

# Application of some techniques in Sperner Theory: Optimal orientations of vertex-multiplications of trees with diameter 4

H.W. Willie Wong<sup>1</sup>, E.G. Tay

National Institute of Education  
Nanyang Technological University  
Singapore

## Abstract

Koh and Tay proved a fundamental classification of  $G$  vertex-multiplications into three classes  $\mathcal{C}_0, \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . They also showed that any vertex-multiplication of a tree with diameter at least 3 does not belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}_2$ . Of interest,  $G$  vertex-multiplications are extensions of complete  $n$ -partite graphs and Gutin characterised complete bipartite graphs with an ingenious use of Sperner's Theorem. In this paper, we investigate vertex-multiplications of trees with diameter 4 in  $\mathcal{C}_0$  (or  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ) and exhibit its intricate connections with problems in Sperner Theory, thereby extending Gutin's approach. Let  $s$  denote the vertex-multiplication of the central vertex. We almost completely characterise the case of even  $s$  and give a complete characterisation for the case of odd  $s \geq 3$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G)$  and edge set  $E(G)$ . In this paper, we consider only graphs with no loops or parallel edges. For any vertices  $v, x \in V(G)$ , the *distance* from  $v$  to  $x$ ,  $d_G(v, x)$ , is defined as the length of a shortest path from  $v$  to  $x$ . For  $v \in V(G)$ , its *eccentricity*  $e_G(v)$  is defined as  $e_G(v) = \max\{d_G(v, x) \mid x \in V(G)\}$ . The *diameter* of  $G$ , denoted by  $d(G)$ , is defined as  $d(G) = \max\{e_G(v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$ . The above notions are defined similarly for a digraph  $D$ ; and we refer the reader to [1] for any undefined terminology. For a digraph  $D$ , a vertex  $x$  is said to be *reachable* from another vertex  $v$  if  $d_D(v, x) < \infty$ . The *outset* and *inset* of a vertex  $v \in V(D)$  are defined to be  $O_D(v) = \{x \in V(D) \mid v \rightarrow x\}$  and  $I_D(v) = \{y \in V(D) \mid y \rightarrow v\}$  respectively. If there is no ambiguity, we shall omit the subscript for the above notation.

An *orientation*  $D$  of a graph  $G$  is a digraph obtained from  $G$  by assigning a direction to every edge  $e \in E(G)$ . An orientation  $D$  of  $G$  is said to be *strong* if every two vertices in  $V(D)$  are mutually reachable. An edge  $e \in E(G)$  is a *bridge* if  $G - e$  is disconnected. Robbins' One-way Street Theorem [18] states for a connected graph  $G$ ,  $G$  has a strong orientation if and only if  $G$  is bridgeless.

Given a connected and bridgeless graph  $G$ , let  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  be the family of strong orientations of  $G$ . The *orientation number* of  $G$  is defined as

$$\bar{d}(G) = \min\{d(D) \mid D \in \mathcal{D}(G)\}.$$

Any orientation  $D$  in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  with  $d(D) = \bar{d}(G)$  is called an *optimal orientation* of  $G$ . The general problem of finding the orientation number of a connected and bridgeless graph is very difficult. Moreover, Chvátal and Thomassen [3] proved that it is NP-hard to determine whether a graph admits an orientation of diameter 2. Hence, it is natural to focus

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author. Email: williewong088@gmail.com.

on special classes of graphs. The orientation number was evaluated for various classes of graphs, such as the complete graphs [2, 14, 17] and complete bipartite graphs [7, 19]. Of interest, Gutin ingeniously made use of a celebrated result in combinatorics, Sperner's Theorem (see Theorem 2.1), to determine a characterisation of complete bipartite graphs.

**Theorem 1.1.** (*Šoltés [19] and Gutin [7]*) For  $q \geq p \geq 2$ ,

$$\bar{d}(K(p, q)) = \begin{cases} 3, & \text{if } q \leq \binom{p}{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor}, \\ 4, & \text{if } q > \binom{p}{\lfloor p/2 \rfloor}. \end{cases}$$

In 2000, Koh and Tay [10] introduced a new family of graphs,  $G$  vertex-multiplications, and extended the results on complete  $n$ -partite graphs. Let  $G$  be a given connected graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . For any sequence of  $n$  positive integers  $(s_i)$ , a  $G$  vertex-multiplication, denoted by  $G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , is the graph with vertex set  $V^* = \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$  and edge set  $E^*$ , where  $V_i$ 's are pairwise disjoint sets with  $|V_i| = s_i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ; and for any  $u, v \in V^*$ ,  $uv \in E^*$  if and only if  $u \in V_i$  and  $v \in V_j$  for some  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  with  $i \neq j$  such that  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ . For instance, if  $G \cong K_n$ , then the graph  $G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  is a complete  $n$ -partite graph with partite sizes  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ . Also, we say  $G$  is a *parent graph* of  $G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ .

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we denote the  $x$ -th vertex in  $V_i$  by  $(x, v_i)$ , i.e.,  $V_i = \{(x, v_i) \mid x = 1, 2, \dots, s_i\}$ . Hence, two vertices  $(x, v_i)$  and  $(y, v_j)$  in  $V^*$  are adjacent in  $G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  if and only if  $i \neq j$  and  $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ . For convenience, we write  $G^{(s)}$  in place of  $G(s, s, \dots, s)$  for any positive integer  $s$ , and it is understood that the number of  $s$ 's is equal to the order of  $G$ ,  $n$ . Thus,  $G^{(1)}$  is simply the graph  $G$  itself.

The following theorem by Koh and Tay [10] provides a fundamental classification on  $G$  vertex-multiplications.

**Theorem 1.2.** (*Koh and Tay [10]*) Let  $G$  be a connected graph of order  $n \geq 3$ . If  $s_i \geq 2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $d(G) \leq \bar{d}(G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)) \leq d(G) + 2$ .

In view of Theorem 1.2, all graphs of the form  $G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , with  $s_i \geq 2$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , can be classified into three classes  $\mathcal{C}_j$ , where

$$\mathcal{C}_j = \{G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \mid \bar{d}(G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)) = d(G) + j\},$$

for  $j = 0, 1, 2$ . Henceforth, we assume  $s_i \geq 2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The following lemma was found useful in proving Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 1.3.** (*Koh and Tay [10]*) Let  $s_i, t_i$  be integers such that  $s_i \leq t_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . If the graph  $G(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  admits an orientation  $F$  in which every vertex  $v$  lies on a cycle of length not exceeding  $m$ , then  $\bar{d}(G(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)) \leq \max\{m, d(F)\}$ .

To discuss further, we need some notation. In this paper, let  $T_4$  (or simply  $T$  unless stated otherwise) be a tree of diameter 4 with vertex set  $V(T_4) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ . We further denote by  $\mathbf{c}$ , the unique central vertex of  $T_4$ , i.e.,  $e_{T_4}(\mathbf{c}) = 2$ , and the neighbours of  $\mathbf{c}$  by  $[i]$ , i.e.,  $N_{T_4}(\mathbf{c}) = \{[i] \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, \deg_{T_4}(\mathbf{c})\}$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \deg_{T_4}(\mathbf{c})$ , we further denote the neighbours of  $[i]$ , excluding  $\mathbf{c}$ , by  $[\alpha, i]$ , i.e.,  $N_{T_4}([i]) - \{\mathbf{c}\} = \{[\alpha, i] \mid \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \deg_{T_4}([i]) - 1\}$ . Figure 1 illustrates the use of this notation.




Figure 1: Labelling vertices in a  $T_4$

From here onwards, let  $\mathcal{T} = T_4(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  be a vertex-multiplication of a tree  $T_4$ . In  $\mathcal{T}$ , the integer  $s_i$  corresponds to the vertex  $v_i$ ,  $i \neq n$ , while  $s_n = s$  corresponds to  $c$ . We will loosely use the two denotations of a vertex, for example, if  $v_i = [j]$ , then  $s_i = s_{[j]}$ . Also, if  $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}_s = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ , then set  $(X, c) = \{(i, c) \mid i \in X\}$ . In particular,  $(\mathbb{N}_s, c) = \{(1, c), (2, c), \dots, (s, c)\}$ . For any set  $\lambda \subseteq (\mathbb{N}_s, c)$ ,  $\bar{\lambda} = (\mathbb{N}_s, c) - \lambda$  denotes its complement set.

For a given  $T_4$ , set  $E = \{[i] \mid [i] \text{ is an end-vertex in } T_4\}$ . For a given  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $T_4$ , set  $\mathcal{T}(A_j) = \{[i] \mid s_{[i]} = j, 1 \leq i \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}(c), [i] \notin E\}$ , where  $j$  is a positive integer. If there is no ambiguity, we will use  $A_j$  instead of  $\mathcal{T}(A_j)$ . Similarly,  $A_{\leq j}$  and  $A_{\geq j}$  denote the corresponding sets, when the condition  $s_{[i]} = j$  is replaced by  $s_{[i]} \leq j$  and  $s_{[i]} \geq j$  respectively. For example, if  $T_4$  is as given in Figure 1, then  $E = \{[3], [4]\}$ ; furthermore, if  $s_i = 2$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $A_2 = \{[1], [2]\}$ .

Theorem 1.2 was generalised to digraphs by Gutin et al. [8]. Ng and Koh [15] examined cycle vertex-multiplications and Koh and Tay [11] investigated tree vertex-multiplications. Since trees with diameter at most 2 are parent graphs of complete bipartite graphs and are completely solved, they considered trees of diameter at least 3. They proved that vertex-multiplications of trees with diameter at least 3 do not belong to the class  $\mathcal{C}_2$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** (Koh and Tay [11]) *If  $T$  is a tree of order  $n$  and  $3 \leq d(T) \leq 5$  ( $d(T) \geq 6$  resp.), then  $T(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{C}_0 \cup \mathcal{C}_1$  ( $\mathcal{C}_0$  resp.).*

Wong and Tay [21] proved a characterisation for vertex-multiplications of trees with diameter 5 in  $\mathcal{C}_0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_1$ .

**Theorem 1.5.** (Wong and Tay [21]) *Let  $T$  be a tree of diameter 5 with central vertices  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , and  $s_i$  corresponding to  $c_i$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Furthermore for  $i = 1, 2$ , denote  $E'_i = \{u \mid u \in N_T(c_i) - \{c_{3-i}\}, u \text{ is not an end-vertex in } T\}$  and  $m_i = \min\{s_u \mid u \in E'_i\}$ .*

- (a) *If  $s_1 \geq 3$ , or  $s_2 \geq 3$ , or  $m_1, m_2 \geq 4$ , then  $T(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .*
- (b) *Suppose  $s_1 = s_2 = 2$  and  $2 \leq m_1 \leq 3$  or  $2 \leq m_2 \leq 3$ . Then,  $T(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if and only if  $|E'_j| = 1$  for some  $j = 1, 2$ .*

Koh and Tay [11] obtained some results regarding membership in  $\mathcal{C}_0$  or  $\mathcal{C}_1$  for vertex-multiplications of trees with diameter 4.

**Theorem 1.6.** (Koh and Tay [11]) *For a given  $T_4$ ,*

- (a) *if  $\deg_{T_4}(c) = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .*
- (b) *if  $\deg_{T_4}(c) \geq 3$ , then  $T_4^{(2)} \in \mathcal{C}_1$ .*

In this paper, we further investigate vertex-multiplications of trees with diameter 4 and almost completely classify them as  $\mathcal{C}_0$  or  $\mathcal{C}_1$ . The techniques required here exhibit intricate connections with problems in Sperner Theory. In Section 2, we provide the main tools, which comprise well-known results from Sperner Theory and structural properties

of optimal orientations of a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Section 3 focuses primarily on the case where  $s$  is even and the findings are summarised in Theorem 1.7. In Section 4, we prove a complete characterisation of vertex-multiplications of trees with odd  $s \geq 3$ , namely Theorem 1.8.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $T_4$  be a tree of diameter 4 with the only central vertex  $c$ . Suppose  $s$  is even for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,*

(a) For  $s = 2$ :

$ A_2 \cup A_3 $	$ A_{\geq 4} $	$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \iff \dots$	Proposition
0	$\geq 2$	Always true.	3.3
$\geq 1$	$\geq 0$	$\deg_T(c) = 2$ .	3.1

Table 1: Summary for  $\mathcal{T} = T_4(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , where  $s = 2$ .

(b) For  $s \geq 4$ :

$ A_2 $	$ A_3 $	$ A_{\geq 4} $	$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \iff \dots$	Proposition
0	0	$\geq 2$	Always true.	3.3 <sup>2</sup>
0	$\geq 1$	$\geq 0$	$ A_3  \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - 2$ .	3.8
$\geq 2$	0	0	(i) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , if $ A_2  < \deg_T(c)$ , (ii) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , if $ A_2  = \deg_T(c)$ .	3.4 <sup>3</sup>
$\geq 1$	0	$\geq 1$	(i) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ , if $ A_{\geq 4}  \geq 2$ or $ A_{\geq 2}  < \deg_T(c)$ , (ii) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , otherwise.	3.9
$\geq 1$	1	0	(i) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ if $ A_{\geq 2}  < \deg_T(c)$ , (ii) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , if $ A_{\geq 2}  = \deg_T(c)$ .	3.10

The final case is incomplete and excludes the case  $|A_2| \geq 1$ ,  $|A_3| = 1$  and  $|A_{\geq 4}| = 0$ .

$\geq 1$	$\geq 1$	$\geq 0$	(a) $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \Rightarrow 2 A_2  +  A_3  \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - \kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}^*(k)$ for some $k \leq  A_2  +  A_3 $ . (b) There exists some $ A_2  + 1 \leq k \leq \min\{ A_2  +  A_3 , \binom{s}{s/2} - 1\}$ such that $2 A_2  +  A_3  \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - \kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}^*(k) - 3 \Rightarrow \mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . Note: $\kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}(\cdot)$ and $\kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}^*(\cdot)$ will be defined later.	3.11
----------	----------	----------	--	------

Table 2: Summary for  $\mathcal{T} = T_4(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , where  $s \geq 4$  is even.

<sup>2</sup>holds for all integers  $s \geq 2$ .

<sup>3</sup>holds for all integers  $s \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $T_4$  be a tree of diameter 4 with the only central vertex  $c$ . Suppose  $s \geq 3$  is odd for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,*

$ A_2 $	$ A_3 $	$ A_{\geq 4} $	$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \iff \dots$	Proposition
0	$\geq 1$	$\geq 0$	$ A_3  \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2.$	4.1
0	0	$\geq 2$	Always true.	3.3 <sup>2</sup>
$\geq 2$	0	0	(i) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , if $ A_2  < \deg_T(c)$ , (ii) $ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , if $ A_2  = \deg_T(c)$ .	3.4 <sup>3</sup>
$\geq 1$	0	$\geq 1$	$ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1.$	4.11
$\geq 1$	1	0	$ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1.$	4.3
$\geq 1$	$\geq 2$	0	(i) $2 A_2  +  A_3  \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ , or (ii) $2 A_2  +  A_3  = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , $ A_2  \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ and $s \geq 5$ .	
$\geq 1$	1	$\geq 1$	$ A_2  \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2.$	4.13
$\geq 1$	$\geq 2$	$\geq 1$	$2 A_2  +  A_3  \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2.$	

Table 3: Summary for  $\mathcal{T} = T_4(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$ , where  $s \geq 3$  is odd.

As we shall see in the proofs of Theorems 1.7 and 1.8, it is a key insight to partition  $N_T(c)$  into 4 types of vertices,  $A_2$ ,  $A_3$ ,  $A_{\geq 4}$  and  $E$ , and their sizes determine the equivalent conditions of an optimal orientation (except possibly Proposition 3.11). We shall consider cases dependent on these 4 sets. The lack of conformity in the equivalent conditions across all cases gives a compelling indication that the case distinctions are required.

## 2. Preliminaries

Our overarching approach is to reduce the investigation of optimal orientations of tree vertex-multiplication graphs to variants of problems in Sperner Theory; particularly concerning cross-intersecting antichains. The change in perspective grants us leverage on the following useful results in Sperner Theory.

Let  $2^{\mathbb{N}_n}$  denote the power set of  $\mathbb{N}_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . For any integer  $k$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{k}$  denotes the collection of all  $k$ -sets of  $\mathbb{N}_n$ . Two families  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}_n}$  are said to be *cross-intersecting* if  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and all  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ . Two subsets  $X$  and  $Y$  of  $\mathbb{N}_n$  are said to be *independent* if  $X \not\subseteq Y$  and  $Y \not\subseteq X$ . An *antichain* or *Sperner family*  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_n$  is a collection of pairwise independent subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_n$ , i.e., for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $X \not\subseteq Y$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** (Sperner [20]) *For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , if  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain on  $\mathbb{N}_n$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}$ . Furthermore, equality holds if and only if all members in  $\mathcal{A}$  have the same size,  $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  or  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ .*

Lih's Theorem provides the maximum size of an antichain with each member intersecting a fixed set and Griggs determined all such maximum-sized antichains.

**Theorem 2.2.** (Lih [13]) Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain on  $\mathbb{N}_n$  such that  $A \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{\lceil n/2 \rceil} - \binom{n-|Y|}{\lceil n/2 \rceil}.$$

**Theorem 2.3.** (Griggs [6]) Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $Y \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an antichain on  $\mathbb{N}_n$  such that  $A \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{n}{\lceil n/2 \rceil} - \binom{n-|Y|}{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  consists of exactly one of the following:

- (i)  $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$ -sets, or
- (ii)  $\frac{n-1}{2}$ -sets for odd  $n$  and  $|Y| \geq \frac{n+3}{2}$ , or
- (iii)  $\frac{n+2}{2}$ -sets for even  $n$  and  $|Y| = 1$ .

Given two cross-intersecting antichains  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  on  $\mathbb{N}_n$ , Ou [16], Frankl and Wong [5] and Wong and Tay [23] independently derived an upper bound for  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}|$ . Furthermore, all extremal and almost-extremal cross-intersecting antichains for  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  were determined.

**Theorem 2.4.** (Ou [16], Frankl and Wong [5] and Wong and Tay [23])

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two cross-intersecting antichains on  $\mathbb{N}_n$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $n \geq 3$ . Then,

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n}{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} + \binom{n}{\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil}$$

Furthermore, equality holds if and only if  $\{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\} = \left\{ \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor}, \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{\lceil (n+1)/2 \rceil} \right\}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** (Wong and Tay [22]) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two cross-intersecting antichains on  $\mathbb{N}_n$ , where  $n \geq 3$  is an odd integer. Then,  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| = 2\binom{n}{\lceil n/2 \rceil} - 1$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{\lceil n/2 \rceil}$  and  $|\mathcal{B}| = \binom{n}{\lceil n/2 \rceil} - 1$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** (Wong and Tay [22]) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two cross-intersecting antichains on  $\mathbb{N}_n$ , where  $n \geq 4$  is an even integer. Then,  $|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| = \binom{n}{n/2} + \binom{n}{(n/2)+1} - 1$  if and only if

- (i)  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{n/2}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{(n/2)+1}$  and  $|\mathcal{B}| = \binom{n}{(n/2)+1} - 1$ , or
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{n/2}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{n}{n/2} - 1$ , and  $\mathcal{B} = \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{(n/2)+1}$ .

Kruskal-Katona Theorem (KKT) is closely related to the *squashed order* of the  $k$ -sets. The squash relations  $\leq_s$  and  $<_s$  are defined as follows. For  $A, B \in \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{k}$ ,  $A \leq_s B$  if the largest element of the symmetric difference  $(A-B) \cup (B-A)$  is in  $B$ . Furthermore, denote  $A <_s B$  if  $A \leq_s B$  and  $A \neq B$ . For example, the 3-subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_5$  in squashed order are: **123**  $<_s$  **124**  $<_s$  **134**  $<_s$  **234**  $<_s$  **125**  $<_s$  **135**  $<_s$  **235**  $<_s$  **145**  $<_s$  **245**  $<_s$  **345**. Here, we omit the braces and write **abc** to represent the set  $\{a, b, c\}$ , if there is no ambiguity. We shall denote the collections of the first  $m$  and last  $m$   $k$ -subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_n$  in squashed order by  $F_{n,k}(m)$  and  $L_{n,k}(m)$  respectively.

For a family  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{k}$ , the *shadow* and *shade* of  $\mathcal{A}$  are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{A} &= \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n \mid |X| = k-1, X \subset Y \text{ for some } Y \in \mathcal{A}\}, \text{ if } k > 0, \text{ and} \\ \nabla \mathcal{A} &= \{X \subseteq \mathbb{N}_n \mid |X| = k+1, Y \subset X \text{ for some } Y \in \mathcal{A}\}, \text{ if } k < n \end{aligned}$$

respectively.

Then, KKT says that the shadow of a family  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $k$ -sets is at least the size of the shadow of the first  $|\mathcal{A}|$   $k$ -sets in squashed order.

**Theorem 2.7.** (Kruskal [12], Katona [9], and Clements and Lindström [4])

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of  $k$ -sets of  $\mathbb{N}_n$  and suppose the  $k$ -binomial representation of  $|\mathcal{A}|$  is  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{a_k}{k} + \binom{a_{k-1}}{k-1} + \dots + \binom{a_t}{t}$ , where  $a_k > a_{k-1} > \dots > a_t \geq t \geq 1$ . Then,

$$|\Delta \mathcal{A}| \geq |\Delta F_{n,k}(|\mathcal{A}|)| = \binom{a_k}{k-1} + \binom{a_{k-1}}{k-2} + \dots + \binom{a_t}{t-1}.$$

By considering the complements of sets in  $F_{n,k}(m)$ , the next lemma can be proved.

**Lemma 2.8.** For any integer  $0 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{k}$ ,  $|\Delta F_{n,k}(m)| = |\nabla L_{n,n-k}(m)|$ .

**Definition 2.9.** (Wong and Tay [23]) Let  $n, r$  and  $m$  be integers such that  $0 \leq m \leq \binom{n}{r}$ . Define

$$\kappa_{n,r}(m) = |\Delta F_{n,r}(m)| - m \text{ and } \kappa_{n,r}^*(m) = \min_{0 \leq j \leq m} \kappa_{n,r}(j).$$

We remark that  $\kappa_{n,\frac{n}{2}}(m) = |\nabla L_{n,\frac{n}{2}}(m)| - m$  by Lemma 2.8. Using KKT, Wong and Tay [23] derived an upper bound for cross-intersecting antichains with at most  $k$  disjoint pairs.

**Theorem 2.10.** (Wong and Tay [23]) Let  $n \geq 4$  be an even integer and  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be two antichains on  $\mathbb{N}_n$ . Suppose there exist orderings of the elements  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{|\mathcal{A}|}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , and  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{|\mathcal{B}|}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and some integer  $k \leq \min\{|\mathcal{A}|, |\mathcal{B}|\}$ , such that  $A_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  only if  $i = j \leq k$ . Then,

$$|\mathcal{A}| + |\mathcal{B}| \leq \binom{n}{n/2} + \binom{n}{(n/2)+1} - \kappa_{n,\frac{n}{2}}^*(k),$$

where  $\kappa_{n,\frac{n}{2}}^*(k) = 0$  if  $k < 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \binom{2i-1}{i}$  and  $\kappa_{n,\frac{n}{2}}^*(k) < 0$  otherwise. Furthermore, equality holds if

(i)  $k < 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \binom{2i-1}{i}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{n/2}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{(n/2)+1}$ , or

(ii)  $k \geq 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \binom{2i-1}{i}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{n/2}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = L_{n,\frac{n}{2}}(m) \cup \binom{\mathbb{N}_n}{(n/2)+1} - \nabla L_{n,\frac{n}{2}}(m)$ , where  $0 < m \leq k$  is an integer such that  $\kappa_{n,\frac{n}{2}}^*(k) = \kappa_{n,\frac{n}{2}}(m)$ .

Lastly, we prove here some key properties of an optimal orientation of a vertex-multiplication graph  $\mathcal{T}$ . Let  $D$  be an orientation of  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $v_i$  and  $v_j$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and  $i \neq j$ , are adjacent vertices in the parent tree  $T$ , then for each  $x$ ,  $1 \leq x \leq s_i$ , we denote  $O_D^{v_j}((x, v_i)) = \{(y, v_j) \mid (x, v_i) \rightarrow (y, v_j), 1 \leq y \leq s_j\}$  and  $I_D^{v_j}((x, v_i)) = \{(y, v_j) \mid (y, v_j) \rightarrow (x, v_i), 1 \leq y \leq s_j\}$ . If there is no ambiguity, we shall omit the subscript  $D$  for the above notation.

The next lemma is important but easy to verify.

**Lemma 2.11. (Duality)** Let  $D$  be an orientation of a graph  $G$ . Let  $\tilde{D}$  be the orientation of  $G$  such that  $uv \in E(D)$  if and only if  $vu \in E(\tilde{D})$ . Then,  $d(\tilde{D}) = d(D)$ .

**Lemma 2.12.** Let  $D$  be an orientation of a  $\mathcal{T}$  where  $d(D) = 4$ . Then,  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (q, [j])) = d_D((q, [j]), (p, [\alpha, i])) = 3$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}$  and  $1 \leq q \leq s_{[j]}$ .

*Proof:* Note that  $3 = d_T([\alpha, i], [j]) \leq d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (q, [j])) \leq d(D) = 4$ . Since there is no  $[\alpha, i] - [j]$  path of even length in  $T$ , there is no  $(p, [\alpha, i]) - (q, [j])$  path of even length in  $\mathcal{T}$ , in particular, no path of length 4. Hence,  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (q, [j])) = 3$ . Similarly,  $d_D((q, [j]), (p, [\alpha, i])) = 3$  may be proved.  $\square$

Since we are going to use this fact repeatedly, we state the following obvious lemma.

**Lemma 2.13.** *Let  $D$  be an orientation of a  $\mathcal{T}$  where  $d(D) = 4$ . For  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ ,*  
 (a) *if  $s_{[i]} = 2$ , then for  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , either  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i])$  or  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i])$ .*  
 (b) *if  $s_{[i]} = 3$ , then for  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , either  $|O((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 1$  or  $|I((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 1$ .*

*Proof:* Both statements follow from the fact that  $\deg^+((p, [\alpha, i])) > 0$  and  $\deg^-((p, [\alpha, i])) > 0$  for all  $p = 1, 2, \dots, s_{[\alpha, i]}$  so that  $D$  is a strong orientation.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.14.** *Let  $D$  be an orientation of a  $\mathcal{T}$  where  $d(D) = 4$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ ,  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ . Suppose  $O^c(u_i) = O^c(v_i)$  for any  $u_i, v_i \in O((1, [\alpha, i]))$  and  $O^c(u_j) = O^c(v_j)$  for any  $u_j, v_j \in O((1, [\beta, j]))$ , then  $O^c(w_i)$  and  $O^c(w_j)$  are independent for any  $w_i \in O((1, [\alpha, i]))$  and  $w_j \in O((1, [\beta, j]))$ .*

*Proof:* By Lemma 2.12,  $d_D((1, [\alpha, i]), w_j) = 3$ . Hence, it follows that  $d_D(w_i, w_j) = 2$  and  $O^c(w_i) \not\subseteq O^c(w_j)$ . A similar argument shows  $O^c(w_j) \not\subseteq O^c(w_i)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.15.** *Let  $D$  be an orientation of a  $\mathcal{T}$  where  $d(D) = 4$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ ,  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ . Suppose  $I^c(u_i) = I^c(v_i)$  for any  $u_i, v_i \in I((1, [\alpha, i]))$  and  $I^c(u_j) = I^c(v_j)$  for any  $u_j, v_j \in I((1, [\beta, j]))$ , then  $I^c(w_i)$  and  $I^c(w_j)$  are independent for any  $w_i \in I((1, [\alpha, i]))$  and  $w_j \in I((1, [\beta, j]))$ .*

*Proof:* This lemma follows from Lemma 2.14 and the Duality Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.16.** *Let  $D$  be an orientation of a  $\mathcal{T}$  where  $d(D) = 4$ . Suppose  $O((1, [\alpha, i])) = \{(1, [i])\}$  and  $O((1, [\beta, j])) = \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ . Then, for each  $p = 1, 2, \dots, s_{[j]}$ ,*

- (a)  $O^c((1, [i])) \not\subseteq O^c((p, [j]))$ ,
- (b)  $O^c((1, [j])) \cup O^c((2, [j])) \not\subseteq O^c((1, [i]))$ .

*Proof:* (a) can be proved similarly to Lemma 2.14. By Lemma 2.12,  $d_D((1, [\beta, j]), (1, [i])) = 3$ , which implies  $d_D((p, [j]), (1, [i])) = 2$  for some  $p = 1, 2$ . Hence, (b) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.17.** *Let  $D$  be an orientation of a  $\mathcal{T}$  where  $d(D) = 4$ . Suppose  $I((1, [\alpha, i])) = \{(1, [i])\}$  and  $I((1, [\beta, j])) = \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ . Then, for each  $p = 1, 2, \dots, s_{[j]}$ ,*

- (a)  $I^c((1, [i])) \not\subseteq I^c((p, [j]))$ ,
- (b)  $I^c((1, [j])) \cup I^c((2, [j])) \not\subseteq I^c((1, [i]))$ .

*Proof:* This lemma follows from Lemma 2.16 and the Duality Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.18.** *Let  $D$  be an orientation of a complete bipartite graph  $K(p, q)$  with partite sets  $V_1 = \{1_1, 1_2, \dots, 1_p\}$  and  $V_2 = \{2_1, 2_2, \dots, 2_q\}$ ,  $q \geq p \geq 3$ . Suppose further for  $1 \leq i \leq p$  that  $\lambda_i \rightarrow 2_i \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_i$ , where  $\lambda_i = \{1_i, 1_{i+1}, \dots, 1_{i+\lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil - 1}\}$ . Then,  $d_D(1_i, 1_j) = 2$  for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq p$ ,  $i \neq j$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $t \in \mathbb{N}_p$  such that  $t \equiv i + \lceil \frac{p}{2} \rceil \pmod{p}$ . Since  $1_i \rightarrow \{2_i, 2_t\}$  and  $V_1 - \{1_i\} \subseteq O(2_i) \cup O(2_t)$ , it follows that  $d_D(1_i, 1_j) = 2$  for  $i \neq j$ .  $\square$



### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.7

In proving “only if” direction of the following propositions, we shall use a common setup forged with the following notions. For a  $\mathcal{T}$ , let  $D$  be an orientation of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $d(D) = 4$ . If  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then by Lemma 2.13(a), we may assume WLOG in  $D$  that

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [1, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \text{ for any } [i] \in A_2. \quad (3.1)$$

And, let

$$B_2^O = \{O^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_2\} \text{ and } B_2^I = \{I^c((2, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_2\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that  $(1, [i])$  ( $(2, [i])$  resp.) is effectively the only ‘outlet’ (‘inlet’ resp.) for the vertex  $(1, [1, i])$  if  $[i] \in A_2$ . Furthermore, both  $B_2^O$  and  $B_2^I$  are antichains on  $(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  by Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 respectively.

Analogously, if  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , then by Lemma 2.13(b), we can partition  $A_3$  into  $A_3^O$  and  $A_3^I$ , where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A_3^O &= \{[i] \mid \forall \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1, \forall p, 1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}, |O((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 1\}, \\ A_3^I &= \{[i] \mid \exists \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1, \exists p, 1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}, |O((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 2\}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.3)$$

WLOG, we assume in  $D$  that

$$\left. \begin{aligned} &\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, [1, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i]) \text{ if } [i] \in A_3^O, \\ \text{and } &(3, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [1, i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \text{ if } [i] \in A_3^I. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (3.4)$$

And, let

$$B_3^O = \{O^c((3, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^O\}, \text{ and } B_3^I = \{I^c((3, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^I\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that  $(3, [i])$  is effectively the only ‘outlet’ (‘inlet’ resp.) for the vertex  $(1, [1, i])$  if  $[i] \in A_3^O$  ( $A_3^I$  resp.). Furthermore, both  $B_3^O$  and  $B_3^I$  are antichains on  $(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  by Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 respectively.

As the problem differs for  $s = 2$  from  $s \geq 4$ , we consider them separately.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $s = 2$  and  $A_2 \cup A_3 \neq \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if and only if  $\deg_T(\mathbf{c}) = 2$ .*

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . As  $A_2 \cup A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.5) here. From  $d(T) = 4$ , it follows that  $|A_{\geq 2}| \geq 2$ . We shall consider two cases to show  $|A_{\geq 2}| = 2$  and  $E = \emptyset$ .

Case 1.  $|A_2 \cup A_3^O| > 0$ .

Let  $[i^*] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$  and  $\delta = 1$  if  $[i^*] \in A_2$ , and  $\delta = 3$  if  $[i^*] \in A_3^O$ . For all  $[i] \in N_T(\mathbf{c}) - \{[i^*]\}$  and all  $p = 1, 2, \dots, s_{[i]}$ , since  $d_D((1, [1, i^*]), (p, [i])) = 3 = d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, i^*]))$  by Lemma 2.12, we may assume WLOG that  $(2, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (\delta, [i^*]) \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c})$ , which implies  $(1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (2, \mathbf{c})$ . Now, if  $[i], [j] \in A_{\geq 2} - \{[i^*]\}$ , then  $d_D((1, [1, i]), (1, [j])) > 3$ , a contradiction to Lemma 2.12. Hence,  $|A_{\geq 2} - \{[i^*]\}| \leq 1$  and thus,  $|A_{\geq 2}| = 2$ . If  $E \neq \emptyset$ , then a similar argument follows for  $[i] \in A_{\geq 2} - \{[i^*]\}$  and  $[j] \in E$ .

Case 2.  $|A_2 \cup A_3^O| = 0$  and  $|A_3^I| > 0$ .

Then,  $A_3^I$  behaves like  $A_3^O$  in  $\tilde{D}$ . The result follows from Case 1 by the Duality Lemma.

**Remark 3.2.** We note the difference in the definition (3.3) of  $A_3^O$  and  $A_3^I$  respectively. For the argument, we actually needed only a partition  $A_3^O$  and  $A_3^I$  of  $A_3$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} [i] \in A_3^O &\implies \exists \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1, \exists p, 1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}, |O((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 1, \\ \text{and } [i] \in A_3^I &\implies \exists \alpha, 1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1, \exists p, 1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}, |I((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $A_3^O$  and  $A_3^I$  were each defined using existential quantifiers instead, their intersection may be nonempty. We may arbitrarily include these elements in  $A_3^O$  or  $A_3^I$  (but not both) to get a partition. However, for the sake of a well-defined partition, we used (3.3). We emphasize that this does not affect the duality effect in the argument and shall repeatedly apply this.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This follows from Theorem 1.6(a).  $\square$

For the following, note that Proposition 3.3 holds for all integers  $s \geq 2$  while Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.7 hold for all integers  $s \geq 3$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $s \geq 2$  and  $A_2 = A_3 = \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_{[i]} = 4$  for all  $[i] \in \mathcal{T}(A_{\geq 4})$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof. Note that  $A_j \neq \emptyset$  if and only if  $j = 4$ . Define an orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\text{and } \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(3, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow (2, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

$$(2, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c}) \quad (3.8)$$

for all  $[j] \in E$ . (See Figure 2 for  $D$  when  $s = 2$ .)

Claim: For all  $v, w \in V(D)$ ,  $d_D(v, w) \leq 4$ .

Case 1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]) \mid 1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_4$ .

This is clear since, by (3.6),  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha_1, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha_2, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i])$  is a directed  $C_4$  for all  $1 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Case 1.2.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v = (1, [\alpha, i])$ . By (3.6),  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ .

Case 1.3.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, \mathbf{c}), (2, \mathbf{c})\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v = (1, [\alpha, i])$ . By (3.6)-(3.7),  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (4, [i]) \rightarrow (2, \mathbf{c})$ .

Case 1.4.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for all  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v = (1, [\alpha, i])$ . By (3.6)-(3.7),  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  and  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (4, [i]) \rightarrow (2, c) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ .

Case 1.5.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  for all  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ ,  $i \neq j$ , all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$  and  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v = (1, [\alpha, i])$ . By (3.6)-(3.7),  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  and while  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(4, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$ .

Case 2.1.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v = (1, [i])$ . By (3.6),  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$ .

Case 2.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , or  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, c), (2, c)\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$ .

This follows directly from (3.7).

Case 2.3.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for  $[i], [j] \in A_4$  and  $i \neq j$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ . By (3.7),  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, c) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ .

Case 2.4.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  for  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ . By (3.6)-(3.7),  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ , while  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(4, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$ .

Case 3.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, c), (2, c)\}$ , or  $v \in \{(1, c), (2, c)\}$ ,  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$ .

This follows directly from (3.7).

Case 3.2.  $v \in \{(1, c), (2, c)\}$ ,  $w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By symmetry, it suffices to show for the case  $v = (1, c)$ . By (3.6)-(3.7),  $(1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$ , while  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$  and  $(4, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i])$ .

Case 4.1.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$  and  $[j] \in E$ .

By (3.6)-(3.8),  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (4, [i]) \rightarrow (2, c)$ ,  $(2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow (2, c)$ , and  $(2, c) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ .

Case 4.2.  $v \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$  and  $[j] \in E$ .

By (3.6)-(3.8),  $\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$ ,  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$ , and  $(4, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i])$ .

Case 4.3.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$ ,

$1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$  and  $[j] \in E$ .

By (3.7)-(3.8),  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow (2, c) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ .

Case 4.4.  $v \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for all  $[i] \in A_4$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$  and  $[j] \in E$ .

By (3.7)-(3.8),  $\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow \{(3, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow (2, c) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ .

Case 4.5.

(i)  $v \in \{(1, c), (2, c)\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for all  $[j] \in E$ , or

(ii)  $v \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, c), (2, c)\}$  for all  $[j] \in E$ .

By (3.7)-(3.8),  $\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow (3, [k]) \rightarrow (2, c) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  is a directed  $C_4$  for any  $[k] \in A_4$ .

Case 4.6.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for all  $[i], [j] \in E$ .

By (3.7)-(3.8),  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, c) \rightarrow (3, [k]) \rightarrow (2, c) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  is a directed  $C_4$  for any  $[k] \in A_4$ .

Therefore, the claim follows. Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D$  and  $d(D) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3. With  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \geq d(T) = 4$ , it follows that  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 2: <sup>4</sup>Orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ , where  $A_4 = \{[1], [2]\}$ ,  $E = \{[3], [4]\}$ . Note that the parent graph is the tree in Figure 1.

<sup>4</sup>For clarity, the arcs directed from  $(p, c)$  to  $(q, [i])$  are omitted.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Suppose  $s \geq 3$  and  $A_{\geq 3} = \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,*

$$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \iff \begin{cases} |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1, & \text{if } |A_2| < \deg_T(\mathbf{c}), \\ |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}, & \text{if } |A_2| = \deg_T(\mathbf{c}). \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.2) here. By Sperner's Theorem,  $|A_2| = |B_2^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . So, we are done if  $|A_2| = \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

Now, assume  $|A_2| < \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and let  $[i^*] \in E$ . If  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , then  $d_D((1, [1, i]), (1, [i^*])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((1, [i])) \cap I^c((1, [i^*])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $[i] \in A_2$ . It follows from Lih's Theorem that  $|A_2| = |B_2^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{s - |O^c((1, [i^*]))|}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{\lceil s/2 \rceil}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . If  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| \leq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ , then  $d_D((1, [i^*]), (1, [1, i])) = 3$  implies  $I^c((2, [i])) \cap O^c((1, [i^*])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $[i] \in A_2$ . It follows from Lih's Theorem that  $|A_2| = |B_2^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{s - |O^c((1, [i^*]))|}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ .

**Remark 3.5.** In view of the above, it is intuitive to let  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((2, [i]))$  and  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  in constructing an optimal orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Indeed, this is our plan if  $|A_2|$  is big enough (i.e.,  $|A_2| \geq s$ ). However, there are some potential drawbacks of this approach if  $|A_2|$  is small (i.e.,  $|A_2| < s$ ). For instance, consider  $s = 5$  and  $\deg_T(\mathbf{c}) = |A_2| = 2$ . If we assigned  $O^c((p, [1])) = \{(1, \mathbf{c}), (2, \mathbf{c})\}$  and  $O^c((p, [2])) = \{(1, \mathbf{c}), (3, \mathbf{c})\}$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , then  $\deg^+((1, \mathbf{c})) = 0$  and  $\deg^-((j, \mathbf{c})) = 0$  for  $j = 4, 5$ . Consequently,  $D$  will not be a strong orientation. Hence, we consider cases dependent on  $|A_2|$  to circumvent this problem; namely, they are Cases 1 and 2 for small  $|A_2|$ , and Cases 3 and 4 for large  $|A_2|$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) WLOG, assume  $A_2 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2}]\}$ . Thus, it is taken that  $E = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{\deg_T(\mathbf{c})} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2}]\}$ , if  $|A_2| < \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_{\mathbf{c}} = s$  and  $t_v = 2$  for all  $v \neq \mathbf{c}$ . We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof.

Case 1.  $|A_2| = \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  (i.e.,  $E = \emptyset$ ) and  $|A_2| \leq s$ .

Define an orientation  $D_1$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]) \quad (3.9)$$

for all  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

$$(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c}) - \{(i, \mathbf{c})\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (i, \mathbf{c}) \quad (3.10)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2| - 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c}) - \{(k, \mathbf{c}) \mid |A_2| \leq k \leq s\} &\rightarrow \{(1, [|A_2|]), (2, [|A_2|])\} \\ &\rightarrow \{(k, \mathbf{c}) \mid |A_2| \leq k \leq s\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

(See Figure 3 for  $D_1$  when  $s = 5$ .)

Claim 1: For all  $v, w \in V(D_1)$ ,  $d_{D_1}(v, w) \leq 4$ .

Case 1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

This is clear since (3.9) is a directed  $C_4$ .

Case 1.2. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i])$ ,  $w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ , or

(ii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i])$ ,  $w = (q, [i])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ , or

(iii)  $v = (p, [i])$ ,  $w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

If  $i \neq j$ , then, by (3.9)-(3.11),  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (i, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  and  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (i, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (2, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$ .

Case 1.3.  $v = (x_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $1 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq s$ .

If  $x_2 < |A_2|$ , then  $(x_1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [x_2]) \rightarrow (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  by (3.10). If  $x_1 < |A_2| \leq x_2 \leq s$ , then  $(x_1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [|A_2|]) \rightarrow (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  by (3.11). If  $|A_2| \leq x_1, x_2 \leq s$ , then  $(x_1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [1]) \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [|A_2|]) \rightarrow (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  by (3.10)-(3.11).

Case 1.4.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (j, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .

If  $j = i$ , then  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (j, \mathbf{c})$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , by (3.9)-(3.10). If  $j \neq i$  and  $j < |A_2|$ , then  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (i, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow (j, \mathbf{c})$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , by (3.9)-(3.10). If  $j \neq i$  and  $|A_2| \leq j \leq s$ , then  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (i, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [|A_2|]) \rightarrow (j, \mathbf{c})$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , by (3.9)-(3.11).

Case 1.5.  $v = (j, \mathbf{c})$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

If  $j < |A_2|$  and  $j \neq i$ , or  $i < |A_2| \leq j \leq s$ , then  $(j, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (3 - p, [\alpha, i])$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , by (3.9)-(3.11). If  $i = j < |A_2|$ , then  $(j, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [|A_2|]) \rightarrow (|A_2|, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (3 - p, [\alpha, i])$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , by (3.9) and (3.11). If  $i = |A_2| \leq j \leq s$ , then  $(j, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [1]) \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (p, [|A_2|]) \rightarrow (3 - p, [\alpha, |A_2|])$ , for  $p = 1, 2$  by (3.9)-(3.11).

Case 1.6.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ , and  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i])) \cap (\mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}, \mathbf{c})| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j])) \cap (\mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}, \mathbf{c})| > 0$ , and  $d_{D_1}((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq |A_2|$  by Case 1.3.

Case 2.  $|A_2| < \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  (i.e.,  $E \neq \emptyset$ ) and  $|A_2| < s$ .

Define an orientation  $D_2$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]) \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

$$(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c}) - \{(i, \mathbf{c})\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (i, \mathbf{c}) \quad (3.13)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(p, [i]) \mid p = 1, 2; [i] \in E\} \\ & \rightarrow \{(k, \mathbf{c}) \mid |A_2| < k \leq s\} \rightarrow \{(q, [j]) \mid q = 1, 2; [j] \in A_2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

(See Figure 4 for  $D_2$  when  $s = 5$ .)

Claim 2: For all  $v, w \in V(D_2)$ ,  $d_{D_2}(v, w) \leq 4$ .

In view of the similarity between  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , it suffices to check the following.

Case 2.1. For each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $[j] \in E$ , and each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ , or
- (ii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ , or
- (iii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.12)-(3.14), (i) and (ii) follow from  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (i, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ . Similarly for (iii),  $\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow (s, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (3 - p, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i])$ .

Case 2.2.  $v = (x_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $1 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq s$ .

If  $x_2 \leq |A_2|$ , then  $(x_1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [x_2]) \rightarrow (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  by (3.13). If  $x_1 \leq |A_2|$  and  $|A_2| + 1 \leq x_2 \leq s$ , then  $(x_1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  for any  $[j] \in E$  by (3.13) and (3.14). If  $|A_2| + 1 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq s$ , then  $(x_1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [1]) \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow (x_2, \mathbf{c})$  for any  $[j] \in E$  by (3.13) and (3.14).

Case 2.3.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in E$ , and  $w = (j, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq j \leq s$ .

For  $1 \leq j \leq |A_2|$ ,  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (s, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow (j, \mathbf{c})$  by (3.13) and (3.14). For  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq s$ ,  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (j, \mathbf{c})$  by (3.14).

Case 2.4.  $v = (j, \mathbf{c})$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in E$ .

By (3.14), for any  $1 \leq j \leq |A_2|$ ,  $(j, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ . For  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq s$ ,  $(j, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [1]) \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  by (3.13) and (3.14).

Case 2.5.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$ , and  $[i], [j] \in E$ .

Here, it is possible that  $i = j$ . Note that  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow (s, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow (1, [1]) \rightarrow (1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  by (3.13) and (3.14).

To settle Cases 3 and 4 (and coming propositions), we require the following notation.

**Definition 3.6.** Set  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}\} = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , i.e., the set containing all  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -subsets of  $(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$ . In particular, for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , let  $\lambda_i = \{(j, \mathbf{c}), \dots, (j + \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil - 1, \mathbf{c})\}$ , the sets containing  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  vertices in consecutive (cyclic) order starting from  $(i, \mathbf{c})$ . For example,  $\lambda_2 = \{(2, \mathbf{c}), (3, \mathbf{c}), \dots, (\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil + 1, \mathbf{c})\}$ . The denotation of the remaining  $\lambda_i$ 's can be arbitrary.

Case 3.  $|A_2| = \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  (i.e.,  $E = \emptyset$ ) and  $s < |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . (If  $s = 3$ , this case does not apply, and we refer to Case 1 instead.)

Define an orientation  $D_3$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_i \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_i \quad (3.16)$$

for all  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{|A_2|}$  ( $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_{|A_2|}$  resp.) are used as 'in-sets' ('out-sets' resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.). (See Figure 5 for  $D_3$  when  $s = 5$ .)

Claim 3: For all  $v, w \in V(D_3)$ ,  $d_{D_3}(v, w) \leq 4$ .

Case 3.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

This is clear since (3.15) is a directed  $C_4$ .

Case 3.2. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ , or
- (ii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ , or
- (iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.15)-(3.16), since  $O^c((p, [i])) = \bar{\lambda}_i \not\subseteq \bar{\lambda}_j = O^c((q, [j]))$ , there exists a vertex  $(x, u) \in \bar{\lambda}_i \cap \lambda_j$  such that  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow (x, c) \rightarrow (3 - q, [j]) \rightarrow (q, [\beta, j])$ .

Case 3.3.  $v = (r_1, c)$  and  $w = (r_2, c)$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_{D_3}((r_1, c), (r_2, c)) = 2$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq s$ , let  $x_k = (1, [k])$  and observe from (3.16) that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_k$ . The subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, c)$  and  $V_2 = \{x_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq s\}$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_{D_3}((r_1, c), (r_2, c)) = 2$ .

Case 3.4.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, c)$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_3}(v, (k, c)) \leq 2$  by (3.15)-(3.16). If  $k = r$ , we are done. If  $k \neq r$ , then  $d_{D_3}((k, c), (r, c)) = 2$  by Case 3.3. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_3}(v, w) \leq d_{D_3}(v, (k, c)) + d_{D_3}((k, c), w) = 4$ .

Case 3.5.  $v = (r, c)$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_3}((k, c), v) \leq 2$  by (3.15)-(3.16). If  $k = r$ , we are done. If  $k \neq r$ , then  $d_{D_3}((r, c), (k, c)) = 2$  by Case 3.3. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_3}(v, w) \leq d_{D_3}(v, (k, c)) + d_{D_3}((k, c), w) = 4$ .

Case 3.6.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$  and  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_{D_3}((r_1, c), (r_2, c)) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$  by Case 3.3.

Case 4.  $|A_2| < \deg_T(c)$  (i.e.,  $E \neq \emptyset$ ) and  $s \leq |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . (If  $s = 3$ , this case does not apply, and we refer to Case 2 instead.)

We define an orientation  $D_4$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  by making a slight enhancement to  $D_3$ . Noting that  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , we include in  $D_4$  these extra arcs:

$$\lambda_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$$

for all  $[j] \in E$ . (See Figure 6 for  $D_4$  when  $s = 5$ .)

Claim 4: For all  $v, w \in V(D_4)$ ,  $d_{D_4}(v, w) \leq 4$ .

In view of the similarity between  $D_3$  and  $D_4$ , it suffices to check the following.

Case 4.1.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in E$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, c)$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .



This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $d_{D_4}((r_1, c), (r_2, c)) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$  by Case 3.3.

Case 4.2.  $v = (r, c)$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in E$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $d_{D_4}((r_1, c), (r_2, c)) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$  by Case 3.3.

Case 4.3.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$  and  $[i], [j] \in E$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_{D_4}((r_1, c), (r_2, c)) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$  by Case 3.3.

Hence,  $d(D_i) = 4$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D_i$  and  $d(D_i) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D_i)\}$  by Lemma 1.3, and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 3:  ${}^4$ Orientation  $D_1$  for Case 1.  $s = 5$ ,  $\deg_T(c) = 4$ ,  $A_2 = \{[1], [2], [3], [4]\}$ .




Figure 4: <sup>4</sup>Orientation  $D_2$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ , Case 2.  $s = 5$ ,  $deg_T(c) = 6$ ,  $A_2 = \{[1], [2], [3], [4]\}$ ,  $E = \{[5], [6]\}$ .




Figure 5: <sup>5</sup>Orientation  $D_3$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ , Case 3.  $s = 5$ ,  $deg_T(c) = 5$ ,  $A_2 = \{[1], [2], [3], [4], [5]\}$ .

<sup>5</sup>For clarity, we only show the vertices  $[\alpha, i]$  and  $[i]$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , and the arcs directed from  $(p, c)$  to  $(q, [i])$  are omitted.




Figure 6: <sup>6</sup>Orientation  $D_4$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ , Case 4.  $s = 5$ ,  $\deg_T(c) = 11$ ,  
 $A_2 = \{[1], [2], \dots, [9]\}$ ,  $E = \{[10], [11]\}$ .

**Corollary 3.7.** *Suppose  $s \geq 3$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . If*

- (i)  $|A_{\geq 2}| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , or
  - (ii)  $|A_{\geq 2}| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$  and  $|A_{\geq 2}| = \deg_T(c)$ ,
- then  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .

*Proof:* Note that every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for each orientation  $D_i$  and  $d(D_i) \leq 4$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . By Lemma 1.3,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D_i)\}$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$

For the remaining propositions, we consider even  $s \geq 4$ . The proof of Proposition 3.8 is centered on a reduction to cross-intersecting antichains and Theorems 2.4 and 2.6.

**Proposition 3.8.** *Suppose  $s \geq 4$  is even,  $A_2 = \emptyset$  and  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if and only if  $|A_3| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - 2$ .*

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . As  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.3)-(3.5) here. By Sperner's Theorem,  $|B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{s/2}$  and  $|B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{s/2}$ . If  $|B_3^O| = 0$  or  $|B_3^I| = 0$ , then  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{s/2}$ . Therefore, we assume  $|B_3^O| > 0$  and  $|B_3^I| > 0$ .

Observe also that for each  $[i] \in A_3^O$  and each  $[j] \in A_3^I$ ,  $d_D((1, [i]), (1, [j])) = 4$  implies  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_3^O$  and all  $Y \in B_3^I$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1}$ . Suppose  $|A_3| > \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - 2$  for a contradiction. It follows from

<sup>6</sup>Assume  $\lambda_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} = \{(1, c), (3, c), (5, c)\}$ . For clarity, we only show the vertices  $[\alpha, i]$  and  $[i]$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 10, 11$ , and the arcs directed from  $(p, c)$  to  $(q, [i])$  are omitted.

Theorems 2.4 and 2.6 that  $\{B_3^O, B_3^I\} = \{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}\}$ , where

- (1)  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$ , or
- (2)  $\mathcal{A} = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2}$ ,  $\mathcal{B} \subset \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$  and  $|\mathcal{B}| = \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - 1$ , or
- (3)  $\mathcal{A} \subset \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| = \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , and  $\mathcal{B} = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$ .

Case 1.  $B_3^O = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2}$ .

Let  $[i] \in A_3^I$ . For all  $[j] \in A_3^O$  and  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$  implies  $X \cap I^c((p, [i])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_3^O$ . It follows that  $|I^c((p, [i]))| \geq \frac{s}{2} + 1$  for all  $p = 1, 2$ . As a result,  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$  are independent. Otherwise,  $O^c((1, [i])) \cup O^c((2, [i])) \subset X$  for some  $X \in B_3^O$ , which contradicts Lemma 2.16(b).

Subcase 1.1.  $B_3^I = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$ .

Let  $[i^*] \in A_3^I$ . For all  $[i] \in A_3^I - \{[i^*]\}$  and  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $d_D((p, [i^*]), (1, [1, i])) = 3$  implies  $X \cap O^c((p, [i^*])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_3^I - \{I^c((3, [i^*]))\}$ . Consequently, we have either  $|O^c((p, [i^*]))| \geq \frac{s}{2}$ , or  $O^c((p, [i^*])) = O^c((3, [i^*]))$  for each  $p = 1, 2$ . Since  $|I^c((p, [i^*]))| \geq \frac{s}{2} + 1$ ,  $|O^c((p, [i^*]))| < \frac{s}{2}$ . Hence,  $O^c((1, [i^*])) = O^c((3, [i^*])) = O^c((2, [i^*]))$ , a contradiction to  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i^*]))$  being independent.

Subcase 1.2.  $B_3^I \subset \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$  and  $|B_3^I| = \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - 1$ .

Let  $\binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1} - B_3^I = \{\psi\}$ . If  $|O^c((p, [i]))| \leq \frac{s}{2} - 2$  for some  $[i] \in A_3^I$  and some  $p = 1, 2$ , then there are  $\binom{|I^c((p, [i]))|}{(s/2)+1} \geq \binom{(s/2)+2}{(s/2)+1} = \frac{s}{2} + 2 \geq 4 > 2$   $(\frac{s}{2} + 1)$ -subsets of  $I^c((p, [i]))$ , i.e.,  $X \subset I^c((p, [i]))$  for some  $X \in B_3^I - \{I^c((3, [i]))\}$ , a contradiction to Lemma 2.17(a). So, for each  $[i] \in A_3^I$  and each  $p = 1, 2$ , we have either  $|O^c((p, [i]))| \geq \frac{s}{2}$ , or  $O^c((p, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$ , or  $O^c((p, [i])) = \bar{\psi}$ . Since  $|I^c((p, [i]))| \geq \frac{s}{2} + 1$  and  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$  are independent, we may assume WLOG that  $O^c((1, [i])) = \bar{\psi}$  and  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for each  $[i] \in A_3^I$ .

Now, we claim that there exists some  $[j] \in A_3^I$  such that  $|\bar{\psi} \cup O^c((3, [j]))| = \frac{s}{2}$ . Note that  $|\bar{\psi} \cup O^c((3, [j]))| = \frac{s}{2}$  if and only if  $|\bar{\psi} \cap O^c((3, [j]))| = \frac{s}{2} - 2$  if and only if  $|\bar{\psi} \cap O^c((3, [j]))| = 1$ . Since  $\binom{|\bar{\psi}|}{(s/2)-2} \binom{1}{1} = \binom{(s/2)-1}{(s/2)-2} \binom{(s/2)+1}{1} = \frac{s^2}{4} - 1 \geq 3$  and  $|B_3^I| = \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - 1$ , the claim follows. Hence,  $O^c((1, [j])) \cup O^c((2, [j])) = O^c((1, [j])) \cup O^c((3, [j])) = \bar{\psi} \cup O^c((3, [j])) = O^c((3, [k]))$  for some  $[k] \in A_3^O$ . This contradicts Lemma 2.16(b).

Case 2.  $B_3^I = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2}$ .

If  $B_3^O = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$  ( $B_3^O \subset \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$  and  $|B_3^O| = \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - 1$  resp.), then the result follows from Subcase 1.1 (1.2 resp.) by the Duality Lemma.

Case 3.  $B_3^O = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{(s/2)+1}$  and  $B_3^I \subset \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2}$  and  $|B_3^I| = \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$

Let  $[i] \in A_3^I$ . For all  $[j] \in A_3^O$  and  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$  implies  $X \cap I^c((p, [i])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_3^O$ . It follows that  $|I^c((p, [i]))| \geq \frac{s}{2}$  for all  $p = 1, 2$ . Furthermore,  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$  are independent. Otherwise,  $O^c((1, [i])) \cup O^c((2, [i])) \subset X$  for some  $X \in B_3^O$ , which contradicts Lemma 2.16(b).

Let  $\binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2} - B_3^I = \{\lambda\}$ . If  $|O^c((p, [i]))| \leq \frac{s}{2} - 1$  for some  $p = 1, 2$ , and some  $[i] \in A_3^I$ , then there are  $\binom{|I^c((p, [i]))|}{s/2} \geq \binom{(s/2)+1}{s/2} = \frac{s}{2} + 1 \geq 3$   $\frac{s}{2}$ -subsets of  $I^c((p, [i]))$ , i.e.,  $X \subset I^c((p, [i]))$  for some  $X \in B_3^I - \{I^c((3, [i]))\}$ , a contradiction to Lemma 2.17(a).

Consequently, we have for each  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $|O^c((p, [i]))| \geq \frac{s}{2} + 1$ , or  $O^c((p, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$ , or  $O^c((p, [i])) = \bar{\lambda}$ . Since  $|I^c((p, [i]))| \geq \frac{s}{2}$  and  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$  are independent, we may assume WLOG that  $O^c((1, [i])) = \bar{\lambda}$  and  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for each  $[i] \in A_3^I$ .

Now, we claim that there exists some  $[j] \in A_3^I$  such that  $|\bar{\lambda} \cup O^c((3, [j]))| = \frac{s}{2} + 1$ . Note that  $|\bar{\lambda} \cup O^c((3, [j]))| = \frac{s}{2} + 1$  if and only if  $|\bar{\lambda} \cap O^c((3, [j]))| = \frac{s}{2} - 1$  if and only if  $|\lambda \cap O^c((3, [j]))| = 1$ . Since  $\binom{|\bar{\lambda}|}{\binom{s/2-1}{1}} = \binom{s/2}{\binom{s/2-1}{1}} = \frac{s^2}{4} \geq 4$  and  $|B_3^I| = \binom{s}{\binom{s}{s/2+1}} - 1$ , the claim follows. Hence,  $O^c((1, [j])) \cup O^c((2, [j])) = \bar{\lambda} \cup O^c((3, [j])) = O^c((3, [k]))$  for some  $[k] \in A_3^O$ . This contradicts Lemma 2.16(b).

Case 4.  $B_3^I = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s,c})}{\binom{s}{s/2+1}}$  and  $B_3^O \subset \binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s,c})}{\binom{s}{s/2}}$  and  $|B_3^O| = \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ .

This follows from Case 3 by the Duality Lemma.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $|A_{\geq 3}| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , then by Corollary 3.7(i),  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . Hence, we assume  $|A_{\geq 3}| \geq \binom{s}{s/2}$  hereafter, on top of the hypothesis that  $|A_3| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{\binom{s}{s/2+1}} - 2$ . If  $|A_3| \geq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ , define  $A_3^\diamond = A_3$ . Otherwise, let  $A_3^\diamond = A_3 \cup A^*$ , where  $A^*$  is an arbitrary subset of  $A_{\geq 4}$  such that  $|A_3^\diamond| = \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ . Then, let  $A_4^\diamond = A_{\geq 4} - A_3^\diamond$ . Furthermore, assume WLOG that  $A_3^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_3^\diamond|}\}$  and  $A_4^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_3^\diamond|+|A_4^\diamond|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_3^\diamond|}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_c = s$ ,  $t_{[i]} = 3$  for all  $[i] \in \mathcal{T}(A_3^\diamond)$ ,  $t_{[j]} = 4$  for all  $[j] \in \mathcal{T}(A_4^\diamond)$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof. Let  $\binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s,c})}{\binom{s}{s/2+1}} = \{\psi_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{s}{s/2+1}\}$  and recall  $\lambda_i$  from Definition 3.6. Define an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}, \text{ and} \quad (3.17)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \quad (3.18)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([i]) - 1$ .

$$\lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \quad (3.19)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{s}{2} - 1$ .

$$\lambda_{i+2} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+2} \quad (3.20)$$

for all  $\frac{s}{2} \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ , i.e., excluding  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ , the  $\frac{s}{2}$ -sets  $\lambda_i$ 's are used as 'in-sets' to construct  $B_3^I$ .

$$\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow (3, [j]), \quad (3.21)$$

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (2, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1, \text{ and} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\bar{\psi}_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \rightarrow (3, [j]) \rightarrow \psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \quad (3.23)$$

for all  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq j \leq |A_3|$  and all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([j]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $(\frac{s}{2} + 1)$ -sets  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{|A_3|+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}$  are used as 'out-sets' to construct  $B_3^O$ .

$$(2, [\gamma, k]) \rightarrow \{(2, [k]), (4, [k])\} \rightarrow (1, [\gamma, k]) \rightarrow \{(1, [k]), (3, [k])\} \rightarrow (2, [\gamma, k]), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [k]), (4, [k])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [k]), (3, [k])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \quad (3.25)$$

for all  $[k] \in A_4$  and all  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \deg_T([k]) - 1$ .

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [l]), (2, [l])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \quad (3.26)$$

for any  $[l] \in E$ . (See Figures 7 and 8 when  $s = 4$ .)

Claim:  $d_D(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D)$ .

Case 1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (3.18),  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$  and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ . For each  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ , since  $I^c((3, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s,c})}{s/2} - \{\lambda_1, \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}\}$  by (3.19)-(3.20), there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  and some  $y_i \in \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ . With (3.17), this case follows.

Case 1.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq i \leq |A_3|$ , and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (3.22),  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i])$ , and  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (2, [i])$ . For each  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(c)$ , since  $O^c((3, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s,c})}{(s/2)+1}$  and  $\min\{|O^c((3, [i]))| + |\lambda_1|, |O^c((3, [i]))| + |\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}|\} > s$ , there exist some  $x_i \in O^c((3, [i])) \cap \lambda_1$  and some  $y_i \in O^c((3, [i])) \cap \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ . With (3.21), this case follows.

Case 1.3.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

This is clear since (3.24) guarantees a directed  $C_4$ .

Case 2. For each  $1 \leq i, j \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.17)-(3.20),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [j])$ ,  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (1, [j])$ , and  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ .

(ii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (3, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.17), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.18),  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$  and  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ . Since  $I^c((3, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s,c})}{s/2} - \{\lambda_1, \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}\}$  by (3.19)-(3.20), there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  and  $y_i \in \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ . Also, since  $i \neq j$ ,  $O^c((3, [i]))$  and  $I^c((3, [j]))$  are not complementary sets. So, there exists some  $z_{ij} \in O^c((3, [i])) \cap I^c((3, [j]))$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ .

Case 3. For each  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq i, j \leq |A_3|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.21)-(3.22),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j])$ ,  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (2, [j])$ . By (3.23) and  $\min\{|\psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}| + |\lambda_1|, |\psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}| + |\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}|\} > s$ , there exist some  $x_i \in$

$\psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in \psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ . Also, since  $i \neq j$ ,  $\psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}$  and  $\bar{\psi}_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}$  are not complementary sets. So, there exists some  $z_{ij} \in \psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \bar{\psi}_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.21), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.22),  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (2, [i]), (2, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i])$ . Furthermore,  $|O^c((3, [j]))| > 0$ , there exists some  $x_j \in \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \cup \lambda_1$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow x_j$ . With  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ , it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq 3$ .

Case 4. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (3.24)-(3.25),  $(2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (3, [i])\}, \{(1, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1, \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  and  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ .

(ii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.24)-(3.25),  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j]), \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j]), \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}, \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  and  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . So, this subcase holds as  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$ , and  $\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (3.24).

Case 5. For each  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ , each  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq j \leq |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

This follows from  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}, (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]), (2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (2, [j])$  by (3.17)-(3.18), and  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$  by (3.22)-(3.23).

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.22),  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}, (2, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ . By (3.19)-(3.20) and (3.17),  $I^c((3, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2} - \{\lambda_1, \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  and some  $y_i \in \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ . Furthermore with (3.23), since  $|O^c((3, [j]))| + |I^c((3, [i]))| > s$ , there exists some  $z_{ij} \in O^c((3, [j])) \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

This follows from  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]), (2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (2, [j]), |O^c((3, [i]))| > 0$  and  $\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.18)-(3.22).

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Note that  $\{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow (3, [j])$  by (3.21), and  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (1, [i]), \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [i])$  by (3.18). Since  $\min\{|\psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}| + |\lambda_1|, |\psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}| + |\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}|\} > s$ , there exist some

$x_i \in \psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_1$  and some  $y_i \in \psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$  by (3.23). Furthermore by (3.19)-(3.20), since  $|O^c((3, [j]))| + |I^c((3, [i]))| > s$ , there exists some  $z_{ij} \in O^c((3, [j])) \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

$\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.17)-(3.18) and (3.21)-(3.22).

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (3, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (3.17), this subcase follows.

Case 6. For each  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (3.17)-(3.18) and (3.25),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (3.25),  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ . Since  $I^c((3, [i])) \in \binom{\mathbb{N}_{s,c}}{\binom{s}{s/2}} - \{\lambda_1, \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  and  $y_i \in \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \cap I^c((3, [i]))$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (3.17) and (3.19)-(3.20).

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.18)-(3.20) and (3.24)-(3.25),  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.18)-(3.20) and (3.24)-(3.25),  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 3, 4$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  and  $(4, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  by (3.24), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (3, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (3.17), this subcase follows.

Case 7. For each  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq i \leq |A_3|$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (3.21) and (3.24)-(3.25),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ . Since  $\min\{|\psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}| + |\lambda_1|, |\psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}| + |\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}|\} > s$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in \psi_{i+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ .



(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (3.21)-(3.22) and (3.25),  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i]), \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow (2, [i])$ , and  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.22) and (3.24)-(3.25),  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$ . Furthermore by (3.23), since  $\min\{|\psi_{i+2-(\frac{s}{2})}| + |\lambda_1|, |\psi_{i+2-(\frac{s}{2})}| + |\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}|\} > s$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \psi_{i+2-(\frac{s}{2})} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in \psi_{i+2-(\frac{s}{2})} \cap \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.22)-(3.25),  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ , and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 3, 4$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  and  $(4, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  by (3.24), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (1, [i])) = 3$  for  $p = 1, 2$ . Since  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (3.21), this subcase follows.

Case 8. For each  $[i] \in A_3$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_4$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (3.25)-(3.26), this case follows from Cases 6(i)-(ii) and Cases 7(i)-(ii).

Case 9. For each  $[i] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (1, [A_3])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  by (3.22) and (3.26), this case follows from Cases 7(i)-(ii).

Case 10.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $x_1 = (2, [1])$ ,  $x_{k+1} = (3, [k])$  for  $1 \leq k \leq \frac{s}{2} - 1$ ,  $x_{\frac{s}{2}+1} = (1, [1])$ , and  $x_{k+2} = (3, [k])$  for  $\frac{s}{2} \leq k \leq s$ . Observe from (3.18)-(3.20) that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq s$  and the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V_2 = \{x_k \mid 1 \leq k \leq s\}$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 11.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 10. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 12.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$

for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 10. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 13.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 3$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Therefore, the claim follows. Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D$  and  $d(D) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3, and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 7:  ${}^4$ Partial orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 4$ ,  
 $A_3 = \{[1], [2], \dots, [6]\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{[7], [8]\}$ ,  $E = \{[9], [10]\}$ ; showing  $[i]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ .




Figure 8:  ${}^4$ Partial orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 4$ ; showing  $[i]$  for  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq i \leq |A_3|$  or  $[i] \in A_4$  or  $[i] \in E$ .

**Proposition 3.9.** *Suppose  $s \geq 4$  is even,  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_3 = \emptyset$  and  $A_{\geq 4} \neq \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,*

$$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \iff \begin{cases} |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2, & \text{if } |A_{\geq 4}| \geq 2 \text{ or } |A_{\geq 2}| < \deg_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{c}), \\ |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.2) here. Let  $[j] \in A_{\geq 4}$ . Suppose  $|I^c((p, [j]))| \leq \frac{s}{2}$  for some  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[j]}$ . Then, for any  $[i] \in A_2$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, i]), (p, [j])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((1, [i])) \cap I^c((p, [j])) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, by Lih's Theorem,  $|A_2| = |B_2^O| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - \binom{s - |I^c((1, [j]))|}{s/2} \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ . If  $|I^c((p, [j]))| \geq \frac{s}{2}$  for some  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[j]}$ , then  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$  by the Duality Lemma.

Now, if  $|A_{\geq 4}| = 1$  and  $|A_{\geq 2}| = \deg_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{c})$ , then we are done. Consider the case  $|A_{\geq 4}| \geq 2$  (or  $|A_{\geq 2}| < \deg_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{c})$  resp.). By Griggs' Theorem,  $|A_2| = \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$  if and only if  $\{O^c((p, [j]))\} = \binom{(N_{s, \mathbf{c}})}{s/2} - B_2^O$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[j]}$  and  $[j] \in A_{\geq 4} \cup E$ . This implies  $O^c((p, [j_1])) = O^c((q, [j_2]))$  for any distinct  $[j_1], [j_2] \in A_{\geq 4}$  (or  $[j_1] \in A_{\geq 4}, [j_2] \in E$  resp.), all  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[j_1]}$  and all  $1 \leq q \leq s_{[j_2]}$ . However, it follows that  $d_D((1, [\beta, j_1]), (q, [j_2])) > 4$ , a contradiction.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) The case of  $|A_{\geq 4}| = 1$  and  $|A_{\geq 2}| = \deg_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathbf{c})$  follows from Corollary 3.7(ii). Hence,

consider  $|A_{\geq 4}| \geq 2$  or  $|A_{\geq 2}| < \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ . Furthermore, if  $|A_2| + |A_{\geq 4}| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , then by Corollary 3.7(i),  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . Hence, we assume  $|A_2| + |A_{\geq 4}| \geq \binom{s}{s/2}$  hereafter, on top of the hypothesis that  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ . If  $|A_2| \geq s$ , define  $A_2^\diamond = A_2$ . Otherwise,  $A_2^\diamond = A_2 \cup A^*$ , where  $A^*$  is an arbitrary subset of  $A_{\geq 4}$  such that  $|A_2^\diamond| = s$ . Then, let  $A_4^\diamond = A_2 \cup A_{\geq 4} - A_2^\diamond$ . Furthermore, assume WLOG that  $A_2^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|}\}$  and  $A_4^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|+|A_4^\diamond|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_c = s$ ,  $t_{[j]} = 4$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{T}(A_4^\diamond)$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof. Define an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]) \quad (3.27)$$

for all  $[i] \in A_2$ , and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

$$\lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \quad (3.28)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{s}{2} - 1$ .

$$\lambda_{i+2} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+2} \quad (3.29)$$

for  $\frac{s}{2} \leq i \leq |A_2|$ . i.e., excluding  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ , the  $\frac{s}{2}$ -sets  $\lambda_i$ 's ( $\bar{\lambda}_i$ 's resp.) are used as 'in-sets' ('out-sets' resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.).

$$(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j]), \quad (3.30)$$

$$\text{and } \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \quad (3.31)$$

for all  $[j] \in A_4$ , and all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [l]), (2, [l])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \quad (3.32)$$

for any  $[l] \in E$ . (See Figure 9 for  $D$  when  $s = 4$ .)

Claim:  $d_D(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D)$ .

Case 1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  (see (3.27)) is similar to that in Proposition 3.4 (see (3.15)), this case follows from Case 3.1 from Proposition 3.4.

Case 1.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_4$  (see (3.30)) is similar to that in Proposition 3.8 (see (3.24)), this case follows from Case 1.3 from Proposition 3.8.

Case 2. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i])$ ,  $w = (q, [j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $O^c((p, [i]))$  and  $O^c((q, [j]))$  are independent by (3.28)-(3.29), there exists a vertex  $z_{ij} \in O^c((p, [i])) - O^c((q, [j]))$  such that  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (q, [j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [i]), (q, [j])) = 2$ . Since  $(3 - q, [j]) \rightarrow (q, [\beta, j])$  by (3.27), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (q, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(3 - q, [j]) \rightarrow (q, [\beta, j])$  by (3.27), the subcase follows.

Case 3. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

(ii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_4$  (see (3.30)-(3.31)) is similar to that in Proposition 3.8 (see (3.24)-(3.25)), this case follows from Case 4 from Proposition 3.8.

Case 4. For each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (3.27) and (3.31),  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i])$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ . Since  $O^c((p, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2} - \{\lambda_1, \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}\}$  by (3.28)-(3.29), there exist some  $x_i \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$  such that  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (3.31),  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$  and  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ . Since  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow (3 - p, [\alpha, i])$  by (3.27), and  $I^c((p, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{s/2} - \{\lambda_1, \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}\}$  by (3.28)-(3.29), there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  and  $y_i \in \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (p, [i])$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.28)-(3.31),  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.28)-(3.31),  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ , and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$  for  $p = 1, 2$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (q, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(3 - q, [j]) \rightarrow (q, [\beta, j])$  by (3.30), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(3 - p, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i])$  by (3.27), this subcase follows.

Case 5. For each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_4$ . By (3.31)-(3.32),  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ , this case follows from Cases 4(i)-(ii).

Case 6. For each  $[i] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(i) follows from  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ ,  $(2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (4, [i])\}$ ,  $\{(1, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ , and  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$  by (3.30)-(3.32). (ii) follows from  $\lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (4, [i])\}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ ,  $\{(2, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$  and  $\{(1, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i])$  and  $|O^c((q, [j]))| > 0$  by (3.30)-(3.32).

Case 7.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $[k] \in A_4$ ,  $x_1 = (2, [k]), x_{i+1} = (1, [i])$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \frac{s}{2} - 1$ ,  $x_{\frac{s}{2}+1} = (1, [k])$  and  $x_{j+2} = (1, [j])$  for all  $\frac{s}{2} \leq j \leq s$ . Observe that  $\lambda_i \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$  by (3.28)-(3.29) and (3.31), the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V_2 = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 8.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 7. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 9.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 7. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 10.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 2$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Therefore, the claim follows. Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D$  and  $d(D) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3, and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 9:  ${}^4$ Orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 4$ ,  $A_2 = \{[1], [2], [3], [4]\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{[5], [6]\}$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *Suppose  $s \geq 4$  is even,  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_3 = \{[j]\}$ , and  $A_{\geq 4} = \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,*

$$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \iff \begin{cases} |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2, & \text{if } |A_{\geq 2}| < \deg_T(\mathbf{c}), \\ |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1, & \text{if } |A_{\geq 2}| = \deg_T(\mathbf{c}). \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.2) here; (3.3)-(3.5) is not required though  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ .

Case 1.  $|A_2| = \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

If  $|O((1, [1, j]))| = 1$ , say  $O((1, [1, j])) = \{(1, [j])\}$ , then by Lemma 2.14,  $\{O^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 2}\}$  is an antichain. So,  $|A_{\geq 2}| \leq \binom{s}{s/2}$  by Sperner's Theorem, i.e.,  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ . The case of  $|I((1, [1, j]))| = 1$  follows from the Duality Lemma.

Case 2.  $|A_2| < \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

Suppose  $|O((1, [1, j]))| = 1$ , say  $O((1, [1, j])) = \{(1, [j])\}$ , then by Lemma 2.14,  $\{O^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 2}\}$  is an antichain. Let  $[i^*] \in E$ .

If  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| \geq \frac{s}{2}$ , then  $d_D((1, [1, i]), (1, [i^*])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((1, [i])) \cap I^c((1, [i^*])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $[i] \in A_{\geq 2}$ . It follows from Lih's Theorem that  $|A_{\geq 2}| = |\{O^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 2}\}| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - \binom{s-|I^c((1, [i^*]))|}{s/2} \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - \binom{s/2}{s/2} = \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , i.e.,  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ .

If  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| < \frac{s}{2}$ , then  $d_D((1, [i^*]), (1, [1, i])) = 3$  implies  $I^c((2, [i])) \cap O^c((1, [i^*])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $[i] \in A_{\geq 2}$ . By Lemma 2.15,  $\{I^c((2, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 2}\}$  is an antichain. It follows from Lih's Theorem that  $|A_{\geq 2}| = |\{I^c((2, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 2}\}| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - \binom{s - |O^c((1, [i^*]))|}{s/2} \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - \binom{(s/2)+1}{s/2} = \binom{s}{s/2} - \frac{s}{2} - 1 \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 3$ , i.e.,  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 4$ .

The case of  $|I((1, [1, j]))| = 1$  follows from the Duality Lemma.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By Corollary 3.7(i),  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . □

**Proposition 3.11.** *Suppose  $s \geq 4$  is even,  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$  and either  $|A_3| \geq 2$  or  $|A_3| = 1$  and  $A_{\geq 4} \neq \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ .*

(a) *If  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , then  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - \kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}^*(k)$  for some  $k \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ .*

(b) *If there exists some  $|A_2| + 1 \leq k \leq \min\{|A_2| + |A_3|, \binom{s}{s/2} - 1\}$  such that  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - \kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}(k) - 3$ , then  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .*

*Proof:* (a) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.5) here. Partition  $A_3^O$  ( $A_3^I$  resp.) into  $A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^{O(S)}$  ( $A_3^{I(D)}$  and  $A_3^{I(S)}$  resp.), where

$$A_3^{O(D)} = \{[i] \in A_3^O \mid O^c((1, [i])) \neq O^c((2, [i]))\}, A_3^{O(S)} = \{[i] \in A_3^O \mid O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((2, [i]))\}, \\ A_3^{I(D)} = \{[i] \in A_3^I \mid O^c((1, [i])) \neq O^c((2, [i]))\}, A_3^{I(S)} = \{[i] \in A_3^I \mid O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((2, [i]))\}.$$

Note that  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$  are *Different* (*Same* resp.) for  $A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^{I(D)}$  ( $A_3^{O(S)}$  and  $A_3^{I(S)}$  resp.).

Both  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup \{O^c((1, [l])) \mid [l] \in A_3^{I(S)}\}$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I \cup \{I^c((2, [l])) \mid [l] \in A_3^{O(S)}\}$  are antichains by Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 respectively. Furthermore,  $d_D((1, [1, i]), (1, [1, j])) = 4$  for each  $[i] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O \cup A_3^{I(S)}$  and  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I \cup A_3^{O(S)}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , implies  $O^c((\delta_1, [i])) \cap I^c((\delta_2, [j])) \neq \emptyset$  where

$$\delta_1 = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } [i] \in A_2 \cup A_3^{I(S)}, \\ 3, & \text{if } [i] \in A_3^O, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } [j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^{O(S)}, \\ 3, & \text{if } [j] \in A_3^I. \end{cases}$$

Equivalently, for any  $O^c((\delta_1, [i])) \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup \{O^c((1, [l])) \mid [l] \in A_3^{I(S)}\}$  and  $I^c((\delta_2, [j])) \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I \cup \{I^c((2, [l])) \mid [l] \in A_3^{O(S)}\}$ ,  $O^c((\delta_1, [i])) \cap I^c((\delta_2, [j])) = \emptyset$  only if  $[i] = [j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^{O(S)} \cup A_3^{I(S)}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & 2|A_2| + |A_3| \\ &= 2|A_2| + |A_3^O| + |A_3^I| \\ &\leq (|A_2| + |A_3^O| + |A_3^{I(S)}|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I| + |A_3^{O(S)}|) \\ &= |B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup \{O^c((1, [l])) \mid [l] \in A_3^{I(S)}\}| + |B_2^I \cup B_3^I \cup \{I^c((2, [l])) \mid [l] \in A_3^{O(S)}\}| \\ &\leq \binom{s}{s/2} + \binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - \kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}^*(|A_2| + |A_3^{O(S)}| + |A_3^{I(S)}|) \text{ by Theorem 2.10,} \end{aligned}$$

where  $k = |A_2| + |A_3^{O(S)}| + |A_3^{I(S)}| \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$  is as required.

(b) Let the  $\frac{s}{2}$ -subsets of  $\mathbb{N}_s$  in squashed order be  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$ . Note that  $\bar{X}_i = X_{\binom{s}{s/2}-i}$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{s}{s/2}$  and  $L_{s, \frac{s}{2}}(k) = \{X_{\binom{s}{s/2}}, X_{\binom{s}{s/2}-1}, \dots, X_{\binom{s}{s/2}-k+1}\}$ .



Furthermore, let  $\mu_i = (X_i, \mathbf{c})$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{s}{s/2}$ . We also use the previous notation,  $\binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{(s/2)+1} = \{\psi_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{s}{(s/2)+1}\}$ , and further assume  $\{\psi_i \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{s}{(s/2)+1}\} - |\nabla L_{s, \frac{s}{2}}(k)| = \{(Y, \mathbf{c}) \mid Y \in \binom{\mathbb{N}_s}{(s/2)+1} - \nabla L_{s, \frac{s}{2}}(k)\}$ .

If  $|A_{\geq 2}| \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , then by Corollary 3.7(i),  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . Hence, we assume  $|A_{\geq 2}| \geq \binom{s}{s/2}$ . Let  $A_2^\diamond = A_2 \cup A^*$ , where  $A^*$  is an arbitrary subset of  $A_3$  such that  $|A_2^\diamond| = k - 1$ ;  $A^* = \emptyset$  if  $|A_2| = k - 1$ . Then, let  $A_3^\diamond = A_3 - A^*$ . Furthermore, assume WLOG that  $A_2^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|}\}$ ,  $A_3^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|+|A_3^\diamond|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|}\}$  and  $A_4^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|+|A_3^\diamond|+|A_4^\diamond|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|+|A_3^\diamond|}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_c = s$ ,  $t_{[i]} = 3$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{T}(A_2^\diamond)$ ,  $t_{[j]} = 4$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{T}(A_4^\diamond)$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof. Define an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]), \text{ and} \quad (3.33)$$

$$\mu_{i+1} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \bar{\mu}_{i+1} \quad (3.34)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2| = k - 1$ , and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\frac{s}{2}$ -sets  $\mu_2, \mu_3, \dots, \mu_k$  ( $\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{\mu}_3, \dots, \bar{\mu}_k$  resp.) are used as ‘in-sets’ (‘out-sets’ resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.).

$$(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}, \quad (3.35)$$

$$\bar{\mu}_1 = \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_1 \rightarrow (2, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}, \text{ and} \quad (3.36)$$

$$\mu_{j+1} \rightarrow (3, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\mu}_{j+1} \quad (3.37)$$

for all  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\frac{s}{2}$ -sets  $\mu_{k+1}, \mu_{k+2}, \dots, \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}-1}$  are used as ‘in-sets’ to construct  $B_3^I$ .

$$\{(1, [l]), (2, [l])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\gamma, l]), (2, [\gamma, l])\} \rightarrow (3, [l]), \quad (3.38)$$

$$\mu_1 \rightarrow (1, [l]) \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \rightarrow (2, [l]) \rightarrow \mu_1, \text{ and} \quad (3.39)$$

$$\bar{\psi}_{l+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \rightarrow (3, [l]) \rightarrow \psi_{l+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \quad (3.40)$$

for all  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq l \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$  and all  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \deg_T([l]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $(\frac{s}{2} + 1)$ -sets  $\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_{\binom{s}{(s/2)+1} - |\nabla L_{s, \frac{s}{2}}(k)|}$  are used as ‘out-sets’ to construct  $B_3^O$ .

$$(2, [\tau, x]) \rightarrow \{(2, [x]), (4, [x])\} \rightarrow (1, [\tau, x]) \rightarrow \{(1, [x]), (3, [x])\} \rightarrow (2, [\tau, x]), \quad (3.41)$$

$$\text{and } \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \rightarrow \{(1, [x]), (4, [x])\} \rightarrow \mu_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [x]), (3, [x])\} \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \quad (3.42)$$

for all  $[x] \in A_4$  and all  $1 \leq \tau \leq \deg_T([x]) - 1$ .

$$\mu_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [y]), (2, [y])\} \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \quad (3.43)$$

for any  $[y] \in E$ . (See Figures 10 and 11 when  $s = 6$ ,  $k = 13$ .)

Claim:  $d_D(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D)$ .

Case 1.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for each  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  (see (3.33)-(3.34)) is similar to that in Proposition 3.9 (see (3.27)-(3.29)), this case follows from Cases 1.1 and 2 of Proposition 3.9.

Case 2.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  for each  $[i], [j] \in A_3$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_3$  (see (3.35)-(3.40)) is similar to that in Proposition 3.8 (see (3.17)-(3.23); note that  $\mu_1 = \lambda_1$  and  $\mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} = \lambda_{\frac{s}{2}+1}$ ), this case follows from Cases 1.1, 1.2, 2, 3 and 5 of Proposition 3.8.

Case 3.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for each  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_4$  (see (3.41)-(3.42)) is similar to that in Proposition 3.8 (see (3.24)-(3.25)), this case follows from Cases 1.3 and 4 of Proposition 3.8.

Case 4.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.33) and (3.36),  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i])$ ,  $\mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \rightarrow (1, [j])$ , and  $\mu_1 \rightarrow (2, [j])$ . With (3.34),  $O^c((p, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s/2}^c)}{\binom{s}{s/2}} - \{\mu_1, \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap \mu_1$  and  $y_i \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$  such that  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ . Furthermore, with (3.37),  $O^c((p, [i]))$  and  $O^c((3, [j]))$  are independent. So, there exists some  $z_{ij} \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap I^c((3, [j]))$  such that  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.33) and (3.36),  $(3 - p, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i])$ ,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_1$  and  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$ . Since  $I^c((p, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_{s/2}^c)}{\binom{s}{s/2}} - \{\mu_1, \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \mu_1 \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  and  $y_i \in \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (p, [i])$ . Furthermore, with (3.37),  $O^c((p, [i]))$  and  $O^c((3, [j]))$  are independent. So, there exists some  $z_{ij} \in O^c((3, [j])) \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (p, [i])$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [i]), (3, [j])) = 2$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.35), this subcase follows.

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (3.34)-(3.36),  $\{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ ,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_1$ ,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$  and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (3, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.35), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow (3 - p, [\alpha, i])$  by (3.33), this subcase follows.

Case 5.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $\binom{s}{s/2} - 1 \leq j \leq |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.33) and (3.39),  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (p, [i])$ ,  $\mu_1 \rightarrow (1, [j])$  and  $\mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \rightarrow (2, [j])$ . With (3.34),  $O^c((p, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{s/2} - \{\mu_1, \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap \mu_1$  and  $y_i \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$  such that  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ . Furthermore, with (3.40),  $O^c((p, [i])) = \bar{\mu}_{i+1} = (X_{i+1}, \mathbf{c})$ , where  $X_{i+1} \in L_{n, \frac{n}{2}}(k)$  and  $O^c(3, [j]) = \psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} = (Y, \mathbf{c})$  for some  $Y \in \binom{\mathbb{N}_s}{\binom{s}{s/2}+1} - \nabla L_{n, \frac{n}{2}}(k)$  so that  $\bar{\mu}_{i+1} \not\subseteq \psi_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}$ . That is, there exists some  $z_{ij} \in O^c((p, [i])) \cap I^c((3, [j]))$  such that  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (3.33) and (3.39),  $(p, [i]) \rightarrow (3 - p, [\alpha, i])$ ,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$ , and  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \mu_1$ . With (3.34),  $I^c((p, [i])) \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{s/2} - \{\mu_1, \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \mu_1 \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  and  $y_i \in \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (p, [i])$ . Furthermore, with (3.40),  $|O^c((3, [j]))| + |I^c((p, [i]))| = \left(\frac{s}{2} + 1\right) + \frac{s}{2} > s$ . That is, there exists some  $z_{ij} \in O^c((3, [j])) \cap I^c((p, [i]))$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (p, [i])$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [j])) = 2$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.38), this subcase follows.

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

From (ii),  $d_D((3, [j]), (p, [i])) = 2$ . Since  $\{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow (3, [j])$  by (3.38), this subcase follows.

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (3.38), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(3 - p, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i])$  by (3.33), this subcase follows.

Case 6.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  and  $A_4$  (see (3.33)-(3.34), (3.41)-(3.42)) are similar to those in Proposition 3.9 (see (3.27)-(3.31)), this case follows from Case 4 of Proposition 3.9.

Case 7.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]),$

$(2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_3$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_3$  and  $A_4$  (see (3.35)-(3.42)) are similar to those in Proposition 3.8 (see (3.17)-(3.25)), this case follows from Cases 6 and 7 of Proposition 3.8.

Case 8. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[x] \in A_4$ . By (3.42)-(3.43),  $\mu_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [x])\} \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$ , this case follows from Case 6.

Case 9. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[x] \in A_4$ . By (3.42)-(3.43),  $\mu_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [x])\} \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$ , this case follows from Case 7.

Case 10. For each  $[i] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $x = |A_2| + 1$ . By (3.36) and (3.43),  $\mu_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [x])\} \rightarrow \mu_{\binom{s}{s/2}}$ , this case follows from Case 7.

Case 11.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $V_2 = \{(1, [|A_2| + 1]), (2, [|A_2| + 1])\} \cup \{(1, [i]) \mid 1 \leq i \leq |A_2|\} \cup \{(3, [j]) \mid |A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 2\}$ . Then,  $\{I^c(v) \mid v \in V^*\} = \binom{\mathbb{N}_s}{s/2}$  and the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V^*$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 12.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq l \leq s$  such that  $d_D(v, (l, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D((l, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 11. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (l, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((l, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 13.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq l \leq s$  such that  $d_D((l, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D(v, (l, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 11. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (l, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((l, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 14.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Therefore, the claim follows. Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D$  and  $d(D) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3 and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 10: <sup>4</sup>Partial orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 6$ ,  $k = 13$ ;  
 $A_2 = \{[1], [2], \dots, [12]\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{[13], [14], \dots, [20]\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{[21], [22]\}$ ,  $E = \{[23], [24]\}$   
 Note that  $\mathbf{123} <_s \mathbf{124} <_s \mathbf{134} <_s \mathbf{234} <_s \mathbf{125} <_s \mathbf{135} <_s \mathbf{235} <_s \mathbf{145} <_s \mathbf{245} <_s$   
 $\mathbf{345} <_s \mathbf{126} <_s \mathbf{136} <_s \mathbf{236} <_s \mathbf{146} <_s \mathbf{246} <_s \mathbf{346} <_s \mathbf{156} <_s \mathbf{256} <_s \mathbf{356} <_s \mathbf{456}$ .  
 So,  $L_{6,3}(13) = \{\mathbf{145}, \mathbf{245}, \dots, \mathbf{456}\}$ ,  $\binom{\mathbb{N}_6}{4} - \nabla L_{6,3}(13) = \{\mathbf{1234}, \mathbf{1235}\}$  and  $\kappa_{6,3}(13) = 0$ .




Figure 11:  ${}^4$ Partial orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 6$ ,  $k = 13$ ;  
 $A_2 = \{[1], [2], \dots, [12]\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{[13], [14], \dots, [20]\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{[21], [22]\}$ ,  $E = \{[23], [24]\}$ .

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.7. Unfortunately, we were not able to give a complete characterisation for Proposition 3.11. Our core idea is the consideration of intersecting antichains with at most  $k$  disjoint pairs, thus, invoking Theorem 2.10. We believe the gap between the necessary and sufficient conditions ( $\kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}^*(\cdot)$  and  $\kappa_{s, \frac{s}{2}}(\cdot)$  resp.) may be further tightened if there is an analogue of Theorem 2.10 on *exactly*  $k$  disjoint pairs; more discussion may be found in the last section of [23].

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.8

Similar to the previous section, we prove Theorem 1.8 by considering cases.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose  $s \geq 3$  is odd,  $A_2 = \emptyset$  and  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if and only if  $|A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .*

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.3)-(3.5) here. By Sperner's Theorem,  $|B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$  and  $|B_3^I| \leq$

$\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ . So, if  $|B_3^O| = 0$  or  $|B_3^I| = 0$ , then  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Therefore, we assume  $|B_3^O| > 0$  and  $|B_3^I| > 0$ .

In what follows, we first show that for any  $[i] \in A_3$  and any  $p = 1, 2, 3$ , if  $|O^c((p, [i]))|$  is too big ( $> \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ ) or too small ( $< \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ ), then  $|A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

Case 1. There exists some  $[i] \in A_3$  such that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $p = 1, 2, 3$ .

For any  $[j] \in A_3^O - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((3, [j])) \cap I^c((p, [i])) \neq \emptyset$ . By Lih's Theorem,  $|B_3^O| - 1 \leq |B_3^O - \{O^c((3, [i]))\}| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{s - |I^c((p, [i]))|}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{\lceil s/2 \rceil + 1}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - (\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil + 1) \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 3$ . It follows that  $|B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$  and  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq [\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2] + \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

Case 2. There exists some  $[i] \in A_3$  such that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| < \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $p = 1, 2, 3$ .

In other words,  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ . Hence, this case follows from Case 1 by the Duality Lemma.

Case 3. For all  $[i] \in A_3$  and all  $p = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \leq |O^c((p, [i]))| \leq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ .

Note that for all  $[i] \in A_3^O$  and  $[j] \in A_3^I$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, i]), (1, [1, j])) = 4$  implies  $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_3^O$  and  $Y \in B_3^I$ . Now, it suffices to consider the case where  $B_3^O \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{[s/2]}{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathcal{C})}$ . For otherwise,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$  by Theorems 2.4 and 2.5. Partition  $A_3^O$  ( $A_3^I$  resp.) into  $A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^{O(S)}$  ( $A_3^{I(D)}$  and  $A_3^{I(S)}$  resp.), where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A_3^{O(D)} &= \{[i] \in A_3^O \mid O^c((1, [i])) \neq O^c((2, [i]))\}, \\ A_3^{O(S)} &= \{[i] \in A_3^O \mid O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((2, [i]))\}, \\ A_3^{I(D)} &= \{[i] \in A_3^I \mid O^c((1, [i])) \neq O^c((2, [i]))\}, \\ \text{and } A_3^{I(S)} &= \{[i] \in A_3^I \mid O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((2, [i]))\}. \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (4.1)$$

Note:  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$  are *Different* (*Same* resp.) for  $A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^{I(D)}$  ( $A_3^{O(S)}$  and  $A_3^{I(S)}$  resp.).

**Remark 4.2.** Now, we shall make a series of assumptions on the structure of  $D$ , on which we will derive  $|A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$  if any one fails to hold. And under all these assumptions, we arrive at the same required conclusion.

Assumption 1:  $|A_3^{O(D)}| \geq 2$  and  $|A_3^{I(D)}| \geq 2$ .

Suppose  $|A_3^{O(D)}| \leq 1$ . By Lemma 2.15,  $\{I^c((1, [j])) \mid [j] \in A_3^{O(S)}\} \cup B_3^I$  is an antichain. By Sperner's Theorem,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| = |A_3^{O(D)}| + |\{I^c((1, [j])) \mid [j] \in A_3^{O(S)}\}| + |B_3^I| \leq 1 + \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . A similar argument follows if  $|A_3^{I(D)}| \leq 1$ .

Subcase 3.1.  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

For any  $[j] \in A_3^I$  and  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((p, [i])) \cap I^c((3, [j])) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $I^c((3, [j])) \neq I^c((p, [i]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Hence,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + [\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

Subcase 3.2.  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

This follows from Subcase 3.2 by the Duality Lemma.

Subcase 3.3.  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i^*]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $[i^*] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

( $\star$ ) For any  $[j] \in A_3^I$  and  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $d_D((1, [i^*]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $I^c((3, [j])) \neq I^c((1, [i^*]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Now, we are going to establish some assumptions regarding  $A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^{I(D)}$ , and provide justifications accordingly.

Assumption 2A:  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)} - \{[i^*]\}$  such that  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . Note by definition of  $A_3^{O(D)}$  that  $O^c((3, [i]))$  is equal to at most one of  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$ , so say  $O^c((3, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i]))$ . Also, for any  $[j] \in A_3^O - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (1, [i])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((3, [j])) \neq O^c((1, [i]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Hence,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq 2[\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Therefore, and in view of Subcase 3.1, we may now assume  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Assumption 3A:  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)} - \{[i^*]\}$  such that  $O^c((1, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i^*]))$ . For any  $[j] \in A_3^I$  and  $x = i, i^*$ ,  $d_D((1, [x]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $I^c((3, [j])) \neq I^c((1, [x]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Hence,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + [\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Thus, we may assume  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Assumption 4A:  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$  such that  $O^c((2, [i])) \neq O^c((3, [i]))$ . Also, for any  $[j] \in A_3^O - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((3, [j])) \neq O^c((2, [i]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , and  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq 2[\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Therefore, the assumption follows.

Assumption 5A:  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$  such that  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ . For any  $[j] \in A_3^O$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((3, [j])) \neq O^c((2, [i]))$ . So,  $|B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Hence,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq 2[\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Therefore, and in view of Subcase 3.2, we may now assume  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Assumption 6A:  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$  and some  $p = 1, 2$ , such that  $O^c((p, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((p, [i])) \neq O^c((3, [i]))$ . Also, for any  $[j] \in A_3^I - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $I^c((3, [j])) \neq I^c((p, [i]))$ . Therefore, for all  $X \in B_3^I$ ,  $X \neq I^c((p, [i]))$  and recall from ( $\star$ ) that  $X \neq I^c((1, [i^*]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$  and  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + [\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

Therefore, for each  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$  and each  $p = 1, 2$ , either  $O^c((p, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  or  $O^c((p, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$ . By the definition of  $A_3^{I(D)}$ , we may assume WLOG that  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Now, with Assumptions 1, 2A-6A in place, consider  $[j] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ . For any  $[k] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ ,  $O^c((1, [k])) = O^c((1, [i^*])) = O^c((1, [j]))$  and  $d_D((1, [j]), (1, [1, k])) = 3$  imply  $O^c((1, [j])) \cap I^c((2, [k])) \neq \emptyset$ . Equivalently,  $O^c((1, [i^*])) = O^c((1, [j])) \not\subseteq O^c((2, [k]))$ . Note also that there are  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  number of  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -supersets of  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$ . Recall that  $O^c((2, [k])) =$



$O^c((3, [k]))$ , so that  $\{O^c((2, [k])) \mid [k] \in A_3^{O(D)}\} = \{O^c((3, [k])) \mid [k] \in A_3^{O(D)}\} \subseteq \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{[\frac{s}{2}]}$ . So,  $|A_3^{O(D)}| \leq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - [\frac{s}{2}] \leq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 2$ . Since  $\{I^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^{O(S)}\} \cup B_3^I$  is an antichain by Lemma 2.15,  $|A_3^{O(S)}| + |A_3^I| = |\{I^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^{O(S)}\} \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]}$  by Sperner's Theorem. Hence,  $|A_3| = |A_3^O| + |A_3^I| = |A_3^{O(D)}| + (|A_3^{O(S)}| + |A_3^I|) \leq [\binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 2] + \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} = 2\binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 2$ .

Subcase 3.4.  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| = [\frac{s}{2}]$  and  $|O^c((2, [i^*]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[i^*] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

This follows from Subcase 3.3 by the Duality Lemma. For clarity, we state the analogous versions of Assumptions 2A-6A below.

Assumption 2B:  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = [\frac{s}{2}]$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Assumption 3B:  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Assumption 4B:  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Assumption 5B:  $|O^c((1, [j]))| = |O^c((2, [j]))| = [\frac{s}{2}]$  for all  $[j] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Assumption 6B:  $O^c((1, [j])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((2, [j])) = O^c((3, [j]))$  for all  $[j] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Subcase 3.5.  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = [\frac{s}{2}]$  and  $|O^c((1, [j]))| = |O^c((2, [j]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $[j] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Note by definition of  $A_3^{O(D)}$  that  $O^c((3, [i]))$  is equal to at most one of  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$ , say  $O^c((3, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i]))$ . Also, for any  $[k] \in A_3^O - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, k]), (1, [i])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((3, [k])) \neq O^c((1, [i]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 1$ .

Similarly, by definition of  $A_3^{I(D)}$ ,  $I^c((3, [j]))$  is equal to at most one of  $I^c((1, [j]))$  and  $I^c((2, [j]))$ , say  $I^c((3, [j])) \neq I^c((1, [j]))$ . Also, for any  $[k] \in A_3^I - \{[j]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [j]), (1, [1, k])) = 3$  implies  $I^c((3, [k])) \neq I^c((1, [j]))$ . It follows that  $|B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 1$ . Hence,  $|A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_3^I| \leq 2[\binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 2$ .

In view of the above, it is intuitive to construct an optimal orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  with  $B_3^O \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{[\frac{s}{2}]}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $|A_{\geq 3}| \leq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 1$ , then by Corollary 3.7(i),  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . Hence, we assume  $|A_{\geq 3}| \geq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]}$  hereafter, on top of the hypothesis that  $|A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 2$ . If  $|A_3| \geq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]}$ , define  $A_3^\diamond = A_3$ . Otherwise, let  $A_3^\diamond = A_3 \cup A^*$ , where  $A^*$  is an arbitrary subset of  $A_{\geq 4}$  such that  $|A_3^\diamond| = \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]}$ . Then, let  $A_4^\diamond = A_3 \cup A_{\geq 4} - A_3^\diamond$ . Furthermore, assume WLOG that  $A_3^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_3^\diamond|}\}$  and  $A_4^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_3^\diamond|+|A_4^\diamond|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_3^\diamond|}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_c = s$ ,  $t_{[i]} = 3$  for all  $[i] \in \mathcal{T}(A_3^\diamond)$ ,  $t_{[j]} = 4$  for all  $[j] \in \mathcal{T}(A_4^\diamond)$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof. Define an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1, \text{ and} \quad (4.3)$$

$$\lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \quad (4.4)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{[\frac{s}{2}]} - 1$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([i]) - 1$ , i.e., excluding  $\lambda_1$ , the  $[\frac{s}{2}]$ -sets

$\lambda_i$ 's are used as 'in-sets' to construct  $B_3^I$ .

$$\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow (3, [j]), \quad (4.5)$$

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1, \text{ and} \quad (4.6)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{j+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \rightarrow (3, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \quad (4.7)$$

for all  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq j \leq |A_3|$  and all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{|A_3|+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  are used as 'out-sets' to construct  $B_3^O$ .

$$(2, [\gamma, k]) \rightarrow \{(2, [k]), (4, [k])\} \rightarrow (1, [\gamma, k]) \rightarrow \{(1, [k]), (3, [k])\} \rightarrow (2, [\gamma, k]), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [k]), (4, [k])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [k]), (3, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.9)$$

for all  $[k] \in A_4$  and all  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \deg_T([k]) - 1$ .

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [l]), (2, [l])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.10)$$

for all  $[l] \in E$ . (See Figure 12 for  $D$  when  $s = 3$ .)

Claim:  $d_D(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D)$ .

Case 1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (4.3),  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ , and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+1} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$  by (4.4), there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ . With (4.2), this case follows.

Case 1.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq i \leq |A_3|$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (4.6),  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i])$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [i])$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$  by (4.7), there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  and some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ . With (4.5), this case follows.

Case 1.3.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

This is clear since (4.8) guarantees a directed  $C_4$ .

Case 2. For each  $1 \leq i, j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.2)-(4.3),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [j])$  and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (1, [j])$ . Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{j+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_j \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{j+1}$  such that  $x_j \rightarrow (3, [j])$  by (4.4).

(ii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (3, [j])) = 3$  by (i). Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.2), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.2)-(4.3),  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  and  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+1} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$  by (4.4). Also, since  $i \neq j$ , there exists  $z_{ij} \in \bar{\lambda}_{j+1} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ .

Case 3. For each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq i, j \leq |A_3|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.5)-(4.6),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]), \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [j])$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  and  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$  by (4.7). Also, since  $i \neq j$ , there exists  $z_{ij} \in \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \cap \bar{\lambda}_{j+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.5), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.6),  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [i]), (2, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i])$ . With (4.7), since  $|\lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}| = |\lambda_1| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_j \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow x_j$ . With  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.5), this subcase follows.

Case 4. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (4.8)-(4.9),  $(2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ ,  $\{(1, [i]), (4, [i])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  and  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ .

(ii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.8)-(4.9),  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$ ,  $\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$ ,  $\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  and  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 1, 2, 3, 4$ . So, this subcase holds as  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$ , and  $\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.8).

Case 5. For each  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq j \leq |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.2)-(4.3) and (4.6)-(4.7),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]), (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [j])$ , and  $|I^c((3, [j]))| > 0$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.6),  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ ,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+1} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$  by (4.4). With (4.7), since  $|\lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $z_{ij} \in \lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such

that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ . With  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.2), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.3)-(4.6),  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]), (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [j]), |O^c((3, [i]))| > 0$  and  $\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.5) and (4.3),  $\{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow (3, [j]), \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (1, [i]), \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [i])$ . Since  $\lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\binom{s}{s/2}} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exists some  $x_j \in \lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_1$  and some  $y_j \in \lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{x_j, y_j\}$  by (4.7). With (4.4), since  $|\lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}}| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \binom{s}{2}$ , there exists some  $z_{ij} \in \lambda_{j+2-\binom{s}{s/2}} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.2)-(4.3) and (4.5)-(4.6),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$ .

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (3, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.2), this subcase follows.

Case 6. For each  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{s/2} - 1$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (4.2)-(4.3) and (4.9),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}, (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (4.9),  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$  and  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+1} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\binom{s}{s/2}} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.2) and (4.4).

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.8)-(4.9) and (4.3)-(4.4),  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}, \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}, \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j]), \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$ , and  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.8)-(4.9) and (4.3)-(4.4),  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  and  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}, \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1, \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ , and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.8), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (3, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.2),

this subcase follows.

Case 7. For each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq i \leq |A_3|$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (4.5) and (4.9),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$  by (4.7).

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (4.9), (4.6) and (4.5),  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i])$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [i])$ , and  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.6) and (4.9),  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  and  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ . With (4.7), since  $|\lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}| = |\lambda_1| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \lambda_{i+2-\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \cap \lambda_1$ , so that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ . With  $\{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.8), this subcase follows.

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.6)-(4.9),  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.8), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (1, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.5), this subcase follows.

Case 8. For each  $[i] \in A_3$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_4$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.9)-(4.10), this case follows from Cases 6(i)-(ii) and 7(i)-(ii).

Case 9. For each  $[i] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [1])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.3) and (4.10), this case follows from Cases 6(iii)-(iv).

Case 10.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $x_1 = (2, [1])$ ,  $x_{k+1} = (3, [k])$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq s - 1$ . Observe from (4.3)-(4.4) that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq s$  and the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V_2 = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is a

complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 11.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 10. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 12.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 10. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 13.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Therefore, the claim follows. Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D$  and  $d(D) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3, and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 12:  ${}^4$ Orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 3$ ,  
 $A_3 = \{[1], [2], [3], [4]\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{[5], [6]\}$ ,  $E = \{[7], [8]\}$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose  $s \geq 3$  is odd,  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $A_{\geq 4} = \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1, & \text{if } |A_3| = 1, \\ (i) \ 2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2, \text{ or} \\ (ii) \ 2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1, |A_2| \geq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \text{ and } s \geq 5, & \text{if } |A_3| \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.5) here, unless stated otherwise.

Case 1:  $|A_3| = 1$ .

Let  $A_3 = \{[j]\}$ . By Lemma 2.13(b), either  $|O((1, [j]))| = 1$  or  $|I((1, [j]))| = 1$ . If  $|O((1, [1, j]))| = 1$ , say  $O((1, [1, j])) = \{(1, [j])\}$  (instead of (3.4)), then by Lemma 2.14,  $\{O^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_2 \cup A_3\}$  is an antichain. So,  $|A_2| + |A_3| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  by Sperner's Theorem, i.e.,  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . If  $|I((1, [j]))| = 1$ , then this case follows by the Duality Lemma.

**Remark 4.4.** The outline of Case 2 is largely similar to Proposition 4.1. In particular, Remark 3.2 applies here. Hence, we will make reference to Proposition 4.1 as we proceed. We first show that for any  $[i] \in A_2 \cup A_3$  and any  $p = 1, 2, 3$  (wherever applicable), if  $|O^c((p, [i]))|$  is too big ( $> \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ ) or too small ( $< \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ ), then  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Here, observe that  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  ( $B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  resp.) plays an analogous role of  $B_3^O$  ( $B_3^I$  resp.) in Proposition 4.1.

Case 2:  $|A_3| \geq 2$ .

Note that  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  are antichains by Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 respectively. Hence,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  and  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  by Sperner's Theorem. So, if  $|A_3^O| = 0$  ( $|A_3^I| = 0$  resp.), then  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  ( $|A_2| + |A_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  resp.) implies that  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . It follows that  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2] + \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . Therefore, we assume  $|B_3^O| > 0$  and  $|B_3^I| > 0$ .

Subcase 2.1. There exists some  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(c)$  such that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $p = 1, 2, 3$ .

If  $[i] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$ , then  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$  for any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O - \{[i]\}$  implies  $X \cap I^c((p, [i])) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((\delta, [i]))\}$ , where

$$\delta = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } [i] \in A_2, \\ 3, & \text{if } [i] \in A_3^O, \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

By Lih's Theorem,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| - 1 = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((\delta, [i]))\}| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{s - |I^c((p, [i]))|}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{\lceil s/2 \rceil + 1}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - (\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil + 1) \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 3$ . It follows that  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

If  $[i] \notin A_2 \cup A_3^O$ , then  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (p, [i])) = 3$  for each  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$ , implies  $X \cap I^c((p, [i])) \neq \emptyset$  for each  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ . Hence, by Lih's Theorem,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{s - |I^c((p, [i]))|}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{\lceil s/2 \rceil + 1}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - (\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil + 1) \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 3$ .

In both subcases,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2 + \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

Subcase 2.2. There exists some  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(c)$  such that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| < \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $p = 1, 2, 3$ .

In other words,  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . The result follows from Subcase 2.1 by the Duality Lemma.

**Remark 4.5.** At this stage of Proposition 4.1, we invoked Theorems 2.4 and 2.5 to conclude  $B_3^O \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{[N_s, c]}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ . However, the two theorems cannot apply here because for  $[i] \in A_2$ , and  $d_D((1, [i]), (2, [i])) \leq 4$ , it is not necessary that  $O^c((1, [i])) \cap I^c((2, [i])) \neq \emptyset$ . Consequently,  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  may not be cross-intersecting.

So, we need more work (i.e., Subcases 2.3.1-2.3.5 below) before arriving at the desired last case of  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{[N_s, c]}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ . Retrospectively, we could have used such a similar, yet more lengthy, argument in Proposition 4.1.



Subcase 2.3. For all  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and all  $p = 1, 2, 3$ ,  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \leq |O^c((p, [i]))| \leq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ . Partition  $A_3^O$  ( $A_3^I$  resp.) into  $A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^{O(S)}$  ( $A_3^{I(D)}$  and  $A_3^{I(S)}$  resp.) as in (4.1).

Assumption 1:  $|A_3^{O(D)}| \geq 1$  and  $|A_3^{I(D)}| \geq 1$ .

Suppose  $A_3^{O(D)} = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((2, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^O$ . By Lemma 2.15,  $\{I^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^O\} \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  is an antichain. By Sperner's Theorem,  $|A_2| + |A_3| = |B_3^O| + |B_2^I| + |B_3^I| = |\{I^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^O\} \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ . Since  $|A_3| \geq 2$ , this implies  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . Therefore,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} + [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . A similar argument follows if  $A_3^{I(D)} = \emptyset$ .

Subcase 2.3.1. There exist  $X^O, Y^O \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  and  $X^I, Y^I \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ , such that  $|X^O| = |X^I| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|Y^O| = |Y^I| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ .

By Sperner's Theorem,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$  and  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Hence,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

Subcase 2.3.2.  $|X^*| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $|Y^*| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $X^*, Y^* \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{[N_s, \mathbf{c}]}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ , or for some  $X^*, Y^* \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  and  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \subseteq \binom{[N_s, \mathbf{c}]}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ .

Consider the former case; a similar argument follows for the latter. By Sperner's Theorem,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Let  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ . Suppose  $|O^c((p, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $p = 1, 2, 3$ . Note that  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  for each  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$  implies  $X \cap O^c((p, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . Since there are  $\binom{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  number of  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -subsets of  $I^c((p, [i]))$ ,  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . Therefore,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1] + [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 3$ .

Suppose  $|O^c((p, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $p = 1, 2, 3$ . Similarly, for  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  for each  $[i] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$  implies  $X \neq I^c((p, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  and  $p = 1, 2$ . So,  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ , which implies  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1] + [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 3$ .

Subcase 2.3.3.  $|X^*| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $|Y^*| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $X^*, Y^* \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{[N_s, \mathbf{c}]}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ , or for some  $X^*, Y^* \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  and  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \subseteq \binom{[N_s, \mathbf{c}]}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ .

Consider the former case; a similar argument follows for the latter. By Sperner's Theorem,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Let  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ . Suppose  $|O^c((p, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $p = 1, 2, 3$ . Then,  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  for each  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$  implies  $X \neq I^c((p, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . So,  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ , which implies  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1] + [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

Suppose  $|O^c((p, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $p = 1, 2, 3$ . Note by definition of  $A_3^{O(D)}$  that  $O^c((3, [i]))$  is equal to at most one of  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$ , say  $O^c((3, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i]))$ . Then,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (1, [i])) = 3$  for each  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O - \{[i]\}$  implies  $X \cap I^c((1, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((3, [i]))\}$ . So,  $X \not\subseteq O^c((1, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ . It follows that  $R = B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup \{O^c((1, [i]))\}$  is an antichain. Since there exists  $X, Y \in R$  such that  $|X| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ , and  $|Y| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , it follows from Sperner's Theorem that  $|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| + 1 = |R| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Hence,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . This implies  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2] + \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

Subcase 2.3.4.  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{[N_s, \mathbf{c}]}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ .

Let  $[i] \in A_3^O$ . For any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$ ,  $d_D((3, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((3, [i])) \cap X \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . In particular,  $X \not\subseteq I^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . Since there are  $\binom{\lceil s/2 \rceil}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  number of  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -subsets of  $I^c((3, [i]))$ , it follows that  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . Hence,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} + [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

Subcase 2.3.5.  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \subseteq \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , or  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \subseteq \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ .

Consider the former case; a similar argument follows for the latter. Let  $[i] \in A_3^O$ , and  $[j] \in A_3^I$ . For any  $[k] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$ ,  $d_D((3, [i]), (1, [1, k])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq I^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . This implies  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Similarly, for any  $[k] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, k]), (3, [j])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq O^c((3, [j]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ . Thus,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Hence,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1] + [\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

**Remark 4.6.** An approach similar to the one mentioned in Remark 4.2 is employed here. We shall establish a series of claims on the structure of  $D$ , from which we will derive  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$  if any one fails to hold. In other words,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$  is only possible in the last scenario where all these claims hold.

Subcase 2.3.6.  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ .

Subcase 2.3.6.1.  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

For any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$  and  $p = 1, 2$ ,  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq I^c((p, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . It follows that  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . Hence,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} + [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

Subcase 2.3.6.2.  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

This subcase follows from the Duality Lemma and Subcase 2.3.6.1.

Subcase 2.3.6.3.  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i^*]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for some  $[i^*] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

( $\star\star$ ) For any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$ ,  $d_D((1, [i^*]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq I^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . It follows that  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Therefore,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|B_2^O| + |B_3^O|) + (|B_2^I| + |B_3^I|) \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + [\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ .

Subcase 2.3.6.3 is done for  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ . Now, our aim is to prove  $|A_2| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $s \geq 5$  in the event of  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . The following claims will help us to achieve said aim.

Suppose  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Equivalently,

$$|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \text{ and } |B_2^I| + |B_3^I| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1. \quad (4.12)$$

Then, Claims 2A-6A hold.

Claim 2A:  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)} - \{[i^*]\}$  such that  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ . Note by definition of  $A_3^{O(D)}$  that  $O^c((3, [i]))$  is equal to at most one of  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and

$O^c((2, [i]))$ , say  $O^c((3, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i]))$ . Also, for any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (1, [i])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq O^c((1, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((3, [i]))\}$ . It follows that  $|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , a contradiction to (4.12). In view of Subcase 2.3.6.1, the claim follows.

Claim 3A:  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)} - \{[i^*]\}$  such that  $O^c((1, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i^*]))$ . For any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$  and  $x = i, i^*$ ,  $d_D((1, [x]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq I^c((1, [x]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . It follows that  $|B_2^I| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ , a contradiction to (4.12).

Claim 4A:  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$  such that  $O^c((2, [i])) \neq O^c((3, [i]))$ . Then, for any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq O^c((2, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((3, [i]))\}$ . It follows that  $|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , a contradiction to (4.12).

Claim 5A:  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Suppose there exists some  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$  such that  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ . For any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq O^c((2, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ . So,  $|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , a contradiction to (4.12). In view of Subcase 2.3.6.2, the claim follows.

Claim 6A:  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Suppose there exist some  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$  and some  $p = 1, 2$ , such that  $O^c((p, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((p, [i])) \neq O^c((3, [i]))$ . Also, for any  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $X \cap O^c((p, [i])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I - \{I^c((3, [i]))\}$ . Therefore, for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ ,  $X \neq I^c((p, [i]))$  and recall from  $(\star\star)$  that  $X \neq I^c((1, [i^*]))$ . It follows that  $|B_2^I| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ , a contradiction to (4.12).

Therefore, it must follow that for each  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$  and each  $p = 1, 2$ , either  $O^c((p, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  or  $O^c((p, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$ . By the definition of  $A_3^{I(D)}$ , we have  $O^c((1, [i])) \neq O^c((2, [i]))$  for each  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ . Hence, we may assume WLOG that  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Now, we are ready to prove  $|A_2| \geq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $s \geq 5$  in the event of  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ .

Suppose  $s = 3$ . By Claims 5A-6A,  $|O^c((1, [j])) \cup O^c((2, [j]))| \leq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for any  $[j] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ . Since  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , we have  $O^c((1, [j])) \cup O^c((2, [j])) \subseteq X$  for some  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ , a contradiction to Lemma 2.16(b). Hence,  $s \geq 5$ .

Let  $[j] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ ,  $[i] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$  and recall  $\delta$  from (4.11). Then, Claim 6A and  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (\delta, [i])) = 3$  imply  $O^c((1, [i^*])) \cup O^c((2, [j])) = O^c((1, [j])) \cup O^c((2, [j])) \neq O^c((\delta, [i]))$ , i.e.,  $O^c((2, [j]))$  cannot be a  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -set whose union with  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$  forms a  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -set. So,  $\{O^c((3, [j])) \mid [j] \in A_3^{I(D)}\} \cap R = \{O^c((2, [j])) \mid [j] \in A_3^{I(D)}\} \cap R = \emptyset$ , where  $R = \{X \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \mid |X \cup O^c((1, [i^*]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor\}$ . Note that there are  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  number of  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -supersets of  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$  for there are  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  elements not in  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$ . For each of these supersets, there are exactly  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  number of  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -sets whose union with  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$  forms the superset, as each  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -set differ from  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$  by exactly one element and there

are  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  choices for such an element in  $O^c((1, [i^*]))$ . So,  $|R| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ .

Furthermore,  $d_D((1, [i^*]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  and the definition of  $R$  imply  $O^c((1, [i^*])) \notin \{O^c((3, [j])) \mid [j] \in A_3^{I(D)}\} \cup R$ . It follows that  $\{O^c((3, [j])) \mid [j] \in A_3^{I(D)}\} \cup R \subseteq \binom{[s]}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{O^c((1, [i^*]))\}$ . So,  $|A_3^{I(D)}| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1 - |R| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1 - \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . Since  $\{O^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^{I(S)}\} \cup B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  is an antichain by Lemma 2.14,  $|A_3^{I(S)}| + |B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . So,  $|A_2| + |A_3| = |A_3^{I(D)}| + (|A_3^{I(S)}| + |B_2^O| + |B_3^O|) \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1 - \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . Using  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , we derive  $|A_2| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ .

**Remark 4.7.** We are done for Subcase 2.3.6.3 but we want to investigate further for more information to construct the optimal orientations for the case  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  in proving the converse later. (It is also neater to present the argument here.) In fact, such an optimal orientation has to satisfy the above set of Claims 2A-6A or the analogous set of Claims 2B-6B in Subcase 2.3.6.4. (see Corollary 4.10).

Claim 7A:  $A_3^O = A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^I = A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Note that  $|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , and  $|A_3^{I(S)}| + |B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$  imply  $|A_3^{I(S)}| = 0$  and  $A_3^I = A_3^{I(D)}$ . Also,  $\{I^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^{O(S)}\} \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  is an antichain by Lemma 2.15. For all  $[j] \in A_3^{O(S)} \cup A_2 \cup A_3^I$ ,  $d_D((1, [i^*]), (1, [1, j])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((1, [i^*])) \cap X \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in \{I^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_3^{O(S)}\} \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . By Lih's Theorem,  $|A_3^{O(S)}| + |B_2^I| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \binom{s-|O^c((1, [i^*]))|}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Since  $|B_2^I| + |B_3^I| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , it follows that  $|A_3^{O(S)}| = 0$  and  $A_3^O = A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Subcase 2.3.6.4.  $|O^c((1, [i^*]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  and  $|O^c((2, [i^*]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[i^*] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

This follows from Subcase 3.3.6.3 by the Duality Lemma. For clarity, we state the analogous versions of Claims 2A-6A below that hold if  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ .

Claim 2B:  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Claim 3B:  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Claim 4B:  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Claim 5B:  $|O^c((1, [j]))| = |O^c((2, [j]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $[j] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Claim 6B:  $O^c((1, [j])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  and  $O^c((2, [j])) = O^c((3, [j]))$  for all  $[j] \in A_3^{O(D)}$ .

Claim 7B:  $A_3^O = A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $A_3^I = A_3^{I(D)}$ .

Subcase 2.3.6.5.  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  and  $|O^c((1, [j]))| = |O^c((2, [j]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[i] \in A_3^{O(D)}$  and  $[j] \in A_3^{I(D)}$ .

By definition of  $A_3^{O(D)}$ ,  $O^c((3, [i]))$  is equal to at most one of  $O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [i]))$ , say  $O^c((3, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i]))$ . Also, for any  $[k] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O - \{[i]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, k]), (1, [i])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq O^c((1, [i]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((3, [i]))\}$ . Thus,  $|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ .

Similarly, by definition of  $A_3^{I(D)}$ ,  $I^c((3, [j]))$  is equal to at most one of  $I^c((1, [j]))$  and  $I^c((2, [j]))$ , say  $I^c((3, [j])) \neq I^c((1, [j]))$ . Also, for any  $[k] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I - \{[j]\}$ ,  $d_D((1, [j]), (1, [1, k])) = 3$  implies  $X \neq I^c((1, [j]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I - \{I^c((3, [j]))\}$ . Thus,  $|B_2^I| + |B_3^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Hence,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|B_2^O| + |B_3^O|) + (|B_2^I| + |B_3^I|) \leq 2\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 1 = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By Corollary 3.7,  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$  and  $|A_3| = 1$ , or  $|A_2| + |A_3| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  and  $|A_3| \geq 2$ . Hence, it suffices to consider the following three cases. Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_c = s$ ,  $t_{[i]} = 3$  for all  $[i] \in \mathcal{T}(A_3)$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof.

Case 1.  $|A_2| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  and  $|A_3| = 1$ .

Assume WLOG that  $A_3 = \{[1]\}$  and  $A_2 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}\} - A_3$ . Define an orientation  $D_1$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]), \text{ and} \quad (4.13)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_i \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_i \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_i \quad (4.14)$$

for all  $[i] \in A_2$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , i.e., excluding  $\lambda_1$  ( $\bar{\lambda}_1$  resp.), the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_i$ 's are used as 'in-sets' ('out-sets' resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.).

$$\{(1, [1]), (2, [1])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, 1]) \rightarrow (3, [1]), \quad (4.15)$$

$$\{(1, [1]), (3, [1])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, 1]) \rightarrow (2, [1]), \text{ and} \quad (4.16)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [1]), (3, [1])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [1]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.17)$$

for all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([1]) - 1$ . Furthermore,

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.18)$$

for all  $[j] \in E$ . (See Figure 13 for  $D_1$  when  $s = 3$ .)

Claim:  $d_{D_1}(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D_1)$ .

Case 1.1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (4.13)-(4.14),  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$  guarantees a directed  $C_4$ .

Case 1.1.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, 1]), (2, [\alpha, 1]), (1, [1]), (2, [1]), (3, [1])\}$  for each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([1]) - 1$ .

By (4.15)-(4.17),  $(1, [1]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, 1]), (2, [\alpha, 1])\}$ ,  $(1, [\alpha, 1]) \rightarrow (3, [1]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [1])$  and  $(2, [\alpha, 1]) \rightarrow (2, [1]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [1])$  gurantees the directed  $C_4$ 's required.

Case 1.2. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for any  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.13)-(4.14),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_i$ , and  $\lambda_j \rightarrow (2, [j])$ . Since  $|\lambda_i| = |\lambda_j| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \lambda_i \cap \lambda_j$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [j])$ . Also,  $\lambda_i \cap \bar{\lambda}_j \neq \emptyset$  for  $i \neq j$ . There exists some  $y_{ij} \in \lambda_i \cap \bar{\lambda}_j$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (1, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for any  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.13)-(4.14),  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ . Since  $|\lambda_j| = |\lambda_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \lambda_j \cap \lambda_i$  such that  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i])$ . Also, since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \bar{\lambda}_j \cap \lambda_i$  such that  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i])$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_1}((p, [\alpha, i]), (2, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.13), this subcase follows.

Case 1.3. For each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([1]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [1])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.13),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i])$ . Since  $|\lambda_i| = |\lambda_1| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_i$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (1, [1])$  by (4.14) and (4.17). Also, since  $i \neq 1$ , there exists some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_i$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow \{(2, [1]), (3, [1])\}$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [1]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.13),  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ . Since  $i \neq 1$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_i$  such that  $(1, [1]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$  by (4.14) and (4.17). Also, since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $y_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_i$  such that  $\{(2, [1]), (3, [1])\} \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, 1])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_1}((1, [i]), (1, [1])) = 2$  and by (4.15)-(4.16),  $(1, [1]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, 1]), (2, [\beta, 1])\}$ . And, since  $i \neq 1$ , there exists  $x_i \in \bar{\lambda}_i \cap \lambda_1$  such that  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (1, [1])$  by (4.14) and (4.17).

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, 1]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.15)-(4.17),  $(1, [\beta, 1]) \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$  and  $(2, [\beta, 1]) \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ . Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_i$  such that  $x_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$  by (4.14). And, since  $i \neq 1$ , there exists some  $y_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \bar{\lambda}_i$  such that  $y_i \rightarrow (1, [i])$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, 1])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_1}((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [1])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [1]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, 1]), (2, [\beta, 1])\}$  by (4.15)-(4.16), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, 1]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_{D_1}((q, [\beta, 1]), (2, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.13), this subcase follows.

Case 1.4. For each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (1, [1])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.17)-(4.18), this case follows from Cases 1.3(i)-(ii).

Case 1.5. For each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([1]) - 1$  and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, 1]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, 1])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_2$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.14) and (4.18), this case follows from Cases 1.3(iii)-(iv).

Case 1.6.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_{D_1}((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $x_1 = (1, [1])$ ,

$x_k = (2, [k])$  for  $2 \leq k \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . Observe that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq s$  and the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V_2 = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 1.7.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_1}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_{D_1}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 1.6. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_1}(v, w) \leq d_{D_1}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_{D_1}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 1.8.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_1}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_{D_1}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 1.6. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_1}(v, w) \leq d_{D_1}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_{D_1}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 1.9.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 3$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_{D_1}((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Case 2.  $|A_3| \geq 2$  and  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

By Corollary 3.7, we may assume  $|A_2| + |A_3| \geq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . Furthermore, assume WLOG that  $A_2 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}\}$ , and  $A_3 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|+|A_3|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}\}$ . Define an orientation  $D_2$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]), \text{ and} \quad (4.19)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+1}, \quad (4.20)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{|A_2|+1}$  are used as ‘in-sets’ (‘out-sets’ resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.).

$$(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}, \quad (4.21)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1, \text{ and} \quad (4.22)$$

$$\lambda_{j+1} \rightarrow (3, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{j+1} \quad (4.23)$$

for all  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  and all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_{|A_2|+2}, \lambda_{|A_2|+3}, \dots, \lambda_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  are used as ‘in-sets’ to construct  $B_3^I$ .

$$\{(1, [k]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\gamma, k]), (2, [\gamma, k])\} \rightarrow (3, [k]), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [k]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [k]) \rightarrow \lambda_1, \text{ and} \quad (4.25)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{k - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \rightarrow (3, [k]) \rightarrow \lambda_{k - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \quad (4.26)$$

for all  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq k \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$  and all  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \deg_T([k]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_{|A_2|+2}, \lambda_{|A_2|+3}, \dots, \lambda_{2|A_2|+|A_3|+2 - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  are used as ‘out-sets’ to construct  $B_3^O$ .

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [l]), (2, [l])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.27)$$

for all  $[l] \in E$ . (See Figure 14 for  $D_2$  when  $s = 3$ .)

Claim:  $d_{D_2}(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D_2)$ .

Case 2.1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

In view of the similarity in the definitions of  $D_2$  (see (4.19)-(4.20)) and  $D_1$  (see (4.13)-(4.14)), this case is similar to Case 1.1.1.

Case 2.1.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (4.21)-(4.22),  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ , and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+1} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$  by (4.23).

Case 2.1.3.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq i \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (4.24)-(4.25),  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i])$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [i])$ . Since  $\lambda_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}$  and some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$  by (4.26).

Case 2.2. For each  $1 \leq i, j \leq |A_2|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for any  $p, q = 1, 2$ .
- (ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for any  $p, q = 1, 2$ .
- (iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

In view of the similarity in the definitions of  $D_2$  (see (4.19)-(4.20)) and  $D_1$  (see (4.13)-(4.14)), this case is similar to Case 1.2.

Case 2.3. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i, j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.21)-(4.23),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ , and  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ .

- (ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (3, [i])$  by (4.22)-(4.23). Also, there exist some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and some  $z_{ij} \in \bar{\lambda}_{j+1} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  as  $i+1 \neq 1$  and  $i \neq j$  respectively. So,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ . Since  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.21), this subcase follows.

- (iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_2}((p, [\alpha, i]), (3, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.21).

Case 2.4. For each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq i, j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.24)-(4.25),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j])$  and  $\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [j])$ . Since  $\lambda_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}$  and



$y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|+2}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$  by (4.26). Also, since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $z_{ij} \in \lambda_{i-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|+2} \cap \bar{\lambda}_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|+2}$  such that  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.24)-(4.26),  $\{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [i]), \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [i])$ , and  $|O^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_2}((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.24), this subcase follows.

Case 2.5. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $|A_2|+1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} - 1$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = |\lambda_{j+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_{ij} \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \lambda_{j+1}$ . So,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [j])$  and  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$  by (4.20), (4.22)-(4.23). Also, there exists some  $z_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$  as  $i+1 \neq 1$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow (1, [j])$ . Since  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i])$  by (4.19), this subcase follows.

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$  by (4.20) and (4.22). Also, there exist some  $y_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and some  $z_{ij} \in \bar{\lambda}_{j+1} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  as  $i+1 \neq 1$  and  $i \neq j$  respectively. So,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow (3, [j]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i])$  with (4.23). Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.19), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_2}((1, [i]), (3, [j])) = 2$ . Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \cap \lambda_{j+1}$  such that  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$  by (4.20) and (4.23). Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.21), this subcase follows.

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.20)-(4.22),  $\{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ ,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ , and  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_2}((p, [\alpha, i]), (3, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.21), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_{D_2}((q, [\beta, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.19), this subcase follows.

Case 2.6. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \leq j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.19),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i])$ . Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some

$x_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \lambda_1$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (1, [j])$  by (4.20) and (4.25). Also, there exist some  $y_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$  and some  $z_{ij} \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \bar{\lambda}_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}$  as  $i + 1 \neq 1$  and  $i + 1 \neq j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2$  respectively. So,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow (2, [j])$  and  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow z_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [j])$  with (4.26).

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and some  $y_{ij} \in \lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$ . So,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i])$  by (4.20) and (4.25)-(4.26). Also,  $i + 1 \neq 1$  implies that there exists some  $z_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$ . So,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.19), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.20) and (4.24)-(4.25),  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]), \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [j]), \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  and  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.24),  $\{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow (3, [j])$ . Since  $i + 1 \neq j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \cap \bar{\lambda}_{i+1}$  such that  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow (1, [i])$  by (4.20) and (4.26). Also, since  $|\lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}$ . So,  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i])$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_2}((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.24), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_{D_2}((q, [\beta, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.19), this subcase follows.

Case 2.7. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By (4.21)-(4.22),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$ ,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ , and  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$  by (4.25)-(4.26).

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_1 \cap \lambda_{i+1}$  and some  $y_{ij} \in \lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$ . So,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (3, [i])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i])$  by (4.23) and (4.25)-(4.26). Also,  $i + 1 \neq 1$  implies that there exists some  $z_i \in \bar{\lambda}_1 \cup \lambda_{i+1}$  such that  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow z_i \rightarrow (3, [i])$ . Since  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.21), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.22)-(4.25)  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]), \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [j]), \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$ ,

and  $|O^c(p, [i])| > 0$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $|\lambda_1| = |\lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2}| = |\lambda_{i+1}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exist some  $x_j \in \lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \cap \lambda_1$  and some  $y_{ij} \in \lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \cap \lambda_{i+1}$ . So,  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow (2, [i])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (3, [i])$  by (4.22)-(4.23) and (4.26). Also,  $j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2 \neq 1$  implies that there exists some  $z_j \in \lambda_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$ . So,  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow z_j \rightarrow (1, [i])$ . Since  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (3, [i])$  by (4.24), this subcase follows.

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_2}((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.24), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_{D_2}((q, [\beta, j]), (3, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.21), this subcase follows.

Case 2.8. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [|A_2| + 1])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.22) and (4.27), this case follows from Cases 2.5(i)-(ii).

Case 2.9. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (1, [\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.25) and (4.27), this case follows from Cases 2.7(i)-(ii).

Case 2.10. For each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq i \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [|A_2| + 1])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.22) and (4.27), this case follows from Cases 2.7(iii)-(iv).

Case 2.11.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_{D_2}((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $x_1 = (2, ( [|A_2| + 1 ]))$ ,  $x_{k+1} = (2, [k])$  for  $1 \leq k \leq |A_2|$ , and  $x_{k+1} = (3, [k])$  for  $|A_2| + 1 \leq k \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Observe that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq s$  and the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V_2 = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 2.12.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_2}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_{D_2}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 2.11. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_2}(v, w) \leq d_{D_2}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_{D_2}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 2.13.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_2}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_{D_2}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 2.11. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_2}(v, w) \leq d_{D_2}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_{D_2}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 2.14.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 3$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_{D_2}((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Case 3.  $|A_3| \geq 2$ ,  $|A_2| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} - 1$ , and  $s \geq 5$ .

Let  $\psi = (\mathbb{N}_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}, \mathbf{c})$  and  $I_\psi = \{\lambda \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \mid |\lambda \cap \psi| = 1\}$ . Since there are  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  choices in  $\psi$  as the common element of  $\lambda$  and  $\psi$ , and for each such choice, there are  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  choices (elements not in  $\psi$ ) for the remaining  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  elements of  $\lambda$ ,  $|I_\psi| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . Particularly, note that  $\bar{\psi} \notin I_\psi$ . Let  $O_\psi = \{\lambda \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \mid \psi \subset \lambda\}$  and observe that  $|O_\psi| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ .

Our aim is to design an orientation which the elements of  $I_\psi$  and  $O_\psi$  are used as  $I^c((2, [i]))$  and  $O^c((1, [i]))$  respectively, where  $[i] \in A_2$ , i.e.,  $I_\psi \subseteq B_2^I$  and  $O_\psi \subseteq B_2^O$ . To achieve this, we introduce two new listings of the elements in  $\binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}$ . Let  $\binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}\} = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}\}$  such that  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}$ ,  $I_\psi = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}\}$  and  $O_\psi = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor}\}$ . The denotation of the remaining  $\gamma_i$ 's and  $\mu_j$ 's can be arbitrary. Assume further that  $A_2 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}\}$  and  $A_3 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|+|A_3|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}\}$ . Define an orientation  $D_3$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]), \quad (4.28)$$

$$\bar{\mu}_i \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \mu_i, \text{ and } \gamma_i \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}_i, \quad (4.29)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{|A_2|}$  ( $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{|A_2|}$  resp.) are used as ‘in-sets’ (‘out-sets’ resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.).

$$\{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow (3, [j]), \quad (4.30)$$

$$\{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow (2, [j]), \quad (4.31)$$

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \psi, \text{ and} \quad (4.32)$$

$$\bar{\mu}_j \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \mu_j \quad (4.33)$$

for all  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}$  and all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\mu_{|A_2|+1}, \mu_{|A_2|+2}, \dots, \mu_{\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}}$  are used as ‘out-sets’ to construct  $B_3^O$ .

$$(3, [k]) \rightarrow (1, [\theta, k]) \rightarrow \{(1, [k]), (2, [k])\}, \quad (4.34)$$

$$(2, [k]) \rightarrow (2, [\theta, k]) \rightarrow \{(1, [k]), (3, [k])\}, \quad (4.35)$$

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow (1, [k]) \rightarrow \psi, \text{ and} \quad (4.36)$$

$$\gamma_{k - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|} \rightarrow \{(2, [k]), (3, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}_{k - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|} \quad (4.37)$$

for all  $\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + 1 \leq k \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$  and all  $1 \leq \theta \leq \deg_T([k]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\gamma_{|A_2|+1}, \gamma_{|A_2|+2}, \dots, \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} - 1}$  are used as ‘in-sets’ to construct  $B_3^I$ .

$$\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \{(1, [l]), (2, [l])\} \rightarrow \psi \quad (4.38)$$

for all  $[l] \in E$ . (See Figures 15 and 16 for  $D_3$  when  $s = 5$ .)

Claim:  $d_{D_3}(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D_3)$ .

Case 3.1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , since  $|\gamma_i| = |\mu_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \gamma_i \cap \mu_i$ . Then, by (4.28)-(4.29),  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$  guarantees a directed  $C_4$ .

Case 3.1.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (4.30)-(4.31),  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i])$  is a directed  $C_4$ . With (4.32)-(4.33), since  $|\mu_i| = |\bar{\psi}| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\psi}$  so that  $\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ ,  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i])$  and  $(2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i])$ .

Case 3.1.3.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  for each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + 1 \leq i \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

By (4.34)-(4.35),  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i])$  is a directed  $C_4$ . With (4.36)-(4.37), since  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  implies  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \neq \gamma_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|}$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \gamma_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|} \cap \bar{\psi}$  so that  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ ,  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$  and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i])$ .

Case 3.2. For each  $1 \leq i, j \leq |A_2|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for any  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists  $x_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\mu}_j$  such that  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow (1, [j])$  by (4.28)-(4.29). Also,  $|\mu_i| = |\gamma_j| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \gamma_j$  so that  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for any  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.28)-(4.29),  $|\mu_j| = |\gamma_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \mu_j \cap \gamma_i$  so that  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ . Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \bar{\gamma}_j \cap \gamma_i$  so that  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_3}((p, [\alpha, i]), (2, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.28), this subcase follows.

Case 3.3. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i, j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\mu}_j$ . Also,  $|\bar{\psi}| = |\mu_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  implies there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\psi}$ . Then, by (4.30)-(4.33),  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i]), (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]), \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and  $\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (1, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By definition of  $O_\psi$  and  $i \geq |A_2| + 1 > \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $\mu_i \notin O_\psi$ . So, there exists some  $x_j \in \psi \cap \bar{\mu}_i$  so that  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  by (4.32)-(4.33). Also, since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \bar{\mu}_i \cap \mu_j$  so that  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ . With  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$  and  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i])$  by (4.30)-(4.31), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_3}((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.30)-(4.31), this subcase follows.

**Remark 4.8.** In Subcase 3.3(ii), we invoked the condition  $|A_2| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . This is the reason for the need of two different constructions  $D_2$  and  $D_3$  in proving sufficiency. In Case 2, without  $|A_2| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ , the construction  $D_3$  may not satisfy  $d(D_3) = 4$ .

Case 3.4. For each  $\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + 1 \leq i, j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \text{deg}_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \text{deg}_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$  and  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} - 1$ , we have  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}} \neq \gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|}$ . Note also that  $|\psi| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|\gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2||} = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ . So, there exists some  $x_j \in \psi \cap \gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|}$  such that  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  by (4.34)-(4.37). Similarly, there exists some  $y_j \in \bar{\gamma}_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|} \cap \bar{\psi}$  such that  $\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow (1, [j])$ . Since  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i])$  and  $(2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i])$ , this subcase follows.

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Note that  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}} \neq \gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|}$ , which implies there exists some  $x_i \in \psi \cap \gamma_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|}$ . So,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  by (4.36)-(4.37). Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \bar{\gamma}_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|} \cap \gamma_{i - \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + |A_2|}$  so that  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i])$  and  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$  by (4.34)-(4.35), this subcase follows.

(iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_3}((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  by (4.34)-(4.35), this subcase follows.

Case 3.5. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \text{deg}_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \text{deg}_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\mu}_j$ . Also,  $|\bar{\psi}| = |\mu_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  implies there exists some  $y_i \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\psi}$ . Then by (4.29) and (4.32)-(4.33),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow (1, [j])$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}} \neq \gamma_i$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \psi \cap \gamma_i$ . Also,  $|\gamma_i| = |\mu_j| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  implies there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \mu_j \cap \gamma_i$ . So by (4.28)-(4.29) and (4.32)-(4.33),  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  and  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\mu}_j$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  by (4.29) and (4.33). Furthermore,  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$ , and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.30)-(4.31). Also with (4.32), since  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \neq \gamma_i$ , there exists some  $y_i \in \bar{\gamma}_i \cap \bar{\psi}$ . So,  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow y_i \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$ .

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $|\mu_j| = |\gamma_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  implies there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \mu_j \cap \gamma_i$ . Then,  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow (3, [j]), (2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow (2, [j])$ , and  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i])$  by (4.29)-(4.31) and (4.33). Since  $i \neq j$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \mu_j \cap \bar{\mu}_i$  such that  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (1, [i])$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_3}((p, [\alpha, i]), (1, [j])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\}$  by (4.30)-(4.31), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_{D_3}((q, [\beta, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.28), this subcase follows.

Case 3.6. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + 1 \leq j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $|\bar{\psi}| = |\gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|}| = |\mu_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\psi}$  and  $y_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|}$ . So,  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_{ij}\}$ ,  $x_i \rightarrow (1, [j])$  and  $y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  by (4.28)-(4.29) and (4.36)-(4.37).

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \neq \gamma_i$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \bar{\psi} \cap \gamma_i$ . So,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.28)-(4.29) and (4.36). Also with (4.37), since  $i \neq j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \bar{\gamma}_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|} \cap \gamma_i$  so that  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ .

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $|\gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|}| = |\mu_i| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , there exists some  $x_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|}$ . Then,  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow x_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  by (4.29) and (4.37). Since  $i \neq j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \bar{\gamma}_i \cap \gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|}$  so that  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ . With  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.34)-(4.35), this subcase follows.

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}} \neq \gamma_i$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \bar{\psi} \cap \gamma_i$ . So,  $\{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (2, [i])$  by (4.29) and (4.34)-(4.36).

Now, observe that  $|\gamma_k \cap \bar{\psi}| = 0$  if and only if  $\gamma_k = \bar{\psi}$  if and only if  $k = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . And, by definition of  $I_\psi$ ,  $\gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|} \notin I_\psi$  so that  $|\gamma_{j - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2|} \cap \bar{\psi}| \geq 2$  for all  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + 1 \leq$

$j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ . So,  $|\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cup \psi| = |\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}| + |\psi| - |\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cap \psi| \leq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor - 2 = s - 2$ ; there exist at least two elements neither in  $\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}$ , nor in  $\psi$ . So,  $|\bar{\gamma}_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cup \psi| \geq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 2$ . Since  $|\bar{\gamma}_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cup \psi| + |\bar{\mu}_i| \geq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 2 + \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor > s$ , it follows that there exists some  $y_{ij} \in (\bar{\gamma}_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cup \psi) \cap \bar{\mu}_i$ . Hence,  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ ,  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and either  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (1, [i])$  or  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow (1, [i])$  by (4.29) and (4.34)-(4.37), this subcase follows.

**Remark 4.9.** Cases 3.6(iv) and 3.7(iv) are why we defined  $\psi$  and  $I_\psi$  this way.

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_3}((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  by (4.34)-(4.35), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_{D_3}((q, [\beta, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.28), this subcase follows.

Case 3.7. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}$ , each  $\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + 1 \leq j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $|\psi| = |\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}| = |\mu_i| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \mu_i \cap \bar{\psi}$  and  $y_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}$ . So,  $(1, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (3, [i]), (2, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i]), \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (1, [j])$  and  $\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  by (4.30)-(4.33) and (4.36)-(4.37).

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

By definition of  $O_\psi$ ,  $\mu_i \notin O_\psi$ . So,  $\psi \not\subset \mu_i$ . Equivalently, there exists some  $x_i \in \psi \cap \bar{\mu}_i$ . So,  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$ ,  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (1, [\alpha, i])$  and  $(3, [i]) \rightarrow (2, [\alpha, i])$  by (4.30)-(4.31), (4.33) and (4.36). Also, since  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \neq \gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}$ , there exists some  $y_j \in \bar{\gamma}_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cap \bar{\psi}$ . So,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_j \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  with (4.32) and (4.37).

(iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2, 3$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \neq \gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}$ , there exists some  $x_j \in \psi \cap \gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  by (4.32) and (4.37). Also, since  $|\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}| = |\mu_i| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ , there exists some  $y_{ij} \in \mu_i \cap \gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}$ . So with (4.33),  $\{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ . With  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.34)-(4.35), this subcase follows.

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} = \gamma_{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} \neq \gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|}$ , there exists some  $x_j \in \bar{\gamma}_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cap \bar{\psi}$ . So,  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow (2, [j]), (2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow (3, [j])$  and  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow x_j \rightarrow (1, [i])$  by (4.32), (4.34)-(4.35) and (4.37).

Now, observe that  $|\gamma_k \cap \psi| = 0$  if and only if  $\gamma_k = \bar{\psi}$  if and only if  $k = \binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil}$ . And, by definition of  $I_\psi$ ,  $\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \notin I_\psi$  so that  $|\gamma_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cap \psi| \geq 2$  for all  $\binom{s}{\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil} + 1 \leq j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ . As in Case 3.6(iv), we deduce that there exists some  $y_{ij} \in (\bar{\gamma}_{j-(\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil)+|A_2|} \cup$



$\psi \cap \bar{\mu}_i$ . Hence,  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$ ,  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and either  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  or  $(1, [j]) \rightarrow y_{ij} \rightarrow \{(2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  by (4.33)-(4.37).

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_{D_3}((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Since  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.34)-(4.35), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_{D_3}((q, [\beta, j]), (1, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.30)-(4.31), this subcase follows.

Case 3.8. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (1, [|A_2| + 1])\} \rightarrow \psi$  by (4.32) and (4.38), this case follows from Cases 3.5(i)-(ii).

Case 3.9. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (1, [\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + 1])\} \rightarrow \psi$  by (4.36) and (4.38), this case follows from Cases 3.7(i)-(ii).

Case 3.10. For each  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + 1 \leq i \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\bar{\psi} \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (1, [|A_2| + 1])\} \rightarrow \psi$  by (4.32) and (4.38), this case follows from Cases 3.7(iii)-(iv).

Case 3.11.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

As before in  $D_2$ , we want to prove  $d_{D_3}((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $x_i = (1, [i])$  for  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$  and  $x_i = (2, [i])$  for  $i = |A_2| + 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . Observe that  $\bar{\mu}_i \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow \mu_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ . Since  $\binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} = \{\mu_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}\}$ , for every  $r_1 \neq r_2$ , there exists some  $1 \leq i \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$  such that  $(r_1, \mathbf{c}) \notin \mu_i$ ,  $(r_2, \mathbf{c}) \in \mu_i$  and  $(r_1, \mathbf{c}) \rightarrow x_i \rightarrow (r_2, \mathbf{c})$ .

Case 3.12.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_3}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_{D_3}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 3.11. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_3}(v, w) \leq d_{D_3}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_{D_3}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 3.13.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_{D_3}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_{D_3}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 3.11. Hence, it follows that  $d_{D_3}(v, w) \leq d_{D_3}(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_{D_3}((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 3.14.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 3$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \text{deg}_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_{D_3}((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Hence,  $d(D_i) = 4$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Since every vertex in  $D_i$  lies in a directed  $C_4$ , i.e.,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D_i)\}$  by Lemma 1.3, and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 13:  ${}^4\text{Orientation } D_1$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 3$ ,  $A_2 = \{[2]\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{[1]\}$ ,  $E = \{[3], [4]\}$ .




Figure 14:  ${}^4\text{Orientation } D_2$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 3$ ,  $A_2 = \{[1]\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{[2], [3]\}$ ,  $E = \{[4], [5]\}$ .




Figure 15:  ${}^4\text{Partial orientation } D_3 \text{ for } \mathcal{H} \text{ for } s = 5, 2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1,$   
 $A_2 = \{[1], [2], \dots, [6]\}, A_3 = \{[7], [8], \dots, [13]\}.$




Figure 16:  ${}^4$ Partial orientation  $D_3$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 5$ ,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ ,  
 $A_2 = \{[1], [2], \dots, [6]\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{[7], [8], \dots, [13]\}$ ,  $E = \{[14], [15]\}$ .

**Corollary 4.10.** *Suppose  $s \geq 5$  is odd,  $A_{\geq 4} = \emptyset$ ,  $|A_2| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ ,  $|A_3| \geq 2$ , and  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . If  $D$  is an optimal orientation of  $\mathcal{T}$ , then either  $D$  or  $\tilde{D}$  fulfills the following, after a suitable relabelling of vertices and with  $A_3$  partitioned into  $A_3^O$  and  $A_3^I$ .*

- (I)  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |I^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $[i] \in A_2$ ,
- (II)  $|O^c((3, [j]))| = |I^c((3, [k]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $[j] \in A_3^O$ ,  $[k] \in A_3^I$ , and
- (III)  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i])$  for all  $[i] \in A_2$ , all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$  and  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}$ .
- (1A)  $|A_2 \cup A_3^O| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$  and  $|A_2 \cup A_3^I| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ ;
- (2A)  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $|O^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^O$ ;
- (3A)  $O^c((1, [i])) = O^c((1, [j]))$  for all  $[i], [j] \in A_3^O$ ;
- (4A)  $O^c((2, [i])) = O^c((3, [i]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^O$ ;
- (5A)  $|O^c((1, [j]))| = |O^c((2, [j]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $[j] \in A_3^I$ ;
- (6A)  $O^c((1, [j])) = O^c((1, [i]))$  and  $O^c((2, [j])) = O^c((3, [j]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_3^O$ ,  $[j] \in A_3^I$ .

*Proof:* Since  $d(D) = d(\tilde{D}) = 4$  and  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , it follows from the proof

of Proposition 4.3 that only Subcases 2.3.6.3 and 2.3.6.4 are possible. (I) and (II) follows from the assumption of Subcase 2.3.6,  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I \subseteq \binom{[s/2]}{[s/2]}$ . Also, (1A)-(6A) follow from (4.12), Claims 2A-7A in Subcase 2.3.6.3 and their analogues in Subcase 2.3.6.4.

To prove (III), suppose for some  $[i] \in A_2$  that there exists some  $1 \leq p, q \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}$ ,  $p \neq q$ , such that  $(p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i])$  and  $(q, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i])$  for a contradiction. By Lemma 2.13(a),  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow (p, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (2, [i])$  and  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow (q, [\alpha, i]) \rightarrow (1, [i])$ . This implies  $O^c((1, [i]), I^c((2, [i]))) \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . Therefore,  $|O^c((1, [i]))| = |I^c((2, [i]))| = \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$  implies  $|I^c((1, [i]))| = |O^c((2, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . By Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15,  $\{O^c((2, [i]))\} \cup B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((1, [i]))\}$  and  $\{I^c((1, [i]))\} \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I - \{I^c((2, [i]))\}$  are antichains, each containing contains elements of different sizes. Hence,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O| + |B_3^O| = |\{O^c((1, [i]))\} \cup B_2^O \cup B_3^O - \{O^c((2, [i]))\}| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$  by Sperner's Theorem. Similarly,  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| = |B_2^I| + |B_3^I| = |\{I^c((1, [i]))\} \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I - \{I^c((2, [i]))\}| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Hence,  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = (|A_2| + |A_3^O|) + (|A_2| + |A_3^I|) \leq 2[\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1] = 2\binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The optimal orientation(s) described in Corollary 4.10 was extended to the construction  $D_3$  in Case 3 of the proof of Proposition 4.3.

**Proposition 4.11.** *Suppose  $s \geq 3$  is odd,  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_3 = \emptyset$  and  $A_{\geq 4} \neq \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if and only if  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ .*

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.2) here. Let  $[j] \in A_{\geq 4}$ . If  $|O^c((1, [j]))| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , then for any  $[i] \in A_2$ ,  $d_D((1, [i]), (1, [j])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((1, [i])) \cap I^c((1, [j])) \neq \emptyset$ . Hence, by Lih's Theorem,  $|A_2| = |B_2^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \binom{s - |I^c((1, [j]))|}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Suppose  $|O^c((1, [j]))| \leq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ . Equivalently,  $|I^c((1, [j]))| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ . So, this case follows from the previous case by the Duality Lemma.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $|A_2| + |A_{\geq 4}| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ , then by Corollary 3.7(i),  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ . Hence, we assume  $|A_2| + |A_{\geq 4}| \geq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  hereafter, on top of the hypothesis that  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . If  $|A_2| \geq s$ , define  $A_2^\diamond = A_2$ . Otherwise,  $A_2^\diamond = A_2 \cup A^*$ , where  $A^*$  is an arbitrary subset of  $A_{\geq 4}$  such that  $|A_2^\diamond| = s$ . Then, let  $A_4^\diamond = A_2 \cup A_{\geq 4} - A_2^\diamond$ . Furthermore, assume WLOG that  $A_2^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|}\}$  and  $A_4^\diamond = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond| + |A_4^\diamond|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2^\diamond|}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_c = s$ ,  $t_{[i]} = 4$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{T}(A_4^\diamond)$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof. Define an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]), \text{ and} \quad (4.39)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \quad (4.40)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([i]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{|A_2|+1}$  are used as 'in-sets' ('out-sets' resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.).

$$(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j]), \quad (4.41)$$

$$\text{and } \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.42)$$

for all  $[j] \in A_4$  and all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([j]) - 1$ .

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [k]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.43)$$

for all  $[k] \in E$ . (See Figure 17 for  $D$  when  $s = 3$ .)

Claim:  $d_D(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D)$ .

Case 1.1.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  (see (4.39)-(4.40)) is similar to  $D_1$  in Proposition 4.3 (see (4.13)-(4.14)), this case follows from Case 1.1.1 of Proposition 4.3.

Case 1.2.  $v, w \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_4$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_4$  (see (4.41)-(4.42)) is similar to that in Proposition 4.1 (see (4.8)-(4.9)), this case follows from Case 1.3 of Proposition 4.1.

Case 2. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .
- (ii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .
- (iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  (see (4.39)-(4.40)) is similar to  $D_1$  in Proposition 4.3 (see (4.13)-(4.14)), this case follows from Case 1.2 of Proposition 4.3.

Case 3. For each  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ ,  $i \neq j$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for  $p = 1, 2$ , and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .
- (ii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , and  $q = 1, 2$ .
- (iii)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_4$  (see (4.41)-(4.42)) is similar to that in Proposition 4.1 (see (4.8)-(4.9)), this case follows from Case 4 of Proposition 4.1.

Case 4. For each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ ,

- (i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (4.39)-(4.40) and (4.42),  $\{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{i+1}$ ,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ , and  $\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+1} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$  such that  $(1, [i]) \rightarrow \{x_i, y_i\}$ .

- (ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2, 3, 4$ .

By (4.39)-(4.40) and (4.42),  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ , and  $\lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$ . Since  $\lambda_{i+1} \in \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{\lambda_1\}$ , there exist some  $x_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \lambda_1$  and  $y_i \in \lambda_{i+1} \cap \bar{\lambda}_1$  such that  $\{x_i, y_i\} \rightarrow (2, [i])$ .

- (iii)  $v = (p, [i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((1, [i]), (r, [j])) = 2$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Furthermore by (4.40) and (4.42), since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+1}$  and  $i + 1 \neq 1$ , there exists some  $x_i \in \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \cap \lambda_1$  such that  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.41), this subcase follows.

(iv)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

By (4.40)-(4.42),  $(2, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(2, [j]), (4, [j])\}$ ,  $(1, [\beta, j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (3, [j])\}$ ,  $\{(1, [j]), (4, [j])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1$ ,  $\{(2, [j]), (3, [j])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$ , and  $|I^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ .

(v)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [\beta, j])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (i),  $d_D((p, [\alpha, i]), (r, [j])) = 3$  for  $r = 2, 3$ . Since  $(2, [j]) \rightarrow (1, [\beta, j])$  and  $(3, [j]) \rightarrow (2, [\beta, j])$  by (4.41), this subcase follows.

(vi)  $v = (q, [\beta, j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p, q = 1, 2$ .

From (iv),  $d_D((q, [\beta, j]), (2, [i])) = 3$ . Since  $(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  by (4.39), this subcase follows.

Case 5. For each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_4$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.42)-(4.43), this case follows from Cases 4(i)-(ii).

Case 6. For each  $[i] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_4$ , where  $k \neq i$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.42)-(4.43), this case follows from Cases 3(i)-(ii).

Case 7.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $x_1 = (2, [|A_2|+1])$ ,  $x_{k+1} = (2, [k])$  for  $1 \leq k \leq s-1$ . Observe from (4.40) and (4.42) that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq s$  and the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V_2 = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 8.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 7. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 9.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 7. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 10.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Therefore, the claim follows. Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D$  and  $d(D) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3, and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 17: <sup>4</sup>Orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 3$ ,  $A_2 = \{[1], [2]\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{[3], [4]\}$ ,  $E = \{[5], [6]\}$ .

**Corollary 4.12.** *If  $s \geq 3$  is odd and  $|A_{\leq 3}| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ , then  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ .*

*Proof:* Note that every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for the orientation  $D$  defined in Proposition 4.11,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3. This implies  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$

Corollary 4.12 is an improvement of Corollary 3.7(i) for odd  $s$  as the count  $|A_{\leq 3}|$  now excludes  $|A_{\geq 4}|$ , compared to the previous  $|A_{\geq 2}|$ .

**Proposition 4.13.** *Suppose  $s \geq 3$  is odd,  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_{\geq 4} \neq \emptyset$  for a  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,*

$$\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0 \iff \begin{cases} |A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2, & \text{if } |A_3| = 1, \\ 2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2, & \text{if } |A_3| \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$ , there exists an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $d(D) = 4$ . Since  $A_2 \neq \emptyset$  and  $A_3 \neq \emptyset$ , we assume (3.1)-(3.5) here.

Case 1.  $|A_3| = 1$ .

Partition  $A_{\geq 4}$  into  $A_{\geq 4}^O$ ,  $A_{\geq 4}^I$ , and  $A_{\geq 4}^N$  as follows. Let  $A_{\geq 4}^N = \{[i] \in A_{\geq 4} \mid |O((p, [\alpha, i]))| \geq 2 \text{ and } |I((p, [\alpha, i]))| \geq 2 \text{ for all } 1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]} \text{ and all } 1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([i]) - 1\}$ , and  $A_{\geq 4}^O = \{[i] \in A_{\geq 4} \mid |O((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 1 \text{ for some } 1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}, \text{ and some } 1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([i]) - 1\}$ . Furthermore, let  $A_{\geq 4}^I = A_{\geq 4} - A_{\geq 4}^O \cup A_{\geq 4}^N$ , i.e., for every  $[i] \in A_{\geq 4}^I$ , there exists some  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}$ , and some  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([i]) - 1$  such that  $|I((p, [\alpha, i]))| = 1$ .



WLOG, we assume

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c}) - \{(4, [i])\} &\rightarrow (1, [1, i]) \rightarrow (4, [i]) \text{ if } [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^O, \\ (4, [i]) &\rightarrow (1, [1, i]) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c}) - \{(4, [i])\} \text{ if } [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^I, \\ \text{and } \{(1, [i]), (2, [i])\} &\rightarrow (1, [1, i]) \rightarrow \{(3, [i]), (4, [i])\} \text{ if } [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^N. \end{aligned}$$

And, let

$$B_{\geq 4}^O = \{O^c((4, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^O\} \text{ and } B_{\geq 4}^I = \{I^c((4, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^I\}.$$

Note that each of  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup B_{\geq 4}^O$  and  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I \cup B_{\geq 4}^I$  is an antichain by Lemmas 2.14 and 2.15 respectively. Hence,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| + |A_{\geq 4}^O| = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup B_{\geq 4}^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  and  $|A_2| + |A_3^I| + |A_{\geq 4}^I| = |B_2^I \cup B_3^I \cup B_{\geq 4}^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  by Sperner's Theorem.

Subcase 1.1.  $|A_3^O| = 1$ . Note that  $|A_3| = 1$  implies  $|A_3^I| = |B_3^I| = 0$ .

If  $|A_{\geq 4}^O| > 0$ , then  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| + |A_{\geq 4}^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$  implies  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ . Hence, suppose  $|A_{\geq 4}^O| = 0$ .

Subcase 1.1.1.  $|O^c((q, [j]))| \geq \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[j] \in A_{\geq 4}^I \cup A_{\geq 4}^N$  and some  $1 \leq q \leq s_{[j]}$ .

For any  $[i] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$ ,  $d_D((1, [1, i]), (q, [j])) = 3$  implies  $X \cap I^c((q, [j])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ . Hence, by Lih's Theorem,  $|A_2| + |A_3^O| = |B_2^O \cup B_3^O| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \binom{s - |I^c((q, [j]))|}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \binom{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . Since  $|A_3^O| = 1$ , it follows that  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

Subcase 1.1.2.  $|O^c((q, [j]))| < \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for some  $[j] \in A_{\geq 4}^I \cup A_{\geq 4}^N$  and some  $1 \leq q \leq s_{[j]}$ .

For any  $[i] \in A_2$ ,  $d_D((q, [j]), (1, [1, i])) = 3$  implies  $O^c((q, [j])) \cap X \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_2^I$ . Hence, by Lih's Theorem,  $|A_2| = |B_2^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \binom{s - |O^c((q, [j]))|}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \binom{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor + 1}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - (\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor + 1) \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 3$ .

Subcase 1.1.3.  $|O^c((q, [j]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  for all  $[j] \in A_{\geq 4}^I \cup A_{\geq 4}^N$  and all  $1 \leq q \leq s_{[j]}$ .

For any  $[i] \in A_2$ ,  $d_D((q, [j]), (1, [1, i])) = 3$  implies  $X \cap O^c((q, [j])) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $X \in B_2^I$ . Hence, by Lih's Theorem,  $|A_2| = |B_2^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \binom{s - |O^c((q, [j]))|}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - \binom{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} = \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ . By Griggs' Theorem,  $|A_2| = \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$  if and only if  $B_2^I$  consists of only  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -sets.

If  $|A_2| = \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 1$ , then it must follow that  $O^c((p, [j])) = O^c((q, [j]))$  for all  $[j] \in A_{\geq 4}^I \cup A_{\geq 4}^N$  and all  $1 \leq p, q \leq s_{[j]}$ . Otherwise,  $d_D((r, [j]), (1, [1, i])) = 3$  for all  $1 \leq r \leq s_{[j]}$  and all  $[i] \in A_2$  implies  $\bar{X} \neq O^c((r, [j]))$  for all  $X \in B_2^I$ , i.e.,  $B_2^I \subseteq (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c}) - \{O^c((p, [j])), O^c((q, [j]))\}$ . Hence,  $|A_2| = |B_2^I| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ , a contradiction.

Now,  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O \cup \{O^c((3, [j])) \mid [j] \in A_{\geq 4}^I \cup A_{\geq 4}^N\}$  is an antichain by Lemma 2.14. So,  $|A_2| + |A_3| + |A_4| = |B_2^O| + |B_3^O| + |A_{\geq 4}^I| + |A_{\geq 4}^N| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}$ . Since  $|A_3| = 1$  and  $|A_4| \geq 1$ , it follows that  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} - 2$ .

Subcase 1.2.  $|A_3^I| = 1$ . Note that  $|A_3| = 1$  implies  $|A_3^O| = |B_3^O| = 0$ .

This follows from an argument similar to Subcase 1.1.

Case 2.  $|A_3| \geq 2$ .

For any  $[i], [j] \in A_2 \cup A_3$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $[k] \in A_{\geq 4}$ ,  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ ,  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \deg_T([k]) - 1$ ,  $1 \leq x \leq s_{[\alpha, i]}$ , and  $1 \leq z \leq s_{[\gamma, k]}$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq 3$  (where applicable),  $1 \leq r \leq 4$ , observe in  $D$

that the vertices  $(r, [k])$  and  $(z, [\gamma, k])$  do not lie on any shortest path between  $(x, [\alpha, i])$  and  $(q, [j])$ . By the proof in Proposition 4.3, we have  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ , where equality is possible only as in Subcases 2.3.6.3 and 2.3.6.4.

Suppose  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  holds, as in Subcase 2.3.6.3. Let  $[i^*]$  be as given in Subcase 2.3.6.3 and recall (4.12). In particular,  $B_2^I \cup B_3^I = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{I^c((1, [i^*]))\}$  by Claims 6A and 7A.

Let  $[i] \in A_{\geq 4}$ . If there exists some  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[i]}$  such that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , then  $X \subseteq O^c((p, [i]))$  for some  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ . This implies that  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (p, [i])) > 4$  for some  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$ , a contradiction. If there exists some  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[i]}$  such that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| < \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ , or  $|O^c((p, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $O^c((p, [i])) \neq O^c((1, [i^*]))$ , then  $O^c((p, [i])) \subseteq \bar{X}$  for some  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . It follows that  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) > 4$  for some  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$ , a contradiction. Thus, it remains that  $O^c((p, [i])) = O^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_{\geq 4}$  and all  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[i]}$ . By Lemma 2.14,  $Q = \{O^c((4, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^I\} \cup \{O^c((3, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^I \cup A_{\geq 4}^N\} \cup B_2^O \cup B_3^O$  is an antichain. However, this contradicts Sperner's Theorem as  $|Q| > |B_2^O| + |B_3^O| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ .

Suppose  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  holds as in Subcase 2.3.6.4. Let  $[i^*]$  be as given in Subcase 2.3.6.4 and analogous to (4.12) we have  $|B_2^I| + |B_3^I| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$  and  $|B_2^O| + |B_3^O| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . In particular,  $B_2^O \cup B_3^O = \binom{(\mathbb{N}_s, c)}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - \{O^c((1, [i^*]))\}$  by Claims 6B and 7B.

Let  $[i] \in A_{\geq 4}$ . If there exists some  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[i]}$  such that  $|I^c((p, [i]))| \geq \lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ , then  $X \subseteq I^c((p, [i]))$  for some  $X \in B_2^I \cup B_3^I$ . This implies  $d_D((p, [i]), (1, [1, j])) > 4$  for some  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^I$ . If there exists some  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[i]}$  such that  $|I^c((p, [i]))| < \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ , or  $|I^c((p, [i]))| = \lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$  and  $I^c((p, [i])) \neq I^c((1, [i^*]))$ , then  $I^c((p, [i])) \subseteq X$  for some  $X \in B_2^O \cup B_3^O$ . It follows that  $d_D((1, [1, j]), (p, [i])) > 4$  for some  $[j] \in A_2 \cup A_3^O$ , a contradiction. Thus, it remains that  $I^c((p, [i])) = I^c((1, [i^*]))$  for all  $[i] \in A_{\geq 4}$  and all  $1 \leq p \leq s_{[i]}$ . By Lemma 2.15,  $Q = \{I^c((4, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^I\} \cup \{I^c((1, [i])) \mid [i] \in A_{\geq 4}^O \cup A_{\geq 4}^N\} \cup B_2^I \cup B_3^I$  is an antichain. However, this contradicts Sperner's Theorem as  $|Q| > |B_2^I| + |B_3^I| = \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ .

So, we conclude that  $2|A_2| + |A_3| = 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  is impossible in both subcases and  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By Corollary 4.12,  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{C}_0$  if  $|A_2| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$  and  $|A_3| = 1$ , or  $|A_2| + |A_3| \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  and  $|A_3| \geq 2$ . Hence, we assume  $|A_2| + |A_3| \geq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}$ , on top of the hypothesis that  $2|A_2| + |A_3| \leq 2\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 2$  and  $|A_3| \geq 2$ . Furthermore, assume WLOG that  $A_2 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}\}$ , and  $A_3 = \{[i] \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|+|A_3|} - \mathbb{N}_{|A_2|}\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = T(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  be the subgraph of  $\mathcal{T}$ , where  $t_c = s$ ,  $t_{[i]} = 3$  for all  $i \in \mathcal{T}(A_3)$ ,  $t_{[j]} = 4$  for all  $j \in \mathcal{T}(A_{\geq 4})$  and  $t_v = 2$  otherwise. We will use  $A_j$  for  $\mathcal{H}(A_j)$  for the remainder of this proof. Define an orientation  $D$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  as follows.

$$(2, [i]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\} \rightarrow (1, [i]), \text{ and} \quad (4.44)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \rightarrow (1, [i]) \rightarrow \lambda_{i+1} \rightarrow (2, [i]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{i+1} \quad (4.45)$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$  and all  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_{\mathcal{T}}([i]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lfloor \frac{s}{2} \rfloor$ -sets  $\lambda_2, \lambda_3, \dots, \lambda_{|A_2|+1}$  are used as 'in-sets' ('out-sets' resp.) to construct  $B_2^I$  ( $B_2^O$  resp.).

$$(3, [j]) \rightarrow \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [j]), (2, [j])\}, \quad (4.46)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (1, [j]) \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow (2, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1, \text{ and} \quad (4.47)$$

$$\lambda_{j+1} \rightarrow (3, [j]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_{j+1} \quad (4.48)$$

for all  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$  and all  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_{|A_2|+2}, \lambda_{|A_2|+3}, \dots, \lambda_{\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  are used as ‘in-sets’ to construct  $B_3^I$ .

$$\{(1, [k]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \{(1, [\gamma, k]), (2, [\gamma, k])\} \rightarrow (3, [k]), \quad (4.49)$$

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow (1, [k]) \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow (2, [k]) \rightarrow \lambda_1, \text{ and} \quad (4.50)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_{k - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \rightarrow (3, [k]) \rightarrow \lambda_{k - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} + |A_2| + 2} \quad (4.51)$$

for all  $\binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} \leq k \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$  and all  $1 \leq \gamma \leq \deg_T([k]) - 1$ , i.e., the  $\lceil \frac{s}{2} \rceil$ -sets  $\lambda_{|A_2|+2}, \lambda_{|A_2|+3}, \dots, \lambda_{2|A_2|+|A_3|+2 - \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil}}$  are used as ‘out-sets’ to construct  $B_3^O$ .

$$(2, [\tau, l]) \rightarrow \{(2, [l]), (4, [l])\} \rightarrow (1, [\tau, l]) \rightarrow \{(1, [l]), (3, [l])\} \rightarrow (2, [\tau, l]), \text{ and} \quad (4.52)$$

$$\bar{\lambda}_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [l]), (4, [l])\} \rightarrow \lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(2, [l]), (3, [l])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.53)$$

for all  $[l] \in A_4$  and all  $1 \leq \tau \leq \deg_T([l]) - 1$ .

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(1, [m]), (2, [m])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 \quad (4.54)$$

for all  $[m] \in E$ . (See Figure 18 for  $D$  when  $s = 3$ .)

Claim:  $d_D(v, w) \leq 4$  for all  $v, w \in V(D)$ .

Case 1.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j])\}$  for each  $[i], [j] \in A_2$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  (see (4.44)-(4.45)) is similar to that in Proposition 4.11 (see (4.39)-(4.40)), this case follows from Cases 1.1 and 2 of Proposition 4.11.

Case 2.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j])\}$  for each  $[i], [j] \in A_3$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_3$  (see (4.46)-(4.51)) is similar to that in Proposition 4.1 (see (4.2)-(4.7)), this case follows from Cases 1.1-1.2, 2-3 and 5 of Proposition 4.1.

Case 3.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for each  $[i], [j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_4$  (see (4.52)-(4.53)) is similar to that in Proposition 4.11 (see (4.41)-(4.42)), this case follows from Cases 1.2 and 3 of Proposition 4.11.

Case 4.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $[j] \in A_3$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  (see (4.44)-(4.51)) are similar to those of  $D_2$  in Proposition 4.3 (see (4.19)-(4.26)), this case follows from Cases 2.5 and 2.6 of Proposition 4.3.

Case 5.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_2$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_2$  and  $A_4$  (see (4.44)-(4.45) and (4.52)-(4.53)) are similar to those in Proposition 4.11 (see (4.39)-(4.42)), this case follows from Case 4 of Proposition 4.11.

Case 6.  $v \in \{(1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i]), (1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i])\}$  and  $w \in \{(1, [\beta, j]), (2, [\beta, j]), (1, [j]), (2, [j]), (3, [j]), (4, [j])\}$  for each  $[i] \in A_3$ , each  $[j] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $1 \leq \beta \leq \deg_T([j]) - 1$ .

Since the orientation defined for  $A_3$  and  $A_4$  (see (4.46)-(4.53)) are similar to those in Proposition 4.1 (see (4.2)-(4.9)), this case follows from Cases 6 and 7 of Proposition 4.1.

Case 7. For each  $1 \leq i \leq |A_2|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_4$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.53)-(4.54), this case follows from Case 5.

Case 8. For each  $|A_2| + 1 \leq j \leq |A_2| + |A_3|$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Let  $[k] \in A_4$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [k])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.53)-(4.54), this case follows from Case 6.

Case 9. For each  $[i] \in A_4$ , each  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and each  $[j] \in E$ ,

(i)  $v = (p, [\alpha, i]), w = (q, [j])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

(ii)  $v = (q, [j]), w = (p, [\alpha, i])$  for each  $p = 1, 2$  and  $q = 1, 2$ .

Since  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow \{(q, [j]), (2, [|A_2| + 1])\} \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1$  by (4.47) and (4.54), this case follows from Case 6.

Case 10.  $v = (r_1, \mathbf{c})$  and  $w = (r_2, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Here, we want to prove a stronger claim,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ . Let  $x_1 = (2, [l])$  for some  $[l] \in A_4$ ,  $x_{k+1} = (2, [k])$  for  $1 \leq k \leq |A_2|$ , and  $x_{k+1} = (3, [k])$  for  $|A_2| + 1 \leq k \leq \binom{s}{\lceil s/2 \rceil} - 1$ . Observe from (4.53), (4.45) and (4.48) that  $\lambda_k \rightarrow x_k \rightarrow \bar{\lambda}_k$  for all  $1 \leq k \leq s$  and the subgraph induced by  $V_1 = (\mathbb{N}_s, \mathbf{c})$  and  $V_2 = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq s\}$  is a complete bipartite graph  $K(V_1, V_2)$ . By Lemma 2.18,  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c})) = 2$ .

Case 11.  $v \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ , and  $w = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $1 \leq r \leq s$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$  by Case 10. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 12.  $v = (r, \mathbf{c})$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, s$  and  $w \in \{(1, [i]), (2, [i]), (3, [i]), (4, [i]), (1, [\alpha, i]), (2, [\alpha, i])\}$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$  and  $1 \leq \alpha \leq \deg_T([i]) - 1$ .

Note that there exists some  $1 \leq k \leq s$  such that  $d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 2$ , and  $d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) \leq 2$  by Case 10. Hence, it follows that  $d_D(v, w) \leq d_D(v, (k, \mathbf{c})) + d_D((k, \mathbf{c}), w) \leq 4$ .

Case 13.  $v = (p, [i])$  and  $w = (q, [j])$ , where  $1 \leq p, q \leq 4$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq \deg_T(\mathbf{c})$ .

This follows from the fact that  $|O^c((p, [i]))| > 0$ ,  $|I^c((q, [j]))| > 0$ , and  $d_D((r_1, \mathbf{c}), (r_2, \mathbf{c}))$

$= 2$  for any  $r_1 \neq r_2$  and  $1 \leq r_1, r_2 \leq s$ .

Therefore, the claim follows. Since every vertex lies in a directed  $C_4$  for  $D$  and  $d(D) = 4$ ,  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \max\{4, d(D)\}$  by Lemma 1.3, and thus  $\bar{d}(\mathcal{T}) = 4$ .  $\square$




Figure 18:  ${}^4$ Orientation  $D$  for  $\mathcal{H}$  for  $s = 3$ ,  
 $A_2 = \{[1]\}$ ,  $A_3 = \{[2], [3]\}$ ,  $A_4 = \{[4], [5]\}$ ,  $E = \{[6], [7]\}$ .

This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

## 5. Conclusion

We remark that the search for optimal orientation(s) in tree vertex-multiplications resembles a bottleneck. For instance in Proposition 4.3, it is relatively easy to settle Subcases 2.1 and 2.2 but the entanglement reveals as we narrow down to Subcase 2.3. Furthermore, the even case (see Proposition 3.11) illustrates a similar yet more complicated situation. Since this paper focus on trees of diameter 4, we end off by proposing the following problem.

**Problem 5.1.** For trees  $T$  with  $d(T) = 3$ , characterise the tree vertex-multiplications  $T(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n)$  that belong to  $\mathcal{C}_0$ .

## Acknowledgements

The first author would like to thank the National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University of Singapore, for the generous support of the Nanyang Technological University Research Scholarship.

## References

- [1] Bang-Jensen J. and Gutin G., *Digraphs: Theory, algorithms and applications*, Springer Verlag, London, (2009).
- [2] Boesch F. and Tindell R., Robbins's Theorem for mixed multigraphs, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 87, (1980), 716–719.
- [3] Chvátal V. and Thomassen C., Distances in orientations of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 24, (1978), 61–75.
- [4] Clements G.F. and Lindström B., A generalization of a combinatorial theorem of Macaulay, *J. Combin. Theory*, 7, (1969), 230–238.
- [5] Frankl P., Wong W.H.W., Analogues of Katona's and Milner's Theorems for two families, *Discrete Math.*, 344, (2021), Article 112327.
- [6] Griggs J.R., Collections of subsets with the Sperner property, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 269, (1982), 575–591.
- [7] Gutin G.,  $m$ -sources in complete multipartite digraphs, *Vestsi Acad. Navuk BSSR Ser. Fiz-Mat*, 5, (1989), 101–106 (in Russian).
- [8] Gutin G., Koh K.M., Tay E.G. and Yeo A., Almost minimum diameter orientations of semicomplete multipartite and extended digraphs, *Graphs Combin.*, 18, (2002), 499–506.
- [9] Katona G.O.H., A theorem of finite sets, *Theory of graphs, Acad. Kiado*, Budapest, (1968), 187–207.
- [10] Koh K.M. and Tay E.G., On optimal orientations of  $G$  vertex-multiplications, *Discrete Math.*, 219, (2000), 153–171.
- [11] Koh K.M. and Tay E.G., On optimal orientations of tree vertex-multiplications, *Australas. J. Combin.*, 34, (2006), 69–87.
- [12] Kruskal J.B., The extremal number of simplices in a complex, *Math. Optim. Tech., Univ. of Calif. Press*, Berkeley, California, (1963), 251–278.
- [13] Lih K.-W., Sperner families over a subset, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 29, (1980), 182–185.
- [14] Maurer S.B., The king chicken theorems, *Math. Mag.*, 53, (1980), 67–80.

- [15] Ng K.L. and Koh K.M., On optimal orientation of cycle vertex multiplications, *Discrete Math.*, 297, (2005), 104–118.
- [16] Ou Y., *Maximum size  $t$ -cross-intersecting and intersecting families with degree conditions*, PhD thesis, West Virginia University, Graduate Theses, Dissertations, and Problem Reports, 2263, (2005).
- [17] Plesník J., Diametrically critical tournaments, *Časopis pro pěstování matematiky*, 100, (1975), 361–370.
- [18] Robbins H.E., A theorem on graphs, with an application to a problem of traffic control, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 46, (1939), 281–283.
- [19] Šoltés L., Orientations of graphs minimizing the radius or the diameter, *Math. Slovaca*, 36, (1986), 289–296.
- [20] Sperner E., Ein Satz über Untermengen einer endlichen Menge, *Math. Z.*, 27, (1928), 544–548.
- [21] Wong W.H.W. and Tay E.G., A complete characterisation of vertex-multiplications of trees with diameter 5, *Theory Appl. Graphs*, in press.
- [22] Wong W.H.W. and Tay E.G., On Cross-intersecting Sperner Families, arXiv:2001.01910v1 (2020).
- [23] Wong W.H.W. and Tay E.G., Kruskal-Katona function and variants of cross-intersecting antichains, *Discrete Math.*, in press.