direct summand of  $W_0^Y$  as an  $S$ - $S$ -bimodule. Let  $F^Y : \underline{A}^Y\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  be the associated functor constructed in [3](8.4) and let  $E$  be any  $k$ -algebra. Then the following holds.

1. The functor  $F_E^Y : \underline{A}^Y\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  induces a length controlling equivalence to a full subcategory of  $\underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  which contains all the  $\underline{A}\text{-E}$ -bimodules with length  $\leq d$ .
2. If  $E$  is a division  $k$ -algebra,  $F_E^Y$  induces an equivalence from  $\underline{A}^Y\text{-Mod}_p$  to a full subcategory of  $\underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  which contains all the proper  $\underline{A}\text{-E}$ -bimodules with length  $\leq d$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the functor  $F^Y : \underline{A}^Y\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  constructed in [3](8.4) and adopt the notation of its proof. Thus,  $R = \prod_{i \in [0, n]} R_i e_i$ ,  $[0, n] = J \uplus J'$ , where  $Re_i = ke_i$ , for  $j \in J$ , and  $Re_j = k[x]_{g_j(x)}e_j$ , for  $j \in J'$ ;

$e = \sum_{i \in J} e_i$  and  $e' = \sum_{i \in J'} e_i$ . Moreover,  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^Y$  is stellar triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra, and we have the algebra  $C = \prod_{j \in J'} e_j R_j / \langle h_j(x)^d \rangle$ , which admits only a finite number of isoclasses of indecomposable finite-dimensional  $C$ -modules represented by the  $C$ -modules  $Z_1, \dots, Z_u$ . The polynomial  $h_j(x) \in k[x]$  is fixed for each  $j \in J'$ . Consider  $Z := \bigoplus_{s=1}^u Z_s$  and the  $R$ -module

$$Y = Z \oplus \left[ \bigoplus_{j \in J'} e_j (R_j)_{h_j(x)} \right] \oplus Re.$$

Then, we have the splitting  $\text{End}_R(Y)^{op} = S \oplus P$ , where

$$S = \left[ \prod_{s=1}^u k f_s \right] \times \left[ \prod_{j \in J'} e_j (R_j)_{h_j(x)} \right] \times Re,$$

$f_s \in \text{End}_R(Y)^{op}$  is the idempotent corresponding to the indecomposable direct summand  $Z_s$  of  $Y$ , and  $P = \text{radEnd}_R(Z)^{op}$ .

From (3.8), we already know that  $F_E^Y : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^Y\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  is a length controlling, full and faithful functor. Then, its restriction to proper bimodules  $F_E^Y : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^Y\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p$  is full and faithful too.

Let us show that the functor  $F_E^Y$  is dense on a full subcategory of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  which contains all bimodules with length  $\leq d$ . The argument is essentially the same given in [9](2.11) (see also [5](25.9)). We only have to adapt it to the more general case of a simultaneous unravellings at all the points  $e_j$  with  $j \in J'$ , with associated polynomials  $h_j(x)$ , in the general case of triangular interlaced weak ditalgebras. We only give a sketch.

For  $j \in J'$ , make  $H_j := e_j R_j = k[x]_{g_j(x)}$  and consider the prime divisors  $\pi_{j,1}, \dots, \pi_{j,u_j}$  of  $h_j(x)$ . Then, write  $Z_{j,s,a} := H_j / \pi_{j,s}^a$ , for  $s \in [1, u_j]$  and  $a \in [1, d]$ . So  $\{Z_{j,s,a}\}_{s,a}$  is a complete set of representatives of the isoclasses of the indecomposable  $H_j / \langle h_j(x)^d \rangle$ -modules. When we consider the union of all these families of indecomposables, for  $j \in J'$ , we recover the family of indecomposable  $C$ -modules  $Z_1, \dots, Z_u$ . Accordingly, we replace the preceding notation  $f_1, \dots, f_u$  for the idempotents associated to  $Z_1, \dots, Z_u$ , respectively, by the more descriptive one which uses  $f_{j,s,a}$  to denote the idempotent in  $S$  corresponding to the indecomposable  $Z_{j,s,a}$ .

*Proof of (1):* It is enough to see that any  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  with length  $\leq d$  is isomorphic in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  to  $F^Y(N)$ , for some  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^Y\text{-Mod}$ , and then we can apply (3.2). Clearly,  $\ell_E(e_j M) \leq d$ , for all  $j$ . Since  $x \in Re_j$ , we have that multiplication by  $h_j(x)$  on  $e_j M$  is an endomorphism of  $E$ -modules, so by Fitting's Lemma, we have a decomposition of  $E$ -modules  $e_j M = M_{j^*} \oplus M_j$ , which is also a decomposition of  $k[x]$ -modules, where  $h_j(x)$  acts invertibly on  $M_{j^*}$  and  $h_j(x)^d$  annihilates  $M_j$ . So,  $M_j$  is a  $k[x] / \langle h_j(x)^d \rangle$ -module and admits a decomposition of the form  $M_j = \bigoplus_{s=1}^{u_j} \bigoplus_{a=1}^d M_j(s, a)$ , where  $M_j(s, a)$  is isomorphic to a possibly infinite direct sum of copies of  $k[x] / \langle \pi_{j,s}^a \rangle$ . Then, we have  $M_j(s, a) \cong k[x] / \pi_{j,s}^a k[x] \otimes_k V_j(s, a)$ , for an appropriate  $k$ -vector space  $V_j(s, a)$ . Recall that we have isomorphisms of  $k$ -algebras  $H_j / H_j \pi_{j,s}^a \cong k[x] / \pi_{j,s}^a k[x]$ .

Then, given  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , we can consider the  $S$ -module  $N$  defined by the following: For  $j \in J'$ , make  $e_j N := M_{j^*}$  and, for  $s \in [1, u_j]$  and  $a \in [1, d]$ , make

$f_{j,s,a}N := V_j(s,a)$ ; and, for  $j \in J$ , make  $e_jN := e_jM$ . Notice that we have isomorphisms of  $R$ - $E$ -bimodules  $Ye_j \otimes_{Se_j} e_jN \cong (H_j)_{h_j(x)} \otimes_{(H_j)_{h_j(x)}} M_{j*} \cong M_{j*}$ , for  $j \in J'$ ;  $Ye_j \otimes_{Se_j} e_jN \cong Re_j \otimes_{Re_j} e_jM \cong e_jM$ , for  $j \in J$ ; and, finally,  $Yf_{j,s,a} \otimes_{Sf_{j,s,a}} f_{j,s,a}N \cong H_j/\pi_{j,s}^a H_j \otimes_k V_j(s,a) \cong M_j(s,a)$ .

Hence, we have an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \bigoplus_{j \in J'} e_jM \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} e_jM \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J'} [(Y \otimes_S e_jN) \oplus (\bigoplus_{s=1}^{u_j} \bigoplus_{a=1}^d Y \otimes_S f_{j,s,a}N)] \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} e_jN, \end{aligned}$$

that is we have an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules  $Y \otimes_S N \cong M$ , and we can complete the proof of (1), using (3.7).

*Proof of (2):* Assume that  $E$  is a division algebra and proceed as in the first part of the proof of [5](25.9), using Crawley-Boevey's argument of [9](2.11), adapted to the multiple unravelling situation in the context of triangular interlaced weak ditalgebras, using again (3.7).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.12.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a stellar ditalgebra with triangular layer  $(R, W)$  and such that  $W_1$  is freely generated by some finite directed subset. Then, given any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a stellar seminested ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A}^X$ , as in [3](8.6), and a full and faithful functor*

$$F^X : \mathcal{A}^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$$

such that, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have:

1. The functor  $F_E^X : \mathcal{A}^X\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  induces a length controlling equivalence to a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  which contains all the  $\mathcal{A}\text{-}E$ -bimodules with length  $\leq d$ .
2. If  $E$  is a division  $k$ -algebra,  $F_E^X$  induces an equivalence from  $\mathcal{A}^X\text{-}E\text{-Mod}_p$  to a full subcategory of  $\mathcal{A}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  which contains all the proper  $\mathcal{A}\text{-}E$ -bimodules with length  $\leq d$ .

*Proof.* This is similar to the proof of (3.11). We apply the corresponding arguments to the functor  $F^X : \mathcal{A}^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}\text{-Mod}$  constructed in [3](8.7).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a stellar triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with triangular layer  $(R, W)$ , with star center  $e_0 \notin I$ . Let  $E$  be any  $k$ -algebra and take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there is a seminested ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A}'$  and a composition of full and faithful length controlling reduction functors*

$$F_E : (\mathcal{A}', 0)\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$$

such that any  $M \in (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  with length  $\ell_E(M) \leq d$  is of the form  $F_E(N) \cong M$  for some  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}'\text{-Mod}$ . Moreover, if  $E$  is a division algebra and  $M$  is proper, then  $N$  can be taken to be proper.

*Proof.* The idea is to follow the proof of [3](8.9), replacing dimensions by lengths and applying (3.11), (3.6), and (3.12), instead of (8.4), (8.5), and (8.7) of [3], respectively.  $\square$

## 4 Reductions with sources and restrictions

In this section we reconsider reduction procedures and functors described in more specific situations which consider a source  $e_0$  of a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ . We follow the presentation of the statements adding the behavior on bimodules.

**Definition 4.1.** Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$  where  $R$  is a minimal algebra. Assume that  $1 = \sum_{i=0}^n e_i$  is the decomposition of the unit of  $R$  as sum of primitive orthogonal idempotents. Then, the idempotent  $e_0$  is called a *source of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$*  iff  $e_0 W = 0$ ,  $e_0 R = k e_0$ , and  $e_0 \notin I$ .

From now on, the layers  $(R, W)$  of the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebras we consider always have an  $R$  which is a minimal algebra.

**Lemma 4.2** (detachment of a source). *Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source idempotent  $e_0$ . Let  $(R, W)$  be the triangular layer of  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$ . Make  $f := 1 - e_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i$ , then consider the tensor algebra  $T^\ominus := T_R(fWf)$ , which can be considered as a subalgebra of  $T = T_R(W)$  invariant under  $\delta$ . Denote by  $\delta^\ominus$  the restriction of  $\delta$  to  $T^\ominus$ . Then, we obtain a new weak ditalgebra  $\mathcal{A}^\ominus = (T^\ominus, \delta^\ominus)$  with layer  $(R^\ominus, W^\ominus) := (R, fWf)$ , which is also triangular. The inclusion  $fWf \rightarrow W$  determines an embedding of algebras  $T^\ominus \rightarrow T$  and, moreover, an embedding of weak ditalgebras  $\mathcal{A}^\ominus \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ .*

*Notice that  $fTf$  is an algebra with unit  $f$  and we have a surjective morphism of algebras  $\phi : T \rightarrow fTf$  given by  $\phi(t) = ftf$ , for  $t \in T$ . Observe also that  $\phi\delta(t) = \delta^\ominus\phi(t)$ , for  $t \in T$ , and*

$$T^\ominus = k e_0 \times fTf.$$

*The space  $I^\ominus = fIf$  is then an ideal of  $A^\ominus := [T^\ominus]_0$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus = (A^\ominus, I^\ominus)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra. We say that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$  is obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  by detachment of the source  $e_0$ . We have that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra whenever  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is so.*

*Moreover, we have the restriction functor  $\text{Res} : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus\text{-Mod}$  such that  $\text{Res}(M) = fM$  and, for  $h \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M, N)$ , its restriction is defined by  $\text{Res}(h^0, h^1) = (\text{Res}(h^0), \text{Res}(h^1))$ , where  $\text{Res}(h^0) : fM \rightarrow fN$  is the restriction of the map  $h^0$  and  $\text{Res}(h^1) : fVf \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(fM, fN)$  is obtained from the restriction of  $h^1 : V \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ . Then, for each  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have an induced functor*

$$\text{Res}_E : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus\text{-}E\text{-Mod},$$

*such that  $\ell_E(\text{Res}_E(M)) \leq \ell_E(M)$ , for each  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ .*

*Proof.* See [3](9.2) and (3.2). □

Recall the following, from [3](9.3).

**Lemma 4.3.** *Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source idempotent  $e_0$ . Consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$  obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  by detachment of the source  $e_0$ , the interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus d_0}$  obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$  by deletion of the idempotent  $e_0$ , and the interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0}$  obtained directly from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  by deletion of the idempotent  $e_0$ . Then,  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus d_0} = \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0}$ . Moreover, if  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is not wild, neither is  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$ .*

The following series of lemmas show that, given a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  with source  $e_0$ , whenever we can perform a reduction of type  $z \in \{d, r, q, a, X\}$  on  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0}$ , then we can perform corresponding reductions of the same type  $z$  on  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$  and on  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ .

**Lemma 4.4** (deletion of idempotents with source). *Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source idempotent  $e_0$ . Let  $(R, W)$  be the triangular layer of  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$ . Let  $e = e_0 + \sum_{s=1}^t e_{j_s}$  with  $j_s \neq 0$ , for all  $s$ . Consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d$  obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  by deletion of the idempotent  $1 - e$ , as in (2.1).*

*Then,  $e_0$  is a source idempotent in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^d$ , so can also consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus d}$ , obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$  by deletion of the same idempotent  $1 - e$ . Then, there is a canonical isomorphism of interlaced weak ditalgebras  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus d}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d\ominus}$ , which allows us to identify them and, for each  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have the following commutative diagram of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathcal{A}}^d\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^d} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow \text{Res}_E & & \downarrow \text{Res}_E \\ \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d\ominus}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus d}} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus\text{-}E\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$

*Moreover, if  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  is such that  $\text{Res}_E(M) \cong F_E^{\ominus d}(N')$ , for some  $N' \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d\ominus}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , then  $M \cong F_E^d(N)$ , for some  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^d\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from [3](9.4) and (3.2). □

**Lemma 4.5** (regularization with source). *Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source idempotent  $e_0$ . Let  $(R, W)$  be the triangular layer of  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$ . Suppose that we have  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule decompositions  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  and  $W_1 = \delta(W'_0) \oplus W''_1$ . Consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^r$  obtained by regularization of the bimodule  $W'_0$ , as in (2.2).*

*Then,  $e_0$  is a source idempotent in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^r$ . We furthermore assume that  $W'_0 e_0 = 0$ . Thus, if we take  $f = 1 - e_0$ , we get  $fW'_0 f = W'_0$ ,  $fW_0 f = W'_0 \oplus fW''_0 f$ , and  $fW_1 f = \delta(W'_0) \oplus fW''_1 f$ . Then, we can consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus r}$  obtained from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus$  by regularization of the same bimodule  $W'_0$ .*

*There is a canonical isomorphism of interlaced weak ditalgebras  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus r}$  and  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{r\ominus}$ , which allows us to identify them and, for each  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have the following commutative diagram of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathcal{A}}^r\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^r} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow \text{Res}_E & & \downarrow \text{Res}_E \\ \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{r\ominus}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus r}} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus\text{-}E\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$



Moreover, if  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra and  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  is such that  $\text{Res}_E(M) \cong F_E^{\circ r}(N')$ , for some  $N' \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{r\circ}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , then  $M \cong F_E^r(N)$ , for some  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}^r\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from [3](9.5) and (3.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6** (reduction by factoring out a direct summand of  $W_0$  with source). *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source  $e_0$  and let  $(R, W)$  be the triangular layer of  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$ . Make  $f = 1 - e_0$ . Assume that there is a decomposition  $fW_0f = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  as  $fR$ - $fR$ -bimodules such that  $W'_0 \subseteq fIf$  and  $\delta(W'_0) \subseteq A^{\circ}W'_0fVf + fVfW'_0A^{\circ}$ . Thus  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus (W''_0 \oplus W_0e_0)$  is a decomposition of  $R$ - $R$ -bimodules with  $W'_0 \subseteq I$  and  $\delta(W'_0) \subseteq AW'_0V + VW'_0A$ . Then, we can form the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^q$ , determined by this last decomposition, as in (2.3). Then,  $e_0$  is a source idempotent in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^q$  and we can form the interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{q\circ}$ . We can also form the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}$  and then consider the interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ q}$  associated to the decomposition  $fW_0f = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$ . Then, there is a canonical isomorphism of interlaced weak ditalgebras which allows us to identify  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{q\circ}$  with  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ q}$ , and, for each  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have a commutative square of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathcal{A}}^q\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^q} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow \text{Res}_E & & \downarrow \text{Res}_E \\ \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{q\circ}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\circ q}} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* It follows from [3](9.6).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7** (absorption of a loop with source). *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  be a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source  $e_0$ , where  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$  admits the triangular layer  $(R, W)$ , with  $Re_i = ke_i$  for some idempotent  $e_i \neq e_0$ . Make  $f = 1 - e_0$ . Assume that there is a decomposition of  $fR$ - $fR$ -bimodules  $fW_0f = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  with  $W'_0 \cong e_iR \otimes_k Re_i$  and  $\delta(W'_0) = 0$ . Then, we can consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}$  and the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ a}$  obtained by the absorption of the  $R$ - $R$ -subbimodule  $W'_0$ , as in (2.4). We also have the decomposition of  $R$ - $R$ -bimodules  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus (W''_0 \oplus W_0e_0)$ , and we can consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^a$  obtained by absorption of the  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule  $W'_0$ , where  $e_0$  is a source. Consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{a\circ}$ , then  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ a} = \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{a\circ}$  and, for each  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , there is a commutative square of functors*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathcal{A}}^a\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^a} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow \text{Res}_E & & \downarrow \text{Res}_E \\ \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{a\circ}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\circ a}} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$

*Proof.* It follows from [3](9.7).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8** (reduction with an admissible module with source). *Assume that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source idempotent  $e_0$ . Let  $(R, W)$  be the triangular layer of  $\mathcal{A} = (T, \delta)$ . Write  $f = 1 - e_0$  and*

assume that we have a decomposition  $fW_0f = W'_0 \oplus W''_0$  of  $fR$ - $fR$ -bimodules with  $\delta(W'_0) = 0$ . Notice that we have the decomposition of  $R$ - $R$ -bimodules  $W_0 = W'_0 \oplus W''_0 \oplus W_0e_0$ , with  $\delta(W'_0) = 0$ .

Consider the  $k$ -subalgebra  $\underline{B} = T_{fR}(W'_0)$  of  $fTf$  and the  $k$ -subalgebra  $B = T_R(W'_0) = ke_0 \times \underline{B}$  of  $T^\ominus$ . Suppose that  $\underline{X}$  is a complete triangular admissible  $\underline{B}$ -module, thus we have a splitting  $\underline{\Gamma} = \text{End}_{\underline{B}}(\underline{X})^{op} = S \oplus P$ , where  $S$  is a subalgebra of  $\underline{\Gamma}$ ,  $P$  is an ideal of  $\underline{\Gamma}$ , and  $\underline{X}$  and  $P$  are finitely generated projective right  $S$ -modules.

Now, consider the  $B$ -module  $X = ke_0 \oplus \underline{X}$ . Then, we have a splitting  $\Gamma = \text{End}_B(X)^{op} = S^\ominus \oplus P$ , where  $S^\ominus = ke_0 \times S$  is a subalgebra of  $\Gamma$ ,  $P$  is an ideal of  $\Gamma$ , and  $X$  and  $P$  are finitely generated projective right  $S^\ominus$ -modules. Then,  $X$  is a complete triangular admissible  $B$ -module.

Then, we can form the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A}^X$  obtained from  $\underline{A}$  by reduction using the admissible  $B$ -module  $X$ , as in (2.6). Then,  $e_0$  is a source idempotent in  $\underline{A}^X$  and we can form the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A}^{X^\ominus}$ . We can also form the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A}^{\ominus X}$  obtained from  $\underline{A}^\ominus$  by reduction using the same  $B$ -module  $X$ .

Moreover, there is a canonical isomorphism of interlaced weak ditalgebras  $\underline{A}^{\ominus X}$  and  $\underline{A}^{X^\ominus}$ , which allows us to identify them and, for each  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have the following commutative diagram of functors with full and faithful rows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{A}^X\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^X} & \underline{A}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow \text{Res}_E & & \downarrow \text{Res}_E \\ \underline{A}^{X^\ominus}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus X}} & \underline{A}^\ominus\text{-}E\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$

Moreover, if  $M \in \underline{A}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  is such that  $\text{Res}_E(M) \cong F_E^{\ominus X}(N')$ , for some  $N' \in \underline{A}^{X^\ominus}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , then  $M \cong F_E^X(N)$ , for some  $N \in \underline{A}^X\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ .

*Proof.* It follows from [3](9.8) and (3.2).  $\square$

## 5 Reduction to minimal ditalgebras

In this section we show that the study of bimodules with bounded length over any non-wild directed interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A}$ , can be reduced to the study of the bimodules over a minimal ditalgebra obtained from  $\underline{A}$  by a finite number of reductions.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume that  $\underline{A} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source  $e_0$ . Denote by  $\underline{A}^{d_0}$  the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra obtained from  $\underline{A}$  by deletion of the idempotent  $e_0$ . Consider, as before, the idempotent  $f = 1 - e_0$ . Then,*

1. *We have  $\underline{A}^\ominus = \underline{\mathcal{E}} \times \underline{A}^{d_0}$ , with  $\underline{\mathcal{E}} = (\mathcal{E}, 0)$ , where  $\mathcal{E} = (ke_0, 0)$  is the one-point ditalgebra determined by the source  $e_0$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ .*
2. *There is an equivalence of categories  $G : \underline{A}^\ominus\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{E}}\text{-Mod} \times \underline{A}^{d_0}\text{-Mod}$  given by  $G(M) = (e_0M, fM)$  and, for  $g = (g^0, g^1) \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{A}^\ominus}(M, N)$ , we*

have  $G(g) = [(g_0^0, 0), (g_f^0, g_f^1)]$ , where  $g^0 = g_0^0 \oplus g_f^0$  is the canonical decomposition of  $g^0$ , and  $g_f^1 : fVf \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(fM, fN)$  denotes the restriction of the  $A^\ominus$ -bimodule morphism  $g^1 : fVf \rightarrow \text{Hom}_k(M, N)$ .

Let us write  $\mathcal{S} := \underline{\mathcal{E}}\text{-Mod} = \mathcal{E}\text{-Mod} = ke_0\text{-Mod}$  and, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , make  $\mathcal{S}^E := \underline{\mathcal{E}}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} = ke_0\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ . Then,  $G$  induces a length preserving equivalence

$$G_E : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}^E \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}.$$

3. Under the assumptions of (4.8), we have  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{Xd_0} = \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0X}$ . Moreover, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{X\ominus}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & = & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus X}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus X}} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ G_E^X \downarrow & & & & G_E \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}^E \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{Xd_0}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & = & \mathcal{S}^E \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0X}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{1 \times F_E^X} & \mathcal{S}^E \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$

This implies that, given  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , if the functor  $F_E^X$  covers any bimodule  $M$  with  $\ell_E(M) \leq d$ , so does  $F_E^{\ominus X}$ .

4. For  $z \in \{d, r, q, a\}$ , assume that we are respectively under the assumptions of (4.4), (4.5), (4.6), and (4.7). Then, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , we get a commutative diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{z\ominus}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & = & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{\ominus z}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus z}} & \underline{\mathcal{A}}^\ominus\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ G_E^z \downarrow & & & & G_E \downarrow \\ \mathcal{S}^E \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{zd_0}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & = & \mathcal{S}^E \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0z}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{1 \times F_E^z} & \mathcal{S}^E \times \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{d_0}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}. \end{array}$$

This implies that, given  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $F_E^z$  covers any bimodule  $M$  with  $\ell_E(M) \leq d$ , so does  $F_E^{\ominus z}$ .

*Proof.* The equivalence  $G$  is constructed in [3](10.1). It is clear that  $G_E$  is a length preserving functor. The rest of the statements follow from [3](10.1).  $\square$

**Theorem 5.2.** Assume that the ground field  $k$  is algebraically closed. Assume  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra, as in (1.8), where  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is not wild and take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then, there is a finite sequence of reductions

$$\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I) \mapsto (\mathcal{A}^{z_1}, I^{z_1}) \mapsto \dots \mapsto (\mathcal{A}^{z_1 z_2 \dots z_t}, I^{z_1 z_2 \dots z_t})$$

of type  $z_i \in \{a, d, r, q, X\}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}^{z_1 z_2 \dots z_t}$  is a minimal ditalgebra, we have  $I^{z_1 z_2 \dots z_t} = 0$  and, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$  and every  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$ - $E$ -bimodule  $M$  with  $\ell_E(M) \leq d$  has the form  $M \cong F_E^{z_1} \dots F_E^{z_t}(N)$ , for some  $(\mathcal{A}^{z_1 \dots z_t}, I^{z_1 \dots z_t})$ - $E$ -bimodule  $N$ . Moreover, if  $E$  is a division algebra and  $M$  is a proper bimodule, then  $N$  can be chosen to be proper.

*Proof.* The proof adapts the line of reasoning of the proof of [3](10.2), to our situation here: now we consider bimodules and look at the length instead of the dimension of the bimodules. Let  $(R, W)$  be the layer of  $\mathcal{A}$ . By assumption  $R$  is a product of fields. If there is some idempotent  $e_i \in I \cap R$  we can consider the triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}^d, I^d)$  obtained by deletion of the idempotent  $e_i$  and, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , the equivalence functor  $F_E^d : (\mathcal{A}^d, I^d)\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  which is length preserving. We have that  $(\mathcal{A}^d, I^d)$  is again a non-wild directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra. Since we have a surjective morphism of algebras  $\phi : A \rightarrow A^d$  and  $\phi(I) = I^d$ , we know that  $I^d$  is a proper ideal of  $A^d$ . Then, by applying this procedure a finite number of times, if necessary, we can assume that no idempotent  $e_i$  belongs to  $I$ . Then, since  $\mathcal{A}$  is directed, we can choose a source point  $e_0$  of  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$ , so  $Re_0 = ke_0$ ,  $e_0W = 0$ , and  $e_0 \notin I$ .

We will proceed by induction on the number of points of the interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$ . At the base of the induction, if the source point  $e_0$  is the unique point of  $\mathcal{A}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  admits no arrows, so  $\mathcal{A}$  is a minimal ditalgebra, and we are done.

Assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , with  $n > 1$ . Suppose that the theorem holds for any non-wild directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}', I')$  with  $m < n$  points, where  $I'$  is a proper ideal of  $A'$ . Suppose that  $(\mathcal{A}, I)$  is a non-wild directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source  $e_0$  and  $n$  points, where  $I$  is a proper ideal of  $A$ , and take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we can consider the non-wild directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}^\ominus, I^\ominus)$ . If we consider the ditalgebra obtained from  $(\mathcal{A}^\ominus, I^\ominus)$  by deletion of the idempotent  $e_0$ , we obtain a directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra  $(\mathcal{A}^{\ominus d_0}, I^{\ominus d_0})$ . From (4.3), we know that  $(\mathcal{A}^{\ominus d_0}, I^{\ominus d_0}) = (\mathcal{A}^{d_0}, I^{d_0})$ . We can apply our induction hypothesis to  $(\mathcal{A}^{d_0}, I^{d_0})$  and to  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  to obtain a finite sequence of reductions

$$(\mathcal{A}^{d_0}, I^{d_0}) \mapsto (\mathcal{A}^{d_0 z_1}, I^{d_0 z_1}) \mapsto \dots \mapsto (\mathcal{A}^{d_0 z_1 z_2 \dots z_t}, I^{d_0 z_1 z_2 \dots z_t})$$

of type  $z_i \in \{a, d, r, q, X\}$  such that  $\mathcal{A}^{d_0 z_1 z_2 \dots z_t}$  is a minimal ditalgebra, we have  $I^{d_0 z_1 z_2 \dots z_t} = 0$  and, for any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , each  $(\mathcal{A}^{d_0}, I^{d_0})\text{-}E\text{-bimodule}$   $M''$  with  $\ell_E(M'') \leq d$  has the form  $M'' \cong F_E^{z_1} \dots F_E^{z_t}(N'')$ , for some  $N'' \in (\mathcal{A}^{d_0 z_1 \dots z_t}, I^{d_0 z_1 \dots z_t})\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ .

Since we have  $I^{d_0 z_1 z_2 \dots z_t} = 0$ , we get  $I^{\ominus z_1 z_2 \dots z_t} = 0$ . From (5.1), we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mathcal{A}^{\ominus z_1 \dots z_t}, I^{\ominus z_1 \dots z_t})\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus z_t}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus z_1}} & (\mathcal{A}^\ominus, I^\ominus)\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\ \downarrow G_E^{z_1 \dots z_t} & & & & \downarrow G_E \\ \mathcal{S}^E \times (\mathcal{A}^{d_0 z_1 \dots z_t}, I^{d_0 z_1 \dots z_t})\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{1 \times F_E^{z_t}} & \dots & \xrightarrow{1 \times F_E^{z_1}} & \mathcal{S}^E \times (\mathcal{A}^{d_0}, I^{d_0})\text{-}E\text{-Mod}, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows correspond to length-preserving equivalences of categories, and the fact that any  $(\mathcal{A}^\ominus, I^\ominus)\text{-}E\text{-bimodule}$   $M'$  with  $\ell_E(M') \leq d$ , has the form  $M' \cong F_E^{\ominus z_1} \dots F_E^{\ominus z_t}(N')$ , for some  $N' \in (\mathcal{A}^{\ominus z_1 \dots z_t}, I^{\ominus z_1 \dots z_t})\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ .

Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}^{z_1 \dots z_t \ominus}, I^{z_1 \dots z_t \ominus}) = (\mathcal{A}^{\ominus z_1 \dots z_t}, I^{\ominus z_1 \dots z_t})$  and, from the lemmas

of §4, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
(\mathcal{A}^{z_1 \cdots z_t}, I^{z_1 \cdots z_t})\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{z_t}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{F_E^{z_1}} & (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \\
\text{Res}_E \downarrow & & & & \text{Res}_E \downarrow \\
(\mathcal{A}^{z_1 \cdots z_t \ominus}, I^{z_1 \cdots z_t \ominus})\text{-}E\text{-Mod} & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus z_t}} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{F_E^{\ominus z_1}} & (\mathcal{A}^\ominus, I^\ominus)\text{-}E\text{-Mod}
\end{array}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}^{z_1 \cdots z_t \ominus}$  is a minimal ditalgebra,  $I^{z_1 \cdots z_t \ominus} = 0$ , and any  $(\mathcal{A}^\ominus, I^\ominus)$ - $E$ -bimodule with  $\ell_E(M') \leq d$  is of the form  $F_E^{\ominus z_1} \cdots F_E^{\ominus z_t}(N') \cong M'$ . If  $M \in (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  and  $\ell_E(M) \leq d$ , then  $\ell_E(\text{Res}_E(M)) \leq d$ , and  $\text{Res}_E(M) \cong F_E^{\ominus z_1} \cdots F_E^{\ominus z_t}(N')$ . Thus, for some  $N \in (\mathcal{A}^{z_1 \cdots z_t}, I^{z_1 \cdots z_t})\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ , we have  $F_E^{z_1} \cdots F_E^{z_t}(N) \cong M$ . Moreover,  $\ell_E(N) \leq d$ . For the sake of simplicity, make  $(\mathcal{A}', I') := (\mathcal{A}^{z_1 \cdots z_t}, I^{z_1 \cdots z_t})$ .

Here, we have that  $\mathcal{A}'^\ominus$  is a minimal ditalgebra,  $I'^\ominus = 0$ , and  $(\mathcal{A}', I')$  is a triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with source  $e_0$ . If  $(R', W')$  denotes the layer of  $\mathcal{A}'$ , say with  $R' = R'_0 \times \cdots \times R'_m$ , and we make  $f = 1 - e_0 = \sum_{j=1}^m e_j \in R'$ , we know that  $\bigoplus_{i,j=1}^m e_j W'_0 e_i = f W'_0 f = 0$ . Thus,  $W'_0 e_0 = W'_0$ , and we know that  $(\mathcal{A}', I')$  is a stellar triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra with star center  $e_0$ . Then, apply (3.13) to  $d$ , to obtain a composition of full and faithful reduction functors

$$(\mathcal{A}'', 0)\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F_E^{y_s}} \cdots \xrightarrow{F_E^{y_1}} (\mathcal{A}', I')\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$$

such that  $\mathcal{A}''$  is a non-wild seminested ditalgebra and any  $N \in (\mathcal{A}', I')\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  with  $\ell_E(N) \leq d$  is of the form  $F_E^{y_1} \cdots F_E^{y_s}(L) \cong N$  for some  $L \in (\mathcal{A}'', 0)\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$ . Moreover,  $\ell_E(L) \leq d$ . Then, from [5](28.22), there is a minimal ditalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and a composition of full and faithful reduction functors  $F_E : \mathcal{B}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}''\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  such that for any  $\mathcal{A}''\text{-}E\text{-bimodule}$   $L$  with  $\ell_E(L) \leq d$ , there is a  $\mathcal{B}\text{-}E\text{-bimodule}$   $H$  such that  $F_E(H) \cong L$ .

Thus, given  $M \in (\mathcal{A}, I)\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  with  $\ell_E(M) \leq d$ , we obtain

$$M \cong F_E^{z_1} \cdots F_E^{z_t} F_E^{y_1} \cdots F_E^{y_s} F_E(H).$$

□

**Definition 5.3.** If  $\mathcal{B}$  is a minimal ditalgebra with layer  $(R, W)$ , we have the decomposition of the unit element of  $R$  as a sum  $1 = \sum_{i=1}^s e_i$  of primitive orthogonal idempotents. If  $Be_i \neq k$ , we denote by  $Q_i$  the field of fractions of the rational  $k$ -algebra  $Be_i$ , considered as a  $B$ -module.

**Theorem 5.4.** Assume that the field  $k$  is algebraically closed and that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a directed triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra, as in (1.8). Suppose that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is not wild and take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the functor  $F : \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  associated to the composition of reductions of (5.2), where  $\mathcal{B}$  is a minimal ditalgebra. Denote by  $B$  the underlying algebra of degree zero elements of  $\mathcal{B}$ . The following holds.

1. The  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-}B\text{-bimodule}$   $\overline{T} := F(B)$  is finitely generated by the right and the composition

$$B\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$$

is naturally isomorphic to  $L_{\underline{A}}(\overline{T} \otimes_B -)$ . So,  $F(N) \cong \overline{T} \otimes_B N$ , for any  $N \in \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$ . If  $N$  is a proper  $\mathcal{B}$ - $E$ -bimodule, for some  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , then we have an isomorphism of proper  $\underline{A}$ - $E$ -bimodules  $F_E(N) \cong \overline{T} \otimes_B (N_E)$ .

2. The  $\underline{A}$ -modules of the form  $G \cong \overline{T} \otimes_B Q_i$  are pregeneric.

3. If  $M$  is an indecomposable  $\underline{A}$ -module with  $\text{endol}(M) \leq d$ , there is an indecomposable  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $N$  with finite endolength such that  $F(N) \cong M$ .

Moreover, if  $M$  is pregeneric, we have  $\text{End}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op} = \overline{Q}_M \oplus \text{radEnd}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op}$ , for some subalgebra  $\overline{Q}_M \cong k(x)$  and the ideal  $\text{radEnd}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op}$  is nilpotent.

4. If  $M$  is pregeneric, the  $B$ -module  $N$  is generic and has a natural structure of  $B$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule. The morphism of algebras  $\mu : k(x) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(\overline{T} \otimes_B N)^{op}$  given by  $\mu(q) = (\text{id}_{\overline{T}} \otimes \mu_q, 0)$  gives  $M$  the structure of a proper  $\underline{A}$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule  $\overline{T} \otimes_B N \cong F(N) \cong M$  such that  $\text{endol}(M) = \dim_{k(x)} M$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from [3](7.12). The proof of (2) is similar to the proof of [9](4.3), because  $F_{k(x)}$  is length controlling.

(3)&(4): Here,  $M$  is an  $\underline{A}$ - $E$ -bimodule with finite length  $\ell_E(M)$ , where  $E = \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op}$  and its bimodule structure map  $\alpha_M : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op}$  is the identity. From (5.2), we know that there is a  $\mathcal{B}$ - $E$ -bimodule  $N$  with finite length  $\ell_E(N)$  such that  $F_E(N) \cong M$ . For the sake of simplicity, we can assume that  $F_E(N) = M$ . The bimodule structure  $\alpha_N : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op}$  of  $N$  is given by the composition

$$E \xrightarrow{\alpha_M} \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op} \xrightarrow{F^{-1}} \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op},$$

so  $\alpha_N$  is an isomorphism.

Since  $E \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op}$  and  $\ell_E(N)$  is finite, the module  $N \in \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$  has finite endolength. By [5](31.6), the module  $N$  over the minimal algebra  $B$  has finite endolength.

If  $M$  is finite-dimensional, so is  $N$ . If  $M$  is infinite-dimensional,  $N$  has the same property, so,  $N$  is a pregeneric  $B$ -module.

For each point  $i$  of  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have that  $Be_i = ke_i$  or  $\Gamma_i = Be_i \neq ke_i$ . In the last case, we have  $Q_i \cong k(x)$  the field of fractions of  $\Gamma_i$ , considered as a  $\Gamma_i$ -module. It is known that the  $B$ -modules  $Q_i$  provide a complete set of representatives of the isomorphism classes of the pregeneric  $B$ -modules, see [5](31.3). So, we can assume that  $N \cong Q_i$ , for some  $i$ . Moreover, by [5](31.6), we have

$$\text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op} = Q_N \oplus \text{radEnd}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op},$$

for some subalgebra  $Q_N$  of  $\text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op}$  such that  $Q_N \cong k(x)$  and the ideal  $\text{radEnd}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op}$  is nilpotent. Moreover,  $N$  is a proper  $\mathcal{B}$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule. Then  $M = F(N)$  is a proper  $\underline{A}$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule and, since  $F : \text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(N)^{op} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op}$  is an isomorphism, we obtain that

$$\text{End}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op} = \overline{Q}_M \oplus \text{radEnd}_{\underline{A}}(M)^{op},$$

where  $\overline{Q}_M := F(Q_N)$  is a subalgebra of  $\text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op}$  such that  $\overline{Q}_M \cong k(x)$  and the ideal  $\text{radEnd}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)^{op}$  is nilpotent. From this fact follows that  $\text{endol}(M) = \dim_{k(x)} M$ .  $\square$

**Proof of (1.9)** We know that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is tame iff it is not wild, by [3](11.4). From (2.11), we know that if  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is pregenerically tame, then it is not wild. So, it remains to show that if  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is not wild, then it is pregenerically tame. So, take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and a pregeneric  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -module  $G$  with  $\text{endol}(G) \leq d$ . Apply (5.4) to  $d$  to obtain a functor  $F : \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , and a generic  $\mathcal{B}$ -bimodule  $G'$  with  $F(G') \cong G$ . From [5](31.3), there are only finitely possible pregeneric  $\mathcal{B}$ -modules  $G'$  up to isomorphism. It follows that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is pregenerically tame.  $\square$

## 6 On the category of modules for a special $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$

In this section, we consider first a *special triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra*  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = (\mathcal{A}, I)$ . That is such that its layer  $(S, W)$  satisfies:  $S$  is a finite product of copies of the field  $k$ ,  $W$  is finite-dimensional and so is  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} = A/I$ , where  $A = T_S(W_0)$ .

It is known that, in this case, the category  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  admits an exact structure  $\mathcal{E}$  where the conflations are the composable pairs of morphisms  $M \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} N$  such that  $gf = 0$  and the sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0$  is exact in  $S\text{-Mod}$ , see [4]§10.

The *right algebra of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$*  is the finite-dimensional  $k$ -algebra  $\Gamma := \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}})^{op}$ . The composition  $\underline{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}})^{op} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}})^{op} = \Gamma$ , is an embedding of  $k$ -algebras, so  $\Gamma$  is naturally an  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -algebra. The functor  $H : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \Gamma\text{-Mod}$ , given by  $H = \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}, -)$  is an exact functor, because  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is  $\mathcal{E}$ -projective, see [6] and [4]§11.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  be a special interlaced weak ditalgebra, as before. Then the functor  $H = \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}, -)$  preserves arbitrary direct sums. More precisely, for any family  $\{M_i\}_{i \in I}$  of  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules there is an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$ -modules*

$$\Theta : \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}, M_i)$$

such that  $f \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \overline{\pi}_i f$ , where  $\overline{\pi}_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow M_i$  denotes the projection on the factor  $M_i$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ . This is a natural isomorphism.

*Proof.* Among other properties, we will verify in a moment that the sum  $\sum_{i \in I} \overline{\pi}_i f$  is finite.

First recall that whenever  $Q$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module over some algebra  $R$ , we have the morphism  $\theta : \text{Hom}_R(Q, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Hom}_R(Q, M_i)$ , such that  $f \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i f$ , where  $\pi_i : \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow M_i$  denotes the projection on the factor  $M_i$ . Indeed, since  $Q$  is finitely generated, the image of each morphism  $f$  lies in a finite subsum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I_f} M_i$  of  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ , the sum  $\sum_i \pi_i f$  is finite, and

we have the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_R(Q, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_R(Q, M_i) \\
\uparrow & & \uparrow \\
\mathrm{Hom}_R(Q, \bigoplus_{i \in I_f} M_i) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \bigoplus_{i \in I_f} \mathrm{Hom}_R(Q, M_i),
\end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows have the same recipe, the right vertical arrow is an inclusion and the first vertical arrow is induced by an inclusion. This implies that the first row is an isomorphism too.

Then, we have isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_S(\underline{A}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}\text{-}\underline{A}}(\underline{V}, \mathrm{Hom}_k(\underline{A}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i)) & & \\
\downarrow \theta_1 \times \theta_{2*} & & \\
\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_S(\underline{A}, M_i) \times \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}\text{-}\underline{A}}(\underline{V}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_k(\underline{A}, M_i)) & & \\
\downarrow id \times \theta_3 & & \\
\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_S(\underline{A}, M_i) \times \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}\text{-}\underline{A}}(\underline{V}, \mathrm{Hom}_k(\underline{A}, M_i)). & & 
\end{array}$$

Let us show that the composition  $\Theta$  these isomorphisms restricts to an isomorphism as in the statement of this lemma. So we take  $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i)$  and let us show that  $\Theta(f) \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}, M_i)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Theta(f) = \Theta(f^0, f^1) &= (\theta_1(f^0), \theta_3(\theta_{2*}(f^1))) \\
&= (\sum_i \pi_i f^0, \sum_{j \in I} p_j \theta_{2*}(f^1)) \\
&= (\sum_i \pi_i f^0, \sum_j p_j \theta_2 f^1),
\end{aligned}$$

where each  $p_j : \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_k(\underline{A}, M_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_k(\underline{A}, M_j)$  is the canonical projection. Thus, for  $v \in \underline{V}$ , we have  $\sum_j \sum_i p_j \pi_i f^1(v) = \sum_i \pi_i f^1(v)$ . Since  $f$  is a morphism in  $\underline{A}\text{-Mod}$ , for  $a, m \in \underline{A}$ , we have

$$af^0(m) = f^0(am) + f^1(\delta(a))[m].$$

Then, we have  $\sum_i \pi_i af^0(m) = \sum_i \pi_i f^0(am) + \sum_i \pi_i f^1(\delta(a))[m]$ . Since each  $\pi_i$  is a morphism of  $\underline{A}$ -modules, we get  $\sum_i a \pi_i f^0(m) = \sum_i \pi_i f^0(am) + \sum_i \pi_i f^1(\delta(a))[m]$  or, equivalently,

$$a\Theta(f)^0[m] = \Theta(f)^0(am) + \Theta(f)^1(\delta(a))[m].$$

So,  $\Theta : \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}, \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}, M_i)$  is a natural isomorphism. Moreover, it is easy to see that indeed we have  $\Theta(f) = \sum_{i \in I} \pi_i f$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *The functor  $H : \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \Gamma\text{-Mod}$  is exact, full and faithful.*



*Proof.* We can adapt the argument of the proof of [5](7.12), using that  $H$  commutes with direct sums by (6.1). We use the commutative square of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(I)}, \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(J)}) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(I)}], F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(J)}]) \\
\parallel & & \downarrow \cong \\
\mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(I)}, \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(J)}) & & \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}]^{(I)}, F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}]^{(J)}) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\prod_I \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}}^{(J)}) & & \prod_I \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}], F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}]^{(J)}) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
\prod_I \prod_J \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}, \underline{\mathcal{A}}) & \xrightarrow{\prod_I \prod_J F^!} & \prod_I \prod_J \mathrm{Hom}_{\Gamma}(F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}], F[\underline{\mathcal{A}}]).
\end{array}$$

□

**Lemma 6.3.** *For each  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\sigma_M : \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} M \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\underline{\mathcal{A}}, L_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(M)).$$

*So the functor  $H$  restricts to an equivalence of exact categories  $H : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ , where  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  denotes the full subcategory of  $\Gamma\text{-Mod}$  of modules induced from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , that is by the class of  $\Gamma$ -modules isomorphic to some  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} N$ , for some  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of [4](11.5) can be adapted to this situation, using again (6.1) and that  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} - : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \Gamma\text{-Mod}$  is exact. See also, [7]§8. □

**Lemma 6.4.** *The category  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  is closed under extensions and under direct summands.*

*Proof.* Consider the exact equivalence  $H : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . In order to show that  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  is closed under extensions, take an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{f} E \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

in  $\Gamma\text{-Mod}$  with  $M, N \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . Then  $M \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} M'$  and  $N \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} N'$ , and we may assume that these isomorphisms are equalities. Consider an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow K' \xrightarrow{s^0} P' \xrightarrow{t^0} N' \longrightarrow 0$$

in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  with  $P'$  projective. It determines a conflation  $K' \xrightarrow{s} P' \xrightarrow{t} N'$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  and, applying  $H$ , we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H(K') \xrightarrow{H(s)} H(P') \xrightarrow{H(t)} H(N') \longrightarrow 0$$

in  $\Gamma\text{-Mod}$  with  $H(P')$  projective. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & H(K') & \xrightarrow{H(s)} & H(P') & \xrightarrow{H(t)} & H(N') & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow h & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{f} & E & \xrightarrow{g} & N & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Now, there is some morphism  $h' : K' \longrightarrow M'$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  with  $H(h') = h$ . Since  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  is an exact category, we have a pushout diagram in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K' & \xrightarrow{s} & P' & \xrightarrow{t} & N' \\ \downarrow h' & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ M' & \xrightarrow{f'} & E' & \xrightarrow{g'} & N'. \end{array}$$

Applying the functor  $H$  to this diagram, we obtain a pushout diagram in  $\Gamma\text{-Mod}$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H(K') & \xrightarrow{H(s)} & H(P') & \xrightarrow{H(t)} & H(N') \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \xrightarrow{H(f')} & H(E') & \xrightarrow{H(g')} & N \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

By the uniqueness of pushouts, we obtain  $E \cong H(E')$  and  $E \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ .

Now, in order to show that  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  is closed under direct summands, take  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . We may assume that  $M = H(M')$ . Take a direct summand  $N$  of  $M$ , consider the inclusion  $i : N \longrightarrow M$ , the projection  $p : M \longrightarrow N$ , and the idempotent  $e = ip : M \longrightarrow M$ , which satisfy  $pi = id_N$ . Then, there is an idempotent  $e' : M' \longrightarrow M'$  in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  with  $F(e') = e$ . Since  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra, idempotents split in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , see [3](5.7), so there is an  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -module  $N'$  and morphisms  $q : M' \longrightarrow N'$  and  $j : N' \longrightarrow M'$ , such that  $jq = e'$  and  $qj = id_{N'}$ , in  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ . The images  $H(q) : M \longrightarrow H(N')$  and  $H(j) : H(N') \longrightarrow M$  satisfy that  $H(j)H(q) = H(e') = e = pi$  and  $H(q)H(j) = id_{H(N')}$ . This implies that  $H(N') \cong N$ , indeed we have the isomorphism  $pH(j) : H(N') \longrightarrow N$  with inverse  $H(q)i : N \longrightarrow H(N')$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.5.** *If  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a special triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra, then it is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra and the category  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-mod}$  has a natural exact structure  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}$ , see [4](10.11). The corresponding exact category  $(\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-mod}, \mathcal{E}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}})$  has almost split conflations.*

*Proof.* The proof of [5](7.18) can be adapted to this situation, using the equivalence  $H : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}$ , where  $\mathcal{I}$  is denotes the full subcategory of  $\Gamma\text{-mod}$  of modules induced from  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-mod}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  be a special triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra, with layer  $(R, W)$ . For any non  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}$ -projective indecomposable object  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-mod}$ , there is an almost split conflation  $N \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow M$ . Thus, the indecomposable object  $N$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. We call  $N$  the translate of  $M$  and denote it by  $\tau(M)$ . Under our assumptions, there is a number  $c_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}$  such that, for any non  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}$ -projective indecomposable  $M \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-mod}$ , the following inequality holds:*

$$\dim_k \tau(M) \leq c_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} \dim_k M.$$

*Proof.* The proof of [5](32.5) can be adapted to this situation.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.7.** *Let  $\underline{A}$  be a non-wild special triangular interlaced weak ditalgebra. Thus,  $(\underline{A}\text{-mod}, \mathcal{E}_{\underline{A}})$  has almost split conflations and a translation  $\tau$ . Then, for every dimension  $d$ , almost all  $d$ -dimensional indecomposable modules  $M \in \underline{A}\text{-mod}$  satisfy  $\tau(M) \cong M$ .*

*Proof.* The proof of [5](32.6) can be adapted to this situation, using (6.6).  $\square$

## 7 Coverage theorems for $\Delta$ -filtered modules

In this section we consider the directed interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A} = \underline{A}(\Delta)$  associated to the quasi-hereditary algebra  $\Lambda$  and its standard modules  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ , see for instance [4](4.20). We also consider the right algebra  $\Gamma$  of  $\underline{A}$ .

**Definition 7.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the right algebra of  $\underline{A}$ . Consider the family of  $\Gamma$ -modules  $\{\Delta'_j = \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} S_j\}_{j \in [1, n]}$ , where  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  is a complete set of representatives of the isoclasses of the simple  $\underline{A}$ -modules. Then, we have the full subcategory  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  of  $\Gamma\text{-Mod}$ , as in (1.2).

**Proposition 7.2.** *With the preceding notation, we have  $\tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ . So, we have an exact equivalence  $H : \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{I}} = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ , see (6.2) and (6.3).*

*Proof.* We show first that any  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{I}}$  admits a filtration

$$0 = M_\ell \subseteq M_{\ell-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M$$

such that  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is isomorphic to a (possibly infinite) direct sum of modules in the family  $\{\Delta'_j = \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} S_j\}_{j \in [1, n]}$ .

For this, we may assume that  $M = \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'$ , for some  $\underline{A}$ -module  $M'$ . Since  $\underline{A}$  is finite-dimensional, we have a filtration of  $M'$  of the form

$$0 = M'_\ell \subseteq M'_{\ell-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M'_1 \subseteq M'_0 = M',$$

with  $M'_i = J^i M'$ , where  $J$  denotes the Jacobson radical of the finite-dimensional algebra  $\underline{A}$ . Since  $\underline{A}/J$  is semisimple, each  $\underline{A}/J$ -module  $L$  is semisimple. So, we have  $L \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i \otimes_k V_i$ , where  $V_1, \dots, V_n$  are vector spaces over the field  $k$  (not necessarily finite-dimensional).

The preceding filtration gives rise to exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M'_\ell & \longrightarrow & M'_{\ell-1} & \longrightarrow & L'_{\ell-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M'_{\ell-1} & \longrightarrow & M'_{\ell-2} & \longrightarrow & L'_{\ell-2} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \dots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M'_1 & \longrightarrow & M'_0 & \longrightarrow & L'_0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

with  $L'_0, \dots, L'_{\ell-1}$  semisimple. Applying the exact functor  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} -$  we obtain exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'_\ell & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'_{\ell-1} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'_{\ell-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'_{\ell-1} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'_{\ell-2} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'_{\ell-2} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \dots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'_1 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'_0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'_0 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Then, the module  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M'_0 = M$  admits a filtration with factors of the form  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L$ , where  $L \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i \otimes_k V_i$  is a semisimple  $\underline{A}$ -module. So, these factors have the form

$$\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} \bigoplus_{i=1}^n S_i \otimes_k V_i \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} S_i \otimes_k V_i = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \Delta'_i \otimes_k V_i.$$

In order to prove the converse, assume that a  $\Gamma$ -module  $M \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  has a filtration as in the beginning of this proof. Since direct sums of  $\Gamma$ -modules of the family  $\{\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} S_i\}_{i \in [1, n]}$  have the form  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'$ , where  $L'$  is a semisimple  $\underline{A}$ -module, we have exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & M_\ell & \longrightarrow & M_{\ell-1} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'_{\ell-1} \longrightarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_{\ell-1} & \longrightarrow & M_{\ell-2} & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'_{\ell-2} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \dots & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M_1 & \longrightarrow & M_0 & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'_0 \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

From the first sequence, we get that  $M_{\ell-1} \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} L'_{\ell-1}$ . From the second one, using that  $\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$  is closed under extensions, by (6.4), we get that  $M_{\ell-2} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$ . Proceeding inductively, in a finite number of steps, we get that  $M = M_0 \in \widetilde{\mathcal{I}}$ .  $\square$

We know that there is a Morita equivalence  $\Omega : \Gamma\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-Mod}$  such that  $\Omega(\Delta'_i) \cong \Delta_i$ , for  $i \in [1, n]$ , see [4](12.9). The existence of the interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{A}(\Delta)$  and the equivalence  $\Omega$  constructed in [12] play a crucial role in our arguments.

In [9], the results on generic modules for a finite-dimensional algebra  $\Lambda$  are obtained from the corresponding objects of  $\mathcal{D}\text{-Mod}$ , where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the Drozd's ditalgebra of  $\Lambda$ . Here, the results on generic modules for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  are obtained from the properties of the corresponding objects of  $\underline{A}(\Delta)\text{-Mod}$ . The passage between both categories is done with the help of the equivalences  $H$  and  $\Omega$ . In the following we study first their effect on endolength and then transfer the results of (5.4) onto  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ .

**Lemma 7.3.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the right algebra of  $\underline{A}$  and consider the exact equivalence  $H : \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ . Then, for any  $N \in \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$ , we have*

$$\text{endol}(H(N)) \leq \dim_k \Gamma \times \text{endol}(N).$$

*For any  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , the functor  $H$  induces a functor  $H_E : \underline{A}\text{-}E\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')\text{-}E$ , where  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')\text{-}E$  denotes the category of  $\Gamma\text{-}E$ -bimodules  $M$  which lie in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  as left  $\Gamma$ -modules. For any  $N \in \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$ , if  $E := \text{End}_\Gamma(H(N))^{op}$  then  $N$  has a natural structure of  $\underline{A}\text{-}E$ -bimodule and the following inequality holds:*

$$\ell_E(H(N)) \leq \dim_k \Gamma \times \ell_E(N).$$

*Proof.* If  $b = \dim_k \Gamma$ , there is an epimorphism  $\underline{A}^b \longrightarrow \Gamma$  of right  $\underline{A}$ -modules. Given  $N \in \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  make  $E := \text{End}_\Gamma(H(N))^{op}$ , we have  $N \in \underline{A}\text{-}E\text{-Mod}$  with

bimodule structure  $\alpha_N := H^{-1} : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(N)^{op}$ . Then, there is an epimorphism  $\underline{A}^b \otimes_{\underline{A}} N \longrightarrow \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} N$  of right  $E$ -modules. Hence,

$$\ell_E(H(N)) = \ell_E(\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} N) \leq b \times \ell_E(N).$$

Since  $H$  is full and faithful, we have the first equality in

$$\text{endol}(H(N)) = \ell_E(H(N)) = \ell_E(\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} N) \leq b \times \ell_E(N) = b \times \text{endol}(N).$$

□

**Lemma 7.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the right algebra of  $\underline{A}$  and consider the exact equivalence  $H : \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ . If  $E$  is any  $k$ -algebra and  $N$  is a proper  $\underline{A}$ - $E$ -bimodule, then the map  $\sigma_N : \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} N \longrightarrow H(N)$  is an isomorphism of right  $E$ -modules, where the compared  $E$ -module structures are given by  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} (N_E)$  and*

$$E \xrightarrow{\alpha_N} \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(N)^{op} \xrightarrow{H} \text{End}_{\Gamma}(H(N))^{op}.$$

As a consequence, we get  $\ell_E(N) \leq \ell_E(H(N))$ .

*Proof.* Once we know that  $H(N) \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} (N_E)$ , we have  $\ell_E(N) \leq \ell_E(H(N))$ . Indeed, we know that  $\underline{A}$  is a direct summand of the right  $\underline{A}$ -module  $\Gamma$ , see for instance the proof of [4](11.10). Hence, we get

$$\ell_E(N) = \ell_E(\underline{A} \otimes_{\underline{A}} N) \leq \ell_E(\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} N) = \ell_E(H(N)).$$

In order to prove the first statement, consider the structure of proper  $\underline{A}$ - $E$ -bimodule  $\alpha_N : E \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(N)^{op}$  of  $N$ , which is such that  $\alpha_N(e) = (\alpha_N(e)^0, 0)$ , for all  $e \in E$ . Recall that, for any  $n \in N$  and  $e \in E$ , we have  $ne = \alpha_N(e)^0[n]$ . The structure map  $\alpha_{H(N)}$  for the  $\Gamma$ - $E$ -bimodule  $H(N)$  is given by the composition

$$E \xrightarrow{\alpha_N} \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(N)^{op} \xrightarrow{H} \text{End}_{\Gamma}(H(N))^{op}.$$

We can transfer the structure of right  $E$ -module of  $H(N)$  onto  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} N$  using the isomorphism  $\sigma_N : \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} N \longrightarrow H(N)$ , by the formula

$$(f \otimes n)e := \sigma_N^{-1}(\sigma_N(f \otimes n)e), \text{ for } f \in \Gamma, n \in N, e \in E,$$

so  $\sigma_N$  becomes an isomorphism of right  $E$ -modules. So, it will be enough to show that  $(f \otimes n)e = f \otimes ne$ , for all  $n \in N$  and  $e \in E$ . Equivalently, that

$$\sigma_N(f \otimes ne) = \sigma_N(f \otimes n)e.$$

With the notation of [4](11.5), we have  $\sigma_N(f \otimes ne) = f_{ne}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_N(f \otimes n)e &= f_n e \\ &= \alpha_{H(N)}(e)(f_n) \\ &= (H \circ \alpha_N)(e)[f_n] \\ &= H(\alpha_N(e))[f_n] = \alpha_N(e) \circ f_n. \end{aligned}$$

So, we need to show that  $f_{ne} = \alpha_N(e) \circ f_n$ . We start by looking at the first components. Since  $N$  is a proper  $\underline{A}$ - $E$ -bimodule, we have  $\underline{A}N_E$  so, for  $a \in \underline{A}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_N(e) \circ f_n)^0(a) &= (\alpha_N(e)^0 \circ f_n^0)(a) \\ &= \alpha_N(e)^0(f_n^0(a)) = (f^0(a)n)e = f^0(a)(ne) = f_{ne}^0(a). \end{aligned}$$

Now, look at the second components and recall that  $\alpha_N(e)^1 = 0$ , because  $N$  is a proper  $\underline{A}$ - $E$ -bimodule. Then, for  $v \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha_N(e) \circ f_n)^1(v)[a] &= \alpha_N(e)^0 f_n^1(v)[a] \\ &= (f_n^1(v)[a])e = (f^1(v)[a]n)e = (f^1(v)[a])(ne) = f_{ne}^1(v)[a]. \end{aligned}$$

So, indeed  $f_{ne} = \alpha_N(e) \circ f_n$ , as we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.5.** *Assume that  $\underline{A}$  is not wild, let  $\Gamma$  be its right algebra, and consider the exact equivalence  $H : \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ . If  $M$  is an indecomposable in  $\underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  with finite endlength, then the  $\Gamma$ -module  $H(M) \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M$  is an indecomposable  $\Gamma$ -module with finite endlength. Moreover, we have*

$$\text{endol}(M) \leq \text{endol}(H(M)).$$

*Proof.* If  $M$  is finite-dimensional, we get  $\text{endol}(M) = \dim_k M = \dim_k \underline{A} \otimes_{\underline{A}} M \leq \dim_k \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M = \dim_k H(M) = \text{endol}(H(M))$ .

If  $M$  is infinite-dimensional, then  $M$  is a pregeneric  $\underline{A}$ -module. From (5.4), it is a proper  $\underline{A}$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule. Then,  $M$  is an  $\underline{A}$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule, that is an  $\underline{A} \otimes_k k(x)$ -module. Then,  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M$  has a structure of a  $\Gamma$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule, that is of a left  $\Gamma \otimes_k k(x)$ -module.

Make  $\underline{A}^{k(x)} := \underline{A} \otimes_k k(x)$  and  $\Gamma^{k(x)} := \Gamma \otimes_k k(x)$ . Notice that there is an isomorphism of  $\Gamma$ - $k(x)$ -bimodules:  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M \cong \Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M$ , through this isomorphism we identify both bimodules.

From (5.4), we know that the  $\underline{A}^{k(x)}$ -module  $M$  is finite-dimensional over  $k(x)$ , so it has finite length and so, it admits a filtration

$$0 = M_l \subseteq M_{l-1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq M_1 \subseteq M_0 = M,$$

where each factor  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is isomorphic to some simple  $\underline{A}^{k(x)}$ -module, so to some  $S_j \otimes_k k(x)$ . Then, we have a filtration of the  $\Gamma^{k(x)}$ -module  $\Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M$  of the form

$$0 = \Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M_l \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M_1 \subseteq \Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M,$$

where the factors have the form

$$\Delta'_j \otimes_k k(x) \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} S_j \otimes_k k(x) \cong \Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} (S_j \otimes_k k(x)).$$

The multiplicity of the simple  $\underline{A}^{k(x)}$ -module  $S_j \otimes_k k(x)$  in the given filtration for  $M$  is  $\dim_{k(x)} e_j M$ , hence the multiplicity of the  $\Gamma^{k(x)}$ -module  $\Delta'_j \otimes_k k(x)$  in the considered tensored filtration for  $\Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M$  is the same number. Hence,

$$\dim_{k(x)} \Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M = \sum_i \dim_{k(x)} e_i M \times \dim_{k(x)} (\Delta'_i \otimes_k k(x)).$$

Therefore,

$$\text{endol}(\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M) = \dim_{k(x)} \Gamma^{k(x)} \otimes_{\underline{A}^{k(x)}} M = \sum_i \dim_{k(x)} e_i M \times \dim_k(\Delta'_i).$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{endol}(M) &= \dim_{k(x)} M = \sum_i \dim_{k(x)} e_i M \\ &\leq \sum_i \dim_{k(x)} e_i M \times \dim_k(\Delta'_i) = \text{endol}(\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} M), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.6.** *Consider the exact equivalence  $H : \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ . Take  $N \in \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  and consider  $M := H(N) \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ . Then, if  $M$  is a generic  $\Gamma$ -module, we have that  $N$  is a pregeneric  $\underline{A}$ -module.*

*Proof.* Make  $E := \text{End}_{\underline{A}}(N)^{op}$ , then  $N$  is an  $\underline{A}$ - $E$ -bimodule and  $M = H(N)$  is a  $\Gamma$ - $E$ -bimodule. The action of  $E$  by the right on  $N$  is given by  $n\phi = \phi^0(n)$ , for  $n \in N$  and  $\phi \in E$ , and the action of  $E$  by the right on  $M$  is given by  $h\phi = H(\phi)[h] = \phi \circ h$ , the composition in  $\underline{A}\text{-Mod}$ , for  $h \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}, N) = H(N) = M$  and  $\phi \in E$ .

Notice that the right  $\text{End}_{\Gamma}(M)^{op}$ -module  $M$  is a right  $E$ -module by restriction using the isomorphism of algebras  $H : E \rightarrow \text{End}_{\Gamma}(M)^{op}$ . This action coincides with the preceding one. We have that  $\ell_E(M) = \text{endol}(M)$ , which is finite by assumption. We will show that  $\ell_E(N)$  is finite too. Since  $\text{endol}(N) = \ell_E(N)$ , we will get from this that  $N$  is a pregeneric  $\underline{A}$ -module, as claimed.

Make  $\mathfrak{r} := \text{rad}E$ . From [10](4.2;4.4), we know that  $E \cong \text{End}_{\Gamma}(M)^{op}$  is a local ring and that  $\mathfrak{r}$  is nilpotent. Thus, for some natural number  $l$ , we have  $\mathfrak{r}^l = 0$ . So, we have a filtration of right  $E$ -modules

$$0 = M_l = M\mathfrak{r}^l \subseteq M_{l-1} = M\mathfrak{r}^{l-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_1 = M\mathfrak{r}^1 \subseteq M_0 = M,$$

and we can consider the division  $k$ -algebra  $D := E/\mathfrak{r}$ . Each  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is a  $D$ -vector space. Since  $\ell_E(M)$  is finite, we know that each  $M_i/M_{i+1}$  is a  $D$ -vector space with finite dimension, say  $d_{i+1}$ . Consider  $d := \max\{d_1, \dots, d_l\}$ .

We also have a filtration of right  $E$ -modules

$$0 = N_l = N\mathfrak{r}^l \subseteq N_{l-1} = N\mathfrak{r}^{l-1} \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_1 = N\mathfrak{r}^1 \subseteq N_0 = N,$$

where each quotient  $N_i/N_{i+1}$  is a  $D$ -vector space. We will show that

$$\dim_D(N_i/N_{i+1}) \leq d, \text{ for each } i.$$

So, we fix  $i \in [1, l]$ . Then,  $N_i/N_{i+1}$  is generated by classes modulo  $N_{i+1}$  of elements of the form  $n\rho$ , with  $n \in N$  and  $\rho \in \mathfrak{r}^i$ . In order to prove our claim, it is enough to show that the classes of any set with  $d+1$  such elements  $n_1\rho_1, \dots, n_{d+1}\rho_{d+1}$  are  $D$ -linearly dependent in  $N_i/N_{i+1}$ .

For each  $j \in [1, d+1]$  and  $n_j$  as before, we have the morphism of  $\underline{A}$ -modules  $h_j^0 : \underline{A} \rightarrow N$  with  $h_j^0(1) = n_j$ , which determines the morphism  $h_j = (h_j^0, 0) \in \text{Hom}_{\underline{A}}(\underline{A}, N) = H(N) = M$ .

Since,  $h_1\rho_1, \dots, h_{d+1}\rho_{d+1} \in M\mathfrak{r}^i = M_i$ , their classes modulo  $M_{i+1}$  are  $D$ -linearly dependent. So, there are elements  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_{d+1} \in E$  such that their classes modulo  $\mathfrak{r}$  are not all zero, and  $g := h_1\rho_1\phi_1 + \dots + h_{d+1}\rho_{d+1}\phi_{d+1} \in M_{i+1} = M\mathfrak{r}^{i+1}$ .

The element  $g \in M\mathfrak{r}^{i+1}$  is a finite sum of elements of the form  $h\zeta$ , where  $h \in M = H(N)$  and  $\zeta = \zeta_1\zeta_2 \dots \zeta_{i+1}$ , where  $\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_{i+1} \in \mathfrak{r}$ .

Then,  $g^0(1)$  is a finite sum of elements of the form  $\zeta_{i+1}^0 \dots \zeta_2^0 \zeta_1^0 h^0(1)$ , which implies that  $g^0(1) \in N\mathfrak{r}^{i+1} = N_{i+1}$ .

But, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} (h_1\rho_1\phi_1 + \dots + h_{d+1}\rho_{d+1}\phi_{d+1})^0(1) &= \phi_1^0\rho_1^0 h_1^0(1) + \dots + \phi_{d+1}^0\rho_{d+1}^0 h_{d+1}^0(1) \\ &= (n_1\rho_1)\phi_1 + \dots + (n_{d+1}\rho_{d+1})\phi_{d+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get  $(n_1\rho_1)\phi_1 + \dots + (n_{d+1}\rho_{d+1})\phi_{d+1} = g^0(1) \in N_{i+1}$ . This implies that  $n_1\rho_1, \dots, n_{d+1}\rho_{d+1}$  are  $D$ -linearly dependent modulo  $N_{i+1}$ , as we wanted to show.  $\square$

**Remark 7.7.** If  $\underline{A}$  is wild, so is  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$  (and so is  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ ). Indeed, a composition functor

$$k\langle x, y \rangle\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} -} \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\underline{A}}} \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$$

which preserves isoclasses and indecomposables as in (2.9) composed with the equivalence  $H : \underline{A}\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Delta')$ , determines a functor

$$HL_{\underline{A}}(Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} -) : k\langle x, y \rangle\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Delta')$$

which preserves isoclasses and indecomposables. Moreover, by (6.3), it is such that  $HL_{\underline{A}}(Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} -) \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} -$ , where  $\Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} Z$  is a finitely generated projective  $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -module. So  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$  is wild. If we compose with the equivalence  $\Omega : \mathcal{F}(\Delta') \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ , we obtain a functor  $\Omega HL_{\underline{A}}(Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} -)$  and a bimodule producing the wildness of  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ .

**Theorem 7.8.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$  is not wild. Then, for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the functor  $F : \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{A}\text{-Mod}$  associated to the composition of reductions of (5.2) and the equivalence  $H : \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ .*

1. *The  $\Gamma$ - $B$ -bimodule  $T' := \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{A}} F(B)$  is finitely generated by the right and the composition*

$$B\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \underline{A}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{H} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$$

*is naturally isomorphic to  $T' \otimes_B -$ .*

*In particular,  $HF(N) \cong T' \otimes_B N$ , for any  $N \in \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$ . If  $N$  is a proper  $\mathcal{B}$ - $E$ -bimodule, for some  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , then we have an isomorphism of proper  $\Gamma$ - $E$ -bimodules  $H_E F_E(N) \cong T' \otimes_B (N_E)$ .*

2. *The  $\Gamma$ -modules of the form  $G \cong T' \otimes_B Q_i$  are generic modules for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$ .*



3. Every indecomposable  $\Gamma$ -module  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  with  $\text{endol}(M) \leq d$  is isomorphic to  $T' \otimes_B N$ , for some indecomposable  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $N$  with finite endolength.

Moreover, if  $M$  is generic, we have  $\text{End}_\Gamma(M)^{op} = Q'_M \oplus \text{radEnd}_\Gamma(M)^{op}$ , for some subalgebra  $Q'_M \cong k(x)$  of  $\text{End}_\Gamma(M)^{op}$ .

4. If  $M$  is generic, the  $B$ -module  $N$  is pregeneric and has a natural structure of  $B$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule. The morphism of algebras  $\mu : k(x) \longrightarrow \text{End}_\Gamma(T' \otimes_B N)^{op}$  given by  $\mu(q) = (id_{T'} \otimes \mu_q, 0)$  gives  $M$  the structure of a proper  $\Gamma$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule  $T' \otimes_B N \cong HF(N) \cong M$  such that  $\text{endol}(M) = \dim_{k(x)} M$ .

*Proof.* (1): From (5.4), we already know that  $B\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_B} \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  is naturally isomorphic to  $L_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\overline{T} \otimes_B -)$ . From (6.3), we know that  $HL_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} -$ . So, applying  $H$  to the first isomorphism, we get a natural isomorphism

$$HFL_B \cong HL_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\overline{T} \otimes_B -) \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(\overline{T} \otimes_B -) = T' \otimes_B -.$$

If  $N$  is a proper  $B$ - $E$ -bimodule, from (5.4), so is  $\overline{T} \otimes_B N$ . Hence, from (7.4), so is  $T' \otimes_B N$ . Moreover,  $H_E F_E(N) \cong T' \otimes_B N$ .

(2): It follows from (5.4) and the fact that  $H$  maps pregeneric  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -modules on generic  $\Gamma$ -modules in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$ , see (7.5).

(3)&(4): Suppose first that  $M$  is a finite-dimensional indecomposable in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  with  $\dim_k M = \text{endol}(M) \leq d$ . Since  $H : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  is an equivalence, there is a finite-dimensional indecomposable  $N' \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  with  $H(N') \cong M$ . From (7.5), we know that  $\text{endol}(N') = \dim_k N' \leq \dim_k H(N') = \dim_k M \leq d$ . Thus, from (5.4), there is a finite-dimensional indecomposable  $B$ -module  $N$  such that  $F(B) \otimes_B N \cong N'$ . So, we get  $M \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} N' \cong T' \otimes_B N$ , where  $N$  has finite endolength  $\text{endol}(N) = \dim_k N$ .

Now, assume that  $M$  is a generic  $\Gamma$ -module in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  with  $\text{endol}(M) \leq d$ . Since  $H : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  is an equivalence, there is an indecomposable infinite-dimensional  $N \in \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  with  $H(N) \cong M$ . By (7.6),  $N$  is a pregeneric  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -module. By (7.5), we have  $\text{endol}(N) \leq \text{endol}(H(N)) = \text{endol}(M) \leq d$ . Applying (5.4)(4) to  $N$ , we get a pregeneric  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $\overline{N}$  such that  $F(B) \otimes_B \overline{N} \cong N$ , so  $T' \otimes_B \overline{N} \cong \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} N \cong M$ . Since  $H : \text{End}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}(N)^{op} \longrightarrow \text{End}_\Gamma(M)^{op}$  is an isomorphism of algebras, the splitting of the radical for the second algebra, follows immediately from the same property for the first one. The fact that  $M$  is a proper  $\Gamma$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule follows from the corresponding property for  $N$ , stated in (5.4)(4), and (7.4).  $\square$

**Theorem 7.9.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is not wild and take any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider the equivalence  $H : \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  and the Morita equivalence  $\Omega : \Gamma\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \Lambda\text{-Mod}$ , as in [4](12.9). Assume that  $\Omega$  is given as a tensor product functor  $P \otimes_\Gamma -$ , for an appropriate progenerator  $P \in \text{mod-}\Gamma$ , and that a quasi-inverse for  $\Omega$  is given as a tensor product functor  $P' \otimes_\Lambda - : \Lambda\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \Gamma\text{-Mod}$ , for an appropriate progenerator  $P' \in \text{mod-}\Lambda$ . Then, consider the functor  $F :$*

$\mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  associated to the composition of reductions of (5.2) and the natural number  $d' := \dim_k P' \times d$ . Then, we have:

1. The  $\Gamma$ - $B$ -bimodule  $T := P \otimes_{\Gamma} \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} F(B)$  is finitely generated by the right and the composition

$$\mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{H} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta') \xrightarrow{\Omega} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$$

is naturally isomorphic to  $T \otimes_B -$ .

In particular,  $\Omega HF(N) \cong T \otimes_B N$ , for any  $N \in \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$ . If  $N$  is a proper  $\mathcal{B}$ - $E$ -bimodule, for some  $k$ -algebra  $E$ , then we have an isomorphism of proper  $\Lambda$ - $E$ -bimodules  $\Omega_E H_E F_E(N) \cong T \otimes_B (N_E)$ .

2. The  $\Lambda$ -modules of the form  $G \cong T \otimes_B Q_i$  are generic modules for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ .
3. Every indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module  $M \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$  with  $\text{endol}(M) \leq d$  is isomorphic to  $T \otimes_B N$ , for some indecomposable  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $N$  with finite endlength.

Moreover, if  $M$  is generic we have  $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)^{op} = Q_M \oplus \text{radEnd}_{\Lambda}(M)^{op}$ , for some subalgebra  $Q_M \cong k(x)$  of  $\text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)^{op}$ .

4. If  $M$  is generic, the  $B$ -module  $N$  is pregeneric and has a natural structure of  $B$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule. The morphism of algebras  $\mu : k(x) \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\Lambda}(T \otimes_B N)^{op}$  given by  $\mu(q) = (id_T \otimes \mu_q, 0)$  gives  $M$  the structure of a proper  $\Lambda$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule  $T \otimes_B N \cong \Omega HF(N) \cong M$  such that  $\text{endol}(M) = \dim_{k(x)} M$ .

*Proof.* (1): From (7.8), we know that  $\mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{H} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  is naturally isomorphic to  $T' \otimes_B -$ . So, applying  $\Omega = P \otimes_{\Gamma} -$  to the first isomorphism, we get a natural isomorphism

$$\Omega HFL_{\mathcal{B}} \cong \Omega(T' \otimes_B -) \cong T \otimes_B -.$$

If  $N$  is a proper  $B$ - $E$ -bimodule, from (7.9), so is  $T' \otimes_B N$ . Hence, so is  $T \otimes_B N$ . Moreover,  $\Omega_E H_E F_E(N) \cong T \otimes_B N$ .

(2): It follows from (7.8) and the fact that  $\Omega$  preserves generic modules.

(3)&(4): Suppose first that  $M$  is a finite-dimensional indecomposable in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$  with  $\dim_k M = \text{endol}(M) \leq d$ . Then,  $M' := P' \otimes_{\Lambda} M$  is a finite-dimensional indecomposable in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  with  $\text{endol}(M') = \dim_k M' \leq d'$ . From (7.8), there is a finite-dimensional indecomposable  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $N$  such that  $T' \otimes_B N \cong M'$ . So, we get  $M \cong P \otimes_{\Gamma} M' \cong T \otimes_B N$ , where  $N$  has finite endlength  $\text{endol}(N) = \dim_k N$ .

Now, assume  $M$  is a generic  $\Lambda$ -module in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$  with  $\text{endol}(M) \leq d$ . Since  $\Omega = P \otimes_{\Gamma} - : \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta') \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$  is an equivalence, the infinite-dimensional indecomposable  $M' := P' \otimes_{\Lambda} M \in \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  satisfies  $\Omega(M') \cong M$ . We know that  $\text{endol}(M') \leq \dim_k P' \times \text{endol}(M) \leq \dim_k P' \times d = d'$ , see for instance [2](11.1). So,  $M'$  is a generic  $\Gamma$ -module in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  with  $\text{endol}(M') \leq d'$ . By (7.8), there

is a pregeneric  $\mathcal{B}$ -module  $N$  such that  $T' \otimes_B N \cong M'$ . Therefore, we obtain  $T \otimes_B N \cong P \otimes_{\Gamma} \Gamma \otimes_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}} F(B) \otimes_B N \cong M$ . Since  $\Omega : \text{End}_{\Gamma}(M')^{op} \longrightarrow \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)^{op}$  is an isomorphism, the splitting of the radical for the second algebra follows from the same property for the first one. The fact that  $M$  is a proper  $\Lambda$ - $k(x)$ -bimodule follows from the corresponding property for  $M'$ .  $\square$

## 8 Generic modules for $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$

**Proof of Theorem (1.5).** Let  $d := \text{endol}(G)$  and apply (7.9) to obtain the minimal ditalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and the composition of functors

$$B\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{H} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta') \xrightarrow{\Omega} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta),$$

isomorphic to a suitable tensor functor  $T \otimes_B -$ .

By (7.9)(2), there is a generic  $B$ -module  $N \in \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$  with  $\Omega HF(N) \cong T \otimes_B N$ . In fact,  $N = Be_i \otimes_{Be_i} Q_i$ , where  $Q_i$  is the field of fractions of  $\Gamma_i = Be_i$  considered as a  $\Gamma_i$ -module. Here  $Be_i$  is a rational  $k$ -algebra and  $Q_i = k(x)$ .

We have

$$G \cong T \otimes_B N = T \otimes_B Be_i \otimes_{Be_i} Q_i \cong Te_i \otimes_{Be_i} Q_i,$$

where  $Te_i$  is a right finitely generated  $Be_i$ -module, so there is some  $g \in Be_i$  such that  $Z_G := Te_i \otimes_{Be_i} (Be_i)_g$  is a free finitely generated right  $Be_i$ -module. Moreover, the field of fractions  $Q_G$  of the rational algebra  $\Gamma_G := (Be_i)_g$  coincides with  $k(x) = Q_i$ , and we have

$$Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} Q_G = Te_i \otimes_{Be_i} (Be_i)_g \otimes_{(Be_i)_g} Q_i \cong Te_i \otimes_{Be_i} Q_i \cong G.$$

From the last statement of (7.9), we know that  $\dim_{k(x)} G = \text{endol}(G)$  and from the last displayed formula, the rank of  $Z_G$  is  $\dim_{k(x)} G$ , so (1) is proved.

(2): Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is a minimal ditalgebra, we know that  $L_{\mathcal{B}} : B\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod}$  preserves isoclasses, indecomposability and maps almost split sequences onto almost split conflations, see for instance [5](32.3). Since  $\Omega$ ,  $H$ , and  $F$  are full and faithful functors, they all preserve isoclasses and indecomposability. Then, the functor  $T \otimes_B - \cong \Omega HFL_{\mathcal{B}}$  preserves isoclasses and indecomposability. The localization morphism  $\phi : Be_i \longrightarrow (Be_i)_h = \Gamma_G$  is an epimorphism which induces a full and faithful functor  $F_{\phi} : \Gamma_G\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow Be_i\text{-Mod}$  which can be composed with the embedding functor  $E : Be_i\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow B\text{-Mod}$ . Then the functor  $Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} - \cong \Omega HFL_{\mathcal{B}} E F_{\phi}$  preserves isoclasses and indecomposables.

(3): We know that, given any Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ , the category  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  has a natural exact structure  $\mathcal{E}_{\underline{\mathcal{A}}}$ , see [4](10.11). From (2.10), we know that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}^z$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra whenever  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is so, for  $z \in \{a, r, q, d, X\}$ . Moreover, by (6.5) we know that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta)\text{-mod}$  has almost split conflations.

By [4](10.5), the functor  $F^X : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^X\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$  is exact, when  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra, see [5](16.6). The same observations of

[5] [(9.8), (9.9), (9.10)] show that the functors  $F^z : \underline{\mathcal{A}}^z\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod}$ , for  $z \in \{a, r, q, d\}$ , as in (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4), are exact, when  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is a Roiter interlaced weak ditalgebra. These statements, and the corresponding ones for reduction functors  $F^z$  of type  $z \in \{a, r, d, e, u\}$  between module categories of Roiter ditalgebras, imply that the functor  $F$  is exact (since it is a composition of such exact functors). So the composition  $F : \mathcal{B}\text{-mod} \rightarrow \underline{\mathcal{A}}(\Delta)\text{-mod}$  is a full and faithful exact functor between Krull-Schmidt categories with exact structures which admit almost split conflations.

From (6.7), we know that there are only finitely many indecomposable  $\Gamma_G$ -modules of the form  $E_\lambda := \Gamma_G / \langle x - \lambda \rangle$  such that  $FL_{\mathcal{B}}EF_\phi(E_\lambda) \not\cong \tau FL_{\mathcal{B}}EF_\phi(E_\lambda)$ . If this occurs for  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t \in k$ , we can replace the polynomial  $g$  used at the beginning of this proof by  $g' := g(x - \lambda_1) \cdots (x - \lambda_t)$  to obtain a rational algebra  $\Gamma'_G = (Ee_i)_{g'}$  such that  $FL_{\mathcal{B}}EF_\phi(E_\lambda) \cong \tau FL_{\mathcal{B}}EF_\phi(E_\lambda)$ , for all such indecomposables  $E_\lambda$  of  $\Gamma'_G$ , and we adjust  $Z'_G := Te_i \otimes_{Be_i} (Be_i)_{g'}$  accordingly. Moreover, (1) and (2), still hold for this  $Z'_G$ . So we can assume that  $\Gamma_G$  and  $Z_G$  already have this property and we can apply [5] (32.7), to obtain that  $FL_{\mathcal{B}}FEF_\phi$  preserves almost split conflations. Since  $H$  and  $\Omega$  are exact equivalences, so does  $Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} - \cong \Omega HFL_{\mathcal{B}}EF_\phi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 8.1.** *If  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is not wild, then it is generically tame.*

*Moreover, in this case, for any  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , almost all indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -modules  $M \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with  $\dim_k M \leq d$  are of the form  $M \cong Z_G \otimes_{\Gamma_G} N$ , for some generic module  $G$  for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with  $\text{endol}(G) \leq d$  and some indecomposable  $N \in \Gamma_G\text{-mod}$ , with the notation of (1.5).*

*Proof.* Take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and apply (7.9) to obtain the minimal ditalgebra  $\mathcal{B}$  and the composition of functors

$$\mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{B}}} \mathcal{B}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{F} \underline{\mathcal{A}}\text{-Mod} \xrightarrow{H} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta') \xrightarrow{\Omega} \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta),$$

isomorphic to a suitable tensor functor  $T \otimes_B -$ .

Then, from (7.9), we know that the generic  $\Lambda$ -modules in  $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta)$  with endlength  $\leq d$ , up to isomorphism, are  $G_1, \dots, G_n$ , with  $G_i = \Omega HFL_{\mathcal{B}}(Q_i)$ , for  $i \in [1, n]$ , where  $n$  is the number of rational factors of the minimal algebra of the layer of  $\mathcal{B}$ . Here  $Q_i$  is the  $B$ -module described in (5.3).

From (7.9) applied to indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -modules in  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ , we get that any indecomposable  $\Lambda$ -module  $M \in \mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with  $\dim_k M \leq d$  is isomorphic to  $T \otimes_B N$ , for some indecomposable  $Be_i$ -module  $N$  with bounded dimension. Now, recall from the preceding proof that each bimodule  $Z_i = Te_i \otimes_{Be_i} (Be_i)_h$ , associated to a given generic module  $G_i$  for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ , involves a localization of  $k$ -algebras  $\phi : Be_i \rightarrow (Be_i)_h$  whose restriction functor  $F_\phi : \Gamma_G\text{-mod} = (Be_i)_h\text{-mod} \rightarrow Be_i\text{-mod}$  hits all isoclasses of indecomposable  $Be_i$ -modules with bounded dimension, with only finitely many exceptions. Then, the second statement of this theorem holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 8.2.** *If  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is wild, then it is not generically tame.*

*Proof.* We adapt the argument of the proof of [9](4.4). Since  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is wild (resp. generically tame) iff  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$  is wild (resp. generically tame), see [3](1.6) and [5](22.6), it will be enough to prove the statement for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$ .

Assume  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$  is wild, then there is a  $\Gamma$ - $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -bimodule  $Z$ , which is free finitely generated as a right module, such that the functor

$$W = Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} - : k\langle x, y \rangle\text{-mod} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Delta')$$

preserves isoclasses and indecomposables. The argument in the proofs of [3]((11.4) and (1.3)), see also [3](7.11), shows that we may assume that the bimodule  $Z$  determines a functor

$$W = Z \otimes_{k\langle x, y \rangle} - : k\langle x, y \rangle\text{-Mod} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta'),$$

which preserves isoclasses and indecomposables. As in [5]((31.3) and (31.5)), we consider the  $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -modules  $H_\lambda = k(x)[y]/(y - \lambda)$ , for  $\lambda \in k$ , where  $k\langle x, y \rangle$  acts by restriction through the epimorphism of algebras

$$k\langle x, y \rangle \longrightarrow k[x, y] \longrightarrow k[x, y]_{k[x]^*} \cong k(x)[y].$$

The family  $\{H_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in k}$  is an infinite family of pairwise non-isomorphic generic  $k\langle x, y \rangle$ -modules with endolength 1. Make  $G_\lambda := W(H_\lambda)$ , for  $\lambda \in k$ . So  $\{G_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in k}$ , is an infinite family of pairwise non-isomorphic generic  $\Gamma$ -modules in  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}(\Delta')$  with bounded endolength. So  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta')$  is not generically tame.  $\square$

**Theorem 8.3.**  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is generically tame iff  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is tame.

*Proof.* From (1.9), we already know that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is pregenerically tame iff  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is not wild.

If  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is tame then, by [3](1.3),  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is not wild. So, by (7.7), we know that  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$  is not wild and, as mentioned before, this means that it is pregenerically tame. Thus given  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ , any generic module  $G$  for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with  $\text{endol}(G) \leq d$  is the image under  $\Omega H$  of a pregeneric  $\underline{\mathcal{A}}$ -module  $M$  with bounded endolength, so there are only a finite number of non-isomorphic generic modules for  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  with endolength  $\leq d$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is generically tame.

Conversely, assume that  $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$  is generically tame. By (8.2), it can not be wild. So, by [3](1.3), it is tame.  $\square$

## References

- [1] R. Bautista, E. Pérez, and L. Salmerón. *On generically tame algebras over perfect fields*. Adv. in Math. 231 (2012) 436–481.
- [2] R. Bautista, E. Pérez, and L. Salmerón. *Generic modules of tame algebras over real closed fields*. J. of Algebra 419 (2014) 223–276.
- [3] R. Bautista, E. Pérez, and L. Salmerón, *Tame and wild theorem for the category of filtered by standard modules for a quasi-hereditary algebra*, Preprint: arXiv: 1706.07386v1, June, 2017.

- [4] R. Bautista, E. Pérez, and L. Salmerón. *Homological systems and bocses*. Preprint arXiv: 2012.13781v1, 2020.
- [5] R. Bautista, L. Salmerón, and R. Zuazua. *Differential Tensor Algebras and their Module Categories*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 362. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [6] W.L. Burt and M.C.R. Butler, *Almost split sequences for bocses*. Representations of finite dimensional algebras. Canadian Math. Soc. Conference Proceedings, 11 (1990) 89–121.
- [7] W.L. Burt, *Almost split sequences and bocses*, Unpublished Monograph (2005).
- [8] W.W. Crawley-Boevey. *On tame algebras and bocses*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 56 (1988) 451–483.
- [9] W.W. Crawley-Boevey. *Tame algebras and generic modules*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 63 (1991) 241–265.
- [10] W.W. Crawley-Boevey. *Modules of Finite Length over their Endomorphism Rings*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 168, Cambridge University Press, (1992) 127–184
- [11] V. Dlab and C.M. Ringel. *The Module Theoretical Approach to Quasi-hereditary Algebras*. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series 168, Cambridge University Press, (1992) 200–224
- [12] S. Koenig, J. Külshammer, and S. Ovsienko. *Quasi-hereditary algebras, exact Borel subalgebras,  $A_\infty$ -categories and boxes*. Adv. Math. 262 (2014), 546–592.

R. Bautista  
 Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas  
 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
 Morelia, México  
 raymundo@matmor.unam.mx

E. Pérez  
 Facultad de Matemáticas  
 Universidad Autónoma de Yucatán  
 Mérida, México  
 jperez@correo.uady.mx

L. Salmerón  
 Centro de Ciencias Matemáticas  
 Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México  
 Morelia, México  
 salmeron@matmor.unam.mx