

# Paths With Three Blocks In Digraphs

Maidoun Mortada <sup>1</sup>, Amine El Sahili <sup>2</sup>,

Zahraa Mohsen <sup>1,3</sup>

## Abstract

A path with three blocks  $P(k, l, r)$  is an oriented path formed by  $k$ -forward arcs followed by  $l$ -backward arcs then  $r$ -forward arcs. We prove that any  $(2k + 1)$ -chromatic digraph contains a path  $P(1, k, 1)$ . However the existence of  $P(1, l, 1)$  with  $l \geq k$  is established in any  $(k + 4)$ -chromatic digraph. In general, we establish a quadratic bound for paths with three blocks.

## 1 Introduction

The digraphs considered here have no loops or multiple edges. An oriented graph is a digraph in which, for every two vertices  $x$  and  $y$ , at most one of  $(x, y)$  or  $(y, x)$  is an edge. The chromatic number of a digraph is the chromatic number of its underlying graph. A graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -critical if  $\chi(G) = k$  and  $\chi(G - v) = k - 1$  for any vertex  $v$  in  $V(G)$ .

A block of a path in a digraph is a maximal directed subpath. We recall that the length of a path is the number of its edges.

Given an  $n$ -chromatic digraph  $D$ , which oriented paths of length  $n - 1$  can be found in  $D$ ?

Havet and Thomassé proved that any  $n$ -tournament contains any oriented path of length  $n - 1$  except in three cases: the directed 3-cycle, the regular tournament on five vertices, and the Paley tournament on seven vertices; in these cases  $D$  contains no antidirected path of length  $n - 1$  [HT00].

In general, when  $D$  is an  $n$ -chromatic digraph, the situation is quite different. Addario.et.al. proved that any  $n$ -chromatic digraph  $D$ , contains any path with two blocks of length  $(n - 1)$ [ABHT07]. Moreover, Mortada.et.al proved that any  $(n + 1)$ -chromatic digraph contains a three blocks path of length  $n - 1$ , in which two consecutive blocks are of length 1 each[MESEJ21]. Regardless Burr's result[Bur80], no more results have been established in this direction. Burr's result states that any  $(n - 1)^2$ -chromatic digraph contains any oriented tree of order  $n$ . Consequently, we easily deduce that any  $(n - 1)^2$ -chromatic digraph

---

<sup>1</sup>Lebanese University, KALMA Laboratory, Baalbeck.

<sup>2</sup>Lebanese University, KALMA Laboratory, Beirut.

<sup>3</sup>Paris Diderot University, IMJ Laboratory, Paris.

contains any oriented path of order  $n$ .

In this paper we are interested in studying three blocks paths. In the second section, we improve the bound to  $\frac{3}{4}n^2$  for paths with three blocks. In the third section, we prove that any  $2k + 1$ -chromatic digraph contains a path  $P(1, k, 1)$ , for  $k$  positive integer. Moreover, we show that this becomes  $k + 4$  if we searched for the existence of  $P(1, l, 1)$ , with  $l \geq k$ .

## 2 Paths with Three Blocks in Digraphs with Large Chromatic Number

We follow in this section the same reasoning as El Sahili's result.[ES04]

Let  $f(n)$  be the smallest positive integer such that every  $f(n)$ - chromatic digraph  $D$  contains a  $P(k, l, r)$  where  $k, l, r$  are positive integers such that  $k + l + r = n - 1$ . It follows from the result of Burr [Bur80] that  $f(n) \leq (n - 1)^2$ . Given a path  $P$ , we denote by  $\bar{P}$  the path obtained from  $P$  by reversing the directions of all arcs of  $P$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a graph containing no  $K_{2n+1}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Suppose that we can orient  $G$  in such a way that each vertex has in-degree at most  $n$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq 2n$ .*

**Definition 2.1.** *Define the sequence  $g(m, i)$  for  $m \geq 4$  and  $0 \leq i \leq \frac{m}{2} - 1$  by:*

$$\begin{aligned} g(m, 0) &= m \text{ and } g(4, 1) = 4 \\ g(m, i) &= g(m - 1, i - 1) + 2(m - 3) \text{ for } m \geq 5 \text{ and } i \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Any  $g(m, i)$ -chromatic digraph  $D$  contains any path  $P(k, m - 1 - k - i, i)$  for  $k$  positive integer less than  $m - 1$ .*

*Proof.* We will proceed by induction on  $i$ .

The case is solved by Addario.et.al [ABHT07] for  $i = 0$ . The case is deduced from El Sahili [ES03], and see also [MESEJ21] for  $i = 1$ ,  $m = 4$ . For  $i \geq 1$ ,  $m \geq 5$ , suppose to the contrary that  $D$  is a  $g(m, i)$ -chromatic with no  $P(k, m - 1 - k - i, i)$ . Let  $H$  be the subdigraph of  $D$  induced by the vertices of out-degree in  $D$  at least  $m - 2$ . Set  $H'$  the subdigraph induced by  $V(D - H)$ . We claim that  $H$  contains no path of the form  $P(k, m - 1 - k - i, i - 1)$ , since else let  $Q = P(k, m - 1 - k - i, i - 1)$  in  $H$ , and let  $v$  be the end vertex of  $Q$  which is also the end vertex of the block of length  $i - 1$ . Since  $d^+(v) \geq m - 2$ , there exists a vertex  $u \in N^+(v) - Q$ , hence  $Q \cup (v, u)$  is a path  $P(k, m - 1 - k - i, i)$  in  $H$  and so in  $D$ , a contradiction. Hence by the induction hypothesis  $\chi(H) < g(m - 1, i - 1)$ . Now using Lemma 2.1, we can clearly observe that  $\chi(H') \leq 2(m - 3)$ , since else

$H'$  contains a tournament of order  $2m - 5$  and so contains  $P(k, m - 1 - k - i, i)$  by [Tho86], contradiction. Therefore  $\chi(D) \leq \chi(H) + \chi(H') < g(m - 1, i - 1) + 2(m - 3) = g(m, i)$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

In the same way we can prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.3.** *Any  $g(m, i)$ -chromatic digraph  $D$  contains any path  $\bar{P}(k, m - 1 - k - i, i)$  for  $k$  positive integer less than  $m - 1$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** *For every  $m \geq 4$ , the sequence  $g(m, i)$  is increasing with respect to  $i$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by induction on  $i$ .

For  $m = 4$ ,  $g(4, 1) = 4 \geq g(4, 0)$ .

For  $m \geq 5$ ,  $g(m, 1) = g(m - 1, 0) + 2(m - 3) = m - 1 + 2(m - 3) \geq m = g(m, 0)$ .

Suppose that  $g(m, i) \geq g(m, i - 1)$ .

Then  $g(m, i + 1) = g(m - 1, i) + 2(m - 3) \geq g(m - 1, i - 1) + 2(m - 3) = g(m, i)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.1.**  $f(m) \leq \frac{3}{4}m^2$ ,  $m \geq 4$ .

*Proof.* Since every  $g(m, i)$ -chromatic digraph contains any  $P(k, m - 1 - k - i, i)$  and any  $\bar{P}(k, m - 1 - k - i, i)$ , then  $f(m) \leq g\left(m, \frac{m}{2} - 1\right)$  for  $m$  even and

$f(m) \leq g\left(m, \frac{m - 3}{2}\right)$  for  $m$  odd.

For  $m$  even,

$$\begin{aligned}
& g\left(m, \frac{m}{2} - 1\right) \\
&= g\left(m - 1, \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) - 1\right) + 2(m - 3) \\
&= g\left(m - 2, \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right) - 2\right) + 2((m - 1) - 3) + 2(m - 3) \\
&= g\left(m - \left(\frac{m}{2} - 2\right), 1\right) + 2(m - 3) + \cdots + 2\left(m - \left(\frac{m}{2} - 3\right) - 3\right) \\
&= g\left(m - \left(\frac{m}{2} - 1\right), 0\right) + 2(m - 3) + \cdots + 2\left(m - \left(\frac{m}{2} - 2\right) - 3\right) \\
&= g\left(\frac{m}{2} + 1, 0\right) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{m/2-2} ((m - k) - 3) \\
&= \frac{m}{2} + 1 + \frac{3m^2}{4} - \frac{7m}{2} + 4 \\
&= \frac{3}{4}m^2 - 3m + 5.
\end{aligned}$$

For  $m$  odd,

$$\begin{aligned}
& g\left(m, \frac{m-3}{2}\right) \\
&= g\left(m-1, \left(\frac{m-3}{2}\right) - 1\right) + 2(m-3) \\
&= g\left(m-2, \left(\frac{m-3}{2}\right) - 2\right) + 2((m-1) - 3) + 2(m-3) \\
&= g\left(m - \left(\frac{m-3}{2} - 1\right), 1\right) + 2(m-3) + \dots + 2\left(m - \left(\frac{m-5}{2} - 1\right) - 3\right) \\
&= g\left(m - \left(\frac{m-3}{2} - 1\right) - 1, 0\right) + 2(m-3) + \dots + 2\left(m - \left(\frac{m-5}{2}\right) - 3\right) \\
&= g\left(\frac{m+3}{2}, 0\right) + 2 \sum_{k=0}^{m/2-5/2} ((m-k) - 3) \\
&= \frac{m+3}{2} + \frac{3m^2}{4} - 4m + \frac{21}{4} \\
&= \frac{3}{4}m^2 - \frac{7m}{2} + \frac{27}{4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f(m) \leq \frac{3}{4}m^2$  for all  $m$ .

□

### 3 Paths with Three Blocks, $P(1,k,1)$

In this section, we are going to improve the bound we found in section 2 for specific forms of  $P(k,l,r)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Any  $(k+4)$ -chromatic digraph  $D$  contains a  $P(1,l,1)$  for some  $l \geq k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a  $(k+4)$ -chromatic digraph. Suppose that  $D$  contains no  $P(1,l,1)$  for all  $l \geq k$ . Suppose without loss of generality that  $D$  is  $k+4$ -critical, and so  $d(v) \geq k+3$  for all  $v \in V(D)$ . Note that  $D$  contains a  $P(k,1)$ . Let  $P = P(s,1) = x_1x_2 \cdots x_{s+1}y$  be such that  $s \geq k$  and  $s$  is maximal. Due to the maximality of  $s$ , all the in-neighbors of  $x_1$ , if exist, belong to  $P$ . Besides, all the out-neighbors of  $x_1$  belong to  $P$ , since else let  $z \in N^+(x_1) - P$ , so  $(x_1, z) \cup P$  is a  $P(1, s, 1)$  for  $s \geq k$ , contradiction.

Let  $i$  be the minimal integer such that  $x_i \in N(x_1)$  and  $i \geq 3$ . Note that  $|[x_i, x_{s+1}]| \geq |N(x_1) - \{x_2, y\}| \geq k+1$ . If  $(x_1, x_i) \in E(D)$  then the path  $(x_1, x_2) \cup (x_1, x_i) \cup [x_i, x_{s+1}] \cup (y, x_{s+1})$  is  $P(1, l, 1)$  for  $l \geq k$ , contradiction. Else if  $(x_i, x_1) \in E(D)$  then the path  $(x_i, x_1) \cup [x_i, x_{s+1}] \cup (y, x_{s+1})$  is  $P(1, l, 1)$  for  $l \geq k$ , contradiction.

□

**Conjecture 3.1.** Any  $(k + 3)$ -chromatic digraph  $D$  contains a  $P(1, l, 1)$  for some  $l \geq k$ .

The problem of existence of  $P(1, k, 1)$  in a digraph  $D$  is quite different. Define  $f(k)$  to be the smallest integer such that any  $f(k)$ -chromatic digraph contains a  $P(1, k, 1)$ . Based on the existence of paths of the form  $P(k, 1)$ , we may show that  $f(k) \leq 3k + 4$ . Indeed, let  $D$  be a  $(3k + 4)$ -chromatic digraph with no  $P(1, k, 1)$ . Let  $U$  be the set of all origins of any  $P(k, 1)$  in  $D$ . It is clear that  $U \neq \emptyset$ . If all the vertices in  $U$  has an out-degree in  $D$  less than  $k + 1$  then, by lemma 2.1,  $\chi(U) \leq 2k + 2$ . Then  $\chi(D - U) \geq k + 2$  and so  $D - U$  contains a  $P(k, 1)$ , a contradiction. Hence there exists  $u \in U$  such that  $d^+(u) > k + 1$ . let  $P_u(k, 1)$  be a path of the form  $P(k, 1)$  in  $D$  with origin  $u$ . Let  $z \in N^+(u) - P_u(k, 1)$  and so the path  $P_u(k, 1) \cup (u, z)$  is  $P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction.

In the sequel, we improve the bound above of  $f(k)$  to  $2k + 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2.**  $f(k) \leq 2k + 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a digraph with  $\chi(D) = 2k + 1$  with  $k \geq 2$  positive integer. Suppose without loss of generality that  $D$  is critical. We argue by the way of contradiction assuming that  $D$  has no  $P(1, k, 1)$ . Let  $D_1$  be the subdigraph of  $D$  induced by the vertices of  $D$  with  $d_D^-(v) \geq k + 1$  and  $D_2$  the subdigraph of  $D$  induced by  $V(D) - V(D_1)$ . Note that all the vertices in  $D_2$  have an out-degree in  $D$  is greater than or equal  $k$ . We either have  $\chi(D_2) \geq k$  or  $\chi(D_1) \geq k + 2$ .

Suppose that  $\chi(D_2) \geq k$ . Let  $P$  be a directed path of maximal length in  $D_2$ . It's clear that  $l(P) \geq k - 1$ . We claim that  $l(P) \geq k$ . Indeed, suppose to the contrary that  $l(P) = k - 1$ . Set  $P = x_1 \cdots x_k$ . Note that  $N_{D_2}^+(x_k) \subset P$  then  $x_k$  has at least two out-neighbors in  $D_1$ , say  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . Since  $d^+(x_1) \geq k$ , let  $v$  an out-neighbor of  $x_1$  outside  $P$ . If  $v \notin \{a_1, a_2\}$  then  $N^-(a_i) = P \cup \{v\}$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , since else let  $z \in N^-(a_i) - (P \cup \{v\})$  then  $(z, a_i) \cup (x_k, a_i) \cup P \cup (x_1, v)$  is a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction. But now  $(v, a_2) \cup (x_k, a_2) \cup P \cup (x_1, a_1)$  is a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction. Thus  $v \in \{a_1, a_2\}$ . Without loss of generality consider that  $v = a_2$ . Note that  $N^-(a_1) = P \cup \{v\}$  and  $N^+(x_1) \subseteq P \cup \{v\}$ . Since  $(a_2, a_1) \in D$  and  $d^-(a_2) \geq k + 1$ , then  $a_2$  has an in-neighbor outside  $P \cup \{a_1\}$ , say  $x$ . Set  $(x, a_2) \cup (x_k, a_2) \cup P \cup (x_1, a_1)$  is a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction.

Set then  $P = x_1 \cdots x_{r+k}$  with  $r \geq 1$  and  $P_1 = x_r \cdots x_{r+k}$ .

We now claim that  $N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap D_1 = \emptyset$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap D_1 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $|N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap D_1| \geq 2$ . Then a contradiction can be reached as before. Then  $|N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap D_1| = 1$ . Let  $a_1 \in N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap D_1$ . We claim that  $x_r \in N^-(a_1)$ . Suppose not, then there exists  $w \in N^-(a_1) - P_1$ . If  $(x_{r+k-1}, a_1) \in E(D)$ , then  $N^+(x_r) = [x_{r+1}, x_{r+k}]$  and so  $(x_r, x_{r+2}) \in E(D)$ . Consequently,  $(x_r, x_{r+1}) \cup (x_r, x_{r+2}) \cup [x_{r+2}, x_{r+k}] \cup (x_{r+k}, a_1) \cup (w, a_1)$  is a

$P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction. Hence  $(x_{r+k-1}, a_1) \notin E(D)$  and so there exists  $w' \in N^-(a_1) - P_1 - \{w\}$ . In this case  $N^+(x_{r+1}) = [x_{r+2}, x_{r+k}] \cup \{a_1\}$ . And so  $N^+(x_r) = [x_{r+1}, x_{r+k}]$  in particular  $(x_r, x_{r+2}) \in E(D)$ , contradiction as before. Hence  $x_r \in N^-(a_1)$ . Consequently,  $N^+(x_{r+1}) = [x_{r+2}, x_{r+k}] \cup \{a_1\}$ . In particular  $(x_{r+1}, x_{r+k}) \in E(D)$ , but  $N^+(x_{r+k}) \geq k$  then there exists  $x_j \in N^+(x_{r+k})$  for  $1 \leq j < r$  so  $r \geq 2$ . As before  $x_{r-1} \in N^-(a_1)$ . Then  $x_{r+k}$  has at least two out-neighbors in  $[x_1, x_r[$ , let  $x_i$  be one of them with  $i$  maximal, then  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \cup [x_{r+1}, x_{r+k}] \cup (x_{r+k}, x_i) \cup (x_{r+1}, a_1)$  is a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction.

Next, if  $(x_{r+k}, x_r) \in E(D)$  then  $(x_{r+k}, x_1) \in E(D)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $(x_{r+k}, x_1) \notin D$ . Since  $(x_{r+k}, x_r) \in E(D)$  then  $N^+(x_{r+1}) \subseteq [x_{r+2}, x_{r+k}] \cup \{x_{r-1}\}$ , since else we get a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , a contradiction. But  $d^+(x_{r+1}) \geq k$ , then  $N^+(x_{r+1}) = [x_{r+2}, x_{r+k}] \cup \{x_{r-1}\}$ . In particular,  $(x_{r+1}, x_{r+k}) \in E(D)$ . Since  $d^+(x_{r+k}) \geq k$ , then there exists  $1 < i < r - 1$  such that  $x_i \in N^+(x_{r+1})$ . Hence  $(x_{i-1}, x_i) \cup [x_{r+1}, x_{r+k}] \cup (x_{r+k}, x_i) \cup (x_{r+1}, x_{r-1})$  is  $P(1, k, 1)$ , a contradiction.

Now if  $|N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap [x_2, x_r]| \geq 3$ , then we get  $P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction. If  $|N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap [x_2, x_r]| = 2$  then so  $(x_{r+k}, x_1) \in E(D)$ , since else we get that  $(x_{r+k}, x_{r+1}) \in E(D)$ , but since  $d^+(x_{r+1}) \geq k$  then  $x_{r+1}$  has at least two out-neighbors outside  $P_1$  which gives a contradiction as before. If  $|N^+(x_{r+k}) \cap [x_2, x_r]| \leq 1$ , then  $(x_{r+k}, x_1) \in E(D)$ . Hence  $x_i$  can play the role of  $x_{r+k}$  for every  $x_i \in V(P)$ . We claim that  $N^-(x_i) \subset P$  for every  $x_i \in V(P)$ . Otherwise, without loss of generality suppose that there exists  $z \in N^-(x_{r+k}) - P$ .  $z \in D_1$ , since else  $(z, x_{r+k}) \cup (x_{r+k}, x_1) \cup [x_1, x_{r+k-1}]$  is a directed path in  $D_2$  longer than  $P$ , a contradiction. Since  $N^+(x_r) \subseteq P$  then  $N^+(x_r) = [x_{r+1}, x_{r+k}]$ , otherwise we get a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , in particular,  $(x_r, x_{r+2})$  and  $(x_r, x_{r+k}) \in E(D)$ . But  $d^+(x_{r+k}) \geq k$ , then there exists  $x_j \in N^+(x_{r+k})$  such that  $1 < j < r$ . Hence  $(x_r, x_{r+1}) \cup (x_r, x_{r+2}) \cup [x_{r+2}, x_{r+k}] \cup (x_{r+k}, x_j) \cup (x_{j-1}, x_j)$  is a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $N^-(x_i) \subset P$  for every  $x_i \in V(P)$ . Since also  $N^+(x_i) \subset P$  and  $D$  is critical then  $V(D) = V(D_2) = V(P)$ . Since  $d^+(x_i) \geq k$  and  $d^-(x_i) \leq k$  for every  $x_i \in V(P)$ , then  $d^+(x_i) = d^-(x_i) = k$  for every  $x_i \in V(P)$  and so  $D$  is a  $2k$ -regular digraph. Since  $\chi(D) = 2k + 1$ , then  $D$  is a  $2k + 1$ -tournament and so it contains a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , a contradiction.

Consequently,  $\chi(D_2) < k$  and so  $\chi(D_1) \geq k + 2$ , then let  $P = z_1 \cdots z_{k+r}$  be a directed path in  $D_1$  with maximal length in  $(r \geq 2)$  and let  $P_2 = z_1 \cdots z_{k+1}$ . We prove that  $N^-(z_1) \cap D_2 = \emptyset$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $N^-(z_1) \cap D_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a_1 \in D_2 \cap N^-(z_1)$ . Since  $d^-(z_k) \geq k + 1$ , then there exists  $v \in N^-(z_k) - [z_1, z_{k+1}] - \{a_1\}$ . Note that  $d^+(a_1) \geq k$ . If there exists  $w \in N^+(a_1) - [z_1, z_k] - \{v\}$ , we get a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $N^+(a_1) \subseteq ([z_1, z_k] \cup \{v\})$ . If  $(a_1, z_2) \in E(D)$  then  $N^-(z_{k+1}) = [z_1, z_k] \cup \{a_1\}$  since else we get a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , a contradiction. But  $N^+(a_1) \subseteq ([z_1, z_k] \cup \{v\})$ , a contradiction. And so  $(a_1, z_3) \in E(D)$ . Since  $d^-(z_{k+2}) \geq k + 1$  and  $z_{k+2} \notin N^+(a_1)$ , then there exists  $x \in N^-(z_{k+2}) - ([z_3, z_k] \cup \{a_1, z_1\})$ . Hence  $(x, z_{k+2}) \cup [z_3, z_{k+2}] \cup (a_1, z_3) \cup (a_1, z_1)$  is a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , contradiction.

Since  $d^-(z_1) \geq k + 1$  then there exists  $z_{t_1}, z_{t_2} \in N^-(z_1)$  such that  $k < t_1 <$

$t_2 < k + r$ . Also since  $d^-(z_k) \geq k + 1$  then  $|N^-(z_k) - [z_1, z_{k-1}]| \geq 2$ . Set  $I = N^-(z_k) - [z_1, z_{k-1}]$ .  $I \subseteq \{z_{t_1}, z_{t_1+1}\} \cap \{z_{t_2}, z_{t_2+1}\}$  since else we get a  $P(1, k, 1)$ , a contradiction. But  $|I| \geq 2$ , and so  $|\{z_{t_1}, z_{t_1+1}\} \cap \{z_{t_2}, z_{t_2+1}\}| \geq 2$  then  $\{t_1, t_1 + 1\} = \{t_2, t_2 + 1\}$ , a contradiction.

□

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to acknowledge the National Council for Scientific Research of Lebanon (CNRS-L) and the Agence Universitaire de la Francophonie in cooperation with Lebanese University for granting a doctoral fellowship to Zahraa Mohsen.

## References

- [ABHT07] L. Addario-Berry, F. Havet, and S. Thomassé, *Paths with two blocks in  $n$ -chromatic digraphs*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **97** (2007), no. 4, 620–626. MR2325801
- [Bur80] S. A. Burr, *Subtrees of directed graphs and hypergraphs*, Congr. Numer. **28** (1980), 227–239. MR608430
- [ES03] A. El Sahili, *Functions and line digraphs*, J. Graph Theory **44** (2003), no. 4, 296–303. MR2019237
- [ES04] ———, *Paths with two blocks in  $k$ -chromatic digraphs*, Discrete Math. **287** (2004), no. 1-3, 151–153. MR2094709
- [HT00] F. Havet and S. Thomassé, *Oriented Hamiltonian paths in tournaments: a proof of Rosenfeld’s conjecture*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B **78** (2000), no. 2, 243–273. MR1750898
- [MESEJ21] M. Mortada, A. El Sahili, and M. El Joubbeh, *About paths with three blocks*, Australas. J. Combin. **80** (2021), 99–105. MR4249458
- [Tho86] A. Thomason, *Paths and cycles in tournaments*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296** (1986), no. 1, 167–180. MR837805