

The biharmonic index of connected graphs ^{*}

Zhen Lin[†]

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Qinghai Normal University,
Xining, 810008, Qinghai, P.R. China

Abstract

Let G be a simple connected graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and $d_B^2(u, v)$ be the biharmonic distance between two vertices u and v in G . The biharmonic index $BH(G)$ of G is defined as

$$BH(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_B^2(u, v) = n \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2(G)},$$

where $\lambda_i(G)$ is the i -th smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of G with n vertices. In this paper, we provide the mathematical relationships between the biharmonic index and some classic topological indices: the first Zagreb index, the forgotten topological index and the Kirchhoff index. In addition, the extremal value on the biharmonic index for trees and firefly graphs of fixed order are given. Finally, some graph operations on the biharmonic index are presented.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C05; 05C09; 05C35; 05C50; 05C76

Keywords: Biharmonic index; Topological index; Extremal value; Graph operation

1 Introduction

The Laplacian matrix of a graph G , denoted by $L(G)$, is given by $L(G) = D(G) - A(G)$, where $D(G)$ is the diagonal matrix of its vertex degrees and $A(G)$ is the adjacency matrix. The Laplacian characteristic polynomial of G , is equal to $\det(xI_n - L(G))$, denoted by $\phi(L(G))$. We denote $\lambda_i = \lambda_i(G)$ the i -th smallest eigenvalue of $L(G)$. In particular, $\lambda_2(G)$ and $\lambda_n(G)$ are called the algebraic connectivity [7] and the Laplacian spectral radius of

^{*}Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12071411).

[†]Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: lnlinzhen@163.com(Z. Lin).

G , respectively. The Laplacian spectral ratio of a connected graph G with n vertices is defined as $r_L(G) = \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_2}$. Barahona et al. [3] showed that a graph G exhibits better synchronizability if the ratio $r_L(G)$ is as small as possible.

The topological indices have fundamental applications in chemical disciplines [4, 6, 30], computational linguistics [28], computational biology [27] and etc. Let $d(u, v)$ be the distance between vertices u and v of G . The Wiener index $W(G)$ of a connected graph G , introduced by Wiener [33] in 1947, is defined as $W(G) = \sum_{u, v \in V(G)} d(u, v)$, which is used to predict the boiling points of paraffins by their molecular structure. The Wiener index found numerous applications in pure mathematics and other sciences [12, 20]. In 1972, Gutman and Trinajstić [17] proposed the first Zagreb index $M_1(G)$ of a graph G , and defined it as the sum of the squares of vertex degrees of G . There is a wealth of literature relating to the first Zagreb index, the reader is referred to the survey [2, 9] and the references therein. Recently, Furtula and Gutman [8] defined the forgotten topological index of a graph G as the sum of the cubes of vertex degrees of G , denoted by $F(G)$. In particular, the forgotten topological index of several important chemical structures which have high frequency in drug structures is obtained [16]. The Kirchhoff index of a graph G is defined as the sum of resistance distances [19] between all pairs of vertices of G , denoted by $Kf(G)$. Gutman and Mohar [14] gave an important calculation formula on Kirchhoff index, that is $Kf(G) = \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i}$. The Kirchhoff index is often used to measure how well connected a network is [11, 19].

In 2010, Lipman, Rustamov and Funkhouser [24] proposed the biharmonic distance to measure the distances between pairs of points on a 3D surface, which is a fundamental problem in computer graphics and geometric processing. Moreover, the biharmonic distance has some advantages over resistance distance and geodesic distance in computer graphics, geometric processing, shape analysis and etc. Inspired by Wiener index, Wei, Li and Yang [34] proposed the concept of biharmonic index of a graph G as follows:

$$BH(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} d_B^2(u, v) = n \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2(G)}.$$

Meanwhile, they obtained a relationship between biharmonic index and Kirchhoff index and determined the unique graph having the minimum biharmonic index among the connected graphs with n vertices.

In this paper, we continue the work on the biharmonic index of connected graphs. Firstly, we establish the mathematical relationships between the biharmonic index and some classic topological indices: the first Zagreb index, the forgotten topological index and the Kirchhoff index. Secondly, we study the extremal value on the biharmonic index for trees and firefly graphs of fixed order, around Problem 6.3 in [34]. Meanwhile, we show that the star is the unique graph with maximum biharmonic index among all graphs on diameter two. Finally, some graph operations on the biharmonic index are presented.

2 Preliminaries

Let $K_{1,n-1}$, P_n and K_n denote the star, the path and the complete graph with n vertices, respectively. Let $\tau(G)$ be the number of spanning trees of a connected graph. The double star $S(a, b)$ is the tree obtained from K_2 by attaching a pendant edges to a vertex and b pendant edges to the other. A firefly graph $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1}$ ($s \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$, $n - 2s - 2t - 1 \geq 0$) is a graph of order n that consists of s triangles, t pendent paths of length 2 and $n - 2s - 2t - 1$ pendent edges, sharing a common vertex. For $v \in V(G)$, let $L_v(G)$ be the principal submatrix of $L(G)$ formed by deleting the row and column corresponding to vertex v .

Lemma 2.1. ([31]) *Let $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two positive n -tuples.*

Then

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right)}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2} \leq \frac{(a + A)^2}{4aA},$$

where $a = \min\{\frac{a_i}{b_i}\}$ and $A = \max\{\frac{a_i}{b_i}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 2.2. ([31]) *Let $\bar{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ and $\bar{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ be two positive n -tuples.*

Then

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2 \leq \frac{(A - a)^2}{4aA} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i\right)^2,$$

where $a = \min\{\frac{a_i}{b_i}\}$ and $A = \max\{\frac{a_i}{b_i}\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Lemma 2.3. ([32]) *If $a_i > 0, b_i > 0, p > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the following inequality holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{a_i^{p+1}}{b_i^p} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i\right)^{p+1}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i\right)^p}$$

with equality if and only if $\frac{a_1}{b_1} = \frac{a_2}{b_2} = \dots = \frac{a_n}{b_n}$.

Lemma 2.4. ([29]) *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$ be some non-negative real numbers. Then*

$$(a_1 + a_n)(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n) \geq a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2 + na_1a_n$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if for some $r \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, $a_1 = \dots = a_r$ and $a_{r+1} = \dots = a_n$.

Lemma 2.5. ([21]) *Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \geq 0$. Then*

$$n \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right] \leq \Phi \leq n(n-1) \left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n a_i}{n} - \left(\prod_{i=1}^n a_i \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \right],$$

where $\Phi = n \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{a_i}\right)^2$.

Lemma 2.6. ([22]) *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n and b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n be real numbers such that $a \leq a_i \leq A$ and $b \leq b_i \leq B$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then there holds*

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i - \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{n}{2} \right] \right) (A - a)(B - b),$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of x .

Lemma 2.7. ([15]) *If T is a tree with diameter $d(T)$, then $\lambda_2(T) \leq 2 \left(1 - \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{d+1} \right) \right)$.*

Lemma 2.8. ([18]) *The number of Laplacian eigenvalues less than the average degree $2 - \frac{2}{n}$ of a tree with n vertices is at least $\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$.*

Lemma 2.9. ([5]) *Let G be a connected graph of diameter 2. Then $\lambda_2(G) \geq 1$.*

Lemma 2.10. ([10]) *Let uv be a cut edge of a graph G . Let $G - uv = G_1 + G_2$, where G_1 and G_2 are the components of $G - uv$, $G_1 + G_2$ is the sum of G_1 and G_2 , $u \in V(G_1)$ and $v \in V(G_2)$. Then*

$$\phi(L(G)) = \phi(L(G_1))\phi(L(G_2)) - \phi(L(G_1))\phi(L_v(G_2)) - \phi(L_u(G_1))\phi(L(G_2)).$$

3 The biharmonic index, the first Zagreb index and the forgotten topological index

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$BH(G) \leq \frac{n(n-1)^2}{4(2m + M_1(G))} \left(r_L(G) + \frac{1}{r_L(G)} \right)^2.$$

Proof. In this proof we use Lemma 2.1 with $a_i = \lambda_i$ and $b_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then $a = \lambda_2^2$ and $A = \lambda_n^2$. Thus

$$\frac{\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right)}{(n-1)^2} \leq \frac{(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_n^2)^2}{4\lambda_2^2 \lambda_n^2},$$

Since $\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G)$, we have

$$\frac{(2m + M_1(G))BH(G)}{n(n-1)^2} \leq \frac{(\lambda_2^2 + \lambda_n^2)^2}{4\lambda_2^2 \lambda_n^2},$$

that is,

$$BH(G) \leq \frac{n(n-1)^2}{4(2m + M_1(G))} \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_n} + \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_2} \right)^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$BH(G) \leq \frac{n(n-1)^2}{4(2m + M_1(G))} \left(4 + \left(r_L(G) - \frac{1}{r_L(G)} \right)^2 \right).$$

Proof. In this proof we use Lemma 2.2 with $a_i = \lambda_i$ and $b_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then $a = \lambda_2^2$ and $A = \lambda_n^2$. Thus

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right) - (n-1)^2 \leq \frac{(\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_2^2)^2}{4\lambda_2^2 \lambda_n^2} (n-1)^2.$$

Since $\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G)$, we have

$$\frac{2m + M_1(G)}{n} BH(G) - (n-1)^2 \leq \frac{(\lambda_n^2 - \lambda_2^2)^2}{4\lambda_2^2 \lambda_n^2} (n-1)^2,$$

that is,

$$BH(G) \leq \frac{n(n-1)^2}{4(2m + M_1(G))} \left(4 + \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_2} - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_n} \right)^2 \right).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let p be a positive real number and G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$BH(G) \geq n \left(\frac{(2m)^{p+1}}{\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^{3p+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Proof. In this proof we use Lemma 2.3 with $a_i = \lambda_i$ and $b_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^{3p+1} \geq \frac{\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i \right)^{p+1}}{\left(\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right)^p}.$$

Since $\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i = 2m$, we have

$$BH(G) \geq n \left(\frac{(2m)^{p+1}}{\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^{3p+1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

with equality if and only if $\lambda_2^3 = \dots = \lambda_n^3$, that is $G \cong K_n$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$BH(G) \geq \frac{16nm^4}{[2m + M_1(G)]^3}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Proof. Let $p = \frac{1}{3}$. Since $\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2m + M_1(G)$, by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$BH(G) \geq n \left(\frac{(2m)^{4/3}}{\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^2} \right)^3 = \frac{16nm^4}{[2m + M_1(G)]^3}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.5. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges and $t(G)$ triangles.*

Then

$$BH(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{32n^2m^5}{[3M_1(G) + F(G) + 6t(G)]^3}}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Proof. Let $p = \frac{2}{3}$. Since $\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^3 = 3M_1(G) + F(G) + 6t(G)$, by Theorem 3.3, we have

$$BH(G) \geq n \left(\frac{(2m)^{5/3}}{\sum_{i=2}^n \lambda_i^3} \right)^{3/2} = \sqrt{\frac{32n^2m^5}{[3M_1(G) + F(G) + 6t(G)]^3}}$$

with equality if and only if $G \cong K_n$. This completes the proof. \square

4 The biharmonic index and Kirchhoff index

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Then*

$$BH(G) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) Kf(G) - n(n-1) \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_n}$$

with equality if and only if for some $r \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r$ and $\lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_n$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} + (n-1) \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_n},$$

that is,

$$n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \geq n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \right) + n(n-1) \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_n},$$

that is,

$$\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) Kf(G) \geq nBH(G) + n(n-1) \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_n},$$

that is,

$$BH(G) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) Kf(G) - n(n-1) \frac{1}{\lambda_2 \lambda_n}$$

with equality if and only if for some $r \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, $\lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r$ and $\lambda_{r+1} = \dots = \lambda_n$.

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a connected graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$\frac{Kf^2(G)}{n(n-2)} - \frac{n(n-1)}{n-2} \left(\frac{1}{n\tau(G)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \leq BH(G) \leq \frac{Kf^2(G)}{n} - n(n-1)(n-2) \left(\frac{1}{n\tau(G)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-1}}.$$

Proof. In this proof we use Lemma 2.5 with $a_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

$$(n-1) \left[\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} - \left(\prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \right] \leq \Phi \leq (n-1)(n-2) \left[\frac{\sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}}{n-1} - \left(\prod_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \right],$$

where $\Phi = (n-1) \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} - \left(\sum_{i=2}^n \sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}} \right)^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} BH(G) - \frac{1}{n^2} Kf^2(G)$. Since $\prod_{i=2}^n \lambda_i = n\tau(G)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{n} BH(G) - (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{n\tau(G)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \leq \Phi \leq \frac{n-2}{n} BH(G) - (n-1)(n-2) \left(\frac{1}{n\tau(G)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-1}},$$

where $\Phi = \frac{n-1}{n} BH(G) - \frac{1}{n^2} Kf^2(G)$. Thus we have

$$\frac{Kf^2(G)}{n(n-2)} - \frac{n(n-1)}{n-2} \left(\frac{1}{n\tau(G)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \leq BH(G) \leq \frac{Kf^2(G)}{n} - n(n-1)(n-2) \left(\frac{1}{n\tau(G)} \right)^{\frac{2}{n-1}}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Then*

$$|n(n-1)BH(G) - Kf^2(G)| \leq \frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1 + (-1)^{n+1}}{2n^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right)^2.$$

Proof. In this proof we use Lemma 2.6 with $a_i = b_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} - \frac{1}{(n-1)^2} \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right)^2,$$

that is,

$$|n(n-1)BH(G) - Kf^2(G)| \leq n(n-1)^2 \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right)^2.$$

Note that $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \right) = \frac{n}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1 + (-1)^{n+1}}{2n^2} \right)$. We have

$$|n(n-1)BH(G) - Kf^2(G)| \leq \frac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} \left(1 - \frac{1 + (-1)^{n+1}}{2n^2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right)^2.$$

This completes the proof. \square

5 The biharmonic index of trees and firefly graphs

Theorem 5.1. *Let $S(a, b)$ be a double star tree on n vertices and $a + b = n - 2$. Then*

$$n^2 + 3n + \frac{4}{n} - 16 \leq BH(S(a, b)) \leq n^2 - 2n + 4 \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{(\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2}{n},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $S(1, n-3)$ ($S(\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor)$).

Proof. By direct calculation, we have

$$\phi(L(S(a, b))) = x(x-1)^{n-4}[x^3 - (n+2)x^2 + (2n+ab+1)x - n].$$

Let x_1, x_2 and x_3 be the roots of the following polynomial

$$f(x) := x^3 - (n+2)x^2 + (2n+ab+1)x - n.$$

By the Vieta Theorem, we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = n + 2, \\ \frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} = \frac{2n+ab+1}{n}, \\ x_1 x_2 x_3 = n. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x_1^2} + \frac{1}{x_2^2} + \frac{1}{x_3^2} &= \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} \right)^2 - 2 \left(\frac{1}{x_1 x_2} + \frac{1}{x_2 x_3} + \frac{1}{x_1 x_3} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{x_1} + \frac{1}{x_2} + \frac{1}{x_3} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{n} (x_1 + x_2 + x_3) \\ &= \left(\frac{2n+ab+1}{n} \right)^2 - \frac{2}{n} (n+2) \\ &= \left(\frac{2n+ab+1}{n} \right)^2 - \frac{4}{n} - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we have

$$BH(S(a, b)) = n \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2} = n(n-4) + 2n + 4ab + \frac{(ab+1)^2}{n} = n^2 - 2n + 4ab + \frac{(ab+1)^2}{n}.$$

Since $n-3 \leq ab \leq \lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$, we have

$$n^2 + 3n + \frac{4}{n} - 16 \leq BH(S(a, b)) \leq n^2 - 2n + 4 \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor + \frac{(\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor + 1)^2}{n},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $S(1, n-3)$ ($S(\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \rceil, \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor)$). This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let T_n be a tree on $n \geq 8$ vertices. If the diameter $d(T_n) \geq \pi^4 \sqrt{\frac{7n}{8}} - 1$, then*

$$BH(T_n) > BH(K_{1, n-1}).$$

Proof. Since $1 - \cos x < \frac{x^2}{2}$, by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\lambda_2(T_n) \leq 2 \left(1 - \cos \left(\frac{\pi}{d(T_n) + 1} \right) \right) < \left(\frac{\pi}{d(T_n) + 1} \right)^2$$

By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} BH(T_n) &= n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \right) \\ &> n \left(\frac{(d(T_n) + 1)^4}{\pi^4} + \left(\left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil - 2 \right) \frac{1}{\left(2 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^2} + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &> n \left(\frac{(d(T_n) + 1)^4}{\pi^4} + \left(\frac{n}{2} - 2 \right) \frac{1}{\left(2 - \frac{2}{n}\right)^2} + \left(\frac{2}{n} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &= n \left(\frac{(d(T_n) + 1)^4}{\pi^4} + \frac{n^2(n-4)}{8(n-1)^2} + \left(\frac{2}{n} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &\geq n \left(\frac{7n}{8} + \frac{n^2(n-4)}{8(n-1)^2} + \left(\frac{2}{n} - 1 \right) \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &> n(n-1) \\ &> n \left(n - 2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &= BH(K_{1, n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 8$. This completes the proof. \square

The following conjecture is concretization of Problem 6.3 in [34].

Conjecture 5.3. *Let T_n be a tree on $n \geq 5$ vertices. Then*

$$BH(K_{1, n-1}) \leq BH(T_n) \leq BH(P_n),$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $T_n = K_{1, n-1}$ ($T_n = P_n$).

Theorem 5.4. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and diameter $d(G) = 2$. Then*

$$BH(G) \leq BH(K_{1,n-1})$$

with equality if and only if $G = K_{1,n-1}$.

Proof. It is well known that $\lambda_n \geq \Delta + 1$ and $\lambda_{n-1} \geq \Delta_2$ (see [13, 23]), where Δ and Δ_2 are the maximum degree and the second largest degree of G , respectively. If $2 \leq \Delta_2 \leq \Delta$, by Lemma 2.9, we have

$$\begin{aligned} BH(G) &= n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_2^2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} \right) \\ &\leq n \left(n - 3 + \frac{1}{\Delta_2^2} + \frac{1}{(\Delta + 1)^2} \right) \\ &< n \left(n - 3 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{(2 + 1)^2} \right) \\ &< n \left(n - 2 + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \\ &= BH(K_{1,n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Delta_2 = 1$, that is, $G = K_{1,n-1}$, then $BH(G) = BH(K_{1,n-1})$.

Combining the above arguments, we have $BH(G) \leq BH(K_{1,n-1})$ with equality if and only if $G = K_{1,n-1}$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1}$ ($s \geq 0, t \geq 0, n - 2s - 2t - 1 \geq 0$) be a firefly graph with $n \geq 7$ vertices.*

(1) *If $s = t = 0$, then $BH(F_{0,0,n-1}) = n^2 - 2n + \frac{1}{n}$.*

(2) *If $s = 0$ and $t = 1$, then $BH(F_{0,1,n-3}) = n^2 + 3n - 16 + \frac{4}{n}$.*

(3) *If $s = 0, t \geq 2$ and n is odd, then*

$$n^2 + 8n + \frac{25}{n} - 32 \leq BH(F_{0,t,n-2t-1}) \leq \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{41n}{4} + \frac{25}{4n} + \frac{1}{2},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,2,n-5}$ ($F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,\frac{n-1}{2},0}$).

If $s = 0, t \geq 2$ and n is even, then

$$n^2 + 8n + \frac{25}{n} - 32 \leq BH(F_{0,t,n-2t-1}) \leq \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{51n}{4} + \frac{16}{n} + 4,$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,2,n-5}$ ($F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,\frac{n-2}{2},1}$).

(4) If $s \geq 1$, $t = 0$ and n is odd, then

$$\frac{5n^2}{9} - \frac{14n}{9} + \frac{1}{n} \leq BH(F_{0,t,n-2t-1}) \leq n^2 - \frac{26}{9}n + \frac{1}{n},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{1,0,n-3}$ ($F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{\frac{n-1}{2},0,0}$).

If $s \geq 1$, $t = 0$ and n is even, then

$$\frac{5n^2}{9} - \frac{10n}{9} + \frac{1}{n} \leq BH(F_{0,t,n-2t-1}) \leq n^2 - \frac{26}{9}n + \frac{1}{n},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{1,0,n-3}$ ($F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{\frac{n-2}{2},0,1}$).

(5) If $s \geq 1$, $t \geq 1$ and n is odd, then

$$\frac{5n^2}{9} + \frac{13n}{3} + \frac{4}{n} - 16 \leq BH(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1}) \leq \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{581n}{36} + \frac{121}{4n} + \frac{15}{2},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{\frac{n-3}{2},1,0}$ ($F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{1,\frac{n-3}{2},0}$).

If $s \geq 1$, $t \geq 1$ and n is even, then

$$\frac{5n^2}{9} + \frac{43n}{9} + \frac{4}{n} - 16 \leq BH(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1}) \leq \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{671n}{36} + \frac{49}{n} + 11,$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{\frac{n-4}{2},1,1}$ ($F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{1,\frac{n-4}{2},1}$).

Proof. (1) If $s = t = 0$, then $F_{0,0,n-1} \cong K_{1,n-1}$. Thus $BH(F_{0,0,n-1}) = n^2 - 2n + \frac{1}{n}$.

(2) If $s = 0$ and $t = 1$, by Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(L(F_{0,1,n-3})) &= \phi(L(K_{1,n-3}))\phi(L(P_2)) - (x-1)^{n-3}\phi(L(P_2)) - (x-1)\phi(L(K_{1,n-3})) \\ &= x^2(x-2)(x-n+2)(x-1)^{n-4} - x(x-2)(x-1)^{n-3} \\ &\quad - x(x-n+2)(x-1)^{n-3} \\ &= x(x-1)^{n-4}[x^3 - (n+2)x^2 + (3n-2)x - n]. \end{aligned}$$

By a similar reasoning as the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have

$$BH(F_{0,1,n-3}) = n^2 + 3n - 16 + \frac{4}{n}.$$

(3) If $s = 0$ and $t \geq 2$, then we have

$$\phi(L(F_{0,t,n-2t-1})) = x(x-1)^{n-2t-2}(x^2-3x+1)^{t-1}[x^3 - (n-t+3)x^2 + (3n-3t+1)x - n].$$

By a similar reasoning as the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} BH(F_{0,t,n-2t-1}) &= n^2 + 5tn - 11n + 2t + \frac{(3n-3t+1)^2}{n} - 6 \\ &= n^2 - 2n + \frac{9t^2 + (5n^2 - 16n - 6)t + 1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

If $2 \leq t \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ for odd n , we have

$$n^2 + 8n + \frac{25}{n} - 32 \leq BH(F_{0,t,n-2t-1}) \leq \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{41n}{4} + \frac{25}{4n} + \frac{1}{2},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,2,n-5}$ ($F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,\frac{n-1}{2},0}$).

If $2 \leq t \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ for even n , we have

$$n^2 + 8n + \frac{25}{n} - 32 \leq BH(F_{0,t,n-2t-1}) \leq \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{51n}{4} + \frac{16}{n} + 4,$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,2,n-5}$ ($F_{0,t,n-2t-1} = F_{0,\frac{n-2}{2},1}$).

(4) If $s \geq 1$ and $t = 0$, by Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\phi(L(F_{s,0,n-2s-1})) = x(x-n)(x-3)^s(x-1)^{n-s-2}.$$

Thus

$$BH(F_{s,0,n-2s-1}) = n^2 - \frac{8}{9}sn - 2n + \frac{1}{n}.$$

If $1 \leq s \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ for odd n , we have

$$\frac{5n^2}{9} - \frac{14n}{9} + \frac{1}{n} \leq BH(F_{s,0,n-2s-1}) \leq n^2 - \frac{26}{9}n + \frac{1}{n},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{1,0,n-3}$ ($F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{\frac{n-1}{2},0,0}$).

If $1 \leq s \leq \frac{n-2}{2}$ for even n , we have

$$\frac{5n^2}{9} - \frac{10n}{9} + \frac{1}{n} \leq BH(F_{s,0,n-2s-1}) \leq n^2 - \frac{26}{9}n + \frac{1}{n},$$

the left (right) equality holds if and only if $F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{1,0,n-3}$ ($F_{s,0,n-2s-1} = F_{\frac{n-2}{2},0,1}$).

(5) If $s \geq 1$ and $t \geq 1$, by Lemma 2.10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(L(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1})) &= x(x-3)^s(x-1)^{n-s-2t-2}(x^2-3x+1)^{t-1} \\ &\quad [x^3 - (n-t+3)x^2 + (3n-3t+1)x - n].\end{aligned}$$

By a similar reasoning as the proof of Theorem 5.1, we have

$$BH(L(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1})) = n^2 - \frac{8}{9}sn - 2n + \frac{9t^2 + (5n^2 - 16n - 6)t + 1}{n}.$$

If $s = 1$ and $t = \frac{n-3}{2}$ for odd n , we have

$$BH(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1})_{\max} = \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{581n}{36} + \frac{121}{4n} + \frac{15}{2},$$

the equality holds if and only if $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{1, \frac{n-3}{2}, 0}$.

If $s = 1$ and $t = \frac{n-4}{2}$ for even n , we have

$$BH(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1})_{\max} = \frac{7n^2}{2} - \frac{671n}{36} + \frac{49}{n} + 11,$$

the equality holds if and only if $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{1, \frac{n-4}{2}, 1}$.

If $s = \frac{n-3}{2}$ and $t = 1$ for odd n , we have

$$BH(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1})_{\min} = \frac{5n^2}{9} + \frac{13n}{3} + \frac{4}{n} - 16,$$

the equality holds if and only if $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{\frac{n-3}{2}, 1, 0}$.

If $s = \frac{n-4}{2}$ and $t = 1$ for even n , we have

$$BH(F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1})_{\min} = \frac{5n^2}{9} + \frac{43n}{9} + \frac{4}{n} - 16,$$

the equality holds if and only if $F_{s,t,n-2s-2t-1} = F_{\frac{n-4}{2}, 1, 1}$.

Combining the above arguments, we have the proof. \square

6 The biharmonic index and graph operations

Lemma 6.1. ([25]) *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Then $\lambda_i(\overline{G}) = n - \lambda_{n+2-i}(G)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$.*

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. If \overline{G} is a connected graph, then*

$$BH(\overline{G}) = n \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{(n - \lambda_{n+2-i}(G))^2}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.1, we have the proof. \square

The union of two graphs G_1 and G_2 is the graph $G_1 \cup G_2$ with vertex set $V_1(G) \cup V_2(G)$ and edge set $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. The join $G_1 \vee G_2$ is obtained from $G_1 \cup G_2$ by adding to it all edges between vertices from $V(G_1)$ and $V(G_2)$.

Lemma 6.3. ([26]) *Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. Then the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G_1 \vee G_2$ are $n_1 + n_2$, $\lambda_i(G_1) + n_2$ ($2 \leq i \leq n_1$) and $\lambda_j(G_2) + n_1$ ($2 \leq j \leq n_2$).*

Theorem 6.4. *Let G be a connected graph with n vertices. Then*

$$BH(G_1 \vee G_2) = (n_1 + n_2) \left(\frac{1}{(n_1 + n_2)^2} + \sum_{i=2}^{n_1} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i(G_1) + n_2)^2} + \sum_{j=2}^{n_2} \frac{1}{(\lambda_j(G_2) + n_1)^2} \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.3, we have the proof. \square

The Cartesian product of G_1 and G_2 is the graph $G_1 \square G_2$, whose vertex set is $V = V_1 \times V_2$ and where two vertices (u_i, v_s) and (u_j, v_t) are adjacent if and only if either $u_i = u_j$ and $v_s v_t \in E(G_2)$ or $v_s = v_t$ and $u_i u_j \in E(G_1)$.

Lemma 6.5. ([7, 25]) *Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. Then the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G_1 \square G_2$ are all possible sums $\lambda_i(G_1) + \lambda_j(G_2)$, $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_2$.*

Theorem 6.6. *Let G_1 and G_2 be two connected graphs. Then*

$$BH(G_1 \square G_2) = n_1 n_2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n_1} \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2(G_1)} + \sum_{j=2}^{n_2} \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2(G_2)} + \sum_{i=2}^{n_1} \sum_{j=2}^{n_2} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i(G_1) + \lambda_j(G_2))^2} \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.5, we have the proof. \square

The lexicographic product $G_1[G_2]$, in which vertices (u_i, v_s) and (u_j, v_t) are adjacent if either $u_i u_j \in E(G_1)$ or $u_i = u_j$ and $v_s v_t \in E(G_2)$ (see [1]).

Lemma 6.7. ([1]) Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively. Then the Laplacian eigenvalues of $G_1[G_2]$ are $n_2\lambda_i(G_1)$ and $\lambda_j(G_2) + d(u_i)n_2$, where $d(u_i)$ is vertex degree of G_1 , $1 \leq i \leq n_1$ and $2 \leq j \leq n_2$.

Theorem 6.8. Let G_1 and G_2 be connected graphs on n_1 and n_2 vertices, respectively.

$$BH(G_1[G_2]) = n_1n_2 \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n_1} \frac{1}{n_2^2\lambda_i^2(G_1)} + \sum_{j=2}^{n_2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{1}{(\lambda_j(G_2) + d_{G_1}(u_i)n_2)^2} \right).$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.7, we have the proof. \square

7 Further work

The biharmonic eccentricity $\varepsilon_b(u)$ of vertex u in a connected graph G is defined as $\varepsilon_b(u) = \max\{d_B^2(u, v) \mid v \in V(G)\}$. Let $d(u)$ be the degree of the corresponding vertex u . The following four topological indices will be the problems that need further exploration.

(1) The Schultz biharmonic index:

$$SBI(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d(u) + d(v))d_B^2(u, v).$$

(2) The Gutman biharmonic index:

$$GBI(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (d(u)d(v))d_B^2(u, v).$$

(3) The eccentric biharmonic distance sum:

$$\xi_B(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (\varepsilon_b(u) + \varepsilon_b(v))d_B^2(u, v).$$

(4) The multiplicative eccentricity biharmonic distance:

$$\xi_B^*(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{u \in V(G)} \sum_{v \in V(G)} (\varepsilon_b(u)\varepsilon_b(v))d_B^2(u, v).$$

References

- [1] S. Barik, R.B. Bapat, S. Pati, On the Laplacian spectra of product graphs, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 9 (2015) 39-58.

- [2] B. Borovićanin, K.C. Das, B. Furtula, I. Gutman, Bounds for Zagreb indices, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 78 (2017) 17-100.
- [3] M. Barahona, L.M. Pecora, Synchronization in small-world networks, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 89 (2002) 054101.
- [4] M.V. Diudea, *QSPR/QSAR Studies by molecular descriptors*, Nova, Huntington, 2001.
- [5] K.C. Das, Proof of conjectures involving algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 438 (2013) 3291-3302.
- [6] J. Devillers, A.T. Balaban, *Topological indices and related descriptors in QSAR and QSPR*, Gordon & Breach, Amsterdam, 1999.
- [7] M. Fiedler, Algebraic connectivity of graphs, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* 23 (1973) 298-305.
- [8] B. Furtula, I. Gutman, A forgotten topological index, *J. Math. Chem.* 53 (2015) 1184-1190.
- [9] G.H. Fath-Tabar, Old and new Zagreb indices of graphs, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 65 (2011) 79-84.
- [10] J. Guo, On the second largest Laplacian eigenvalue of trees, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 404 (2005) 251-261.
- [11] A. Ghosh, S. Boyd, A. Saberi, Minimizing effective resistance of a graph, *SIAM Rev.* 50 (2008) 37-66.
- [12] I. Gutman, S. Klavžar, B. Mohar, Fifty years of the Wiener index, *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem.* 35 (1997) 1-159.
- [13] R. Grone, R. Merris, The Laplacian spectrum of a graph II, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 7 (1994) 221-229.
- [14] I. Gutman, B. Mohar, The quasi-Wiener and the Kirchhoff indices coincide, *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* 36 (1996) 982-985.
- [15] R. Grone, R. Merris, V.S. Sunder, The Laplacian spectral of graphs, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 11 (1990) 218-239.
- [16] W. Gao, M.K. Siddiqui, M. Imran, M.K. Jamil, M.R. Farahani, Forgotten topological index of chemical structure in drugs, *Saudi Pharm J.* 24 (2016) 258-264.
- [17] I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić, Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total p -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 17 (1972) 535-538.
- [18] D.P. Jacobs, E.R. Oliveira, V. Trevisan, Most Laplacian eigenvalues of a tree are small, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 146 (2021) 1-33.
- [19] D.J. Klein, M. Randić, Resistance distance, *J. Math. Chem.* 12 (1993) 81-95.
- [20] M. Knor, R. Škrekovski, A. Tepeh, Mathematical aspects of Wiener index, *Ars Math. Contemp.* 11 (2016) 327-352.

- [21] J. Li, J. Guo, W. Shiu, S. Bozkurt Altinda, D. Bozkurt, Bounding the sum of powers of normalized Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 324 (2018) 82-92.
- [22] X. Li, R.N. Mohapatra, R.S. Rodriguez, Grüss-type inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 267 (2002) 434-443.
- [23] J. Li, Y. Pan, A note on the second largest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of a graph, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 48 (2000) 117-121.
- [24] Y. Lipman, R.M. Rustamov, T.A. Funkhouser, Biharmonic distance, *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 29 (2010) 1-11.
- [25] R. Merris, Laplacian matrices of graphs: a survey, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 197-198 (1994) 143-176.
- [26] R. Merris, Laplacian graph eigenvectors, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 278 (1998) 221-236.
- [27] L.A.J. Müller, K.G. Kugler, A. Graber, M. Dehmer, A network-based approach to classify the three domains of life, *Biol. Direct* 6 (2011) 53.
- [28] A. Mehler, P. Wei, A. Lücking, A network model of interpersonal alignment, *Entropy* 12 (2010) 1440-1483.
- [29] M.R. Oboudi, A new lower bound for the energy of graphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 580 (2019) 384-395.
- [30] T. Puzyn, J. Leszczynski, M.T.D. Cronin, *Recent advances in QSAR studies-methods and applications*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2010.
- [31] G. Pólya, G. Szegő, *Aufgaben und Lehrsätze ans der analysis, Band I: Reihen, Integralrechnung, Funktiontheorie (in German)*, 4th Ed., Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [32] J. Radon, Über die absolut additiven mengenfunktionen, *Wiener-Sitzungsber.* 122 (1913) 1295-1438.
- [33] H. Wiener, Structural determination of paraffin boiling point, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 69 (1947) 17-20.
- [34] Y. Wei, R. Li, W. Yang, Biharmonic distance of graphs, arXiv:2110.02656v1.