

A modular equality for Cameron-Liebler line classes in projective and affine spaces of odd dimension

J. De Beule[†] and J. Mannaert[†]

October 19, 2021

Abstract

In this article we study Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and $\text{AG}(n, q)$, objects also known as boolean degree one functions. A Cameron-Liebler line class \mathcal{L} is known to have a parameter x that depends on the size of \mathcal{L} . One of the main questions on Cameron-Liebler line classes is the (non)-existence of these sets for certain parameters x . In particular it is proven in [12] for $n = 3$, that the parameter x should satisfy a modular equality. This equality excludes about half of the possible parameters. We generalize this result to a modular equality for Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, and $\text{AG}(n, q)$ respectively. Since it is known that a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ is also a Cameron-Liebler line class in its projective closure, we end this paper with proving that the modular equality in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ is a stronger condition than the condition for the projective case.

1 Introduction

The study of Cameron-Liebler sets of k -spaces, (or Cameron-Liebler k -sets for short), in finite affine or projective spaces of general dimension, originated from the study of Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(3, q)$. Cameron and Liebler in [3] studied irreducible collineation groups of $\text{PG}(d, q)$, having equally many point orbits as line orbits. This work resulted in several equivalent definitions of line sets (that were later called Cameron-Liebler line classes) having particular combinatorial properties, or, equivalently, having very distinctive algebraic properties. One of the questions posed in [3] is whether *non-trivial* examples of Cameron-Liebler line classes exist. The conjecture answering this question negatively, was later shown to be not true by a constructive result. However, non-trivial Cameron-Liebler line classes are very rare. Hence not surprisingly, there are a lot of non-existence results for particular values of the *parameter* of the Cameron-Liebler line class, the number which determines its main combinatorial characteristic. More precisely, a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x is a set of lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$ meeting every spread in exactly x lines. Trivial examples with parameter 1 are the set of lines through a fixed point and dually the set

[†] Department of Mathematics and Data Science, Vrije Universiteit Brussel (VUB), Pleinlaan 2, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium (Email: Jan.De.Beule@vub.be, Jonathan.Mannaert@vub.be; <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/jdbeule>, <http://homepages.vub.ac.be/jonmanna>).

of lines in a fixed plane. One of the strongest non-existence results on Cameron-Liebler line classes is the following result.

Theorem 1.1. [12, Theorem 1.1] *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x of $\text{PG}(3, q)$. Then for every plane and every point of $\text{PG}(3, q)$,*

$$\binom{x}{2} + m(m - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}, \quad (1)$$

where m is the number of lines of \mathcal{L} in the plane, respectively through the point.

Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ have been generalized to Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, see [8], and very recently to Cameron-Liebler k -sets in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 2k + 1$, see [2]. In both cases, classification of such objects based on their parameter remains of great interest. Cameron-Liebler line classes and Cameron-Liebler k -sets have been defined and studied in affine spaces as well, see [6, 7]. Once again, classification of these objects has been motivating to study restrictions on the parameter. From [6] we recall the following result, which is in principle an easy corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 ([6, Corollary 4.3]). *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{AG}(3, q)$ with parameter x . Then*

$$x(x - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)}. \quad (2)$$

Such modular conditions on the parameter imply non-existence for particular values of x . This observation has been the motivation to investigate similar results for Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and $\text{AG}(n, q)$. The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 7$ odd. Then for any point p ,*

$$x(x - 1) + 2\bar{m}(\bar{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1},$$

where \bar{m} is the number of lines of \mathcal{L} through p .

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 3$, with parameter x , then*

$$x(x - 1) \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)}.$$

2 Preliminaries

Recall that a line spread of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, respectively $\text{AG}(3, q)$ is a set of lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, respectively $\text{AG}(3, q)$ partitioning the point set of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, respectively $\text{AG}(3, q)$. We start with formally defining Cameron-Liebler line classes are their generalizations.

Definition 2.1. A Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, respectively $\text{AG}(3, q)$, is a set \mathcal{L} of lines of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, respectively $\text{AG}(3, q)$, such that $|\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{S}| = x$ for every spread \mathcal{S} of $\text{PG}(3, q)$, respectively $\text{AG}(3, q)$.

Classical examples of Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(3, q)$ are (1) the empty set, with parameter $x = 0$, (2) all lines through a fixed point, with parameter $x = 1$, (3) all lines contained in a fixed plane, with parameter $x = 1$ and (3) the disjoint union of (2) and (3), with parameter $x = 2$. These examples and their complements are also known as *trivial examples* and are the only possibilities for their corresponding parameters. In the affine case, only examples (1) and (2) and the corresponding complements remain.

Non-trivial examples of Cameron-Liebler line classes are rare. The first example of an infinite family was given in [1]. More recently, some infinite families have been described in [4, 9, 10]. It is noteworthy that the last three examples are affine, i.e. they are constructed in $\text{PG}(3, q)$, but it turns out that there exists always a plane not containing any line of the Cameron-Liebler line class. Hence, see [6, Theorem 3.8], these examples are also examples of non-trivial Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{AG}(3, q)$. As mentioned in the introduction, non-existence results are of great interest as well, and one of the most consequential non-existence conditions is Theorem 1.1 cited in Section 1.

We now state the definition of Cameron-Liebler k -sets in general dimension. A point-pencil of k -spaces is the set of all k -spaces through a given point.

Definition 2.2. Let $n \geq 4$, and let $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. A Cameron-Liebler k -set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, is a set \mathcal{L} of k -spaces such that its characteristic vector χ can be written as a linear combination of the characteristic vectors of point-pencils. Its parameter x is defined as $|\mathcal{L}| / \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$.

Remark 2.3. These objects are also known as boolean degree 1 functions, see [11, 14].

It can be shown that when there exists k -spreads in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, a Cameron-Liebler k -set is characterized by its constant intersection property with k -spreads. The intersection number is then exactly its parameter, which is henceforth a natural number. Also note that the trivial examples of Cameron-Liebler line classes can be generalized easily to Cameron-Liebler k -sets.

When no k -spreads exist, the parameter of a Cameron-Liebler k -set is a rational number. The following theorem provides more information on the parameter in this case, and it will turn out to be very useful.

Theorem 2.4. [5, Theorem 5.1 for $k = 1$ and $t = 3$] Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a non-empty Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 4$ even, with parameter x . Then

$$x = 1 + \frac{C}{\begin{bmatrix} n-2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_q},$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{N}$.

The following three lemmas will be of use in Section 4. The set of k -spaces in the subspace π (of dimension at least k), will be denoted as $[\pi]_k$.

Lemma 2.5. (Folklore, [5, Theorem 3.1]) Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler k -set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n > k \geq 1$. Then for every i -dimensional subspace π , with $i > k$ the set $\mathcal{L} \cap [\pi]_k$ is a Cameron-Liebler k -set of a certain parameter x_π in π .

Lemma 2.6. [2, Theorem 2.9] Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, with $n \geq 3$. If ℓ is an arbitrary line in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ then there are in total $q^2 \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1} (x - \chi(\ell))$ lines of \mathcal{L} skew to ℓ . Here $\chi(\ell)$ equals one if $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$ or zero otherwise.

Lemma 2.7. [15, Section 170] The number of j -spaces disjoint to a fixed m -space in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ is equal to $q^{(m+1)(j+1)} \begin{bmatrix} n-m \\ j+1 \end{bmatrix}_q$.

In the affine case, we can easily define Cameron-Liebler k -sets using spreads.

Definition 2.8. A Cameron-Liebler k -set with parameter x in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 4$ is a set \mathcal{L} of lines of $\text{AG}(n, q)$ such that $|\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{S}| = x$ for every k -spread \mathcal{S} of $\text{AG}(n, q)$.

Example 2.9. A straightforward example of a k -spread in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ consists of all skew k -spaces in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ that have a $(k-1)$ -space in the projective closure in common. In the case of lines this is often called a parallel class of lines.

Lemma 2.10. (Folklore, [5, Theorem 3.6]) Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler k -set in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, $n > k \geq 1$. Then for every i -dimensional subspace π , with $i > k$ the set $\mathcal{L} \cap [\pi]_k$ is a Cameron-Liebler k -set of a certain parameter x_π in π .

Finally, the following facts will be used to obtain the modular equations.

Lemma 2.11. Let $a, x \in \mathbb{N}$. Let q be a prime power. Then

- $2 \frac{q^a-1}{q-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q+1)}$ if $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.
- $aq^2 \equiv a \pmod{2(q+1)}$ if $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.
- $x(x-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

3 The affine case

In this short section, we will generalize Theorem 1.2 to $\text{AG}(n, q)$.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ with parameter x , then

$$x(x-1) \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q+1)}.$$

Proof. Denote by π_∞ the hyperplane at infinity of the projective closure of $\text{AG}(n, q)$. Fix a point $p \in \pi_\infty$. Now count triples (ℓ_1, ℓ_2, π) , for which

- $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = p$
- $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$
- $\langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle \subset \pi$ and $\dim \pi = 3$.

First, for any affine 3-space π , the set of lines $\mathcal{L} \cap [\pi]_1$ is a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x_π by Lemma 2.10. Since the lines of the parallel class in π through p are a line spread of π (Example 2.9), exactly x_π of these lines are contained in $\mathcal{L} \cap [\pi]_1$. Hence there are $x_\pi(x_\pi - 1)$ choices for the pair (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) .

Secondly, for a fixed pair (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) , the number of affine 3-spaces containing $\langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$ equals the number of 3-spaces through a plane in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, which is $\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$.

Since the lines of the parallel class in π through p are a line spread of $\text{AG}(n, q)$, there are $x(x - 1)$ choices for a pair (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) of lines of \mathcal{L} through p . Hence, if we denote Φ_3 as the set of all affine 3-spaces, we obtain that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \Phi_3} x_\pi(x_\pi - 1) = \sum_{(\ell_1, \ell_2)} \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} = \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} x(x - 1).$$

Using Corollary 1.2 on the affine space π , the left hand side reduces to $0 \pmod{2(q+1)}$ and we indeed obtain the assertion. \square

Remark 3.2. The same result can be obtained by double counting the pairs $((\ell_1, \ell_2), \pi)$, with $p \in \ell_1, \ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = \emptyset, \ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle = \pi$ a 3-dimensional space. This proof is left to the reader.

Theorem 3.1 can be reformulated for Cameron-Liebler k -sets in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, based on the following result.

Theorem 3.3. [7, Theorem 6.15] *Let \mathcal{L} be a Cameron-Liebler k -set in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, with $n \geq k + 2$. Suppose now that \mathcal{L} has parameter x , then x satisfies every condition which holds for Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{AG}(n - k + 1, q)$.*

Theorem 3.4. *Let \mathcal{L} be a Cameron-Liebler k -set in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, with $n \geq k + 5, k > 1$, with parameter x . Then*

$$x(x - 1) \frac{q^{n-k-1} - 1}{q - 1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)}.$$

Obviously, Theorem 3.4 becomes trivial for $n - k = 3$. Typical non-existence results give a lower or upper bound on the parameter x . Theorem 3.4 can be combined with the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. [5, Theorem 1.2] *Suppose that $n \geq 2k + 2$ and $k \geq 1$. Let \mathcal{L} be a Cameron-Liebler k -set with parameter x in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ such that \mathcal{L} is not a point-pencil, nor the empty set. Then*

$$x \geq 2 \left(\frac{q^{n-k} - 1}{q^{k+1} - 1} \right) + 1.$$

To show the significance of this theorem, we give the following small example.

Example 3.6. Let $n = 5, k = 1$ and $q = 7$. We can restrict to $x \leq 1201 \sim \frac{1}{2}q^{n-1}$ since the complement of a Cameron-Liebler line class is also a Cameron-Liebler line class. Theorem 3.5 implies $x \in \{0, 1\}$ of $x \geq 101$. Theorem 3.4 gives $9x(x - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, which reduces the number of possibilities for x in a significant way. Finally note that for $q < 7$ the classification is complete in [11].

4 The projective case

In this section, we will prove a generalization of Theorem 1.1. For a given Cameron-Liebler line class \mathcal{L} with parameter x in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and a fixed point p , the number of lines of \mathcal{L} through p will be denoted by \overline{m} .

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 7$ odd, then*

$$x(x-1) + 2\overline{m}(\overline{m}-x) \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}.$$

Consider a Cameron-Liebler line class \mathcal{L} , a fixed point p , and a 3-dimensional subspace π through p . By Lemma 2.5, $\mathcal{L}_\pi := \mathcal{L} \cap [\pi]_1$ is a Cameron-Liebler line class in π . Its parameter will be denoted by x_π , and by m_π we denote the number of lines \mathcal{L}_π through p .

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 4$. Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} \overline{m}.$$

Remark 4.3. The sum of the equation above runs over all 3-spaces π through the point p . We will make the convention that the summation is always over the first object from the left.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. This follows by counting pairs (ℓ, π) , where $\ell \in \mathcal{L}$, $p \in \ell$ and π a 3-dimensional space through p . \square

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 4$. Let p be a fixed point in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. Then*

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi^2 = \overline{m}(\overline{m}-1) \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q-1} + \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} \overline{m}$$

and

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi x_\pi = \overline{m}(x-1) \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q-1} + \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q-1} \overline{m}$$

Proof. First we count the triples (ℓ_1, ℓ_2, π) , $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, such that $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = p$, and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \subseteq \pi$ for a 3-space π , both elements of \mathcal{L} . For a fixed 3-dimensional subspace π , the number of possible pairs (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) satisfying the conditions, equals $m_\pi(m_\pi - 1)$. For a given pair of lines (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) , there are exactly $\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$ possible choices for π . The total number of pairs (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) equals $\overline{m}(\overline{m}-1)$. Hence

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi(m_\pi - 1) = \sum_{(\ell_1, \ell_2)} \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q-1} = \overline{m}(\overline{m}-1) \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q-1}.$$

Using Lemma 4.2, we find the first equation of the lemma,

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi^2 = \overline{m}(\overline{m} - 1) \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} + \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} \overline{m} \quad (3)$$

Secondly, we count the triples (ℓ_1, ℓ_2, π) , $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, such that $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = \emptyset$, $p \in \ell_1$ and $\ell_1, \ell_2 \subseteq \pi$, both elements of \mathcal{L} . For a fixed 3-dimensional subspace π , we have m_π possibilities for ℓ_1 and, due to Lemma 2.6, we have $q^2(x_\pi - 1)$ possibilities for ℓ_2 . For a fixed pair (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) we have only one possible 3-space π . Hence,

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi(x_\pi - 1)q^2 = \sum_{(\ell_1, \ell_2)} 1$$

We have in total \overline{m} possibilities for ℓ_1 and, by Lemma 2.6, the number of lines of \mathcal{L} skew to ℓ_1 equals $(x - 1)q^2 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1}$. Now using Lemma 4.2, we can conclude that

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi x_\pi = \overline{m}(x - 1) \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} + \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} \overline{m} \quad (4)$$

□

For a Cameron-Liebler line class \mathcal{L} in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, Lemma 2.6 gives precise information on the number of lines of \mathcal{L} skew to a given line of \mathcal{L} . In the of proof of Lemma 4.6, we will need to control the number of lines of \mathcal{L} skew to a plane $\langle \ell_1, p \rangle$, $p \notin \ell_1 \in \mathcal{L}$. It seems hard to get precise information on this number. However, the next lemma provides us with a modular equation on this number, which will be essential for Lemma 4.6.

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 7$ odd. Let π be a fixed plane in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ and let $\mathcal{L} \cap [\pi]_1 \neq \emptyset$. Denote by T the number of lines of \mathcal{L} skew to π . Then $T \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$.*

Proof. We will count the pairs (ℓ_2, τ) , τ a subspace of dimension $n - 3$, $\ell_2 \in [\tau]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}$, and $\tau \cap \pi = \emptyset$. Consider a fixed line ℓ_2 . The number of $(n - 3)$ -spaces through ℓ_2 skew to π equals the number of $(n - 5)$ -spaces in $\text{PG}(n - 2, q)$ skew to a plane. By Lemma 2.7 this equals $q^{3(n-4)}$. Since the lines of $\mathcal{L} \cap [\tau]_1$ induce a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x_τ in τ , and using Theorem 2.4, we find

$$q^{3(n-4)}T = \sum_{\tau} x_\tau \frac{q^{n-3} - 1}{q - 1} = \sum_{\tau} \left(1 + \frac{C_\tau}{\frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1}} \right) \frac{q^{n-3} - 1}{q - 1}, \quad (5)$$

where $C_\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ for each τ . Hence

$$\sum_{\tau} \frac{C_\tau \frac{q^{n-3} - 1}{q - 1}}{\frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1}} = q^{3(n-4)}T - \sum_{\tau} \frac{q^{n-3} - 1}{q - 1} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (6)$$

and it follows that for some integer $K \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sum_{\tau} C_\tau \frac{q^{n-3} - 1}{q - 1} = \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} K.$$

Since $\gcd(q^{n-2} - 1, q^{n-3} - 1) = q - 1$, clearly $\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1} \mid \sum_{\tau} C_{\tau}$, and now by Lemma 2.11 (1),

$$\sum_{\tau} \frac{C_{\tau} \frac{q^{n-3}-1}{q-1}}{\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}} = \sum_{\substack{\tau \\ \in \mathbb{N}}} \frac{C_{\tau}}{\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}} \cdot \frac{q^{n-3}-1}{q-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}.$$

Thus, by Equation (5), we indeed have that $T \equiv 0 \pmod{q+1}$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 7$ odd. Let p be a fixed point in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. Then*

$$2 \sum_{\pi \ni p} (x_{\pi} - 1)x_{\pi} \equiv 2 \frac{q^n - 1}{q-1} \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q-1} x(x-1) \pmod{2(q+1)}.$$

Proof. We will count the triples (ℓ_1, ℓ_2, π) , $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, such that for the lines ℓ_1, ℓ_2 , $\ell_1 \cap \ell_2 = \emptyset$, $p \notin \ell_1, \ell_2 \cap \langle \ell_1, p \rangle \neq \emptyset$ and $\pi = \langle \ell_1, \ell_2 \rangle$.

Fix a 3-dimensional subspace π . Then there are $|\mathcal{L}_{\pi}| - m_{\pi}$ choices for ℓ_1 . For a chosen ℓ_1 , by Lemma 2.6, there are $(x_{\pi} - 1)q^2$ suitable lines skew to ℓ_1 . For a fixed pair of lines (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) , the 3-dimensional space π is uniquely determined. Hence, with N the number of pairs (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) satisfying the conditions,

$$N = \sum_{\pi \ni p} (x_{\pi} - 1)q^2(x_{\pi}(q^2 + q + 1) - m_{\pi}). \quad (7)$$

By Lemma 2.6, there are $(x - 1)q^2 \frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$ lines of \mathcal{L} skew to a given line ℓ_1 . Denote by T_{ℓ_1} the number of lines of \mathcal{L} skew to $\langle \ell_1, p \rangle$. Then

$$N = \sum_{\ell_1 \in \mathcal{L}, p \notin \ell_1} \left((x - 1)q^2 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} - T_{\ell_1} \right) \quad (8)$$

Now we can reduce the expressions (7) and (8) modulo $2(q+1)$ and get

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} (x_{\pi} - 1)x_{\pi}q^2(q^2 + q + 1) - \sum_{\pi \ni p} q^2(x_{\pi} - 1)m_{\pi} = \sum_{\ell_1} (x - 1)q^2 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} - \sum_{\ell_1} T_{\ell_1} \pmod{2(q+1)}.$$

Note that $q^2 + q + 1 = q(q + 1) + 1$. Since $(x_{\pi} - 1)x_{\pi}$ is even, $2(q + 1) \mid (x_{\pi} - 1)x_{\pi}q(q + 1)$, and by Lemma 2.11 it follows that $(x_{\pi} - 1)x_{\pi}q^2 \equiv (x_{\pi} - 1)x_{\pi} \pmod{2(q + 1)}$. Hence we find

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} (x_{\pi} - 1)x_{\pi} - \sum_{\pi \ni p} q^2(x_{\pi} - 1)m_{\pi} \equiv \sum_{\ell_1} (x - 1)q^2 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} - \sum_{\ell_1} T_{\ell_1} \pmod{2(q+1)} \quad (9)$$

Note that there are $|\mathcal{L}| - \bar{m} = x \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} - \bar{m}$ candidates for ℓ_1 . Furthermore, by Lemma 4.4,

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} q^2(x_{\pi} - 1)m_{\pi} = \bar{m}(x - 1)q^2 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1}.$$

Hence Equation 9 reduces to

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} (x_\pi - 1)x_\pi \equiv q^2 \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} x(x - 1) - \sum_{\ell_1} T_{\ell_1} \pmod{2(q + 1)} \quad (10)$$

From Lemma 4.5 it follows that $2T_{\ell_1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)}$. So multiplying Equation (10) with 2, and combined with Lemma 2.11 we obtain

$$2 \sum_{\pi \ni p} (x_\pi - 1)x_\pi \equiv 2 \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} x(x - 1) \pmod{2(q + 1)}.$$

□

Now we are ready to prove the main theorem of this section.

Theorem 4.7. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, with $n \geq 7$ odd, then*

$$x(x - 1) + 2\overline{m}(\overline{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(q + 1)}.$$

Proof. Assume that π is a 3-space. Then the line set $\mathcal{L}_\pi = \mathcal{L} \cap [\pi]_1$ is a Cameron-Liebler line class in π of a certain parameter x_π . By Theorem 1.1,

$$x_\pi(x_\pi - 1) + 2m_\pi(m_\pi - x_\pi) \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)}.$$

So in particular, for a fixed point p ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pi \ni p} (x_\pi(x_\pi - 1) + 2m_\pi(m_\pi - x_\pi)) \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)} \\ \Leftrightarrow & \sum_{\pi \ni p} x_\pi(x_\pi - 1) + 2 \sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi^2 - 2 \sum_{\pi \ni p} m_\pi x_\pi \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Filling in the equations from Lemma 4.4, we have that

$$\sum_{\pi \ni p} x_\pi(x_\pi - 1) + 2 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} \overline{m}(\overline{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)}.$$

Multiplying this equation by 2 and using Lemma 4.6, we find

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} x(x - 1) + 4 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} \overline{m}(\overline{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)} \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} x(x - 1) + 2 \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} \overline{m}(\overline{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(q + 1)} \end{aligned}$$

Now for $n \geq 7$ odd, we have

$$\frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} \equiv \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} \equiv 1 \pmod{(q + 1)}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$x(x - 1) + 2\overline{m}(\overline{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(q + 1)},$$

which proves the statement of the theorem. □

The following corollary is easy to prove using the principle of duality in $\text{PG}(n, q)$.

Corollary 4.8. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler $(n - 2)$ -set with parameter x in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, $n \geq 7$ odd, then*

$$x(x - 1) + 2\overline{m}(\overline{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(q + 1)}.$$

Here \overline{m} denotes the number of $(n - 2)$ -spaces of \mathcal{L} inside a fixed hyperplane π .

5 Final remarks

In this final section we compare both modular conditions in $\text{AG}(n, q)$. Since a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, induces also a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{PG}(n, q)$ with the same parameter (see [7, Theorem 2]), its parameter must satisfy Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.

Let \mathcal{L} be a Cameron-Liebler line class with parameter x in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, then by Theorem 3.1

$$\frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} x(x - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2(q + 1)}. \quad (11)$$

Now let $n \geq 7$, odd, then by Theorem 4.1

$$x(x - 1) + 2\overline{m}(\overline{m} - x) \equiv 0 \pmod{(q + 1)}, \quad (12)$$

where \overline{m} is the number of lines of \mathcal{L} through a chosen point p . Choosing p at infinity, gives $\overline{m} = x$, and hence only weaker information compared with the first equation is obtained. To compute \overline{m} for affine points, we need to do some more work, using a similar strategy as in [6, Lemma 4.4]. First we need the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. [2, Lemma 2.12] *Let \mathcal{L} be a Cameron-Liebler k -set in $\text{PG}(n, q)$, then for every point p and every i -dimensional subspace τ , with $p \in \tau$ and $i \geq k + 1$,*

$$|[p]_k \cap \mathcal{L}| + \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (q^k - 1)}{\begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q (q^i - 1)} |[\tau]_k \cap \mathcal{L}| = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q}{\begin{bmatrix} i-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q} |[p, \tau]_k \cap \mathcal{L}| + \frac{q^k - 1}{q^n - 1} |\mathcal{L}|. \quad (13)$$

Using this lemma, we find the following result in Lemma 5.2. It shows that Equation (12) considered in $\text{AG}(n, q)$ will always reduce to $x(x - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{(q + 1)}$, which is a weaker condition than Equation 11 for **affine** Cameron-Liebler line classes.

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose that \mathcal{L} is a Cameron-Liebler line class in $\text{AG}(n, q)$, for $n \geq 3$ odd, then for any hyperplane π and any point p ,*

$$|[\pi]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| \equiv 0 \pmod{(q + 1)} \text{ and } |[p]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| \equiv x \pmod{(q + 1)}.$$

Proof. Choose an hyperplane π and fix a point $p \in \pi$ at infinity in the closure of $\text{AG}(n, q)$. By Lemma 5.1, $|[p]_k \cap \mathcal{L}| = x$. Using this in Equation (13) and multiplying with $\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$, we obtain, for $i = n - 1$ and $k = 1$

$$|[\pi]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} |[p, \pi]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}|.$$

Now by Lemma 2.11, it follows for n odd that

$$|[\pi]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} |[p, \pi]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}.$$

This proves the first part of the lemma. Secondly, choose an arbitrary affine point p , and pick an hyperplane π through p . Using our previous observations, we obtain that $|[\pi]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| \equiv 0 \pmod{q + 1}$. If we take a look at Equation (13), and first multiply this equation with $\frac{q^{n-2}-1}{q-1}$, we obtain that:

$$|[p]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} = \frac{q^{n-1} - 1}{q - 1} |[p, \pi]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| + \frac{q^{n-2} - 1}{q - 1} x.$$

Using Lemma 2.11 and noticing that n is odd, we obtain indeed that

$$|[p]_1 \cap \mathcal{L}| \equiv x \pmod{q + 1}.$$

This proves the assertion. □

References

- [1] A. A. Bruen and K. Drudge. The construction of Cameron–Liebler line classes in $\text{PG}(3, q)$. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 5(1):35–45, 1999.
- [2] A. Blokhuis, M. De Boeck, and J. D’haeseleer. Cameron-Liebler sets of k -spaces in $\text{PG}(n, q)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 87(8):1839–1856, 2019.
- [3] P. J. Cameron and R. A. Liebler. Tactical decompositions and orbits of projective groups. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 46:91–102, 1982.
- [4] J. De Beule, J. Demeyer, K. Metsch, and M. Rodgers. A new family of tight sets in $\mathcal{Q}^+(5, q)$. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.*, 78(3):655–678, 2016.
- [5] J. De Beule, J. Mannaert, and L. Storme. Cameron-Liebler k -sets in subspaces and non-existence conditions. *submitted*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.05684>
- [6] J. D’haeseleer, J. Mannaert, L. Storme, and A. Švob. Cameron-Liebler line classes in $\text{AG}(3, q)$. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 67:101706, 17pp., 2020.
- [7] J. D’haeseleer, J. Mannaert, F. Ihringer, and L. Storme. Cameron-Liebler k -sets in $\text{AG}(n, q)$. *Elec. J. Combin.*, *submitted*.
- [8] K. Drudge. *Extremal sets in projective and polar spaces*. PhD thesis, The University of West Ontario, London, Canada, 1998.
- [9] T. Feng, K. Momihara, and Q. Xiang. Cameron-Liebler line classes with parameter $x = \frac{q^2-1}{2}$. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 133:307–338, 2015.

- [10] Tao Feng, Koji Momihara, Morgan Rodgers, Qing Xiang, and Hanlin Zou. Cameron-Liebler line classes with parameter $x = \frac{(q+1)^2}{3}$. *Adv. Math.*, 385 (2021), 107780.
- [11] Y. Filmus and F. Ihringer. Boolean degree 1 functions on some classical association schemes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 162:241–270, 2019.
- [12] A. L. Gavriluk and K. Metsch. A modular equality for Cameron-Liebler line classes. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 127:224–242, 2014.
- [13] J. W. P. Hirschfeld. *Projective geometries over finite fields*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1998.
- [14] F. Ihringer. Remarks on the Erdős Matching Conjecture for vector spaces. *Eur. J. Comb.*, 94 (2021), 103306.
- [15] B. Segre. *Lectures on Modern Geometry (with an appendix by L. Lombardo-Radice)*. Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, Monografie Matematiche. Edizioni Cremonese, Roma, 479 pp., 1961.