

A priori estimates versus arbitrarily large solutions for fractional semi-linear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev exponent

Xusheng Du, Hui Yang

October 19, 2021

Abstract

We study positive solutions to the fractional semi-linear elliptic equation

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma u = K(x)u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } B_2 \setminus \{0\}$$

with an isolated singularity at the origin, where K is a positive function on B_2 , the punctured ball $B_2 \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$, $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, and $(-\Delta)^\sigma$ is the fractional Laplacian. In lower dimensions, we show that, for any $K \in C^1(B_2)$, a positive solution u always satisfies that $u(x) \leq C|x|^{-(n-2\sigma)/2}$ near the origin. In contrast, we construct positive functions $K \in C^1(B_2)$ in higher dimensions such that a positive solution u could be arbitrarily large near the origin. In particular, these results also apply to the prescribed boundary mean curvature equations on \mathbb{B}^{n+1} .

Keywords: fractional elliptic equations, boundary mean curvature equations, local estimates, large singular solutions

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020): 35R11; 35B09; 35B40

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in the singular positive solutions to the fractional semi-linear elliptic equation

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma u = K(x)u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } B_2 \setminus \{0\}, \quad u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where K is a positive continuous function on B_2 , the punctured ball $B_2 \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 2$, $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, and $(-\Delta)^\sigma$ is the fractional Laplacian defined as

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma u(x) = C_{n,\sigma} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{n+2\sigma}} dy \quad (1.2)$$

with $C_{n,\sigma} = \frac{2^{2\sigma} \sigma \Gamma(\frac{n+2\sigma}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} \Gamma(1-\sigma)}$ and the gamma function Γ . This equation with the critical Sobolev exponent arises in the study of the fractional Yamabe problem [6, 13, 14] and fractional Nirenberg

problem [15, 16]. More precisely, every solution u of (1.1) induces a metric $g := u^{4/(n-2\sigma)}|dx|^2$ conformal to the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^n such that $K(x)$ is the fractional Q -curvature [6] of the new metric g . An interesting question is the following: If a solution $u(x)$ of (1.1) has a non-removable singularity at $\{0\}$, how does it tend to infinity as x approaches the origin?

This question in the Laplacian case $\sigma = 1$ was initially studied by Caffarelli, Gidas and Spruck in [3] when K is identically a positive constant. They proved that every positive solution u is asymptotically radially symmetric and has a precise asymptotic behavior near the isolated singularity 0. In particular, their result implies that u satisfies the following local estimate near 0

$$u(x) \leq C|x|^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}. \quad (1.3)$$

We may also see the work of Korevaar-Mazzeo-Pacard-Schoen [19] for a different proof in this classical case. When K is a non-constant positive function, Chen and Lin [8, 23] established (1.3) for positive solutions to (1.1) in the case $\sigma = 1$ under certain flatness conditions at critical points of K by using the method of moving planes. Later, Taliaferro and Zhang [27, 28] further explored the flatness conditions on K such that any positive solution of (1.1) with $\sigma = 1$ satisfies the local estimate (1.3) via the moving sphere method.

When $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and K is identically a positive constant, Caffarelli, Jin, Sire and Xiong [4] proved the following local estimate

$$u(x) \leq C|x|^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} \quad (1.4)$$

for positive solutions of (1.1) near the singularity 0 relying on the extension formulations of fractional Laplacians established by Caffarelli and Silvestre [5]. Based on this estimate, they also showed that every solution u of (1.1) is asymptotically radially symmetric. Further, it is natural to consider the case where K is a non-constant function and ask that under what assumptions on K every singular solution u of (1.1) satisfies the estimate (1.4) near the origin. In a recent paper [18], Jin and Yang established local estimates for higher order conformal Q -curvature equation by studying corresponding integral equation which, in particular, in the case $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ is closely related to the fractional equation (1.1). However, as pointed out in Remark 1.8 there, the integral equation in the case $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ encounters a difficulty due to the more singular properties of an integral kernel, and it was not covered in [18]. The first goal of this paper is to derive the local estimate (1.4) for the fractional equation (1.1) in lower dimensions.

We study (1.1) via the well-known extension formulations for fractional Laplacians in [5], through which we can consider a degenerate but local elliptic equation with a Neumann boundary condition in one dimension higher. To be more precisely, we first introduce some notations. We use capital letters, such as $X = (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, to denote points in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We denote \mathcal{B}_R as the open ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with radius R and center 0, \mathcal{B}_R^+ as the upper half ball $\mathcal{B}_R \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, and B_R as the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n with radius R and center 0. We also denote $\partial'\mathcal{B}_R^+$ as the flat part of $\partial\mathcal{B}_R^+$ which is the ball B_R in \mathbb{R}^n . Then instead of (1.1) we study

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma}\nabla U) = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{B}_2^+, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial\nu^\sigma}(x, 0) = K(x)U(x, 0)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} & \text{on } \partial'\mathcal{B}_2^+ \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

where $\frac{\partial U}{\partial\nu^\sigma}(x, 0) = -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^{1-2\sigma}\partial_t U(x, t)$. By [5] we only need to derive a local estimate for the trace

$$u(x) := U(x, 0)$$

of a non-negative solution $U(x, t)$ of (1.5) near the origin.

When $\sigma = 1/2$, the equation (1.5) appears in the study of prescribing mean curvature on $\partial\mathbb{B}^{n+1}$ and zero scalar curvature in \mathbb{B}^{n+1} ; see, for example, [7, 9, 11, 12]. In this case, the equation is without weight and thus elliptic.

We say that U is a weak solution of (1.5) if U is in the weighted Sobolev space $W^{1,2}(t^{1-2\sigma}, \mathcal{B}_2^+ \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^+})$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ and it satisfies

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}_2^+} t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla U \nabla \Psi = \int_{\partial' \mathcal{B}_2^+} K U^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \Psi \quad (1.6)$$

for every $\Psi \in C_c^\infty((\mathcal{B}_2^+ \cup \partial' \mathcal{B}_2^+) \setminus \{0\})$.

Before stating our first theorem, we introduce a notation $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(B_2)$ with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

Definition 1.1. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $\mathcal{C}^\alpha(B_2)$ is the set of all functions $f \in C(B_2)$ satisfying

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c(|x - y|)|x - y|^\alpha \quad \text{for all } x, y \in B_2,$$

where $c(\cdot)$ is a non-negative continuous function with $c(0) = 0$. For $\alpha = 1$, $\mathcal{C}^1(B_2)$ is the usual space $C^1(B_2)$.

Our first result is the following local estimate for non-negative solutions of (1.5) in dimension $n = 2$ or 3 .

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\sigma \in [1/2, 1)$, $n = 2$ or 3 , and $K \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha(B_2)$ is a positive function with $\alpha = (n - 2\sigma)/2$. If $\sigma = 1/2$ and $n = 3$, then we additionally suppose that $\nabla K(0) = 0$. Let U be a positive weak solution of (1.5). Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$u(x) \leq C|x|^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} \quad (1.7)$$

for all $x \in B_1 \setminus \{0\}$.

Remark 1.3. In particular, for the case $\sigma = 1/2$, the local estimate (1.7) holds for any positive solution of the boundary mean curvature equation (1.5) when $K \in \mathcal{C}^{1/2}(B_2)$ in dimension $n = 2$ or $K \in C^1(B_2)$ with $\nabla K(0) = 0$ in dimension $n = 3$.

We will prove Theorem 1.2 using the method of moving spheres introduced by Li-Zhu [22]. See also [4, 15, 17, 18, 21, 27, 28] for more applications of the moving sphere method.

On the other hand, supposing only that $K \in C^1(B_2)$ is a positive function satisfying $\nabla K(0) = 0$, one wonders if the estimate (1.7) holds in dimension $n \geq 4$. Such problem has been explored by Leung [20] and Taliaferro [26] in the Laplacian case $\sigma = 1$. More precisely, Taliaferro [26] showed the existence of positive functions $K \in C^1(B_2)$ in dimension $n \geq 6$ such that (1.1) in the case $\sigma = 1$ has a singular solution u which can be arbitrarily large near the origin. Leung [20] proved the existence of a positive Lipschitz continuous function K on B_2 in dimension $n \geq 5$ such that a solution u of (1.1) for $\sigma = 1$ does not satisfy $u(x) = O(|x|^{-(n-2)/2})$ near the origin.

The second goal of this paper is to generalize the result of Taliaferro [26] to the fractional equation (1.1) which, in particular, implies that (1.7) does not hold when $n > 2\sigma + 3$ if we only assume that $K \in C^1(B_2)$ and $\nabla K(0) = 0$.

Now we study the existence of large singular solutions to the extension equation

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma}\nabla U) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu^\sigma}(x, 0) = K(x)U(x, 0)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and $n > 2\sigma + 3$ is an integer. Let $k : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^1 function which is bounded between two positive constants and satisfies $\nabla k(0) = 0$. Let ε be a positive number and $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a continuous function. Then there exists a C^1 positive function $K : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\nabla K(0) = 0$, $K(0) = k(0)$, $K(x) = k(x)$ for $|x| \geq \varepsilon$ and*

$$\|K - k\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon \quad (1.9)$$

such that (1.8) has a positive solution U whose trace satisfies

$$u(x) \neq O(\varphi(|x|)) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$u(x) = O(|x|^{2\sigma-n}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (1.11)$$

Remark 1.5. *When $\sigma = 1/2$, Theorem 1.4 indicates that there exist positive functions $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in dimension $n \geq 5$ such that the boundary mean curvature equation (1.8) has a positive solution which could be arbitrarily large near the singularity 0.*

In Theorem 1.4, the function $\varphi : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is arbitrarily given and thus it can be taken to be very large near 0. Hence, the conclusion of Theorem 1.4 that a solution U of (1.8) can be required to satisfy (1.10) is in contrast to the result of Theorem 1.2. Our basic strategy to prove Theorem 1.4 is similar to that introduced by Taliaferro [26] for $\sigma = 1$, but we first have to set up a framework to fit the nonlocal equation $(-\Delta)^\sigma u = K(x)u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, and then we extend the constructed solution for this nonlocal equation to (1.8) in one dimension higher.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give some basic results for the standard bubble solutions and a Green's formula on the exterior of a half ball with Neumann boundary condition. Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted to the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.4, respectively.

Acknowledgements. Both authors would like to thank Professor Tianling Jin for many helpful discussions and encouragement.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and some basic results which will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 in the next section. We denote $\mathcal{B}_R(X)$ as the open ball in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with radius R and center X , $\mathcal{B}_R^+(X)$ as $\mathcal{B}_R(X) \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$, and $B_R(x)$ as the open ball in \mathbb{R}^n with radius R and center x . For simplicity we also write $\mathcal{B}_R(0)$, $\mathcal{B}_R^+(0)$ and $B_R(0)$ as \mathcal{B}_R , \mathcal{B}_R^+ and B_R , respectively. For a set $\Omega \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$, we denote $\partial'\Omega$ as the interior of $\overline{\Omega} \cap \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n = \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$ and $\partial''\Omega = \partial\Omega \setminus \partial'\Omega$. Thus, $\partial'\mathcal{B}_R^+ = B_R$ and $\partial''\mathcal{B}_R^+ = \partial\mathcal{B}_R \cap \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}}$.

In this section, we always assume that $\sigma \in [1/2, 1)$ and $n \geq 2$ is an integer. For $\lambda > 0$, $Y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we denote

$$Y^\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2 Y}{|Y|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad y^\lambda = \frac{\lambda^2 y}{|y|^2}.$$

Let $U : \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be two functions, then their Kelvin transformations are defined by

$$U^\lambda(Y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|Y|}\right)^{n-2\sigma} U(Y^\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad u^\lambda(y) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|y|}\right)^{n-2\sigma} u(y^\lambda).$$

Let

$$\tilde{w}(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{W}(y, t) &= \mathcal{P}_\sigma[\tilde{w}](y, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathcal{P}_\sigma(y - z, t) \tilde{w}(z) dz \\ &= \gamma_{n, \sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{1+|z|^2}\right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{1+|y-tz|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} dz, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}_\sigma(y, t) = \gamma_{n, \sigma} \frac{t^{2\sigma}}{(|y|^2 + t^2)^{(n+2\sigma)/2}} \quad (2.1)$$

with a constant $\gamma_{n, \sigma}$ such that $\gamma_{n, \sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|z|^2)^{-(n+2\sigma)/2} dz = 1$. Then it is well-known that \widetilde{W} solves

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla \widetilde{W}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{W}}{\partial \nu^\sigma}(x, 0) = \tilde{C}_{n, \sigma} \widetilde{W}(x, 0)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{C}_{n, \sigma}$ is a positive constant given as

$$\tilde{C}_{n, \sigma} = \frac{2\Gamma(1-\sigma)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} + \sigma)}{\Gamma(\sigma)\Gamma(\frac{n}{2} - \sigma)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, we claim that

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\lambda_0 = 1/2$ and $\lambda_1 = 2$. Then there exists $C > 0$ depending only on n and σ such that*

$$\widetilde{W}(Y) - \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0}(Y) \geq C(|Y| - \lambda_0)|Y|^{2\sigma-n-1} \quad \text{for } Y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_0}^+ \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial(\widetilde{W} - \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0})}{\partial \nu} > C > 0 \quad \text{on } \partial'' \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_0}^+, \quad (2.4)$$

where ν denotes the unit outer normal vector of $\partial'' \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_0}^+$. Moreover, we have

$$\widetilde{W}(Y) - \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_1}(Y) < 0 \quad \text{for } Y \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda_1}^+}. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. By direct computation, we obtain

$$\tilde{w}^{\lambda_0}(y) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0^2}{\lambda_0^4 + |y|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}.$$

Then $\tilde{w}(y) > \tilde{w}^{\lambda_0}(y)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_{\lambda_0}}$. It follows that for $Y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda_0}^+}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{W}(Y) - \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0}(Y) \\ &= \gamma_{n,\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\lambda_0}} \left(\frac{t^{2\sigma}}{|Y-x|^{n+2\sigma}} - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{|x|} \right)^{n+2\sigma} \frac{t^{2\sigma}}{|Y-x^{\lambda_0}|^{n+2\sigma}} \right) (\tilde{w}(x) - \tilde{w}^{\lambda_0}(x)) dx > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We also have for $Y \in \partial'' \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_0}^+ \cap \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}$,

$$\frac{\partial(\widetilde{W} - \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0})}{\partial \nu}(Y) = \gamma_{n,\sigma}(n+2\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\lambda_0}} \frac{t^{2\sigma}(|x|^2 - \lambda_0^2)}{\lambda_0 |Y-x|^{n+2\sigma+2}} (\tilde{w}(x) - \tilde{w}^{\lambda_0}(x)) dx > 0,$$

and for all $y \in \partial B_{\lambda_0}$,

$$\frac{\partial(\tilde{w} - \tilde{w}^{\lambda_0})}{\partial \nu}(y) = (n-2\sigma) \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda_0^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma+2}{2}} \frac{1-\lambda_0^2}{\lambda_0} > 0.$$

Note that $\widetilde{W} - \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0} \in C_{loc}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$, we obtain that (2.4) holds.

On the other hand, since \widetilde{W} is conformally invariant, i.e., $\widetilde{W}(Y) = |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \widetilde{W}(Y/|Y|^2)$, we have

$$\lim_{|Y| \rightarrow \infty} |Y|^{n-2\sigma} (\widetilde{W} - \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0})(Y) = \widetilde{W}(0) - \lambda_0^{n-2\sigma} \widetilde{W}(0) = 1 - \lambda_0^{n-2\sigma} > 0.$$

Hence, there exists $C > 0$ depending only on n and σ such that (2.3) holds. Using the similar argument, we can prove that (2.5) holds. \square

We define

$$G_\lambda(Y, \eta) = N_{n,\sigma} \left(|Y-\eta|^{2\sigma-n} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{|\eta|} \right)^{n-2\sigma} |Y-\eta^\lambda|^{2\sigma-n} \right) \quad (2.6)$$

for $Y = (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_\lambda$, where $N_{n,\sigma}$ satisfies

$$N_{n,\sigma}(n-2\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|z|^2)^{(2\sigma-n-2)/2} dz = 1.$$

Then it is elementary to check that

Lemma 2.2. *The function G_λ satisfies the following:*

- (i) $G_\lambda(Y, \eta) > 0$ when $|Y| > \lambda$ and $|\eta| > \lambda$.
- (ii) $G_\lambda(Y, \eta) = 0$ when $|Y| = \lambda$ or $|\eta| = \lambda$.

(iii) $\operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma}\nabla_Y G_\lambda) = 0$ for $Y = (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\lambda^+}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B_\lambda}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that E is a smooth bounded domain of \mathbb{R}^n with $B_{2\lambda} \subset E$ and $q_\lambda \in C(\overline{E} \setminus B_\lambda)$. Let*

$$\Phi_\lambda(Y) = \int_{E \setminus B_\lambda} G_\lambda(Y, \eta) q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \quad \text{for } Y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+.$$

Then the function Φ_λ satisfies:

(i) $\Phi_\lambda(Y) = 0$ when $|Y| = \lambda$.

(ii) Φ_λ solves the equation

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma}\nabla\Phi_\lambda) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\lambda^+}, \\ \frac{\partial\Phi_\lambda}{\partial\nu^\sigma} = q_\lambda & \text{on } E \setminus \overline{B_\lambda}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Part (i) follows from Lemma 2.2 (ii). The first identity of (ii) follows from Lemma 2.2 and Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Now we prove the second identity of (ii). For any $y \in E \setminus \overline{B_\lambda}$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(y) \subset E \setminus \overline{B_\lambda}$. Then, for any $0 < r < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & -t^{1-2\sigma}\partial_t\Phi_\lambda(Y) \\ &= (n-2\sigma)N_{n,\sigma} \int_{B_r(y)} t^{2-2\sigma}|Y-\eta|^{2\sigma-n-2}q_\lambda(\eta)d\eta \\ &+ \left[(n-2\sigma)N_{n,\sigma} \int_{E \setminus (B_\lambda \cup B_r(y))} t^{2-2\sigma}|Y-\eta|^{2\sigma-n-2}q_\lambda(\eta)d\eta \right. \\ &\quad \left. - (n-2\sigma)N_{n,\sigma} \int_{E \setminus B_\lambda} t^{2-2\sigma} \left(\frac{\lambda}{|\eta|} \right)^{n-2\sigma} |Y-\eta^\lambda|^{2\sigma-n-2}q_\lambda(\eta)d\eta \right] \\ &=: I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= (n-2\sigma)N_{n,\sigma} \int_{B_r(y)} t^{2-2\sigma}|Y-\eta|^{2\sigma-n-2}(q_\lambda(\eta) - q_\lambda(y))d\eta \\ &+ (n-2\sigma)N_{n,\sigma} \int_{B_r(y)} t^{2-2\sigma}|Y-\eta|^{2\sigma-n-2}q_\lambda(y)d\eta \\ &= (n-2\sigma)N_{n,\sigma} \int_{B_r(y)} t^{2-2\sigma}|Y-\eta|^{2\sigma-n-2}(q_\lambda(\eta) - q_\lambda(y))d\eta \\ &+ (n-2\sigma)N_{n,\sigma}q_\lambda(y) \int_{B_{r/t}} (1+|z|^2)^{(2\sigma-n-2)/2}dz \\ &= I_{11} + I_{12}, \end{aligned}$$

where $|I_{11}| \leq \|q_\lambda - q_\lambda(y)\|_{L^\infty(B_r(y))}$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} I_{12} = q_\lambda(y)$. We also have $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} |I_2| = 0$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} | -t^{1-2\sigma}\partial_t\Phi_\lambda(Y) - q_\lambda(y) | \leq \|q_\lambda - q_\lambda(y)\|_{L^\infty(B_r(y))}.$$

Since q_λ is continuous at y , let r tend to 0, we can get the desired result. \square

Here we state an estimate to $G_\lambda(Y, \eta)$ whose proof is elementary and so is omitted.

Lemma 2.4. *For $\lambda < |Y| \leq 10\lambda$ and $|\eta| > \lambda$, there exists $C > 0$ depending only on n and σ such that*

$$G_\lambda(Y, \eta) \leq C \frac{(|Y| - \lambda)(|\eta|^2 - \lambda^2)}{\lambda|Y - \eta|^{n-2\sigma+2}}. \quad (2.7)$$

Finally, we also need the following maximum principle whose proof can be found in [17].

Lemma 2.5 ([17]). *Suppose $U \in W^{1,2}(t^{1-2\sigma}, \mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\varepsilon^+}) \cap C(\overline{\mathcal{B}_1^+} \setminus \{0\})$ for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and*

$$\liminf_{Y \rightarrow 0} U(Y) > -\infty.$$

Suppose U solves

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla U) \leq 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{B}_1^+, \\ \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu^\sigma} \geq 0 & \text{on } \partial' \mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

in the weak sense. Then

$$U(Y) \geq \inf_{\partial'' \mathcal{B}_1^+} U \quad \text{for all } Y \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_1^+} \setminus \{0\}.$$

3 Local estimates in lower dimensions

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.2 using the moving sphere method introduced by Li-Zhu [22].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subset B_1 \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$|x_j| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty,$$

but

$$|x_j|^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} u(x_j) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Step 1. We claim that $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ can be chosen as the local maximum points of u .

Consider

$$f_j(x) := \left(\frac{|x_j|}{2} - |x - x_j| \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} u(x) \quad \text{for } |x - x_j| \leq \frac{|x_j|}{2}.$$

Since u is positive and continuous in $\overline{B_{|x_j|/2}(x_j)}$, we can find a point $\bar{x}_j \in \overline{B_{|x_j|/2}(x_j)}$ such that

$$f_j(\bar{x}_j) = \max_{|x - x_j| \leq |x_j|/2} f_j(x) > 0.$$

Let $2\mu_j := |x_j|/2 - |\bar{x}_j - x_j|$, then

$$0 < 2\mu_j \leq \frac{|x_j|}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{|x_j|}{2} - |x - x_j| \geq \mu_j \quad \forall |x - \bar{x}_j| \leq \mu_j.$$

By the definition of f_j , we have

$$(2\mu_j)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} u(\bar{x}_j) = f_j(\bar{x}_j) \geq f_j(x) \geq (\mu_j)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} u(x) \quad \forall |x - \bar{x}_j| \leq \mu_j.$$

Hence we have

$$2^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} u(\bar{x}_j) \geq u(x) \quad \forall |x - \bar{x}_j| \leq \mu_j. \quad (3.1)$$

We also have

$$(2\mu_j)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} u(\bar{x}_j) = f_j(\bar{x}_j) \geq f_j(x_j) = \left(\frac{|x_j|}{2}\right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} u(x_j) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.2)$$

Now we define

$$\bar{W}_j(y, t) = \frac{1}{u(\bar{x}_j)} U\left(\bar{x}_j + \frac{y}{u(\bar{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}}, \frac{t}{u(\bar{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}}\right) \quad \text{for } (y, t) \in \Xi_j,$$

where

$$\Xi_j := \left\{ (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \left(\bar{x}_j + \frac{y}{u(\bar{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}}, \frac{t}{u(\bar{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}}\right) \in \mathcal{B}_1^+ \right\}.$$

Let $\bar{w}_j(y) := \bar{W}_j(y, 0)$, then \bar{W}_j satisfies $\bar{w}_j(0) = 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla \bar{W}_j) = 0 & \text{in } \Xi_j, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{W}_j}{\partial \nu^\sigma}(y, 0) = K\left(\bar{x}_j + u(\bar{x}_j)^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} y\right) \bar{w}_j^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}(y) & \text{on } \partial' \Xi_j \setminus \{-u(\bar{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} \bar{x}_j\}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\bar{w}_j(y) \leq 2^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} \quad \text{in } B_{R_j},$$

where

$$R_j := \mu_j u(\bar{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.3)$$

By [15, Proposition 2.6], for any given $\bar{t} > 0$ we have

$$0 \leq W_j \leq C(\bar{t}) \quad \text{in } B_{R_j/2} \times [0, \bar{t}],$$

where $C(\bar{t})$ depends only on $n, \sigma, \|K\|_{L^\infty(B_1)}$ and \bar{t} . Since $1/2 \leq \sigma < 1$, by the regularity results in [2, 15], we have that, after passing to a subsequence, for some non-negative function $\bar{W} \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(t^{1-2\sigma}, \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}) \cap C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$

$$\begin{cases} \bar{W}_j \rightharpoonup \bar{W} & \text{weakly in } W_{loc}^{1,2}(t^{1-2\sigma}, \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}), \\ \bar{W}_j \rightarrow \bar{W} & \text{in } C_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}). \end{cases}$$

where $\bar{w}(y) := \bar{W}(y, 0)$. Moreover, \bar{W} satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla \bar{W}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \frac{\partial \bar{W}}{\partial \nu^\sigma} = K(0) \bar{w}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} & \text{on } \partial \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \end{cases}$$

and $\bar{w}(0) = 1$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $K(0) = \tilde{C}_{n,\sigma}$ which is defined in (2.2). By the Liouville theorem in [15], we have

$$\bar{w}(y) = \left(\frac{\mu}{1 + \mu^2|y - y_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}},$$

for some $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mu \geq 1$. Hence \bar{w} has an absolute maximum value $\mu^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}$ at y_0 . It implies that \bar{w}_j has a local maximum at a point y_j close to y_0 and $\bar{w}_j(y_j) \geq \mu^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}/2$ when j is large. Let

$$\tilde{x}_j := \bar{x}_j + u(\bar{x}_j)^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} y_j,$$

then $\{\tilde{x}_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ are local maximum points of u for large j and

$$u(\tilde{x}_j) = u(\bar{x}_j)\bar{w}_j(y_j) \geq \frac{\mu^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}}{2}u(\bar{x}_j).$$

It follows from (3.3) that $|\tilde{x}_j - \bar{x}_j| = u(\bar{x}_j)^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}|y_j| < \mu_j$ when j is large, then $\tilde{x}_j \in B_{\mu_j}(\bar{x}_j) \subset B_{|x_j|/2}(x_j)$. Thus we have $|\tilde{x}_j| \geq |x_j|/2 \geq 2\mu_j$ when j is large. By (3.3) we can obtain

$$|\tilde{x}_j|^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}u(\tilde{x}_j) \geq \frac{(2\mu)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}}{2}(\mu_j)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}u(\bar{x}_j) \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the same arguments as before, after passing to a subsequence we can prove that the function

$$W_j(y, t) := \frac{1}{u(\tilde{x}_j)}U \left(\tilde{x}_j + \frac{y}{u(\tilde{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}}, \frac{t}{u(\tilde{x}_j)^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}} \right)$$

converges to \tilde{W} in $C_{loc}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$ and weakly in $W_{loc}^{1,2}(t^{1-2\sigma}, \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$ which solves

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma}\nabla\tilde{W}) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \frac{\partial\tilde{W}}{\partial\nu^\sigma} = K(0)\tilde{w}^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} & \text{on } \partial\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}, \\ \max_{\mathbb{R}^n}\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}(0) = 1, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{w}(y) := \tilde{W}(y, 0)$. By the Liouville theorem in [15],

$$\tilde{w}(y) = \left(\frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}$$

and $\tilde{W} = \mathcal{P}_\sigma[\tilde{w}]$. From now on we consider x_j as \tilde{x}_j . The claim is proved.

Step 2. We give some estimates for the difference between W_j and its Kelvin transformation.

Let $L_j := u(x_j)$ and

$$\Omega_j := \left\{ (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \left(x_j + L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}y, L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}t \right) \in \mathcal{B}_1^+ \right\}.$$

Then for large j , we know that $\mathcal{B}_5^+ \subset \Omega_j$. For $\lambda \in [1/2, 2]$, let

$$W_j^\lambda(Y) := \left(\frac{\lambda}{|Y|}\right)^{n-2\sigma} W_j\left(\frac{\lambda^2 Y}{|Y|^2}\right) \quad \text{for } Y \in \overline{\Omega_j} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+.$$

Now we define $W_\lambda(Y) := W_j(Y) - W_j^\lambda(Y)$ and $w_\lambda(y) := W_\lambda(y, 0)$. Here we omit j for simplicity. We also denote

$$K_j(y) := K\left(x_j + L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} y\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla W_\lambda) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega_j \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\lambda^+}, \\ \frac{\partial W_\lambda}{\partial \nu^\sigma} = b_\lambda w_\lambda - q_\lambda & \text{on } \partial'(\Omega_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} x_j\}, \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$b_\lambda(y) := K_j(y) \frac{w_j(y)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} - w_j^\lambda(y)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{w_j(y) - w_j^\lambda(y)} \geq 0 \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$q_\lambda(y) := (K_j(y^\lambda) - K_j(y)) w_j^\lambda(y)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}. \quad (3.6)$$

Notice that $q_\lambda \in C(\overline{\partial' \Omega_j} \setminus B_\lambda)$. Moreover, we have the following estimates for the difference between W_j and W_j^λ when $\lambda = 1/2$ or 2 .

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\lambda_0 = 1/2$ and $\lambda_1 = 2$. There exists $c_0 > 0$ and $j_0 > 1$ such that for all $j \geq j_0$,*

$$W_j(Y) - W_j^{\lambda_0}(Y) \geq c_0(|Y| - \lambda_0)|Y|^{2\sigma-n-1} + c_0 L_j^{-1}(\lambda_0^{2\sigma-n} - |Y|^{2\sigma-n}) \quad (3.7)$$

for all $Y \in \Omega_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_0}^+$. Moreover, there exists $Y^* \in \overline{\mathcal{B}_{2\lambda_1}^+} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda_1}^+}$ such that for all $j \geq j_0$,

$$W_j(Y^*) - W_j^{\lambda_1}(Y^*) \leq -c_0. \quad (3.8)$$

Proof. Since $\widetilde{W}(Y) = |Y|^{n-2\sigma} \widetilde{W}(Y/|Y|^2)$, we have $\lim_{|Y| \rightarrow \infty} |Y|^{n-2\sigma} \widetilde{W}(Y) = \widetilde{W}(0) = 1$. Similarly, we also have $\lim_{|Y| \rightarrow \infty} |Y|^{n-2\sigma} \widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0}(Y) = \lambda_0^{n-2\sigma}$. Hence, there exist a small $0 < c_1 < 1/4$ and a large $R > 4$ such that

$$|\widetilde{W}(Y) - |Y|^{2\sigma-n}| \leq \frac{c_1}{2} |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \quad \text{for } |Y| \geq R,$$

and

$$\widetilde{W}^{\lambda_0}(Y) \leq (1 - 3c_1) |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \quad \text{for } |Y| \geq R.$$

Since W_j converges to \widetilde{W} in $C_{loc}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$, for large j we obtain

$$W_j(Y) \geq (1 - c_1) |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \quad \text{for } |Y| = R, \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$W_j^{\lambda_0}(Y) \leq (1 - 2c_1) |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \quad \text{for } |Y| \geq R.$$

Recall that for $Y \in \partial''\Omega_j$,

$$|Y| = L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} \left| L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} Y \right| \geq L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} (1 - |x_j|).$$

Thus

$$|Y|^{n-2\sigma} W_j(Y) \geq L_j (1 - |x_j|)^{n-2\sigma} \inf_{\mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\}} U \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.10)$$

It follows from (3.9), (3.10) and Lemma 2.5 that for sufficiently large j , we have

$$W_j(Y) \geq (1 - c_1) |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \quad \text{for } Y \in \Omega_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_R^+.$$

Again, since W_j converges to \widetilde{W} in $C_{loc}^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}})$, by Lemma 2.1, there exists $c_2 > 0$ such that

$$W_j(Y) - W_j^{\lambda_0}(Y) \geq c_2 (|Y| - \lambda_0) |Y|^{2\sigma-n-1} \quad \text{for } Y \in \mathcal{B}_R^+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\lambda_0}^+ \quad (3.11)$$

for sufficiently large j .

Now we show that (3.7) holds for $Y \in \Omega_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_R^+$. By the definition of W_j , there exists $c_3 > 0$ such that

$$W_j(Y) \geq L_j^{-1} \inf_{\mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\}} U \geq c_3 L_j^{-1} (\lambda_0^{2\sigma-n} - |Y|^{2\sigma-n}) \quad \text{for } Y \in \Omega_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_R^+.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_j(Y) - W_j^{\lambda_0}(Y) &= \frac{c_1}{2} W_j(Y) + \left(1 - \frac{c_1}{2}\right) W_j(Y) - W_j^{\lambda_0}(Y) \\ &\geq \frac{c_1 c_3}{2} L_j^{-1} (\lambda_0^{2\sigma-n} - |Y|^{2\sigma-n}) + \left[\left(1 - \frac{c_1}{2}\right) (1 - c_1) - (1 - 2c_1)\right] |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \\ &\geq \frac{c_1 c_3}{2} L_j^{-1} (\lambda_0^{2\sigma-n} - |Y|^{2\sigma-n}) + \frac{c_1(1 + c_1)}{2} |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \end{aligned}$$

for $Y \in \Omega_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_R^+$. Therefore, there exists a small c_0 such that for large j ,

$$W_j(Y) - W_j^{\lambda_0}(Y) \geq c_0 |Y|^{2\sigma-n} + c_0 L_j^{-1} (\lambda_0^{2\sigma-n} - |Y|^{2\sigma-n}) \quad \text{for all } Y \in \Omega_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_R^+.$$

This together with (3.11) implies that (3.7) holds by choosing c_0 sufficiently small. Finally, (3.8) is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1. \square

Let $\tau \in (0, 1/4)$ be a constant to be specified later, we define

$$\Pi_j = \left\{ (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \left(x_j + L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} y, L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} t \right) \in \mathcal{B}_{2\tau}^+ \right\} \subset \Omega_j$$

and

$$\Sigma_j = \left\{ (y, t) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} : \left(x_j + L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} y, L_j^{-\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} t \right) \in \mathcal{B}_\tau^+ \right\} \subset \Pi_j.$$

Define

$$\Phi_\lambda(Y) := \int_{\partial'\Pi_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda} G_\lambda(Y, \eta) q_\lambda(\eta) d\eta \quad \text{for } Y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+, \quad (3.12)$$

where $G_\lambda(Y, \eta)$ is the Green's function defined in (2.6) and q_λ is the function given in (3.6). From Lemma 2.3, we know that $\Phi_\lambda(Y) = 0$ when $|Y| = \lambda$ and

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma}\nabla\Phi_\lambda) = 0 & \text{in } \Pi_j \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\lambda^+}, \\ \frac{\partial\Phi_\lambda}{\partial\nu^\sigma} = q_\lambda & \text{on } \partial'\Pi_j \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\lambda}. \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Step 3. We state some estimates for Φ_λ .

Since w_j converges to \tilde{w} in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have for any $\lambda \in [1/2, 2]$,

$$0 \leq w_j^\lambda(\eta) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|\eta|}\right)^{n-2\sigma} w_j \left(\frac{\lambda^2\eta}{|\eta|^2}\right) \leq C|\eta|^{2\sigma-n} \quad \text{for } \eta \in \partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda \quad (3.14)$$

when j is sufficiently large, where $C > 0$ depends only on n and σ .

By our assumption on K , we have

$$|K_j(\eta^\lambda) - K_j(\eta)| \leq c(\tau)L_j^{-1}(|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha \quad \text{for } \eta \in \partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda, \quad (3.15)$$

where $c(\cdot)$ is a non-negative function with $c(0) = 0$. This together with (3.6) and (3.14) implies that

$$|q_\lambda(\eta)| \leq c(\tau)L_j^{-1}(|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} \quad \text{for } \eta \in \partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda, \quad (3.16)$$

Consequently, we have the following estimates for Φ_λ .

Lemma 3.2. *For any $\lambda \in [1/2, 2]$, we have*

$$|\Phi_\lambda(Y)| \leq \begin{cases} c(\tau)L_j^{-1}(|Y| - \lambda) & \text{if } Y \in \mathcal{B}_{4\lambda}^+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+, \\ c(\tau)L_j^{-1}|Y|^{2\sigma-n} \log |Y| & \text{if } Y \in \Sigma_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_{4\lambda}^+, \end{cases}$$

where $c(\cdot)$ is a non-negative function with $c(0) = 0$.

Proof. It follows from (3.16) that for $Y \in \Sigma_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+$,

$$|\Phi_\lambda(Y)| \leq c(\tau)L_j^{-1} \int_{\partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda} G_\lambda(Y, \eta) (|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta.$$

We have two cases:

Case 1. $\lambda < |Y| < 4\lambda$. Let $\partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda = A \cup B \cup D$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{\eta \in \partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |Y - \eta| < (|Y| - \lambda)/3\}, \\ B &:= \{\eta \in \partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |Y - \eta| \geq (|Y| - \lambda)/3 \text{ and } |\eta| \leq 8\lambda\}, \\ D &:= \{\eta \in \partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |\eta| > 8\lambda\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_\lambda(Y, \eta) \leq C|Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n}$, $2(|Y| - \lambda)/3 \leq |\eta| - \lambda \leq 4(|Y| - \lambda)/3$ and $\lambda \leq |\eta| \leq 5\lambda$ for any $\eta \in A$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A G_\lambda(Y, \eta) (|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta &\leq C(|Y| - \lambda)^\alpha \int_A |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} d\eta \\ &\leq C(|Y| - \lambda)^\alpha \int_{\{\eta \in \partial'\Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |y - \eta| < \frac{|Y| - \lambda}{3}\}} |y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} d\eta \\ &\leq C(|Y| - \lambda)^{\alpha+2\sigma} \leq C(|Y| - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4 and $|\eta| - \lambda \leq 4|Y - \eta|$ for any $\eta \in B$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B G_\lambda(Y, \eta)(|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta &\leq C(|Y| - \lambda) \int_B |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n-2} (|\eta| - \lambda)^{1+\alpha} d\eta \\ &\leq C(|Y| - \lambda) \int_B |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n-1+\alpha} d\eta \\ &\leq C(|Y| - \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

For any $\eta \in D$, we have $|Y - \eta| \geq |\eta| - |Y| \geq |\eta|/2$ and $7|\eta|/8 \leq |\eta| - \lambda \leq |\eta|$. By Lemma 2.4,

$$\int_D G_\lambda(Y, \eta)(|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta \leq C(|Y| - \lambda) \int_D |\eta|^{\alpha-2n} d\eta \leq C(|Y| - \lambda).$$

Consequently, we obtain the first estimate of Lemma 3.2.

Case 2. For $Y \in \Sigma_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_{4\lambda}^+$. Let $\partial' \Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda = A_1 \cup A_2 \cup A_3 \cup A_4$ where

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &:= \{\eta \in \partial' \Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |\eta| < |Y|/2\}, \\ A_2 &:= \{\eta \in \partial' \Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |\eta| > 2|Y|\}, \\ A_3 &:= \{\eta \in \partial' \Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |Y - \eta| \leq |Y|/2\}, \\ A_4 &:= \{\eta \in \partial' \Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |Y - \eta| \geq |Y|/2 \text{ and } |Y| \leq |\eta| \leq 2|Y|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G_\lambda(Y, \eta) \leq C|Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n}$ and $|Y - \eta| \geq |Y|/2$ for any $\eta \in A_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_1} G_\lambda(Y, \eta)(|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta &\leq C \int_{A_1} |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} (|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta \\ &\leq C|Y|^{2\sigma-n} \int_{A_1} |\eta|^{\alpha-n-2\sigma} d\eta \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C|Y|^{2\sigma-n} & \text{if } \alpha < 2\sigma, \\ C|Y|^{2\sigma-n} \log |Y| & \text{if } \alpha = 2\sigma. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For any $\eta \in A_2$, $|Y - \eta| \geq |\eta| - |Y| \geq |\eta|/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_2} G_\lambda(Y, \eta)(|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta &\leq C \int_{A_2} |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} |\eta|^{\alpha-n-2\sigma} d\eta \\ &\leq C \int_{A_2} |\eta|^{\alpha-2n} d\eta \\ &\leq C|Y|^{\alpha-n}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\eta \in A_3$, $|\eta| \geq |Y| - |Y - \eta| \geq |Y|/2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_3} G_\lambda(Y, \eta)(|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta &\leq C \int_{A_3} |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} |\eta|^{\alpha-n-2\sigma} d\eta \\ &\leq C|Y|^{\alpha-n-2\sigma} \int_{A_3} |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} d\eta \\ &\leq C|Y|^{\alpha-n-2\sigma} \int_{\{\eta \in \partial' \Pi_j \setminus B_\lambda : |y-\eta| \leq \frac{|Y|}{2}\}} |y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} d\eta \\ &\leq C|Y|^{\alpha-n}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_4} G_\lambda(Y, \eta) (|\eta| - \lambda)^\alpha |\eta|^{-n-2\sigma} d\eta &\leq C \int_{A_4} |Y - \eta|^{2\sigma-n} |\eta|^{\alpha-n-2\sigma} d\eta \\ &\leq C |Y|^{2\sigma-n} \int_{A_4} |\eta|^{\alpha-n-2\sigma} d\eta \\ &\leq C |Y|^{\alpha-n}. \end{aligned}$$

From above, we get the second estimates of Lemma 3.2. \square

Step 4. We will use the method of moving spheres to reach a contradiction.

Inspired by [8, 27, 28], for $\lambda \in [1/2, 2]$ we define

$$A_\lambda(Y) := -c_4 L_j^{-1} (\lambda^{2\sigma-2} - |Y|^{2\sigma-2}) + \Phi_\lambda(Y), \quad Y \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+,$$

where Φ_λ is defined as in (3.12) and the constant c_4 is given by

$$c_4 := \frac{1}{32} \min \left\{ c_0, \inf_{\mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\}} U \right\} > 0.$$

Here we recall that $c_0 > 0$ is defined in Lemma 3.1.

By Lemma 3.2, we can choose τ sufficiently small and j sufficiently large such that for any $\lambda \in [1/2, 2]$,

$$A_\lambda(Y) < 0 \quad \text{for all } Y \in \Sigma_j \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\lambda^+}. \quad (3.17)$$

Then for $Y \in \partial''\Sigma_j$, we have

$$\frac{L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} \tau}{2} \leq L_j^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} (\tau - |x_j|) \leq |Y| \leq L_j^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} (\tau + |x_j|) \leq 2L_j^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} \tau$$

for large j . By Lemma 3.2 and (3.17),

$$|A_\lambda(Y)| \leq c_4 \lambda^{2\sigma-n} L_j^{-1} \leq 2^{n-2\sigma} c_4 L_j^{-1} \leq 8c_4 L_j^{-1} \quad \text{for } Y \in \partial''\Sigma_j, \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W_\lambda(Y) &= W_j(Y) - W_j^\lambda(Y) \\ &\geq L_j^{-1} \inf_{\mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\}} U - \lambda^{n-2\sigma} |Y|^{2\sigma-n} W_j \left(\frac{\lambda^2 Y}{|Y|^2} \right) \\ &\geq L_j^{-1} \inf_{\mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\}} U - 4^{n-2\sigma} \tau^{2\sigma-n} \|W_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{B}_2^+)} L_j^{-2} \\ &\geq L_j^{-1} \inf_{\mathcal{B}_1^+ \setminus \{0\}} U - 8^{n-2\sigma} \tau^{2\sigma-n} \|\widetilde{W}\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{B}_2^+)} L_j^{-2} \geq 16c_4 L_j^{-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

for $Y \in \partial''\Sigma_j$ and large j . It implies that

$$(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)(Y) \geq 16c_4 L_j^{-1} \quad \text{for } Y \in \partial''\Sigma_j, \quad (3.20)$$

when j is sufficiently large.

Now, from (3.4), (3.5), (3.13) and (3.17) we have

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(t^{1-2\sigma}\nabla(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)) = 0 & \text{in } \Sigma_j \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_\lambda^+}, \\ \frac{\partial(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)}{\partial\nu^\sigma} \geq b_\lambda(w_\lambda + a_\lambda) & \text{on } \partial'(\Sigma_j \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}x_j\}. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

Let $\lambda_0 = 1/2$ and $\lambda_1 = 2$. We define

$$\lambda^* := \sup \left\{ \lambda \geq \lambda_0 : W_\mu + A_\mu \geq 0 \text{ in } (\overline{\Sigma_j} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\mu^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}x_j\} \text{ for all } \lambda_0 \leq \mu \leq \lambda \right\}.$$

Then λ^* is well-defined as λ_0 belongs to above set by Lemma 3.1. From (3.8) and (3.17), we know that $\lambda^* < \lambda_1$. Then by continuity we have

$$W_{\lambda^*} + A_{\lambda^*} \geq 0 \quad \text{in } (\overline{\Sigma_j} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*}^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}x_j\}.$$

We also have $W_{\lambda^*} + A_{\lambda^*} = 0$ on $\partial''\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*}$. It follows from (3.20) and the strong maximum principle that $W_{\lambda^*} + A_{\lambda^*} > 0$ in $(\Sigma_j \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*}^+}) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}x_j\}$.

For $\delta > 0$ small which will be fixed later, by Lemma 2.5, there exists $c = c(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$W_{\lambda^*} + A_{\lambda^*} > c \quad \text{in } (\overline{\Sigma_j} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta}^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}x_j\}.$$

By the uniform continuity, there exists $0 < \varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta) < \delta$ small such that for all $\lambda^* < \lambda < \lambda^* + \varepsilon$,

$$(W_\lambda + A_\lambda) - (W_{\lambda^*} + A_{\lambda^*}) > -c/2 \quad \text{in } (\overline{\Sigma_j} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta}^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}x_j\}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$W_\lambda + A_\lambda > c/2 \quad \text{in } (\overline{\Sigma_j} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta}^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}}x_j\}.$$

Next we will make use of the narrow domain technique from [1]. Using $(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)^- := \max\{-(W_\lambda + A_\lambda), 0\}$ as a test function in (3.21), we obtain that for any $\lambda^* < \lambda < \lambda^* + \varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta}^+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+} t^{1-2\sigma} |\nabla(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)^-|^2 \\ & \leq \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda} b_\lambda(w_\lambda + a_\lambda)(w_\lambda + a_\lambda)^- \\ & \leq C \int_{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda} ((w_\lambda + a_\lambda)^-)^2 \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda} ((w_\lambda + a_\lambda)^-)^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n}} \mathcal{H}^n(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda)^{\frac{2\sigma}{n}} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{\mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta}^+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+} t^{1-2\sigma} |\nabla(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)^-|^2 \right) (\lambda^* + \delta - \lambda)^{2\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

We can fix $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small such that for all $\lambda^* < \lambda < \lambda^* + \varepsilon$,

$$C(\lambda^* + \delta - \lambda)^{2\sigma} \leq 1/2.$$

Then

$$\nabla(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)^- = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta}^+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+.$$

Recall that

$$(W_\lambda + A_\lambda)^- = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial'' \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+,$$

then we have

$$W_\lambda + A_\lambda \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{B}_{\lambda^*+\delta}^+ \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+.$$

It implies that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $\lambda^* < \lambda < \lambda^* + \varepsilon$,

$$W_\lambda + A_\lambda \geq 0 \quad \text{in } (\overline{\Sigma_j} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\lambda^+) \setminus \{-L_j^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} x_j\},$$

which contradicts the definition of λ^* . The proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed. \square

4 Large singular solutions in higher dimensions

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 1.4 and always assume $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. We write $a_i \sim b_i$ if the sequence $\{a_i/b_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is bounded between two positive constants depending only on $n, \sigma, \inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} k$ and $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} k$.

The definition of fractional Laplacian (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma u(x) = \frac{C_{n,\sigma}}{2} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_r} \frac{2u(x) - u(x+y) - u(x-y)}{|y|^{n+2\sigma}} dy. \quad (4.1)$$

For real number $\gamma > 0$, we denote C^γ as the space $C^{[\gamma], \gamma'}$ where $[\gamma]$ is the greatest integer satisfying $[\gamma] < \gamma$ and $\gamma' = \gamma - [\gamma]$. Define

$$L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|u(x)|}{1 + |x|^{n+2\sigma}} dx < \infty \right\}.$$

If $u \in L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{2\sigma+\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$, then $(-\Delta)^\sigma u(x)$ is well-defined for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ (see, e.g., [25]). To prove Theorem 1.4, we also need the following simple lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([26]). *Suppose $p > 1$, $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset (0, \infty)$, and $a_1 \geq a_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq N$. Then*

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N a_i^p}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N a_i\right)^p} \leq \frac{1 + \frac{a_2}{a_1}}{1 + p \frac{a_2}{a_1}} < 1.$$

The method to prove Theorem 1.4 is similar to that of Taliaferro [26] for the Laplacian case $\sigma = 1$, which has also been used to higher order conformal Q -curvature equation in our recent paper [10]. Here we will first set up a framework to fit the nonlocal equation

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma u = K(x) u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Roughly speaking, we would construct a sequence of bubbles $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ such that the function $\bar{u} := \sum_{i=1}^\infty u_i$ satisfies $\bar{u}(x) \neq \varphi(|x|)$ near the origin. Then we find a positive bounded function $u_0 \in C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ by the method of sub- and super-solutions such that

$$u := u_0 + \bar{u} \quad \text{and} \quad K := \frac{(-\Delta)^\sigma u}{u^{(n+2\sigma)/(n-2\sigma)}}$$

satisfy the corresponding conclusions of Theorem 1.4 for the nonlocal equation (4.2). We point out that the bubbles $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ will be selected very carefully so that $|\nabla K|$ can be continuous in the whole \mathbb{R}^n . Eventually, we define $U := \mathcal{P}_\sigma[u]$ which is the desired solution for our Theorem 1.4, where \mathcal{P}_σ is Poisson kernel given in (2.1). The same arguments as in [10, 26] will be omitted. Meanwhile, we give the detailed proofs for those different with [10, 26]. In particular, the main differences are as follows.

- We use the method of sub- and super-solutions for fractional Laplacian.
- We show that u_0 is a distributional solution in \mathbb{R}^n for a nonlocal equation.
- We need a slightly different estimate for $|\nabla K|$ since $n > 2\sigma + 3$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. The proof consists of seven steps.

Step 1. Preliminaries. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $k(0) = 1$. Since $\nabla k(0) = 0$, there exists a C^1 positive function $\tilde{k} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{k} \equiv 1$ in a small neighborhood of the origin, $\tilde{k}(x) = k(x)$ for $|x| \geq \varepsilon$ and $\|\tilde{k} - k\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \varepsilon/2$. Replacing k by \tilde{k} , we can assume that $k \equiv 1$ in B_δ for some $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$.

Let

$$h(r, \lambda) = c_{n,\sigma} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2 + r^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}}$$

and $\psi_\lambda(x) := h(|x|, \lambda)$ for $\lambda > 0$, which are the well-known bubbles to the equation

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma \psi_\lambda = \psi_\lambda^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

After some calculations, one can find that there exist δ_1 and δ_2 satisfying

$$0 < \delta_2 < \frac{\delta_1}{2} < \frac{\delta}{4} \tag{4.3}$$

and for any $|x| \leq \delta_2$ or $|x| \geq \delta$,

$$\frac{1}{2} < \frac{\psi_\lambda(x - x_1)}{\psi_\lambda(x - x_2)} < 2 \quad \text{when } |x_1| = |x_2| = \delta_1 \text{ and } 0 < \lambda \leq \delta_2. \tag{4.4}$$

Recall that k is bounded between two positive constants, we denote

$$a = \frac{1}{2} \inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} k > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} k > 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Let i_0 be the smallest integer greater than 2 such that

$$i_0^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} > \frac{2^{\frac{3n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{(2a)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}}}. \tag{4.6}$$

Choose a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of distinct points in \mathbb{R}^n and a sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers such that

$$|x_1| = |x_2| = \cdots = |x_{i_0}| = \delta_1, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |x_i| = 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$r_1 = r_2 = \cdots = r_{i_0} = \frac{\delta_2}{2}, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

$$B_{4r_i}(x_i) \subset B_{\delta_2} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{for } i > i_0 \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\overline{B_{2r_i}(x_i)} \cap \overline{B_{2r_j}(x_j)} = \emptyset \quad \text{for } j > i > i_0. \quad (4.10)$$

In addition, we require that the union of the line segments $\overline{x_1x_2}, \overline{x_2x_3}, \dots, \overline{x_{i_0-1}x_{i_0}}, \overline{x_{i_0}x_1}$ be a regular i_0 -gon. We will prescribe the side length of this polygon later. From (4.3), (4.7) and (4.8) we know that

$$\overline{B_{2r_i}(x_i)} \subset B_{2\delta_1} \setminus \overline{B_{\delta_2}} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq i_0$$

and hence by (4.9),

$$\overline{B_{2r_i}(x_i)} \cap \overline{B_{2r_j}(x_j)} = \emptyset \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq i_0 < j. \quad (4.11)$$

Define three functions $f : [0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $M, Z : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$f(z_1, z_2, z_3) = z_2(z_1 + z_3)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} - z_1^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}},$$

$$M(z_2, z_3) = \frac{z_2 z_3^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{\left(1 - z_2^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} \quad \text{and} \quad Z(z_2, z_3) = \frac{z_3 z_2^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}}{1 - z_2^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}}. \quad (4.12)$$

For each fixed $(z_2, z_3) \in (0, 1) \times (0, \infty)$, the function $f(\cdot, z_2, z_3) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing on $[0, Z(z_2, z_3)]$ and is strictly decreasing on $[Z(z_2, z_3), \infty)$, and attains its maximum value $M(z_2, z_3)$ at $z_1 = Z(z_2, z_3)$.

Define $F : [0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$F(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \begin{cases} f(z_1, z_2, z_3) & \text{if } 0 < z_2 < 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq z_1 \leq Z(z_2, z_3), \\ M(z_2, z_3) & \text{if } 0 < z_2 < 1 \text{ and } z_1 > Z(z_2, z_3), \\ f(z_1, z_2, z_3) & \text{if } z_2 \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that f and F are C^1 , $f \leq F$ and F is non-decreasing in z_1, z_2 and z_3 .

Step 2. Select the sequences $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$. Let

$$w(x) = (2b)^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}} h(|x|, 1) = \frac{c_{n,\sigma}}{(2b)^{\frac{n}{2\sigma}}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then we have

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma w = (2b)^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}} w^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (4.13)$$

and by (4.5),

$$\sup_{\mathbb{R}^n} w = w(0) = c_{n,\sigma} (2b)^{-\frac{n}{2\sigma}} < c_{n,\sigma}. \quad (4.14)$$

Choose a sequence $\{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of positive numbers such that

$$\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = \cdots = \varepsilon_{i_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_i \leq 2^{-i} \quad \text{for } i \geq 1. \quad (4.15)$$

Now we introduce four sequences of real numbers as follows. For $i \geq 1$, let

$$k_i \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right) \quad \text{with} \quad k_1 = k_2 = \cdots = k_{i_0}, \quad (4.16)$$

$$M_i = \frac{M(k_i, 2w(0))}{(2w(0))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} = \frac{k_i}{\left(1 - k_i^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\rho_i = \sup \left\{ \rho > 0 : \mathcal{I}_{2\sigma}(\chi_{B_{2\rho}(x_i)}) \leq \frac{w}{2^{i+1}(2w(0))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} M_i} \right\} \quad (4.18)$$

and

$$\lambda_i = \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \psi_\lambda(x - x_i) \leq \varepsilon_i a^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}} w(x) \text{ for } |x - x_i| \geq \rho_i \right\}, \quad (4.19)$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{2\sigma}$ is the Riesz potential operator of order 2σ and $\chi_{B_{2\rho}(x_i)}$ is the characteristic function of the ball $B_{2\rho}(x_i)$. Then we have

Lemma 4.2. For $i \geq 1$,

$$M_i \sim \frac{1}{(1 - k_i)^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}, \quad \rho_i^{2\sigma} \sim \frac{1}{2^i M_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i \sim \varepsilon_i^{\frac{2}{n-2\sigma}} \rho_i^2.$$

Proof. It is the same as that of [10, Lemma 2.2]. \square

By Lemma 4.2, after increasing the values of k_i for certain values of i while holding ε_i fixed, we can assume for $i \geq 1$ that

$$M_i > 9^i, \quad \rho_i \in (0, r_i), \quad \lambda_i \in (0, \delta_2), \quad (4.20)$$

and

$$k_i^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}} > \frac{1 + \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n-2\sigma}}{1 + \frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n-2\sigma}}, \quad M_i^\beta > \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_i^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}, 2^i \right\}, \quad \lambda_i^\beta < \frac{\varepsilon_i^{\frac{2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{2^i}, \quad (4.21)$$

where $\beta = \beta(n, \sigma) \in (0, 1/6)$ is a constant to be specified later.

Notice that for $1 \leq i \leq i_0$, ρ_i and λ_i do not change as x_i moves on the sphere $|x| = \delta_1$. Therefore, we can require that the union of the line segments $\overline{x_1 x_2}, \overline{x_2 x_3}, \dots, \overline{x_{i_0-1} x_{i_0}}, \overline{x_{i_0} x_1}$ be a regular i_0 -gon with side length $4\rho_1$. Thus,

$$\text{dist}(B_{\rho_i}(x_i), B_{\rho_j}(x_j)) \geq \rho_i + \rho_j \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq i_0. \quad (4.22)$$

By (4.10), (4.11) and (4.20), the inequality (4.22) also holds for $1 \leq i < j$.

For $i \geq 1$, define

$$u_i(x) := \psi_{\lambda_i}(x - x_i).$$

Then one can check that

$$\min_{x \in B_{2\rho_j}(x_j)} \frac{u_{j+1}(x)}{u_{j-1}(x)} > \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^{n-2\sigma} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq j \leq i_0 - 1 \quad (4.23)$$

and a similar inequality holds when j is 1 or i_0 .

Here we also give some inequalities which will be used later. By (4.21), Lemma 4.2, the monotonicity of Z and using the same arguments as in [10, (39)], we have for $1 \leq j \leq i_0$,

$$\min_{x \in B_{2\rho_j}(x_j)} Z\left(k_j^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}}, \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{i_0} u_i(x)\right) \geq CM_j^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}. \quad (4.24)$$

Thus, by increasing k_1 (recall that $k_1 = k_2 = \dots = k_{i_0}$) we have

$$\min_{x \in B_{2\rho_j}(x_j)} Z\left(k_j^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}}, \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{i_0} u_i(x)\right) > w(0) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq i_0. \quad (4.25)$$

By Lemma 4.2,

$$Z\left(k_j^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}}, \frac{1}{2M_j^{\frac{\beta(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}}\right) \sim \frac{1}{1-k_j} \frac{1}{M_j^{\frac{\beta(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}} \sim M_j^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}} \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \quad (4.26)$$

Therefore, by increasing each term of the sequence $\{k_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$, we also have

$$Z\left(k_j^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}}, \frac{1}{2M_j^{\frac{\beta(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}}\right) > w(0) \quad \text{for } j \geq 1.$$

Then, by (4.19), (4.5) and (4.15) we have for $j \geq 1$ and $|x - x_j| \geq \rho_j$ that

$$u_j(x) \leq \varepsilon_j a^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}} w(0) \leq w(0) < Z\left(k_j^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}}, \frac{1}{2M_j^{\frac{\beta(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}}\right). \quad (4.27)$$

Step 3. Estimate the sum of the bubbles u_i . By (4.19) and (4.15) we have

$$u_i \leq \varepsilon_i a^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}} w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\rho_i}(x_i) \quad (4.28)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \leq a^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}} w \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\rho_i}(x_i). \quad (4.29)$$

By (4.15) and (4.28), we know that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. Moreover, we claim that

Lemma 4.3. $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \in L^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.30)$$

Proof. By (4.28) and (4.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} dx \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\rho_i}(x_i) \left(a^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}} w \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} dx + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{\rho_i}(x_i)} \left(u_i(x) + a^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}} w \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} dx \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} a^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{4\sigma}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} dx + (2c_{n,\sigma})^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B_{\rho_i}} \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i^2 + |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2}} dx \\
& \leq C + C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} \int_{B_{\rho_i/\lambda_i}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2}} dy \leq C + C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \in L^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By Hölder's inequality, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \in L_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^N u_i$ converges to $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i$ in $C_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$, we have

$$\left| 2 \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x+y) - \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x-y) \right| \leq C|y|^2 \quad \text{for } y \in B_{|x|/2},$$

where C is a positive constant when N is sufficiently large, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& |y|^{-n-2\sigma} \left| 2 \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x+y) - \sum_{i=1}^N u_i(x-y) \right| \\
& \leq |y|^{-n-2\sigma} \left(2 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x+y) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x-y) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus (B_{|x|/2} \cup \{x, -x\})$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i \in L_{\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get (4.30). \square

Now, by increasing k_i for each i , we can assume that

$$u_i(x_i) = c_{n,\sigma} \lambda_i^{-\frac{n-2\sigma}{2}} > i\varphi(|x_i|) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1 \tag{4.31}$$

and $u_i + |\nabla u_i| < 2^{-i}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{2r_i}(x_i)$, $i \geq 1$. Thus by (4.10) and (4.11),

$$u_i + |\nabla u_i| < 2^{-i} \quad \text{in } B_{2r_j}(x_j) \tag{4.32}$$

when $i \neq j$ and either $i \geq 1$ and $j > i_0$ or $i > i_0$ and $1 \leq j \leq i_0$. Again, by increasing k_i for $i > i_0$, we can force u_i and M_i to satisfy

$$\sum_{i=i_0+1}^{\infty} u_i(x) < \frac{1}{2} \min_{1 \leq i \leq i_0} u_i(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \delta_2, \tag{4.33}$$

$$\sum_{i=i_0+1, i \neq j}^{\infty} u_i(x) < \frac{u_1}{2} \quad \text{in } B_{2r_j}(x_j), \quad j > i_0 \tag{4.34}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{M_j^{\frac{\beta(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}} < \min_{|x| \leq \delta} u_1(x) \quad \text{for } j > i_0. \quad (4.35)$$

It follows from (4.32) and (4.28) that

$$\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} u_i + u_i^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \leq C \quad \text{in } B_{\rho_j}(x_j), \quad j \geq 1. \quad (4.36)$$

Similarly, by (4.32), (4.28), Lemma 4.2 and (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} |\nabla u_i| + u_i^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} |\nabla u_i| &\leq \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{i_0} |\nabla u_i| + u_i^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} |\nabla u_i| + C \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{i_0} u_i \frac{1}{\rho_j} + u_i^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \frac{1}{\rho_j} + C \\ &\leq C 2^{\frac{j}{2\sigma}} M_j^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \leq C 2^{\frac{i_0}{2\sigma}} M_j^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \leq C M_j^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } B_{\rho_j}(x_j), \quad 1 \leq j \leq i_0, \end{aligned}$$

and by (4.32) and (4.28),

$$\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} |\nabla u_i| + u_i^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} |\nabla u_i| \leq C \quad \text{in } B_{\rho_j}(x_j), \quad j > i_0.$$

Thus, we get

$$\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} |\nabla u_i| + u_i^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} |\nabla u_i| \leq C M_j^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } B_{\rho_j}(x_j), \quad j \geq 1. \quad (4.37)$$

Step 4. Construct the correction function u_0 . Since $n > 2\sigma + 3$, by Lemma 4.2 and (4.20) we have

$$\frac{1 - k_i}{\rho_i} \sim \frac{2^{\frac{i}{2\sigma}} M_i^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}}{M_i^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}} \sim \frac{2^{\frac{i}{2\sigma}}}{M_i^{\frac{n-2\sigma-2}{4\sigma}}} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{i}{2\sigma}}}{M_i^{\frac{1}{4\sigma}}} \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{\frac{i}{2\sigma}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } i \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.38)$$

Let $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ cut-off function satisfying $\eta(t) = 1$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $\eta(t) = 0$ for $t \geq 3/2$. Define

$$\kappa(x) = k(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (k_i - k(x)) \eta_i(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4.39)$$

where $\eta_i(x) := \eta(|x - x_i|/\rho_i)$. Since $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ have disjoint supports contained in $B_{2\delta_1} \setminus \{0\}$, κ is well-defined. Recall that $k = 1$ in B_δ , we obtain $\kappa(0) = k(0) = 1$, $\kappa \leq k$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $\kappa(x) = k(x)$ for $|x| \geq 2\delta_1$. By (4.5) and (4.16) we have

$$\inf_{\mathbb{R}^n} \kappa \geq a. \quad (4.40)$$

Since $k \equiv 1$ in B_δ , then

$$\nabla \kappa(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{k_i - 1}{\rho_i} \eta' \left(\frac{|x - x_i|}{\rho_i} \right) \frac{x - x_i}{|x - x_i|} \quad \text{for } x \in B_\delta, \quad (4.41)$$

it follows from (4.38) that $\kappa \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla \kappa(0) = 0$.

By (4.18),

$$0 < \mathcal{I}_{2\sigma} \overline{M} < \frac{w}{2} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (4.42)$$

where

$$\overline{M}(x) := \begin{cases} (2w(0))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} M_i & \text{in } B_{\rho_i}(x_i), \ i \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{2\rho_i}(x_i), \\ (2w(0))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} M_i \left(2 - \frac{|x-x_i|}{\rho_i}\right) & \text{in } B_{2\rho_i}(x_i) \setminus B_{\rho_i}(x_i), \ i \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Since \overline{M} is locally Lipschitz continuous in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\overline{M} \in L^{\frac{n}{2\sigma}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\overline{v} := \frac{w}{2b} + \mathcal{I}_{2\sigma} \overline{M} \in C_{loc}^{2\sigma+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$$

for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. It follows from (4.14) and (4.42) that

$$\frac{w}{2b} < \overline{v} < w < c_{n,\sigma} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (4.43)$$

Hence, we get $\overline{v} \in L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$. By (4.13),

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma \overline{v} = (2b)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} w^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} + \overline{M} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.44)$$

Define $\underline{H} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\underline{H}(x, v) = \kappa(x) \left(v + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}. \quad (4.45)$$

Then we have

$$\underline{H}(x, v) = f(\tilde{u}(x), \kappa(x), p(x, v)),$$

where

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{n+2\sigma}} \quad \text{and} \quad p(x, v) := v + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x) - \tilde{u}(x).$$

Define $H : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$H(x, v) = F(\tilde{u}(x), \kappa(x), p(x, v)). \quad (4.46)$$

Then

$$H(x, v) \leq M(\kappa(x), p(x, v)) \quad \text{when } \kappa(x) < 1. \quad (4.47)$$

Moreover, by the definition of F we have that $H(x, v) = \underline{H}(x, v)$ if and only if either $\kappa(x) < 1$ and $\tilde{u}(x) \leq Z(\kappa(x), p(x, v))$ or $\kappa(x) \geq 1$.

For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\rho_i}(x_i)$ and $\kappa(x) < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x) \leq a \frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma} w(x) \quad \text{by (4.29)} \\ &\leq \frac{w(x)\kappa(x)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}}{1 - \kappa(x)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}} \quad \text{by (4.40)} \\ &\leq \frac{p(x, w(x))\kappa(x)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}}{1 - \kappa(x)^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}} \\ &= Z(\kappa(x), p(x, w(x))) \quad \text{by (4.12)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$H(x, w(x)) = \underline{H}(x, w(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\rho_i}(x_i).$$

Thus, for $x \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\rho_i}(x_i)$ and $0 \leq v \leq w(x)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, v) \leq H(x, w(x)) &= \underline{H}(x, w(x)) \leq \kappa(x) \left(w(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \\ &\leq b(2w(x))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \leq (-\Delta)^{\sigma} \bar{v}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (4.48)$$

by (4.29), (4.5) and (4.44).

For $x \in B_{\rho_i}(x_i)$ and $i \geq 1$ we have $\kappa(x) \equiv k_i < 1$. Hence, from (4.47) we obtain for $x \in B_{\rho_i}(x_i)$ and $0 \leq v \leq w(x)$ that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, v) \leq M(k_i, p(x, v)) &= \frac{k_i p(x, v)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{\left(1 - k_i^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} \\ &\leq M_i \left(v + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j(x) - \tilde{u}(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{by (4.17)} \\ &\leq M_i \left(v + \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{\infty} u_j(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \\ &\leq M_i (2w(x))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{by (4.28)} \\ &\leq M_i (2w(0))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} = \bar{M}(x) \leq (-\Delta)^{\sigma} \bar{v}(x) \quad \text{by (4.44)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

This together with (4.48) implies that

$$H(x, v) \leq (-\Delta)^{\sigma} \bar{v}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } 0 \leq v \leq w(x). \quad (4.50)$$

Hence, by the non-negativity of H , (4.43) and (4.50) we can use $\underline{v} \equiv 0$ and \bar{v} as sub- and super-solutions of the problem

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma u = H(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Now, applying the method of sub- and super-solutions, we can get the correction function u_0 by the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.4. *There exists a $C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1})$ solution v of*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\sigma v = H(x, v) & \text{in } B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } B_2^c \cup \overline{B_1} \end{cases} \quad (4.51)$$

for every $\gamma \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Let $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of functions defined in \mathbb{R}^n by the iteration scheme

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\sigma y_{i+1} = H(x, y_i) & \text{in } B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}, \\ y_{i+1} = 0 & \text{on } B_2^c \cup \overline{B_1}. \end{cases}$$

where $y_0 := 0$. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\sigma (y_1 - y_0) = H(x, y_0) - (-\Delta)^\sigma y_0 \geq 0 & \text{in } B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}, \\ y_1 - y_0 = 0 & \text{on } B_2^c \cup \overline{B_1}. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $y_1 \geq y_0 = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n by the maximum principle. If $y_i \geq y_{i-1}$ for $i \geq 1$, then $(-\Delta)^\sigma (y_{i+1} - y_i) \geq 0$ in $B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}$ and $y_{i+1} - y_i = 0$ on $B_2^c \cup \overline{B_1}$, which implies that $y_{i+1} \geq y_i$ in \mathbb{R}^n . By induction, $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is a non-decreasing sequence of functions in \mathbb{R}^n . A similar application of the maximum principle for y_i and \bar{v} yields that $y_i \leq \bar{v}$ in $B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}$ for all $i \geq 1$. Consequently y_i converges point-wise to a limit v in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, for every $i \geq 1$, by (4.43),

$$0 \leq H(x, y_i) \leq H(x, \bar{v}) \leq H(x, c_{n,\sigma}) < \infty \quad \text{in } B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}.$$

By [24, Proposition 1.1], we have $y_i \in C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|y_i\|_{C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \sup_{x \in \overline{B_2} \setminus B_1} H(x, c_{n,\sigma}) < \infty \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1 \quad (4.52)$$

where C is a constant depending only on n and σ . Since $H \in C^1(\overline{B_2} \setminus B_1 \times [0, c_{n,\sigma}])$, by (4.52) and [24, Proposition 1.4], $y_i \in C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1})$ for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Moreover, for any compact set $K \subset B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}$, there exists $C = C(K)$ such that $\|y_i\|_{C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(K)} \leq C$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus,

$$y_i \rightarrow v \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^{2\sigma+\gamma}(B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}).$$

It implies that v satisfies (4.51). Recall that $v \leq \bar{v}$ in $B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1}$, by [24, Corollary 1.6], $v \in C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(B_2 \setminus \overline{B_1})$ for every $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. \square

Now we can construct the desired function u_0 .

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a $C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ solution u_0 to*

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\sigma u_0 = H(x, u_0) \\ 0 \leq u_0 \leq \bar{v} \leq w \end{cases} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.53)$$

Proof. For each positive integer $i \geq 2$, we consider about the following problem

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^\sigma v = H(x, v) & \text{in } B_i \setminus \overline{B_{1/i}}, \\ v = 0 & \text{on } B_i^c \cup \overline{B_{1/i}}. \end{cases} \quad (4.54)$$

Using the same argument in Lemma 4.4, (4.54) has a non-negative solution v_i such that $0 \leq v_i \leq \bar{v}$ in \mathbb{R}^n and $v_i \in C^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C_{loc}^{2\sigma+\gamma}(B_i \setminus \overline{B_{1/i}})$. Moreover, $\{v_i\}_{i=2}^\infty$ has a uniform upper bound w . It follows from [24, Proposition 1.4] and the standard diagonal argument that, after passing to a subsequence,

$$v_i \rightarrow u_0 \quad \text{in } C_{loc}^{2\sigma+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$$

for any $\gamma \in (0, 1)$. Since $0 \leq u_0 \leq \bar{v} \leq w$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, $u_0 \in L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Fixing $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$, we have (pick $\gamma = 1 - \sigma$)

$$|2v_i(x) - v_i(x+y) - v_i(x-y)| \leq C|y|^{1-\sigma} \quad \text{for } y \in B_{|x|/2}$$

where C does not depend on i , and

$$|y|^{-n-2\sigma} |2v_i(x) - v_i(x+y) - v_i(x-y)| \leq |y|^{-n-2\sigma} (2\bar{v}(x) + \bar{v}(x+y) + \bar{v}(x-y))$$

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{|x|/2}$. Since $\bar{v} \in L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we get (4.53). \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let $u_0 \in C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a solution of (4.53). Then u_0 is a distributional solution in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 (-\Delta)^\sigma \phi dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(x, u_0) \phi dx \quad \text{for any } \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Proof. Let $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a C^∞ cut-off function satisfying $\eta = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_2$ and $\eta = 0$ in B_1 . Let $\eta_\varepsilon(x) := \eta(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ for $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. For any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we use $\phi\eta_\varepsilon$ as a test function. From Lemma 4.5, we know that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi\eta_\varepsilon) H(x, u_0) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\phi\eta_\varepsilon) (-\Delta)^\sigma u_0 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 (-\Delta)^\sigma (\phi\eta_\varepsilon) dx. \quad (4.55)$$

Denote

$$I_\varepsilon(x) := (-\Delta)^\sigma (\phi\eta_\varepsilon)(x) - \eta_\varepsilon(x) (-\Delta)^\sigma \phi(x). \quad (4.56)$$

When $|x| \leq 4\varepsilon$,

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\varepsilon(x)| &\leq C + C \int_{|z| \leq 2\varepsilon} \frac{|2(\phi\eta_\varepsilon)(x) - (\phi\eta_\varepsilon)(x+z) - (\phi\eta_\varepsilon)(x-z)|}{|z|^{n+2\sigma}} dz + C \int_{|z| \geq 2\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|z|^{n+2\sigma}} dz \\ &\leq C + C\varepsilon^{-2} \int_{|z| \leq 2\varepsilon} \frac{|z|^2}{|z|^{n+2\sigma}} dz + C\varepsilon^{-2\sigma} \leq C\varepsilon^{-2\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

When $|x| > 4\varepsilon$, we have $\eta_\varepsilon(x) = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\varepsilon(x)| &= C \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\phi(y)(\eta_\varepsilon(x) - \eta_\varepsilon(y))}{|x-y|^{n+2\sigma}} dy \right| \leq C \int_{|y| \leq 2\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n+2\sigma}} dy \\ &\leq C \int_{|y| \leq 2\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|x|^{n+2\sigma}} dy \leq C\varepsilon^n |x|^{-n-2\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_0 I_\varepsilon(x) dx \right| &\leq C\varepsilon^{-2\sigma} \int_{|x| \leq 4\varepsilon} u_0 dx + C\varepsilon^n \int_{|x| > 4\varepsilon} u_0 |x|^{-n-2\sigma} dx \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{n-2\sigma} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since $u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $H(x, u_0) \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by sending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (4.55) we obtain that u_0 is a distributional solution in \mathbb{R}^n . \square

Step 5. Define the functions u and K . Define $\bar{H} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by $\bar{H}(x, v) = F(\tilde{u}(x), k(x), p(x, v))$. Then $\underline{H} \leq H \leq \bar{H}$ since $\kappa \leq k$. In particular,

$$\underline{H}(x, u_0(x)) \leq H(x, u_0(x)) \leq \bar{H}(x, u_0(x)) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.57)$$

Similar to the arguments in [10, Step 4], we have for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{H}(x, v) &= f(\tilde{u}(x), k(x), p(x, v)) \\ &= k(x) \left(v + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x) \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, define

$$u := u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i. \quad (4.58)$$

Then $u \in L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ is a positive solution of

$$\kappa(x) u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \leq (-\Delta)^\sigma u \leq k(x) u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.59)$$

It follows from (4.31), (4.33) and (4.53) that u satisfies (1.10) and (1.11).

Define $K : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ by

$$K(x) := \frac{(-\Delta)^\sigma u(x)}{u(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad (4.60)$$

and $K(0) = 1$. Then

$$K(x) = \frac{H(x, u_0(x)) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{(u_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \quad (4.61)$$

and hence $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$. It follows from (4.59) and (4.60) that

$$\kappa(x) \leq K(x) \leq k(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \quad (4.62)$$

Recall that $\kappa, k \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\kappa(0) = K(0) = k(0) = 1$, we get $K \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\nabla\kappa(0) = \nabla K(0) = \nabla k(0) = 0 \quad (4.63)$$

and

$$\kappa(x) = K(x) = k(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 2\delta_1. \quad (4.64)$$

Step 6. Show that $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We only need to show that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0^+} \nabla K(x) = 0. \quad (4.65)$$

Let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : \underline{H}(x, u_0(x)) < H(x, u_0(x))\}$.

It follows from (4.45) and (4.61) that

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} : \kappa(x) < K(x)\}. \quad (4.66)$$

By (4.62), (4.63) and (4.66) we obtain

$$\nabla\kappa(x) = \nabla K(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus S \quad (4.67)$$

and thus (4.65) holds for $x \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) - S$. Next we show that (4.65) holds for $x \in S$. It follows from (4.45) and (4.46) that

$$\begin{cases} H(x, u_0(x)) = M(\kappa(x), p_0(x)) \\ \tilde{u}(x) > Z(\kappa(x), p_0(x)) \end{cases} \quad \text{for } x \in S, \quad (4.68)$$

where $p_0(x) := p(x, u_0(x))$. Since $\kappa \geq k_j$ in $B_{2\rho_j}(x_j)$, by (4.12) we have

$$\tilde{u}(x) > Z(k_j, p_0(x)) = M_j^{\frac{n-2\sigma}{4\sigma}} p_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in S \cap B_{2\rho_j}(x_j), j \geq 1. \quad (4.69)$$

For $x' \in (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{2\rho_i}(x_i)$, $\kappa(x') = k(x')$. Hence, by (4.62) and (4.66) we know that $x' \notin S$. Consequently,

$$S \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{2\rho_i}(x_i). \quad (4.70)$$

It follows from the same proofs as in [10, (86) and (87)] that

$$S \cap B_{2\rho_j}(x_j) = S \cap B_{\rho_j}(x_j) \quad \text{for } j \geq 1 \quad (4.71)$$

and

$$u_j \geq C M_j^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}} \quad \text{in } S \cap B_{2\rho_j}(x_j), j \geq 1. \quad (4.72)$$

Recall (4.61) and (4.68), we have

$$K(x) = \frac{M_j p_0(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} + \tilde{u}(x)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{(p_0(x) + \tilde{u}(x))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} = \frac{M_j \left(\frac{p_0(x)}{\tilde{u}(x)} \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} + 1}{\left(\frac{p_0(x)}{\tilde{u}(x)} + 1 \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} \quad \text{for } x \in S \cap B_{\rho_j}(x_j), j \geq 1.$$

Thus

$$\nabla K = \frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma} \left(\frac{M_j \left(\frac{p_0}{\tilde{u}} \right)^{\frac{4\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} - 1}{\left(\frac{p_0}{\tilde{u}} + 1 \right)^{\frac{2n}{n-2\sigma}}} \right) \left(\nabla \frac{p_0}{\tilde{u}(x)} \right) \quad \text{in } S \cap B_{\rho_j}(x_j), \quad j \geq 1.$$

and hence, by (4.69),

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla K| &\leq \frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma} \left| \nabla \frac{p_0}{\tilde{u}} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma} \left[\left| \nabla \frac{u_0}{\tilde{u}} \right| + \left| \nabla \frac{\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} u_i}{\tilde{u}} \right| + \left| \nabla \frac{u_j}{\tilde{u}} \right| \right] \quad \text{in } S \cap B_{\rho_j}(x_j), \quad j \geq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

Using the same algebraic calculations as in [10, Step 5], we obtain for $j \geq 1$ and $x \in S \cap B_{\rho_j}(x_j)$,

$$|\nabla K| \leq C \left(\frac{|\nabla u_0|}{M_j^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}} + \frac{|\nabla u_j|}{u_j^2} + \frac{M_j^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}}}{M_j^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}} \right). \quad (4.74)$$

We now estimate the first term in (4.74). By Lemma 4.6 and [25, Proposition 2.22], there exists a function $h \in C(B_2) \cap L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying $(-\Delta)^\sigma h = 0$ in B_2 such that

$$u_0(x) = r_{n,\sigma} \int_{B_4} \frac{H(y, u_0(y))}{|x-y|^{n-2\sigma}} dy + h(x) \quad \text{for } 0 < |x| \leq 2.$$

By (4.48), (4.49) and (4.53),

$$H(x, u_0(x)) \leq \begin{cases} (2w(0))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} M_j & \text{in } B_{\rho_j}(x_j), \quad j \geq 1, \\ (2w(0))^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} b & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}) - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\rho_i}(x_i). \end{cases}$$

By the regularity of σ -harmonic functions (see, e.g., [25]), we know that $|\nabla h(x)| < C$ for $|x| \leq 1$. Hence, for $x \in B_{\rho_j}(x_j)$,

$$|\nabla u_0(x)| \leq C \int_{B_4} \frac{H(y, u_0(y))}{|x-y|^{n-2\sigma+1}} dy + C \leq C[I_1(x) + I_2(x) + I_3(x)] + C,$$

where

$$I_1(x) := \int_{B_{\rho_j}(x_j)} \frac{M_j}{|x-y|^{n-2\sigma+1}} dy \leq C M_j \rho_j^{2\sigma-1} \leq C M_j^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \quad \text{for } x \in B_{\rho_j}(x_j)$$

by Lemma 4.2, and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(x) &:= \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} \int_{B_{\rho_i}(x_i)} \frac{M_i}{|x-y|^{n-2\sigma+1}} dy \leq C \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} \frac{M_i \rho_i^n}{\text{dist}(B_{\rho_i}(x_i), B_{\rho_j}(x_j))^{n-2\sigma+1}} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{\infty} \frac{\rho_i^{n-2\sigma}}{2^i (\rho_i + \rho_j)^{n-2\sigma+1}} \leq \frac{C}{\rho_j} \sim C 2^{\frac{j}{2\sigma}} M_j^{\frac{1}{2\sigma}} \leq C M_j^{\frac{1+\beta}{2\sigma}} \quad \text{for } x \in B_{\rho_j}(x_j) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.2, (4.22) and (4.21), and

$$I_3(x) := \int_{B_4 - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B_{\rho_i}(x_i)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2\sigma+1}} dy \leq C \quad \text{for } x \in B_{\rho_j}(x_j).$$

Thus

$$|\nabla u_0| \leq C M_j^{\frac{1+\beta}{2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } B_{\rho_j}(x_j), j \geq 1. \quad (4.75)$$

Since $n > 2\sigma + 3$, it follows from (4.75) that

$$\frac{|\nabla u_0|}{M_j^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}}} \leq \frac{C M_j^{\frac{1+\beta}{2\sigma}}}{M_j^{\frac{3(1-\beta)}{4\sigma}}} \leq \frac{C}{M_j^{\frac{1-5\beta}{4\sigma}}}. \quad (4.76)$$

Finally, we estimate the second term in (4.74). Let

$$s_j := \inf\{s > 0 : S \cap B_{\rho_j}(x_j) \subset B_s(x_j)\}$$

and $\tilde{u}_j(s) := \psi(s, \lambda_j)$. Then $s_j \leq \rho_j$ and $\tilde{u}_j(s) = u_j(x)$ when $|x - x_j| = s$. By (4.72) we have

$$\tilde{u}_j(s) \geq C M_j^{\frac{(1-\beta)(n-2\sigma)}{4\sigma}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq s_j, j \geq 1.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^2 + s_j^2} \right)^{2\sigma} \geq C M_j^{1-\beta} \geq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon_j^{\frac{2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}}{2^j \lambda_j^\sigma} \right)^{1-\beta}$$

and hence, by (4.21), we have for $j \geq 1$,

$$s_j \leq C \left(\frac{2^j}{\varepsilon_j^{\frac{2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}} \right)^{\frac{1-\beta}{4\sigma}} \lambda_j^{\frac{3-\beta}{4}} \leq C (\lambda_j^{-\beta})^{\frac{1-\beta}{4\sigma}} \lambda_j^{\frac{3-\beta}{4}} \leq C (\lambda_j^{-\beta})^{\frac{1}{4\sigma}} \lambda_j^{\frac{3-\beta}{4}} \leq C \lambda_j^{\frac{3\sigma-\beta(\sigma+1)}{4\sigma}}.$$

Since $n > 2\sigma + 3$, we have for $0 \leq s \leq s_j$ and $j \geq 1$ that

$$\frac{-\tilde{u}_j'(s)}{\tilde{u}_j(s)^2} \leq C \lambda_j^{\frac{\sigma(n-2\sigma-3)-\beta(\sigma+1)(n-2\sigma-1)}{4\sigma}}. \quad (4.77)$$

Since $n > 2\sigma + 3$, we can take

$$\beta = \frac{(n-2\sigma-3)\sigma}{3(\sigma+1)(n-2\sigma-1)} > 0.$$

By (4.74)-(4.77) we get

$$|\nabla K| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{M_j^{\frac{3(n-2\sigma-1)-2\sigma(n-2\sigma-6)}{12\sigma(\sigma+1)(n-2\sigma-1)}}} + \lambda_j^{\frac{n-2\sigma-3}{6}} \right) \quad \text{in } S \cap B_{\rho_j}(x_j), j \geq 1. \quad (4.78)$$

Hence, it follows from Lemma 4.2, (4.21), (4.70) and (4.71) that (4.65) also holds for $x \in S$. Thus we have $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

By sufficiently increasing k_i for each $i \geq 1$, we can force κ to satisfy

$$\|k - \kappa\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad (4.79)$$

by (4.38), (4.39), (4.41), (4.61) and (4.64). We also can force K to satisfy

$$|\nabla(K - \kappa)| = |\nabla(K - (\kappa - k))| \leq |\nabla K| + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{in } S$$

by (4.70), (4.71) and (4.78). Thus by (4.67), $|\nabla(K - \kappa)| \leq \varepsilon/2$ in \mathbb{R}^n . It follows from (4.62) and (4.79) that K satisfies (1.9).

Step 7. Extend the solution u to the half space. By Step 5, the positive function u in (4.58) belongs to $L_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C^{2\sigma+\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ and satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^\sigma u = K(x)u^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where $K(x)$ is defined in (4.60) which is in $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ according to Step 6. Moreover, it follows from (4.31), (4.33) and (4.53) that u satisfies (1.10) and (1.11).

Set

$$\begin{aligned} U(y, t) &:= \mathcal{P}_\sigma[u](y, t) = \gamma_{n,\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{t^{2\sigma}}{(|y-x|^2 + t^2)^{(n+2\sigma)/2}} u(x) dx \\ &= \gamma_{n,\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{1+|z|^2} \right)^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{2}} u(y-tz) dz. \end{aligned}$$

Then $U(y, t)$ is well-defined for any $(y, t) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \setminus \{0\}$ and $U \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1})$. For any $R > r > 0$, let τ be a C^∞ cut-off function on \mathbb{R}^n such that $\text{supp } \tau \subset B_{r/2}$ and $\tau = 1$ in $B_{r/4}$. Rewrite $U = \mathcal{P}_\sigma[(1-\tau)u] + \mathcal{P}_\sigma[\tau u]$. Since both $\mathcal{P}_\sigma[(1-\tau)u]$ and $\mathcal{P}_\sigma[\tau u]$ belong to $W^{1,2}(t^{1-2\sigma}, \mathcal{B}_R^+ \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_r^+})$, we have $U \in W^{1,2}(t^{1-2\sigma}, \mathcal{B}_R^+ \setminus \overline{\mathcal{B}_r^+})$. By the extension formulation in [5], we have for any $\Psi \in C_c^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} \setminus \{0\})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}} t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla U \nabla \Psi &= \int_{\text{supp } \Psi} t^{1-2\sigma} \nabla U \nabla \Psi = \int_{\text{supp } \Psi \cap \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi \frac{\partial U}{\partial \nu^\sigma} \\ &= \int_{\text{supp } \Psi \cap \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi K U^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi K U^{\frac{n+2\sigma}{n-2\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that U is a positive weak solution of (1.8). The proof of Theorem 1.4 is completed. \square

References

- [1] H. Berestycki, L. Nirenberg, On the method of moving planes and the sliding method. *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* **22** (1991), no. 1, 1-37.
- [2] X. Cabré, Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians, I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **31** (2014), no. 1, 23-53.

- [3] L. Caffarelli, B. Gidas, J. Spruck, Asymptotic symmetry and local behavior of semilinear elliptic equations with critical Sobolev growth. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **42** (1989), 271-297.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, T. Jin, Y. Sire, J. Xiong, Local analysis of solutions of fractional semi-linear elliptic equations with isolated singularities. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **213** (2014), no. 1, 245-268.
- [5] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **32** (2007), no. 7-9, 1245-1260.
- [6] S.-Y. A. Chang, M. González, Fractional Laplacian in conformal geometry. *Adv. Math.* **226** (2011), no. 2, 1410-1432.
- [7] S.-Y. Chang, X. Xu, P. Yang, A perturbation result for prescribing mean curvature. *Math. Ann.* **310** (1998), no. 3, 473-496.
- [8] C.-C. Chen, C.-S. Lin, Estimates of the conformal scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **50** (1997), 971-1017.
- [9] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, M. Ahmedou, The prescribed boundary mean curvature problem on \mathbb{B}^4 . *J. Differential Equations* **206** (2004), no. 2, 373-398.
- [10] X. Du, H. Yang, Large singular solutions for conformal Q -curvature equations on \mathbb{S}^n . *J. Differential Equations* **280** (2021), 618-643.
- [11] J. F. Escobar, Conformal metrics with prescribed mean curvature on the boundary. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **4** (1996), 559-592.
- [12] J. F. Escobar, G. García, Conformal metrics on the ball with zero scalar curvature and prescribed mean curvature on the boundary. *J. Funct. Anal.* **211** (2004), 71-152.
- [13] M. González, R. Mazzeo, Y. Sire, Singular solutions of fractional order conformal Laplacians. *J. Geom. Anal.* **22** (2012), no. 3, 845-863.
- [14] M. González, J. Qing, Fractional conformal Laplacians and fractional Yamabe problems. *Anal. PDE* **6** (2013), no. 7, 1535-1576.
- [15] T. Jin, Y.Y. Li, J. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **16** (2014), no. 6, 1111-1171.
- [16] T. Jin, Y.Y. Li, J. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part II: Existence of solutions. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2015, no. 6, 1555-1589.
- [17] T. Jin, O. de Queiroz, Y. Sire, J. Xiong, On local behavior of singular positive solutions to nonlocal elliptic equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **56** (2017), no. 1, Art. No. 9, 25 pp.
- [18] T. Jin, H. Yang, Local estimates for conformal Q -curvature equations. arXiv:2107.04437.
- [19] N. Korevaar, R. Mazzeo, F. Pacard, R. Schoen, Refined asymptotics for constant scalar curvature metrics with isolated singularities. *Invent. Math.* **135** (1999), no. 2, 233-272.
- [20] M. C. Leung, Blow-up solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n with critical exponent. *Math. Ann.* **327** (2003), no. 4, 723-744.

- [21] Y.Y. Li, L. Zhang, Liouville-type theorems and Harnack-type inequalities for semilinear elliptic equations. *J. Anal. Math.* **90** (2003), 27-87.
- [22] Y.Y. Li, M. Zhu, Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres. *Duke Math. J.* **80** (1995), 383-418.
- [23] C.-S. Lin, Estimates of the scalar curvature equation via the method of moving planes III, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **53** (2000), 611-646.
- [24] X. Ros-Oton, J. Serra, The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **101** (2014), no. 3, 275-302.
- [25] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **60** (2007), 67-112.
- [26] S. Taliaferro, Existence of large singular solutions of conformal scalar curvature equations in \mathbb{S}^n . *J. Funct. Anal.* **224** (2005), no. 1, 192-216.
- [27] S. Taliaferro, L. Zhang, Asymptotic symmetries for conformal scalar curvature equations with singularity. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **26** (2006), 401-428.
- [28] L. Zhang, Refined asymptotic estimates for conformal scalar curvature equation via moving sphere method. *J. Funct. Anal.* **192** (2002), no. 2, 491-516.

X. Du and H. Yang

Department of Mathematics, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China

E-mail addresses: xduah@connect.ust.hk (X. Du) mahuiyang@ust.hk (H. Yang)