

Recognizability of morphisms

Marie-Pierre Béal  and Dominique Perrin and Antonio Restivo

October 22, 2021

Abstract

We investigate several questions related to the notion of recognizable morphism. The main result is a new proof of Mosse’s theorem and actually of a generalization to non primitive morphisms due to Berthé et al. We actually prove the result of Berthé et al. for the most general class of morphisms, including ones with erasable letters. It is derived from a result concerning elementary morphisms for which we also provide a new proof. We also show how to decide whether an injective morphism is recognizable on the full shift for aperiodic points.

1 Introduction

We study in this paper problems related to the recognizability of morphisms (also called substitutions). This notion concerns the unambiguity in the representation of an infinite sequence y as the image $\sigma(x)$ by a morphism σ of another sequence x , up to some shift. It belongs to a general notion of unambiguity in symbolic dynamics (see Béal et al. [2021]).

By Mosse’s Theorem (Mossé [1992], Mossé [1996]), every aperiodic primitive morphism σ is recognizable on the shift $X(\sigma)$ (see the precise definitions in Section 3). This surprising result was initially formulated (in an incorrect way) by Martin [1973] (see Kyriakoglou [2019] on the genesis of the theorem and its possible variants). It was further generalized by Bezuglyi et al. [2009], who proved that every aperiodic non-erasing morphism σ is recognizable on $X(\sigma)$. Next, it was proved by Berthé et al. [2019] that every non-erasing morphism σ is recognizable on $X(\sigma)$ for aperiodic points.

The main result of this paper is a generalization of Mosse’s Theorem. We actually prove the result of Berthé et al. [2019] for the most general class of morphisms, including ones containing erasable letters. Our proof does not use the fact that the shift $X(\sigma)$ defined for an aperiodic non-erasing morphism has a finite number of minimal subshifts (proved and used in Bezuglyi et al. [2009]), or the stronger fact that the set of languages of points in a shift $X(\sigma)$ defined by a non-erasing morphism is finite (proved and used in Berthé et al. [2019]). Our proof relies on the notion of elementary morphism, due to Ehrenfeucht and Rozenberg [1978]. By a result, proved independently by Karhumäki et al. [2003] and

Berthé et al. [2019], every elementary morphism is recognizable for aperiodic points. We use this result to prove Mosse’s Theorem (and its generalizations). This represents actually also a substantial simplification of the proof since the available proofs of Mosse’s Theorem are all more difficult, including the proof in Kurka [2003] which is essentially reproduced in Durand and Perrin [2021], using improvements from Kyriakoglou [2019]. We also give a characterization of injective morphisms recognizable on the full shift in terms of groups in finite monoids (Theorem 6.7). Finally we give a quadratic time algorithm to check whether an injective morphism is recognizable on the full shift for aperiodic points (Corollary 7.3).

Note that the notion of recognizability can also be defined for substitutions in dimension 2 or higher. This has been first considered in Mozes [1989] where the recognizability property is used to prove that a 2D substitution shift is sofic. The property is also used in Aubrun and Sablik [2013] to simulate an effective subshift by a sofic and also in Aubrun and Sablik [2014]. A generalization of Mosse’s Theorem to the 2D case was proved in Solomyak [1998]. Let us also mention that the recognizability of morphisms has been extended to sequences of morphisms in Berthé et al. [2019] (see also Donoso et al. [2021]).

The paper is organized as follows. After an introductory section on the basic notions of symbolic dynamics, we formulate the precise definition of a morphism recognizable on a shift space and prove some elementary properties of recognizable morphisms. In Section 4 we introduce elementary morphisms and prove that every elementary morphism is recognizable for aperiodic points (Theorem 4.1). In Section 5, we give the new proof of Mosse’s Theorem and its extensions. In Section 6, we formulate a condition characterizing recognizable injective morphisms in terms of groups in finite monoids (Theorem 6.7). In Section 7, we show that all notions handled in this paper are decidable and, notably, by algorithms of low polynomial complexity.

2 Symbolic dynamics

We briefly recall the definitions of symbolic dynamics. For a more complete presentation, see Lind and Marcus [2021] or the recent Durand and Perrin [2021].

2.1 Words

Let A be a finite alphabet. We denote by A^* the free monoid on A and by A^+ the set of non-empty words on A . The empty word is denoted by ε . We denote by $|u|$ the *length* of the word u .

A word $u \in A^*$ is a *factor* of $w \in A^*$ if $w = pus$. The word p is called a *prefix* of w . It is *proper* if $p \neq w$.

For $U \subset A^*$, we denote by U^* the submonoid of A^* generated by U . For $U = \{u\}$, we write u^* instead of $\{u\}^*$.

A set $U \subset A^*$ is a *code* if every $w \in U^*$ has a unique decomposition in words of U . A *prefix code* is a set $U \subset A^*$ such that no element of U is a prefix of

another one.

Two words u, v are *conjugate* if $u = rs$ and $v = sr$ for some words r, s .

A word is *primitive* if it is not a power of a shorter word.

An integer $p \geq 1$ is a *period* of a word $w = a_0a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$ with $a_i \in A$ if $a_i = a_{i+p}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n - p - 1$.

2.2 Shift spaces

We consider the set $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ of biinfinite sequences on A . For $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, and $i \leq j$, we denote $x[i, j] = x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_j$ and $x[i, j[= x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{j-1}$.

The set $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a compact metric space for the distance defined for $x \neq y$ by $d(x, y) = 2^{-r(x, y)}$ with

$$r(x, y) = \min\{|n| \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, x_n \neq y_n\}.$$

The *shift transformation* $S : A^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by $y = S(x)$ if $y_n = x_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A *shift space* on a finite alphabet A is a closed and shift invariant subset of $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

A point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is *periodic* if there is an $n \geq 1$ such that $S^n(x) = x$. Otherwise, it is *aperiodic*. A periodic point has the form $w^\infty = \cdots ww \cdot ww \cdots$ (the letter of index 0 of w^∞ is the first letter of w). For $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we denote $x^+ = x_0 x_1 \cdots$, which is an element of $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $x^- = \cdots x_{-2} x_{-1}$ which is the element y of $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $y_{-n} = x_{-n-1}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $x \in A^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and $y \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$, we denote $z = x \cdot y$ the biinfinite sequence z such that $z^- = x$ and $z^+ = y$.

A word w is a factor of $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if $w = x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{j-1}$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \leq j$.

The *language* of a shift space X , denoted $\mathcal{L}(X)$, is the set of factors of the elements of X . For $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we also denote $\mathcal{L}(x)$ the set of factors of x . Thus $\mathcal{L}(X) = \cup_{x \in X} \mathcal{L}(x)$.

2.3 Morphisms

A morphism $\sigma : A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is a monoid morphism from A^* to B^* . It is *non-erasing* if $\sigma(a)$ is nonempty for every $a \in A$.

A morphism σ defines a map from $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ to $B^* \cup B^{\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\sigma(x_0 x_1 \cdots) = \sigma(x_0) \sigma(x_1) \cdots$, from $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$ to $B^* \cup B^{-\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\sigma(\cdots x_{-1} x_0) = \cdots \sigma(x_{-1}) \sigma(x_0)$ and also a map from $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ to $B^* \cup B^{\mathbb{N}} \cup B^{-\mathbb{N}} \cup B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ defined by $\sigma(x) = \sigma(x^-) \cdot \sigma(x^+)$.

Let $\sigma : A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be a morphism which is injective on A . The set $U = \sigma(A)$ is a code if and only if σ is injective. Note that σ can be injective (on A^*) without being injective on $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (the converse being obviously true). It is injective on $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ if and only if it is injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and on $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

Example 2.1 The Fibonacci morphism $a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto a$ is injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, as one may easily verify. The morphism $\sigma : a \mapsto a, b \mapsto ab, c \mapsto bb$ is not injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ since $\sigma(ac^\omega) = \sigma(bc^\omega)$.

Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be a morphism from A^* to itself. The *language* of σ , denoted $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ is the set of factors of the words $\sigma^n(a)$ for $n \geq 0$. The *shift defined* by σ , denoted by $X(\sigma)$ is the set of sequences with all their factors in $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$.

One has $\mathcal{L}(X(\sigma)) \subset \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ but it is not true in general that $\mathcal{L}(X(\sigma)) = \mathcal{L}(\sigma)$. Indeed, the words of $\mathcal{L}(X(\sigma))$ can always be extended (we have $awb \in \mathcal{L}(X(\sigma))$ for some $a, b \in A$) while this needs not be true of the words of $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$. Note that if $w \in \mathcal{L}(X(\sigma))$, then w is a factor of some $\sigma^n(a)$ for all large enough n .

An *erasable* letter of a morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ is a letter a in A such that $\sigma^n(a) = \varepsilon$ for some integer n . A word is *erasable* if it is formed of erasable letters.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be a morphism. The set of erasable words in $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ is finite and computable.*

Proof. We denote by A_e the set of erasable letters, by A_i the set of growing letters, by A_f the set of non-growing letters. If L is a language of words, we denote by $\text{Fact}(L)$ the set of factors of words in L .

For $i \geq 0$, we set

$$L_i = \{u \in A_e^* \mid u \text{ is a factor of } \sigma^i(a) \text{ for some } a \in A\}.$$

Note that L_1 is finite and computable. Further, for each erasable letter a , $\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)}(a) = \varepsilon$, thus $\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)}(u) = \varepsilon$ for every $u \in L_1$. We have $\text{Fact}(L_1) = L_1$.

For every non-erasable letter a the word $\sigma(a)$ contains a non-erasable letter. Thus each word in L_i is a factor of some $\sigma(aub)$, where a, b are non-erasable letters and u is a word in L_{i-1} . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \sigma(L_1) L_1), \\ L_3 &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \sigma(\text{Fact}(L_1 \sigma(L_1) L_1)) L_1), \\ &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1) \sigma^2(L_1) \sigma(L_1)) L_1), \\ &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^2(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) L_1), \\ L_4 &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \sigma(\text{Fact}(L_1 \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^2(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) L_1)) L_1), \\ &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^2(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^3(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^2(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) L_1), \\ L_i &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) \cdots \text{Fact}(\sigma^{i-2}(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^{i-1}(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^{i-2}(L_1)) \\ &\quad \cdots \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) L_1), \\ &\subseteq \text{Fact}(L_1 \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) \cdots \text{Fact}(\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)-2}(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)-1}(L_1)) \\ &\quad \text{Fact}(\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)-2}(L_1)) \cdots \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) L_1). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} L &= L_0 \cup \text{Fact}(L_1 \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) \cdots \text{Fact}(\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)-2}(L_1)) \text{Fact}(\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)-1}(L_1)) \\ &\quad \text{Fact}(\sigma^{\text{Card}(A)-2}(L_1)) \cdots \text{Fact}(\sigma(L_1)) L_1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $L_i \subseteq L$ for every $i \geq 0$ and thus $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) \cap A_e^* \subseteq \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} L_i \subseteq L$ is a finite set. If k_i is the maximal length of a word in $\sigma^i(L_1)$, a bound of the length of words in $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) \cap A_e^*$ is $n_1 = 2k_0 + 2k_1 + \dots + 2k_{\text{Card}(A)-2} + k_{\text{Card}(A)-1}$.

Thus the set of erasable words in $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ is finite. To compute $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) \cap A_e^*$ we can procede as follows. For each non-growing letter a , we can compute the finite set $M_a = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^n(a)$. Let $M = \bigcup_{a \in A_f} M_a$. For each growing letter a , there is an integer $p_a \leq \text{Card}(A)^2$ such that $\sigma^{p_a}(a) = uav$ where either u or v contains a letter b such that $\sigma^{p_a}(b) = u'bv'$. As a consequence for $n \geq 1$, $|\sigma^{p_a n}(a)| \geq n$ and $|\sigma^{p_a n+d}(a)| \geq n$ for $0 \leq d < p$. Let $m = \max_{a \in A_i} (p_a n_1)$. We have $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) \cap A_e^* = \text{Fact}(M) \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \dots \cup L_m$ and thus $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) \cap A_e^*$ is computable. ■

A morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is called *periodic* if $\sigma(A^*) \subset u^*$ for some word u .

Proposition 2.3 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be a morphism. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) σ is periodic.
- (ii) The minimal periods of the words in $\sigma(A^*)$ are bounded.
- (iii) The closure of $\sigma(A^{\mathbb{Z}})$ under the shift is the orbit of a periodic point.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). If $\sigma(A^*) \subset u^*$, the minimal period of every element of $\sigma(A^*)$ is at most equal to the length of u . Conversely, if $\sigma(A^*)$ contains words u, v which are powers of distinct primitive words, it contains words of the form $u^k v$ for all $k \geq 1$ whose minimal period is unbounded.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Let X be the closure of $\sigma(A^{\mathbb{Z}})$ under the shift. Every point of X is clearly periodic. If u^∞ and v^∞ are in X for non conjugate primitive words u, v , the submonoid $\sigma(A^*)$ contains words which are factors of u^k and of v^k for all k , and thus σ cannot be periodic.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) is obvious. ■

Example 2.4 The morphism $\sigma: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto abab$ is periodic. The closure of $\sigma(A^{\mathbb{Z}})$ is $(ab)^\infty \cup (ba)^\infty$.

3 Recognizable morphisms

Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be a morphism. A σ -*representation* of $y \in B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a pair (x, k) of a sequence $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and an integer k with $0 \leq k < |\sigma(x_0)|$ such that

$$y = S^k(\sigma(x))$$

where S denotes the shift transformation.

For a shift space X on A , the set of points in $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ having a σ -representation (x, k) with $x \in X$ is a shift space on B which is the closure under the shift

of $\sigma(X)$. Indeed, if (x, k) is the σ -representation of y , then $S(y)$ has the σ -representation (x', k') with

$$(x', k') = \begin{cases} (x, k+1) & \text{if } k+1 < |\sigma(x_0)| \\ (S(x), 0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let X be a shift space on A . A morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is *recognizable* on X (resp. recognizable on X for aperiodic points) if for every point $y \in B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ (resp. every aperiodic point $y \in B^{\mathbb{Z}}$) there is at most one σ -representation (x, k) of y with $x \in X$.

For $X = A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we simply say recognizable instead of recognizable on X . Note that, as an equivalent definition of recognizability on X (resp. recognizability for aperiodic points), for every $y, y' \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $0 \leq k < |\sigma(y'_0)| - |\sigma(y_0)|$ such that $\sigma(y) = S^k(\sigma(y'))$ is in X (resp. is an aperiodic point in X), one has $k = k'$ and $y = y'$.

A morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is *circular* if it is injective and if for every $u, v \in B^*$

$$uv, vu \in \sigma(A^*) \Rightarrow u, v \in \sigma(A^*).$$

The following property is well known. It is originally from Restivo [1974].

Proposition 3.1 *A morphism is recognizable if and only if it is circular.*

Example 3.2 The Fibonacci morphism $\sigma: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto a$ is circular and thus recognizable.

Example 3.3 The Thue-Morse morphism $\sigma: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto ba$ is not circular. It is not recognizable since $(ab)^\infty$ can be obtained as $\sigma(a^\infty)$ and as $S(\sigma(b^\infty))$. However, it is recognizable for aperiodic points since any sequence containing aa or bb has at most one factorization in $\{ab, ba\}$.

Example 3.4 The morphism $\sigma: a \rightarrow aa, b \mapsto ab, c \mapsto ba$ is not recognizable for aperiodic points. Indeed, every sequence without occurrence of bb has two factorizations in words of $\{aa, ab, ba\}$.

Proposition 3.5 *The family of morphisms recognizable for aperiodic points is closed under composition.*

Proof. Let $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$ with $\beta: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ and $\alpha: B^* \rightarrow C^*$. Assume that α, β are recognizable for aperiodic points. Let $z \in C^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be an aperiodic point. Let (x, k) be a σ -representation of z . Since $0 \leq k < |\sigma(x_0)|$, there is a decomposition $\beta(x_0) = ubv$ with $u, v \in B^*$ and $b \in B$ such that $|\alpha(u)| \leq k < |\alpha(ub)|$. Set $\ell = |u|$, $m = |\alpha(u)|$ and $y = S^\ell(\beta(x))$. Then $y_0 = b$ and $z = S^{k-m}(\alpha(y))$ (see Figure 3.1). Thus $(y, k-m)$ is an α -representation of z and (x, ℓ) is a β -representation of y . Conversely, if (y, n) is an α -representation of z and (x, ℓ) is a β -representation of y , then (x, k) with $k = |\beta(y_{-\ell} \cdots y_{-1})| + n$ is a σ -representation of z . This shows the uniqueness of (x, k) . ■




Figure 3.1: The composition $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$.

4 Elementary morphisms

A morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow C^*$ is *elementary* (or *indecomposable*) if for every alphabet B and every pair of morphisms $\alpha: B^* \rightarrow C^*$ and $\beta: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ such that $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$, one has $\text{Card}(B) \geq \text{Card}(A)$. In this case, one has in particular $\text{Card}(C) \geq \text{Card}(A)$ and moreover σ is non-erasing.

The notion of elementary morphism appears for the first time in Ehrenfeucht and Rozenberg [1978].

A sufficient condition for a morphism to be elementary can be formulated in terms of its incidence matrix. The *incidence matrix* of a morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is the $A \times B$ -matrix $M(\sigma)$ whose row of index a is the vector $(|\sigma(a)|_b)_{b \in B}$. If the rank of $M(\sigma)$ is equal to $\text{Card}(A)$, then σ is elementary. Indeed, if $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$ with $\beta: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ and $\alpha: B^* \rightarrow C^*$, then

$$M(\sigma) = M(\beta)M(\alpha).$$

If $\text{rank}(M(\sigma)) = \text{Card}(A)$, then $\text{Card}(A) = \text{rank}(M(\sigma)) \leq \text{rank}(M(\alpha)) \leq \text{Card}(B)$. Thus σ is elementary.

This condition is not necessary. For example, the Thue-Morse morphism $\sigma: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto ba$ is elementary but its incidence matrix

$$M(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

has rank one.

If $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow C^*$ is a morphism, we denote $\ell(\sigma) = \sum_{a \in A} (|\sigma(a)| - 1)$. We note that if $\alpha: B^* \rightarrow C^*$ and $\beta: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ are such that $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$, and if every $b \in B$ appears in some $\beta(a)$ for $a \in A$, then

$$\ell(\sigma) \geq \ell(\alpha) + \ell(\beta) \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ell(\sigma) - \ell(\beta) &= \sum_{a \in A} (|\sigma(a)| - |\beta(a)|) = \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} (|\alpha(b)||\beta(a)|_b - |\beta(a)|_b) \\ &= \sum_{a \in A} \sum_{b \in B} (|\alpha(b)| - 1)|\beta(a)|_b = \sum_{b \in B} ((|\alpha(b)| - 1) \sum_{a \in A} |\beta(a)|_b) \end{aligned}$$

Since every b appears in some $\beta(a)$, every factor $\sum_{a \in A} |\beta(a)|_b$ is positive, whence the conclusion.

The following result is from Karhumäki et al. [2003]. It also appears in Berthé et al. [2019] with the stronger hypothesis that $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is such that $M(\sigma)$ has rank $\text{Card}(A)$.

Theorem 4.1 *An elementary morphism is recognizable for aperiodic points.*

We first prove Theorem 4.1 in a particular case. A morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ with no erasable letter is *left marked* if every word $\sigma(a)$ for $a \in A$ begins with a distinct letter. Symmetrically, it is *right marked* if every word $\sigma(a)$ for $a \in A$ ends with a distinct letter. In particular, if σ is left marked, σ is injective on A and $\sigma(A)$ is a prefix code. It is clear that a marked morphism is elementary.

Note that a morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is left marked if and only if the flower automaton of $U = \sigma(A)$ is deterministic.

The following statement, which is a particular case of Theorem 4.1 appears in Berthé et al. [2019] (Lemma 3.3). We give an independent proof.

Proposition 4.2 *If $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is left marked, then it is recognizable for aperiodic points.*

The proof uses the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be an injective morphism and let $U = \sigma(A)$. If σ is not injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exist words u, v, w such that*

$$u, uv, vw, wv \in U^*, \text{ and } v \notin U^* \quad (4.2)$$

We first show the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be an injective morphism and let $U = \sigma(A)$. If σ is not injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exist words u, v, w such that*

$$u, uv, vw, wv \in U^*, \text{ and } v \notin U^* \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. By the hypothesis, there exist u_0, u_1, \dots and u'_0, u'_1, \dots with $u_i, u'_i \in U$ such that $u_0 u_1 \dots = u'_0 u'_1 \dots$. We may assume that $u_0 \neq u'_0$. For every $n \geq 0$, there is v_n and $n' \geq 0$ such that $u_0 \dots u_n = u'_0 \dots u'_{n'} v_n$. Since σ is injective, we have $v_n \notin U^*$. We may moreover assume that v_n is a prefix of $u'_{n'+1}$. Let $n < m$ be such that $v_n = v_m$. Set $u'_{n'+1} \dots u'_{m'} = v_n w$ (see Figure 4.1). Then $u = u'_0 \dots u'_{n'}$, $v = v_n = v_m$ and w satisfy (4.3). ■

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Set $U = \sigma(A)$. Since U is a prefix code, σ is injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. If it is not injective on $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$, by the symmetric version of Lemma 4.2, there are words u, v, w such that $u, vu, vw, wv \in U^*$ but $v \notin U^*$. We may assume that u, v, w are chosen of minimal length. Since σ is left marked, either u is a prefix of w or w is a prefix of u . In the first case, set $w = uw'$. Then since U is prefix,




Figure 4.1: The words u, v, w .

$u, uv = uw'v \in U^*$ imply $w'v \in U^*$. But then $(vu)(w'v)(u) = (vuw')(vu)$, a contradiction. Finally, if $u = wu'$, then $vw, vu = vwu' \in U^*$ imply $u' \in U^*$, and we may replace u, v, w by u', w, v , a contradiction again. Thus σ is injective on $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

Assume now that $y \in B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ has two distinct σ -representations (x, k) and (x', k') . We may assume $k = 0$. Since σ is injective on $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$, we have $k' \neq 0$. We will prove that y is periodic.

Let P be the set of proper prefixes of U . For $p \in P$ and $a \in A$, there is at most one $q \in P$ such that $p\sigma(a) \in U^*q$. We denote $q = p \cdot a$. Let $p_0 = y_{-k'} \cdots y_{-1}$. Since $y = \sigma(x) = S^{k'}(\sigma(x'))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\cdots x'_{-2}x'_{-1})p_0 &= \sigma(\cdots x_{-1}) \\ p_0\sigma(x_0x_1\cdots) &= \sigma(x'_0x'_1\cdots) \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, there exists for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ a word $p_n \in P$ such that $p_n \cdot x_n = p_{n+1}$. Since σ is left marked, there is for every nonempty $p \in P$ at most one $a \in A$ such that $p \cdot a$ is in P . But all p_n are nonempty. This is clear if $n < 0$ since otherwise p_0 is also empty. For $n > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\cdots x'_{i_n})p_n &= \sigma(\cdots x_n) \\ p_n\sigma(x_{n+1}\cdots) &= \sigma(x'_{i_{n+1}}\cdots) \end{aligned}$$

and thus, since σ is injective on $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $p_n = \varepsilon$ implies that $x = x'$. Thus x is periodic and y is also periodic.

Consider the labeled graph with P as set of vertices and edges (p, a, q) if $p \cdot a = q$. By what we have seen, the path $\cdots p_n \xrightarrow{x_n} p_{n+1} \cdots$ is a cycle and thus y is periodic. ■

The graph used in the last part of the proof will appear again in Section 7.

Example 4.5 The Thue-Morse morphism $\sigma: a \rightarrow ab, b \rightarrow ba$ is left marked. Thus it is recognizable for aperiodic points (as we have already seen). The graph used in the proof of Proposition 4.2 is represented in Figure 4.2.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 also uses the following lemma, originally due to Linna [1977] (see also Berstel et al. [1979] and [Lothaire, 2002, Chapter 6]).




Figure 4.2: The graph associated with the Thue-Morse morphism.

Lemma 4.6 *An elementary morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow C^*$ is injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. More precisely, if $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow C^*$ is not injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, there is a decomposition $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$ with $\alpha: B^* \rightarrow C^*$ and $\beta: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ such that α is injective on $B^{\mathbb{N}}$, $\text{Card}(B) < \text{Card}(A)$ and every $b \in B$ appears as the first letter of $\beta(a)$ for some $a \in A$.*

Proof. We use an induction on $\ell(\sigma)$. If $\ell(\sigma) = 0$, set $B = \sigma(A)$. Let α be the identity on B and let $\beta = \sigma$. All conditions are clearly satisfied.

Assume now the statement true for $\ell < \ell(\sigma)$. Since σ is not injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have $\sigma(a_0 a_1 \dots) = \sigma(a'_0 a'_1 \dots)$ for some $a_i, a'_i \in A$ with $a_0 \neq a'_0$. We can assume that $\sigma(a_0)$ is a prefix of $\sigma(a'_0)$. Set $\sigma(a'_0) = \sigma(a_0)v$. If v is empty, set $B = A \setminus \{a_0\}$. Let α be the restriction of σ to B and let β be defined by $\beta(a'_0) = a_0$ and $\beta(a) = a$ for $a \neq a'_0$. Clearly $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$ and all conditions are satisfied.

Finally, assume the v is nonempty. Define $\alpha_1: A^* \rightarrow C^*$ by $\alpha_1(a'_0) = v$ and $\alpha_1(a) = \sigma(a)$ for $a \neq a'_0$. Next, define $\beta_1: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ by $\beta_1(a'_0) = a_0 a'_0$ and $\beta_1(a) = a$ for $a \neq a'_0$. Then $\sigma = \alpha_1 \circ \beta_1$ since

$$\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1(a'_0) = \alpha_1(a_0 a'_0) = \sigma(a_0)v = \sigma(a'_0)$$

and $\alpha_1 \circ \beta_1(a) = \alpha_1(a) = \sigma(a)$ if $a \neq a'_0$. The morphism β_1 is injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ because no word in $\beta_1(A)$ begins with a'_0 . Thus α_1 is not injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$. By Equation (4.1), we have $\ell(\alpha_1) < \ell(\sigma)$. By induction hypothesis, we have a decomposition $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2$ for $\beta_2: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ and $\alpha_2: B^* \rightarrow C^*$ with $\text{Card}(B) < \text{Card}(A)$, the morphism α_2 being injective on $B^{\mathbb{N}}$ and every letter $b \in B$ appearing as initial letter in the word $\beta_2(a)$ for some $a \in A$.

Set $\beta = \beta_2 \circ \beta_1$. Then $\sigma = \alpha_1 \circ \beta_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \beta_2 \circ \beta_1 = \alpha_2 \circ \beta$. The decomposition $\sigma = \alpha_2 \circ \beta$ satisfies all the required conditions. Indeed, every $b \in B$ appears as the first letter of some $\beta_2(a)$ with $a \in A$. If $a \neq a'_0$, we have $\beta_1(a) = a$ and thus b is the first letter of $\beta(a)$. Suppose next that $a = a'_0$. Since $\sigma(a_0 a_1 \dots) = \sigma(a'_0 a'_1 \dots)$ and since α_2 is injective on $B^{\mathbb{N}}$, we have $\beta(a_0 a_1 \dots) = \beta(a'_0 a'_1 \dots)$. Since $\beta_1(a_0) = a_0$ and $\beta_1(a'_0) = a_0 a'_0$, we obtain $\beta_2(a_0)\beta(a_1 \dots) = \beta_2(a_0 a'_0)\beta(a'_1 \dots)$ and thus

$$\beta(a_1 \dots) = \beta_2(a'_0)\beta(a'_1 \dots)$$

showing that b is the initial letter of $\beta(a_1)$. ■

Example 4.7 Set $A = C = \{a, b, c\}$. The morphism $\sigma: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto abc, c \mapsto cc$ is not injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ because $\sigma(ac^\omega) = \sigma(bc^\omega) = abc^\omega$. The decomposition $\sigma = \alpha \circ \beta$ with $\alpha: u \mapsto ab, v \mapsto c$ and $\beta: a \mapsto u, b \mapsto uv, c \mapsto vv$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.6.

By a symmetric version of Lemma 4.6, an elementary morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ is injective on $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Since a morphism which is injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and on $A^{-\mathbb{N}}$ is injective on $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, we obtain the following corollary of Lemma 4.6.

Proposition 4.8 *An elementary morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow C^*$ is injective on $A^{\mathbb{Z}}$.*

Proof. of Theorem 4.1 Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be an indecomposable morphism. We use an induction on $\ell(\sigma)$. Since σ is indecomposable, it has no erasable letter and the minimal possible value of $\ell(\sigma)$ is 0. In this case, σ is a bijection from A to B and thus it is recognizable.

Assume now that σ is not recognizable on aperiodic points. Thus there exist $x, x' \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and w with $0 < |w| < |\sigma(x_0)|$ such that $\sigma(x) = w\sigma(x')$ for some proper suffix w of $\sigma(a')$. Set $\sigma(a') = vw$. We can then write $\sigma = \sigma_1 \circ \tau_1$ with $\tau_1: A^* \rightarrow A_1^*$ and $\sigma_1: A_1^* \rightarrow B^*$ and $A_1 = A \cup \{a''\}$ where a'' is a new letter. We have $\tau_1(a') = a'a''$ and $\tau_1(a) = a$ otherwise. Next $\sigma_1(a') = v$, $\sigma_1(a'') = w$ and $\sigma_1(a) = \sigma(a)$ otherwise. Since $\ell(\tau_1) > 0$, we have $\ell(\sigma_1) < \ell(\sigma)$ by Equation (4.1).

Since τ_1 is injective on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$, σ_1 is not injective on $A_1^{\mathbb{N}}$. By Lemma 4.6, we can write $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ \tau_2$ with $\sigma_2: A_2^* \rightarrow B^*$ and $\tau_2: A_1^* \rightarrow A_2^*$ for some alphabet A_2 such that $\text{Card}(A_2) < \text{Card}(A_1)$ and that every letter $c \in A_2$ appears as the first letter of some $\tau_2(a)$ for $a \in A_1$. Then, by (4.1), we have

$$\ell(\sigma_1) \geq \ell(\sigma_2) + \ell(\tau_2). \quad (4.4)$$

If $\text{Card}(A_2) < \text{Card}(A)$, then σ is not elementary and we are done. Otherwise, we have $\text{Card}(A_2) = \text{Card}(A)$. We may also assume that σ_2 and $\tau_2 \circ \tau_1$ are elementary since otherwise σ is not elementary.

Since σ_2 is elementary and since $\ell(\sigma_2) \leq \ell(\sigma_1) < \ell(\sigma)$, by the induction hypothesis, σ_2 is recognizable for aperiodic points.

Since $\sigma = \sigma_2 \circ \tau_2 \circ \tau_1$, we have also

$$\ell(\sigma) \geq \ell(\sigma_2) + \ell(\tau_2 \circ \tau_1) \quad (4.5)$$

Thus, if $\ell(\sigma_2) > 0$, the inequality $\ell(\tau_2 \circ \tau_1) < \ell(\sigma)$ holds. Since $\tau_2 \circ \tau_1$ is elementary, we obtain that $\tau_2 \circ \tau_1$ is recognizable for aperiodic points by induction hypothesis. Thus, by Proposition 3.5 that σ is recognizable for aperiodic points.

Let us finally assume that $\ell(\sigma_2) = 0$. Then σ is left marked because σ_2 is a bijection from A_2 onto B and every letter of A_2 appears as initial of $\tau_2(a)$ for $a \in A_1$. We obtain the conclusion by Proposition 4.2. ■

5 Recognizability and iterated morphisms

The following is proved in [Bezuglyi et al., 2009, Proposition 5.10] for non-erasing morphisms. We give a proof which holds for morphisms with erasable letters.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be a morphism. Every point y in $X(\sigma)$ has a σ -representation $y = S^k(\sigma(x))$ with $x \in X(\sigma)$.*

Proof. Let σ be a morphism from A^* to A^* , let $U = \sigma(A)$ and let k be the maximal length of the words of U . Let y be in $X(\sigma)$. For every $n \geq 1$, there is an integer m such that $y[-n, n]$ is a factor of $\sigma^m(a)$ for some letter $a \in A$. Hence $y[-n, n] = s\sigma(u_1) \dots \sigma(u_r)p$, with $s = y[-n, k_1[$, $\sigma(u_j) = y[k_j, k_{j+1}[$, $p = y[k_{r+1}, n]$, $u_1 \dots u_r$ is a factor of $\sigma^{m-1}(a)$, $u_j = v_j a_j$ with $a_j \in A, v_j \in A^*$ (the word v_j being possibly the empty word), $\sigma(v_j) = \varepsilon$ and $\sigma(a_j) \neq \varepsilon$, s is a suffix of a word in U and p is a prefix of a word in U . Note that $\sigma(u_j) = \sigma(a_j)$ is non-empty and belongs to U . For every i with $-n + k \leq i \leq n - k$, we denote by $f_n(i)$ the unique triple $(k_j, k_{j+1} - 1, u_j)$ such that $k_j \leq i < k_{j+1}$. Since U is finite and since there is a finite number of erasable words in $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)$ by Lemma 2.2, there is a finite number of triples $f_n(0)$. Thus there is a strictly increasing sequence $(i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of natural integers such that $f_{i_n}(0)$ is constant. We denote this constant value by $f(0)$. Taking a subsequence of $(i_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we may also assume that all $f_{i_n}(-1)$ and $f_{i_n}(1)$ are equal to some triple $f(-1)$ and $f(1)$ respectively. By iterating his process we define for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ a triple $f(i) = (j_i, \ell_i, u_i)$. By construction $f(i) = f(i')$ for all $i, i' \in [j_i, \ell_i]$. We now concatenate the words u_i in order to build x as follows. We define a strictly increasing sequence $(j_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of integers by $j_0 = 0$, for $n > 0$, j_n is the least integer larger than j_{n-1} such that $f(j_n) \neq f(j_{n-1})$, and for $n < 0$, j_n is the largest integer less than j_{n+1} such that $f(j_n) \neq f(j_{n+1})$. Let $x = \dots u_{j_2} u_{j_1} \cdot u_{j_0} u_{j_1} u_{j_2} \dots$. By construction $x \in X(\sigma)$ and there is some integer r such that $y = S^r(\sigma(x))$. ■

We will also need the following more technical statement. Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be a morphism. We say that a letter a is *growing* if $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} |\sigma^n(a)| = +\infty$.

Proposition 5.2 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be a morphism and let S be the set of right-infinite sequences x such that every $x[0, n]$ is a prefix of some $\sigma^m(a)$ for a fixed letter a .*

If $x \in S$ contains a growing letter, there are integers r and $0 \leq k < r$ such that $x = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^{rn+k}(a)$.

The set of points $x \in S$ with no growing letters is a finite set of eventually periodic right-infinite sequences.

Proof. We first assume that x contains a growing letter. Let $x_i = b$ be the first growing letter of x . Thus $x = x[0, i-1]bx'$ where x_0, \dots, x_{i-1} are non-growing letters. There are integers $m < m'$ such that $x_0 \dots x_{i-1}b$ is a prefix of $\sigma^m(a)$ and of $\sigma^{m'}(a)$. Since x_0, \dots, x_{i-1} are non-growing, $\sigma^{m'-m}(x[0, i-1])$ is a prefix $x[0, j-1]$ of $x[0, i-1]$ and $\sigma^{m'-m}(b) = x[j, i-1]bu$ where u is a word with

$\sigma^N(u) \neq \varepsilon$ for every N (otherwise, b would not be growing). Let $r = m' - m$. For all integers n , $\sigma^{nr}(x[0, i-1]b) = x[0, i-1]bu\sigma^r(u) \dots \sigma^{(n-1)r}(u)$. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^{m+rn}(a)$ exists. It follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^{m+k+rn}(a)$ exists for every $0 \leq k \leq r-1$. It also follows that x is one of the points $x^{(k)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^{k+rn}(a)$ for some $0 \leq k < r$. Let us show that there is a finite number of such points. Let $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^{r'n+k'}(a)$ with $r' > r$, $0 \leq k' < r'$, then we also have $y = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \sigma^{rn+k''}(a)$ for some $0 \leq k'' < r$.

We now assume that all letters of x are non-growing. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma(a) &= u_1 a_1 v_1, \\ \sigma(a_1) &= u_2 a_2 v_2, \\ &\dots = \dots \\ \sigma(a_n) &= u_{n+1} a_{n+1} v_{n+1},\end{aligned}$$

where a_i are growing letters, and u_i are words containing only non-growing letters. Since A is finite, there are integers $r, s \leq |A|+1$ such that $\sigma^s(a_r) = wa_r v$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{r-1}(a) &= ua_r v', \\ \sigma^{r-1+s}(a) &= \sigma^s(u) wa_r v \sigma^s(v'), \\ &\dots = \dots \\ \sigma^{r-1+ks}(a) &= \sigma^{ks}(u) \sigma^{(k-1)s}(w) \dots \sigma^{2s}(w) \sigma^s(w) wa_r z^{(k)},\end{aligned}$$

where u, w are words containing only non-growing letters and $z^{(k)}$ is some word. There is an integer K such that for every n , $\sigma^n(u) \leq K$. Thus there are i, i' such that $\sigma^{i's}(u) = \sigma^{i's+is}(u)$. For every k

$$\sigma^{r-1+i's+kis}(a) = \sigma^{i's}(u) \sigma^{((i'+ki)-1)s}(w) \dots \sigma^{2s}(w) \sigma^s(w) wa_r t^{(k)},$$

Assume that there is j such that $\sigma^j(w) = \varepsilon$, then $\sigma^n(a) = u^{(n)} b_n v^{(n)}$ where $u^{(n)}$ have a bounded length and b_n is a growing letter, contradicting the fact that x has no growing letter. Then $\sigma^n(w) \neq \varepsilon$ for every n . Since the lengths of $\sigma^j(w)$ are bounded, there are integers $j < \ell$ such that $\sigma^{jis}(w) = \sigma^{\ell is}(w)$. Thus for every large enough k let $kis = jis + k'(\ell is - jis) + k''$, where $k'' < \ell is - jis$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{r-1+i's+kis}(a) &= \sigma^{i's}(u) v^{(k'')} (\sigma^{(\ell-1)is}(w) \dots \\ &\quad \sigma^{jis}(w))^{k'} \sigma^{(j^{i-1})s}(w) \dots \sigma^{2s}(w) \sigma^s(w) wa_r t^{(k)}\end{aligned}$$

for some word $z^{(k)}$.

Every $x[0, n]$ is a prefix of some $\sigma^{M_n}(a)$. Thus there is an infinite number of M_n equal to some $r-1+i's+jis+k(\ell is-jis)+p$ for a fixed $0 \leq p < \ell is-jis$.

It follows that for every n , $x[0, n]$ is a prefix of $z_1 z^k z_2$ where $z_1 = \sigma^{i's}(u) v^{(p)}$, $z = \sigma^{(\ell-1)is}(w) \dots \sigma^{jis}(w)$, and $z_2 = \sigma^{(j^{i-1})s}(w) \dots \sigma^{2s}(w) \sigma^s(w) w$. The lengths of z_1, z, z_2 are bounded by a constant depending on σ . Thus there is a finite

number of such words. It follows that there is a finite number of words u, v depending on σ such that $x = uv^\omega$. Hence the conclusion. ■

A morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ is *primitive* if there is an integer $n \geq 1$ such that every $b \in A$ appears in every $\sigma^n(a)$ for $a \in A$.

A morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ is *aperiodic* if $X(\sigma)$ does not contain periodic points.

The following result is proved in Berthé et al. [2019] in the case of non-erasing morphisms. It is a generalization of Mosse's theorem asserting that every aperiodic primitive morphism σ is recognizable on $X(\sigma)$. Our new proof holds for the general case of morphisms with erasable letters and relies on Theorem 4.1. It also gives a proof of the original version of Mosse's theorem which is easier than the previous ones (see the comment after the proof).

Theorem 5.3 *Every morphism σ is recognizable on $X(\sigma)$ for aperiodic points.*

Proof. Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow A^*$ be a morphism.

Case 1. We first assume that every letter of A is a letter of some word of $U = \sigma(A)$. Let us first show that $X(\sigma) = X(\sigma^n)$ for every positive integer n . We first have $X(\sigma^n) \subseteq X(\sigma)$. Let $x \in X(\sigma)$. Then every finite factor u of x is a factor of some $\sigma^m(a)$. Let us assume that $kn \leq m < (k+1)n$. Since every letter b is a factor of some $\sigma(c)$ for some letter c , u is a factor of $\sigma^{(k+1)n-m}(b)$ for some letter b and thus u is a factor of $\sigma^{n(k+1)}(b)$. Hence $x \in X(\sigma^n)$.

It is enough to prove the statement for a power σ^n of σ . Indeed, assume that σ^n is recognizable on $X(\sigma^n) = X(\sigma)$ for aperiodic points. Let x be an aperiodic point $x \in X(\sigma)$ which has two σ -representations $x = S^k \sigma(y) = S^{k'} \sigma(y')$ with $y, y' \in X(\sigma)$. Let z, z' be such that $y = S^r \sigma^{n-1}(z)$ and $y' = S^{r'} \sigma^{n-1}(z')$. Then $z = z'$ and $k = k'$. Thus $y = y'$.

Let n be such that $\sigma^n = \alpha \circ \beta$ with $\beta: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ and $\alpha: B^* \rightarrow A^*$ is a decomposition of σ with $\text{Card}(B)$ minimal. Then $\tau = \beta \circ \alpha$ is elementary. Indeed, if $\tau = \gamma \circ \delta$ with $\delta: B^* \rightarrow C^*$ and $\gamma: C^* \rightarrow B^*$ is a decomposition of τ such that $\text{Card}(C) < \text{Card}(B)$, then $\sigma^{2n} = (\alpha \circ \gamma) \circ (\delta \circ \beta)$ is a decomposition of σ^{2n} with $\delta \circ \beta: A^* \rightarrow C^*$ and $\alpha \circ \gamma: C^* \rightarrow A^*$ such that $\text{Card}(C) < \text{Card}(B)$, a contradiction.

It is easy to check that α is also elementary. Moreover, we have

$$\beta(X(\sigma)) \subset X(\tau). \quad (5.1)$$

Indeed, consider $x \in X(\sigma)$ and let w be a factor of $\beta(x)$. We have to prove that w is a factor of some $\tau^m(c)$ for $c \in B$. Now, since $x \in X(\sigma^n)$, there is an $N \geq 1$ such that w is a factor of some $\beta \circ \sigma^{Nn}(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $N \geq 1$ and also a factor of $\beta \circ \sigma^{(N+1)n}(b)$ with a factor of $\sigma^n(b)$ (see Figure 5.1). Since $\sigma^n = \alpha \circ \beta$, there is a letter c such that $a \in \alpha(c)$ and $c \in \beta(b)$. Since $\beta \circ \sigma^n = \tau^n \circ \beta$, we obtain that w is a factor of $\tau^{(N+1)n}(c)$.

Let $x \in X(\sigma)$ be an aperiodic point. Consider two σ^n -representations (k, y) and (k', y') of x with $y, y' \in X(\sigma)$. Let (ℓ, z) and (ℓ', z') be σ^n -representations of y and y' respectively with $z, z' \in X(\sigma)$. Then $(m, \beta(z))$ and $(m', \beta(z'))$ are,




Figure 5.1: proving that w is a factor of $\tau^m(c)$.

for some unique m, m' , some τ -representations of $\beta(y)$ and $\beta(y')$ respectively (see Figure 5.2). The fact that m, m' are unique results from the fact that τ is elementary and thus recognizable for aperiodic points by Theorem 4.1.




Figure 5.2: The points x, y, z .

Since α is elementary, it is recognizable for aperiodic points. Since $\alpha(\beta(y)) = \sigma^n(y)$ and $\alpha(\beta(y')) = \sigma^n(y')$, this implies that $\beta(y), \beta(y')$ belong to the same orbit. Since τ is recognizable for aperiodic points, we obtain that $\beta(z)$ and $\beta(z')$ belong to the same orbit. Applying α again, we conclude that y, y' belong to the same orbit. If $k \neq k'$, then y is periodic and thus x is periodic. Thus σ^n is recognizable for aperiodic points.

Case 2. We now prove the general case. We prove the result by induction on the number N of letters which do not appear in some word of U . If $N = 0$ there is nothing to prove. Let a a letter of A which does not appear in a word in U and set $B = A \setminus \{a\}$. We denote by σ_B the morphism σ restricted to B . Thus σ_B is recognizable on $X(\sigma_B)$. We denote by K the maximal length of all $\sigma^n(b)$ for non-growing letters b .

Let y be an aperiodic point having two σ -representations (k, x) and (k', x') with $x, x' \in X(\sigma)$. Then for instance x belongs to $X(\sigma) \setminus X(\sigma_B)$. Thus there is an integer m such that $x[-m, m]$ is not a factor of some $\sigma^n(b)$ for $b \in B$. For each integer $n \geq m$, $x[-n, n]$ is a factor of $\sigma^{Nn}(a)$. We have $\sigma(a) = b_1 \dots b_\ell$ with $b_i \in B$. Then there are fixed indices $1 \leq i < j \leq \ell$, words u, v, w , and an infinite number of n such that $x[-m - \ell K, m + \ell K] = uvw$ where $v = \sigma^{Nn-r}(b_{i+1}) \dots \sigma^{Nn-r}(b_{j-1})$ with b_{i+1}, \dots, b_{j-1} are non-growing letters, u

is a suffix of $\sigma^{N_n-r}(b_i)$ w is a prefix of $\sigma^{N_n-r}(b_j)$, and $x[-n, n]$ is a factor of $\sigma^{N_n-r}(b_1) \cdots \sigma^{N_n-r}(b_\ell)$. This implies that for all n , v has length less than $K\ell$, $\sigma^{N_n-r}(b_i)$ and $\sigma^{N_n-r}(b_j)$ are of length greater than K and u and v are nonempty. Thus there are letters $b, c \in B$ such that for an infinite number of n such that $x[-n, -m - \ell K + |u|]$ is a suffix of some $\sigma^{N_n}(b)$ and $x[m + \ell K - |w|, n]$ is a prefix of some $\sigma^{M_n}(c)$.

By Proposition 5.2, there is a finite number of left-infinite sequences t_i and a finite number of right-infinite sequences t_j such that x is a shift of some $t_i v t_j$ with $|v| \leq K\ell$. Thus there is a finite number of such orbits.

We define a sequence $(x^{(i)})_{i \geq 0}$ of biinfinite sequences $x^{(i)}$ by $x^{(0)} = x$ and $x^{(i)} \in X(\sigma) \setminus X(\sigma_B)$ such that $x^{(i-1)} = S^k \sigma(x^{(i)})$ for some k . There is an infinite number of i such that $x^{(i)}$ are shifts of a same sequence. Thus there are integers i, j such that $\sigma^j(x^{(i)}) = S^r x^{(i)}$ for some r . As a consequence $y \in X(\sigma) \setminus X(\sigma_B)$. Thus $x, x' \in X(\sigma) \setminus X(\sigma_B)$.

As above, we define sequences $(x^{(i)})$ and $(x'^{(i)})$ for x and x' . Thus there are integers j, ℓ such that $\sigma^j(x^{(\ell)}) = S^r x^{(\ell)}$ for some r and there are integers j', ℓ' such that $\sigma^{j'}(x'^{(\ell')}) = S^r x'^{(\ell')}$ for some r . We may choose $j = j'$. Since $y = S^k \sigma(x) = S^{k'} \sigma(x')$, we get that there is an integer i such that $x^{(i)}$ and $x'^{(i)}$ are in a same orbit and thus x and x' also. Now if $k \neq k'$ with $0 \leq k < |\sigma(x[0])|$ and $0 \leq k' < |\sigma(x'[0])|$, if $k \neq k'$ we get that x is periodic and y also which is excluded. Thus y has a unique representation in $X(\sigma)$. ■

Note that, to prove Mossé's Theorem itself, one only needs the first case in the above proof. Indeed, if σ is primitive, every letter appears in $\sigma(A)$. Note also that in this case Proposition 5.2 is not used.

We give below an example of a morphism σ such that $X(\sigma)$ contains both periodic and non-periodic points.

Example 5.4 Let σ be the morphism $\sigma: a \mapsto bac, b \mapsto bb, c \mapsto cd, d \mapsto c$. The set $X(\sigma)$ contains the aperiodic point ${}^\omega b \cdot a \sigma^\omega(c)$ where $\sigma^\omega(c) = cdccd \cdots$ is the one-sided Fibonacci sequence. It also contains the periodic point $y = b^\infty$. Every point, except y , has a unique σ -representation.

6 Automata and syntactic groups

Let $\mathcal{A} = (Q, I, T)$ be a finite automaton on the alphabet A with Q as set of states, I as set of initial states and T as set of terminal states. Such an automaton is just a graph with Q as set of vertices and edges labeled by A . The language *recognized* by \mathcal{A} is the set of labels of paths from I to T . The automaton is *deterministic* if for every $q \in Q$ and a in A , there is at most one edge starting at q labeled a (the term deterministic corresponds to the term *right-resolving* used in particular in Lind and Marcus [2021]).

An automaton is *unambiguous* for every $p, q \in Q$ and $w \in A^*$, there is at most one path from p to q labeled by w . An automaton is *unambiguous for*

aperiodic points if for every aperiodic biinfinite word x there is at most one path of the automaton labeled by x .

Proposition 6.1 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be a morphism. If σ is recognizable for aperiodic points, either σ is periodic or σ is injective.*

Proof. Assume that σ is not periodic. If σ is not injective, there are some distinct $u, v \in A^*$ such that $\sigma(u) = \sigma(v) = d$. Since σ is not periodic, there is some w such that $c = \sigma(w)$ and $\sigma(u)$ are not powers of the same primitive word. Then ${}^\omega c \cdot d c^\omega$ is aperiodic and has more than one σ -representation. Thus σ is not recognizable for aperiodic points. ■

For every finite set $U \subset B^+$, there is a particular automaton which recognizes U^* , called the *flower automaton* of U . Its set of states is the set Q defined as

$$Q = \{(u, v) \in B^+ \times B^+ \mid uv \in U\} \cup \{\omega\},$$

where ω is a new element. There are four type of edges labeled by $a \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} (u, av) &\xrightarrow{a} (ua, v) && \text{for } uav \in U, u, v \neq 1 \\ \omega &\xrightarrow{a} (a, v) && \text{for } av \in U, v \neq 1 \\ (u, a) &\xrightarrow{a} \omega && \text{for } ua \in U, u \neq 1 \\ \omega &\xrightarrow{a} \omega && \text{for } a \in U. \end{aligned}$$

The state ω is both initial and terminal. It is easy to verify that the flower automaton recognizes U^* . Moreover, the set U is a code if and only if its flower automaton is unambiguous (see Berstel et al. [2009]).

Example 6.2 Let $\sigma: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto a$ be the Fibonacci morphism and let $U = \{ab, a\}$. The flower automaton \mathcal{A} of U is represented in Figure 6.1. The state




Figure 6.1: The flower automaton of U .

ω (indicated by a double arrow) is 1.

Let \mathcal{A} be a finite automaton. For $w \in A^*$, we denote by $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(w)$ the $Q \times Q$ -relation defined by

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(w)_{p,q} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \xrightarrow{w} q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The monoid $M(\mathcal{A}) = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(A^*)$ is the *monoid of transitions* of the automaton \mathcal{A} . For $m \in M(\mathcal{A})$, we denote indifferently $m_{p,q} = 1$, $(p, q) \in m$ or $p \xrightarrow{m} q$ the fact that (p, q) are in relation by m .

When \mathcal{A} is deterministic, each relation $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a partial map from Q to itself and thus $M(\mathcal{A})$ is a submonoid of the monoid of partial maps from Q to Q .

When \mathcal{A} is unambiguous, the monoid $M = M(\mathcal{A})$ is called an *unambiguous monoid of relations*. This means that for every $m, n \in M$ and $p, q \in Q$ such that $p \xrightarrow{mn} q$ there is a unique $r \in Q$ such that $p \xrightarrow{m} r \xrightarrow{n} q$. This also means that we can consider the elements of M as $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices with 0, 1 considered as integers.

As in any monoid, the Green relations \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} are defined by $m\mathcal{R}n$ if $mM = nM$ and, symmetrically, $m\mathcal{L}n$ if $Mm = Mn$. It is classical that \mathcal{R} and \mathcal{L} commute and thus that the composition $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}\mathcal{R}$ is an equivalence, traditionally denoted by \mathcal{D} .

For an element m of a monoid M , we denote by $H(m)$ the \mathcal{H} -class of m , where \mathcal{H} is the Green relation $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{L}$. It is a group if and only if it contains an idempotent e (see Berstel et al. [2009]). In this case, every $m \in H(e)$ has a unique inverse m^{-1} in the group $H(e)$.

Let M be a unambiguous monoid of relations on Q . A *fixed point* of a relation $m \in M$ is an element $q \in Q$ such that $q \xrightarrow{m} q$. A group in a monoid M is a subsemigroup of M which is a group. Let G be a group in M with neutral element e . Let S be the set of fixed points of e . The restriction of the elements of G to $S \times S$ is a faithful representation of G by permutations on S . The number of elements of S is called the *degree* of G .

The above representation of groups also exists in a monoid of relations which is ambiguous but is more complicated (see Perrin and Ryzhikov [2021] or Perrin and Ryzhikov [2020]).

A group in the monoid $M(\mathcal{A})$ is *strongly cyclic* (or *special*) if $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(G)$ is a cyclic submonoid of A^* . This notion was introduced by Schützenberger in Schützenberger [1979] (see also Perrin and Rindone [2003]). It implies that G itself is a cyclic group. It also implies that $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(D)$, where D is the \mathcal{D} -class containing G , is also included in the set of factors of the same cyclic submonoid. In particular, if e, f are idempotents in D , then $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(e) = u^+$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f) = v^+$ where u, v are conjugate. Moreover, if $m \in D$ is such that $m = em = mf$, then

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(e) = (rs)^+, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(m) = (rs)^+r, \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f) = (sr)^+ \quad (6.1)$$

A pair (m, e) of elements in a monoid M is a *linked pair* if $m = me$ and $e = e^2$. The following result is [Perrin and Pin, 2004, Theorem 2.2].

Proposition 6.3 *Let $\varphi : A^* \rightarrow M$ be a morphism from A^* into a finite monoid M . For every $x \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$, there exists a linked pair (m, e) in M such that $x \in \varphi^{-1}(m)\varphi^{-1}(e)^{\omega}$.*

A dual statement holds for a left infinite sequence. Consequently, for every $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$, there is a triple (e, m, f) with e, f idempotents and $m = em = mf$ such that $x \in {}^{\omega}\varphi^{-1}(e)\varphi^{-1}(m)\varphi^{-1}(f)^{\omega}$.

Example 6.4 Let σ be the Fibonacci morphism and let \mathcal{A} be the flower automaton of $U = \{ab, a\}$ (see Figure 6.1).

Set $\alpha = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)$ and $\beta = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(b)$. One has $a^2 \equiv a$, $aba \equiv a$ and $b^2 \equiv b^3$, which is a zero of the monoid. The monoid of transitions of \mathcal{A} , is formed of 6 elements pictured in Figure 6.2 (we adopt the usual egg-box representation of monoids corresponding to the Green relations, see Berstel et al. [2009]). Besides 1 and 0,




Figure 6.2: The monoid of transitions of \mathcal{A} .

there are three groups in $M(\mathcal{A})$ reduced to one element (α , $\alpha\beta$ and $\beta\alpha$), which have degree 1.

Theorem 6.5 *Let $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ be an injective morphism, let $U = \sigma(A)$ and let \mathcal{A} be the flower automaton of U . The morphism σ is recognizable for aperiodic points if and only if \mathcal{A} is unambiguous for aperiodic points.*

Proof. The morphism σ is recognizable for aperiodic points if and only if every aperiodic point x of $B^{\mathbb{Z}}$ has at most one decomposition in words of U , that is, if there is at most one bi-infinite path of \mathcal{A} labeled by x going through the state ω for an infinite number of positive and negative indices. As U is finite, every bi-infinite path of \mathcal{A} goes through the state ω for an infinite number of positive and negative indices. Thus σ is recognizable for aperiodic points if and only if \mathcal{A} is unambiguous for aperiodic points. ■

An automaton \mathcal{A} is *weakly deterministic* if there is an integer n such that for every state p and every word w of length n , there is at most one right infinite path starting at p with label starting by w . Symmetrically, \mathcal{A} is *weakly codeterministic* if there is an integer n such that for every state p and every word w of length n , there is at most one left infinite path ending at p with label ending by w .

The notion of weakly deterministic automaton corresponds to that of *right closing* map in symbolic dynamics. More precisely, the map assigning its label to a right infinite path in the automaton is right closing if and only if the automaton is weakly deterministic.

The following statement gives a necessary condition for an automaton to be unambiguous for aperiodic points. An automaton is *periodic* if the labels all infinite paths are periodic. Otherwise, it is said to be *non-periodic*. Note that

in a periodic automaton which is strongly connected the labels of all finite paths are factors of u^* for a single word u .

Proposition 6.6 *If a strongly connected non-periodic finite automaton is unambiguous for aperiodic points, it is weakly deterministic and co-deterministic.*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{A} is not weakly deterministic. Then there is a state p and a right-infinite sequence x such that there are two paths starting at p labeled x . Since \mathcal{A} is strongly connected, there is a left-infinite path ending at p . Let y be the label of this path. Since \mathcal{A} is non-periodic, we may choose y aperiodic. Then $y \cdot x$ is an aperiodic point which the label of more than one path in \mathcal{A} . The case where \mathcal{A} is not weakly co-deterministic is symmetrical. ■

We now characterize as follows the unambiguous automata which are unambiguous for aperiodic points. Combined with Proposition 6.1 and Theorem 6.5, this will give us a characterization of morphisms which are recognizable for aperiodic points. Indeed, if σ is recognizable for aperiodic points, by Proposition 6.1 either it is periodic or it is injective. In the first case, it is trivially recognizable for aperiodic points. In the second case, by Theorem 6.5, it is recognizable for aperiodic points if and only if the flower automaton of $U = \sigma(A)$ is unambiguous for aperiodic points.

Theorem 6.7 *A strongly connected unambiguous finite automaton \mathcal{A} is unambiguous for aperiodic points if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (i) \mathcal{A} is weakly deterministic and co-deterministic.
- (ii) For every idempotent $e \in \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(A^+)$ of rank at least 2, the group $H(e)$ is strongly cyclic.
- (iii) For every pair (e, f) of idempotents in $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(A^+)$ of rank at least 2, and every pair of distinct fixed points p, p' and q, q' of e and f respectively, if some $m \in \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(A^*)$ is such that $p \xrightarrow{m} q$ and $p' \xrightarrow{m} q'$, then e, f and m belong to the same \mathcal{D} -class.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{A} is unambiguous for aperiodic points. By Proposition 6.6, condition (i) is satisfied. Let e be an idempotent in $M(\mathcal{A})$ of degree $d \geq 2$. If the group $G = H(e)$ is not strongly cyclic, there are words of arbitrary large minimal period in $L = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(G)$ and thus there is an aperiodic sequence $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ such that all its factors are factors of words in L . Indeed, if G is not strongly cyclic, there are words u, v which are not powers of the same primitive word such that $e \in \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(u) \cap \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(v)$. Then $\{u, v\}^*$ contains words of arbitrary large minimal period and thus an infinite sequence x with all its factors which are factors of words in $\{u, v\}^*$. Then x is the label of d paths in \mathcal{A} and consequently, \mathcal{A} is not recognizable for aperiodic points. This proves that condition (ii) holds. Assume finally that m is such that $p \xrightarrow{m} q$ and $p' \xrightarrow{m} q'$ for some fixed points p, p' and q, q' of two idempotents $e, f \in \varphi(A^+)$. If e, f do not belong to the same \mathcal{D} -class, we have $e \in \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(u^+)$ and $f \in \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(v^+)$ for non-conjugate primitive words

u, v (two idempotents are in the same \mathcal{D} -class if and only if they are conjugate). Let w be such that $\varphi(w) = m$. Then ${}^\omega u w v {}^\omega$ is an aperiodic sequence which is the label of two distinct paths.

Assume conversely that the conditions are satisfied. Consider a point $x \in A^{\mathbb{Z}}$ which is the label of more than one path. Since $M = M(\mathcal{A})$ is a finite monoid, there is by Proposition 6.3, some $m \in M$ and idempotents $e, f \in M$ with $em = mf = m$ such that $x \in {}^\omega E w F {}^\omega$ with $E = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(e)$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}(w) = m$ and $F = \varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(f)$. The two paths have the form $p \xrightarrow{e} p \xrightarrow{m} q \xrightarrow{f} q$ and $p' \xrightarrow{e} p' \xrightarrow{m} q' \xrightarrow{f} q'$. If $p = p'$, then \mathcal{A} is not weakly deterministic. Similarly, if $q = q'$, it is not weakly co-deterministic. Thus, by condition (iii), e, m, f belong to the same \mathcal{D} -class. By condition (ii), using Equation (6.1), this implies that x is periodic. ■

Example 6.8 Let $\sigma: a \mapsto ab, b \mapsto ba$ be the Thue-Morse morphism and let $U = \{ab, ba\}$. The flower automaton of U is represented in Figure 6.3. The




Figure 6.3: The flower automaton of U .

monoid of transitions of \mathcal{A} is represented in Figure 6.4 with $\alpha = \sigma(a)$ and $\beta = \sigma(b)$. There are two groups of degree 2, each reduced to one element,




Figure 6.4: The monoid of transitions of \mathcal{A} .

namely ab and ba . They are strongly cyclic since $\varphi_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}(\alpha\beta) = (ab)^*$.

7 Efficient algorithms

The conditions of Theorem 6.7 give an effective characterization of morphisms recognizable for aperiodic points. We now consider the problem of finding a more efficient procedure to check whether this property holds.

If $\mathcal{A} = (Q, I, T)$ is a finite automaton on A , the *square* $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ of the automaton \mathcal{A} is the following automaton. Its set of states is $Q \times Q$ and its edges are the $((p, q), a, (r, s))$ such that (p, a, r) and (q, a, s) are edges of \mathcal{A} .

The *diagonal* of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ is the set of states of the form (p, p) with $p \in Q$. A strongly connected component of an automaton is *non-trivial* if it contains at least one cycle.

It is easy to verify that \mathcal{A} is unambiguous if and only if the strongly connected components of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ do not contain both elements in the diagonal and out of it. This gives a polynomial algorithm to check whether an automaton is unambiguous.

It is also easy to verify that if \mathcal{A} is unambiguous, its square is also unambiguous. Indeed, assume that π and π' are two paths from (p, q) to (r, s) labeled by the same word w . Then $p \xrightarrow{w} r$ and $q \xrightarrow{w} s$. Since $\pi \neq \pi'$, the paths have the form $(p, q) \xrightarrow{w'} (u, v) \xrightarrow{w''} (r, s)$ and $(p, q) \xrightarrow{w'} (u', v') \xrightarrow{w''} (r, s)$ with $(u, v) \neq (u', v')$ and $w = w'w''$. If $u \neq u'$, we have two paths in \mathcal{A} from p to q labeled w and similarly if $v \neq v'$.

We have already seen in Proposition 6.1 that if σ is a morphism which is recognizable for aperiodic points, then it is injective or periodic.

The size of an automaton is the sum of number of states and the number of edges of the automaton.

Proposition 7.1 *Let \mathcal{A} be an unambiguous strongly connected automaton. Then \mathcal{A} is unambiguous for aperiodic points if and only if following conditions are satisfied.*

- (i) *Every non-trivial strongly connected component of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ out of the diagonal is reduced to a cycle.*
- (ii) *There is no path between two non-trivial strongly connected components of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$.*

All these conditions can be checked in a quadratic time in the size of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. Since \mathcal{A} is unambiguous, $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ is unambiguous. Note the set of diagonal states is a non-trivial strongly connected component of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. It cannot contain non-diagonal states since \mathcal{A} is unambiguous.

We first show that the conditions are necessary. Let C be a non-trivial strongly connected component of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ which is non-diagonal. Let us assume that there is cycle π labeled by u around (p, q) with $p \neq q$ in C and another cycle π' which is not a power of π around (p, q) labeled by v . Then u and v are not a power of a same word. Otherwise $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ would be ambiguous. Thus there is a bi-infinite word x which is a concatenation of words u and v which is

not periodic. This word is the label of a bi-infinite path of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ going through (p, q) after reading u or v . Since $p \neq q$ this leads to two distinct decompositions of x as bi-infinite concatenations of words in U and thus \mathcal{A} is not unambiguous for aperiodic points.

Let C and C' be two non-trivial non-diagonal strongly connected components of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. Let $(p, q) \in C$ with $p \neq q$ and $(r, s) \in C'$ with $r \neq s$. We assume that C is made of a unique cycle around (p, q) labeled by u and C' by a unique cycle around (r, s) labeled by v . Let us assume that there is a path from (p, q) to (r, s) labeled by w in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. Assume that u and v are not powers of two conjugate words, then the bi-infinite word $x = \omega u w v \omega$ is aperiodic and \mathcal{A} is not unambiguous for aperiodic points. Assume now that $u = (rs)^k$ and $v = (sr)^{k'}$ with rs primitive. The word $x = \omega u w v \omega$ is periodic if and only if $w \in (rs)^* r$. But in this case, if $w = (rs)^m r$, the word $(rs)^{kk'} (rs)^m r = (rs)^m r (sr)^{kk'}$ is the label of two distinct paths from (p, q) to (r, s) which is impossible since $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ is unambiguous.

Let us now assume that the strongly connected component of diagonal points is connected to a non-trivial non-diagonal strongly connected component. For instance if there is a path labeled by w from a state (p, p) to a state (q, r) with $q \neq r$ belonging to a non-trivial strongly connected component. Assume that \mathcal{A} is not reduced to one cycle. Then there are words u, v labels of cycles around (p, p) which are two powers of a same word. Hence there is a left-infinite word y being a concatenation of words u and v labeling a path ending in (p, p) and which is not ultimately periodic. Let z be the label of one cycle around (q, r) . Then $y w z \omega$ is an aperiodic point labeling two distinct paths of \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} is reduced to one cycle, there is no path from a diagonal state to a non-diagonal one in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. Thus if condition (ii) is not satisfied, \mathcal{A} is not unambiguous for aperiodic points.

We now show that the conditions are sufficient. We show that if all conditions hold, \mathcal{A} is unambiguous for aperiodic points. Let x be an aperiodic point which is the label of two distinct paths of \mathcal{A} . Then there is a bi-infinite path $(p_i, q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ labeled by x such that $(p_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \neq (q_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $p_0 \neq q_0$. There is an infinite number of $i < 1$ such that $(p_i, q_i) = (p, q)$ for some states p, q . There is also an infinite number of $j > 1$ such that $(p_j, q_j) = (r, s)$ for some states r, s . We cannot have $p = q$ and $r = s$ since \mathcal{A} is unambiguous.

Assume that $p = q$. Then $r \neq s$. Then there is a path from (p, p) to the strongly connected component of (r, s) which is impossible. Similarly it is impossible to have $r = s$.

Assume now that $p \neq q$ and $r \neq s$. If the strongly connected components of (p, q) and (r, s) are distinct, then there is a path between these two components which is forbidden. If the components of (p, q) and (r, s) are the same, then x is periodic, a contradiction.

The conditions can be checked in time $O(n^2)$ where n is the size of \mathcal{A} . ■

Example 7.2 Let us consider again the morphism $\varphi : a \mapsto aa, b \mapsto ab, c \mapsto ba$ of Example 3.4. The automaton $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\varphi)$ is represented in Figure 7.1 on the

left. The non-diagonal part of the automaton $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ has a unique strongly connected component, which is represented on the right. This component is not reduced to a cycle and thus condition (i) is not satisfied, consistently with the fact that φ is not recognizable for aperiodic points, as we have seen in Example 3.4.




Figure 7.1: The automata \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$.

Note that Proposition 7.1 gives an easy proof of Proposition 4.2. Indeed, if $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is left marked, let \mathcal{A} be the flower automaton of $U = \sigma(A)$. Since σ is marked, \mathcal{A} is deterministic and thus $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ also. Note that if $p \neq \omega$ there is at most one edge going out of p in \mathcal{A} .

Thus if (p, q) is a state of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ with p or q distinct from ω , there is at most one edge going out of (p, q) in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. Further, since \mathcal{A} is deterministic, there is no edge from a diagonal state to a non-diagonal one in $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$.

As a consequence each non-trivial strongly connected component of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$ out of the diagonal is reduced to a cycle and there is no path between two non-trivial strongly connected components of $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A}$. Hence σ is recognizable for aperiodic points.

We define the size of a finite set of words as the sum of the lengths of words of the set.

Corollary 7.3 *It can be checked in quadratic time in the size of $\sigma(A)$ whether an injective morphism $\sigma: A^* \rightarrow B^*$ is recognizable for aperiodic points.*

Proof. Let $U = \sigma(A)$. The flower automaton of U is unambiguous and strongly connected. The result follows directly from Proposition 7.1. The algorithm is in $O(n^2)$ where n is the size of U that is the sum of the lengths of the words of U . ■

8 Acknowledgments

We thank Francesco Dolce for his careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- Nathalie Aubrun and Mathieu Sablik. Simulation of effective subshifts by two-dimensional subshifts of finite type. *Acta Appl Math*, 2013.
- Nathalie Aubrun and Mathieu Sablik. Multidimensional effective S -adic systems are sofic. *Uniform Distribution Theory*, 9(2):7–29, 2014.
- Marie-Pierre Béal, Dominique Perrin, and Antonio Restivo. Unambiguously coded systems. 2021. [arXiv:2103.01012](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.01012).
- Jean Berstel, Dominique Perrin, Jean-François Perrot, and Antonio Restivo. Sur le théorème du défaut. *Journal of Algebra*, 60(1):169–180, 1979.
- Jean Berstel, Dominique Perrin, and Christophe Reutenauer. *Codes and Automata*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Valérie Berthé, Wolfgang Steiner, Jörg M. Thuswaldner, and Reem Yassawi. Recognizability for sequences of morphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 39(11):2896–2931, 2019.
- Sergey Bezuglyi, Jan Kwiatkowski, and Konstantin Medynets. Aperiodic substitution systems and their Bratteli diagrams. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 29(1):37–72, 2009.
- Sebastian Donoso, Fabien Durand, Alejandro Maass, and Samuel Petite. Interplay between finite topological rank minimal cantor systems, S -adic subshifts and their complexity. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 374:3453–3489, 2021.
- Fabien Durand and Dominique Perrin. *Dimension groups and dynamical systems*. Cambridge University Press, 2021. to appear.
- Andrew Ehrenfeucht and Gregorz Rozenberg. Elementary homomorphisms and a solution of the DOL sequence equivalence problem. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 7(2):169–183, 1978.
- Juhani Karhumäki, Ján Maňuch, and Wojciech Plandowski. A defect theorem for bi-infinite words. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 292(1):237–243, 2003. Selected Papers in honor of Jean Berstel.
- Petr Kurka. *Topological and symbolic dynamics*, volume 11 of *Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses]*. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2003.
- Revekka Kyriakoglou. *Recognizable substitutions*. PhD thesis, Université Paris Est, 2019.
- Douglas Lind and Brian Marcus. *An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2021.

- Matti Linna. The decidability of the DOL prefix problem. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 6(2):127–142, 1977.
- M. Lothaire. *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- John C. Martin. Minimal flows arising from substitutions of non-constant length. *Math. Systems Theory*, 7:72–82, 1973.
- Brigitte Mossé. Puissances de mots et reconnaissabilité des points fixes d’une substitution. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 99(2):327–334, 1992.
- Brigitte Mossé. Reconnaisabilité des substitutions et complexité des suites automatiques. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 124(2):329–346, 1996.
- Shahar Mozes. Tilings, substitution systems and dynamical systems generated by them. *Journal d’Analyse Mathématique*, 53(1):139–186, 1989.
- Dominique Perrin and Jean-Eric Pin. *Infinite Words: Automata, Semigroups, Logic and Games*. Elsevier, 2004.
- Dominique Perrin and Giuseppina Rindone. On syntactic groups. *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin*, 10(suppl.):749–759, 2003.
- Dominique Perrin and Andrew Ryzhikov. The degree of a finite set of words. In Nitin Saxena and Sunil Simon, editors, *40th IARCS Annual Conference on Foundations of Software Technology and Theoretical Computer Science, FSTTCS 2020, December 14-18, 2020, BITS Pilani, K K Birla Goa Campus, Goa, India (Virtual Conference)*, volume 182 of *LIPICs*, pages 54:1–54:16. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2020.
- Dominique Perrin and Andrew Ryzhikov. Finitely generated submonoids. 2021. [arXiv:2106.14471](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.14471).
- Antonio Restivo. On a question of McNaughton and Pappert. *Inform. and Control*, 25:1, 1974.
- Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. A property of finitely generated submonoids of free monoids. In G. Pollak, editor, *Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, pages 545–576. North-Holland, 1979.
- B. Solomyak. Nonperiodicity implies unique composition for self-similar translationally finite tilings. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 20(2):265–279, 1998.