

# Nonstandard proof of combined sum and product structure in $IP^*$ sets \*

Sayan Goswami †

## Abstract

V. Bergelson and N. Hindman proved that  $IP^*$  sets contain all possible finite sum and product of a sum subsystem of any sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$ . In this article, we will prove this result using Nonstandard analysis.

**Mathematics subject classification:** 05D10, 26E35

## 1 Introduction

Ramsey theoretic study is deeply involved with the study of topological dynamics, ergodic theory and algebra of Stone-Ćech compactification of discrete semigroup.  $IP$  sets play a major role in Ramsey theory. A subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is said to be an  $IP$  set if it contains a set of the form  $FS\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ , the all possible finite sum of some sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ . In [4], it was proved that for any finite partition of  $\mathbb{N}$ , there is one cell which is an  $IP$  set. Similarly  $FP\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  is defined for products. An  $IP^*$  set is a set which intersects all possible  $IP$  set. Given a sequence  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , we say that  $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sum subsystem of  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  provided there exists a sequence  $\langle H_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  of non-empty finite subset such that  $\max H_n < \min H_{n+1}$  and  $y_n = \sum_{t \in H_n} x_t$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [1], the following result has been proved.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $A$  be  $IP^*$  set in  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ . Then there exists a subsystem  $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  such that*

$$FS(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \cup FP(\langle y_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty) \subseteq A.$$

In this article we will prove this theorem using the methods of Nonstandard analysis. Here we recall some basics of this topic. For details readers are invited to see the recent book [3]. Nonstandard analysis is the study of hypernatural numbers, which correlates the ultrafilters over  $\mathbb{N}$ . Here we work inside a “universe”  $\mathbb{V}$ , equipped with a star map  $*$  :  $\mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  which assigns every object  $M \in \mathbb{V}$  to its nonstandard extension  $*M$ , in such a way that the following properties hold:

---

\* **Keywords:**  $IP^*$  sets, Nonstandard analysis

† Department of Mathematics, University of Kalyani, Kalyani-741235, Nadia, West Bengal, India sayan92m@gmail.com

**Proposition 2.** For any semigroup  $(S, \cdot)$  the following properties of the  $*$  map can be found in [3].

1. For a set  $A$ ,  $*A$ , is also a set, and  ${}^\sigma A \subseteq *A$ , where  ${}^\sigma A = \{ *x : x \in A \}$ . The inclusion is strict iff  $A$  is infinite;
2. If  $A, B$  are sets such that  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $*A \subseteq *B$ ;
3. For a set  $A$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have  $*(A^k) \subseteq (*A)^k$ ;
4. If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a function,  $*f : *A \rightarrow *B$  is a function;
5. If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a function and  $x \in A$ , then  $*f(x) = f(x)$ ;
6. If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a function and  $x \in A$ , then  $*f(*x) = *(f(x))$ ;
7. If  $s \in S$ , then  $*s = s$ ;
8. Transfer principle: For any elementary formulae  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$  and objects  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n \in \mathbb{V}$ ,

$$\varphi(M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n) \text{ holds iff } \varphi(*M_1, *M_2, \dots, *M_n) \text{ holds}$$

Let us first give a brief review of algebraic structure of the Stone-Ćech compactification of any discrete semigroup  $S$ .

The set  $\{\bar{A} : A \subset S\}$  is a basis for the closed sets of  $\beta S$ . The operation  $\cdot$  on  $S$  can be extended to the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta S$  of  $S$  so that  $(\beta S, \cdot)$  is a compact right topological semigroup (meaning that for any  $\cdot$  is continuous) with  $S$  contained in its topological center (meaning that for any  $x \in S$ , the function  $\lambda_x : \beta S \rightarrow \beta S$  defined by  $\lambda_x(q) = x \cdot q$  is continuous). This is a famous Theorem due to Ellis that if  $S$  is a compact right topological semigroup then the set of idempotents  $E(S) \neq \emptyset$ . A nonempty subset  $I$  of a semigroup  $T$  is called a *left ideal* of  $S$  if  $TI \subset I$ , a *right ideal* if  $IT \subset I$ , and a *two sided ideal* (or simply an *ideal*) if it is both a left and right ideal. A *minimal left ideal* is the left ideal that does not contain any proper left ideal. Similarly, we can define *minimal right ideal* and *smallest ideal*.

Any compact Hausdorff right topological semigroup  $T$  has the smallest two sided ideal

$$\begin{aligned} K(T) &= \bigcup \{L : L \text{ is a minimal left ideal of } T\} \\ &= \bigcup \{R : R \text{ is a minimal right ideal of } T\}. \end{aligned}$$

Given a minimal left ideal  $L$  and a minimal right ideal  $R$ ,  $L \cap R$  is a group, and in particular contains an idempotent. If  $p$  and  $q$  are idempotents in  $T$  we write  $p \leq q$  if and only if  $pq = qp = p$ . An idempotent is minimal with respect to this relation if and only if it is a member of the smallest ideal  $K(T)$  of  $T$ . Given  $p, q \in \beta S$  and  $A \subseteq S$ ,  $A \in p \cdot q$  if and only if the set  $\{x \in S : x^{-1}A \in q\} \in p$ , where  $x^{-1}A = \{y \in S : x \cdot y \in A\}$ . See [5] for an elementary introduction to the algebra of  $\beta S$  and for any unfamiliar details.

Now we correlate the ultrafilters and nonstandard objects.

**Definition 3.** Elements  $\alpha \in {}^*S$  generate ultrafilters on  $S$  via the ultrafilter map  $\alpha \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\alpha$  where  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \{A \subseteq S : \alpha \in {}^*A\}$ .

Two elements  $\alpha, \beta$  are called  $u$ -equivalent iff  $\mathcal{U}_\alpha = \mathcal{U}_\beta$ .

**Proposition 4.**  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation  ${}^*S$ .

The relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  ${}^*S$  was first considered by Di Nasso in [2]. For details see [3].

**Definition 5.** For any semigroup  $S$ , equip  ${}^*S$  with the  $u$ -topology, that generated by the basic open sets  ${}^*A$  for  $A \subseteq S$ . We say  ${}^*S$  is a compact  $u$ -semigroup, i.e.

1.  ${}^*S$  is compact;
2. For any  $\alpha, \beta \in {}^*S$  there is  $\gamma \in {}^*S$  such that  $\gamma \sim \alpha + {}^*\beta$ ;
3. The map  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha + {}^*\beta$  is continuous.

The quotient set  ${}^*S / \sim$  and  $\beta S$  are homeomorphic. As is a closed set, it contains idempotents. For each idempotent  $\alpha \in {}^*S / \sim$ ,  $\alpha + {}^*\alpha \sim \alpha$ . Now each idempotent in  $\beta S$  is correspond to an idempotent  $\alpha \in {}^*S / \sim$  and so if  $A$  is an  $IP^*$  set,  $\alpha \in {}^*A$  for all  $u$ -idempotent  $\alpha$  in  ${}^*S / \sim$ .

## 2 Our results

**Lemma 6.** Let  $A$  be an  $IP^*$  set in  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$  then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n^{-1}A$  is also  $IP^*$  set in  $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$  be any  $u$ -idempotent. As  $A$  is an  $IP^*$  set,  $A \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ . As  $\alpha$  is an idempotent,  $n\alpha$  is also an  $u$ -idempotent for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . As  $A$  is an  $IP^*$  set,  $A \in \mathcal{U}_{n\alpha}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . But then  $n^{-1}A \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$  [3, Exercise 3.2, page 45]. As this holds for all  $u$ -idempotent  $\alpha$ , we have  $n^{-1}A$  is an  $IP^*$  set.  $\square$

**Fact 7.** In the above proof, we have seen that if  $A$  is an  $IP^*$  set, then  $n\alpha \in {}^*A$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $u$ -idempotent  $\alpha$ .

In the following proof let us denote the set of all finite subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  by  $\mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1:** Let,  $B = FS\langle x_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  and choose an  $u$ -idempotent  $\alpha \in {}^*B$ . As  $A$  is an  $IP^*$  set,  $\alpha \in {}^*A$ . Now  $\alpha \sim \alpha + {}^*\alpha$ ,  $\alpha + {}^*\alpha \in {}^*A$ . So, by transfer choose  $y_1 = \sum_{t \in H_1} x_t$  such that,

$$y_1 \in A$$

$$y_1 + \alpha \in {}^*A.$$

From the above equation, and fact 7 we have,

$$y_1 \in A$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& y_1 + \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& y_1 + {}^*\alpha \in {}^{**}A \text{ (by transfer principle)} \\
& \alpha + {}^*\alpha \in {}^{**}A \\
& y_1 + \alpha + {}^*\alpha \in {}^{**}A \\
& y_1\alpha \in {}^*A.
\end{aligned}$$

Again by transfer principle, choose  $H_2 \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  where  $\max H_1 < \min H_2$ ,  $y_2 = \sum_{t \in H_2} x_t$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& y_1 \in A \\
& y_2 \in A \\
& y_1 + y_2 \in A \\
& y_1 + \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& y_2 + \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& y_1 + y_2 + \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& y_1 y_2 \in A.
\end{aligned}$$

Now by induction, assume that for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have already chosen  $(H_i)_{i=1}^m$  such that  $\max H_i < \min H_j$  if  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  and  $i < j$  and  $FS\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^m \cup FP\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^m \subset A$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
& t + \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& s\alpha \in {}^*A
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $t \in FS\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^m$  and  $s \in FP\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^m$ . Now from the above equation we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& t + \alpha \in {}^*A \\
& t + {}^*\alpha \in {}^{**}A \text{ (by transfer principle)} \\
& \alpha + {}^*\alpha \in {}^{**}A \\
& t + \alpha + {}^*\alpha \in {}^{**}A \\
& s\alpha \in {}^*A.
\end{aligned}$$

Again by transfer principle, choose  $H_{m+1} \in \mathcal{P}_f(\mathbb{N})$  where  $\min H_{m+1} > \max H_m$ ,  $y_{m+1} = \sum_{t \in H_{m+1}} x_t$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& y_{m+1} \in A \\
& t + y_{m+1} \in A
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
t + \alpha &\in {}^*A \\
y_{m+1} + \alpha &\in {}^*A \\
t + y_{m+1} + \alpha &\in {}^*A \\
sy_{m+1} &\in A.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $FS\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^{m+1} \cup FP\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^{m+1} \subset A$  and for all  $t' \in FS\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^m$  and  $s' \in FP\langle y_i \rangle_{i=1}^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
t' + \alpha &\in {}^*A \\
s'\alpha &\in {}^*A \text{ (from fact 7)}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the induction is complete and the theorem is proved. □

**Acknowledgment:** The author acknowledges the grant UGC-NET SRF fellowship with id no. 421333 of CSIR-UGC NET December 2016.

## References

- [1] V. Bergelson and N. Hindman; On  $IP^*$  sets and central sets, *Combinatorica* volume 14, 269–277(1994)
- [2] Mauro Di Nasso. Hypernatural numbers as ultrafilters. In: Peter A. Loeb and Manfred P. H. Wolff (eds.), *Nonstandard Analysis for the Working Mathematician*. Springer, 2015.
- [3] M Di Nasso, I. Goldbring and M. Lupini; *Nonstandard Methods in Ramsey Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2239, Springer, 2019.
- [4] N. Hindman, Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of  $\mathbb{N}$ , *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Ser. A*, 17:1 (1974), 1–11.
- [5] N. Hindman and D. Strauss, *Algebra in the Stone-Cech Compactification: Theory and Applications*, 2nd edition, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2012.