

Level of noise and long time behavior of space-time fractional SPDEs in bounded domains

Jebessa B. Mijena

Department of Mathematics, Georgia College & State University, GA 31061, USA
Email: jebessa.mijena@gcsu.edu

Erkan Nane

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
Email: ezn0001@auburn.edu

Alemayehu G. Negash

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Auburn University, Alabama 36849, USA
Email: agn0008@auburn.edu

January 6, 2022

Abstract

In this paper we study the long time behavior of the solution to a certain class of space-time fractional stochastic equations with respect to the level λ of a noise and show how the choice of the order $\beta \in (0, 1)$ of the fractional time derivative affects the growth and decay behavior of their solution. Our results extend the main results in Foondun [16] to fractional Laplacian as well as higher dimensional cases.

Keywords: Fractional stochastic equation, Stochastic partial differential equations, space-time white noise.

1 Introduction and Statement of the Main results

In 1855, Adolf Fick [11] developed his now-famous principle governing the transport of mass through diffusive media. In his second law, Fick showed that $u(t, x)$ obeys the classical diffusion equation $\partial_t u = D\partial_{xx}u$ in the spatial dimension 1, which estimates how the concentration $u(t, x)$ of a diffusive substance varies with space and time, where D is the diffusion coefficient. The space-time fractional diffusion equation which is obtained when integer-order derivative operators in space and time are replaced by fractional counterparts (in Caputo or Riemann-Liouville sense) has been recently treated by several of authors (see, for example, Mijena and Nane [18], Saichev and Zaslavsky [25], and Mainardi, Luchko, and Pagnini[17]). The typical form of the space-time fractional equation is $\partial_t^\beta u = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u$, where ∂_t^β is the Caputo fractional derivative with $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^d \partial_{x_i}^2$ is the Laplacian. These equations can be used to model anomalous diffusion processes or diffusion processes in non-homogeneous media with random fractal structures (see, for instance, Meerschaert *et al.* [20], Meerschaert, Nane, and Vellaisamy [22], Baeumer, Luks, and Meerschaert [4], Chen, Kim and Kim [7] and the references therein). Since these space-time fractional equations depend on the fractional parameters β and α , in [9] the importance of the continuity of their solutions with respect to these parameters is discussed. For example, if the partial derivative in time ∂_t in the classical heat equation $\partial_t u = -\Delta u$ is substituted with fractional derivatives ∂_t^β for $0 < \beta < 1$, the processes explains the sticking and trapping behavior of particle (see Chen, Kim and Kim [7]), while if the Laplacian Δ is replaced with fractional power $-(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ for $0 < \alpha < 2$, it describes long particle jumps (see Anh, Leonenko, and Ruiz-Medina [1]). In [18], Mijena and Nane have recently introduced time fractional SPDEs, which can be utilized to represent phenomena with random effects and thermal memory.

Consider the following space-time fractional equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions (see (2.5) below for a representation of the solution).

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\beta u_t(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u_t(x), & x \in B, t > 0, \\ u_t(0) = 0, & x \in B^C, t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ and $\beta \in (0, 1)$. The fractional time derivative is the Caputo derivative which first appeared in [3] and is defined by

$$\partial_t^\beta u_t(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\beta)} \int_0^t \frac{\partial u_r(x)}{\partial r} \frac{dr}{(t-r)^\beta}. \quad (1.2)$$

If $u_0(x)$ denotes the initial condition to equation (1.1), then the solution can be written as

$$u_t(x) = \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy,$$

where $G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y)$ is the space-time fractional heat kernel defined in (2.6).

Now consider

$$\partial_t^\beta u_t(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}u_t(x) + f(t, x), \quad (1.3)$$

with the same initial condition $u_0(x)$ and $f(t, x)$ is some nice function. We will obtain a version of (1.3) with the use of fractional Duhamel's principle in [26, 27, 28]. The fractional Duhamel principle implies that the solution to (1.3) for $t > 0$ is given by

$$u_t(x) = \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) \partial_s^{1-\beta} f(s, y) dx ds. \quad (1.4)$$

Using the fractional order integral I_t^γ defined by

$$I_t^\gamma f(t) := \frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\gamma-1} f(\tau) d\tau,$$

and the property

$$\partial_t^\beta I_t^\beta g(t) = g(t),$$

for every $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and $g \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$ or $g \in C(\mathbb{R}_+)$, then by the Duhamel's principle, the mild solution to (1.3) where the force is $f(t, x) = I_t^{1-\beta} g(t, x)$, will be given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x) &= \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) \partial_s^{1-\beta} (I_t^{1-\beta} g(s, y)) dy ds \\ &= \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy + \int_0^t \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) g(s, y) dy ds. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Recently, Mijena and Nane [18], Foondun, Mijena, and Nane [14], and Foondun [16] considered the following time fractional stochastic heat equation on the interval $(0, L)$ with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\beta u_t(x) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{xx} u_t(x) + I_t^{1-\beta} [\lambda \sigma(u_t(x)) \dot{W}(t, x)] & \text{for } 0 < x < L \text{ and } t > 0 \\ u_t(0) = u_t(L) = 0 & \text{for } t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where the initial condition $u_0 : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a non-negative bounded function with positive support inside the interval $[0, L]$. \dot{W} denotes a space-time white noise and $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a globally Lipschitz function satisfying $l_\sigma |x| \leq |\sigma(x)| \leq L_\sigma |x|$ where l_σ and L_σ are positive constants. Also, λ is a positive parameter known as *the level of the noise* and will play a significant part in this paper.

Using Walsh [29], we define the mild solution to (1.6) as the random field $u = \{u_t(x)\}_{t>0, x \in B}$ satisfying

$$u_t(x) = \int_0^L p_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy + \lambda \int_0^L \int_0^t p_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds, dy), \quad (1.7)$$

where $p_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y)$ denotes the probability density function of the time-changed killed Brownian motion upon exiting the domain $B = [0, L]$ associated with the fractional time operator.

In Foondun and Nualart [15] and Foondun, Guernagar, and Nane [13], the authors looked at the behavior of the solution to equation (1.6) for small and large λ when $\beta = 1$. They showed that if λ is large enough, the second moment of the solution u_t grows exponentially fast; while if λ is small, the second moment of the solution u_t eventually decays exponentially. Nualart [24] and Xie [30] have used precise heat kernel estimates to sharpen the results in [15]. Further, it is shown in Foondun [16] that a complicated situation will occur instead of such phase transition if fractional time derivative replaces the usual time derivative. These findings are interesting from an application standpoint since fractional time derivatives are commonly used in the modeling of various systems with memory. Therefore, it is very important to realize that the use of such derivatives can result in considerable change in the qualitative properties of the solution. The main aim of this work is to investigate the long time behavior of the solution to (1.8) with respect to the level of the noise λ . Our work extend the main results in Foondun [16] to fractional Laplacian as well as higher dimensional cases.

Consider the following stochastic heat equation on a regular bounded domain B in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq 1$ with Dirichlet boundary condition:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\beta u_t(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u_t(x) + I_t^{1-\beta} [\lambda \sigma(u_t(x)) \dot{W}(t, x)] & \text{for } x \in B \text{ and } t > 0 \\ u_t(x) = 0 & \text{for } x \notin B \text{ and } t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

and the initial condition $u_0 : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a non-random measurable and bounded function with positive support inside B . The operator $-(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, where $0 < \alpha \leq 2$, is the L^2 -generator of a symmetric α -stable process X_t^B killed when exiting B . \dot{W} denotes a space-time white noise and $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a globally

Lipschitz function satisfying $l_\sigma|x| \leq |\sigma(x)| \leq L_\sigma|x|$ where l_σ and L_σ are positive constants. The positive parameter λ is called *the level of the noise*. $\dot{W}(t, x)$ is a space-time white noise with $x \in B$, which is assumed to be adapted with respect to a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$, where \mathcal{F} is complete and the filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t, t \geq 0\}$ is right continuous.

$\dot{W}(t, x)$ is a generalized process with covariance given by

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\dot{W}(t, x) \dot{W}(s, y) \right] = \delta(x - y) \delta(t - s).$$

That is, $W(f)$ is a random field indexed by function $f \in L^2((0, \infty) \times B)$ and for all $f, g \in L^2((0, \infty) \times B)$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} [W(f)W(g)] = \int_0^\infty \int_B f(t, x)g(t, x) dx dt.$$

Hence $W(f)$ can be represented

$$W(f) = \int_0^\infty \int_B f(t, x)W(dx dt).$$

Note that $W(f)$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable whenever f is supported on $[0, t] \times B$.

The Walsh-Dalang Integrals [8, 29] that is used in equation (1.10) is defined as follows. We use the Brownian Filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$ and the Walsh-Dalang integrals defined as follows:

- $(t, x) \rightarrow \Phi_t(x)$ is an elementary random field when $\exists 0 \leq a < b$ and an \mathcal{F}_a -measurable $X \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\phi \in L^2(B)$ such that

$$\Phi_t(x) = X 1_{[a, b]}(t) \phi(x) \quad t > 0, x \in B.$$

- If $h = h_t(x)$ is non-random and Φ is elementary, then

$$\int h \Phi dW := X \int_{(a, b) \times B} h_t(x) \phi(x) W(dt dx).$$

- The stochastic integral is Wiener's, and it is well defined iff $h_t(x) \phi(x) \in L^2([a, b] \times B)$.
- We have Walsh isometry,

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\left| \int h \Phi dW \right|^2 \right) = \int_0^\infty ds \int_B dy [h_s(y)]^2 \mathbb{E}(|\Phi_s(y)|^2). \quad (1.9)$$

We make sense of equation (1.8) using Walsh theory [29] again by using the integral equation below.

$$u_t(x) = (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u_0)_t(x) + \lambda \int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t - s, x, y) \sigma(u_s(y)) W(ds, dy), \quad (1.10)$$

where $G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y)$ denotes the heat kernel of the space-time fractional diffusion equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions in (1.1), and

$$(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u_0)_t(x) := \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy.$$

If $d < (2 \wedge \beta^{-1})\alpha$, the proof for the existence of a unique random-field solution of (1.8) satisfying

$$\sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E} |u_t(x)|^2 \leq c_1 e^{c_2 t \lambda^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha - \beta d}}}$$

for all $t > 0$ can be found in Foondun *et al.* [14].

Next we state our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $d < (2 \wedge \beta^{-1})\alpha$. Let u_t denote the unique solution to (1.10). Then the second moment of u_t cannot decay exponentially fast, regardless of what λ is. Indeed, if we further suppose that $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, then as t becomes large, $\sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2$ grows exponentially fast for any λ .*

The next two theorems demonstrate that the requirement $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ is not only a technical constraint on the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2. *In Theorem 1.1 if $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, then there exist a strictly positive real number λ_u such that for all $\lambda > \lambda_u$, $\sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2$ grows exponentially fast as t becomes large.*

Theorem 1.3. *In Theorem 1.1, suppose that $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Suppose also that either $d < \alpha/2\beta$ or $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are uniformly bounded by a constant $C(B)$, then there exist a strictly positive real number λ_l such that for $\lambda < \lambda_l$ the quantity $\sup_{t > 0} \sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2$ is finite.*

Note that when $\alpha = 2$ and $B = (0, 1)$, (1.8) becomes (1.6). Thus, our results are consistent with that obtained in Foondun [16].

The three results stated above shows that for any fixed $\beta \in (0, 1)$, the solution to the stochastic heat equation (1.8) acts different than the case when $\beta = 1$. One interpretation of the preceding finding is that when the $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$, the process $X_{E_t}^B$ defined in the following section do not reach the boundary quickly enough which allows the non-linear term to kick in. While in the case of $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, this process proceeds quickly enough to the boundary so that the non-linear term does not have time to generate the exponential growth.

Fractional parameters play an important role in many problems involving space-time fractional equations. However, in modeling problems, these fractional parameters are unknown a priori. As a result, continuity of the solutions with respect to these parameters is essential for modeling purposes. The following continuity theorem of the unique solution $u_t^\beta(x)$ of equation (1.11) with respect to the parameter β is Theorem 4.3(b) of Dang *et al.* [9].

Theorem 1.4 (Dang, Nane and Nguyen [9]). *Let $u_t^{(\gamma)}$ and $u_t^{(\beta)}$ denote the solution to the following equation for parameters $\gamma, \beta \in (0, 1)$ with $\gamma \rightarrow \beta$. The initial condition u_0 is the same for both equations.*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^\beta}{\partial t^\beta} u_t(x) = \Delta u_t(x), x \in B, t > 0, \\ u_t(x) = 0, x \in \partial D, t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = f(x), x \in B. \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

Then

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} \|u_t^{(\gamma)}(x) - u_t^{(\beta)}(x)\|_H^2 = 0.$$

where H is the Hilbert space of all functions with the bounded norm induced by

$$\|f\|_H = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k^2 |\langle f, \varphi_k \rangle|^2}.$$

Remark 1.5. *Meerschaert *et al.* [22] established the existence of a unique solution for equation (1.11).*

The following continuity theorem of the unique solution $u_t^{(\beta)}(x)$ of equation (1.6) with respect to the parameter β is Theorem 1.3 of Foondun [16].

Theorem 1.6 (Foondun [16]). *Let $u_t^{(\beta)}$ and u_t denote the solution to equation (1.6) and the solution to equation (1.6) for $\beta = 1$ respectively. The initial condition u_0 is the same for both equations. Then, for any $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1} \sup_{x \in [0, L]} \mathbb{E} |u_t(x) - u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p = 0.$$

In the next theorem, we show the continuity of the solution $u_t(x)$ of (1.10) with respect to the fractional parameter β , which extends Theorem 1.3 in [16] to fractional Laplacian case, and Theorem 4.3(b) of Dang *et al.* [9] to stochastic case.

Theorem 1.7. *Assume that $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are uniformly bounded by a constant $C(B)$. For $d < \alpha$, let $u_t^{(\gamma)}$ and $u_t^{(\beta)}$ denote the solution to (1.10) for parameters $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ respectively with $\gamma \rightarrow \beta$. The initial condition u_0 is the same for both equations. Then, for any $p \geq 2$, we have*

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} \sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E} |u_t^{(\gamma)}(x) - u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p = 0.$$

Here we observe that Theorem 1.7 extends Theorem 1.3 in Foondun [16] to the fractional Laplacian case, and Theorem 4.3(b) of Dang *et al.* [9] to the stochastic case.

The representation $G_B^{(\beta)}$ in equation (2.6) that is defined in terms of the Mittag-Leffler function $E_\beta(\cdot)$ is crucial for our results. To be more specific, we'll use the fact that for large times, the function $E_\beta(\cdot)$ behaves like $t^{-\beta}$ rather than the exponential decay in the usual time derivative case. This polynomial decay also illustrates the requirement that $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ which turns out to be sharp. The proof follows the following strategy. First we look at the second moment of the solution, and then equation (1.10) yields

$$\text{Second moment} = \text{Deterministic Term} + \text{Stochastic Term}$$

Despite the Dirichlet boundary condition, ‘Deterministic Term’ will basically exhibit polynomial decay. This is due to the behavior of the Mittag-Leffler function mentioned above. ‘Stochastic Term’ will also involve these functions and will depend on the second moment in a linear way. This is because σ is globally Lipschitz function. We will prove our results by establishing some type of non-linear renewal inequalities for quantities which contain the second moment.

We now briefly give an outline of the paper. In this paper we employ similar methods as in Foondun [16] with crucial changes to prove our main results. This method was also used in [12] and [15] among others. Section 2 contains estimates and some preliminary results needed for the proof of main results. Section 3 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3 while the proofs of Theorem 1.7 is given in Section 4.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we give some preliminary results, which are needed for the proofs of the main theorems. Let X_t denote a symmetric stable process of index $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ in \mathbb{R}^d and B be a regular bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^d . Let X_t^B denote the symmetric stable process killed upon exiting B . The following Hilbert-Schmidt expansion (see Davies [10]) holds for the probability density function p_B of X_t^B ,

$$p_B(t, x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\mu_n t} \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y), \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in B$, $t > 0$, where $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(B)$, and $0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 \leq \mu_3 \leq \dots$ is a sequence of positive real numbers such that, for every $n \geq 1$, $P_t^B \varphi_n = e^{-\mu_n t} \varphi_n$. Here P_t^B is the semigroup corresponding the X_t^B .

From Theorem 2.3 of Blumenthal and Gettoor [5] and the proof of Theorem 5.1 of Chen, Meerschaert, and Nane [6], we infer the following lemma:

Lemma 2.1. [6] *The system of eigenvectors $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and the corresponding eigenvalues $\{\mu_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ satisfy the following:*

a) $C_1 n^{\frac{\alpha}{d}} \leq \mu_n \leq C_2 n^{\frac{\alpha}{d}}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

b) $|\varphi_n(x)| \leq C \mu_n^{\frac{d}{2\alpha}}$ for every $x \in B$;

where C , C_1 , and C_2 are positive constant real numbers.

It is also known that

$$\begin{aligned} u_t(x) &:= P_t^B u_0(x) = \mathbb{E}^x[u_0(X_t^B)] \\ &= \int_B p_B(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

solves the heat equation $\partial_t u_t(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} u_t(x)$ defined on B with exterior Dirichlet boundary condition and initial condition u_0 .

Let $D = \{D_r, r \geq 0\}$ denote a β -stable subordinator and E_t be the inverse of this stable subordinator of index $\beta \in (0, 1)$. The process $X_{E_t}^B$ is just a time-change of the killed α -symmetric stable process X_t^B and since $\beta \in (0, 1)$, $X_{E_t}^B$ moves more slowly than X_t^B . It is known that the density of the time changed process $X_{E_t}^B$ is given by the $G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x)$. By conditioning, we have

$$G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x) = \int_0^\infty p_B(s, x) f_t(s) ds, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$f_t(s) = t\beta^{-1} s^{-1-1/\beta} g_\beta(ts^{-1/\beta}). \quad (2.3)$$

where $g_\beta(\cdot)$ (Cf. Meerschaert and Straka [21]) is the density function of D_1 and is infinitely differentiable on the entire real line, with $g_\beta(u) = 0$ for $u \leq 0$; see Meerschaert and Scheffler [23] for more information about the properties of the inverse stable subordinator E_t .

The function $v_t(x) := \mathbb{E}^x[u_0(X_{E_t}^B)]$ solves the space-time fractional equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t^\beta v_t(x) = -(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} v_t(x) & \text{for } x \in B \text{ and } t > 0 \\ v_t(x) = 0 & \text{for } x \notin B, \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

with initial condition u_0 (Chen, Meerschaert, and Nane [6]). Thus, we get the following representation of $v_t(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} v_t(x) &:= \mathbb{E}^x[u_0(X_{E_t}^B)] \\ &= \int_B \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\mu_n s} \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y) f_t(s) u_0(y) ds dy \\ &= \int_B \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\beta(-\mu_n t^\beta) \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y) u_0(y) dy \\ &= \int_B G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Hence, we get the following expansion,

$$G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\beta(-\mu_n t^\beta) \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y). \quad (2.6)$$

Using (2.3) and a change of variable from (2.6), we obtain

$$G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=1}^\infty e^{-\mu_n(t/u)^\beta} \varphi_n(x) \varphi_n(y) g_\beta(u) du \quad (2.7)$$

$$= \int_0^\infty p_B\left(\left(\frac{t}{u}\right)^\beta, x, y\right) g_\beta(u) du. \quad (2.8)$$

Note that $E_\beta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{x^k}{\Gamma(1+\beta k)}$ is the Mittag-Leffler function and has the following property,

$$\frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 - \beta)x} \leq E_\beta(-x) \leq \frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 + \beta)^{-1}x} \quad \text{for } x > 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The following Lemma is from Mijena and Nane [18] about the L^2 -norm of the heat kernel. This Lemma is a crucial ingredient for the existence and uniqueness of solution of equation (1.8), and it is also used in proving Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 2.2. (Lemma 1 in [18]) Suppose that $d < 2\alpha$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [G_t^{(\beta)}(t, x)]^2 dx = C^* t^{-\frac{\beta d}{\alpha}}, \quad (2.10)$$

where the constant C^* is given by

$$C^* = \frac{(\nu)^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} 2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\alpha \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_0^\infty z^{\frac{d}{\alpha}-1} (E_\beta(-z))^2 dz.$$

The following two results are helpful in proving the finiteness result in Theorem 1.3.

Lemma 2.3. For $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$, if $d < \frac{\alpha}{2\beta}$ then we have

$$\int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_n^2(x) ds < \infty, \quad (2.11)$$

for every $t > 0$.

Proof. We prove the result by using Lemma 2.1(b) and the bounds for Mittag-Leffler function given in (2.9) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^\infty E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_n^2(x) ds &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_n^2(x) ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(1 + \Gamma(1 + \beta)^{-1} \mu_n(t-s)^\beta\right)^{-2} \left(\mu_n^{\frac{d}{2\alpha}}\right)^2 ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(1 + \mu_n(t-s)^\beta\right)^{-2} \mu_n^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} ds \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_0^t \left(1 \wedge \left(\mu_n(t-s)^\beta\right)^{-2}\right) \mu_n^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} ds, \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

since $\Gamma(1 + \beta)^{-1} \geq 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \wedge \left(\mu_n(t-s)^\beta\right)^{-2} &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^{-2} > 1, \\ (\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^{-2} & \text{if } (\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^{-2} \leq 1. \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t - \mu_n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} < s, \\ (\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^{-2} & \text{if } t - \mu_n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \geq s. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

So, from (2.12) using (2.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_n^2(x) \, ds &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t \left(1 \wedge (\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^{-2}\right) \mu_n^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \, ds \\
&= C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \left\{ \int_0^{t-\mu_n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}} (\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^{-2} \, ds + \int_{t-\mu_n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}}^t ds \right\} \\
&\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \left\{ \frac{\mu_n^{2-\frac{1}{\beta}}}{\mu_n^2(2\beta-1)} + \mu_n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \right\} \\
&\leq C \left(\frac{1}{2\beta-1} + 1 \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta d}} < \infty,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

$$\leq C \left(\frac{1}{2\beta-1} + 1 \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\frac{\alpha}{2\beta d}} < \infty, \tag{2.15}$$

since $\frac{\alpha}{2\beta d} > 1$. □

Lemma 2.4. For $\beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and $d < \frac{\alpha}{\beta}$, we have

$$\int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \, ds < \infty, \tag{2.16}$$

for every $t > 0$.

Proof. The proof uses Lemma 2.1(a) and the Mittag-Leffler function bounds given in (2.9) and follows similar techniques to that of Lemma 2.3. □

The following continuity Lemma is crucial in justifying the conclusion of Theorem 1.7.

Lemma 2.5. Assume that $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are uniformly bounded by a constant $C(B)$, depending on the geometrical characteristics of the domain B , i.e.,

$$C(B) = \sup_{n \geq 1, x \in B} |\varphi_n(x)|.$$

Fix $t > 0$, then for $d < \alpha$ we have

$$\limsup_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} \sup_{x \in B} \left| (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\gamma)} u_0)_t(x) - (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u_0)_t(x) \right| = 0,$$

where

$$(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\gamma)} u_0)_t(x) := \int_B G_B^{(\gamma)}(t, x, y) u_0(y) \, dy.$$

Proof. Since the initial datum u_0 and $G_B^{(\gamma)}(t, x, y)$ are given to be bounded from above, it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} \sup_{x \in B} \left| G_B^{(\gamma)}(t, x, y) - G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) \right| = 0.$$

Now using the Laplace transform of the Mittag-Leffler function, for any $\theta > 0$ we have

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} E_\gamma(-\mu_n t^\gamma) dt = \lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} \frac{\theta^{\gamma-1}}{\theta^\gamma + \mu_n} = \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} E_\beta(-\mu_n t^\beta) dt.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{\gamma \rightarrow \beta} E_\gamma(-\mu_n t^\gamma) = E_\beta(-\mu_n t^\beta). \quad (2.17)$$

Now using the expansions for the heat kernel, we have

$$|G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t, x, y)| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[|E_\beta(-\mu_n t^\beta) - E_\gamma(-\mu_n t^\gamma)| |\varphi_n(x)| |\varphi_n(y)| \right]. \quad (2.18)$$

Taking limit as $\gamma \rightarrow \beta$ on both sides of (2.18) we obtain the required result, since each terms in the summation can be bounded by a quantity independent of γ and β . This is due to the uniform boundedness of the eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ and the bounds on the Mittag-Leffler function together with Lemma 2.1(a), and summable as shown below.

For any $t > 0$, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [|E_\beta(-\mu_n t^\beta) - E_\gamma(-\mu_n t^\gamma)| |\varphi_n(x)| |\varphi_n(y)|] &\leq [C(B)]^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |E_\beta(-\mu_n t^\beta) - E_\gamma(-\mu_n t^\gamma)| \\ &\leq [C(B)]^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 + \beta)^{-1} \mu_n t^\beta} + \frac{1}{1 + \Gamma(1 + \gamma)^{-1} \mu_n t^\gamma} \right] \\ &\leq [C(B)]^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu_n} \left(\frac{1}{t^\beta} + \frac{1}{t^\gamma} \right) \\ &\leq 2[C(B)]^2 \max(1, 1/t) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\alpha}{d}}} < \infty, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

where C is a constant positive real number. \square

Next we give an example where the sufficient condition of Lemma 2.5 is satisfied.

Example 2.6. Let X_t denote a Brownian motion in \mathbb{R}^2 and X_t^B denote the Brownian motion killed upon exiting the rectangular domain $B := [0, L_1] \times [0, L_2]$. The eigenvalues of the Dirichlet Laplacian are $\mu_{m,n} = (\frac{m\pi}{L_1})^2 + (\frac{n\pi}{L_2})^2$ and $\varphi_{m,n}(x) := \varphi_m(x)\varphi_n(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}} \sin(\frac{m\pi x}{L_1}) \sin(\frac{n\pi x}{L_2})$ are the corresponding eigenfunction so that $|\varphi_{m,n}(x)| \leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{L_1 L_2}}$ for all $(x, y) \in B$. A similar result is valid for the Brownian motion in higher dimensional rectangular boxes.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 1.3. We denote

$$\Lambda(\theta) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} E_\beta(-\mu_1 t^\beta)^2 dt. \quad (3.1)$$

for $\theta > 0$. Here it is crucial to note that we can use the bounds in (2.9) to observe that $\Lambda(\theta)$ tends to infinity as θ approaches to zero if and only if $2\beta \leq 1$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us first establish the second statement of the theorem. From the mild formulation given in (1.10) and using stochastic Fubini theorem we set

$$\begin{aligned}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle &= \int_B u_t(x) \varphi_1(x) dx \\ &= E_\beta(-\mu_1 t^\beta) \langle u_0, \varphi_1 \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \int_B \int_0^t E_\beta(-\mu_1(t-s)^\beta) \varphi_1(y) \sigma(v_s(y)) W(ds, dy)\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

Taking the second moment of (3.2) and using the Ito-Walsh isometry, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 &= E_\beta(-\lambda_1 t^\beta)^2 \langle u_0, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda^2 \int_B \int_B E_\beta(-\lambda_1(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_1^2(y) \mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s(y))|^2 ds dy.\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Now using (3.3) and the assumption on σ gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt &= \Lambda(\theta) \langle u_0, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 + \lambda^2 \Lambda(\theta) \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \int_B \mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s(y))|^2 \varphi_1^2(y) dy dt \\ &\gtrsim \Lambda(\theta) \langle u_0, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 + \lambda^2 l_\sigma^2 \Lambda(\theta) \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt \\ &\gtrsim \Lambda(\theta) \langle u_0, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 + 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt,\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

where we have taken θ small enough to obtain the last inequality and used the fact that $\beta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$. This implies that that for sufficiently small θ , we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt = \infty$$

which in turns means that for sufficiently large t , $\mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2$ grows exponentially. Now using the following,

$$\mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 \lesssim \sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2,$$

we can conclude that $\sup_{x \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(x)|^2$ grows exponentially fast for all values of λ .

Note that the first part of the conclusion of theorem merely follows from the fact that the second term of (3.4) is positive and that the first term cannot have exponential decay. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Using the same notations as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we observe that the inequality (3.4) holds;

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt \gtrsim \Lambda(\theta) \langle u_0, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 + \lambda^2 l_\sigma^2 \Lambda(\theta) \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt\tag{3.5}$$

We note that since $2\beta > 1$, the function $\Lambda(\theta)$ is bounded. Thus, for any fixed $\theta > 0$ there exists sufficiently large λ_u so that for all $\lambda \geq \lambda_u$, the above inequality (3.5) yields

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt \gtrsim \Lambda(\theta) \langle u_0, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 + 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}\langle u_t, \varphi_1 \rangle^2 dt.\tag{3.6}$$

Again, following similar lines of arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we get result of the current theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We establish the required result using Ito-Walsh isometry and the global Lipschitz assumption on σ . The second moment of the mild formulation given by (1.10) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}|u_t(\mathbf{x})|^2 &= |(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)}u)_t(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \lambda^2 \int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, \mathbf{x}, y)^2 \mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s(y))|^2 ds dy \\ &\leq |(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)}u)_t(\mathbf{x})|^2 + \lambda^2 L_\sigma^2 \int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, \mathbf{x}, y)^2 \mathbb{E}|u_s(y)|^2 ds dy \\ &:= J_1 + J_2.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

We observe J_1 is bounded since the initial datum is assumed to be bounded above by a constant. It remains to bound J_2 . Since $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal sequence, for each fixed $t > 0$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}J_2 &:= \lambda^2 L_\sigma^2 \int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, \mathbf{x}, y)^2 \mathbb{E}|u_s(y)|^2 ds dy \\ &\leq a \lambda^2 L_\sigma^2 \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_n^2(\mathbf{x}) ds\end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

where $a = \sup_{t>0} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(\mathbf{x})|^2$. Now using Lemma 2.3 in the case of $d < \alpha/2\beta$, we can show that

$$\int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_n^2(\mathbf{x}) ds$$

is bounded by a constant.

In the case the eigenfunctions $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ are uniformly bounded by a constant $C(B)$, it follows from the above that

$$\begin{aligned}J_2 &\leq a \lambda^2 L_\sigma^2 \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 \varphi_n^2(\mathbf{x}) ds \\ &\leq a \lambda^2 L_\sigma^2 (C(B))^2 \int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 ds.\end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

Now by Lemma 2.4, we can show in this case that

$$\int_0^t \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_\beta(-\mu_n(t-s)^\beta)^2 ds$$

is bounded by a constant.

Hence in both cases we can choose λ_l sufficiently small that for all $\lambda \leq \lambda_l$

$$\sup_{0 < t < \infty} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(\mathbf{x})|^2 \lesssim 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{0 < t < \infty} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(\mathbf{x})|^2.$$

This shows that $\sup_{0 < t < \infty} \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in B} \mathbb{E}|u_t(\mathbf{x})|^2$ is finite and hence the conclusion of the theorem follows. \square

4 Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.7. The following proposition is used in its proof.

Proposition 4.1. *For some θ , we can use the bounds on $G_B^{(\beta)}(t, \mathbf{x}, y)$ to show that*

$$\sup_{t>0, \mathbf{x} \in B} e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\beta)}(\mathbf{x})|^p\tag{4.1}$$

is bounded above by a constant independent of β .

Proof. We use the mild formulation in equation (1.10) and follow similar computations to those used in the above proof. Now consider

$$u_t^{(\beta)}(x) = (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u_0)_t(x) + \lambda \int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) \sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y)) W(ds, dy), \quad (4.2)$$

Now applying the inequality $(a+b)^p \leq 2^p(a^p + b^p)$ for any $a, b \geq 0$ and using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, the p^{th} moment of (4.2) becomes

$$\mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p \leq 2^p |(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u_0)_t(x)|^p + (2\lambda)^p \left[\int_B \int_0^t |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 \left[\mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y))|^p \right]^{\frac{2}{p}} ds dy \right]^{\frac{p}{2}}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p &\lesssim e^{-\theta t} |(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u_0)_t(x)|^p + e^{-\theta t} \left[\int_B \int_0^t |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 \left[\mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y))|^p \right]^{\frac{2}{p}} ds dy \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &:= J_1 + J_2 \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Using the fact that $G_B^{(\beta)}(t, x, y) \leq G^{(\beta)}(t, x, y)$ and Lemma 2.2, and since σ is globally Lipschitz inequality, the second term becomes

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &:= e^{-\theta t} \left[\int_B \int_0^t |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 \left[\mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y))|^p \right]^{\frac{2}{p}} ds dy \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\leq L_\sigma^p \left[\int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 e^{\frac{-2\theta t}{p}} \left[\mathbb{E}|u_s^{(\beta)}(y)|^p \right]^{2/p} ds dy \right]^{p/2} \\ &\leq L_\sigma^p b(\theta) \left[\int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 e^{\frac{-2\theta(t-s)}{p}} ds dy \right]^{p/2} \\ &\leq L_\sigma^p b(\theta) \left[\int_B \int_0^t G^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 e^{\frac{-2\theta(t-s)}{p}} ds dy \right]^{p/2} \\ &\lesssim L_\sigma^p b(\theta) \left[\Gamma \left(1 - \frac{\beta d}{\alpha} \right) \theta^{\frac{\beta d}{\alpha} - 1} \right]^{p/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where $b(\theta) = \sup_{t>0} \sup_{z \in B} e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\beta)}(z)|^p$. Now we fix $\theta > 0$ sufficiently large so that

$$J_2 \lesssim \frac{1}{2} b(\theta). \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, we have

$$e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p \lesssim 1 + \frac{1}{2} b(\theta). \quad (4.7)$$

Taking the supremum of the left side of (4.7) the result follows. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. From the mild formulation of the solutions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t^{(\gamma)}(x) - u_t^{(\beta)}(x) &= (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\gamma)} u)_t(x) - (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u)_t(x) \\ &+ \lambda \int_B \int_0^t [G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t-s, x, y)] \sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y)) W(ds, dy) \\ &+ \lambda \int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) [\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y)) - \sigma(u_s^{(\gamma)}(y))] W(ds, dy). \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Now applying the inequality $(a + b + c)^p \leq 3^p(a^p + b^p + c^p)$ for any $a, b, c \geq 0$ and using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, the p^{th} moment of (4.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\gamma)}(x) - u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p &\leq 3^p |(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\gamma)} u)_t(x) - (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u)_t(x)|^p \\ &+ (3\lambda)^p \left[\int_0^t \int_B |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 [\mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y))|^p]^{2/p} dy ds \right]^{p/2} \\ &+ (3\lambda)^p \left[\int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 [\mathbb{E}[\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y)) - \sigma(u_s^{(\gamma)}(y))]^p]^{2/p} ds dy \right]^{p/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

For a fixed $\theta > 0$ the inequality in (4.9) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\gamma)}(x) - u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p &\lesssim e^{-\theta t} |(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\gamma)} u)_t(x) - (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u)_t(x)|^p \\ &+ e^{-\theta t} \left[\int_0^t \int_B |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 [\mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y))|^p]^{2/p} dy ds \right]^{p/2} \\ &+ e^{-\theta t} \left[\int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 [\mathbb{E}[\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y)) - \sigma(u_s^{(\gamma)}(y))]^p]^{2/p} ds dy \right]^{p/2}. \\ &:= J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Let us first work on the third term. Using Lemma 2.2 and since σ is globally Lipschitz, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_3 &:= e^{-\theta t} \left[\int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 [\mathbb{E}[\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y)) - \sigma(u_s^{(\gamma)}(y))]^p]^{2/p} ds dy \right]^{p/2} \\ &\leq L_\sigma^p \left[\int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 e^{\frac{-2\theta t}{p}} [\mathbb{E}|u_s^{(\gamma)}(y) - u_s^{(\beta)}(y)|^p]^{2/p} ds dy \right]^{p/2} \\ &\leq L_\sigma^p b(\theta) \left[\int_B \int_0^t G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y)^2 e^{\frac{-2\theta(t-s)}{p}} ds dy \right]^{p/2} \\ &\leq L_\sigma^p b(\theta) \left[\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G^{(\beta)}(s, x, y)^2 e^{\frac{-2\theta s}{p}} dy ds \right]^{p/2} \\ &\lesssim L_\sigma^p b(\theta) \left[\Gamma \left(1 - \frac{\beta d}{\alpha} \right) \theta^{\frac{\beta d}{\alpha} - 1} \right]^{p/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where $b(\theta) = \sup_{t>0} \sup_{z \in B} e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\gamma)}(z) - u_t^{(\beta)}(z)|^p$. Now we fix $\theta > 0$ sufficiently large so that

$$J_3 \lesssim \frac{1}{2} b(\theta). \quad (4.11)$$

Next we work on J_2 . Using Proposition 4.1 and the assumption on σ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &:= e^{-\theta t} \left[\int_0^t \int_B |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 [\mathbb{E}|\sigma(u_s^{(\beta)}(y))|^p]^{2/p} dy ds \right]^{p/2} \\ &\lesssim \sup_{t>0, x \in B} e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E}|u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p \left[\int_0^\infty \int_B e^{-2\theta s/p} |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 ds dx \right]^{p/2} \\ &\lesssim \left[\int_0^\infty \int_B e^{-2\theta s/p} |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 ds dy \right]^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We combine the above estimates and obtain the following.

$$\sup_{x \in B} e^{-\theta t} \mathbb{E} |u_t^{(\gamma)}(x) - u_t^{(\beta)}(x)|^p \lesssim e^{-\theta t} |(\mathcal{G}_B^{(\gamma)} u)_t(x) - (\mathcal{G}_B^{(\beta)} u)_t(x)|^p + \left[\int_0^\infty \int_B e^{-2\theta s/p} |G_B^{(\beta)}(t-s, x, y) - G_B^{(\gamma)}(t-s, x, y)|^2 ds dx \right]^{p/2}.$$

Taking $\gamma \rightarrow \beta$ and using Lemma 2.5 as well as its proof the result of the theorem follows. \square

References

- [1] V.V. Anh, N.N. Leonenko, and M.D. Ruiz-Medina (2016) *Space-time fractional stochastic equations on regular bounded open domains*. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, 19(5), pp. 1161-1199
- [2] R.F. Bass *Probabilistic Techniques in Analysis* ISBN 0-387-94387-0, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
- [3] M. Caputo, *Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent, Part II*. Geophys. J. R. Astr. Soc. 13 (1967), 529–539; Reprinted in: Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 11, No 1 (2008), 3–14;
- [4] B. Baeumer, T. Luks, and M.M. Meerschaert, *Space-time fractional Dirichlet problems*, Mathematische Nachrichten, Vol. 291 (2018), pp. 2516–2535.
- [5] R.M. Blumenthal, R.K. Gettoor, *Asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues for a class of Markov operators*, Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959) 399–408.
- [6] Z.-Q. Chen, M. M. Meerschaert and E. Nane. *Space-time fractional diffusion on bounded domains* J. Math. Ana. Appl., 393:479–488, 2012.
- [7] Z.Q. Chen, K.H. Kim, and P. Kim, *Fractional time stochastic partial differential equations*. Stoch. Process. Appl. 125(4), 1470–1499 (2015)
- [8] R.C. Dalang. *Extending the martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous SPDEs*. Electron. J. Probab. 4(6), 29 (1999)
- [9] D.T. Dang, E. Nane, D.M. Nguyen, N.H. Tuan. *Continuity of solutions of a class of fractional equations*. Potential Anal., 49 (2018), pp. 423-47.
- [10] E.B. Davies. *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1989.
- [11] A. Fick. *On liquid diffusion*. Phil. Mag. 10 (1855) (63) : 30–39. doi:10.1080/14786445508641925.
- [12] M. Foondun and D. Khoshnevisan, *Intermittence and nonlinear parabolic stochastic partial differential equations*, Electron. J. Probab. 14 (2009), no. 21.
- [13] M. Foondun, N. Guerngrar, and E. Nane. *Some properties of non-linear fractional stochastic heat equations on bounded domains*. Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 102 (2017), 86-93
- [14] M. Foondun, J.B. Mijena, and E. Nane. *Non-linear noise excitation for some space-time fractional stochastic equations in bounded domains*. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 19(6), 1527–1553 (2016)
- [15] M. Foondun and E. Nualart, *On the behaviour of stochastic heat equations on bounded domains*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. 12 (2015), no. 2, 551–571
- [16] M. Foondun, *Remarks on a fractional-time stochastic equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (2021), 2235-2247

- [17] F. Mainardi, Y. Luchko, and G. Pagnini, *The fundamental solution of the space-time fractional diffusion equation*. Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 153–192, 2001.
- [18] J.B. Mijena and E. Nane. *Space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equations*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 125 (2015), no. 9, 3301–3326.
- [19] M.M. Meerschaert, E. Nane, Y. Xiao, *Fractal dimensions for continuous time random walk limits*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 83 (2013) 1083–1093.
- [20] M.M. Meerschaert, D.A. Benson, H.-P. Scheffler, B. Baeumer, *Stochastic solution of space-time fractional diffusion equations*. Phys. Rev. E 65, (2002), 1103–1106.
- [21] M.M. Meerschaert, P. Straka, *Inverse stable subordinators*, Math. Model. Nat. Phenom. 8 (2) (2013) 1–16.
- [22] Meerschaert, M.M., Nane, E., Vellaisamy, P., *Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains*. Ann. Probab. 37, 979–1007 (2009)
- [23] M.M. Meerschaert and H.P. Scheffler. *Limit theorems for continuous time random walks with infinite mean waiting times*. J. Applied Probab. 41 (2004), No. 3, 623–638.
- [24] Eulalia Nualart, *Moment bounds for some fractional stochastic heat equations on the ball*, Electron. Commun. Probab. 23 (2018), Paper No. 41, 12, DOI 10.1214/18-ECP147. MR3841402
- [25] A. Saichev and G. Zaslavsky, *Fractional kinetic equations: solutions and applications*. Chaos 7 (1997), 753–764.
- [26] S. Umarov, E. Saydamatov, *A fractional analog of the Duhamel principle*. Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal. 9, No 1 (2006), 57–70.
- [27] S.R. Umarov, E.M. Saidamatov, *Generalization of the Duhamel principle for fractional-order differential equations* (In Russian). Dokl. Akad. Nauk 412, No 4 (2007), 463–465; Transl. in Dokl. Math. 75, No 1 (2007), 94–96.
- [28] S. Umarov, *On fractional Duhamel’s principle and its applications*. J. Differential Equations 252, No 10 (2012), 5217–5234.
- [29] J.B. Walsh. *An introduction to Stochastic Partial Differential Equation in Ecole d’t de probabilitis de Saint – Flour*, XIV-1984, volume 1180 of Lecture Notes in Math., pages 265-439. Springer, Berlin 1986.
- [30] B. Xie. *Some effects of the noise intensity upon non-linear stochastic heat equations on $[0, 1]$* , Stochastic Process. Appl. 126 (2016), no. 4, 1184–1205, DOI 10.1016/j.spa.2015.10.014. MR346119