

# GRADIENT PSEUDO-RICCI SOLITONS OF REAL HYPERSURFACES

MAYUKO KON

ABSTRACT. Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . Suppose that the structure vector field  $\xi$  of  $M$  is an eigen vector field of the Ricci tensor  $S$ ,  $S\xi = \beta\xi$ ,  $\beta$  being a function. We study on  $M$ , a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton as an extended concept of Ricci soliton, closely related to pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces. We show that a 3-dimensional ruled real hypersurface of  $M^2(c)$ ,  $c < 0$  admits a non-trivial gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Our object in this paper is to study a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton on a real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form under the assumption that the structure vector field of a real hypersurface is an eigen vector field of the Ricci tensor. The concept of gradient pseudo-Ricci solitons are closely related to pseudo-Einstein metric on real hypersurfaces.

A Riemannian manifold  $M$  is Einstein if its Ricci tensor is a scalar multiple of the identity at each point. Cartan and Thomas [20] have shown that an Einstein hypersurface of Euclidean space is a hypersphere if its scalar curvature is positive, and Fialkow [6] classified Einstein hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature, while Einstein complex hypersurfaces of complex space forms were classified by Smyth [19].

On the other hand, it is well known that there are no Einstein real hypersurfaces of a non-flat complex space form. So we need the notion of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces. Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a Kaehlerian manifold  $\tilde{M}$  and  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be an *almost contact metric structure* on  $M$  (cf. Blair [2], Yano-Kon [21]). If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  is of the form  $SX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi$  for some constants  $a$  and  $b$ , then  $M$  is called a *pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface*. As for the definition of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces, see also Kon [13]. When  $b = 0$ ,  $M$  is Einstein. We remark that if  $a$  and  $b$  are functions, then they become constants. Any pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface has at most three

constant principal curvatures and becomes a Hopf hypersurface. For pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces of a non-flat complex space form, see Cieliebak-Ryan [3], Kon [10] and Montiel [17]. In case of  $\dim M=3$ , see also Ivey-Ryan [7] and Kim-Ryan [8].

On the other hand, the geometry of Ricci solitons has been the focus of attention of many mathematicians. In particular, it has become more important after Perelman [18] applied Ricci solitons to solve the Poincaré conjecture. A Ricci soliton is said to be trivial if the potential vector field is zero or Killing, in which case the metric is Einstein. A Ricci soliton is called a gradient Ricci soliton if its potential field is the gradient of some smooth function on  $M$ . Later, Ricci soliton was also studied in the theory of various submanifolds of Riemannian manifolds (cf. Chen [4]). For example, in [5], Cho-Kimura proved that a compact Hopf hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form does not admit a Ricci soliton, and that a ruled real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form does not admit a gradient Ricci soliton.

For real hypersurfaces in complex space form, the condition pseudo-Einstein play an important role instead of Einstein. As a corresponding extended concept, we define the notion of pseudo-Ricci solitons and gradient pseudo-Ricci solitons. First we show the existence of non-trivial gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton on 3-dimensional ruled real hypersurface of a complex hyperbolic space. For important results on ruled real hypersurfaces related to our results, refer to Berndt and Díaz-Ramos [1], Lohnherr and Reckziegel [14].

For the study of real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, it is popular condition that the real hypersurface is Hopf, that is, the structure vector field  $\xi$  is a principal vector of the shape operator. However, if we assume that a real hypersurface is Hopf, gradient Ricci soliton and gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton turn to be trivial. So, in our study, we use the condition  $S\xi = \beta\xi$ ,  $\beta$  being a function. We remark that any Hopf hypersurface satisfies this condition and ruled real hypersurfaces are non-Hopf examples which satisfy  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  (see Kon [11], [12]).

Our main theorem is the following

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$ . Suppose the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ . If  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then  $M$  is a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface of  $M^n(c)$  or a 3-dimensional ruled real hypersurface of  $M^2(c)$ ,  $c < 0$ .*

Moreover, in view of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that there does not exist a real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$  admitting a gradient Ricci soliton. Thus, we obtain

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $M$  be a compact real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$  and suppose that the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ . Then  $M$  does not admit a Ricci soliton.*

## 2. REAL HYPERSURFACES

Let  $M^n(c)$  denote the complex projective space of complex dimension  $n$  (real dimension  $2n$ ) with constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $4c$ . We denote by  $J$  the almost complex structure of  $M^n(c)$ . The Hermitian metric of  $M^n(c)$  will be denoted by  $G$ .

Let  $M$  be a real  $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional hypersurface immersed in  $M^n(c)$ . Throughout this paper, we suppose that  $M$  is connected. We denote by  $g$  the Riemannian metric induced on  $M$  from  $G$ . We take the unit normal vector field  $N$  of  $M$  in  $M^n(c)$ . For any vector field  $X$  tangent to  $M$ , we define  $\phi$ ,  $\eta$  and  $\xi$  by

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)N, \quad JN = -\xi,$$

where  $\phi X$  is the tangential part of  $JX$ ,  $\phi$  is a tensor field of type  $(1,1)$ ,  $\eta$  is a 1-form, and  $\xi$  is the unit vector field on  $M$ . We call  $\xi$  the *structure vector field*. Then they satisfy

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0$$

for any vector field  $X$  tangent to  $M$ . Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\phi X, Y) + g(X, \phi Y) &= 0, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi), \\ g(\phi X, \phi Y) &= g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost contact metric structure on  $M$ .

We denote by  $\tilde{\nabla}$  the operator of covariant differentiation in  $M^n(c)$ , and by  $\nabla$  the one in  $M$  determined by the induced metric. Then the *Gauss and Weingarten formulas* are given respectively by

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)N, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_X N = -AX,$$

for any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$  tangent to  $M$ . We call  $A$  the *shape operator* of  $M$ . For the almost contact metric structure on  $M$ , we have

$$\nabla_X \xi = \phi AX, \quad (\nabla_X \phi)Y = \eta(Y)AX - g(AX, Y)\xi.$$

We denote by  $R$  the Riemannian curvature tensor field of  $M$ . Then the *equation of Gauss* is given by

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= c\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(\phi Y, Z)\phi X \\ &\quad - g(\phi X, Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X, Y)\phi Z\} \\ &\quad + g(AY, Z)AX - g(AX, Z)AY, \end{aligned}$$

and the equation of Codazzi by

$$(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = c\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}.$$

From the equation of Gauss, the Ricci tensor  $Ric$  of type (0,2) and  $S$  of type (1,1) of  $M$  are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X, Y) &= g(SX, Y) \\ &= (2n + 1)cg(X, Y) - 3c\eta(X)\eta(Y) \\ &\quad + \text{tr}Ag(AX, Y) - g(AX, AY), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{tr}A$  is the trace of  $A$ . The scalar curvature  $Sc$  of  $M$  is given by  $Sc = \text{tr}S$  and

$$Sc = 4(n^2 - 1)c + (\text{tr}A)^2 - \text{tr}A^2.$$

If the shape operator  $A$  of  $M$  satisfies  $A\xi = \alpha\xi$  for some function  $\alpha$ , then  $M$  is called a *Hopf hypersurface*. By the equation of Codazzi, we have the following result (cf. [16]).

**Proposition A.** *Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface in  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , If  $X \perp \xi$  and  $AX = \lambda X$ , then  $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$  is constant and*

$$(2\lambda - \alpha)A\phi X = (\lambda\alpha + 2c)\phi X.$$

From the equation of Codazzi, we have the following lemma ([13]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . If there exists an orthonormal frame  $\{\xi, e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$  on a sufficiently small neighborhood  $\mathcal{N}$  of  $x \in M$  such that the shape operator  $A$  can be represented as*

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + he_1, \quad Ae_1 = a_1e_1 + h\xi,$$

$$Ae_j = a_j e_j \quad (j = 2, \dots, 2n - 2),$$

then we have, for any  $i, j \geq 2, i \neq j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & (a_j - a_k)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_k) - (a_i - a_k)g(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_k) = 0, \\
(2) \quad & (a_j - a_1)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_1) - (a_i - a_1)g(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_1) \\
& \quad + h(a_i + a_j)g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0, \\
(3) \quad & \{2c - 2a_i a_j + \alpha(a_i + a_j)\}g(\phi e_i, e_j) - hg(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_1) \\
& \quad + hg(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_1) = 0, \\
(4) \quad & (a_j - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_i) - (e_j a_i) = 0, \\
(5) \quad & (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_i) - (e_1 a_i) = 0, \\
(6) \quad & (a_1 - a_j)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_j) + (a_j - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1}e_i, e_j) \\
& \quad + a_i h g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0, \\
(7) \quad & \{2c - 2a_1 a_i + \alpha(a_i + a_1)\}g(\phi e_i, e_1) + hg(\nabla_{e_1}e_i, e_1) \\
& \quad + (e_i h) = 0, \\
(8) \quad & h(2a_i + a_1)g(\phi e_i, e_1) + (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{e_1}e_i, e_1) + (e_i a_1) = 0, \\
(9) \quad & hg(\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_i) - (\xi a_i) = 0, \\
(10) \quad & (c + a_i \alpha - a_i a_j)g(\phi e_i, e_j) + hg(\nabla_{e_i}e_1, e_j) \\
& \quad + (a_j - a_i)g(\nabla_{\xi}e_i, e_j) = 0, \\
(11) \quad & (c + a_i \alpha - a_1 a_i + h^2)g(\phi e_i, e_1) + (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{\xi}e_i, e_1) \\
& \quad + (e_i h) = 0, \\
(12) \quad & h(\alpha - 3a_i)g(\phi e_i, e_1) + hg(\nabla_{\xi}e_i, e_1) + (e_i \alpha) = 0, \\
(13) \quad & (e_1 h) - (\xi a_1) = 0, \\
(14) \quad & (e_1 \alpha) - (\xi h) = 0, \\
(15) \quad & (c + a_1 \alpha - a_1 a_i - h^2)g(\phi e_1, e_i) - (a_1 - a_i)g(\nabla_{\xi}e_1, e_i) \\
& \quad + hg(\nabla_{e_1}e_1, e_i) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $i, j \geq 2, i \neq j$ .

We define the subspace  $L_x \subset T_x(M)$  as the smallest subspace that contains  $\xi$  and is invariant under the shape operator  $A$ . Then  $M$  is Hopf if and only if  $L_x$  is one-dimensional at each point  $x$ . We use the following lemma (see [12]).

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of  $M^n(c)$ . If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ , then  $\dim L_x \leq 2$  at each point  $x$  of  $M^n(c)$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $M$  be a non-Hopf hypersurface of  $M^n(c)$ . If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ , then*

$$(16) \quad \operatorname{tr}A = \alpha + a_1, \quad a_2 + \cdots + a_{2n-2} = 0,$$

$$(17) \quad Sc = 4(n^2 - 1)c + 2(\alpha a_1 - h^2) - \sum_{j=2}^{2n-2} a_j^2.$$

*Proof.* From the assumptions, using Lemma 2.2, we can take an orthonormal frame, given in Lemma 2.1,  $\{\xi, e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$ , locally, such that  $A$  is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} A\xi &= \alpha\xi + he_1, & Ae_1 &= a_1e_1 + h\xi, \\ Ae_j &= a_je_j, & j &= 2, \dots, 2n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S\xi &= (2n-2)c\xi + (\operatorname{tr}A)(he_1 + \alpha\xi) - A(he_1 + \alpha\xi) \\ &= (\operatorname{tr}A - \alpha - a_1)he_1 + \{(2n-2)c + (\operatorname{tr}A)\alpha - h^2 - \alpha^2\}\xi \\ &= \beta\xi. \end{aligned}$$

So we see that  $\operatorname{tr}A = \alpha + a_1$  and  $a_2 + \cdots + a_{2n-2} = 0$ . Moreover, the Ricci tensor  $S$  can be represented as

$$S\xi = \beta\xi, \quad Se_j = \lambda_je_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n-2,$$

where  $\beta$  and  $\lambda_i$  are given by

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta &= (2n-2)c + (\alpha a_1 - h^2), \\ \lambda_1 &= (2n+1)c + (\alpha a_1 - h^2), \\ \lambda_j &= (2n+1)c + \operatorname{tr}A \cdot a_j - a_j^2, \quad j = 2, \dots, 2n-2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the scalar curvature  $Sc$  is given by (17).  $\square$

Here, we state some basic properties of pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces.

**Remark.** Let  $M$  be a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface with  $\dim M > 3$ . Then the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $SX = aX + b\eta(X)\xi$ . Hence,  $S\xi = \beta\xi$ . Suppose  $h \neq 0$ , that is,  $M$  is not Hopf. Then, by (18), we have  $b = -3c$ . Therefore, for any vector  $X$ , we obtain

$$A^2X - (\operatorname{tr}A)AX + (a - (2n+1)c)X = 0.$$

Since  $M$  is not totally umbilical, we see that  $M$  has two principal curvatures  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ . Then  $\lambda + \mu = \operatorname{tr}A$  and  $\lambda\mu = a - (2n+1)c$ . On the other hand,  $\operatorname{tr}A = p\lambda + q\mu, p + q = 2n-1$ . Thus we have

$(p-1)\lambda + (q-1)\mu = 0$ . From Lemma 2.3 of [10], we see  $\text{rank}A > 1$ . Hence  $p, q > 1$ . Consequently,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constant.

Here, for the tangent space at  $x$ , we put

$$T_x(M) = L \oplus T_\lambda \oplus T_\mu,$$

where  $L$  is the subspace spanned by  $\xi$  and  $e_1$ ,  $T_\lambda = \{X : AX = \lambda X, X \perp \xi, e_1\}$ ,  $T_\mu = \{X : AX = \mu X, X \perp \xi, e_1\}$ . By (16) and  $h \neq 0$ , we have  $\dim T_\lambda, \dim T_\mu \geq 2$ .

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be unit vectors of  $L$  such that  $AX = \lambda X$  and  $AY = \mu Y$ . We note  $\eta(X) \neq 0$  and  $\eta(Y) \neq 0$ . For any  $Z \in T_\lambda$ , by the equation of Codazzi,

$$0 = g((\nabla_X A)Z, X) - g((\nabla_Z A)X, X) = -3c\eta(X)g(Z, \phi X).$$

This implies  $g(Z, \phi X) = 0$ , and hence  $\phi X \in T_\mu$ . Similarly, we have  $\phi Y \in T_\lambda$ . On the other hand,  $\phi X = \phi(\eta(X)\xi + g(X, e_1)e_1) = g(X, e_1)\phi e_1$ . Since  $g(X, e_1) \neq 0$ , we have  $\phi e_1 \in T_\mu$ . Similarly,  $\phi Y = g(Y, e_1)\phi e_1$  and hence  $\phi e_1 \in T_\lambda$ . This is a contradiction.

If  $\dim M = 3$ , by (16),  $a_2 = 0$ . From this and (18), we have  $\alpha a_1 - h^2 = 0$  and hence  $(e_2\alpha)a_1 + \alpha(e_2a_1) - 2h(e_2h) = 0$ . Using the equation of Codazzi, we have  $c = 0$  (see (7), (8), (11) and (12)). This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $h = 0$  and  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface.

We introduce an important example of non-Hopf hypersurface. A real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M^n(c)$  ( $c \neq 0, n \geq 2$ ) is called a *ruled real hypersurface* if the holomorphic distribution  $H(M) = \cup_{x \in M} \{X \in T_x(M) : X \perp \xi\}$ , subbundle of the tangent bundle  $T(M)$ , of  $M$  is integrable and each maximal integral manifold is locally congruent to a totally geodesic complex hypersurface  $M^{n-1}(c)$  of  $M^n(c)$ . It is known that every ruled real hypersurface is constructed in the following manner.

Take a regular curve  $\gamma$  in  $M^n(c)$ , defined on some interval, with tangent vector field  $X$ . At each point of  $\gamma$  there is a unique totally geodesic complex hypersurface which is locally congruent to  $M^{n-1}(c)$  of  $M^n(c)$  cutting  $\gamma$  so as to be orthogonal to  $X$  and  $JX$ . The union of these hypersurfaces is called a *ruled real hypersurface* (cf. [9], [14], [15]).

A ruled real hypersurface  $M$  is characterized by the shape operator by

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + he_1, \quad Ae_1 = h\xi, \quad AX = 0$$

for any  $X$  orthogonal to  $\xi$  and  $e_1$ , where  $e_1$  is a unit vector field orthogonal to  $\xi$ . The Ricci tensor  $S$  of a ruled real hypersurface  $M$  satisfies

$S\xi = \beta\xi$ , where  $\beta = (2n - 2)c - h^2$ .

### 3. GRADIENT PSEUDO-RICCI SOLITONS

We now state the definitions of a pseudo-Ricci soliton and a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton.

A vector field  $V$  on a Riemannian manifold  $M$  is said to define a *Ricci soliton* if it satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}L_V g + Ric = \lambda g,$$

where  $L_V g$  is the Lie-derivative of the metric tensor  $g$  with respect to  $V$ ,  $Ric$  is the Ricci tensor of type  $(0,2)$  and  $\lambda$  is a constant. We call the vector field  $V$  the *potential vector field* of the Ricci soliton. A Ricci soliton  $(M, g, V, \lambda)$  is called *shrinking*, *steady* or *expanding* according to  $\lambda > 0$ ;  $\lambda = 0$ ; or  $\lambda < 0$ , respectively. A Ricci soliton is said to be *trivial* if the potential vector field  $V$  is zero or Killing, in which case the metric is Einstein.

A Ricci soliton  $(M, g, V, \lambda)$  is called a *gradient Ricci soliton* if its potential field is the gradient of some smooth function  $-f$  on  $M$ , which called the *potential function*:

$$\text{Hess} f = Ric - \lambda g.$$

A gradient Ricci soliton  $(M, g, f, \lambda)$  is said to be *trivial* if its potential function  $-f$  is a constant. Trivial gradient Ricci solitons are trivial Ricci solitons since  $V = -Df$ . It was proved in Perelman [18] that if  $(M, g, \xi, \lambda)$  is a compact Ricci soliton, the potential field is a gradient of some smooth function  $f$  up to the addition of a Killing field. Thus compact Ricci solitons are gradient Ricci solitons.

In this article, we study real hypersurfaces of a non-flat complex space form. But, it is well known that there are no Einstein real hypersurfaces of a non-flat complex space form. Therefore we define

**Definition 3.1.** A vector field  $V$  on a real hypersurface  $M$  of a non-flat complex space form with an almost contact metric structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is said to define a *pseudo-Ricci soliton* if it satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}L_V g + Ric = \lambda g + \mu\eta \otimes \eta,$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are constants.



A trivial pseudo-Ricci soliton is one for which  $V$  is zero or Killing, in which case the metric is pseudo-Einstein.

**Definition 3.2.** A pseudo-Ricci soliton  $(M, g, V, \eta, \lambda, \mu)$  is said to be *gradient* if its potential field is the gradient of a potential function  $-f$  on  $M$ :

$$\text{Hess}f = \text{Ric} - \lambda g - \mu \eta \otimes \eta.$$

A gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton  $(M, g, f, \lambda, \mu)$  is called *trivial* if its potential function  $-f$  is a constant. Then  $M$  is a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface.

For a Riemannian manifold  $M$  we generally have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}f(X, Y) &= \text{Hess}f(Y, X), \\ (19) \quad \text{Hess}f(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X Df, Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(g(\nabla_X Df, Y) + g(\nabla_Y Df, X)) = \frac{1}{2}(L_{Df}g)(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\{e_j\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $M$ . Then the scalar curvature  $Sc$  and the Ricci tensor  $S$  satisfy

$$(20) \quad \nabla_X Sc = 2 \sum_j g((\nabla_{e_j} S)e_j, X).$$

We now prepare the fundamental formulas for a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton on a real hypersurface.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ . If  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then*

$$(21) \quad g(\nabla_X Df, Y) = g(SX, Y) - \lambda g(X, Y) - \mu \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (22) \quad g(R(X, Y)Df, Z) &= g((\nabla_X S)Y, Z) - g((\nabla_Y S)X, Z) \\ &\quad - \mu g(\phi AX, Y)\eta(Z) - \mu \eta(Y)g(\phi AX, Z) \\ &\quad + \mu g(\phi AY, X)\eta(Z) + \mu \eta(X)g(\phi AY, Z), \end{aligned}$$

$$(23) \quad g(SX, Df) = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_X Sc - \mu g(\phi A\xi, X).$$

*Proof.* For any vector fields  $X$  and  $Y$ , by the assumption and (19), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess}f(X, Y) &= g(\nabla_X Df, Y) \\ &= g(SX, Y) - \lambda g(X, Y) - \mu \eta(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the first equation. Then, using the standard facts about covariant differentiation,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Df, Z) &= g(\nabla_X S)Y, Z) + g(S \nabla_X Y, Z) \\ &\quad - \lambda g(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \mu g(\phi AX, Y) \eta(Z) \\ &\quad - \mu \eta(\nabla_X Y) \eta(Z) - \mu \eta(Y) g(\phi AX, Z). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$g(\nabla_{[X, Y]} Df, Z) = g(S[X, Y], Z) - \lambda g([X, Y], Z) - \mu \eta([X, Y]) \eta(Z).$$

Using these equations and

$$\begin{aligned} &g(R(X, Y) Df, Z) \\ &= g(\nabla_X \nabla_Y Df, Z) - g(\nabla_Y \nabla_X Df, Z) - g(\nabla_{[X, Y]} Df, Z), \end{aligned}$$

we have equation (22).

Let  $\{e_j\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $M$ . By (22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} g(R(X, e_j) Df, e_j) \\ &= \sum_j g((\nabla_X S)e_j, e_j) - g((\nabla_{e_j} S)X, e_j) + \mu g(\phi A\xi, X). \end{aligned}$$

From the equation above and (20), we have (23). □

Using Lemma 3.3, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a non-Hopf hypersurface in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ , and  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton,*

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad & -(e_1\beta) = c(e_1f) + (a_1\alpha - h^2)(e_1f), \\
(25) \quad & (\xi\lambda_1) = -c(\xi f) + (h^2 - a_1\alpha)(\xi f) \\
(26) \quad & (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)g(\nabla_\xi e_1, e_j) + a_1(\lambda_j - \beta + \mu)g(\phi e_1, e_j) = 0, \\
(27) \quad & -(e_j\beta) + h(\beta - \lambda_j - \mu)g(\phi e_1, e_j) = c(e_jf) + a_j\alpha(e_jf), \\
(28) \quad & a_jh(e_jf) = (\lambda_j - \lambda_1)g(\nabla_\xi e_j, e_1) + a_j(\lambda_1 - \beta + \mu)g(\phi e_j, e_1), \\
(29) \quad & (\xi\lambda_j) = -(c + a_j\alpha)(\xi f) - a_jh(e_1f), \\
(30) \quad & ha_j(e_jf) = \{a_1(\lambda_j - \beta) + a_j(\lambda_1 - \beta) + \mu(a_1 + a_j)\}g(\phi e_j, e_1), \\
(31) \quad & (\lambda_j - \lambda_1)g(\nabla_{e_1}e_j, e_1) - (e_j\lambda_1) \\
& \quad = c\{(e_jf) - 3(\phi e_1f)g(\phi e_j, e_1)\} + a_1a_j(e_jf), \\
(32) \quad & (e_1\lambda_j) + (\lambda_j - \lambda_1)g(\nabla_{e_j}e_1, e_j) \\
& \quad = c\{-(e_1f) + 3(\phi e_jf)g(\phi e_1, e_j)\} - a_1a_j(e_1f) - ha_j(\xi f) \\
(33) \quad & \{(a_i + a_j)(\mu - \beta) + a_j\lambda_i + a_i\lambda_j\}g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0, \\
(34) \quad & (\lambda_i - \lambda_1)g(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_1) + (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_1) \\
& \quad = c\{(\phi e_if)g(\phi e_j, e_1) - (\phi e_jf)g(\phi e_i, e_1) \\
& \quad \quad - 2g(\phi e_i, e_j)(\phi e_1f)\}, \\
(35) \quad & (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)g(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_j) - (e_i\lambda_j) \\
& \quad = c\{(e_if) - 3(\phi e_jf)g(\phi e_i, e_j)\} + a_ia_j(e_if), \\
(36) \quad & (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)g(\nabla_{e_j}e_i, e_k) - (\lambda_j - \lambda_k)g(\nabla_{e_i}e_j, e_k) \\
& \quad = c\{(\phi e_if)g(\phi e_j, e_k) - (\phi e_jf)g(\phi e_i, e_k) \\
& \quad \quad - 2g(\phi e_j, e_i)g(\phi Df, e_k)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From (22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(R(\xi, e_1)Df, \xi) \\
& = g((\nabla_\xi S)e_1, \xi) - g((\nabla_{e_1}S)\xi, \xi) \\
& = g(\nabla_\xi\lambda_1e_1, \xi) - g(S\nabla_\xi e_1, \xi) - g(\nabla_{e_1}\beta\xi, \xi) + g(S\nabla_{e_1}\xi, \xi) \\
& = -(e_1\beta).
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the equation of Gauss,

$$g(R(\xi, e_1)Df, \xi) = c(e_1f) + (a_1\alpha - h^2)(e_1f).$$

Thus we obtain (24). Similarly, substituting  $e_1, e_j (j \geq 2), \xi$  into  $X, Y, Z$  in (22), we have the other equations.  $\square$

Next we prepare the following

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a non-Hopf hypersurface in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$*

for some function  $\beta$ , and  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then we have

$$(37) \quad \xi(\xi f) - h(\phi e_1 f) = \beta - \lambda - \mu,$$

$$(38) \quad e_1(\xi f) - a_1(\phi e_1 f) = 0,$$

$$(39) \quad e_j(\xi f) - a_j(\phi e_j f) = 0.$$

*Proof.* By (21), we have

$$g(\nabla_\xi Df, \xi) = \beta - \lambda - \mu.$$

On the other hand, calculating the left-hand side implies

$$g(\nabla_\xi Df, \xi) = \nabla_\xi(\xi f) - g(Df, \phi A\xi) = \xi(\xi f) - h(\phi e_1 f).$$

So we have (37). Similarly, substituting  $e_1, e_j (j \geq 2), \xi$  into  $X, Y$  in (21), we have the other equations.  $\square$

At the end of this section, we have the following lemma directly from (23).

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $M$  be a non-Hopf hypersurface in a complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ , and  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then we have*

$$(40) \quad \beta(\xi f) = -\frac{1}{2}\xi S_c,$$

$$(41) \quad \lambda_1(e_1 f) = -\frac{1}{2}e_1 S_c,$$

$$(42) \quad \lambda_j(e_j f) = -\frac{1}{2}e_j S_c - h\mu g(\phi e_1, e_j).$$

#### 4. NON-TRIVIAL EXAMPLE

In order to describe the distinction of the paper easier, I will first show the existence of an important example of a 3-dimensional non-Hopf hypersurface.

Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional real hypersurface of a complex space form  $M^2(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$  with  $S\xi = \beta\xi$ . Then  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface or a non-Hopf hypersurface such that

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + he_1, \quad Ae_1 = h\xi, \quad Ae_2 = 0 \quad (\phi e_1 = e_2),$$

where  $\{\xi, e_1, e_2 = \phi e_1\}$  is an orthonormal basis.

In the following, we suppose that  $M$  is a non-Hopf hypersurface, that is,  $h \neq 0$ . By (18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= g(S\xi, \xi) = 2c + \alpha a_1 - h^2, \\ \lambda_1 &= g(S e_1, e_1) = 5c + \alpha a_1 - h^2, \quad \lambda_2 = g(S e_2, e_2) = 5c \end{aligned}$$

and the scalar curvature  $Sc$  is given by

$$Sc = 12c + \alpha a_1 - h^2.$$

We remark that the equations in Lemma 2.1 hold except for the case that three distinct integers appear.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a 3-dimensional non-Hopf hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^2(c)$  with  $S\xi = \beta\xi$ . If  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then  $M$  is a ruled real hypersurface with  $h^2 = -c$ , and*

$$Df = \frac{1}{2}he_2, \quad \lambda = 5c, \quad \mu = -\frac{5}{2}c.$$

*Proof.* If  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then

$$g(R(\xi, e_2)Df, e_2) = g((\nabla_\xi S)e_2, e_2) - g((\nabla_{e_2} S)\xi, e_2) = 0.$$

From the equation of Gauss, using  $Ae_2 = 0$ , we see  $\xi f = 0$ . Similarly,

$$g(R(e_1, e_2)Df, e_2) = g((\nabla_{e_1} S)e_2, e_2) - g((\nabla_{e_2} S)e_1, e_2) = 0$$

reduce

$$-4cg(e_1, Df) = (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)g(\nabla_{e_2} e_1, e_2).$$

By (9) and  $a_2 = 0$ ,  $g(\nabla_{e_2} e_1, e_2) = 0$ , and hence  $e_1 f = 0$ . If  $e_2 f = 0$ , we conclude  $Df = 0$  and  $M$  is a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface. So we have  $h = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus we can set  $Df = me_2$ ,  $m$  being a non-zero function. Then, from (21) we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{e_2} Df, e_2) = e_2 m = \lambda_2 - \lambda = 5c - \lambda.$$

We also have  $g(\nabla_{e_1} Df, \xi) = -a_1 g(Df, e_2) = 0$ . Since  $m = e_2 f \neq 0$ , we have  $a_1 = 0$  and  $M$  is a ruled real hypersurface.

By  $g(\nabla_\xi Df, \xi) = -hg(Df, e_2) = -mh$  and (21), we obtain

$$h^2 - mh = 2c - \lambda - \mu.$$

Hence  $h^2 - mh$  is a constant. So we have

$$2h(e_2 h) - (e_2 m)h - m(e_2 h) = 0.$$

On the other hand, by (11),  $e_2 h = c + h^2$ . Using  $e_2 m = 5c - \lambda$ ,

$$2h^4 - (mh)h^2 + (-3c + \lambda)h^2 - cmh = 0.$$

Substituting  $mh = -2c + h^2 + \lambda + \mu$ ,

$$h^4 - (2c + \mu)h^2 + c(2c - \lambda - \mu) = 0.$$

Consequently, we see  $h$  is a constant, and hence  $m$  is also a constant. Then we have  $\lambda = 5c$  and  $c + h^2 = 0$ ,  $c < 0$ . Moreover, by (21), we have  $g(\nabla_{e_1} Df, e_1) = \lambda_1 - \lambda = -h^2 = c$ . From  $Df = me_2$ , we see

$mg(\nabla_{e_1}e_2, e_1) = c$ . By (15), we also have  $hg(\nabla_{e_1}e_2, e_1) = 2c$ . From these equations we obtain  $m = \frac{1}{2}h$ . From (23), we also have

$$g(Se_2, Df) = -\frac{1}{2}e_2Sc - \mu h = -\mu h,$$

because  $Sc$  is a constant. From these equations, we have  $\mu = -\frac{5}{2}c$ . These complete our assertion.  $\square$

In Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 in [15], Maeda, Adachi and Kim studied a shape operator of ruled real hypersurfaces. We consider the case  $\nu^2 = h^2 = |c|$ ,  $c < 0$  in Lemma 2. We prove this example satisfies the condition  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$  and admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $M$  be a ruled real hypersurface in  $M^2(c)$ ,  $c < 0$ . If  $h^2 = -c$ , then the Ricci tensor satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$  and  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton for*

$$\lambda = 5c, \quad \mu = -\frac{5}{2}c, \quad f(\rho(s)) = \frac{h}{2}s,$$

where  $\rho$  is a geodesic with  $\dot{\rho}(0) = e_2(\rho(0))$ , which is the integral curve of  $e_2$  through the point  $\rho(0)$ .

*Proof.* Using  $A\xi = he_1 + \alpha\xi$ ,  $Ae_1 = h\xi$  and  $Ae_2 = 0$ ,

$$S\xi = (2c - h^2)\xi = 3c\xi.$$

Next we have

$$g(Se_1, e_1) = 5c - h^2 = 6c.$$

On the other hand, we obtain

$$\text{Hess}f(e_1, e_1) = g(\nabla_{e_1}Df, e_1) = \frac{h}{2}g(\nabla_{e_1}e_2, e_1).$$

From (15), we have

$$hg(\nabla_{e_1}e_2, e_1) = c - h^2 = -2h^2.$$

Thus we obtain  $\text{Hess}(f)(e_1, e_1) = -h^2 = c$ . Hence we have

$$\text{Hess}f(e_1, e_1) = g(Se_1, e_1) - 5cg(e_1, e_1) + \frac{5c}{2}\eta(e_1)\eta(e_1).$$

Similarly we obtain

$$\text{Hess}f(X, Y) = g(SX, Y) - 5cg(X, Y) + \frac{5c}{2}\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

when  $X$  and  $Y$  are one of  $e_1, e_2, \xi$ , respectively.  $\square$

From these results, we have the following

**Theorem 4.3.** *A real hypersurface of  $M^2(c)$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton and the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$  if and only if  $M$  is one of the following*

- (1) *pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface,*
- (2) *a ruled real hypersurface with a unit vector field  $e_1$  orthogonal to  $\xi$  and the shape operator satisfies*

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi \pm \sqrt{|c|}e_1, \quad Ae_1 = \pm\sqrt{|c|}\xi, \quad A\phi e_1 = 0$$

*for some function  $\alpha$ .*

## 5. NON-HOPF HYPERSURFACES

If the Ricci tensor  $S$  of a real hypersurface  $M$  of a non-flat complex space form satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$ , by Lemma 2.2,  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface or a non-Hopf hypersurface which satisfies (16), (17) and (18). In this section, under the assumption  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  and  $n \geq 3$ , we study a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton on a non-Hopf hypersurfaces  $M$ . The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ . Suppose the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ . If  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface.*

The proof of this theorem will follow from a series of lemmas. In the following, we suppose that  $M$  is a real hypersurface of  $M^n(c)$ ,  $c \neq 0$ ,  $n \geq 3$  with  $S\xi = \beta\xi$ , and  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton

$$\text{Hess}f = \text{Ric} - \lambda g - \mu\eta \otimes \eta,$$

where  $-f$  on  $M$  denote the potential function.

We study the case that  $M$  is non-Hopf, and work in an open set where  $h \neq 0$ . Here, in view of Lemma 2.2, we take an orthonormal basis

$$\{\xi, e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$$

locally, such that

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi + he_1, \quad Ae_1 = a_1e_1 + h\xi, \quad Ae_j = a_je_j \quad (j = 2, \dots, 2n-2).$$

**Lemma 5.2.**  $\xi f = 0$ .

*Proof.* By (5) and (9), we have

$$(a_1 - a_i)(\xi a_i) = h(e_1 a_i).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\xi a_i^2 = a_1(\xi a_i) - h(e_1 a_i).$$

Using (16), we have

$$\xi\left(\sum_{j=2}^{2n-2} a_j^2\right) = 0.$$

On the other hand, (16), (18) and (29) imply

$$\xi \sum_{j=2}^{2n-2} a_j^2 = (2n-3)c(\xi f) = 0.$$

So we obtain  $\xi f = 0$ .

□

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $a_1 \neq 0$ , then  $a_1\alpha - h^2$  is constant.*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 5.2 and (37), we have

$$-h(\phi e_1 f) = (2n-2)c + a_1\alpha - h^2 - \lambda - \mu.$$

By (38), we get

$$(43) \quad a_1(\phi e_1 f) = 0,$$

Since  $a_1 \neq 0$ , we have  $\phi e_1 f = 0$ . Thus we obtain

$$(2n-2)c + a_1\alpha - h^2 - \lambda - \mu = 0.$$

Since  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  and  $c$  are constant,  $a_1\alpha - h^2$  is also constant. □

**Lemma 5.4.**  $a_1 = 0$ .

*Proof.* We suppose  $a_1 \neq 0$ . From Lemma 5.2 and (38), we obtain  $\phi e_1 f = 0$ . By Lemma 5.2 and (39), we have

$$(44) \quad a_j(\phi e_j f) = 0.$$

If  $a_j \neq 0$  for all  $j \geq 2$ , then  $\phi e_j f = 0$ . By Lemma 5.2, we have  $Df = 0$ . In this case  $M$  is pseudo-Einstein. This contradicts the assumption that  $M$  is not Hopf. So we see that there exists some  $j$  such that  $a_j = 0$ . By Lemma 5.3 and (24), we obtain

$$(c + a_1\alpha - h^2)(e_1 f) = 0.$$

We suppose  $a_j = 0$ . Then, from (32) and (35), we have

$$(45) \quad \begin{aligned} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_j)g(\nabla_{e_j} e_1, e_j) &= c\{(e_1 f) - 3(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_1, e_j)\}, \\ (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)g(\nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_j) &= c\{(e_i f) - 3(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_i, e_j)\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (9), we see that  $g(\nabla_{e_j} e_1, e_j) = 0$ . So if  $a_j = 0$ , then we have

$$c(e_1 f) = 3c(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_1, e_j).$$



We denote  $p$  the number of  $a_j$  that satisfies  $a_j = 0$ . We remark that if  $a_j \neq 0$ , then  $\phi e_j f = 0$  from (44). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} pc(e_1 f) &= \sum_{a_j=0} 3c(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_1, e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} 3c(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_1, e_j) \\ &= -3c(e_1 f). \end{aligned}$$

From this equation, we obtain  $(e_1 f) = 0$ .

Next, by (4), when  $a_j = 0$  and  $i \neq j$ , we have  $a_i g(\nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_j) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_i - \lambda_j = (a_1 + \alpha)a_i - a_i^2$ , we see that

$$(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)g(\nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_j) = 0.$$

From (45), we obtain

$$(e_i f) - 3(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} pc(e_i f) &= \sum_{a_j=0} 3(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_i, e_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{2n-2} 3(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_i, e_j) \\ &= -3c(e_i f). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used  $\phi e_1 f = 0$ . From this equation, we obtain  $e_i f = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .

If  $p \geq 2$ , there exist  $a_j = 0$  and  $a_k = 0$ . Then we have  $e_i f = 0$  when  $i \neq j$  or  $i \neq k$ . Thus we see that  $e_i f = 0$  for any  $i \geq 2$ , and hence  $Df = 0$ . In this case,  $M$  is pseudo-Einstein and Hopf, this is a contradiction.

Next we consider the case that  $p = 1$ . If  $a_j = 0$ , then we have  $Df = me_j$  for some function  $m$ . Since  $a_1 \neq 0$  and  $a_i \neq 0$  for any  $i \neq j$ , (43) and (44) imply that  $\phi e_1 f = 0$  and  $\phi e_i f = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ). Moreover, from Lemma 5.1, we have  $\xi f = 0$ . Since  $e_j$  is spanned by  $\phi e_i$ ,  $i \neq j$ , so we see that  $e_j f = 0$ . Hence we have  $Df = 0$  and this is a contradiction.  $\square$

From the proof of this lemma, we also have

**Lemma 5.5.** *If there exist  $j \geq 2$  such that  $a_j = 0$ , then we have  $e_1 f = 0$ .*

Next we prove the following

**Lemma 5.6.** *There exist  $j \geq 2$  such that  $a_j = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We suppose  $a_j \neq 0$  for any  $j \geq 2$ . By Lemma 5.2 and (39), we have  $\phi e_j f = 0$  for any  $j \geq 2$  and  $\xi f = 0$ . So we can represent  $Df = m\phi e_1$  for some function  $m$ .

By Lemma 5.4, we have  $a_1 = 0$ . So (37) implies that

$$(46) \quad -h(\phi e_1 f) = \{(2n-2)c - h^2\} - \lambda - \mu.$$

Since  $Df = m\phi e_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{e_1} Df, e_1) &= g(\nabla_{e_1} m\phi e_1, e_1) \\ &= -mg(\phi e_1, \nabla_{e_1} e_1) \\ &= -\sum_{k \geq 2} mg(\phi e_1, e_k)g(e_k, \nabla_{e_1} e_1). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_1 = 0$  and  $a_j \neq 0$  for  $j \geq 2$ , (8) implies

$$g(\nabla_{e_1} e_1, e_j) = -2hg(\phi e_j, e_1).$$

From these equations, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_{e_1} Df, e_1) &= \sum_{k \geq 2} 2mhg(\phi e_1, e_k)g(\phi e_k, e_1) \\ &= -2mh. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (21), we have

$$g(\nabla_{e_1} Df, e_1) = \lambda_1 - \lambda.$$

From these equations and (18), we obtain

$$(47) \quad -2mh = (2n+1)c - h^2 - \lambda.$$

By (46) and (47), we have  $mh = -3c - \mu$ , and hence  $mh$  is constant. Moreover, again using (46) and (47), we have

$$h^2 = (2n-5)c - \lambda - 2\mu.$$

So  $h$  is constant, from which we see that  $m$  is also constant.

From (7) and  $a_1 = 0$ , we obtain

$$(48) \quad (2c + a_j\alpha + 2h^2)g(\phi e_j, e_1) = 0.$$

If there exist  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  such that  $g(\phi e_j, e_1) \neq 0$  and  $g(\phi e_i, e_1) \neq 0$ , then we have

$$2c + a_j\alpha + 2h^2 = 2c + a_i\alpha + 2h^2 = 0,$$

and hence  $(a_j - a_i)\alpha = 0$ . When  $\alpha = 0$ , using (11), (12) and  $c + h^2 = 0$ , we have  $g(\nabla_{\xi} e_i, e_1) = 0$  and  $g(e_1, \phi e_i) = 0$  for any  $i \geq 2$ . This is a contradiction. So we see that  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Hence if  $g(\phi e_j, e_1) \neq 0$  and  $g(\phi e_i, e_1) \neq 0$ , then  $a_i = a_j$ . Thus we can represent  $A\phi e_1 = a\phi e_1$  for

some function  $a$ . Taking a suitable permutation, we can put  $\phi e_1 = e_2$  and  $a_2 = a$ . By (48), we remark that

$$(49) \quad 2c + a_2\alpha + 2h^2 = 0.$$

Thus we see that  $a_2\alpha$  is constant.

By (21), we have

$$g(\nabla_{e_2} Df, e_2) = (2n + 1)c + a_2\alpha - a_2^2 - \lambda.$$

Since  $m$  is constant, we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{e_2} Df, e_2) = g(\nabla_{e_2} m e_2, e_2) = 0.$$

From these equations, we have

$$(50) \quad a_2^2 = (2n + 1)c + a_2\alpha - \lambda.$$

Since  $a_2\alpha$  is constant, we see that  $a_2$  is constant and  $\alpha$  is also constant since  $a_2 \neq 0$ .

By (11) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (c + a_2\alpha + h^2)g(\phi e_2, e_1) - a_2g(\nabla_{\xi} e_2, e_1) &= 0, \\ h(\alpha - 3a_2)g(\phi e_2, e_1) + hg(\nabla_{\xi} e_2, e_1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these equations, we obtain

$$c + 2a_2\alpha - 3a_2^2 + h^2 = 0.$$

Combining this with (49), we have

$$(51) \quad c + h^2 + a_2^2 = 0.$$

From these equations, we see that  $\alpha = 2a_2$ .

By (8), we have  $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_2, e_1) = -2h$ . Using (31), we obtain

$$(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)g(\nabla_{e_1} e_2, e_1) = 4cm.$$

Hence we see that  $2cm = -h(a_2\alpha - a_2 + h^2)$ . Since  $\alpha = 2a_2$ , by (51), we have  $h = 2m$ . By (47), we have  $\lambda = (2n + 1)c$ . So (50) implies that  $a_2 = \alpha$ , this is a contradiction. □

From this lemma, there exists  $a_j = 0$ ,  $j \geq 2$ . For this integer  $j$ , using (9), we have  $g(\nabla_{e_j} e_1, e_j) = 0$ . Besides, by Lemma 5.5 and (32), we have

$$3c(\phi e_j f)g(\phi e_1, e_j) = 0,$$

Hence we see that if  $a_j = 0$ , then we have  $\phi e_j f = 0$  or  $g(\phi e_1, e_j) = 0$ . When  $g(\phi e_1, e_j) = 0$ , by (11), we have  $e_j h = 0$ . In this case, (7) implies that  $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_j, e_1) = 0$ . Thus from (31), we have  $e_j f = 0$ .

Taking a suitable permutation, we can take an orthonormal basis  $\{\xi, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$  such that  $a_j = 0$  and  $\phi e_j f = 0$  for  $j = 2, \dots, q$ ,

$a_j = 0$  and  $\phi e_j f \neq 0$  for  $j = q+1, \dots, r$ ,  $a_j \neq 0$  for  $j = r+1, \dots, 2n-2$ . We put  $H_{01} = \langle e_2, \dots, e_q \rangle$ ,  $H_{02} = \langle e_{q+1}, \dots, e_r \rangle$ ,  $H_0 = \langle e_2, \dots, e_r \rangle$ ,  $H_1 = \langle e_{r+1}, \dots, e_{2n-2} \rangle$ , respectively. That is, for  $j, t \geq 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{01} &= \text{Span}\{e_j : a_j = 0, \phi e_j f = 0\}, \\ H_{02} &= \text{Span}\{e_j : a_j = 0, \phi e_j f \neq 0\}, \\ H_1 &= \text{Span}\{e_t : a_t \neq 0, \phi e_t f = 0\}, \\ H_0 &= H_{01} \oplus H_{02}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $e_j \in H_{02}$ , then  $g(\phi e_1, e_j) = 0$ . This implies that  $\phi e_1 \in H_{01} \oplus H_1$ .

We note that if  $e_t \in H_1$ , then (30) implies

$$(52) \quad h(e_t f) = (3c + \mu)g(\phi e_t, e_1).$$

On the other hand, when  $e_i \in H_0$  and  $e_t \in H_1$ , by (33),

$$(53) \quad (\mu + 3c + h^2)g(\phi e_i, e_t) = 0.$$

**Lemma 5.7.** *We have  $\mu + 3c + h^2 \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We suppose  $\mu + 3c + h^2 = 0$ . We remark that  $h$  is constant in this case. When  $e_j \in H_0$ , by (11),

$$(c + h^2)g(\phi e_j, e_1) = 0.$$

First we consider the case  $c + h^2 \neq 0$ . In this case we see that  $\phi e_1 \in H_1$ . Using (7) and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2c + a_t \alpha)g(\phi e_t, e_1) + hg(\nabla_{e_1} e_t, e_1) &= 0, \\ 2a_t hg(\phi e_t, e_1) - a_j g(\nabla_{e_1} e_t, e_1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these equations, using  $a_t \neq 0$ , we have

$$(2h^2 + 2c + a_t \alpha)g(\phi e_t, e_1) = 0.$$

Thus we see that if  $g(\phi e_1, e_t) \neq 0$ , then  $a_t \alpha = -2h^2 - 2c \neq 0$ . So we can put  $a_t = m$  where  $m\alpha = -2c - 2h^2$ . Since  $\phi e_1 \in H_1$ , we can represent  $\phi e_1 = \sum_{e_k \in H_1} \mu_k e_k$ . We remark that if  $\mu_k \neq 0$ , then  $Ae_k = me_k$ . Hence we obtain

$$A\phi e_1 = \sum_{e_k \in H_1} \mu_k Ae_k = m \left( \sum_{e_k \in H_1} \mu_k e_k \right) = m\phi e_1.$$

Using (11), (26) and  $A\phi e_1 = m\phi e_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (c + h^2)g(\phi e_t, e_1) - a_t g(\nabla_{e_1} e_t, e_1) &= 0, \\ (h^2 + 2c - m^2)g(\nabla_{e_1} e_t, e_1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From these equations, we have  $(c + h^2)(h^2 + 2c - m^2) = 0$ . Since  $h^2 + c \neq 0$ , we have  $m^2 = h^2 + 2c$ , from which we see that  $m$  is

constant. By  $m\alpha = -2c - 2h^2$ ,  $\alpha$  is also constant. Thus, putting  $e_t = \phi e_1$ , (12) implies that

$$g(\nabla_{\xi} e_t, e_1) = \alpha - 3m.$$

Using (8), we have  $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_t, e_1) = -2h$ . From (28) we obtain  $e_t f = h$ . By (31), we have

$$(m\alpha - m^2 + h^2)g(\nabla_{e_1} e_t, e_1) = 4ch.$$

From these equations, we have  $h^2 + c = 0$ , this is a contradiction.

Next we consider the case  $h^2 + c = 0$ . Again using (7) and (8), we have

$$a_t \alpha g(\phi e_t, e_1) = 0.$$

If there exists  $e_t \in H_1$  such that  $g(\phi e_t, e_1) \neq 0$ , then  $a_t \alpha = 0$ . Since  $a_t \neq 0$ , we have  $\alpha = 0$ . From (11) and  $c + h^2 = 0$ , we obtain  $g(\nabla_{\xi} e_j, e_1) = 0$  for any  $j \geq 2$ . From this and (12), we have

$$-3a_j h g(e_1, \phi e_j) = 0$$

for any  $j \geq 2$ . This contradicts to the assumption that there exists  $e_t \in H_1$  such that  $g(\phi e_t, e_1) \neq 0$ . Hence this case does not occur. So we see that for any  $e_t \in H_1$ , we have  $g(\phi e_t, e_1) = 0$ , that is,  $\phi e_1 \in H_0$ . Taking a suitable permutation, we can put  $e_2 = \phi e_1$ . By (27) and  $c + h^2 = 0$ , we have  $e_2 f = 0$ . Thus (31) implies that  $g(\nabla_{e_1} e_2, e_1) = 0$ . By (7), we have  $cg(\phi e_2, e_1) = 0$ . This is a contradiction.  $\square$

From this lemma and (53), we see that  $g(\phi e_i, e_t) = 0$  for  $e_i \in H_0$  and  $e_t \in H_1$ , that is,  $\phi H_0 \perp H_1$ .

When  $e_i \in H_{02}$ , then  $\phi e_i f \neq 0$  and hence  $g(\phi e_i, e_1) = 0$ . So, we have  $\phi H_{02} \subset H_0$ . Moreover, when  $e_i, e_j \in H_{02}$ , since  $a_i = a_j = 0$ ,  $e_i f = 0$  and  $\phi e_j f \neq 0$ , (35) implies that  $g(\phi e_i, e_j) = 0$ . Hence we see that  $\phi H_{02} \subset H_{01}$ .

When  $e_i, e_j \in H_{01}$  and  $i \neq j$ , since  $a_i = a_j = 0$  and  $\phi e_i f = \phi e_j f = 0$ , (35) implies that  $e_i f = 0$  and  $e_j f = 0$ . Thus we see that if  $\dim H_{01} \geq 2$ , then we have  $e_i f = 0$  for any  $e_i \in H_{01}$ . On the other hand, since  $\phi H_{02} \subset H_{01}$  and  $\phi e_j f \neq 0$  for  $e_j \in H_{02}$ , from which we see that  $\dim H_{02} = 0$ .

Next we consider the case  $\dim H_{01} = 1$ , that is,  $H_{01} = \langle e_2 \rangle$ . Since  $\phi H_{02} \subset H_{01}$ , we see that  $\dim H_{02} \leq 1$ . If  $\dim H_{02} = 1$ , then we have  $\phi H_{02} = H_{01}$ . On the other hand, when  $\dim H_{02} = 0$ , since  $\phi H_1 \perp H_0$ , we have  $\phi e_2 = \pm e_1$ . We can suppose  $\phi e_1 = e_2$ .

From these consideration, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.8.** *We have the following three cases:*

Case 1:  $\dim H_{01} \geq 2$ ,  $H_0 = H_{01}$ ,  $e_j f = 0$ ,  $\phi e_j f = 0$  ( $e_j \in H_{01}$ ),

Case 2:  $\dim H_{01} = 1$ ,  $\phi H_{02} = H_{01}$ ,  $e_j f \neq 0$  ( $e_j \in H_{01}$ ),  $\phi e_1 \in H_1$ ,

Case 3:  $\dim H_{01} = 1$ ,  $\dim H_{02} = 0$ ,  $\phi H_1 = H_1$ ,  $H_0$  is spanned by  $\phi e_1$ .

Next we show the following

**Lemma 5.9.** *Case 1 and Case 2 do not occur.*

*Proof.* From (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_t - \lambda_i)g(\nabla_{e_i} e_t, e_i) - (e_t \lambda_i) \\ &= c\{(e_t f) - e(\phi e_i f)g(\phi e_t, e_i)\} + a_i a_t (e_j f). \end{aligned}$$

If  $a_i = 0$  and  $a_t \neq 0$ , by (4), we have  $a_j g(\nabla_{e_i} e_t, e_i) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_i = (2n+1)c$  and  $\lambda_t = (2n+1)c + a_t \operatorname{tr} A - a_t^2$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda_t - \lambda_i)g(\nabla_{e_i} e_t, e_i) - (e_j \lambda_i) \\ &= (a_t \operatorname{tr} A - a_t^2)g(\nabla_{e_i} e_t, e_i) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since  $\phi H_1 \perp H_0$ , we have  $g(\phi e_t, e_i) = 0$ . From these equations, we see that  $e_t f = 0$  for any  $e_t \in H_1$ .

When the shape operator satisfies the Case 1, by Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.8, we have  $Df = 0$  and  $M$  is pseudo-Einstein. This contradicts the assumption that  $h \neq 0$ .

Next we consider the Case 2. We put  $e_i \in H_{01}$ ,  $e_j \in H_{02}$  and  $\phi e_j = e_i$ . Since  $a_i = a_j = 0$  and  $\phi e_1 \in H_1$ , (10) and (34) imply

$$\begin{aligned} 2cg(\phi e_i, e_j) &= hg(\nabla_{e_i} e_j, e_1) - hg(\nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_1), \\ h^2 g(\nabla_{e_j} e_i, e_1) - h^2 g(\nabla_{e_i} e_j, e_1) \\ &= -2g(\phi e_i, e_j)(\phi e_1 f)c. \end{aligned}$$

From these equation, we have  $\phi e_1 f = h \neq 0$ . Since  $\phi e_1 \in H_1$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

We consider the Case 3 and prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.10.** *We have  $\dim H_{01} = 1$ ,  $\dim H_{02} = 0$ ,  $\phi e_1 = e_2 \in H_{01}$  and  $a_1 = a_2 = 0$ ,  $a_t \neq 0$ ,  $t = 3, \dots, 2n-2$ . Moreover, we have*

$$h^2 = -c, \quad Df = \frac{h}{2}\phi e_1, \quad \lambda = (2n+1)c, \quad \mu = -\frac{5c}{2}$$

*Proof.* From the same proof of Lemma 5.9, we have  $e_t f = 0$  for any  $e_t \in H_1$ . So we obtain  $Df = m\phi e_1 = me_2$  for some function  $m$ . By (37), we obtain

$$(54) \quad -mh = \{(2n-2)c - h^2\} - \lambda - \mu.$$

On the other hand, by (21),

$$mg(\nabla_{e_1}e_2, e_1) = \lambda_1 - \lambda.$$

Using (15), we have

$$hg(\nabla_{e_1}e_1, e_2) = -c + h^2.$$

Combining these equations, we obtain

$$(55) \quad h\{(2n+1)c - h^2 - \lambda\} = m(c - h^2).$$

From (54) and (55), we have

$$(56) \quad (2c + \mu)h^2 + c\{(2n-2)c - \lambda - \mu\} = 0.$$

First we consider the case that  $2c + \mu = 0$ . Then we have  $\lambda = 2nc$ . Moreover (54) implies that  $mh = h^2$ . Since  $h \neq 0$ , we have  $m = h$ . Since  $Df = he_2$ , we have  $g(\nabla_{e_2}Df, e_2) = e_2h$ . Thus, from (21), we obtain

$$e_2h = \lambda_2 - \lambda = c.$$

Combining this with (7) and (15), we have  $h^2 = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

Next we suppose that  $2c + \mu \neq 0$ . From (54) and (56), we see that  $h$  and  $m$  are constant. Using (7) and (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} hg(\nabla_{e_1}e_1, e_2) &= -2c, \\ hg(\nabla_{e_1}e_1, e_2) &= -c + h^2. \end{aligned}$$

So we get  $h^2 + c = 0$ .

Since  $m$  is constant, we see that  $g(\nabla_{e_2}Df, e_2) = 0$ . So we have

$$0 = \lambda_2 - \lambda = (2n+1)c - \lambda.$$

Thus we have  $\lambda = (2n+1)c$ . Using (55), we obtain  $h = 2m$ . So (54) implies that  $\mu = -\frac{5c}{2}$ . □

**Lemma 5.11.** *Case 3 does not occur.*

*Proof.* By (11), we have  $g(\nabla_{e_s}e_s, e_1) = 0$  when  $e_s \in H_1$ . Thus (12) implies that  $e_s\alpha = 0$ . Next, by (4) and (35), we have

$$(57) \quad (a_s - a_t)g(\nabla_{e_t}e_s, e_t) - (e_s a_t) = 0,$$

$$(58) \quad (\lambda_s - \lambda_t)g(\nabla_{e_t}e_s, e_t) - (e_s \lambda_j) = 0.$$

when  $e_s, e_t \in H_1$  and  $s \neq t$ . Combining these equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= (a_s\alpha - a_s^2 - a_t\alpha + a_t^2)g(\nabla_{e_t}e_s, e_t) - e_s(a_t\alpha - a_t^2) \\
&= (\alpha - a_s - a_t)(a_s - a_t)g(\nabla_{e_t}e_s, e_t) + (2a_t - \alpha)(e_s a_t) \\
&= (\alpha - a_s - a_t)(e_s a_t) + (2a_t - \alpha)(e_s a_t) \\
&= (a_t - a_s)(e_s a_t).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, when  $a_s \neq a_t$ , we have  $(e_s a_t) = 0$ . On the other hand, by (4), if  $a_s = a_t$ , then we have  $(e_s a_t) = 0$ . So we see that if  $e_s, e_t \in H_1$  and  $s \neq t$ , then  $e_s a_t = 0$ . Since  $\sum_{t \geq 2} a_t = 0$ , we have

$$0 = e_s \left( \sum_{t \geq 2} a_t \right) = e_s a_s.$$

Next, by (5) and (32), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&-a_t g(\nabla_{e_t} e_1, e_t) - (e_1 a_t) = 0, \\
&(e_1 \lambda_s) + (a_s \alpha - a_s^2 + h^2)g(\nabla_{e_s} e_1, e_s) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From these equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= e_1(a_s \alpha - a_s^2) + (a_s \alpha - a_s^2 + h^2)g(\nabla_{e_s} e_1, e_s) \\
&= (\alpha - 2a_s)(e_1 a_s) + (a_s \alpha - a_s^2 + h^2)g(\nabla_{e_s} e_1, e_s) \\
&= (a_s^2 + h^2)g(\nabla_{e_s} e_1, e_s).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we note that (14) implies that  $(e_1 \alpha) = 0$  since  $h$  is constant by  $h^2 = -c$  in Lemma 5.10. Since  $a_s^2 + h^2 > 0$ , we have  $g(\nabla_{e_s} e_1, e_s) = 0$  for any  $e_s \in H_1$ . By (5) and (9), we obtain  $e_1 a_s = 0$ ,  $\xi a_s = 0$ .

Next we consider  $e_2 a_s$ . From (4) and (35), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&a_s g(\nabla_{e_s} e_2, e_s) = -e_2 a_s, \\
&(a_s^2 - a_s \alpha)g(\nabla_{e_s} e_2, e_s) - (e_2 \lambda_s) = \frac{hc}{2},
\end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$(59) \quad a_s(e_2 a_s) - a_s(e_2 \alpha) = \frac{hc}{2}.$$

By (21), we obtain

$$g(\nabla_{e_s} Df, e_s) = \lambda_s - (2n + 1)c = a_s \alpha - a_s^2.$$

Since  $Df = \frac{h}{2}e_2$ , we have

$$\frac{h}{2}g(\nabla_{e_s} e_2, e_s) = a_s \alpha - a_s^2.$$

By (4), we have

$$a_i g(\nabla_{e_s} e_2, e_s) + (e_2 a_s) = 0.$$



From these equations, we have

$$(60) \quad h(e_2 a_s) = 2a_i(a_s^2 - a_s \alpha).$$

Using (59), we obtain

$$(61) \quad 2a_s^4 - 2a_s^3 \alpha - \frac{1}{2}h^2 c - a_s h(e_2 \alpha) = 0.$$

Next we compute  $e_2 \alpha$ . By (12) and (26), we have  $g(\nabla_\xi e_1, e_2) = 0$  and

$$(62) \quad (e_2 \alpha) = h \alpha.$$

From (61), using  $h^2 = -c$ , we obtain

$$2a_s^4 - 2a_s^3 \alpha - \frac{1}{2}h^2 c - a_s h^2 \alpha = 0.$$

So we obtain

$$a_s \alpha = \frac{2a_s^4 + \frac{c^2}{2}}{2a_s^2 - c} > 0.$$

We remark that  $c < 0$  by  $h^2 + c = 0$ , so we have  $a_s^2 - c > 0$ . Since  $\sum_{s=1}^{2n-2} a_s = 0$ , this is a contradiction.  $\square$

From these lemmas we have our Theorem 5.1.

## 6. HOPF HYPERSURFACES

In this section we consider the case that  $M$  is a Hopf hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . We remark that a Hopf hypersurface satisfies the condition  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ .

We take an orthonormal basis  $\{\xi, e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$  such that

$$A\xi = \alpha\xi, \quad Ae_j = a_j e_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n-2.$$

We notice that  $\alpha$  is a constant. We also obtain

$$S\xi = \beta\xi, \quad Se_j = \lambda_j e_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, 2n-2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= (2n-2)c + \alpha \sum a_j, \\ \lambda_j &= (2n+1)c + a_j \operatorname{tr} A - a_j^2, \\ S c &= 4(n^2-1)c + (\operatorname{tr} A)^2 - \alpha^2 - \sum a_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

By the equation of Codazzi, we have  $\xi a_j = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, 2n-2$ . So we see that  $\xi\beta = 0$ ,  $\xi\lambda_j = 0$ ,  $\xi(\operatorname{tr} A) = 0$  and  $\xi S c = 0$ . Moreover, from Proposition A, we have

$$(63) \quad (2a_j - \alpha)\bar{a}_j = \alpha a_j + 2c,$$

where we set  $\bar{a}_j = g(A\phi e_j, e_j)$ .

We show the following

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . If  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then  $M$  is a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface.*

*Proof.* By (22), we have

$$g(R(\xi, e_j)e_j, Df) = 0.$$

From the equation of Gauss,

$$g(R(\xi, e_j)e_j, Df) = (c + \alpha a_j)g(\xi, Df).$$

Hence we have

$$(c + \alpha a_j)g(\xi, Df) = 0.$$

If  $c + \alpha a_j = 0$  for all  $j$ , then  $a_j = a_i$  for all  $i, j$ . We put  $a = a_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 2n - 2$ . Then (63) implies  $(2a - \alpha)a = c$ . Hence we have

$$2a^2 = c + \alpha a = 0.$$

Thus we obtain  $a = 0$  and  $c = 0$ . This is a contradiction.

So there exists  $j$  such that  $c + \alpha a_j \neq 0$ , from which we obtain  $g(\xi, Df) = 0$ . This shows  $\xi f = 0$ . Therefore, by (21),

$$\text{Hess}f(e_j, \xi) = -g(Df, \phi A e_j) = -a_j g(Df, \phi e_j) = 0.$$

If  $a_j \neq 0$ , then  $g(Df, \phi e_j) = 0$ . When  $a_j = 0$ , (63) implies  $-\alpha \bar{a}_j = 2c$ . Thus we see that

$$\bar{a}_j = -\frac{2c}{\alpha}$$

is constant. Moreover, the equation of Gauss and (22) imply

$$\begin{aligned} g(R(\phi e_j, e_j)Df, e_j) &= -4cg(\phi e_j, Df) \\ &= -\bar{a}_j(\text{tr}A - \bar{a}_j)g(\nabla_{e_j}\phi e_j, e_j). \end{aligned}$$

From the equation of Codazzi, we also have

$$\bar{a}_j g(\nabla_{e_j}\phi e_j, e_j) = 0.$$

Hence we have  $4cg(\phi e_j, Df) = 0$ . Consequently for all  $j$ , we have

$$g(\phi e_j, Df) = 0.$$

Thus, we have  $Df = 0$ , which proves our assertion.  $\square$

From Theorem 4.1, Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 6.1, we have Theorem 1.1. Regarding a Ricci soliton, we will state the following

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$  and suppose that the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ . Then  $M$  does not admit a gradient Ricci soliton.*

*Proof.* When  $M$  admits a gradient Ricci soliton, we have  $\mu = 0$ . Suppose  $M$  is not Hopf. Then, in the proof of Theorem 5.10, we see  $\mu = -\frac{5}{2}c$ . This is a contradiction. Let  $M$  be a Hopf hypersurface. Then, from Theorem 6.1 and  $\mu = 0$ ,  $M$  is an Einstein real hypersurface. This is also a contradiction. Hence  $M$  does not admit a gradient Ricci soliton.  $\square$

This implies Theorem 1.2. From Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 6.1, we also have the following result.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $M$  be a real hypersurface of a non-flat complex space form  $M^n(c)$ . Suppose  $n \geq 3$  and the Ricci tensor  $S$  of  $M$  satisfies  $S\xi = \beta\xi$  for some function  $\beta$ . If  $M$  admits a gradient pseudo-Ricci soliton, then  $M$  is a pseudo-Einstein real hypersurface.*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Berndt, and J. C. Díaz-Ramos, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in the complex hyperbolic plane, Proc. A. M. S. **135** (2007), 3349–3357.
- [2] D. E. Blair, Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture notes in mathematics;509, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [3] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), 481–499.
- [4] B. Y. Chen, Ricci solitons on Riemannian submanifolds, Proceedings of the Conference RIGA 2014, Riemannian Geometry and Applications to Engineering and Economics, Bucharest, Romania.
- [5] J. T. Cho and M. Kimura, Ricci solitons of compact real hypersurfaces in Kaehler manifolds, Math. Nachr. **284**, No. 11–12, (2011),1385–1393.
- [6] A. Fialkow, Hypersurfaces of a space of constant curvature, Ann. of Math. (2) **39** (1938), 762–785.
- [7] T. A. Ivey and P. J. Ryan, Hopf hypersurfaces of small Hopf principal curvature in  $CH^2$ , Geom Dedicata **141** (2009), 147–161.
- [8] H. S. Kim and P. J. Ryan, A classification of pseudo-Einstein hypersurfaces in  $CP^2$ , Differential Geom. **26**, (2008), 106–112.
- [9] M. Kimura, Sectional curvatures of holomorphic planes on a real hypersurface in  $P^n(c)$ , Math. Ann. **276**. (1987), 487–497.
- [10] Masahiro Kon, Pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, J. Differential Geom. **14** (1979), 339–354.
- [11] Mayuko Kon, 3-dimensional real hypersurfaces and Ricci operator, Diff. Geom. Dyn. Syst. **16** (2014), 189–202.
- [12] Mayuko Kon, Ricci tensor of real hypersurfaces, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **281** (2016), 103–123.

- [13] Mayuko Kon, On pseudo-Einstein real hypersurfaces, *Advances in Geometry*, **20** (2019), 559–571.
- [14] M. Lohnherr and H. Reckziegel, On ruled real hypersurfaces in complex space forms, *Geom. Dedicata* **74**, (1999), 267–286.
- [15] S. Maeda, T. Adachi and Y. H. Kim, A characterization of the homogeneous minimal ruled real hypersurface in a complex hyperbolic space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **61**, (2009). 315–325
- [16] Y. Maeda, On real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **28** (1976), 529–540.
- [17] S. Montiel, Real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **37** (1985), 515–535.
- [18] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, 2002, Zbl 1130.53001; arXiva math/0211159.
- [19] B. Smyth, Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **85** (1967), 246–266.
- [20] T. Y. Thomas, Extract from a letter by E. Carian concerning my note: On closed spaces of constant mean curvature, *Amer. J. Math.* **59** (1937), 793–794.
- [21] K. Yano, M. Kon, *Structures on Manifolds*, World, Scientific, 1984.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, SHINSHU UNIVERSITY, 6-RO, NISHINAGANO, NAGANO CITY 380-8544, JAPAN

*Email address:* mayuko.k@shinshu-u.ac.jp