

DIMENSION DROP OF CONNECTED PART OF SLICING SELF-AFFINE SPONGES

YAN-FANG ZHANG AND YAN-LI XU[†]

ABSTRACT. The connected part of a metric space E is defined to be the union of non-trivial connected components of E . We proved that for a class of self-affine sets called slicing self-affine sponges, the connected part of E either coincides with E , or is essentially contained in the attractor of a proper sub-IFS of an iteration of the original IFS. This generalizes an early result of Huang and Rao [L. Y. Huang, H. Rao. *A dimension drop phenomenon of fractal cubes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **497** (2021), no. 2] on a class of self-similar sets called fractal cubes. Moreover, we show that the result is no longer valid if the slicing property is removed. Consequently, for a Barański carpet E , the Hausdorff dimension and the box dimension of the connected part of E are strictly less than the Hausdorff dimension and the box dimension of E , respectively. For slicing self-affine sponges in \mathbb{R}^d with $d \geq 3$, whether the attractor of a sub-IFS has strictly smaller dimensions is an open problem.

1. Introduction

Usually a fractal set contains both trivial connected components and non-trivial connected components, where a connected component is called trivial if it is a singleton. Let X be a metric space. Let X_c be the union of non-trivial connected components and we call it the *connected part* of X . Huang and Rao [9] introduced a notion of connectedness index of X as

$$(1.1) \quad \text{ind}_H X = \dim_H X_c,$$

where \dim_H denotes the Hausdorff dimension. In the following, we will call $\text{ind}_H X$ the *Hausdorff connectedness index* of X . It is shown that ([9]) if X is a fractal cube, that is, $X \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is the non-empty compact set satisfying the set equation $X = \bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}} (X + \mathbf{d})/n$, where $n \geq 2$ is an integer and $\mathcal{D} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^d$, then either $X_c = X$ or $\text{ind}_H X = \dim_H X_c < \dim_H X$.

This result has several interesting consequences. Let X be a fractal cube possessing trivial points. First, the topological Hausdorff dimension of X is less than $\dim_H X$, since the former one is no larger than ind_H , see [9] and [25]. (The topological Hausdorff dimension is a new dimension introduced by [1].) Secondly, the

Date: October 20, 2021.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 28A80 Secondary: 26A16.

Key words and phrases. connectedness index, slicing self-affine sponge, Lipschitz equivalence.

The work is supported by the start-up research fund from Huzhou University. No. RK21089.

[†] The corresponding author.

gap sequence of X is comparable to $(k^{-\beta})_{k \geq 1}$ where $\beta = \dim_H X$, see [10]. Thirdly, $\text{ind}_H X$ provides a new Lipschitz invariant.

The aim of the present paper is to generalize the results of [9] to a larger class of fractals called slicing self-affine sponges.

Definition 1.1 (Diagonal IFS ([5])). Fix $d \geq 1$. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, let $A_i = \{0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$ with $n_i \geq 2$, and let $\Phi_i = (\phi_{a,i})_{a \in A_i}$ be a collection of contracting similarities of $[0, 1]$, called the *base IFS in coordinate i* . Let $A = \prod_{i=1}^d A_i$, and for each $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in A$, consider the contracting affine maps $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}: [0, 1]^d \rightarrow [0, 1]^d$ defined by the formula

$$\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (\phi_{\mathbf{a},1}(x_1), \dots, \phi_{\mathbf{a},d}(x_d)),$$

where $\phi_{\mathbf{a},i}$ is shorthand for $\phi_{a_i,i}$ in the formula above. Then we can get

$$\phi_{\mathbf{a}}([0, 1]^d) = \prod_{i=1}^d \phi_{\mathbf{a},i}([0, 1]) \subset [0, 1]^d.$$

Given $\mathcal{D} \subset A$, we call the collection $\Phi = (\phi_{\mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}}$ a *diagonal IFS*, and we call its invariant set Λ_{Φ} a *diagonal self-affine sponge*.

A diagonal self-affine IFS Φ is called a *slicing self-affine IFS*, if for each $1 \leq i \leq d$,

$$[0, 1) = \phi_{0,i}[0, 1) \cup \dots \cup \phi_{n_i-1,i}[0, 1)$$

is a partition of $[0, 1)$ from left to right; in this case, we call Λ_{Φ} a *slicing self-affine sponge*. In the two dimensional case, Λ_{Φ} is called a *Barański carpet* ([5]).

Let Λ_{Φ} be a slicing self-affine sponge. If for each i , the maps in Φ_i have equal contraction ratio $1/n_i$, then Λ_{Φ} is called a *Sierpiński self-affine sponge*, see Kenyon and Peres [14] and Olsen [22]. There is a simple way to define a Sierpiński self-affine sponge. Let $M = \text{diag}(n_1, \dots, n_d)$ and let $\mathcal{D} \subset \prod_{i=1}^d \{0, 1, \dots, n_i - 1\}$. Then M and \mathcal{D} determine an IFS


$$(1.2) \quad \{\lambda_{\mathbf{d}}(z) = M^{-1}(z + \mathbf{d})\}_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}}.$$

Then its invariant set $K = K(M, \mathcal{D})$ is a Sierpiński self-affine sponge. If M and \mathcal{D} are obtained from a slicing IFS Φ , then we call it the *associated Sierpiński self-affine sponge* of Φ .


Remark 1.2. There are a lot of works on dimensions, multifractal analysis and other topics of diagonal self-affine sponges, see for instance, McMullen [20], Bedford [4], Lalley and Gatzouras [17], King [16], Kenyon and Peres [14, 15], Feng and Wang [7], Barański [2], Olsen [22], Barral and Mensi [3], Jordan and Rams [12], Mackay [18], Das and Simmons [5], Fraser [8], Miao, Xi and Xiong [21], Rao, Yang and Zhang [23].

Our main results are as follows. First, we prove that a slicing self-affine sponge is always homeomorphic to its associated Sierpiński self-affine sponge. We use ∂E to denote the boundary of a set E .

Theorem 1.1. *Let Λ_{Φ} be a d -dimensional slicing self-affine sponge generated by $\Phi = (\phi_{\mathbf{a}})_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}}$, and $K(M, \mathcal{D})$ be the associated Sierpiński self-affine sponge of Φ .*



(a) The digit set of E .



(b) The slicing sponge E .

FIGURE 1.

Then there exists a map $F : \Lambda_\Phi \rightarrow K(M, \mathcal{D})$ which is bi-Holder continuous, and $F(\Lambda_\Phi \cap \partial[0, 1]^d) \subset \partial[0, 1]^d$.

A slicing self-affine sponge is said to be *degenerated*, if it is contained in a $(d-1)$ -face of $[0, 1]^d$. (For definition of face of a convex body, see Section 3.) Next, we show that

Theorem 1.2. *Let Λ_Φ be a slicing self-affine sponge. If Λ_Φ is non-degenerated and possesses trivial points, then Λ_Φ has a trivial point in $(0, 1)^d$.*

Remark 1.3. Thanks to Theorem 1.1, we only need to prove Theorem 1.2 for Sierpiński self-affine sponge, which generalizes the main result of [9]. The key point in our proof is that we build up Lemma 3.3, which allows us to generalize and simplify the approach of [9]. Besides, our definition of degeneration of self-affine sponge is more suitable for the purpose than that in [9].

For $\omega = \omega_1 \dots \omega_k \in \mathcal{D}^k$, we denote $\phi_\omega = \phi_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{\omega_k}$. Thanks to Theorem 1.2, we can show that

Theorem 1.3. *Let Λ_Φ be a slicing self-affine sponge with digit set \mathcal{D} . If Λ_Φ possesses trivial points, then there exists a proper sub-IFS of an iteration of Φ with invariant set Λ' such that the connected part of Λ_Φ is contained in $\bigcup_{\omega \in \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^k} \phi_\omega(\Lambda')$*

The example below shows that the ‘slicing property’ in Theorem 1.2 cannot be removed.

Example 1.4. In this example, we identify \mathbb{R}^2 with the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Let E be the self-similar set generated by the IFS $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^7$, where

$$f_1(z) = \frac{2z}{3}, f_2(z) = \frac{z}{3} + \frac{2\mathbf{i}}{3}, f_3(z) = \frac{z}{3} + \frac{1+2\mathbf{i}}{3}, f_4(z) = \frac{z}{6} + \frac{4+5\mathbf{i}}{6},$$

$$f_5(z) = \frac{z}{6} + \frac{5+5\mathbf{i}}{6}, f_6(z) = \frac{z}{3} + \frac{2+\mathbf{i}}{3}, f_7(z) = \frac{z}{12} + \frac{22+17\mathbf{i}}{24}.$$

We will show that the trivial points of E are all located on the line segment $\{1\} \times [1/2, 1]$ (Theorem 6.1).

Let X be a metric space. Similar to the Hausdorff connectedness index, we define the *box connectedness index* of X to be

$$(1.3) \quad \text{ind}_B X = \dim_B X_c,$$

where X_c is the connected part of X .

Let $\Phi_i, i = 1, \dots, d$ be the base IFSes in definition of slicing IFS. We denote by $\Phi(\mathcal{D})$ the slicing IFS determined by \mathcal{D} . There is an important open question in dynamical system that if \mathcal{D}' is a proper subset of \mathcal{D} , is it true that

$$(1.4) \quad \dim_H \Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D}')} < \dim_H \Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})} \text{ and } \dim_B \Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D}')} < \dim_B \Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}?$$

(See for example, Kanemaki [13].)

Till now we can only show that the above inequalities hold for Barański carpets. In the box dimension case, Barański [2] gave an implicit formula for box dimension, which confirms the second inequality of (1.4) easily. As for the Hausdorff dimension, Barański showed that a certain variation principle holds where only the Bernoulli measures are involved. Hence, it is not hard to show that the maximum cannot occur at a boundary point of the (finite dimensional) parameter space (It is proved in a manuscript of Dejun Feng [6]). Hence, we have

Corollary 1.5. *If a Barański carpet Λ_Φ has a trivial point, then*

$$\text{ind}_H(\Lambda_\Phi) < \dim_H(\Lambda_\Phi) \text{ and } \text{ind}_B(\Lambda_\Phi) < \dim_B(\Lambda_\Phi).$$


But when $d \geq 3$, Das and Simmons [5] proved that the Hausdorff dimension can be obtained by a variation principle which involves a larger class of measures called pseudo Bernoulli measures. So it is not clear whether the first inequality of (1.4) holds. Also, till now, we do not have a formula of box dimension of slicing self-affine sponge with $d \geq 3$.

Example 1.6. Let E and E' be two Bedford-McMullen carpets indicated by Figure 2. They have the same Hausdorff dimension and also have the same box dimension. We will calculate the connectedness indices of E and E' in Section 5, see Table 1. In fact, the connected part of E is essentially a *sofic self-affine carpet* studied by Kenyon and Peres [15]. It is seen that $\text{ind}_H(E) \neq \text{ind}_H(E')$ and $\text{ind}_B(E) \neq \text{ind}_B(E')$, so E and E' are not Lipschitz equivalent.

TABLE 1. Dimensions and indices of E and E'

	\dim_H	\dim_B	ind_H	ind_B
E	$\log_5(12 + \sqrt[3]{5}) \approx 1.627$	$1 + \log_8 4 \approx 1.667$	≈ 1.61	$\frac{\log \lambda + (\sigma - 1) \log 5}{\log 8} \approx 1.662$
E'	$\log_5(12 + \sqrt[3]{5})$	$1 + \log_8 4$	≈ 1.54	$\frac{\log 19 + (\sigma - 1) \log 5}{\log 8} \approx 1.640$

In the above table, $\lambda = \frac{22 + \sqrt{312}}{2}$ and $\sigma = \log 8 / \log 5$.



(a) The digit set of E . (b) The digit set of E' .

FIGURE 2.

This article is organized as follows. Theorem 1.1 is proved in section 2. In section 3, we recall some basic facts about faces of the polytope $[0, 1]^d$. Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 are proved in section 4. Example 1.6 and Example 1.4 are discussed in Section 5 and Section 6, respectively.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

First, let us give some notations about IFS. Let A be a finite set. An *iterated function system (IFS)* on \mathbb{R}^d is a family of contractions $\Phi = \{\phi_{\mathbf{a}}\}_{\mathbf{a} \in A}$ on \mathbb{R}^d , and the *attractor* of the IFS is the unique nonempty compact set K satisfying $K = \bigcup_{\mathbf{a} \in A} \phi_{\mathbf{a}}(K)$, and it is called a *self-similar set* if all the contractions are similitudes. (See [11].) Let $\pi_{\Phi} : A^{\infty} \rightarrow K$ be the coding map defined by

$$\{\pi_{\Phi}((a_k)_{k \geq 1})\} = \bigcap_{k \geq 1} \phi_{a_1 \dots a_k}(K).$$

We say $(a_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is a *coding* of $x \in K$ if $x = \pi_{\Phi}((a_k)_{k \geq 1})$.

Let Φ be a slicing IFS with digit set \mathcal{D} . Let $\Lambda := \Lambda_{\Phi}$ be a slicing self-affine sponge. Let $\pi : \mathcal{D}^{\infty} \rightarrow \Lambda_{\Phi}$ be the corresponding coding map. Let $K := K(M, \mathcal{D})$ be the associated Sierpiński sponge of Φ , let $(\lambda_{\mathbf{d}})_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}}$ be an generating IFS of K defined by (1.2), and $\pi' : \mathcal{D}^{\infty} \rightarrow K(M, \mathcal{D})$ be the corresponding coding map.

First, we build a simple lemma. Let $n \geq 2$ and let

$$(2.1) \quad \Psi := (\psi_j = r_j x + b_j)_{j=0}^{n-1}$$

be a family of contractions on \mathbb{R} with $0 < r_j < 1$, such that $\psi_0([0, 1]) \cup \dots \cup \psi_{n-1}([0, 1])$ is a partition of $[0, 1)$ from left to right.

For $\mathbf{h} = (h_k)_{k \geq 1} \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^{\infty}$, we define two functions

$$(2.2) \quad f(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{h_k}{n^k},$$

and

$$(2.3) \quad g(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{k \geq 1} r_{h_1} \cdots r_{h_{k-1}} b_{h_k}.$$

Note that the first term of the right hand side of (2.3) is b_{h_1} .

Lemma 2.1. *There exists $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $\mathbf{h}, \mathbf{h}' \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}^\infty$,*

$$1/2 \cdot |g(\mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{h}')|^{1/\alpha} \leq |f(\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{h}')| \leq 2|g(\mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{h}')|^\alpha.$$

Proof. Denote $r^* = \max\{r_i; 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$ and $r_* = \min\{r_i; 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}$.

Write $\mathbf{h} = (h_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $\mathbf{h}' = (h'_k)_{k \geq 1}$. Let k be the smallest integer such that $h_k \neq h'_k$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $h_k > h'_k$.

If $h_k - h'_k \geq 2$, we set $k^* = k$, otherwise, that is, if $h_k - h'_k = 1$, we set

$$k^* = \max \left\{ \ell \geq k+1; \binom{h_{k+1} \dots h_{\ell-1}}{h'_{k+1} \dots h'_{\ell-1}} = \binom{0}{n-1}^{\ell-k-1} \right\}.$$

Then

$$(2.4) \quad n^{-k^*} \leq |g(\mathbf{h}) - g(\mathbf{h}')| \leq 2n^{-k^*+1}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(2.5) \quad (r_*)^{-k^*} \leq |f(\mathbf{h}) - f(\mathbf{h}')| \leq 2(r^*)^{-k^*+1}.$$

Setting $\alpha = \min\{-\log r^*/\log n, -\log n/\log r_*\}$, we obtain the lemma. \square

Write $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_d)$ and $\pi' = (\pi'_1, \dots, \pi'_d)$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\vec{\mathbf{a}} = (\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \geq 1}, \vec{\mathbf{a}}' = (\mathbf{a}'_k)_{k \geq 1} \in \mathcal{D}^\infty$. Then $\pi(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) = \pi(\vec{\mathbf{a}}')$ if and only if $\pi'(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) = \pi'(\vec{\mathbf{a}}')$.*

Proof. Notice that both $\phi_{\mathbf{d}}(z)$ and $\lambda_{\mathbf{d}}(z)$ are variable separation functions. Clearly $\pi(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) = \pi(\vec{\mathbf{a}}')$ if and only if

$$(2.6) \quad \pi_j(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) = \pi_j(\vec{\mathbf{a}}'), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

By Lemma 2.1, (2.6) holds if and only if

$$\pi'_j(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) = \pi'_j(\vec{\mathbf{a}}'), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq d,$$

which is equivalent to $\pi'(\vec{\mathbf{a}}) = \pi'(\vec{\mathbf{a}}')$. The lemma is proved. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. According to Lemma 2.2, we define a coding preserving map $F : \Lambda_\Phi \rightarrow K(M, \mathcal{D})$ by

$$(2.7) \quad F(z) = \pi' \circ \pi^{-1}(z).$$

Write $F(z) = (F_1(z), \dots, F_d(z))$. Then $F_j(z) = \pi'_j \circ \pi_j^{-1}(z)$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. By Lemma 2.1, all F_j are bi-Holder continuous, so F is also bi-Holder continuous.

Suppose $z \in \Lambda_\Phi \cap \partial[0, 1]^d$. Denote $(\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \geq 1} = \pi^{-1}(z)$. Then there exists j such that $\phi_{\mathbf{a}_k, j} = \phi_{0, j}$ for all $k \geq 1$, or $\phi_{\mathbf{a}_k, j} = \phi_{n_k-1, j}$ for all $k \geq 1$. It follows that $\mathbf{a}_{k, j} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, or $\mathbf{a}_{k, j} = n_j - 1$ for all $k \geq 1$. Therefore, $\pi'_j((\mathbf{a}_k)_{k \geq 1}) = 0$ or 1 , which implies that $F(z) \in \partial[0, 1]^d$. The theorem is proved. \square

3. Preliminaries on faces of $[0, 1]^d$

We recall some notions about convex polytopes, see [26] or [24]. Let $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a convex polytope, let F be a convex subset of C . We say F is a *face* of C , if any closed line segment $I \subset C$ with a relative interior point in F has both endpoints in F (see [24]). The *dimension* of a face F , denoted by $\dim F$, is the dimension of the smallest affine subspace containing F ; moreover, F is called an *r-face* of C if $\dim F = r$. We note that C is a d -face of itself if $\dim C = d$. For $z \in C$, a face F of C is called the *containing face* of z if z is a relative interior point of F .

In this section, we list some simple facts about faces of $[0, 1]^d$ we need later. We call a pair (A, B) an *ordered partition* of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and $A \cup B = \{1, \dots, d\}$. Let $\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_d$ be the canonical basis of \mathbb{R}^d . The following lemma is obvious, see Chapter 2 of [26].

Lemma 3.1. (i) *Let (A, B) be an ordered partition of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ with $\#A = r$. Then the set*

$$(3.1) \quad F = \left\{ \sum_{j \in A} c_j \mathbf{e}_j; c_j \in [0, 1] \right\} + b$$

is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$ if and only if $b \in T$, where

$$(3.2) \quad T := \left\{ \sum_{j \in B} \varepsilon_j \mathbf{e}_j; \varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$

(ii) *For any r -face F of $[0, 1]^d$, there exists an ordered partition (A, B) of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ with $\#A = r$ such that F can be written as (3.1).*

We will call $F_0 = \left\{ \sum_{j \in A} c_j \mathbf{e}_j; c_j \in [0, 1] \right\}$ a *basic -face* related to the ordered partition (A, B) . If $A = \emptyset$, we set $F_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ by convention. Let $x = \sum_{j \in A} \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_j + \sum_{i \in B} \beta_i \mathbf{e}_i \in [0, 1]^d$, we define two projection maps as follows:

$$(3.3) \quad \pi_A(x) = \sum_{j \in A} \alpha_j \mathbf{e}_j, \quad \pi_B(x) = \sum_{i \in B} \beta_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

If F is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$, we denote by $\overset{\circ}{F}$ the relative interior of F .

Lemma 3.2 (Huang and Rao [9]). *Let $F = F_0 + b$ be an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$ given by (3.1). Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}^d$. Then $\overset{\circ}{F} \cap (u + [0, 1]^d) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $u \in b - T$ where T is defined in (3.2).*

The following lemma strengthens Lemma 3.1 in [9], and allows us to give simpler arguments in Section 4 comparing to [9].

Lemma 3.3. *Let $z_0 \in \partial[0, 1]^d$ and let F be the containing face of z_0 . Let*

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (a_1 x_1 + t_1, \dots, a_d x_d + t_d)$$

be a map such that $a_j \in (0, 1)$ and $g([0, 1]^d) \subset [0, 1]^d$. Let F' be the containing face of $g(z_0)$. Then

- (i) $g(F) \subset F'$;
- (ii) either $F' = F$ or $\dim F' \geq \dim F + 1$.

Proof. Notice that the assumptions imply that $t_j \in [0, 1)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq d$.

Let (A, B) be an ordered partition in Lemma 3.1 which defines F . By the definition of containing face, we have $z_0 \in \overset{\circ}{F}$. Suppose that $g(z_0) \notin F$.

First, we prove (i). If $F = \{z_0\}$, the assertion holds trivially. Now we suppose that $\dim F \geq 1$. Take any point $x \in F \setminus \{z_0\}$ and let I be a closed line segment in F such that x is an endpoint of I and z_0 is a relative interior point of I . It is clear that $g(I) \subset g([0, 1]^d) \subset [0, 1]^d$. Since $g(z_0) \in F'$, we have $g(I) \subset F'$. By the arbitrariness of x we obtain that $g(F) \subset F'$. Especially, we have $\dim F' \geq \dim F$.

Next, we prove (ii). Denote $r = \dim F$ and write F as $F = F_0 + b$, where F_0 is a basic r -face, and $b \in T := \{\sum_{j \in B} \varepsilon_j \mathbf{e}_j; \varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}\}$.

Suppose that F' is an r -face of $[0, 1]^d$. Then there exists an ordered partition (A', B') of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ such that $F' = F'_0 + b'$, where $F'_0 = \{\sum_{j \in A'} c_j \mathbf{e}_j; c_j \in [0, 1]\}$ and $b' \in T' = \{\sum_{j \in B'} \varepsilon_j \mathbf{e}_j; \varepsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}\}$. Since

$$(3.4) \quad \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d)(F_0 + b) + g(\mathbf{0}) = g(F) \subset F' = F'_0 + b',$$

we have $F'_0 = F_0$. Hence $A' = A$ and $B' = B$, and it follows that $T' = T$. Applying π_B to both sides of (3.4), we have

$$(3.5) \quad b' = \pi_B(F') = \pi_B(g(F)) = \pi_B(\text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_d)F_0) + \pi_B(g(b)) = \pi_B(g(b)).$$

Denote $b = \sum_{j \in B} b_j \mathbf{e}_j$, where all $b_j \in \{0, 1\}$, then

$$(3.6) \quad b' = \sum_{j \in B} (a_j b_j + t_j) \mathbf{e}_j \in T.$$

If $b_j = 1$, then $a_j b_j + t_j > 0$ and it forces $a_j b_j + t_j = 1$; if $b_j = 0$, then $a_j b_j + t_j = t_j < 1$ and it forces that $a_j b_j + t_j = 0$. Hence $b' = b$ and it follows that $F' = F$. This confirms (ii) and the lemma is proved. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3

Let $K = K(M, \mathcal{D})$ be a d -dimensional Sierpiński sponge defined in Section 1. We denote the IFS generating K by $\{\Phi_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Let $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Denote by Σ^∞ and Σ^k the sets of infinite words and words of length k over Σ respectively. Let $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Sigma^k$ be the set of all finite words. For $k \geq 1$, denote $\mathcal{D}_k = \mathcal{D} + M\mathcal{D} + \dots + M^{k-1}\mathcal{D}$. We call

$$K_k = M^{-k}([0, 1]^d + \mathcal{D}_k)$$

the k -th approximation of K . Clearly, $K_k \subset K_{k-1}$ and $K = \bigcap_{k=0}^\infty K_k$. For each $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k \in \Sigma^k$, we call $\Phi_\sigma([0, 1]^d)$ a k -th cell.

For a point $z \in K$, we say F is the containing face of z if F is a face of $[0, 1]^d$ and it is the containing face of z . From now on, we always assume that

$$(4.1) \quad z_0 \text{ is a trivial point of } K \text{ and } F \text{ is the containing face of } z_0.$$

Let (A, B) be an ordered partition in Lemma 3.1 which defines F . Recall π_A and π_B are defined in (3.3). Denote

$$(4.2) \quad \Sigma_\sigma = \{\omega \in \Sigma^k; \pi_A(\Phi_\omega(\mathbf{0})) = \pi_A(\Phi_\sigma(\mathbf{0}))\},$$

$$(4.3) \quad H_\sigma = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Sigma_\sigma} \Phi_\omega([0, 1]^d).$$

Indeed, H_σ is the union of all k -th cells having the same projection with $\Phi_\sigma([0, 1]^d)$ under π_A . The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 3.2 in [9].

Lemma 4.1. *Let $k > 0$ and let $\sigma \in \Sigma^k$. If H_σ is not connected or $H_\sigma \cap F = \emptyset$, then there exists $\omega^* \in \Sigma_\sigma$ such that $\Phi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \notin F$ and it is a trivial point of K .*

Proof. Let $\dim F = r$ and let (A, B) be the ordered partition which defines F . Then $F = F_0 + b$, where F_0 is a basic r -face and $b = \sum_{j \in B} b_j \mathbf{e}_j$.

We claim that if $H_\sigma \cap F \neq \emptyset$, then there is only one k -th cell $\Phi_\omega([0, 1]^d)$ in H_σ which intersects F . Let $\Phi_\omega([0, 1]^d)$ be such a cell. Write

$$\Phi_\omega(z) = \text{diag}(1/n_1^k, \dots, 1/n_d^k)z + (t_1, \dots, t_d).$$

By Lemma 3.3, we have $\Phi_\omega(F) \subset F$; especially, we have $\Phi_\omega(b) = b$, which implies

$$\frac{b_j}{n_j^k} + t_j = b_j, \quad \text{for } j \in B.$$

It follows that $t_j = 1 - 1/n_j^k$ if $b_j = 1$ and $t_j = 0$ if $b_j = 0$. As for $j \in A$, the maps $\Phi_{\tilde{\omega}}, \tilde{\omega} \in H_\sigma$, share the same j -th component with Φ_σ , so t_j are determined. Hence ω is unique in Σ_σ , and the claim is proved.

The assumptions of the lemma and the above claim imply that there is a connected component U of H_σ such that $U \cap F = \emptyset$. Let $W = \{\omega \in \Sigma_\sigma; \Phi_\omega([0, 1]^d) \subset U\}$.

First, set $B_0 = \{j \in B; \text{the } j\text{-th coordinate of } b \text{ is } 0\}$, $B_1 = B \setminus B_0$, and for each $\omega \in W$, write

$$\pi_B(\Phi_\omega(\mathbf{0})) = \sum_{j \in B_0} \alpha_j(\omega) \mathbf{e}_j + \sum_{j \in B_1} \beta_j(\omega) \mathbf{e}_j.$$

Secondly, set $W' = \left\{ \omega \in W; \sum_{j \in B_0} \alpha_j(\omega) \text{ attains the minimum} \right\}$, and take $\omega^* \in W'$ such that $\sum_{j \in B_1} \beta_j(\omega^*)$ attains the maximum in W' . Then by exactly the same argument as Lemma 3.2 of [9], one can show that $\Phi_{\omega^*}(z_0)$ is a trivial point of K . The lemma is proved. \square

Recall that a d -dimensional Sierpiński sponge is degenerated if it is contained in a $(d - 1)$ -face of $[0, 1]^d$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let K be a non-degenerated Sierpiński self-affine sponge in \mathbb{R}^d . Let z_0 be a trivial point of K . Then there exists another trivial point $z^* \in (0, 1)^d$.*

Proof. Let F be the containing face of z_0 and suppose that $\dim F = r < d$. Let (A, B) be the ordered partition which defines F . Let $\sigma = (\sigma_i)_{i \geq 1} \in \Sigma^\infty$ be a coding of z_0 , and let $\sigma|_k$ denotes the prefix of σ with length k . Then for each $k > 0$, $z_0 \in H_{\sigma|_k} \cap F$, where $H_{\sigma|_k}$ is defined in (4.3). We claim that K contains a trivial point of the form $\Phi_\omega(z_0), \omega \in \Sigma^*$, and it is not in F .

Now we assume that $H_{\sigma|_k}$ is connected for all $k \geq 1$, for otherwise, the claim holds by Lemma 4.1. Let p be an integer such that C_p , the connected component of K_p containing z_0 , satisfies $\text{diam}(C_p) < \frac{1}{3}$. Then

$$(4.4) \quad C_p \cap (C_p + a) = \emptyset \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

That $H_{\sigma|_p}$ is connected implies that $H_{\sigma|_p} \subset C_p$. Since K is not degenerated, there exist $j \in \Sigma$ such that

$$(4.5) \quad \Phi_j([0, 1]^d) \cap F = \emptyset.$$

We consider the set $H_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p}$. Let $\Sigma_j = \{i \in \Sigma; \pi_A(\Phi_i(\mathbf{0})) = \pi_A(\Phi_j(\mathbf{0}))\}$. It is easy to see that $H_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p} = \bigcup_{i \in \Sigma_j} \Phi_i(H_{\sigma|_p})$. By (4.4) and (4.5), we infer that $U := \Phi_j(H_{\sigma|_p})$ is a connected component of $H_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p}$ and $U \cap F = \emptyset$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, there exists $\omega^* \in \Sigma_{j\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_p}$ such that $\Phi_{\omega^*}(z_0) \notin F$ and it is a trivial point of E . The claim is proved.

Now by Lemma 3.3, the containing face of $\Phi_{\omega^*}(z_0)$ has dimension no less than $r + 1$. Inductively, we can find a trivial point z^* whose containing face is $[0, 1]^d$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2. It follows directly from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 4.1. \square

Let $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$ be a slicing self-affine sponge in \mathbb{R}^d . Let

$$\Lambda_k = \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{D}^k} \phi_\omega([0, 1]^d)$$

be the k -th approximation of Λ . Let U be a connected component of Λ_k , following [9], we call U a k -th *island* if $U \cap \partial[0, 1]^d = \emptyset$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First, let us assume $\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$ is not degenerated.

Since $\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$ contains a trivial point, by Theorem 1.2, $\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})} \cap (0, 1)^d$ also possesses trivial points. Consequently, Λ_k has a k -th island for k large; for a careful proof of this fact, we refer to [9]. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$ has a 1-island and we denote it by U . Write $U = \bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in J} \phi_{\mathbf{d}}([0, 1]^d)$, where $J \subset \mathcal{D}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D} \setminus J$ and let $\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D}')}$ be the slicing self-affine sponge determined by \mathcal{D}' . Clearly, if a coding of a point x having infinitely many entries in J , then x is a trivial point. Let P be the connected part of $\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$, then $P \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^k} \phi_\omega(\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D}')}).$

Next, if $\Lambda_{\Phi(\mathcal{D})}$ is degenerated, then it can be identified with a lower dimensional slicing self-affine sponge which is non-degenerated, so (1.4) still holds. \square

5. Connected indices of fractals in Example 1.6

Let E and E' be the Bedford-McMullen carpets in Example 1.6. In this section we calculate the connected indices of E and E' .

5.1. Graph-directed IFS. Let (V, Γ) be a directed graph with a vertex set V and directed-edge set Γ where both V and Γ are finite. The set of edges from i to j is denoted by $\Gamma_{i,j}$, and we assume that for any $i \in V$, there is at least one edge starting from vertex i . For each edge $e \in \Gamma$, there is a corresponding contraction $T_e : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. We call $(T_e)_{e \in \Gamma}$ a *graph-directed IFS* (see [19]). Its invariant sets, called *graph-direct sets*, are the unique non-empty compact sets $(K_i)_{i \in V}$ satisfying

$$K_i = \bigcup_{j \in V} \bigcup_{e \in \Gamma_{i,j}} T_e(K_j), \quad i \in V.$$

Take any order of the vertex set V and fix it. The *adjacency matrix* A of a graph (V, Γ) is defined as for any two vertices v, w in V , $A_{v,w}$ is the number of edges in G from v to w .

5.2. Connected part of E . Let $M = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$. Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \{0, 1, \dots, 7\} \times \{0, 1, \dots, 4\}$ be the digit set illustrated in Figure 3 (a). Then $E = K(M, \mathcal{D})$ is the Bedford-McMullen carpet generated by the IFS $\{\varphi_{\mathbf{d}}\}_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathcal{D}}$ where $\varphi_{\mathbf{d}}(z) = M^{-1}(z + \mathbf{d})$.

First, we determine the connected component of K containing $\mathbf{0}$. Denote

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{XY} &= \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3)\}, & J_{XX} &= \mathcal{D} \setminus J_{XY}; \\ J_{YY} &= \{(7, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (7, 4)\}, & J_{YX} &= \mathcal{D} \setminus J_{YY}. \end{aligned}$$

(See Figure 3.) Let (X, Y) be the invariant sets of the graph-directed IFS given by Figure 4. Then X and Y satisfy the set equations

$$X = \left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in J_{XX}} \varphi_{\mathbf{d}}(X) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in J_{XY}} \varphi_{\mathbf{d}}(Y) \right), \quad Y = \left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in J_{YX}} \varphi_{\mathbf{d}}(X) \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{\mathbf{d} \in J_{YY}} \varphi_{\mathbf{d}}(Y) \right).$$

X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
Y							
Y							X
Y							
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Y
Y							
Y							
Y							
X	X	X	X	X	X	X	Y

(a) The first iteration of X .

(b) The first iteration of Y .

FIGURE 3.




FIGURE 4. The directed graph (V, Γ) , where $V = \{S_X, S_Y\}$. Each $\mathbf{d} \in J_{XY}$ corresponds to an edge from S_X to S_Y , and the corresponding is $M^{-1}(z + \mathbf{d})$. The same holds for J_{XX} , J_{YX} and J_{YY} .

Lemma 5.1. (i) Y is the connected component of K containing $\mathbf{0}$;
(ii) for any non-trivial connected component $C \neq Y$ of K , there exists $\omega \in \Sigma^*$ such that $C = \Phi_\omega(Y)$.

The prove of the above lemma is exactly the same as the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [9], so we omit it.

5.3. Connectedness indices of E . Kenyon and Peres [15] studied the Hausdorff dimension and box dimension of such graph-directed sets related to Bedford-McMullen carpets. The adjacency matrix of the graph IFS (5.1) is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \#J_{XX} & \#J_{YX} \\ \#J_{XY} & \#J_{YY} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 17 & 14 \\ 3 & 5 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\#E$ denotes the cardinality of a set E . The box dimension of X and Y are given by

$$\dim_B X = \dim_B Y = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log n} + \left(\frac{1}{\log m} - \frac{1}{\log n} \right) \log s$$

where n, m is the expanding factors, λ is the spectral radius of A , and s is the number of non-vacate rows of the digit set \mathcal{D} . In our example,

$$\text{ind}_B(E) = \dim_B Y = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log 8} + \left(\frac{1}{\log 5} - \frac{1}{\log 8} \right) \times \log 5 \approx 1.662$$

where $\lambda = \frac{22 + \sqrt{312}}{2} \approx 19.83$.

As for the Hausdorff dimension of X and Y , [15] proves that

$$\dim_H X = \dim_H Y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{k} \log_m \sum_{0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq m-1} \|A_{i_k} \cdot A_{i_{k-1}} \cdot \dots \cdot A_{i_1}\|^{1/\sigma}$$

where A_j is the adjacent matrix with respect to the j -th row and $\sigma = \log n / \log m$. In our example,

$$A_0 = A_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 7 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_1 = A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By numerical calculation, we have that $\text{ind}_H E = \dim_H Y \approx 1.61$.

5.4. **Connected indices of E' .** It is easy to show that the connected part of E' is a Bedford-McMullen carpet indicated in Figure 5. Hence

$$\text{ind}_H(E') = \log_5 13 \approx 1.54, \quad \text{ind}_B(E') = \frac{\log 19 + (1/\sigma - 1) \log 5}{\log 8} \approx 1.640.$$




FIGURE 5. The connected part of E' .

6. Trivial points of the fractal in Example 1.4

Let E be the self-similar set in Example 1.4. Denote $E^* = E \cup L$ where $L = \{1\} \times [1/2, 1]$. Let H be the boundary of the convex hull of E , that is, H is the trapezoid with vertices $0, \mathbf{i}, 1 + \mathbf{i}$ and $1 + \mathbf{i}/2$. Denote $\Sigma = \{1, \dots, 7\}$, see Figure 6.




FIGURE 6. The first iteration of E .

Lemma 6.1. E^* is connected.

Proof. It is seen that $H_1 = \bigcup_{j=1}^7 f_j(H)$ is connected and it is a subset of E^* . Inductively, we see that for every $n \geq 1$, $H_n = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Sigma^n} f_\omega(H)$ is connected and it is a subset of E^* . Therefore, E^* , as the limit of H_n in Hausdorff metric, is connected. \square

Let $\Sigma = A \cup B \cup C$ be a partition where $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, $B = \{5, 6\}$ and $C = \{7\}$. Let X be the connected component of E containing 0 .

Lemma 6.2. Let $\omega = \omega_1 \dots \omega_k \in \Sigma^k$ such that $\omega_j \in B$ for $j < k$ and $\omega_k \in A$. Then

$$(6.1) \quad f_\omega(E^*) \subset X.$$

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on the length of ω . By Lemma 6.1, $\bigcup_{j \in A} f_j(E^*)$ is connected, so $\bigcup_{j \in A} f_j(E^*) \subset X$. This proves that (6.1) holds for $k = 1$.

Let $\omega'_{k-1} = 4$ if $\omega_{k-1} = 5$ and $\omega'_{k-1} = 1$ if $\omega_{k-1} = 6$. By induction hypothesis,

$$f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_{k-2} \omega'_{k-1}}(E^*) \subset X.$$

On one hand, $f_\omega(E^*) \subset f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_{k-1}}(X)$, on the other hand, the intersection of $f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_{k-1}}(X)$ and $f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_{k-2} \omega'_{k-1}}(E^*)$ is not empty. Therefore, $f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_{k-1}}(X)$, and also $f_\omega(E^*)$, are subsets of X . The lemma is proved. \square

Theorem 6.1. *If x is a trivial point of E , then $x \in L$.*

Proof. Let $(\omega_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a coding of x . We are going to show that $\omega_k \notin A$ for all $k \geq 1$, which implies that $x \in L$.

Suppose on the contrary that $\omega_k \in A$ and k is the least integer with this property.

If $\omega_j \notin C$ for all $1 \leq j < k$, then $\omega_j \in B$ for $1 \leq j < k$. By Lemma 6.2, $x \in f_\omega(E^*) \subset X$, which contradicts the fact that x is a trivial point.

If $\omega_j \in C$ for some $1 \leq j < k$, we set i to be the greatest integer such that $\omega_i \in C$. Notice that x is a trivial point of $f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_i}(E)$ since the above cylinder is disjoint from other cylinders of rank i . Moreover, $f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_i} : E \rightarrow f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_i}(E)$ is a bijection, so $y = f_{\omega_1 \dots \omega_i}^{-1}(x)$ is a trivial point of E . A coding of y is $(\omega_j)_{j \geq i+1}$. By Lemma 6.2, $y \in f_{\omega_{i+1} \dots \omega_k}(E^*) \subset X$, which contradicts the fact that y is a trivial point of E . The theorem is proved. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Balka, Z. Buczolic, M. Elekes. *A new fractal dimension: the topological Hausdorff dimension*, Adv. Math. **274** (2015), 881-927.
- [2] K. Barański. *Hausdorff dimension of the limit sets of some planar geometric constructions*, Adv. Math. **210** (2007), no.1, 215-245.
- [3] J. Barral, M. Mensi. *Gibbs measures on self-affine Sierpiński carpets and their singularity spectrum*, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., **27**(5) (2007), 1419-1443.
- [4] T. Bedford. *Crinkly curves, Markov partitions and dimension*, PhD Thesis, University of Warwick, 1984.
- [5] T. Das, D. Simmons. *The Hausdorff and dynamical dimensions of self-affine sponges : a dimension gap result*, Invent. Math. **210** (2017), no. 1, 85-134.
- [6] D.J. Feng, unpublished manuscript.
- [7] D.J. Feng, Y. Wang. *A class of self-affine sets and self-affine measures*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **11** (2005), no.1, 107-124.
- [8] J.M. Fraser, D.C. Howroyd. *Assouad type dimensions for self-affine sponges*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. **42** (2017), no. 1, 149-174.
- [9] L. Y. Huang, H. Rao. *A dimension drop phenomenon of fractal cubes*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **497** (2021), no. 2, 124918, 11 pp.
- [10] L.Y. Huang, Y. Zhang. *Gap sequences of fractal cubes and Bedford-McMullen carpets*, arXiv:2011.10929[math.GT].
- [11] J.E. Hutchinson. *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **30**(1981), no.5, 713-747.
- [12] T. Jordan, M. Rams. *Multifractal analysis for Bedford-McMullen carpets*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **150**(2011), 147-156.

- [13] A. Kanemaki, I.D. Morris. *Structure of equilibrium states on self-affine sets and strict monotonicity of affinity dimension*, Proc.Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **116** (2018), no. 4, 929-956.
- [14] R. Kenyon, Y. Peres. *Measures of full dimension on affine-invariant sets*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **16**(1996), no. 2, 307-323.
- [15] R. Kenyon, Y. Peres. *Hausdorff dimensions of sofic affine-invariant sets*, Israel J. Math. **94** (1996), 157-178.
- [16] J.F. King. *The Singularity Spectrum for General Sierpiński Carpets*, Adv. Math. **116**(1995), 1-11.
- [17] S. Lalley, D. Gatzouras. *Hausdorff and box dimensions of certain self-affine fractals*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **41** (1992), no. 2, 533-568.
- [18] J.M. Mackay. *Assouad dimension of self-affine carpets*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **15**(2011), 177-187.
- [19] R.D. Mauldin, S.C. Williams. *Hausdorff dimension in graph directed constructions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), no. 2, 811-829.
- [20] C. McMullen. *The Hausdorff dimension of general Sierpiński carpets*, Nagoya Math. J. **96** (1984), 1-9.
- [21] J. J. Miao, L.F. Xi, Y. Xiong. *Gap sequences of McMullen sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **145** (2017), no. 4, 1629-1637.
- [22] L. Olsen. *Symbolic and geometrical local dimensions of self-affine multifractal Sierpiński sponges in \mathbb{R}^d* , Stoch. Dyn. **7** (2007), no. 1, 37-51.
- [23] H. Rao, Y. M. Yang, Y. Zhang. *The bi-Lipschitz classification of Bedford-McMullen carpets (I): Invariance of multifractal spectrum and measure preserving property*, Preprint (arXiv:2005.07451 [math.DS]), 2019.
- [24] R.T. Rockafellar. *Convex Analysis*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 28 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970 xviii+451 pp. 26.52 (46.00).
- [25] Y.F. Zhang. *A Lower Bound of Topological Hausdorff Dimension of Fractal Squares*, Fractals. **28** (2020), issue 6, article no. 2050115.
- [26] G.M. Ziegler. *Lectures on polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 152. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. x+370 pp.

SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, HUZHOU UNIVERSITY, HUZHOU, 313000, CHINA;
Email address: 03002@zjhu.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CENTRAL CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY,
 WUHAN, 430079, CHINA.
Email address: xu_y1@mails.ccnu.edu.cn