

# ABELIAN INVARIANTS AND A REDUCTION THEOREM FOR THE MODULAR ISOMORPHISM PROBLEM

LEO MARGOLIS, TARO SAKURAI, AND MIMA STANOJKOVSKI

ABSTRACT. We show that elementary abelian direct factors can be disregarded in the study of the modular isomorphism problem. Moreover, we obtain four new series of abelian invariants of the group base in the modular group algebra of a finite  $p$ -group. Finally, we apply our results to new classes of groups.

## INTRODUCTION

Given a field  $F$  of positive characteristic  $p$  and a finite  $p$ -group  $G$ , the modular group algebra  $FG$  of  $G$  over  $F$  plays a fundamental role in studying linear representations of  $G$  over  $F$ . The interplay between the group structure of  $G$  and the algebra structure of  $FG$  has been thoroughly studied and is yet still not completely understood. It is obvious that isomorphic finite  $p$ -groups  $G \cong H$  define isomorphic finite-dimensional algebras  $FG \cong FH$ . The *modular isomorphism problem* (MIP) asks whether the converse holds. In symbols, this reads

$$FG \cong FH \implies G \cong H?$$

Over the decades, the last question has been positively answered for finite  $p$ -groups of small orders and for special classes of them (we refer to [22, 13, 8] for an overview of most known results, while more recent contributions are [3, 21, 17, 5, 18]). However, a quite recent breakthrough [11] found the first counterexample to the modular isomorphism problem for the case  $p = 2$ . Having said that, the modular isomorphism problem is still open for odd primes and it is far from being solved in general. For example, as of today, no structural reduction theorems are known.

In this article we prove the following result reducing the modular isomorphism problem to finite  $p$ -groups free of elementary abelian direct factors.

**Theorem A.** *Let  $F$  be a field of positive characteristic  $p$  and let  $G$  and  $H$  be finite  $p$ -groups. Let, moreover,  $E$  be a finite elementary abelian  $p$ -group. Then*

---

*Date:* October 20, 2021.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S34 (20C05, 20D15).

*Key words and phrases.* Abelian invariant, finite  $p$ -group, modular group algebra, modular isomorphism problem, power structure, reduction.

Leo Margolis acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation, through the “Severo Ochoa Programme for Centres of Excellence in R&D” (CEX2019-000904-S). Mima Stanojkovski was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – Project-ID 286237555 – TRR 195.

an algebra isomorphism  $F[E \times G] \cong F[E \times H]$  implies an algebra isomorphism  $FG \cong FH$ , in symbols

$$F[E \times G] \cong F[E \times H] \implies FG \cong FH.$$

Theorem A is a simplified instance of Theorem 4.1 and is proven in Section 4. One ingredient in the proof of the reduction theorem is that the isomorphism type of the intersection of the socle with the Frattini subgroup of  $G$  is an invariant of  $FG$ . This last invariant can be derived from the following Theorem B; see in particular Corollary 2.8.

Let  $Z(G)$  and  $\gamma(G)$  denote the center and commutator subgroup of  $G$ , respectively. Moreover, for a non-negative integer  $n$ , set

$$\Omega_n(G) = \langle g \in G \mid g^{p^n} = 1 \rangle \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_n(G) = \langle g^{p^n} \mid g \in G \rangle.$$

**Theorem B.** *Let  $F$  be a field of positive characteristic  $p$  and let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group. Let, moreover,  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Then the isomorphism types of the following are invariants of  $FG$ :*

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| (1) $G/\gamma(G)\Omega_n(Z(G))$ ,         | (3) $Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n(G)\gamma(G)$ ,      |
| (2) $\gamma(G)\Omega_n(Z(G))/\gamma(G)$ , | (4) $Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n(G)\gamma(G)$ . |




FIGURE 1. The solid lines depict the new abelian invariants of the modular group algebra in Theorem B.

The search for invariants is, if possible, even more motivated by the discovery of a counterexample to the modular isomorphism problem. Indeed, such invariants provide obstructions to the coexistence of two non-isomorphic group bases in the same modular group algebra.

We apply our results to obtain positive results on the modular isomorphism problem for two new classes of finite 2-groups both of which share certain properties with the known counterexamples; cf. Remark 5.11.

This article is organized as follows. In Section 1 we introduce the notation and recall some standard results. Section 2 is devoted to establishing four new series of abelian invariants of group bases of modular group algebras, the main results being Theorems 2.1 and 2.4. Section 3 deals with elementary decompositions of finite  $p$ -groups from a purely group-theoretic point of view. The reduction, Theorem 4.1, is proved in Section 4 using one of the new abelian invariants and the decomposition from Section 3. Two concrete applications to the modular isomorphism problem, which are independent of each other, are given in Section 5.

**Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank Ángel del Río for his helpful comments on an early draft of this manuscript. We also thank Max Horn and Eamonn O'Brien for some clarifications regarding the classification of groups of order 32.

## 1. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATION

Throughout the whole paper,  $p$  denotes a prime number,  $G$  and  $H$  finite  $p$ -groups and  $F$  a field of characteristic  $p$ . We stress that all our results are independent of the choice of the field, in particular, they are not confined to the case where  $F = \mathbb{F}_p$  is the field of  $p$  elements (note that this is the case for several existing results on the modular isomorphism problem).

1.1. **Groups.** Denote by  $\Phi(G)$  the Frattini subgroup of  $G$  and by  $\Psi(G)$  the socle of  $G$ , i.e. the subgroup of  $G$  that is generated by central elements of prime order. The set of conjugacy classes of  $G$  is denoted  $\text{cc}(G)$  and for  $g, h \in G$  we write  $[g, h] = g^{-1}h^{-1}gh$  for the commutator of  $g$  and  $h$ . The commutator subgroup of  $G$  is denoted by  $\gamma(G)$ , while  $Z(G)$  denotes the center of  $G$ . Moreover, for every non-negative integer  $n$ , we define the following crucial players of this paper:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \mathcal{U}_n(G) &= \langle g^{p^n} \mid g \in G \rangle, & (3) \quad \Omega_n(G) &= \langle g \in G \mid g^{p^n} = 1 \rangle, \\ (2) \quad \mathcal{U}_n^*(G) &= \mathcal{U}_n(G)\gamma(G), & (4) \quad \Omega_n^*(G) &= \Omega_n(Z(G)). \end{aligned}$$

For instance, with this notation, we have that

$$\mathcal{U}_n(G/\gamma(G)) = \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)/\gamma(G), \quad \Phi(G) = \mathcal{U}_1^*(G), \quad \text{and} \quad \Psi(G) = \Omega_1^*(G).$$

The following result is a direct consequence of the classification of finite abelian  $p$ -groups.

**Proposition 1.1.** *Assume that  $G$  is abelian. Then the isomorphism type of  $G$  is determined by the numbers  $(|\mathcal{U}_n(G)|)_{n \geq 0}$ .*

*Proof.* This readily follows from [16, Chapter II, (1.4)]. □

**1.2. Algebras.** Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional algebra over  $F$ . Analogously to the notation for groups, we let  $\gamma(A) = \sum_{x,y \in A} F(xy - yx)$  denote the commutator subspace of  $A$  and  $Z(A)$  the center of  $A$ . We use the following notation for a non-negative integer  $n$  and a subspace  $X$  of  $A$ :

$$(1) \mathcal{U}_n(X) = \{x^{p^n} \mid x \in X\}, \quad (2) \Omega_n(X) = \{x \in X \mid x^{p^n} = 0\}.$$

The codimension of  $X$  is  $\text{codim } X = \dim A - \dim X$ , where the dimensions are taken as vector spaces over  $F$ .

The following fact on power maps can be found in [19, Chapter 2, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $A$  be a finite-dimensional algebra over  $F$  and  $n$  a non-negative integer. Then*

$$(x + y)^{p^n} \equiv x^{p^n} + y^{p^n} \pmod{\gamma(A)}$$

for every pair of elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $A$ .

**1.3. Group algebras.** The group  $H$  is a *group base* of  $FG$  if  $H$  consists of units in  $FG$  and  $H$  is a basis of  $FG$ . An object  $\mathcal{P}(G)$  associated with  $G$  is said to be an *invariant* of  $FG$  if  $FG \cong FH$  implies that  $\mathcal{P}(G) = \mathcal{P}(H)$ . A subset  $X(FG)$  of  $FG$  is said to be *canonical* in  $FG$  if every algebra isomorphism  $FG \rightarrow FH$  maps  $X(FG)$  to  $X(FH)$ . The *augmentation ideal* of  $FG$  is

$$\Delta(FG) = \bigoplus_{\substack{g \in G \\ g \neq 1}} F(g - 1).$$

It is well-known that the augmentation ideal equals the unique maximal ideal in  $FG$ , cf. [19, Chapter 8, Lemma 1.17]. In particular,  $\Delta(FG)$  is canonical in the modular group algebra. Finally, for a conjugacy class  $\kappa$  of  $G$ , we define the *class sum* of  $\kappa$  in  $FG$  as

$$\hat{\kappa} = \sum_{g \in \kappa} g.$$

The following facts on augmentation ideals relative to normal subgroups can be found in [19, Chapter 1, Lemma 1.8].

**Lemma 1.3.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\Delta(FN)FG$  is the kernel of the natural surjective map  $FG \rightarrow F[G/N]$ .*

The augmentation ideal relative to the commutator subgroup of  $G$  plays a special role in  $FG$ , as emphasized by the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.4.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $FG$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $FG/I$  is commutative.
- (2)  $I \supseteq \gamma(FG)$ .
- (3)  $I \supseteq \Delta(F\gamma(G))$ .

*Proof.* To see that (1) implies (2) note that  $FG/I$  being commutative implies that  $I$  contains all elements of shape  $xy - yx$  for elements  $x$  and  $y$  of  $FG$ . These elements are exactly the generators of  $\gamma(FG)$ . Moreover, (2) and (3) are equivalent by [22, Lemma 3.5]. Finally, (3) implies (1) by Lemma 1.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $FG$ . Let, moreover,  $K$  and  $L$  be normal subgroups of  $G$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $I \supseteq \Delta(FKL)$ .
- (2)  $I \supseteq \Delta(FK)$  and  $I \supseteq \Delta(FL)$ .

*Proof.* The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is clear. To see that (2) implies (1), note that, for any choice of  $x, y \in G$ , one has  $xy - 1 = (x - 1)(y - 1) + (x - 1) + (y - 1)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.6.** *The following facts hold in  $FG$ :*

- (1)  $\gamma(FG) \subseteq \Delta(FG)^2$ ,
- (2)  $Z(FG) = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G)} F\hat{\kappa} = \bigoplus_{z \in Z(G)} Fz \oplus \bigoplus_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} F\hat{\kappa}$ ,
- (3)  $Z(FG) \cap \gamma(FG) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} F\hat{\kappa}$ .

Moreover,  $Z(FG) \cap \gamma(FG)$  is an ideal of  $Z(FG)$  and

$$Z(FG)/Z(FG) \cap \gamma(FG) \cong FZ(G).$$

*Proof.* (1) This follows immediately from Lemma 1.4. Points (2) and (3) are direct consequences of [24, Section III.6].  $\square$

Finally, we state some classical results on the modular isomorphism problem: the invariance of the center due to Ward and Sehgal [24, Chapter III, Theorem 6.6] and the invariance of the Frattini quotient [19, Chapter 14, Lemma 2.7].

**Theorem 1.7.** *The isomorphism type of the center  $Z(G)$  is an invariant of  $FG$ .*

**Proposition 1.8.** *The isomorphism type of the Frattini quotient  $G/\Phi(G)$  is an invariant of  $FG$ .*

## 2. ABELIAN INVARIANTS

In this section we present new classes of abelian invariants of the modular group algebra of a finite  $p$ -group, namely those from Theorem B. This theorem will be obtained from the combination of Theorems 2.1 and 2.4, which are dual to each other in the following sense. While Theorem 2.1 is a result of filtering the abelianization  $G/\gamma(G)$  using  $(\Omega_n^*(G))_{n \geq 0}$ , in Theorem 2.4 the center  $Z(G)$  is filtered using  $(\mathcal{U}_n^*(G))_{n \geq 0}$ .

**2.1. Commutator subgroups and socles.** We now establish the first pair of abelian invariants from Theorem B. We will do so relying on Proposition 1.1 and identifying canonical ideals in the modular group algebra reflecting properties of the considered group quotients: the analogy is drawn in Figure 2.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Then the isomorphism types of the following are invariants of  $FG$ :*

$$(1) G/\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G), \quad (2) \gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G)/\gamma(G).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 FG & \circ & \circ & G \\
 & | & & | \\
 \Delta(F\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G))FG & \circ & \circ & \gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G) \\
 & | & & | \\
 \Delta(F\gamma(G)\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n^*(G)))FG & \circ & \circ & \gamma(G)\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n^*(G)) \\
 & | & & | \\
 \Delta(F\gamma(G))FG & \circ & \circ & \gamma(G)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 2. Corresponding ideals and subgroups.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be non-negative integers. Assume that  $G$  is abelian. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\Delta(F\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(G)))FG = \mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(FG))FG.$$

*Proof.* Thanks to Lemma 1.2, it is easy to show that

$$\Delta(F\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(G)))FG \subseteq \mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(FG))FG.$$

To show the opposite inclusion, take an element  $x \in \Omega_n(FG)$ . We will show that  $x^{p^m} \in \Delta(F\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(G)))FG$ . To this end, choose a complete set  $R$  of coset representatives of  $\Omega_n(G)$  in  $G$  and write

$$x = \sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \sum_{r \in R} \alpha_{gr} gr \quad \text{for some } \alpha_{gr} \in F.$$

Observe now that the map  $R \rightarrow G$ , defined by  $r \mapsto r^{p^n}$ , is injective. From

$$0 = x^{p^n} = \left( \sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \sum_{r \in R} \alpha_{gr} gr \right)^{p^n} = \sum_{r \in R} \left( \sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \alpha_{gr} \right)^{p^n} r^{p^n},$$

we obtain, for each representative  $r \in R$ , that  $\sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \alpha_{gr} = 0$ . Hence

$$x = \sum_{r \in R} \left( \sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \alpha_{gr} g r - \sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \alpha_{gr} r \right) = \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \alpha_{gr} (g - 1) r$$

and we see that  $x^{p^m} = \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{g \in \Omega_n(G)} \alpha_{gr}^{p^m} (g^{p^m} - 1) r^{p^m} \in \Delta(F\mathcal{U}_n(\Omega_n(G)))FG$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $m$  and  $n$  be non-negative integers. Then*

$$\Delta(F\gamma(G)\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n^*(G)))FG$$

*is the smallest ideal of  $FG$  containing both  $\gamma(FG)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(Z(FG)))$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $I$  be the smallest ideal of  $FG$  containing  $\gamma(FG)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(Z(FG)))$  and set  $K = \gamma(G)\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n^*(G))$ : we show that  $I = \Delta(FK)FG$ .

With the aid of Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5, it is easy to show that  $I \supseteq \Delta(FK)FG$ . To prove that  $I \subseteq \Delta(FK)FG$ , we will use Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5 to show that  $\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n(Z(FG))) \subseteq \Delta(FK)FG$ . To this end, fix  $x \in \Omega_n(Z(FG))$ : we will prove that  $x^{p^m} \in \Delta(FK)FG$ . As a consequence of Lemma 1.6, write

$$x = \sum_{z \in Z(G)} \alpha_z z + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} \beta_\kappa \hat{\kappa}$$

for some  $\alpha_z, \beta_\kappa \in F$ . Lemma 1.2 ensures that

$$0 = x^{p^n} = \left( \sum_{z \in Z(G)} \alpha_z z \right)^{p^n} + \left( \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} \beta_\kappa \hat{\kappa} \right)^{p^n}$$

and so, thanks to Lemma 1.6, we obtain that  $\sum_{z \in Z(G)} \alpha_z z \in \Omega_n(FZ(G))$ . Observe now that

$$x^{p^m} = \left( \sum_{z \in Z(G)} \alpha_z z \right)^{p^m} + \left( \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} \beta_\kappa \hat{\kappa} \right)^{p^m}.$$

The first summand belongs to  $\Delta(F\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n^*(G)))FG$ , by Lemma 2.2, and the second summand belongs to  $\Delta(F\gamma(G))FG$ , by Lemma 1.6. Now Lemma 1.5 yields that  $x^{p^m} \in \Delta(FK)FG$ .  $\square$

We close the present section with the proof of Theorem 2.1. For this, assume that  $FG \cong FH$  and let  $n$  be a non-negative integer.

(1) Thanks to Lemma 2.3, the ideal  $\Delta(F\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G))FG$  is canonical in  $FG$  and thus  $FG/\Delta(F\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G))FG$  is determined by  $FG$ . Now, by Lemma 1.3, the quotient  $FG/\Delta(F\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G))FG$  is isomorphic to  $F[G/\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G)]$  and so Theorem 1.7 yields that the isomorphism type of  $G/\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G)$  is an invariant of  $FG$ .

(2) Combining Lemmas 1.3 and 2.3 with the fact that

$$\mathcal{U}_m(\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G)/\gamma(G)) = \gamma(G)\mathcal{U}_m(\Omega_n^*(G))/\gamma(G),$$

the numbers  $(|\mathcal{U}_m(\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G)/\gamma(G))|)_{m \geq 0}$  are invariants of  $FG$ . Proposition 1.1 yields that the isomorphism type of  $\gamma(G)\Omega_n^*(G)/\gamma(G)$  is an invariant. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

**2.2. Frattini subgroups and centers.** We now establish the second pair of abelian invariants from Theorem B. In analogy to what we did in Section 2.1, we refer the reader to Figure 3 for an overview of the ideals involved in the proof.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Then the isomorphism types of the following are invariants of  $FG$ :*

$$(1) Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G), \quad (2) Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G).$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & FZ(G) \circ & \circ Z(G) \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 \Delta(F[Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)])FZ(G) & \circ & \circ Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G) \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 \Delta(F[\mathcal{U}_m(Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G))])FZ(G) & \circ & \circ \mathcal{U}_m(Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)) \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 0 & \circ & \circ 1
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 3. Corresponding ideals and subgroups.

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $K$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Assume  $G$  is abelian. Let, moreover,  $m$  be a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\Delta(F\mathcal{U}_m(K))FG$$

*is the smallest ideal of  $FG$  containing  $\mathcal{U}_m(\Delta(FK)FG)$ .*

*Proof.* This is a direct consequence of Lemma 1.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. Then*

$$\Delta(F\mathcal{U}_n^*(G))FG$$

*is the smallest ideal of  $FG$  containing both  $\gamma(FG)$  and  $\mathcal{U}_n(\Delta(FG))$ .*

*Proof.* This follows easily from Lemmas 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5.  $\square$



**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $N$  be a subgroup of  $G$  containing  $\gamma(G)$ . Then the following equality holds:*

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbf{Z}(FG) \cap \Delta(FN)FG = \Delta(F[\mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N])F\mathbf{Z}(G) \oplus (\mathbf{Z}(FG) \cap \gamma(FG)).$$

*Proof.* Note that  $N$  is normal in  $G$  because it contains  $\gamma(G)$ . It is easy to show that the left-hand side contains the right-hand side. To show the opposite inclusion, fix  $x \in \mathbf{Z}(FG) \cap \Delta(FN)FG$  and, thanks to Lemma 1.6, write

$$x = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}(G)} \alpha_z z + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} \beta_\kappa \hat{\kappa}$$

for some  $\alpha_z, \beta_\kappa \in F$ . By Lemma 1.6, the second summand belongs to  $\mathbf{Z}(FG) \cap \gamma(FG)$ ; we will show that the first summand belongs to  $\Delta(F[\mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N])F\mathbf{Z}(G)$ . Let  $\varepsilon_N$  denote the natural surjective map  $FG \rightarrow F[G/N]$  and observe that  $\Delta(FN)FG$  is the kernel of  $\varepsilon_N$  by Lemma 1.3. In particular  $\varepsilon_N(x) = 0$  yields

$$\sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}(G)} \alpha_z \varepsilon_N(z) + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} \beta_\kappa \varepsilon_N(\hat{\kappa}) = 0.$$

Since  $N$  contains  $\gamma(G)$ , each non-central conjugacy class  $\kappa$  satisfies  $\varepsilon_N(\hat{\kappa}) = 0$ . It follows that  $\sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}(G)} \alpha_z z N = 0$ . Choose a complete set  $R$  of coset representatives of  $\mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N$  in  $\mathbf{Z}(G)$ . As the map  $R \rightarrow G/N$ , defined by  $r \mapsto rN$  is injective, from

$$0 = \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}(G)} \alpha_z z N = \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N} \alpha_{rn} r n N = \sum_{r \in R} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N} \alpha_{rn} \right) r N,$$

we deduce, for each representative  $r \in R$ , that  $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N} \alpha_{rn} = 0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{z \in \mathbf{Z}(G)} \alpha_z z &= \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N} \alpha_{rn} r n \\ &= \sum_{r \in R} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N} \alpha_{rn} r n - \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N} \alpha_{rn} r \right) \\ &= \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N} \alpha_{nr} (n - 1) r \end{aligned}$$

and we see that  $\sum_z \alpha_z z \in \Delta(F[\mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N])F\mathbf{Z}(G)$ .

Note that the sum in (2.1) is direct as consequence of Lemma 1.6(2).  $\square$

We close Section 2.2 with the proof of Theorem 2.4. For this, assume  $FG \cong FH$  and let  $n$  be a non-negative integer.

(2) Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5 yield that  $FG \supseteq \Delta(F\mathcal{U}_n^*(G))FG \supseteq \gamma(FG)$ . Moreover,  $\Delta(F\mathcal{U}_n^*(G))FG$  is canonical in  $FG$  by Lemma 2.6. Taking the intersection with the center yields canonical subsets

$$\mathbf{Z}(FG) \supseteq \mathbf{Z}(FG) \cap \Delta(F\mathcal{U}_n^*(G))FG \supseteq \mathbf{Z}(FG) \cap \gamma(FG)$$

of  $FG$ . By Lemma 1.6, the set  $Z(FG) \cap \gamma(FG)$  is an ideal of the center and

$$(2.2) \quad Z(FG) = FZ(G) \oplus Z(FG) \cap \gamma(FG).$$

Moreover, thanks to Lemma 2.7, the following equality holds:

$$(2.3) \quad Z(FG) \cap \Delta(F\mathcal{U}_n^*(G))FG = \Delta(F[Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)])FZ(G) \oplus Z(FG) \cap \gamma(FG).$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain that  $\Delta(F[Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)])FZ(G)$  is the unique complement of  $Z(FG) \cap \gamma(FG)$  that is contained in  $FZ(G)$ . As a consequence,  $\Delta(F[Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)])FZ(G)$  is determined in  $FZ(G)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.5 that  $\Delta(F[\mathcal{U}_m(Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G))])FZ(G)$  is determined in  $FZ(G)$ . The associated quotient, which is isomorphic to  $F[Z(G)/\mathcal{U}_m(Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G))]$ , is also determined. Setting  $m = 0$  and applying Theorem 1.7 yield that the isomorphism type of  $Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)$  is an invariant.

(1) The numbers  $(|\mathcal{U}_m(Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G))|)_{m \geq 0}$  are invariants of  $FG$  and so Proposition 1.1 yields the isomorphism type of  $Z(G) \cap \mathcal{U}_n^*(G)$  as an invariant of  $FG$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

The combination of Theorem 2.1 with Theorem 2.4 is the same as Theorem B, which is therefore now proven. We remark that the proof of Theorem B is inspired by the argument for the invariance of  $Z(G) \cap \gamma(G)$  and  $Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \gamma(G)$  by Sandling [22, Theorem 6.11].

**Corollary 2.8.** *The isomorphism types of the following are invariants of  $FG$ :*

- $G/\gamma(G)$ ,
- $G/\gamma(G)\Psi(G)$ ,
- $G/\gamma(G)Z(G)$ ,
- $\gamma(G)\Psi(G)/\gamma(G)$ ,
- $\gamma(G)Z(G)/\gamma(G)$ ,
- $G/\Phi(G)\Psi(G)$ ,
- $Z(G)$ ,
- $Z(G) \cap \Phi(G)$ ,
- $Z(G) \cap \gamma(G)$ ,
- $Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \Phi(G)$ ,
- $Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \gamma(G)$ ,
- $\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)$ .

*Proof.* Taking  $n$  large enough ( $n \geq \log_p |G|$ , for example) in Theorem B yields the following four invariants:

$$G/\gamma(G)Z(G), \quad \gamma(G)Z(G)/\gamma(G), \quad Z(G) \cap \gamma(G), \quad Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \gamma(G).$$

Setting  $n = 0$  in (1) and (3) of Theorem B yields two classic invariants:

$$G/\gamma(G), \quad Z(G).$$

Setting  $n = 1$  in Theorem B yields four new invariants

$$G/\gamma(G)\Psi(G), \quad \gamma(G)\Psi(G)/\gamma(G), \quad Z(G) \cap \Phi(G), \quad Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \Phi(G).$$

The remaining two new invariants are obtained from  $G/\gamma(G)\Psi(G)$  and  $Z(G) \cap \Phi(G)$  by observing that

$$\begin{aligned} G/\Phi(G)\Psi(G) &\cong \frac{G/\gamma(G)\Psi(G)}{\mathcal{U}_1(G)\gamma(G)\Psi(G)/\gamma(G)\Psi(G)} = \frac{G/\gamma(G)\Psi(G)}{\mathcal{U}_1(G/\gamma(G)\Psi(G))}, \\ \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G) &= \Omega_1(Z(G)) \cap \Phi(G) = \Omega_1(Z(G) \cap \Phi(G)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We remark that the invariants  $Z(G), G/\gamma(G), Z(G) \cap \gamma(G), Z(G)/Z(G) \cap \gamma(G)$  from Corollary 2.8 can be found in Sandling's survey paper [22, Theorems 6.11, 6.12, 6.7].

**Remark 2.9.** If  $G$  has class 2, one can see the isomorphism type of  $G/Z(G)$  as an invariant of  $FG$  (cf. [22, Theorem 6.23]) from one of the above invariants, namely  $G/\gamma(G)Z(G) \cong (G/Z(G))/\gamma(G/Z(G))$ .

### 3. ELEMENTARY DECOMPOSITIONS

In this section we present an elementary group-theoretic result on the decomposition of a finite  $p$ -group as a direct product of two subgroups, one of which is elementary abelian and maximal with these properties. This turns out to be fundamental for the proof of Theorem A.

**Definition 3.1.** A decomposition

$$(3.1) \quad G = T \times U$$

of  $G$  into subgroups  $T$  and  $U$  is said to be *elementary* if the following are satisfied:

- (1)  $T \cap \Phi(G) = 1$  and  $|T| = |\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)|$ ; and
- (2)  $\Psi(G)U = G$  and  $|U| = |G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)|$ .

It can be proven that, given a decomposition  $G = T \times U$ , Conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent. Moreover, as defined in Definition 3.1, the subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  is maximal with the property that  $T$  is an elementary abelian direct factor of  $G$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *An elementary decomposition  $G = T \times U$  with  $T$  elementary abelian always exists and the subgroups  $T$  and  $U$  are unique up to isomorphism. Furthermore, the following equalities hold:*

$$\Psi(G) = T \times \Psi(U) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(G) = \Phi(U).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\Psi(G)$  is elementary abelian, the subgroup  $\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)$  has a complement  $T$  in  $\Psi(G)$ . We take such  $T$  and remark that  $T \cap \Phi(G) = 1$  and  $\Psi(G) = T(\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G))$ . Since  $G/\Phi(G)$  is also elementary abelian, the subgroup  $\Psi(G)\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$  has a complement in  $G/\Phi(G)$ ; we take it to be of the form  $U/\Phi(G)$  with  $U$  containing  $\Phi(G)$ . It follows that  $\Psi(G)\Phi(G) \cap U = \Phi(G)$  and, the elements of  $\Phi(G)$  being non-generators, that  $G = \Psi(G)U$ ; see Figure 4. It is now easy to deduce that  $G = TU$  and  $T \cap U = 1$ . Since  $T$  is central, we obtain  $G = T \times U$  and this decomposition is elementary. The uniqueness of  $T$  and  $U$ , up




FIGURE 4. Subgroups involved in an elementary decomposition.

to isomorphism, follows from the Krull-Remak-Schmidt theorem. In conclusion, since  $T$  is an elementary abelian direct factor of  $G$ , we have  $\Psi(G) = T \times \Psi(U)$  and  $\Phi(G) = \Phi(U)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $G = T \times U$  be an elementary decomposition of  $G$  with  $T$  elementary abelian. Note that there are natural isomorphisms  $G/\Psi(G) \rightarrow U/\Psi(U)$  and  $\Phi(G) \rightarrow \Phi(U)$ . Furthermore, these are compatible with  $p$ -th power maps  $G/\Psi(G) \rightarrow \Phi(G)$  and  $U/\Psi(U) \rightarrow \Phi(U)$ , i.e. the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/\Psi(G) & \longrightarrow & U/\Psi(U) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Phi(G) & \longrightarrow & \Phi(U) \end{array}$$

Roughly speaking,  $G$  and  $U$  have the same power structure.

#### 4. A REDUCTION THEOREM

In this section we prove that elementary abelian direct factors can be disregarded in the study of the modular isomorphism problem. More precisely, we prove the following generalization of Theorem A from the Introduction.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $G = T \times U$  and  $H = S \times V$  be elementary decompositions of  $G$  and  $H$ , with  $T$  and  $S$  elementary abelian. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $FG \cong FH$ .
- (2)  $FT \cong FS$  and  $FU \cong FV$ .

Until the end of the present section, we write  $\Delta = \Delta(FG)$  for the augmentation ideal of  $FG$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $K$  and  $L$  be subgroups of  $G$  such that  $G$  is a semidirect product  $G = K \rtimes L$  with normal kernel  $K$ . Let, moreover,  $n$  be a positive integer. Then the following equality holds:*

$$\Delta^n = \Delta(FK)\Delta^{n-1} \oplus \Delta(FL)^n.$$

*Proof.* We work by induction on  $n$ . Assume first that  $n = 1$ . Then, for each  $k \in K$  and  $l \in L$ , one has  $kl - 1 = (k - 1)l + (l - 1)$ : this proves  $\Delta = \Delta(FK)FG + \Delta(FL)$ . The last sum is direct thanks to Lemma 1.3.

Assume now that  $n > 1$  and that  $\Delta^{n-1} = \Delta(FK)\Delta^{n-2} \oplus \Delta(FL)^{n-1}$ . Then, the inclusion  $\Delta^n \supseteq \Delta(FK)\Delta^{n-1} \oplus \Delta(FL)^n$  immediately follows from the definition of  $\Delta$ , while the opposite inclusion is obtained from combining  $\Delta^n = \Delta^{n-1}\Delta$ , the induction hypothesis, and the case  $n = 1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *The following hold:*

- (1)  $\Delta(F\Phi(G))FG \subseteq \Delta^2$ .
- (2)  $\Delta(F\Psi(G))FG + \Delta^2 = \Omega_1(Z(FG)) + \Delta^2$ .

*Proof.* (1) This follows from combining Lemmas 1.2, 1.4 and 1.5.

(2) It is easy to show that  $\Delta(F\Psi(G))FG + \Delta^2 \subseteq \Omega_1(Z(FG)) + \Delta^2$ . To show the converse, it suffices to prove that  $\Omega_1(Z(FG)) \subseteq \Delta(F\Psi(G))FG + \Delta^2$ . To this end, take an element  $x \in \Omega_1(Z(FG))$  and, thanks to Lemma 1.6, write

$$x = \sum_{z \in Z(G)} \alpha_z z + \sum_{\substack{\kappa \in \text{cc}(G) \\ |\kappa| \neq 1}} \beta_\kappa \hat{\kappa}$$

for scalars  $\alpha_z, \beta_\kappa \in F$ . By Lemma 1.6, the second summand on the right-hand side belongs to  $\Delta^2$ ; we will prove that the first summand belongs to  $\Delta(F\Psi(G))FG$ . Now, from  $x^p = 0$  and Lemma 1.6(3), we derive that

$$\sum_{z \in Z(G)} \alpha_z z \in \Omega_1(FZ(G)).$$

Taking  $m = 0$  and  $n = 1$  in Lemma 2.2, with  $Z(G)$  in the role of  $G$ , yields that  $\Omega_1(FZ(G)) \subseteq \Delta(F\Psi(G))FG$  and thus the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $G = T \times U$  be an elementary decomposition of  $G$  with  $T$  elementary abelian. Let, moreover,  $n$  be a positive integer. Define  $I = \Delta(FT)FG$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1)  $\text{codim } I = |G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)|$ .
- (2)  $I\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1} = \Delta(F\Psi(G))\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1}$ .

*Proof.* As (1) follows immediately from Lemma 1.3, we show (2). From the fact that  $\Psi(U) \subseteq \Phi(U)$  and Lemma 3.2, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} I + \Delta^2 &= \Delta(FT)FG + \Delta^2 \\ &= \Delta(FT)FG + \Delta(F\Psi(U))FG + \Delta^2 \\ &= \Delta(F\Psi(G))FG + \Delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from Lemmas 1.5 and 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} I\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1} &= (I + \Delta^2)\Delta^{n-1} = (\Delta(F\Psi(G))FG + \Delta^2)\Delta^{n-1} \\ &= \Delta(F\Psi(G))\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $G = T \times U$  be an elementary decomposition of  $G$  with  $T$  elementary abelian. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $FG$  satisfying*

- (1)  $\text{codim } I = |G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)|$  and
- (2) for each integer  $n > 0$ , one has  $I\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1} = \Delta(F\Psi(G))\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1}$ .

Then one has  $FG/I \cong FU$ .

*Proof.* In order to show the claim, we will show that  $FG = I \oplus FU$ . To do this, we first prove that, for each positive integer  $n$ , one has

$$(4.1) \quad \Delta^n = I\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta(FU)^n.$$

Let  $c$  be minimal such that  $\Delta^c = 0$ . Then, for each  $n > c$ , the equality in (4.1) clearly holds. Assume now that  $0 < n \leq c$ : we prove (4.1) by induction on  $c - n$ . If  $n = c$ , we are done because  $I \subseteq \Delta$ . Suppose now that  $n < c$  and that  $\Delta^{n+1} = I\Delta^n + \Delta(FU)^{n+1}$ . From Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4 we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^n &= \Delta^n + \Delta^{n+1} \\ &= \Delta(FT)\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1} + \Delta(FU)^n \\ &= \Delta(F\Psi(G))\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1} + \Delta(FU)^n \\ &= I\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta^{n+1} + \Delta(FU)^n \\ &= I\Delta^{n-1} + I\Delta^n + \Delta(FU)^{n+1} + \Delta(FU)^n \\ &= I\Delta^{n-1} + \Delta(FU)^n. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (4.1). In particular, from  $n = 1$ , we obtain that  $\Delta = I + \Delta(FU)$  and thus  $FG = I + FU$ . The last equality, together with the condition on the codimension of  $I$ , yields that  $I \cap FU = 0$  and hence we have  $FG = I \oplus FU$ .  $\square$

We conclude the current section by proving Theorem 4.1. For this, let  $G = T \times U$  and  $H = S \times V$  be elementary decompositions with  $T$  and  $S$  elementary abelian.

For (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), let  $\varphi : FG \rightarrow FH$  be an algebra isomorphism. Note that  $T \cong \Psi(G)/\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)$  and  $S \cong \Psi(H)/\Psi(H) \cap \Phi(H)$ , so the combination of Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 2.8 yields that  $T \cong S$ . Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $FG$

satisfying the conditions from Lemma 4.5; such an ideal exists as a consequence of Lemma 4.4. Now, as a consequence of Lemma 4.3(2), the ideal  $\Delta(F\Psi(G))FG + \Delta^2$  is canonical in  $FG$  and, thanks to Corollary 2.8, the quantity  $|G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)|$  is an invariant of  $FG$ . It follows in particular that the ideal  $\varphi(I)$  of  $FH$  also satisfy the conditions from Lemma 4.5 and thus we have that

$$FU \cong FG/I \cong FH/\varphi(I) \cong FV.$$

For the proof of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), assume that  $FT \cong FS$  and  $FU \cong FV$ . Then

$$FG = F[T \times U] \cong FT \otimes_F FU \cong FS \otimes_F FV \cong F[S \times V] = FH$$

and the proof is complete.  $\square$

## 5. APPLICATIONS

This section is devoted to particular instances in which our main results can be applied to solve the modular isomorphism problem. Since our aim is to work independently of the choice of the field, in Section 5 we avoid the use of invariants which are only known to hold over the prime field.

**5.1. Infinitely to finitely many.** In the current section we positively solve the modular isomorphism problem for the following infinite family of 2-groups of class 3 by first reducing it to a finite analysis using our Theorem A and subsequently applying old and new invariants, cf. Theorem B.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume  $G$  has class 3 and satisfies  $|G : Z(G)| = |\Phi(G)| = 8$ . Then the modular isomorphism problem has a positive answer for  $G$ .*

**Lemma 5.2.** *Assume  $G$  has class 3 and satisfies  $|G : Z(G)| = |\Phi(G)| = p^3$ . Then one has  $|G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| < p^8$ .*

*Proof.* First, we show that

$$(5.1) \quad |G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| \leq |G : Z(G)\Phi(G)| \cdot |\Phi(G)|^2.$$

Consider the chain of subgroups

$$G \supseteq Z(G)\Phi(G) \supseteq Z(G) \supseteq \Psi(G) \supseteq \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G) \supseteq 1$$

and use it to decompose  $|G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)|$  as

$$\begin{aligned} & |G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| \\ &= |G : Z(G)\Phi(G)| \cdot |Z(G)\Phi(G) : Z(G)| \cdot |Z(G) : \Psi(G)| \cdot |\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)|. \end{aligned}$$

One can see that the second and fourth factors are bounded as

$$\begin{aligned} & |Z(G)\Phi(G) : Z(G)| \cdot |\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| \\ &= |\Phi(G) : Z(G) \cap \Phi(G)| \cdot |\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| \\ &\leq |\Phi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| \cdot |\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| = |\Phi(G)|, \end{aligned}$$

and the third factor is bounded as

$$|Z(G) : \Psi(G)| = |Z(G) : \Omega_1(Z(G))| = |\mathcal{U}_1(Z(G))| \leq |\mathcal{U}_1(G)| \leq |\Phi(G)|.$$

This completes the proof of (5.1).

Now it follows from the assumption that the central quotient  $G/Z(G)$  is a non-abelian group of order  $p^3$  and thus its minimal number of generators is two. Since

$$G/Z(G) \Phi(G) \cong \frac{G/Z(G)}{Z(G) \Phi(G)/Z(G)} = \frac{G/Z(G)}{\Phi(G/Z(G))},$$

we obtain  $|G : Z(G) \Phi(G)| = p^2$ . Furthermore, one can see that  $\mathcal{U}_1(Z(G)) \neq \Phi(G)$  since  $G$  has class 3. Thus the inequality in (5.1) is strict and we obtain

$$|G|/|\Psi(G) : \Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| < p^2 \cdot (p^3)^2 = p^8. \quad \square$$

In the following result and later in this paper, we denote by  $\mathbf{SG}(n, m)$  the  $m$ -th group of order  $n$  in the Small Groups Library [4] of GAP [10].

**Corollary 5.3.** *Assume  $G$  has class 3 and satisfies  $|G : Z(G)| = |\Phi(G)| = 8$ . Let  $G = T \times U$  be an elementary decomposition with  $T$  elementary abelian. Then  $U$  is isomorphic to one of the following groups.*

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \mathbf{SG}(32, 9), & U_2 &= \mathbf{SG}(32, 10), & U_3 &= \mathbf{SG}(32, 11), \\ U_4 &= \mathbf{SG}(32, 13), & U_5 &= \mathbf{SG}(32, 14), & U_6 &= \mathbf{SG}(32, 15), \\ U_7 &= \mathbf{SG}(64, 97), & U_8 &= \mathbf{SG}(64, 108), & U_9 &= \mathbf{SG}(64, 118), \\ U_{10} &= \mathbf{SG}(64, 119), & U_{11} &= \mathbf{SG}(64, 120), & U_{12} &= \mathbf{SG}(64, 124), \\ U_{13} &= \mathbf{SG}(128, 1671). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The order of  $U$  is bounded by  $2^7$ , as consequence of Definition 3.1(2) and Lemma 5.2. A quick search with GAP [10], leveraging on Lemma 3.2, yields the claim.  $\square$

To finish the proof of Theorem 5.1, we collect a number of invariants from the literature known to be valid over any field of characteristic  $p$ . In the following proposition, we say that a conjugacy class  $\kappa$  consists of  $p^n$ -th powers if  $\kappa$  is the conjugacy class of  $g^{p^n}$  for some  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $n$  be a non-negative integer. The following are invariants of  $FG$ :*

- (1) the number  $k_n(G)$  of conjugacy classes of  $G$  consisting of  $p^n$ -th powers,
- (2) the number  $a_n(G)$  of conjugacy classes of maximal elementary abelian subgroups of  $G$  of rank  $n$ ,
- (3) the number  $e(G)$  of elements in a minimal set of generators of the mod- $p$  cohomology ring  $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ .



*Proof.* The first invariant  $k_n(G)$  is obtained from an observation of Külshammer [15, Section 1], see also [23, Proposition 1] or [13, Section 2.2]. The second invariant  $a_n(G)$  is derived from Quillen's Stratification Theorem [9, Corollary 8.3.3], to be also found in [13, Section 2.5]. As for the last invariant, the cohomology ring  $H^*(G, F) = \text{Ext}_{FG}^*(F, F)$  of  $G$  is determined from  $FG$ , and hence the number of elements in a minimal set of generators of  $H^*(G, F)$  is also determined [7, p. 315]. Since

$$H^*(G, F) \cong H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} F$$

by [9, Section 3.4], the number  $e(G)$  is an invariant of  $FG$ .  $\square$

We conclude the current section by proving Theorem 5.1. Assume  $FG \cong FH$ . First, we show that  $H$  also has class 3 and satisfies  $|H : Z(H)| = |\Phi(H)| = 8$ . Clearly  $|G| = |H|$ , and  $H$  satisfies the last two conditions by Theorem 1.7 and Proposition 1.8. Since  $G$  has class 3, we have  $Z(G) \not\cong \gamma(G)$  and  $|\gamma(G)| > |Z(G) \cap \gamma(G)|$ . The same inequality holds for  $H$  by Corollary 2.8 and  $H$  has class 3 as  $|H : Z(H)| = 8$ ; see also [2, Theorem 2].

Without loss of generality and thanks to Theorem 4.1, we assume that  $G$  and  $H$  do not have elementary abelian direct factors. Then, as a consequence of Corollary 5.3, we are only concerned with the determination of 13 groups from their modular group algebras. We do so with the aid of the following invariants of modular group algebras, listed in Theorem 1.7 and Proposition 5.4:

- the number  $k_n(G)$  of conjugacy classes of  $G$  consisting  $p^n$ -th powers,
- the number  $a_n(G)$  of conjugacy classes of maximal elementary abelian subgroups of  $G$  of rank  $n$ ,
- the number  $e(G)$  of elements in a minimal set of generators of  $H^*(G, \mathbb{F}_2)$ ,
- the order of the socle  $\Psi(G)$ .

$G$	$U_1$	$U_2$	$U_3$	$U_4$	$U_5$	$U_6$
$k_1(G)$	4	4	5	5	4	5
$a_2(G)$	0	1	2	1	1	1
$e(G)$	5	6	6	4	4	5

TABLE 1. Some invariants for the groups from Corollary 5.3 of order 32.

The numbers  $k_n(G)$  and  $a_n(G)$  can be calculated using `MIPConjugacyClassInfo` and `SubgroupsInfo` in `ModIsomExt` [17], for example. See [12] or [7, Appendix D] for the number  $e(G)$ . It follows from Tables 1 and 2 that  $G \cong H$ .  $\square$

**Example 5.5.** As noted in [13], the modular group algebras of the groups  $\text{SG}(64, 97)$  and  $\text{SG}(64, 101)$  as well as  $\text{SG}(64, 108)$  and  $\text{SG}(64, 110)$  cannot be distinguished by known group-theoretic invariants. Indeed, in [13, Sections 4.1, 4.2] ring-theoretic techniques are used to solve the modular isomorphism problem for

$G$	$U_7$	$U_8$	$U_9$	$U_{10}$	$U_{11}$	$U_{12}$
$k_1(G)$	5	5	6	6	6	6
$a_3(G)$	2	1	2	1	0	0
$ \Psi(G) $	4	4	4	4	4	2

TABLE 2. Some invariants for the groups from Corollary 5.3 of order 64.

these groups. Our new invariants from Theorem 2.1 or 2.4 can distinguish the modular group algebras of those groups and in fact they are covered by Theorem 5.1 as it is evident from Corollary 5.3. See also Corollary 5.10.

**5.2. Generalizing maximal class.** We refer to a group as *dihedral* if it is generated by two elements of order two. In this section, we provide a positive answer to the modular isomorphism problem for the following class of finite 2-groups.

**Theorem 5.6.** *Assume  $G$  has cyclic center  $Z(G)$  and dihedral central quotient  $G/Z(G)$ . Then the modular isomorphism problem has a positive answer for  $G$ .*

As we will see from its proof, the last theorem is an instance of the successful application of our new invariants, more specifically  $G/\gamma(G)Z(G)$ ; see Corollary 2.8. Moreover, we remark that the class from Theorem 5.6 contains the dihedral group  $D_{2^{1+n}}$  and the generalized quaternion group  $Q_{2^{1+n}}$ , whenever  $n \geq 2$ , and the semidihedral group  $S_{2^{1+n}}$ , for  $n \geq 3$ . These have presentations

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2^{1+n}} &= \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = 1, \quad b^2 = 1, \quad (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = c, \quad c^2 = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle, \\ Q_{2^{1+n}} &= \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = c, \quad b^2 = c, \quad (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = c^{1+2^{n-1}}, \quad c^2 = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle, \\ S_{2^{1+n}} &= \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = 1, \quad b^2 = c, \quad (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = c^{1+2^{n-2}}, \quad c^2 = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

More generally, we define the following three families of 2-groups for each  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2^m|n} &= \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = 1, \quad b^2 = 1, \quad (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = c^{2^{m-1}}, \quad c^{2^m} = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle, \\ Q_{2^m|n} &= \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = c, \quad b^2 = c, \quad (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = c^{2^{m-1}+2^{n-1}}, \quad c^{2^m} = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle, \\ S_{2^m|n} &= \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = 1, \quad b^2 = c, \quad (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = c^{2^{m-1}+2^{n-2}}, \quad c^{2^m} = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The last groups are central extensions of a dihedral group of order  $2^n$  by a cyclic group of order  $2^m$ . Note that there are exceptional isomorphisms

$$(5.2) \quad S_{2^m|2} \cong \begin{cases} D_{2^{1|2}} & \text{if } m = 1, \\ Q_{2^m|2} & \text{if } m > 1, \end{cases}$$

given by

$$S_{2^{1|2}} \rightarrow D_{2^{1|2}}, \quad a \mapsto a, \quad b \mapsto ab,$$

and

$$S_{2^m|2} \rightarrow Q_{2^m|2}, \quad a \mapsto abc^{2^{m-2}-1}, \quad b \mapsto b.$$

The following theorem allows us to study the family from Theorem 5.6 in terms of the just-defined groups.

**Theorem 5.7.** *Assume that  $G$  has cyclic center  $Z(G)$  of order  $2^m$  and dihedral central quotient  $G/Z(G)$  of order  $2^n$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to one of  $D_{2^m|n}$ ,  $Q_{2^m|n}$  or  $S_{2^m|n}$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that  $m \geq 1$ , because finite non-trivial  $p$ -groups have non-trivial centers, and  $n \geq 2$ , because  $G/Z(G)$  cannot be a non-trivial cyclic group. It follows from the assumptions that there are elements  $x, y, z$  generating  $G$  and integers  $0 \leq \xi, \eta, \zeta < 2^m$  with

$$x^2 = z^\xi, \quad y^2 = z^\eta, \quad (xy)^{2^{n-1}} = z^\zeta, \quad z^{2^m} = [x, z] = [y, z] = 1.$$

First, we shall replace the generators  $x, y, z$  with new generators  $a, b, c$  that are relatable via simpler parameters. Let  $q_\xi$  and  $r_\xi$  be the quotient and remainder of  $\xi$  divided by 2 so that

$$\xi = 2q_\xi + r_\xi \text{ and } 0 \leq r_\xi < 2.$$

Similarly, define  $q_\eta$  and  $r_\eta$ . Replace the generators  $x, y, z$  with

$$a = xz^{-q_\xi}, \quad b = yz^{-q_\eta}, \quad c = z$$

and note that  $a, b, c$  satisfy relations of the same form

$$a^2 = c^\alpha, \quad b^2 = c^\beta, \quad (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = c^\gamma, \quad c^{2^m} = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1$$

where the parameters' values range as  $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 2$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 2^m$ ; we assume, without loss of generality, that  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . In particular, there are only three possibilities for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Suppose  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 1)$ , namely,  $a^2 = 1$  and  $b^2 = c$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c^\gamma &= (ab)^{2^{n-1}} = (ab)^{2^{n-1}} a^2 = a(ba)^{2^{n-1}} a = a(abc^{-1})^{-2^{n-1}} a \\ &= a(ab)^{-2^{n-1}} ac^{2^{n-1}} = ac^{-\gamma} ac^{2^{n-1}} = a^2 c^{-\gamma+2^{n-1}} = c^{-\gamma+2^{n-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

which yields  $c^{2\gamma-2^{n-1}} = 1$ . The element  $c$  belonging to the center, we obtain that  $2\gamma - 2^{n-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^m}$  and hence  $\gamma \equiv 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-2} \pmod{2^m}$ . A similar argument can be applied, for  $(\alpha, \beta) = (1, 1)$ , to prove that  $\gamma \equiv 2^{m-1} + 2^{n-1} \pmod{2^m}$  and, for  $(\alpha, \beta) = (0, 0)$ , to show that  $\gamma \equiv 2^{m-1} \pmod{2^m}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

We will prove Theorem 5.6 following the next steps. We will first show that the family  $\mathcal{X}$  of 2-groups from Theorem 5.6 is closed under the modular isomorphism problem. Then we will use a number of invariants, to show that any two group

bases associated to the same algebra possess the same invariants  $(m, n)$ ; cf. Theorem 5.7. To conclude, we will analyze different (incompatible) properties of the algebras of  $D_{2^m|n}$ ,  $Q_{2^m|n}$ , and  $S_{2^m|n}$  and leverage on Theorem 5.7 to positively solve the modular isomorphism problem for  $\mathcal{X}$ .

**Lemma 5.8.** *The center  $Z(G)$  is cyclic if and only if  $|\Psi(G)| = p$ .*

*Proof.* This follows from the fact that an abelian  $p$ -group that has a unique subgroup of order  $p$  is cyclic.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.9.** *The quotient  $G/Z(G)$  is dihedral if and only if  $|G : \gamma(G)Z(G)| = 4$ .*

*Proof.* We will make use of the following basic fact:

$$G/\gamma(G)Z(G) \cong (G/Z(G))/\gamma(G/Z(G)).$$

If  $G/Z(G)$  is dihedral, then  $|G : \gamma(G)Z(G)| = 4$  follows immediately. Suppose that  $|G : \gamma(G)Z(G)| = 4$ . If the central quotient  $G/Z(G)$  is abelian, then it cannot be cyclic and is thus isomorphic to the Klein four group  $D_4$ . We assume now that  $G/Z(G)$  is non-abelian. By Taussky's trichotomy theorem [14, Kapitel III, Satz 11.9], the group  $G/Z(G)$  is isomorphic to the dihedral group  $D_{2^{1+n}}$  or the generalized quaternion group  $Q_{2^{1+n}}$ , for  $n \geq 2$ , or the semidihedral group  $S_{2^{1+n}}$ , for  $n \geq 3$ . Moreover, a theorem of Shahriari [25, Theorem] ensures that  $Q_{2^{1+n}}$  and  $S_{2^{1+n}}$  are incapable of being central quotients, so  $G/Z(G)$  must be dihedral.  $\square$

For the proof of Theorem 5.6 we will use dimension subgroups, but will avoid presenting here an extensive description of their properties. We refer to [13, Section 1] or [18, Section 2.3] for more details and references. For a positive integer  $n$ , the  $n$ -th *dimension subgroup*  $D_n(G)$  of  $G$  is defined as

$$D_n(G) = G \cap (1 + \Delta(FG)^n).$$

Jennings showed that the dimension subgroups form a  $p$ -restricted  $N$ -series, i.e. that for any pair of indices  $n$  and  $m$  one has

$$(5.3) \quad [D_n(G), D_m(G)] \subseteq D_{n+m}(G) \text{ and } \mathfrak{U}_1(D_n(G)) \subseteq D_{np}.$$

Moreover, the *dimension subgroups series*, also called the *Jennings series*, is the fastest descending series of subgroups in  $G$  satisfying (5.3). If  $p = 2$ , for instance, the first few terms are

$$D_1(G) = G, \quad D_2(G) = \Phi(G), \quad D_3(G) = [\Phi(G), G]\mathfrak{U}_1(\Phi(G)).$$

Moreover, for every choice of  $n$ , the quotient  $D_n(G)/D_{n+1}(G)$  is elementary abelian and can be viewed as a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . It was also proven by Jennings that  $g - 1 \in \Delta(FG)^n$  if and only if  $g \in D_n(G)$  and that, if  $g_1, \dots, g_d$  form a basis of  $D_n(G)/D_{n+1}(G)$ , then  $g_1 - 1, \dots, g_d - 1$  are linearly independent in  $\Delta(FG)^n/\Delta(FG)^{n+1}$ . Moreover, after subtracting 1, any basis of  $D_1(G)/D_2(G) = G/\Phi(G)$  forms a basis of  $\Delta(FG)/\Delta(FG)^2$ .

In what follows we will apply the following identities, holding for  $g, h \in G$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (gh - 1) &= (g - 1) + (h - 1) + (g - 1)(h - 1), \\ (h - 1)(g - 1) &= (g - 1)(h - 1) + \\ &\quad (1 + (g - 1) + (h - 1) + (g - 1)(h - 1))([h, g] - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that, the element  $[h, g] - 1$  lying in  $\Delta(FG)^2$ , we have

$$(5.4) \quad (h - 1)(g - 1) \equiv (g - 1)(h - 1) + ([h, g] - 1) \pmod{\Delta(FG)^3}.$$

In the upcoming proof of Theorem 5.6 we will sometimes omit to explicitly reference to these identities.

*Proof of Theorem 5.6.* Assume  $FG \cong FH$ . Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9 and Corollary 2.8 guarantee that the family

$$\mathcal{X} = \{ X \mid X \text{ finite 2-group, } Z(X) \text{ cyclic, } X/Z(X) \text{ dihedral} \}$$

is closed under the modular isomorphism problem and, in particular, that  $H \in \mathcal{X}$ . From Theorem 5.7 we know that  $G$  is isomorphic to one of  $D_{2^m|n}$ ,  $Q_{2^m|n}$  or  $S_{2^m|n}$ , for uniquely determined values of  $m \geq 1$  and  $n \geq 2$ . As  $|G| = |H|$ , we deduce from Theorems 1.7 and 5.7 that  $H$  is isomorphic to one of  $D_{2^m|n}$ ,  $Q_{2^m|n}$  or  $S_{2^m|n}$ , too.

Assume first that  $m = 1$ . Then  $G$  and  $H$  are 2-groups of maximal class and the modular isomorphism problem for these groups has been positively solved in [6, p. 434] and [1, Theorem 1]; see also [20] for the prime field case.

We now assume that  $m > 1$ . We write  $d(G) = \log_p |G : \Phi(G)|$ , which is an invariant by Proposition 1.8. Since  $m > 1$ , we have  $\Phi(D_{2^m|n}) = \langle c^2, [b, a] \rangle$ ,  $\Phi(Q_{2^m|n}) = \langle c, [b, a] \rangle$  and  $\Phi(S_{2^m|n}) = \langle c, [b, a] \rangle$ , from which we derive

$$d(D_{2^m|n}) = 3 \text{ while } d(Q_{2^m|n}) = 2 = d(S_{2^m|n}) = 2.$$

It follows that either  $G$  and  $H$  are both isomorphic to  $D_{2^m|n}$  or they are both 2-generated. We assume that neither  $G$  nor  $H$  is isomorphic to  $D_{2^m|n}$ . Moreover, as  $Q_{2^m|2} \cong S_{2^m|2}$ , we assume that  $n > 2$  and, for a contradiction, that

$$G = Q_{2^m|n} \text{ and } H = S_{2^m|n}.$$

To produce the desired contradiction, we will show that  $\Omega_1(FG) \subseteq \Delta(FG)^2$ , while this is not the case if we replace  $FG$  by  $FH$ .

As  $a$  and  $b$  span  $G/\Phi(G)$ , we write a generic element  $x$  in  $\Omega_1(FG)$  as

$$x \equiv \alpha(a - 1) + \beta(b - 1) \pmod{\Delta(FG)^2}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in F$ . It follows from Lemma 1.2 and (5.4) that

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = x^2 &\equiv (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(c - 1) + \alpha\beta((a - 1)(b - 1) + (b - 1)(a - 1)), \\ &\equiv (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(c - 1) + \alpha\beta([b, a] - 1) \pmod{\Delta(FG)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $P = G/D_3(G)$ , which is the largest quotient  $Q$  of  $G$  satisfying  $D_3(Q) = 1$ . Note that  $[a, b]^{2^{n-2}} = c^{2^{m-1}}$  holds in  $G$ . Since  $m > 1$  and  $n > 2$ , we obtain

$$P \cong \langle a, b, c \mid a^2 = c, b^2 = c, [b, a]^2 = c^2 = [a, c] = [b, c] = 1 \rangle,$$

which is a group of order 16. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(G)/D_2(G) &\cong D_1(P)/D_2(P) \cong P/\Phi(P), \\ D_2(G)/D_3(G) &\cong D_2(P)/D_3(P) \cong \Phi(P) \end{aligned}$$

and both  $P/\Phi(P)$  and  $\Phi(P)$  have rank 2; cf. Figure 5 for a choice of basis.

$$\begin{array}{ll} D_1(G)/D_2(G) & a, b \text{ mod } D_2(G) \\ D_2(G)/D_3(G) & c, [b, a] \text{ mod } D_3(G) \end{array}$$

FIGURE 5. Quotients of the dimension subgroups and their basis.

It follows that  $c - 1$  and  $[b, a] - 1$  are linearly independent modulo  $\Delta(FG)^3$ . Hence (5.5) yields that  $\alpha\beta = \alpha^2 + \beta^2 = 0$ , which itself implies  $\alpha = \beta = 0$ . We have proven that  $x \in \Delta(FG)^2$ . To conclude, note that, in  $FH$ , the element  $a - 1$  lies in  $\Omega_1(FH)$  but not in  $\Delta(FH)^2$ , because  $a \notin D_2(H) = \Phi(H)$ . This shows that  $FG \not\cong FH$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.10.** *Assume that  $G$  satisfies*

$$|G : \gamma(G)Z(G)| = 4 \text{ and } |\Psi(G) \cap \Phi(G)| = 2.$$

*Then the modular isomorphism problem has a positive solution for  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $G = T \times U$  be an elementary decomposition of  $G$  with  $T$  elementary abelian. Then  $|U : \gamma(U)Z(U)| = 4$  and Lemma 3.2 yields  $|\Psi(U)| = 2$ . Lemmas 5.8 and 5.9 show that  $U$  has cyclic center and dihedral central quotient. By Theorem A and Theorem 5.6, the modular isomorphism problem has a positive answer.  $\square$

We conclude the present section and the paper with a note on the similarities between our (positive) examples and the counterexample found in [11].

**Remark 5.11.** The counterexample to the modular isomorphism problem [11] shares the following properties with our applications:

- $|G : Z(G)| = 8$  and class 3, in Theorem 5.1,
- $G/Z(G)$  dihedral, in Theorem 5.6.

## REFERENCES

- [1] C. Bagiński, *Modular group algebras of 2-groups of maximal class*, Comm. Algebra **20** (1992), 1229–1241, doi:[10.1080/00927879208824402](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927879208824402).
- [2] C. Bagiński and A. Konovalov, *The modular isomorphism problem for finite  $p$ -groups with a cyclic subgroup of index  $p^2$* , Groups St. Andrews 2005. Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007, doi:[10.1017/CBO9780511721212.009](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511721212.009), pp. 186–193.

- [3] C. Bagiński and J. Kurdics, *The modular group algebras of  $p$ -groups of maximal class II*, *Comm. Algebra* **47** (2019), 761–771, doi:[10.1080/00927872.2018.1498860](https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2018.1498860).
- [4] H. U. Besche, B. Eick, E. O'Brien, and T. GAP Team, *SmallGrp – The GAP Small Groups Library, Version 1.4.2*, <https://gap-packages.github.io/smallgrp/>, 2020.
- [5] O. Broche and Á. del Río, *The modular isomorphism problem for two generated groups of class two*, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, doi:[10.1007/s13226-021-00182-w](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13226-021-00182-w), to appear.
- [6] J. F. Carlson, *Periodic modules over modular group algebras*, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **15** (1977), 431–436, doi:[10.1112/jlms/s2-15.3.431](https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms/s2-15.3.431).
- [7] J. F. Carlson, L. Townsley, L. Valeri-Elizondo, and M. Zhang, *Cohomology rings of finite groups*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [8] B. Eick and A. Konovalov, *The modular isomorphism problem for the groups of order 512*, *Groups St Andrews 2009 in Bath. Volume 2*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2011, doi:[10.1017/CBO9780511842474.005](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511842474.005), pp. 375–383.
- [9] L. Evens, *The cohomology of groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1991.
- [10] The GAP Group, *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.11.1*, 2021, <https://www.gap-system.org>.
- [11] D. García, L. Margolis, and Á. del Río, *Non-isomorphic 2-groups with isomorphic modular group algebras*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.07231>, 2021.
- [12] D. J. Green and S. A. King, *The cohomology of finite  $p$ -groups*, <https://users.fmi.uni-jena.de/cohomology/>, 2015.
- [13] M. Hertweck and M. Soriano, *On the modular isomorphism problem: groups of order  $2^6$* , *Groups, rings and algebras*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2006, doi:[10.1090/conm/420/07976](https://doi.org/10.1090/conm/420/07976), pp. 177–213.
- [14] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [15] B. Külshammer, *Bemerkungen über die Gruppenalgebra als symmetrische Algebra. II*, *J. Algebra* **75** (1982), 59–69, doi:[10.1016/0021-8693\(82\)90063-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0021-8693(82)90063-1).
- [16] I. G. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, second ed., Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [17] L. Margolis and T. Moede, *ModIsomExt – An extension of ModIsom, Version 1.0.0*, 2020, <https://www.tu-braunschweig.de/en/iaa/personal/moede>.
- [18] L. Margolis and M. Stanojkovski, *On the modular isomorphism problem for groups of class 3 and obelisks*, *J. Group Theory*, doi:[10.1515/jgth-2020-0174](https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2020-0174), to appear.
- [19] D. S. Passman, *The algebraic structure of group rings*, Wiley, New York, 1977.
- [20] A. Ruiz and A. Viruel, *Cohomological uniqueness, Massey products and the modular isomorphism problem for 2-groups of maximal nilpotency class*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **365** (2013), 3729–3751, doi:[10.1090/S0002-9947-2013-05756-X](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2013-05756-X).
- [21] T. Sakurai, *The isomorphism problem for group algebras: a criterion*, *J. Group Theory* **23** (2020), 435–445, doi:[10.1515/jgth-2019-0071](https://doi.org/10.1515/jgth-2019-0071).
- [22] R. Sandling, *The isomorphism problem for group rings: a survey*, *Orders and their applications (Oberwolfach, 1984)*, Springer, Berlin, 1985, doi:[10.1007/BFb0074806](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0074806), pp. 256–288.
- [23] ———, *The modular group algebra problem for metacyclic  $p$ -groups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1996), 1347–1350, doi:[10.1090/S0002-9939-96-03518-6](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-96-03518-6).
- [24] S. K. Sehgal, *Topics in group rings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1978.
- [25] S. Shahriari, *On normal subgroups of capable groups*, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **48** (1987), 193–198, doi:[10.1007/BF01195351](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01195351).

(Leo Margolis) ICMAT, C/ NICOLAS CABRERA 13, 28049 MADRID, SPAIN.

*Email address:* `leo.margolis@icmat.es`

(Taro Sakurai) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, 1-33, YAYOI-CHO, INAGE-KU, CHIBA-SHI, CHIBA, 263-8522 JAPAN

*Email address:* `tsakurai@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp`

(Mima Stanojkovski) LEHRSTUHL FÜR ALGEBRA UND ZAHLENTHEORIE, RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY, PONTDRIESCH 14/16, 52062 AACHEN, GERMANY.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK IN DEN NATURWISSENSCHAFTEN, INSELSTRASSE 22, 04103 LEIPZIG, GERMANY.

*Email address:* `mima.stanojkovski@rwth-aachen.de`