

# ON THE LARGEST INTERSECTING SET IN THE GENERAL LINEAR GROUP AND ITS SUBGROUPS

MILAD AHANJIDEH

ABSTRACT. Let  $q$  be a power of a prime number and  $V$  be the 2-dimensional column vector space over a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Assume that  $SL_2(V) < G \leq GL_2(V)$ . In this paper we prove an Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for intersecting sets of  $G$ . We show that every maximum intersecting set of  $G$  is either a coset of the stabilizer of a point or a coset of  $H_{\langle w \rangle}$ , where  $H_{\langle w \rangle} = \{M \in G : \forall v \in V, Mv - v \in \langle w \rangle\}$ . It is also shown that every intersecting set of  $G$  is contained in a maximum intersecting set.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1961, Erdős, Ko and Rado obtained the size of the largest family of subsets of size  $r$  from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that any two of these subsets have a nonempty intersection. The famous Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem [4] states that if  $n \geq 2r$ , then the largest family has size  $\binom{n-1}{r-1}$ , and for  $n > 2r$ , the only families that have this size are the families of all subsets containing a fixed element from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Many analogous results have been proved for other mathematical objects. In this paper, we consider the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for the linear groups.

Let  $\Omega$  be a finite set and  $K$  a permutation group on it. We say that a subset  $A$  of  $K$  is *intersecting* if for any  $\delta, \tau \in A$ , there exists  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $\delta(x) = \tau(x)$ . It is obvious that the stabilizer of a point is an intersecting set. If the size of every intersecting set of a group  $G$  is at most the size of the largest stabilizer of a point, we say  $G$  has the *EKR property* while  $G$  has the *strict EKR property* if every maximum intersecting set is a coset of a stabilizer of a point.

Let  $S_n$  denote the symmetric group of degree  $n$ . In 1977, Frankl and Deza [5] proved that  $S_n$  has the EKR property. After that, Cameron and Ku [3] showed

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05D05, 20B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Erdős-Ko-Rado property, the general linear group.

that  $S_n$  has the strict EKR property as well which answered affirmatively to the conjecture posed in [5].

It is worth mentioning that group action plays an essential role to determine which groups have (strict) EKR property. Because a group can have the EKR property under an action while it does not have the same property under the other action. We refer the reader to see [2] for finding an example of such these groups.

A natural question that arises here is to determine which groups have the (strict) EKR property. Our first theorem is in line with answering this question. Before describing our theorems, let us mention a few interesting results. It has been proved that the alternative groups have the strict EKR property [8]. In [1], the authors showed that  $SL_2(q)$  acting on a 2-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  has the strict EKR property. Furthermore, it has been shown that the projective general linear groups  $PGL_2(q)$  have the strict EKR property [10], while the groups  $PGL_3(q)$  do not so [11]. For more studies, we refer the reader to [6, 9, 12].

In [1], the authors showed that the EKR property holds for  $GL_2(V)$ , however their result is incomplete. In this paper, we inspire their method and employ the properties of linear groups and group-action for generalizing their result and correcting the flaw. We show that  $SL_2(V) < G \leq GL_2(V)$  has the EKR property and does not have the strict EKR property. Furthermore, we prove that every intersecting set  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $SL_2(V) < G \leq GL_2(V)$  is contained in an intersecting set of size  $qd$ .

## 2. ADDITIONAL NOTATION AND AUXILIARY LEMMAS

In this section, we recall some notation and definitions. Also, we prove several lemmas in order to prove our results.

Assume that  $p$  is a prime number and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We denote a finite field of order  $q = p^k$  by  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $V$  be a 2-dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , and  $G$  be a finite group such that  $SL_2(V) < G \leq GL_2(V)$ . Throughout this paper, assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F} \setminus \{Id\}$ . For a basis  $B$  of  $V$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $[g]_B$  stands for the matrix representation corresponding to  $g$  and for  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\langle w \rangle$  stands for the 1-dimensional subspace of  $V$ , with  $\{w\}$  as its basis. We denote the stabilizer subgroup of a point  $v \in V$  by  $G_v$  while  $G_{\langle w \rangle}$  denotes the stabilizer subgroup of a subspace  $\langle w \rangle$ .

For every  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ , set

$$H_{\langle w \rangle} = \{M \in G : \forall v \in V, Mv - v \in \langle w \rangle\}.$$

We define  $\det(G)$  as the set of determinants of all elements of  $G$ .

**Remark 1.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting set of  $G$ . In this paper we assume that  $Id \in \mathcal{F}$ . Because if  $x \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $Id = x^{-1}x \in x^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  and  $|\mathcal{F}| = |x^{-1}\mathcal{F}|$ . So  $x^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set containing  $Id$  with the same size as  $|\mathcal{F}|$ . Now we can use  $x^{-1}\mathcal{F}$  instead of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 1.** For  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $H_{\langle w \rangle}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $|H_{\langle w \rangle}| = qd$ , where  $d = |\det(G)|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $Id \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$ ,  $H_{\langle w \rangle} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $M_1, M_2 \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Then, for every  $v \in V$ ,  $M_1v - v, M_2v - v \in \langle w \rangle$ . Thus,  $M_1(M_2v) - M_2v \in \langle w \rangle$ , and hence  $M_1M_2v - M_2v + M_2v - v \in \langle w \rangle$ . This shows that  $M_1M_2v - v \in \langle w \rangle$ . Therefore  $M_1M_2 \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$ .

Also,  $M_1^{-1}v \in V$ , so  $M_1M_1^{-1}v - M_1^{-1}v \in \langle w \rangle$  and consequently,  $M_1^{-1}v - v \in \langle w \rangle$ . This implies that  $M_1^{-1} \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$  and hence  $H_{\langle w \rangle} \leq G$ .

Now we are going to prove the second part. Assume that  $B = \{v, w\}$  is a basis of  $V$ . Let  $g \in H_{\langle w \rangle} \setminus \{Id\}$ . Since  $gv - v, gw - w$  belong to  $\langle w \rangle$ , we have

$$[g]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & \mu \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\mu \in \det(G)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . For every  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \in V$ , we can see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & \mu \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{pmatrix} \in \langle w \rangle.$$

We can check at once that for every  $\mu \in \det(G)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & \mu \end{bmatrix} \in G$ . This guarantees that

$$H_{\langle w \rangle} = \{g \in G : [g]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \mu \in \det(G) \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{F}_q\},$$

which gives  $|H_{\langle w \rangle}| = q|\det(G)|$ , as wanted.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $|G_v| = q|\det(G)|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G$  acts transitively on  $V \setminus \{0\}$ , we have  $[G : G_v] = q^2 - 1$ . Observe that  $|G| = q(q^2 - 1)|\det(G)|$ . So, the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** Let  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $H_{\langle w \rangle}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B = \{v, w\}$  be a basis of  $V$ . As mentioned in the proof of Lemma 1, we have  $H_{\langle w \rangle} = \{g \in G : [g]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c & \mu \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \mu \in \det(G) \text{ and } c \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$ . Let  $g_1, g_2 \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Then there exist  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \det(G)$  and  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  such

that  $[g_1]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c_1 & \mu_1 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $[g_2]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ c_2 & \mu_2 \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $\mu_1 = \mu_2$ , then  $g_1w = g_2w$ . Otherwise  $g_1(v + \alpha w) = g_2(v + \alpha w)$ , where  $\alpha = (\mu_2 - \mu_1)^{-1}(c_1 - c_2)$ . Thus  $H_{\langle w \rangle}$  is an intersecting set, as wanted.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $B = \{v, w\}$  be a basis of  $V$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting set of  $G$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v$  and  $y \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w$ , then  $[x]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$  and  $[y]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + c\alpha & 0 \\ (\lambda - 1)\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  for some  $\lambda \in \det(G)$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $x \in G_v \setminus \{Id\}$  and  $y \in G_w \setminus G_v$ , we have  $[x]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$  and  $[y]_B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda' & 0 \\ c' & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $\lambda, \lambda' \in \det(G)$  and  $c, c' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . The set  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$  and  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$ . So, there exists  $u \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x(u) = y(u)$ . Note that  $V = \langle v, w \rangle$ . Hence  $u = av + bw$  for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $ax(v + a^{-1}bw) = x(u) = y(u) = ay(v + a^{-1}bw)$ . Therefore  $x(v + \alpha w) = y(v + \alpha w)$ , where  $\alpha = a^{-1}b$ . It follows that  $(1 + c\alpha)v + \lambda\alpha w = \lambda'v + (c' + \alpha)w$ . Consequently,  $\lambda' = 1 + c\alpha$  and  $c' = (\lambda - 1)\alpha$ . Also this shows that

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \subseteq \{z \in G : [z]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + c\gamma & 0 \\ (\lambda - 1)\gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}. \quad (1)$$

$\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $B = \{v, w\}$  be a basis of  $V$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting set of  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_w \neq \{Id\}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v$  such that  $[x]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ , for some  $\lambda \in \det(G)$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_w \neq \{Id\}$ , there exists an element  $y \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w$ . By Lemma 4,

$$[y]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ (\lambda - 1)\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Now, let  $z \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v$ . Then,  $[z]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c' \\ 0 & \lambda' \end{bmatrix}$ , for some  $\lambda' \in \det(G)$  and  $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . By (1),

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \subseteq \{w \in G : [w]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + c'\gamma & 0 \\ (\lambda' - 1)\gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}.$$

Hence,  $[y]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + c'\mu & 0 \\ (\lambda' - 1)\mu & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , for some  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . So, (2) forces  $1 = 1 + c'\mu$ . Since  $\mu \neq 0$ ,  $c' = 0$ . Thus,  $z \in G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . This shows that  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ , as wanted.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $B = \{v, w\}$  be a basis of  $V$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting set of  $G$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v \neq \emptyset$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w| \leq \epsilon$ , where*

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} q - 1, & \text{if } \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}, \\ d - 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and  $d = |\det(G)|$ . Also, if  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \not\subseteq G_{\langle v \rangle}$ , then  $|\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v| \leq d - 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v$ . By Lemma 4,  $[x]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$  where  $\lambda \in \det(G)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . By (1),

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \subseteq \{z \in G : [z]_B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + c\gamma & 0 \\ (\lambda - 1)\gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\}.$$

Thus  $|\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w| \leq q - 1$ . If  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_v \not\subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ , then  $c \neq 0$ . Also,  $1 + c\gamma \in \det(G)$ . So  $\gamma \in c^{-1}(1 - \det(G))$ . Since  $\gamma \neq 0$ ,  $\gamma \in c^{-1}(1 - \det(G)) \setminus \{0\}$ . It follows from (1) that  $|\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w| \leq d - 1$ , as desired. The same argument completes the proof for  $|\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v|$ . □

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $B = \{v, w\}$  be a basis of  $V$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting set of  $G$ . If  $x, y \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $x \in (G_v \cap G_{\langle w \rangle}) \setminus \{Id\}$  and  $y \in G_w \setminus \{Id\}$ , then  $y(v) = v + c'w$ , for some  $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ .*

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately from (1). □

### 3. ERDŐS-KO-RADO THEOREM FOR THE GENERAL LINEAR GROUP AND ITS SUBGROUPS

The purpose of this section is to prove analogues of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for the general linear group of dimension 2 and its subgroups.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting set of  $G$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq dq$  where  $d = |\det(G)|$ . In particular,  $|\mathcal{F}| = dq$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  is either a coset of  $G_v$  or a coset of  $H_{\langle w \rangle}$  for some  $v, w \in V \setminus \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* According to Remark 1, assume that  $Id \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $x \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . Since  $Id \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$ , there exists  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x(v) = v$ . This yields  $x \in G_v$ . If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq G_v$ , then Lemma 2 completes the proof. Now let  $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq G_v$ . Note that for every  $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $G_v = G_{av}$ . Let  $y \in \mathcal{F} \setminus G_v$ . Since  $Id \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $y \notin G_v$ , there exists  $w \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $y(w) = w$  and  $B = \{v, w\}$  is a basis of  $V$ . Observe that  $y \in G_w \setminus \{Id\}$ , so  $[y]_B = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ c' & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . We continue the proof in the following cases:

- (i) Assume that  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Then  $\lambda = 1$ , by Corollary 7. For  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q$ , let  $w_t = v + tw$ .
- i.a) If there exists  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_{w_t} \not\subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ , then there is  $z \in (\mathcal{F} \cap G_{w_t}) \setminus G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Since  $z, y \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$ , there exists  $u \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $y(u) = z(u)$ . If  $u = v$ , then  $z(v) = y(v) = v + c'w$ . We can see that  $v + tw = z(v + tw) = z(v) + tz(w) = v + c'w + tz(w)$ . Therefore  $z(w) = t^{-1}(tw - c'w) \in \langle w \rangle$ . So  $z \in G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . This is a contradiction. If  $u = v + \alpha w$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , then  $v + tw + (\alpha - t)z(w) = z(v + \alpha w) = y(v + \alpha w) = v + c'w + \alpha w$ , for some  $c' \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Thus, if  $\alpha \neq t$ , then  $z(w) = (\alpha - t)^{-1}(c' + \alpha - t)w \in \langle w \rangle$  which is a contradiction. Also if  $\alpha = t$ , then  $y(v + tw) = z(v + tw) = v + tw$ . So,  $y(v) = v$ , consequently  $y \in G_v$ , which is a contradiction.
- i.b) Let for every  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_{w_t} \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Note that  $\{w_t : t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*\} \cup \{w\}$  are pairwise linearly independent and for every  $u \in V$ , either  $u \in \langle w \rangle$  or there exists  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  such that  $u \in \langle w_t \rangle$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$ , for every  $g \in \mathcal{F}$ , there exists  $u \in V \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $g(u) = Id(u) = u$ . So,  $g \in G_u$ . Consequently,

$$\mathcal{F} = \left( \bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*} \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t} \right) \dot{\cup} (\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w) \dot{\cup} \{Id\}. \quad (3)$$

If  $g \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v$ , then  $gv - v = 0 \in \langle w \rangle$  and  $g(\alpha v + w) - (\alpha v + w) \in \langle w \rangle$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ , because  $g \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . So  $g \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$ . If  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $g \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} gv - v &= g(v + tw - tw) - v = v + tw - tg(w) - v \\ &= tw - tg(w) \in \langle w \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

because  $g \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t} \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Also

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha v + w) - (\alpha v + w) &= \alpha(v + tw) + (-t\alpha + 1)g(w) - (\alpha v + w) \\ &= (\alpha t - 1)w + (-t\alpha + 1)g(w) \in \langle w \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ . Therefore  $g \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Observe that if  $g \in G_w$ , then  $g \in H_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Thus  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H_{\langle w \rangle}$ . So Lemma 1 completes the proof.

- (ii) If  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w_t \rangle}$ , for some  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ , then replacing  $w$  and  $w_t$  in Case (i) completes the proof. Also, if  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \subseteq G_{\langle v \rangle}$ , then replacing  $v$  and  $w$  in Case(i) completes the proof. The same reasoning completes the proof when  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t} \subseteq G_{\langle v \rangle}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t} \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \subseteq G_{\langle w_t \rangle}$  or  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \subseteq G_{\langle v \rangle}$ , for some  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ .
- (iii) Assume that for every  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \not\subseteq G_{\langle v \rangle}, G_{\langle w_t \rangle}, \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v \not\subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}, G_{\langle w_t \rangle}$ , and  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t} \not\subseteq G_{\langle v \rangle}, G_{\langle w \rangle}$ . Then Corollary 6 and (3) show that  $|\mathcal{F}| \leq (q + 1)(d - 1) + 1 < qd$ . So, the theorem follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Every intersecting set of  $G$  is contained in an intersecting set of size  $qd$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an intersecting set of  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq G_u$ , for every  $u \in V - \{0\}$ . By Remark 1, we assume that  $Id \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$  and  $Id \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $x_0(v) = Id(v)$ , for some  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ . So, we have  $x_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v$ . Note that  $\mathcal{F} \not\subseteq G_v$ . This implies that there exists a  $y_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0 \setminus G_v$ . Now,  $y_0 \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is an intersecting set of  $G$ . Hence, we can find a  $w \in V \setminus \langle v \rangle$  such that  $y_0 \in G_w$ . Set  $w_t = v + tw$ , for  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and let  $B_0 = \{v, w\}$ . We have  $B_0$  is a basis of  $V$ . By Lemma 4,

$$[x_0]_{B_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c_0 \\ 0 & \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4)$$

where  $c_0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\lambda_0 \in \det(G)$ . If  $c_0 = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w \rangle}$ , by Corollary 5. It follows from Case (i) in the proof of Theorem 8 that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H_{\langle w \rangle}$ , as desired. If  $\lambda_0 = 1$ , then (1) shows that  $\mathcal{F} \cap G_w \subseteq G_{\langle v \rangle}$ . So, Case (i) in the proof of Theorem 8 guarantees that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H_{\langle v \rangle}$ , as desired. Finally let  $c_0 \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_0 \neq 1$ . Then,  $x_0(v + (\lambda_0 - 1)c_0^{-1}w) = \lambda_0(v + (\lambda_0 - 1)c_0^{-1}w)$ . Thus,  $x_0 \in G_{\langle w_\alpha \rangle}$ , where

$\alpha = (\lambda_0 - 1)c_0^{-1}$ . If  $z \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v$ , then Lemma 4 shows that  $[z]_{B_0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & \lambda \end{bmatrix}$ ,

where  $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$  and  $\lambda \in \det(G)$ . By (1) and (4),  $1 + \gamma_0 c_0 = 1 + \gamma c$  and  $(1 - \lambda_0)\gamma_0 = (1 - \lambda)\gamma$ , for some  $\gamma_0, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . Then, we have  $z(v + (\lambda - 1)c^{-1}w) = \lambda(v + (\lambda - 1)c^{-1}w)$ . However,  $(\lambda_0 - 1)c_0^{-1} = (\lambda - 1)\gamma\gamma_0^{-1}c^{-1}\gamma_0 = (\lambda - 1)c^{-1}$ .

Hence,  $z \in G_{\langle w_\alpha \rangle}$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_v \subseteq G_{\langle w_\alpha \rangle}$ , where  $\alpha = (\lambda_0 - 1)c_0^{-1}$ .

Assume that  $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$  and  $x_t \in \mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t}$ . Set  $B_t = \{v, w_t\}$ . Then,  $B_t$  is a basis of  $V$ . We can check at once that  $[x_0]_{B_t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 + tc_0 - \lambda_0 \\ 0 & \lambda_0 \end{bmatrix}$ . So, (1)

shows that  $[x_t]_{B_t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + (1 + tc_0 - \lambda_0)\gamma_t & 0 \\ (\lambda_0 - 1)\gamma_t & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , for some  $\gamma_t \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ . However,

$v + \alpha w = (1 - \alpha t^{-1})v + \alpha t^{-1}w_t$  and  $x_t((1 - \alpha t^{-1})v + \alpha t^{-1}w_t) = (1 + (1 + tc_0 - \lambda_0)\gamma_t)((1 - \alpha t^{-1})v + \alpha t^{-1}w_t)$ . Hence,  $x_t \in G_{\langle w_\alpha \rangle}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_{w_t} \subseteq G_{\langle w_\alpha \rangle}$ .

The same reasoning shows that  $\mathcal{F}_0 \cap G_w \subseteq G_{\langle w_\alpha \rangle}$ . Thus, Sub-Case (i.b) in the proof of Theorem 8 shows that  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq H_{\langle w_\alpha \rangle}$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement** The author is grateful to Professor Karen Meagher for drawing his attention to the flaw in [1], and constructive comments that improved the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ahanjideh and N. Ahanjideh, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem in some linear groups and some projective special linear group, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 51(1) (2014) 83–91.
- [2] B. Ahmadi and K. Meagher, The Erdős-Ko-Rado property for some permutation groups, *Australas. J. Combin.*, 61 (2015) 23–41.

- [3] P. Cameron and C.Y. Ku, Intersecting families of permutations, *European J. Combin.*, 24 (2003) 881–890.
- [4] P. Erdős, C. Ko, and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, 12 (1961) 313–320.
- [5] P. Frankl and M. Deza, On the maximum number of permutations with given maximal or minimal distance, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 22(3) (1977) 352 – 360.
- [6] C. Godsil and K. Meagher, A new proof of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for intersecting families of permutations, *European J. Combin.*, 30 (2009) 404–414.
- [7] A.J.W. Hilton and E.C. Milner, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, 18 (1) (1967) 369–384.
- [8] C.Y. Ku and T.W.H. Wong, Intersecting families in the alternating group and direct product of symmetric groups, *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 14(1) (2007) R25.
- [9] K. Meagher, An Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for the group  $PSU(3, q)$ , *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 87 (2019) 717–744.
- [10] K. Meagher and P. Spiga, An Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for the derangement graph of  $PGL(2, q)$  acting on the projective line, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 118(2) (2011) 532–544.
- [11] K. Meagher and P. Spiga, An Erdős-Ko-Rado-type theorem for  $PGL_3(q)$ , *SIAM Journal of Discrete Math*, 28 (2014) 918–941.
- [12] K. Meagher, P. Spiga and P.H. Tiep, An Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for finite 2-transitive groups, *European J. Combin.*, 55 (2016) 100–118.

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING, BOĞAZIÇI UNIVERSITY, TURKEY  
Email address: [ahanjdm@gmail.com](mailto:ahanjdm@gmail.com)