

# ON GENERALIZATION OF A THEOREM OF HARISH-CHANDRA

TAIWANG DENG

ABSTRACT. In this paper under some conditions we generalize a theorem of Harish-Chandra concerning representability of Fourier transforms of orbital integrals.

## 1. Introduction

In this short paper we consider the question of representability of Fourier transform of orbital integrals for general representations of a reductive groups  $H$ . In the case  $\rho : H \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  is the adjoint representation, let

$$\widehat{\text{Orb}}(X, f) := \text{Orb}(X, \widehat{f}), f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathfrak{h}), X \in \mathfrak{h},$$

where  $\text{Orb}(X, f)$  is the orbital integral of  $f$  along the  $H$ -orbit of  $X$ , then Harish-Chandra [2, Theorem 1.1] shows that the distribution is representable by a kernel function  $\kappa(X, \cdot)$ . He approaches the problem by local trace formula and a finiteness theorem due to Howe. In [7, §4], Zhang raises the question whether analogues theorem holds for general representations of  $H$ . Note that Howe's finiteness theorem is known to fail in general (see loc.cit. for counter examples). In this paper, we answer this question affirmatively under some mild conditions. Our main result is Theorem 2.5. After we finish the proof, we notice that our proof is analogues to that of [3].

**Acknowledgement.** The work is done when the author is a postdoc at Yau Mathematical Sciences Center of Tsinghua University. He wants to thank their hospitality. He also would like to thank Bin Xu for a careful reading of the first version of the paper.

## 2. Fourier Transform of Orbital integrals

Let  $F$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}_F$  be the ring of integers of  $F$  and  $\pi_F$  be its uniformizer. Let  $k_F$  be its residue field. We fix a valuation  $\nu_{\pi_F}$  on  $F$  with  $\nu_{\pi_F}(\pi_F) = 1$ . Let us also fix an additive character  $\psi$  of  $F$  satisfying

$$\psi|_{\mathcal{O}_F} = 1, \quad \sum_{a \in \pi_F^{-1}\mathcal{O}_F/\mathcal{O}_F} \psi(a) = 1.$$

---

*Key words and phrases.* Fourier transform, Orbital integrals, Orbital Gauss sum, Harish-Chandra theorem.

Assume that  $H$  is a quasi-split reductive group defined over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . In general,  $H \otimes F$  may have different models over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , and the reductive models depend on a choice of a special vertex on the Bruhat-Tits building of  $H \otimes F$ . Note that it follows from our assumption that the group  $H \otimes k_F$  is also reductive. Finally we denote by  $\mathfrak{h}$  the Lie algebra of  $H$ .

Let us consider the following setup. Assume that  $(\rho, V)$  is an algebraic representation of a reductive group  $H$  over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ . We assume further that there is a central embedding  $\iota : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow H$  such that the composition with  $\rho$  gives  $\rho \circ \iota = \text{Id} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ , where the target is identified with the center of  $\text{Aut}(V)$ .

According to [5, §3 and §7], there exists an involution  $\theta$  of  $H$  defined over  $F$  (called Chevalley involution) which interchanges an irreducible and its contragredient.

The existence of the Chevalley involution allows us to construct a non-degenerate symmetric pairing on  $V_F = V \otimes F$  (without confusion we identify  $V_F$  with its  $F$ -rational points  $V(F)$ )

$$\langle, \rangle : V_F \otimes V_F \rightarrow F$$

satisfying

$$\langle hX, \theta(h)Y \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle, \quad \forall X, Y \in V(F), h \in H(F).$$

**Definition 2.1.** We fix a basis  $\{e_1, \dots, e_d\}$  of  $V(\mathcal{O}_F)$ . And define a valuation  $\nu_{\pi_F}$  on  $V$  by letting

$$\nu_{\pi_F}\left(\sum_i x_i e_i\right) = \min\{\nu_{\pi_F}(x_i) : i = 1, \dots, d\}.$$

We also define the norm  $|Y| = |k_F|^{-\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)}$ . And for any subspace  $V_1$  of  $V(F)$ , define

$$d_{\langle, \rangle}(V_1) = \min\{\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) \mid Y \in V_1, \langle Z, Y \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F, \forall Z \in V(\mathcal{O}_F)\}.$$

Finally, define the depth of  $\langle, \rangle$  by

$$d_{\langle, \rangle} := \max\{d_{\langle, \rangle}(V_1) \mid V_1 \subseteq V\}.$$

It follows immediately that

**Lemma 2.2.** The valuation  $\nu_{\pi_F}$  is independent of the choice of the basis of  $V(\mathcal{O}_F)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** For any  $Y \in V(F)$  with  $\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) \geq d_{\langle, \rangle}$ , we have

$$\langle Z, Y \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F, \forall Z \in V(\mathcal{O}_F).$$

*Proof.* In fact, let  $Y \in V(F)$  with  $\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) \geq d_{\langle, \rangle}$  and  $Z \in V(\mathcal{O}_F)$  such that

$$\langle Z, Y \rangle \notin \mathcal{O}_F.$$

Then take  $V_1$  to be the subspace generated by  $Y$ . Then  $d_{\langle, \rangle}(V_1) \geq \nu_{\pi_F}(Y) \geq d_{\langle, \rangle}$ , which is absurd.  $\square$

**Assumption 2.4.** We assume that our choice of  $\langle, \rangle$  is of depth zero.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$  be the space of locally constant functions on  $V(F)$  with compact support. For  $Y \in V(F)$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(V)$ , we define the Fourier transform of  $f$  by

$$\widehat{f}(Y) = \int_{V(F)} f(X) \psi(\langle X, Y \rangle) dX.$$

We define the orbital integrals of  $f$  on  $V(F)$  to be a linear functional on  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ :

$$\text{Orb}(Y, f) := \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(Y)} f(X) dX$$

And we denote by  $\mathcal{S}(V)$  the linear functional on  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$  generated by the orbital integrals. And we are interested in the question of representability of the orbital integral  $\text{Orb}(Y, \widehat{f})$ , cf. [7, §3].

Let us make the assumption that  $P(X)$  is a quasi-invariant in the polynomial ring  $F[V]$  such that the set

$$\{X \in V(F) | P(X) \neq 0\}$$

is open and dense in  $V(F)$  (in the p-adic topology). By assumption, we have

$$(g.P)(X) = P(g^{-1}X) = \nu^{-1}(g)P(X), \forall g \in H,$$

for some algebraic character  $\nu : H \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ . Let  $\nu_s(g) = |\nu(g)|^s$ . **We require that  $\nu$  is nontrivial.**

We can modify our definition of orbital integral to be

$$\text{Orb}(Y, f, \nu_s) := \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(Y)} f(X) |P(X)|^s dX,$$

where  $dX$  is certain quasi-invariant measure on  $\mathcal{O}_H(Y)$  which will be discussed in detailed later. Note that by fixing a base point  $Y_0 \in \mathcal{O}_H(Y)$ , we can write

$$\text{Orb}(Y_0, f, \nu_s) = |P(Y_0)|^s \int_{H/H_{Y_0}} f(hY_0) \nu_s(h) d\bar{h}.$$

In particular, by letting  $s = 0$  we fall back to our previous definition. Our main result in this section is the following

**Theorem 2.5.** *There exists a locally constant function  $\kappa(\nu_s, X, \cdot)$  well defined outside a measure zero subset on  $V(F)$  given by*

$$\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) = \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X)} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dZ,$$

such that for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ ,

$$(2.0.1) \quad \text{Orb}(X, \widehat{f}, \nu_s) = \int_{V(F)} f(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY.$$

**Remark 2.6.** *When  $V_F$  contains only finitely many open  $H$ -orbits  $\{\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_r\}$ , then the above theorem gives*

$$\text{Orb}(\mathcal{O}_i, \widehat{f}, \nu_s) = \sum_j \Gamma_{ij}(\nu_s) \text{Orb}(\mathcal{O}_j, f, \theta(\delta^{-1}\nu_s))$$

where  $\delta : H(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$  via  $d(h_1\bar{h}) = \delta(h_1)d\bar{h}$  and  $\theta(\delta^{-1}\nu_s)(h) = \delta^{-1}\nu_s(\theta(h))$ , this is the local functional equation for local Igusa Zeta function [4].

We need several lemmas.

**Lemma 2.7.** For  $Z_0 \in V(F) \setminus \{0\}$ , let  $V_0$  be the  $\mathcal{O}_F$ -module generated by  $H(\mathcal{O}_F) \cdot Z_0$ . Let

$$d_{V_0} = \min\{\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) \mid Y \in V(F), \langle Z, Y \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F, \forall Z \in V_0\}.$$

Let

$$U_{Z_0} = \{Y \in V(F) \mid \psi\left(\frac{\langle \cdot, \pi_F^{d_{V_0} - \nu_{\pi_F}(Z_0) - \nu_{\pi_F}(Y)} Y \rangle}{\pi_F}\right) : V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ is nontrivial}\}.$$

Then  $U_{Z_0}$  is a non-empty open subset of  $V(F)$  whose complement is closed of measure zero.

*Proof of Lemma 2.7.* The non-emptiness of  $U_{Z_0}$  follows from the definition of  $d_{V_0}$ . By definition, if  $Y \notin U_{Z_0}$ , then  $\langle Z, \pi_F^{d_{V_0} - \nu_{\pi_F}(Y) - \nu_{\pi_F}(Z)} Y \rangle \in \pi_F \mathcal{O}_F$  for all  $Z \in V_0$ . Let  $U^c$  be the complement of  $U_{Z_0}$  in  $V(F)$  and  $U_n^c = U^c \cap \pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F$ . It suffices to show that for any  $n > 0$ , the image of  $U_n^c$  in  $\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F / \pi_F^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_F$  is not surjective. In fact, let  $Y \in U_n^c \setminus U_{n+1}^c$ , then  $\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) = n$ , but by definition  $Y_0 = \pi_F^{-n} Y \in U_0^c$ , hence we only need to show that the image of  $U_0^c$  in  $V(\mathbb{k}_F)$  is not surjective. But it readily follows from the definition there exists  $Y \in V(F), Z \in V_0$  such that  $\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) = 0$  and  $\langle Z, \pi_F^{d_{V_0} - \nu_{\pi_F}(Z_0)} Y \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F \setminus \pi_F \mathcal{O}_F$ . Hence the projection of  $U_0^c$  is not surjective to  $V(\mathbb{k}_F)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.8.** We keep the notations in Lemma 2.7. Then for  $Y \in U_{Z_0}$ , we have

$$\sum_{Z \in V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_F}} \psi\left(\frac{\langle Z, \pi_F^{d_{V_0} - \nu_{\pi_F}(Z_0) - \nu_{\pi_F}(Y)} Y \rangle}{\pi_F}\right) = 0.$$

*Proof of Lemma 2.8.* Note that  $\psi\left(\frac{\langle Z, \pi_F^{d_{V_0} - \nu_{\pi_F}(Z_0) - \nu_{\pi_F}(Y)} Y \rangle}{\pi_F}\right)$  is a non-trivial character on  $V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_F}$ . But for any nontrivial character  $\chi : V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ , assume that  $\chi(Z) \neq 1$  and  $V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_F} = V' \oplus_{\mathbb{k}_F} Z$ , then

$$\sum_{X \in V_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{k}_F}} \chi(X) = \sum_{Z' \in V'} \chi(Z') \sum_{a \in \mathbb{k}_F} \chi(Z)^a = 0.$$

$\square$

**Lemma 2.9.** We consider the sequence of functions

$$f_n = 1_{\pi_F^{-n} V(\mathcal{O}_F)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

And denote

$$I_n(Y) = \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X)} f_n(Z) |P(Z)|^s \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dZ = \int_{\pi_F^{-n} V(\mathcal{O}_F) \cap \mathcal{O}_H(X)} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dZ.$$

Then there exists an open dense subset  $U$  of  $V(F)$  such that  $V(F) \setminus U$  is of measure zero and for any  $Y \in U$ , the sequence  $\{I_n(Y) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  stabilizes as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Consequently, the sequence  $\{I_n(Y) | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  converges to a locally constant function  $I_\infty(Y)$  on  $U$  and the function  $I_\infty(Y)$  is of polynomial growth in  $|\nu(\pi_F)|^{-\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)^s}$  as  $Y$  approaches the complement of  $U$ .

*Remark:* The example below with  $H = \mathrm{GL}_n$  shows that the restriction to  $U$  is necessary.

*Proof of Lemma 2.9.* By our assumption at the beginning of the section, the image of  $H$  contains the center of  $\mathrm{Aut}(V)$ . Let  $W_n = \pi_F^{-n}V(\mathcal{O}_F) \cap \mathcal{O}_H(X)$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} I_n(Y) &= \int_{W_n} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dZ \\ &= \int_{W_0} |P(\frac{Z}{\pi_F^n})|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) d(\frac{Z}{\pi_F^n}) \\ &= (\nu_s \delta)^{-n} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) dZ \\ &= (\nu_s \delta)^{-n} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0 \setminus W_{-1}} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) dZ + (\nu_s \delta)^{-n} (\pi_F) \int_{W_{-1}} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) dZ. \end{aligned}$$

And we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nu_s \delta)^{-n} (\pi_F) \int_{W_{-1}} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) dZ &= (\nu_s \delta)^{-n} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0} |P(\pi_F Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle \pi_F Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) d(\pi_F Z) \\ &= (\nu_s \delta)^{-n+1} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^{n-1}}) d(Z) \\ &= I_{n-1}(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$I_n(Y) - I_{n-1}(Y) = (\nu_s \delta)^{-n} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0 \setminus W_{-1}} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) dZ.$$

We need to show that for  $n \gg 0$ ,

$$\int_{W_0 \setminus W_{-1}} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) dZ = 0.$$

In fact by assumption  $H$  is defined over  $\mathcal{O}_F$ , therefore  $W_0 \setminus W_{-1}$  will decompose into  $H(\mathcal{O}_F)$  orbits:

$$W_0 \setminus W_{-1} = \coprod_{i=1}^r H(\mathcal{O}_F).Z_i$$

with  $D = \{Z_1, \dots, Z_r\}$  a set of representatives. Hence it suffices to show that

$$\int_{H(\mathcal{O}_F).Z_i} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}) dZ = 0, \text{ for } n \gg 0.$$

Note that for  $g \in H(\mathcal{O}_F)$ , we have

$$|P(gZ_i)| = |\nu(g)||P(Z_i)| = |P(Z_i)|$$

since  $\nu$  is an algebraic character. Hence

$$\int_{H(\mathcal{O}_F).Z_i} |P(Z)|^s \psi\left(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) dZ = c_i \sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle hZ_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right)$$

with  $c_i = \frac{\text{vol}(\ker(H(\mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)))|P(Z_i)|^s}{|\text{Im}\{H^i \rightarrow H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)\}|}$ , where  $H^i$  is the stabilizer of  $Z_i$  in  $H(\mathcal{O}_F)$ . Assume that  $c \neq 0$  otherwise we are done.

Now let  $Y_1 = \pi_F^{-\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)} Y$ . And

$$\sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle hZ_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) = \sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle hZ_i, Y_1 \rangle}{\pi_F^{n-\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)}}\right).$$

We claim that

$$(2.0.2) \quad \sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle hZ_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq \nu_{\pi_F}(Y) + 3.$$

Upon replacing  $Y$  by  $Y_1$  above, we only need to show that for  $\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) = 0$ ,

$$\sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle hZ_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) = 0, \quad \forall n \geq 3.$$

Recall that by our assumption, for any  $Y \in V(\mathcal{O}_F)$ ,  $\langle Z, Y \rangle \in \mathcal{O}_F$  holds for any  $Z \in V(\mathcal{O}_F)$  (cf. Lemma 2.3). Let  $H_n = \ker(H(\mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F))$  and  $H_{n,n-1}$  the image of  $H_{n-1}$  in  $H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)$  under the natural projection. The key observation is that  $H_{n,n-1}$  is a  $\mathfrak{k}_F$ -vector space which is isomorphic to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{k}_F)$  via  $\text{Id} + \pi_F^{n-1} h \mapsto h$ . Note that the action of  $H$  on  $V$  induces an action of  $\mathfrak{h}$  on  $V$ , by which we also denote  $(h, X) \mapsto hX$  for  $h \in \mathfrak{h}, X \in V(F)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle hZ_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) &= \sum_{h_1 \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_F)} \sum_{\text{Id} + \pi_F^{n-1} h_2 \in H_{n,n-1}} \psi\left(\frac{\langle h_1 Z_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) \psi\left(\frac{\langle h_2 Z_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F}\right) \\ &= \sum_{h_1 \in H(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^{n-1} \mathcal{O}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle h_1 Z_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) \sum_{h_2 \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{k}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle h_2 Z_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now apply Lemma 2.8, we know that there is an open dense subset  $U_{Z_i}$  of  $V(F)$  such that  $V(F) \setminus U_{Z_i}$  is of measure zero and  $\psi\left(\frac{\langle \cdot, Y \rangle}{\pi_F}\right)$  is non-trivial as a character of  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{k}_F)Z_i$ , moreover, we have

$$\sum_{h \in \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{k}_F)} \psi\left(\frac{\langle hZ_i, Y \rangle}{\pi_F}\right) = 0.$$

Here there is a discrepancy: we are summing over  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{k}_F)$  instead of  $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{k}_F)Z_i$  but since the argument is the same we omit it. Let  $U = \cap U_{Z_i}$ , then for  $Y \in U$ , we have

$$\int_{W_0 \setminus W_{-1}} |P(Z)|^s \psi\left(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) dZ = 0.$$

which finishes the proof of the claim (2.0.2). Now for  $Y \in U$ , we have

$$I_\infty(Y) = \sum_{n=\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)+1}^{\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)+2} (\nu_s \delta)^{-n} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0 \setminus W_{-1}} |P(Z)|^s \psi\left(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^n}\right) dZ + I_{\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)}(Y).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)}(Y) &= (\nu_s \delta)^{-\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0} |P(Z)|^s \psi\left(\frac{\langle Z, Y \rangle}{\pi_F^{\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)}}\right) dZ \\ &= (\nu_s \delta)^{-\nu_{\pi_F}(Y)} (\pi_F) \int_{W_0} |P(Z)|^s dZ, \end{aligned}$$

here we use the assumption that  $\langle, \rangle$  is of depth zero. Now a theorem of Denef states that the integral

$$\int_{W_0} |P(Z)|^s dZ$$

is a rational function in  $p^{-s}$  and the order of poles of this rational function are at most  $\dim(V)[F : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ . The last part of the lemma follows.  $\square$

*Remark:* For  $H = \mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $V = \mathfrak{sl}_2$  the adjoint representation, U. Everling prove that the functions  $\{f_n(Y)\}$  stabilize as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  by employing similar arguments from which he deduces the existence of  $\kappa(X, Y)$  (cf. [3, Proposition 4]) in this case.

Let  $D$  be a subset of  $V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)$  ( $n > 0$ ). Let  $\Phi_D(X)$  be the characteristic function of the preimage of  $D$  under the projection  $\varphi_n : V(\mathcal{O}_F) \rightarrow V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^n \mathcal{O}_F)$ . Let us determine its Fourier transform. If there is no confusion we will also regard  $\Phi_D(X)$  as a function on  $V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^d \mathcal{O}_F)$  for  $d \geq n$ . As for the Fourier transform, we have

(2.0.3)

$$\widehat{\Phi}_D(Y) = \int_{V(F)} \Phi(X) \psi(\langle X, Y \rangle) dX = c_d \sum_{X \in V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^d \mathcal{O}_F)} \Phi_D(X) \psi(\langle X, Y \rangle)$$

with  $c_d = \mathrm{vol}(\pi_F^d V(\mathcal{O}_F))$  and  $d \geq \max\{-\nu_{\pi_F}(Y), n\}$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* It is clear from the Lemmas before that the function  $\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y)$  as well as the right hand side of (2.0.1) is well defined. It remains to show that the two sides of (2.0.1) is equal.

Let  $d$  be an integer. Let  $W_d = \pi_F^{-d}V(\mathcal{O}_F) \cap \mathcal{O}_H(X)$ . Since  $\widehat{\Phi}_D(Y)$  is compactly supported, we have for  $d \gg 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Orb}(X, \widehat{f}, \nu_s) &= \int_{W_d} \widehat{\Phi}(Z) |P(Z)|^s dZ \\ &= c_d \int_{W_d} \left( \sum_{Y \in V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^d \mathcal{O}_F)} \Phi_D(Y) \psi(\langle Y, Z \rangle) |P(Z)|^s dZ \right) \\ &= c_d \sum_{Y \in V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^d \mathcal{O}_F)} \Phi_D(Y) \int_{W_d} \psi(\langle Y, Z \rangle) |P(Z)|^s dZ \\ &= c_d \sum_{Y \in V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^d \mathcal{O}_F)} \Phi_D(Y) I_d(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $D = \{a_1, \dots, a_r\}$  in  $V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi^n \mathcal{O}_F)$ . If  $D$  does not contain 0, then  $\Phi_D(Y) \neq 0$  imply that  $1 \leq \nu_{\pi_F}(Y) \leq n$ . Following the proof of Lemma 2.9, take  $d$  large enough we have

$$I_\infty(Y) = I_d(Y) = \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y).$$

Hence

$$\text{Orb}(X, \widehat{f}, \nu_s) = c_d \sum_{Y \in V(\mathcal{O}_F/\pi_F^d \mathcal{O}_F)} \Phi_D(Y) I_\infty(Y) = \int_{V(F)} \Phi_D(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY.$$

It remains to treat the case where  $D = \{0\}$ , i.e.,

$$\Phi_D(X) = 1_{\pi_F^n V(\mathcal{O}_F)}(X).$$

Let  $\delta_1$  be the modulus character of  $H$  with respect to the Haar measure on  $V$ . In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V(F)} \Phi_D(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY &= \int_{\pi^n V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY \\ &= \delta_1(\pi_F)^n \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \kappa(\nu_s, X, \pi_F^n Y) dY \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_1(\pi_F)^{n+j} \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F^j V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \kappa(\nu_s, X, \pi_F^{n+j} Y) dY. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\kappa(\nu_s, X, \pi_F^{n+j} Y) = \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X)} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\langle Z, \pi_F^{n+j} Y \rangle) dZ = (\delta \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-n-j} \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X)} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dZ.$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{V(F)} \Phi_D(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta \delta_1^{-1} \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-n-j} \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F^j V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY.$$

This sequence (with  $s$  as a complex variable) converges on certain half plane of the complex numbers depending on  $|\nu(\pi_F)|$ .

For  $Y \in V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)$ , we have  $\nu_{\pi_F}(Y) = 0$ , therefore for  $d \geq 3$  we have

$$\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) = I_\infty(Y) = I_d(Y) = \int_{W_d} |P(Z)|^s \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dZ.$$

Now it comes to the point that we are allowed to interchange the two integrals

$$\int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \int_{W_d} \text{ and obtain}$$

$$\int_{V(F)} \Phi_D(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta \delta_1^{-1} \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-n-j} \int_{W_d} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dY.$$

Now reverse the above process, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{V(F)} \Phi_D(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta \delta_1^{-1} \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-n-j} \int_{W_d} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dY \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_1(\pi_F)^{n+j} \int_{W_{n+j+d}} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle \pi_F^{n+j} Z, Y \rangle) dY. \end{aligned}$$

We want to take take limit with respect to  $d$  but need some justification.

Let

$$S_d = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_1(\pi_F)^{n+j} \int_{W_{n+j+d}} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle \pi_F^{n+j} Z, Y \rangle) dY.$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} S_\infty - S_d &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_1(\pi_F)^{n+j} \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X) \setminus W_{n+j+d}} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle \pi_F^{n+j} Z, Y \rangle) dY \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_1(\pi_F)^{n+j} \sum_{k=d}^{\infty} \int_{W_{n+j+k+1} \setminus W_{n+j+k}} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle \pi_F^{n+j} Z, Y \rangle) dY \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta \delta_1^{-1} \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-n-j} \sum_{k=d}^{\infty} \int_{W_{k+1} \setminus W_k} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dY \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\delta \delta_1^{-1} \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-n-j} \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X) \setminus W_d} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dY \\ &= \frac{(\delta \delta_1^{-1} \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-n}}{1 - (\delta \delta_1^{-1} \nu_s(\pi_F))^{-1}} \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X) \setminus W_d} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dY \end{aligned}$$

From this we deduce that for  $d \gg 0$ ,

$$|S_\infty - S_d| < \epsilon, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

Hence by letting  $d \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$\int_{V(F)} \Phi_D(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_1(\pi_F)^{n+j} \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X)} |P(Z)|^s dZ \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle \pi_F^{n+j} Z, Y \rangle) dY.$$

Finally since  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \delta_1(\pi_F)^{n+j} \int_{V(\mathcal{O}_F) \setminus \pi_F V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle \pi_F^{n+j} Z, Y \rangle) dY$  converges absolutely to  $\int_{\pi_F^n V(\mathcal{O}_F)} \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dY$  (note that  $\delta_1(\pi_F) < 1$ ), we obtain

$$\int_{V(F)} \Phi_D(Y) \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) dY = \int_{\mathcal{O}_H(X)} |P(Z)|^s \int_{\pi^n \mathcal{O}_F} \psi(\langle Z, Y \rangle) dY.$$

This proves Theorem 2.5 for the functions  $\Phi_D$ . Observe that the functions  $\Phi_D$  and their translations under  $H(F)$  form a basis of the space of compactly supported locally constant functions  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(V)$ , we observe that the equality (2.0.1) is  $H$ -equivariant, hence we are done.  $\square$

**Definition 2.10.** *We will call the function  $\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y)$  and orbital Gauss function.*

*Remark:* When  $\nu = 1$  is trivial, we will omit it and simply write  $\kappa(X, Y)$ . In special cases, this function has been studied by T. Taniguchi and F. Thorne [6].

Let us consider some examples. For  $H = GL_1$  and  $V = F$ . Then  $H$  acts on  $V$  by scalar multiplication. Let  $P(X) = X$ . There are two orbits in this case:  $V_0 = \{0\}$  and  $V_1 = F^\times$ . The second orbit is open.

Now let us consider the sequence of functions  $f_n = 1_{p^{-n}\mathbb{Z}_p}$  then the sequence  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  converge to 1 in the space of distributions  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  on  $V$ . Now for  $X \in V_1$ , in  $\mathcal{D}(V)$  we have

$$(2.0.4) \quad \kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) = \int_{F^\times} |Z|^s \psi(ZY) dZ = \lim_n \int_{F^\times} |Z|^s f_n(Z) \psi(ZY) dZ = |Y|^{-s-1} \gamma_p(-s)$$

where

$$\gamma_p(s) = \frac{L_p(1-s)}{L_p(s)} = \frac{1-p^{-s}}{1-p^{s-1}}.$$

Similar idea was used by Beineke and Bump in computing the Fourier transform of  $g \mapsto |\det(g)|^s$  ([1, §6]). This also follows from the functional equation for  $H = GL_1$ . In fact consider the zeta integral for the trivial representation we have

$$Z(\Phi, s) = \int_{F^\times} \Phi(X) |X|^{s-1} dX,$$

now local function equation tells us

$$Z(\widehat{\Phi}, 1-s) = \gamma(s) Z(\Phi, s).$$

Note that the definition of  $\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y)$  extends to  $Y = 0$ . Note that in this case if  $s = 0$  the function  $\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) = 0$ , hence our orbital integral will vanish identically (in distributional sense).

Generally, for  $H = GL_n \times GL_n$  and  $V = \mathfrak{gl}_n$  with the action

$$((g_1, g_2), X) \mapsto g_1 X g_2^{-1}.$$

We take  $P(X) = \det(X)$ . We have finitely many orbits, indexing by the rank of  $X$ . Let us consider  $X = \text{Id}$ , a point on the open orbit. As before let us take  $f_n = 1_{p^{-n}\text{gl}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)}$ , then  $f_n \rightarrow 1 (n \rightarrow \infty)$  in distribution sense. Let us consider the function

$$\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) = \int_{GL_n(F)} |\det(Z)|^s \psi(\text{Tr}(ZY)) dZ = \lim_n \int_{GL_n(F)} f_n(X) |\det(X)|^s \psi(\text{Tr}(ZY)) dZ.$$

As before, our computation shows that

$$\kappa(\nu_s, X, Y) = |\det(Y)|^{-s-n} \gamma(-s), \quad \gamma(s) = \frac{L_p(n-s)}{L_p(s)}.$$

As before, this also follows from the local functional equation for the trivial representation of  $GL_n$ .

## References

- [1] Jennifer Beineke and Daniel Bump. A summation formula for divisor functions associated to lattices. 2006.
- [2] Stephen DeBacker, Paul J Sally, et al. *Admissible Invariant Distributions on Reductive p-adic Groups*. Number 16. American Mathematical Soc., 1999.
- [3] Ulrich Everling. An example of fourier transforms of orbital integrals and their endoscopic transfer. *New York J. Math*, 4(17):29, 1998.
- [4] Jun-ichi Igusa. *An introduction to the theory of local zeta functions*, volume 14. American Mathematical Soc., 2007.
- [5] D Prasad. A relative local langlands correspondence, arxiv preprint 2015. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1512.04347*.
- [6] Takashi Taniguchi and Frank Thorne. Orbital-functions for the space of binary cubic forms. *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, 65(6):1320–1383, 2013.
- [7] Wei Zhang. Harmonic analysis for relative trace formula. *Automorphic Representations and L-functions*, edited by: D. Prasad, CS Rajan, A. Sankaranarayanan, and J. Sengupta, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai, India, 2012.

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, HAIDIAN DISTRICT, BEIJING, 100084, CHINA.

*Email address:* dengtaiw@tsinghua.edu.cn