

# KAM THEOREM WITH LARGE TWIST AND FINITE SMOOTH LARGE PERTURBATION

LU CHEN

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we will discuss the following non-degenerate Hamiltonian system

$$H(\theta, t, I) = \frac{H_0(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{P(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b},$$

where  $(\theta, t, I) \in \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d$  ( $\mathbf{T} := \mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$ ),  $a, b$  are given positive constants with  $a > b$ ,  $H_0 : [1, 2]^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is real analytic and  $P : \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $C^\ell$  with  $\ell = \frac{2(d+1)(5a-b+2ad)}{a-b} + \mu$ ,  $0 < \mu \ll 1$ . We prove that if  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, there is an invariant torus with given Diophantine frequency vector for the above Hamiltonian system. As for application, we prove that a finite network of Duffing oscillators with periodic exterior forces possesses Lagrangian stability for almost all initial data.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider the harmonic oscillator (linear spring)

$$(1.1) \quad \ddot{x} + k^2 x = 0.$$

It is well-known that any solution of this equation is periodic. So any solution of this equation is bounded for  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . That is, this equation is Lagrange stable. However, there is an unbounded solution to the equation

$$(1.2) \quad \ddot{x} + k^2 x = p(t)$$

where the frequency of  $p$  is equal to the frequency  $k$  of the spring itself. Now let us consider a nonlinear equation

$$(1.3) \quad \ddot{x} + x^3 = 0.$$

This equation is Lagrange stable, too. An interesting problem is that, does

$$(1.4) \quad \ddot{x} + x^3 = p(t)$$

have Lagrange stability when  $p(t)$  is periodic? Moser [1, 2] proposed to study the boundedness of all solutions for Duffing equation

$$(1.5) \quad \ddot{x} + \alpha x^3 + \beta x = p(t),$$

where  $\alpha > 0, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$  are constants,  $p(t)$  is a 1-periodic continuous function. The first boundedness result, prompted by questions of Littlewood [3], is due to Morris [4] in 1976 who showed that all solutions of the equation (1.6) are bounded for all time.

$$(1.6) \quad \ddot{x} + 2x^3 = p(t),$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34L15, 34B10, 47E05.

*Key words and phrases.* KAM theorem, Hamiltonian system, Duffing oscillator, invariant torus, Lagrangian stability.

This work is supported by the Doctoral Starting Foundation of Quzhou University (No. BSYJ202115).

where  $p(t)$  is a  $2\pi$ -periodic continuous function. Subsequently, Morris's boundedness result was, by Dieckerhoff-Zehnder [5] in 1987, extended to a wider class of systems

$$(1.7) \quad \ddot{x} + x^{2n+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{2n} x^i p_i(t) = 0, n \geq 1,$$

where  $p_i(t) \in C^\infty (i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n)$  are 1-periodic functions. For some other extensions to study the boundedness, one may see papers [6–15].

In many research fields such as physics, mechanics and mathematical biology as so on arise networks of coupled Duffing oscillators of various form. For example, the evolution equations for the voltage variables  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  obtained using the Kirchhoff's voltage law are

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} R^2 C^2 \frac{d^2 V_1}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{R^2 C}{R_1}\right) \frac{dV_1}{dt} - \left(\frac{R}{R_2}\right) V_1 - \left(\frac{R}{100R_3}\right) V_1^3 + \left(\frac{R}{R_C}\right) V_2 + f \sin \omega t, \\ R^2 C^2 \frac{d^2 V_2}{dt^2} = -\left(\frac{R^2 C}{R_1}\right) \frac{dV_2}{dt} - \left(\frac{R}{R_2}\right) V_2 - \left(\frac{R}{100R_3}\right) V_2^3 + \left(\frac{R}{R_C}\right) V_1, \end{cases}$$

where  $R$ 's and  $C$ 's are resistors and capacitors, respectively. This equation can be regarded as one coupled by two Duffing oscillators. See [17–24] for more details.

Recently, Yuan-Chen-Li [16] studied the Lagrangian stability for coupled Hamiltonian system of  $m$  Duffing oscillators:

$$(1.9) \quad \ddot{x}_i + x_i^{2n+1} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where the polynomial potential  $F = F(x, t) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^m, |\alpha| \leq 2n+1} p_\alpha(t) x^\alpha$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$  with  $p_\alpha(t)$  is of period  $2\pi$ , and  $n$  is a given natural number. Yuan-Chen-Li [16] proved that (1.9) had Lagrangian stability for almost all initial data if  $p_\alpha(t)$  was real analytic.

In the present paper, we will relax the real analytic condition of  $p_\alpha(t)$  to  $C^\ell$  ( $\ell = 2(m+1)(4n+2nm+1) + \mu$  with  $0 < \mu \ll 1$ ).

In the whole of the present paper we denote by  $C$  (or  $C_0, C_1, c, c_0, c_1$ , etc) an universal constant which may be different in different places. Let positive integer  $d$  be the freedom of the to-be considered Hamiltonian.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Consider a Hamiltonian*

$$(1.10) \quad H(\theta, t, I) = \frac{H_0(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{P(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b},$$

where  $a, b$  are given positive constants with  $a > b$ , and  $H_0$  and  $P$  obey the following conditions:

(1) Given  $\ell = \frac{2(d+1)(5a-b+2ad)}{a-b} + \mu$  with  $0 < \mu \ll 1$ , and  $H_0 : [1, 2]^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is real analytic and  $P : \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is  $C^\ell$ , and

$$(1.11) \quad \|H_0\| := \sup_{I \in [1, 2]^d} |H_0(I)| \leq c_1, \quad |P|_{C^\ell(\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d)} \leq c_2,$$

(2)  $H_0$  is non-degenerate in Kolmogorov's sense:

$$(1.12) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 H_0(I)}{\partial I^2} \right) \geq c_3 > 0, \quad \forall I \in [1, 2]^d.$$

Then there exists  $0 < \varepsilon^* \ll 1$  such that for any  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon^*$ , the Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{\partial H(\theta, t, I)}{\partial I}, \quad \dot{I} = -\frac{\partial H(\theta, t, I)}{\partial \theta}$$

possesses a  $d+1$  dimensional invariant torus of rotational frequency vector  $(\omega(I_0), 2\pi)$  with  $\omega(I) := \frac{\partial H_0(I)}{\partial I}$ , for any  $I_0 \in [1, 2]^d$  and  $\omega(I_0)$  obeying Diophantine conditions (we let  $B =$

$5a - b + 2ad$ ):

(i)

$$(1.13) \quad \left| \frac{\langle k, \omega(I_0) \rangle}{\varepsilon^a} + l \right| \geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-a + \frac{B}{t}} \gamma}{|k|^{\tau_1}} > \frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau_2}}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}, |k| + |l| \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{t}} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2,$$

where  $\gamma = (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-4}$ ,  $\tau_1 = d - 1 + \frac{(a-b)^2 \mu}{1000(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}$ ,  $\tau_2 = d + \frac{(a-b)^2 \mu}{1000(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}$ ;

(ii)

$$(1.14) \quad \left| \frac{\langle k, \omega(I_0) \rangle}{\varepsilon^a} + l \right| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau_2}}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}, |k| + |l| > \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{t}} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2,$$

where  $\gamma = (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-4}$ ,  $\tau_2 = d + \frac{(a-b)^2 \mu}{1000(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}$ .

Applying Theorem 1.1 to (1.9) we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** For any  $A > 0$ , let  $\Theta_A = \{(x_1, \dot{x}_1; \dots, x_m, \dot{x}_m) \in \mathbf{R}^{2m} : A \leq \sum_{i=1}^m x_i^{2n+2} + (n+1)\dot{x}_i^2 \leq c_4 A, c_4 > 1\}$ . Then there exists a subset  $\tilde{\Theta}_A \subset \Theta_A$  with

$$(1.15) \quad \lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{\Theta}_A}{\Theta_A} = 1$$

such that any solution to equation (1.9) with any initial data  $(x_1(0), \dot{x}_1(0); \dots, x_m(0), \dot{x}_m(0)) \in \tilde{\Theta}_A$  is time quasi-periodic with frequency vector  $(\omega, 2\pi)$  where  $\omega = (\omega_i : i = 1, \dots, m)$  and  $\omega_i = \omega_i(I(0))$  with  $I(0) = (I_1(0), \dots, I_m(0))$ ,  $I_i(0) = (n+1)\dot{x}_i^2(0) + x_i^{2n+2}(0)$ , furthermore,

$$(1.16) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbf{R}} \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i(t)| + |\dot{x}_i(t)| < \infty.$$

*Remark 1.3.* An equation is called to have Lagrangian stability for almost all initial data if its solutions obey (1.15) and (1.16).

*Remark 1.4.* Let  $\Theta = \{I_0 \in [1, 2]^d : \omega(I_0) \text{ obeys the Diophantine conditions}\}$ . We claim that the Lebesgue measure of  $\Theta$  approaches to 1:

$$\text{Leb}\Theta \geq 1 - C \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{-2} \rightarrow 1, \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Let

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{k,l} = \left\{ \xi \in \omega([1, 2]^d) : \left| \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle}{\varepsilon^a} + l \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{-a + \frac{B}{t}} \gamma}{|k|^{\tau_1}}, k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}, |k| + |l| \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{t}} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \right\}$$

and

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{k,l} = \left\{ \xi \in \omega([1, 2]^d) : \left| \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle}{\varepsilon^a} + l \right| \leq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau_2}}, k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}, |k| + |l| > \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{t}} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2 \right\}.$$

Let  $f(\xi) = \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle}{\varepsilon^a} + l$ . Since  $k \neq 0$ , there exists a unit vector  $v \in \mathbf{Z}^d$  such that

$$(1.17) \quad \frac{df(\xi)}{dv} \geq \frac{C|k|}{\varepsilon^a}.$$

Then, if  $k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}, |k| + |l| \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{t}} \left(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^2$ , by (1.17), we have

$$(1.18) \quad \text{Leb}\tilde{\Theta}_{k,l} \leq C \frac{\gamma \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{B}{t}}}{|k|^{\tau_1+1}}.$$

Thus,

$$(1.19) \quad \text{Leb} \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}, |k| + |l| \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{\ell}} (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2} \tilde{\Theta}_{k,l} \right) \leq \sum_{|l| \leq \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{\ell}} (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2} C\gamma \cdot \varepsilon^{\frac{B}{\ell}} \leq C(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-2}.$$

If  $k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $l \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $|k| + |l| > \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{\ell}} (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2$ , we can let  $c_5 = \max\{|\omega([1, 2]^d)|\} := \max\{\sum_{i=1}^d |\omega_i([1, 2]^d)|\}$ . Noting that  $|\langle k, \xi \rangle| \leq c_5 |k|$ . Thus if  $|l| > \frac{c_5 |k|}{\varepsilon^a} + 1$ , then

$$\left| \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle}{\varepsilon^a} + l \right| \geq |l| - \left| \frac{\langle k, \xi \rangle}{\varepsilon^a} \right| > \frac{c_5 |k|}{\varepsilon^a} + 1 - \frac{c_5 |k|}{\varepsilon^a} \geq 1 > \frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau_2}}.$$

It follows that  $\tilde{\Theta}_{k,l} = \emptyset$ . Now we assume  $|l| \leq \frac{c_5 |k|}{\varepsilon^a} + 1$ , then by (1.17), we have

$$(1.20) \quad \text{Leb} \tilde{\Theta}_{k,l} \leq \frac{C\gamma \varepsilon^a}{|k|^{\tau_2 + 1}}.$$

Thus,

$$(1.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Leb} \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}, |k| + |l| > \varepsilon^{-\frac{B}{\ell}} (\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^2} \tilde{\Theta}_{k,l} \right) &\leq \sum_{k \neq 0} \sum_{|l| \leq \frac{c_5 |k|}{\varepsilon^a} + 1} \frac{C\gamma \varepsilon^a}{|k|^{\tau_2 + 1}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k \neq 0} \frac{C\gamma}{|k|^{\tau_2}} \leq C(\log \frac{1}{\varepsilon})^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Theta = [1, 2]^d \setminus \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}} \omega^{-1}(\tilde{\Theta}_{k,l}) \right)$ . By the Kolmogorov's non-degenerate condition, the map  $\omega : [1, 2]^d \rightarrow \omega([1, 2]^d)$  is a diffeomorphism in both direction. Then by (1.19) and (1.21), the proof of the claim is completed by letting  $\Theta = [1, 2]^d \setminus \left( \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, l \in \mathbf{Z}} \omega^{-1}(\tilde{\Theta}_{k,l}) \right)$ .

## 2. APPROXIMATION LEMMA

First we denote by  $|\cdot|$  the norm of any finite dimensional Euclidean space. Let  $C^{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{R}^m)$  for  $0 < \tilde{\mu} < 1$  denote the space of bounded Hölder continuous functions  $f : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  with the norm

$$|f|_{C^{\tilde{\mu}}} = \sup_{0 < |x-y| < 1} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x-y|^{\tilde{\mu}}} + \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^m} |f(x)|.$$

If  $\tilde{\mu} = 0$  the  $|f|_{C^{\tilde{\mu}}}$  denotes the sup-norm. For  $\tilde{\ell} = k + \tilde{\mu}$  with  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $0 \leq \tilde{\mu} < 1$  we denote by  $C^{\tilde{\ell}}(\mathbf{R}^m)$  the space of functions  $f : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  with Hölder continuous partial derivatives  $\partial^\alpha f \in C^{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathbf{R}^m)$  for all multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbf{N}^m$  with the assumption that  $|\alpha| := |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_m| \leq k$ . We define the norm

$$|f|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}}} := \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \tilde{\ell}} |\partial^\alpha f|_{C^{\tilde{\mu}}}$$

for  $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\ell} - [\tilde{\ell}] < 1$ . In order to give an approximate lemma, we define the kernel function

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^m} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} \hat{K}(\xi) e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} d\xi, \quad x \in \mathbf{C}^m,$$

where  $\hat{K}(\xi)$  is a  $C^\infty$  function with compact support, contained in the ball  $|\xi| \leq a_1$  with a constant  $a_1 > 0$ , that satisfies

$$\partial^\alpha \hat{K}(0) = \begin{cases} 1, & \alpha = 0, \\ 0, & \alpha \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $K : \mathbf{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a real analytic function with the property that for every  $j > 0$  and every  $p > 0$ , there exists a constant  $c = c(j, p) > 0$  such that for all  $\beta \in \mathbf{N}^m$  with  $|\beta| \leq j$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad |\partial^\beta K(x + iy)| \leq c(1 + |x|)^{-p} e^{a_1|y|}, \quad x, y \in \mathbf{R}^m.$$

**Lemma 2.1** (Jackson-Moser-Zehnder). *There is a family of convolution operators*

$$(2.2) \quad (S_s F)(x) = s^{-m} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} K(s^{-1}(x - y))F(y)dy, \quad 0 < s \leq 1, \quad \forall F \in C^0(\mathbf{R}^m)$$

from  $C^0(\mathbf{R}^m)$  into the linear space of entire functions on  $\mathbf{C}^m$  such that for every  $\ell > 0$  there exist a constant  $c = c(\tilde{\ell}) > 0$  with the following properties: if  $F \in C^{\tilde{\ell}}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ , then for  $|\alpha| \leq \tilde{\ell}$  and  $|\operatorname{Im}x| \leq s$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad |\partial^\alpha (S_s F)(x) - \sum_{|\beta| \leq \tilde{\ell} - |\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha+\beta} F(\operatorname{Re}x) (\mathbf{i} \operatorname{Im}x)^\beta / \beta!| \leq c|F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}} s^{\tilde{\ell} - |\alpha|}}$$

and in particular for  $\rho \leq s$

$$(2.4) \quad |\partial^\alpha S_s F - \partial^\alpha S_\rho F|_\rho := \sup_{|\operatorname{Im}x| \leq \rho} |\partial^\alpha (S_s F)(x) - \partial^\alpha (S_\rho F)(x)| \leq c|F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}} s^{\tilde{\ell} - |\alpha|}}.$$

Moreover, in the real case

$$(2.5) \quad |S_s F - F|_{C^p} \leq c|F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}} s^{\tilde{\ell} - p}}, \quad p \leq \tilde{\ell},$$

$$(2.6) \quad |S_s F|_{C^p} \leq c|F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}} s^{\tilde{\ell} - p}}, \quad p \leq \tilde{\ell}.$$

Finally, if  $F$  is periodic in some variables then so are the approximating functions  $S_s F$  in the same variables.

*Remark 2.2.* Moreover we point out that from (2.6) one can easily deduce the following well-known convexity estimates

$$(2.7) \quad |f|_{C^q}^{l-k} \leq c|f|_{C^k}^{l-q} |f|_{C^l}^{q-k}, \quad k \leq q \leq l,$$

$$(2.8) \quad |f \cdot g|_{C^s} \leq c(|f|_{C^s} |f|_{C^0} + |f|_{C^0} |g|_{C^s}), \quad s \geq 0.$$

See [25, 26] for the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and the inequalities (2.7) and (2.8).

*Remark 2.3.* From the definition of the operator  $S_s$ , we clearly have

$$(2.9) \quad \sup_{x, y \in \mathbf{R}^m, |y| \leq s} |S_s F(x + iy)| \leq C|F|_{C^0}.$$

In fact, by the definition of  $S_s$ , we have that for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^m$  with  $|y| \leq s$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_s F(x + iy)| &= |s^{-m} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} K(s^{-1}(x + iy - z))F(z)dz| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} K(is^{-1}y + \xi)F(x - s\xi)d\xi \right| \\ &\leq |F|_{C^0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^m} |K(is^{-1}y + \xi)|d\xi \\ &\leq C|F|_{C^0}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.1) in the last inequality.

Consider a function  $F(\theta, t, I)$ , where  $F : \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  satisfies

$$|F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}}(\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d)} \leq C.$$

By Whitney's extension theorem, we can find a function  $\tilde{F} : \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  such that  $\tilde{F}|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d} = F$  (i.e.  $\tilde{F}$  is the extension of  $F$ ) and

$$|\tilde{F}|_{C^{|\alpha|}(\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}^d)} \leq C_\alpha |F|_{C^{|\alpha|}(\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d)}, \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+^{2d+1}, |\alpha| \leq \tilde{\ell},$$

where  $C_\alpha$  is a constant depends only  $\tilde{\ell}$  and  $d$ .

Let  $z = (\theta, t, I)$  for brevity, define, for  $\forall s > 0$ ,

$$(S_s \tilde{F})(z) = s^{-(2d+1)} \int_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}^d} K(s^{-1}(z - \tilde{z})) \tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{z}.$$

For any positive integer  $p$ , let  $\mathbf{T}_s^p = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^p / (2\pi\mathbf{Z})^p : |\operatorname{Im}x| \leq s\}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_s^p = \{x \in \mathbf{C}^p : |\operatorname{Im}x| \leq s\}$ . Fix a sequence of fast decreasing numbers  $s_\nu \downarrow 0$ ,  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $s_0 \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Let

$$F^{(\nu)}(z) = (S_{2s_\nu} \tilde{F})(z), \quad \nu \geq 0.$$

Then  $F^{(\nu)}$ 's ( $\nu \geq 0$ ) are entire functions in  $\mathbf{C}^{2d+1}$ , in particular, which obey the following properties.

(1)  $F^{(\nu)}$ 's ( $\nu \geq 0$ ) are real analytic on the complex domain  $\mathbf{T}_{2s_\nu}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_\nu}^d$ ;

(2) The sequence of functions  $F^{(\nu)}$ 's satisfies the bounds

$$(2.10) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}^d} |F^{(\nu)}(z) - \tilde{F}(z)| \leq C |F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}}(\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d)} s_\nu^{\tilde{\ell}},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathbf{T}_{2s_{\nu+1}}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_{\nu+1}}^d} |F^{(\nu+1)}(z) - F^{(\nu)}(z)| \leq C |F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}}(\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d)} s_\nu^{\tilde{\ell}},$$

where constants  $C = C(d, \tilde{\ell})$  depend on only  $d$  and  $\tilde{\ell}$ ;

(3) The first approximate  $F^{(0)}(z) = (S_{2s_0} \tilde{F})(z)$  is "small" with respect to  $F$ . Precisely,

$$(2.12) \quad |F^{(0)}(z)| \leq C |F|_{C^{\tilde{\ell}}(\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d)}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbf{T}_{2s_0}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_0}^d,$$

where constant  $C = C(d, \tilde{\ell})$  is independent of  $s_0$ ;

(4) From Lemma 2.1, we have that

$$(2.13) \quad F(z) = F^{(0)}(z) + \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (F^{(\nu+1)}(z) - F^{(\nu)}(z)), \quad z \in \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d.$$

Let

$$(2.14) \quad F_0(z) = F^{(0)}(z), \quad F_{\nu+1}(z) = F^{(\nu+1)}(z) - F^{(\nu)}(z).$$

Then

$$(2.15) \quad F(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} F_\nu(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times [1, 2]^d.$$

### 3. NORMAL FORM

Let  $I_0 \in [1, 2]^d$  such that  $\omega(I_0) = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial I}(I_0)$  obeys Diophantine conditions (1.13) and (1.14). Let  $\mu_1 = \frac{(a-b)^2 \mu}{1000(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}$ ,  $\mu_2 = 2\mu_1 = \frac{(a-b)^2 \mu}{500(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}$ ,  $m_0 = 10 + [E]$  where  $E = \max\left\{\frac{4B}{a-b-\frac{2(\tau_1+2)B}{\ell}-2\mu_1}, \frac{2(2\tau_1+3)(\tau_2+1)B}{B-2a-2(\tau_2+1)b-\frac{2(2\tau_1+5)(\tau_2+1)B}{\ell}-8\mu_1(\tau_2+1)-2\mu_2}\right\}$  ( $a, b, \tau_1, \tau_2, B, \ell$  are the same as those in Theorem 1.1), and  $[\cdot]$  is the integer part of a positive number. Define sequences

- $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon^{\frac{jB}{m_0}}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_0, \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j-1}^{1+\mu_3}$  with  $\mu_3 = \frac{(a-b)\mu}{10B}, j = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots$ ;
- $s_j = \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{j+1}}, s_j^{(l)} = s_j - \frac{l}{10}(s_j - s_{j+1}), l = 0, 1, \dots, 10, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- $r_j = \varepsilon^{\frac{(j+1)(\tau_1+1)B}{\ell m_0} + \mu_1 + \frac{B}{\ell}}$  with  $\mu_1 = \frac{(a-b)^2 \mu}{1000(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_0, r_j = r_{j-1}^{1+\mu_3}, j = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots$ ;
- $r_j^{(l)} = r_j - \frac{l}{10}(r_j - r_{j+1}), l = 0, 1, \dots, 10, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- $K_j = \frac{2B}{s_j} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- $B(r_j) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^d : |z - I_0| \leq r_j\}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

With the preparation of Section 2, we can rewrite equation (1.10) as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad H(\theta, t, I) = \frac{H_0(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^b} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P_\nu(\theta, t, I),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad P_\nu : \mathbf{T}_{2s_\nu}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_\nu}^d \rightarrow \mathbf{C},$$

is real analytic, and

$$(3.3) \quad \sup_{(\theta, t, I) \in \mathbf{T}_{2s_\nu}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_\nu}^d} |P_\nu| \leq C\varepsilon_\nu.$$

Let

$$(3.4) \quad h^{(0)}(t, I) \equiv 0, P^{(0)} = P_0.$$

Then we can rewrite equation (3.1) as follows:

$$(3.5) \quad H^{(0)}(\theta, t, I) = \frac{H_0(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{h^{(0)}(t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\varepsilon_0 P^{(0)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b}.$$

Define

$$D(s, r) = \mathbf{T}_s^{d+1} \times B(r), D(s, 0) = \mathbf{T}_s^{d+1}, D(0, r) = B(r).$$

For a function  $f$  defined in  $D(s, r)$ , define

$$\|f\|_{D(s, r)} = \sup_{(\theta, t, I) \in D(s, r)} |f(\theta, t, I)|.$$

Similarly, we can define  $\|f\|_{D(0, r)}$  and  $\|f\|_{D(s, 0)}$ .

Clearly, (3.5) fulfill (3.9)-(3.11) with  $m = 0$ . Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose that we have had  $m + 1$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m_0 - 1$ ) symplectic transformations  $\Phi_0 = id, \Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m$  with*

$$(3.6) \quad \Phi_j : D(s_j, r_j) \rightarrow D(s_{j-1}, r_{j-1}), j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \|\partial(\Phi_j - id)\|_{D(s_j, r_j)} \leq \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

such that system (3.5) is changed by  $\Phi^{(m)} = \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_m$  into

$$(3.8) \quad H^{(m)} = H^{(0)} \circ \Phi^{(m)} = \frac{H_0(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{h^{(m)}(t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\varepsilon_m P^{(m)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b},$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \|h^{(m)}(t, I)\|_{D(s_m, r_m)} \leq C,$$

$$(3.10) \quad \|P^{(m)}(\theta, t, I)\|_{D(s_m, r_m)} \leq C,$$

$$(3.11) \quad \|P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m)}(\theta, t, I)\|_{D(s_\nu, r_\nu)} \leq C\varepsilon_\nu, \quad \nu = m+1, m+2, \dots.$$

Then there is a symplectic transformation  $\Phi_{m+1}$  with

$$\Phi_{m+1} : D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1}) \rightarrow D(s_m, r_m)$$

and

$$\|\partial(\Phi_{m+1} - id)\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}$$

such that system (3.8) is changed by  $\Phi_{m+1}$  into  $(\Phi^{(m+1)}) = \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{m+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(m+1)} &= H^{(m)} \circ \Phi_{m+1} = H^{(0)} \circ \Phi^{(m+1)} \\ &= \frac{H_0(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{h^{(m+1)}(t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1} P^{(m+1)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $H^{(m+1)}$  satisfies (3.9)-(3.11) by replacing  $m$  by  $m+1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that the change  $\Phi_{m+1}$  is implicitly defined by

$$(3.12) \quad \Phi_{m+1} : \begin{cases} I = \rho + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}, \\ \phi = \theta + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \rho}, \\ t = t, \end{cases}$$

where  $S = S(\theta, t, \rho)$  is the generating function, which will be proved to be analytic in a smaller domain  $D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})$ . By a simple computation, we have

$$dI \wedge d\theta = d\rho \wedge d\theta + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \rho_i \partial \theta_j} d\rho_i \wedge d\theta_j = d\rho \wedge d\phi.$$

Thus, the coordinates change  $\Phi_{m+1}$  is symplectic if it exists. Moreover, we get the changed Hamiltonian

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} H^{(m+1)} &= H^{(m)} \circ \Phi_{m+1} \\ &= \frac{H_0(\rho + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta})}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{h^{(m)}(t, \rho + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta})}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\varepsilon_m P^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta})}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} \\ &\quad + \frac{P_{m+1} \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = \theta(\phi, t, \rho)$  is implicitly defined by (3.12). By Taylor formula, we have

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} H^{(m+1)} &= \frac{H_0(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{h^{(m)}(t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \langle \frac{\omega(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \rangle + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\varepsilon_m P^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} \\ &\quad + \frac{P_{m+1} \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + R_1, \end{aligned}$$



where  $\omega(\rho) = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial I}(\rho)$  and

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} R_1 &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^a} \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \frac{\partial^2 H_0}{\partial I^2}(\rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) (\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta})^2 d\tau + \frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon^b} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial P^{(m)}}{\partial I}(\theta, t, \rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} d\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^b} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial h}{\partial I}(t, \rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding  $P^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)$  into a Fourier series,

$$(3.16) \quad P^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho) = \sum_{(k,l) \in \mathbf{Z}^d \times \mathbf{Z}} \widehat{P^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i\langle (k,\theta) + lt \rangle} := P_1^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho) + P_2^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho),$$

where  $P_1^{(m)} = \sum_{|k|+|l| \leq K_m} \widehat{P^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i\langle (k,\theta) + lt \rangle}$ ,  $P_2^{(m)} = \sum_{|k|+|l| > K_m} \widehat{P^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i\langle (k,\theta) + lt \rangle}$ . Then, we derive the homological equation:

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \langle \frac{\omega(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \rangle + \frac{\varepsilon_m P_1^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} - \frac{\varepsilon_m \widehat{P_1^{(m)}}(0, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} = 0,$$

where  $\widehat{P_1^{(m)}}(0, t, \rho)$  is 0-Fourier coefficient of  $P_1^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)$  as the function of  $\theta$ . Let

$$(3.18) \quad S(\theta, t, \rho) = \sum_{|k|+|l| \leq K_m, k \neq 0} \widehat{S}(k, l, \rho) e^{i\langle (k,\theta) + lt \rangle}.$$

By passing to Fourier coefficients, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \widehat{S}(k, l, \rho) = \frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon^b} \cdot \frac{i}{\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega(\rho) \rangle + l} \widehat{P^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho), \quad |k| + |l| \leq K_m, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}, \quad l \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Then we can solve homological equation (3.17) by setting

$$(3.20) \quad S(\theta, t, \rho) = \sum_{|k|+|l| \leq K_m, k \neq 0} \frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon^b} \cdot \frac{i}{\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega(\rho) \rangle + l} \widehat{P^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i\langle (k,\theta) + lt \rangle}.$$

By (1.12) and (1.13), for  $\forall \rho \in B(r_m)$ ,  $|k| + |l| \leq K_m$ ,  $k \neq 0$ , we have

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} |\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega(\rho) \rangle + l| &\geq |\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega(I_0) \rangle + l| - |\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega(I_0) - \omega(\rho) \rangle| \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-a + \frac{B}{\ell}} \gamma}{|k|^{\tau_1}} - C \varepsilon^{-a} |k| r_m \\ &\geq \frac{\varepsilon^{-a + \frac{B}{\ell}} \gamma}{2|k|^{\tau_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (3.10), (3.19)-(3.21), using Rüssmann [27, 28] subtle arguments to give optimal estimates of small divisor series (also see Lemma 5.1 in [29]), we get

$$(3.22) \quad \|S(\theta, t, \rho)\|_{D(s_m^{(1)}, r_m)} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}} \varepsilon_m \|P^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)\|_{D(s_m, r_m)}}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1}} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}} \varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1}}.$$

Then by the Cauchy's estimate, we have

$$(3.23) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{D(s_m^{(2)}, r_m)} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}} \varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1+1}} \ll r_m - r_{m+1}, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial \rho} \right\|_{D(s_m^{(1)}, r_m^{(1)})} \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}} \varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1} r_m} \ll s_m - s_{m+1}.$$

By (3.12) and (3.23) and the implicit function theorem, we get that there are analytic functions  $u = u(\phi, t, \rho), v = v(\phi, t, \rho)$  defined on the domain  $D(s_m^{(3)}, r_m^{(3)})$  with

$$(3.24) \quad \frac{\partial S(\theta, t, \rho)}{\partial \theta} = u(\phi, t, \rho), \quad \frac{\partial S(\theta, t, \rho)}{\partial \rho} = -v(\phi, t, \rho)$$

and

$$(3.25) \quad \|u\|_{D(s_m^{(3)}, r_m^{(3)})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1+1}} \ll r_m - r_{m+1}, \quad \|v\|_{D(s_m^{(3)}, r_m^{(3)})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1} r_m} \ll s_m - s_{m+1}$$

such that

$$(3.26) \quad \Phi_{m+1} : \begin{cases} I = \rho + u(\phi, t, \rho), \\ \theta = \phi + v(\phi, t, \rho), \\ t = t. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$(3.27) \quad \Phi_{m+1}(D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})) \subseteq \Phi_{m+1}(D(s_m^{(3)}, r_m^{(3)})) \subseteq D(s_m, r_m).$$

Let

$$(3.28) \quad h^{(m+1)}(t, \rho) = h^{(m)}(t, \rho) + \varepsilon_m \widehat{P_1^{(m)}}(0, t, \rho),$$

$$(3.29) \quad \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1} P^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} = \frac{\varepsilon_m P_2^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{P_{m+1} \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + R_1.$$

Then by (3.14), (3.16), (3.17), (3.28) and (3.29), we have

$$(3.30) \quad H^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho) = \frac{H_0(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{h^{(m+1)}(t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\varepsilon_{m+1} P^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b}.$$

By (3.10) and (3.16), it is not difficult to show that (see Lemma A.2 in [30]),

$$(3.31) \quad \left\| \frac{\varepsilon_m P_2^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} \right\|_{D(s_m^{(9)}, r_m^{(9)})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon^b} K_m^{d+1} e^{-\frac{K_m s_m}{2}} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b}.$$

By (3.9), (3.10), (3.16), (3.28) and (3.31), we have

$$(3.32) \quad \|h^{(m+1)}\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \leq \|h^{(m)}\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} + \|\varepsilon_m \widehat{P_1^{(m)}}(0, t, \rho)\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \leq C.$$

By (3.9), (3.10), (3.22)-(3.25), we have

$$(3.33) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon^a} \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \frac{\partial^2 H_0}{\partial I^2}(\rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) (\frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta})^2 d\tau \right\|_{D(s_m^{(9)}, r_m^{(9)})} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^a r_m^2} \cdot \left( \frac{\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1+1}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b},$$

$$(3.34) \quad \left\| \frac{\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon^b} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial P^{(m)}}{\partial I}(\theta, t, \rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} d\tau \right\|_{D(s_m^{(9)}, r_m^{(9)})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon^b r_m} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1+1}} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b},$$

$$(3.35) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon^b} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial h}{\partial I}(t, \rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} d\tau \right\|_{D(s_m^{(9)}, r_m^{(9)})} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^b r_m} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1+1}} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b}.$$

By (3.25) and (3.26), we have

$$(3.36) \quad \Phi_{m+1}(\phi, t, \rho) = (\theta, t, I), \quad (\phi, t, \rho) \in D(s_m^{(3)}, r_m^{(3)}).$$

By (3.25), (3.26) and (3.36), we have

$$(3.37) \quad \|I - \rho\|_{D(s_m^{(3)}, r_m^{(3)})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1+1}}, \quad \|\theta - \phi\|_{D(s_m^{(3)}, r_m^{(3)})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1} r_m}.$$

By (3.26), (3.37) and Cauchy's estimate, we have

$$(3.38) \quad \|\partial(\Phi_{m+1} - id)\|_{D(s_m^{(4)}, r_m^{(4)})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b-\frac{B}{\ell}}\varepsilon_m}{\gamma s_m^{\tau_1+1} r_m}.$$

It follows that

$$(3.39) \quad \|\partial(\Phi_{m+1} - id)\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}.$$

By (3.6), (3.7), (3.27) and (3.39), we have

$$(3.40) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\partial\Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \\ &= \|(\partial\Phi_1 \circ \Phi_2 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{m+1})(\partial\Phi_2 \circ \Phi_3 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{m+1}) \cdots (\partial\Phi_{m+1})\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \\ &\leq \prod_{j=0}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{j+2}}\right) \\ &\leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(3.41) \quad \Phi^{(m+1)}(D(s_\nu, r_\nu)) \subset \mathbf{T}_{2s_\nu}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_\nu}^d, \quad \nu = m+1, m+2, \dots.$$

In fact, suppose that  $w = \Phi^{(m+1)}(z)$  with  $z = (\phi, t, \rho) \in D(s_\nu, r_\nu)$ . Since  $\Phi^{(m+1)}$  is real for real argument and  $r_\nu < s_\nu$ , we have

$$(3.42) \quad \begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im}w| &= |\operatorname{Im}\Phi^{(m+1)}(z)| = |\operatorname{Im}\Phi^{(m+1)}(z) - \operatorname{Im}\Phi^{(m+1)}(\operatorname{Re}z)| \\ &\leq |\Phi^{(m+1)}(z) - \Phi^{(m+1)}(\operatorname{Re}z)| \\ &\leq \|\partial\Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} |\operatorname{Im}z| \\ &\leq 2|\operatorname{Im}z| \leq 2s_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3) and (3.41), we have

$$(3.43) \quad \left\| \frac{P_{m+1} \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} \right\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b},$$

$$(3.44) \quad \|P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)\|_{D(s_\nu, r_\nu)} \leq C\varepsilon_\nu, \quad \nu = m+2, m+3, \dots.$$

By (3.15), (3.25), (3.29), (3.31), (3.33)-(3.35) and (3.43), we have

$$(3.45) \quad \|P^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)\|_{D(s_{m+1}, r_{m+1})} \leq C.$$

The proof is finished by (3.27), (3.30), (3.32), (3.39), (3.44) and (3.45).  $\square$

By Lemma 3.1, there is a symplectic transformation  $\Phi^{(m_0)} = \Phi_0 \circ \Phi_1 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{m_0}$  with

$$\Phi^{(m_0)} : D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0}) \rightarrow D(s_0, r_0)$$

such that system (3.5) is changed by  $\Phi^{(m_0)}$  into

$$(3.46) \quad H^{(m_0)} = \frac{H_0(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{h^{(m_0)}(t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\varepsilon^B P^{(m_0)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b}$$

where

$$(3.47) \quad \|h^{(m_0)}(t, I)\|_{D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0})} \leq C,$$

$$(3.48) \quad \|P^{(m_0)}(\theta, t, I)\|_{D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0})} \leq C,$$

$$(3.49) \quad \|P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)}(\theta, t, I)\|_{D(s_\nu, r_\nu)} \leq C\varepsilon_\nu, \quad \nu = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots$$

#### 4. A SYMPLECTIC TRANSFORMATION

Let  $[h^{(m_0)}](I) = \widehat{h^{(m_0)}}(0, I)$  be the 0-Fourier coefficient of  $h^{(m_0)}(t, I)$  as the function of  $t$ . In order to eliminate the dependence of  $h^{(m_0)}(t, I)$  on the time-variable  $t$ , we introduce the following transformation

$$(4.1) \quad \Psi : \rho = I, \quad \phi = \theta + \frac{\partial \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial I},$$

where  $\tilde{S}(t, I) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^b} \int_0^t ([h^{(m_0)}](I) - h^{(m_0)}(\xi, I)) d\xi$ . It is symplectic by easy verification  $d\rho \wedge d\phi = dI \wedge d\theta$ . Noting that the transformation is not small. So  $\Psi$  is not close to the Identity. Let

$$\tilde{s}_0 = \varepsilon^{b + \frac{(m_0+1)(2\tau_1+3)B}{\ell m_0} + 4\mu_1 + \frac{2B}{\ell}}, \quad \tilde{r}_0 = \varepsilon^{a + (\tau_2+1)b + \frac{(m_0+1)(2\tau_1+3)(\tau_2+1)B}{m_0 \ell} + 4\mu_1(\tau_2+1) + \mu_2 + \frac{2B(\tau_2+1)}{\ell}},$$

where  $\mu_1 = \frac{(a-b)^2 \mu}{1000(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}$ ,  $\mu_2 = 2\mu_1$ . We introduce a domain

$$\mathcal{D} := \{t = t_1 + t_2 i \in \mathbf{T}_{s_{m_0}} : |t_2| \leq \tilde{s}_0\} \times \{I = I_1 + I_2 i \in B(r_{m_0}) : |I_2| \leq \tilde{r}_0\},$$

where  $t_1, t_2, I_1, I_2$  are real numbers. Noting that  $h^{(m_0)}(t, I)$  is real for real arguments. Thus, for  $(t, I) \in \mathcal{D}$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &= \left\| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1 + t_2 i, I_1 + I_2 i) - \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1, I_1) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1 + t_2 i, I_1 + I_2 i) - \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1, I_1) \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial I \partial t} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \|t_2 i\|_{\mathcal{D}} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial^2 I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \|I_2 i\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &\leq \frac{C \tilde{s}_0}{\varepsilon^b r_{m_0} s_{m_0}} + \frac{C \tilde{r}_0}{\varepsilon^b r_{m_0}^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} s_{m_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.46), (4.1) and (4.2), we have

$$(4.3) \quad \Psi(\mathbf{T}_{s_{m_0}/2}^d \times \mathcal{D}) \subset D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0})$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}(\phi, t, \rho) &= H^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \\ &= \frac{H_0(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{[h^{(m_0)}](\rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{\varepsilon^B \check{P}^{(m_0)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\check{P}^{(m_0)}(\phi, t, \rho) = P^{(m_0)}(\phi - \frac{\partial}{\partial I} \tilde{S}(t, \rho), t, \rho)$  and  $\|\check{P}^{(m_0)}\|_{\mathbf{T}_{s_{m_0}/2}^d \times \mathcal{D}} \leq C$ .

## 5. ITERATIVE LEMMA

By (3.47), we have

$$(5.1) \quad \varepsilon^{a-b} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 [h^{(m_0)}](\rho)}{\partial \rho^2} \right\|_{D(0, \frac{r_{m_0}}{2})} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b}}{r_{m_0}^2} \ll 1.$$

Then by (1.12), (3.47) and (5.1), solving the equation  $\frac{\partial H_0(\rho)}{\partial \rho} + \varepsilon^{a-b} \frac{\partial [h^{(m_0)}](\rho)}{\partial \rho} = \omega(I_0)$  by Newton iteration, we get that there exists  $\tilde{I}_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d \cap D(0, \frac{r_{m_0}}{2})$  with  $|\tilde{I}_0 - I_0| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b}}{r_{m_0}} \ll r_{m_0}$  such that

$$(5.2) \quad \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial \rho}(\tilde{I}_0) + \varepsilon^{a-b} \frac{\partial [h^{(m_0)}]}{\partial \rho}(\tilde{I}_0) = \omega(I_0),$$

where  $\omega(I_0) = \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial \rho}(I_0)$ . For any  $c > 0$  and any  $y_0 \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , let

$$B(y_0, c) = \{z \in \mathbf{C}^d : |z - y_0| \leq c\}.$$

Define

$$\tilde{D}(s, r(I)) = \mathbf{T}_s^{d+1} \times B(I, r), \quad \tilde{D}(s, 0) = \mathbf{T}_s^{d+1}, \quad \tilde{D}(0, r(I)) = B(I, r).$$

Let  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \varepsilon_{m_0} = \varepsilon^B$ . Noting that  $|\tilde{I}_0 - I_0| \ll r_{m_0}$ , and by (4.3), we have

$$(5.3) \quad \Psi(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{r}_0(\tilde{I}_0))) \subset D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0}).$$

Then we can rewrite equation (4.4) as follows:

$$(5.4) \quad \tilde{H}^{(0)}(\theta, t, I) = \frac{H_0^{(0)}(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{\tilde{P}^{(0)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m_0+1}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b},$$

where  $(\theta, t, I) \in \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{r}_0(\tilde{I}_0))$ ,  $H_0^{(0)}(I) = H_0(I) + \varepsilon^{a-b}[h^{(m_0)}](I)$ ,  $\tilde{P}^{(0)} = \varepsilon^B \check{P}^{(m_0)}$  and

$$(5.5) \quad \frac{\partial H_0^{(0)}}{\partial I}(\tilde{I}_0) = \omega(I_0),$$

$$(5.6) \quad \|\tilde{P}^{(0)}\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{r}_0(\tilde{I}_0))} \leq C\tilde{\varepsilon}_0.$$

By (1.12) and (5.1), we get that there exist constants  $M_0 > 0$ ,  $h_0 > 0$  such that

$$(5.7) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(0)}(I)}{\partial I^2} \right), \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(0)}(I)}{\partial I^2} \right)^{-1} \leq M_0, \quad \forall I \in \tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_0(\tilde{I}_0))$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(0)}(I)}{\partial I^2} \right\|_{\tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_0(\tilde{I}_0))} \leq h_0.$$

Define sequences

- $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 = \varepsilon_{m_0} = \varepsilon^B$ ,  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{j+1} = \tilde{\varepsilon}_j^{1+\mu_3} = \varepsilon_{m_0+1+j}$  with  $\mu_3 = \frac{(a-b)\mu}{10B}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ ;
- $\tilde{s}_0 = \varepsilon^{b + \frac{(m_0+1)(2\tau_1+3)B}{\ell m_0} + 4\mu_1 + \frac{2B}{\ell}}$  with  $\mu_1 = \frac{(a-b)^2\mu}{1000(a+b+1)(d+3)(5a-b+2ad)}$ ,  $\tilde{s}_{j+1} = \tilde{s}_j^{1+\mu_3}$ ,  $\tilde{s}_j^{(l)} = \tilde{s}_j - \frac{l}{10}(\tilde{s}_j - \tilde{s}_{j+1})$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 10$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- $\tilde{r}_0 = \varepsilon^{a + (\tau_2+1)b + \frac{(m_0+1)(2\tau_1+3)(\tau_2+1)B}{m_0\ell} + 4\mu_1(\tau_2+1) + \mu_2 + \frac{2B(\tau_2+1)}{\ell}}$  with  $\mu_2 = 2\mu_1$ ,  $\tilde{r}_{j+1} = \tilde{r}_j^{1+\mu_3}$ ,  $\tilde{r}_j^{(l)} = \tilde{r}_j - \frac{l}{10}(\tilde{r}_j - \tilde{r}_{j+1})$ ,  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 10$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- $\tilde{K}_j = \frac{2}{\tilde{s}_j} \log \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}_j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;
- $h_j = h_0(2 - \frac{1}{2^j})$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ;

- $M_j = M_0(2 - \frac{1}{2^j})$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

We claim that

$$(5.9) \quad \|P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi(\theta, t, I)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))} \leq C\varepsilon_\nu = C\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\nu-m_0}, \quad \nu = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots.$$

In fact, for  $(t, I) = (t_1 + t_2i, I_1 + I_2i) \in \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))$ , where  $t_1, t_2, I_1, I_2$  are real numbers, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial I} \right\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))} \\ &= \left\| \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1 + t_2i, I_1 + I_2i) - \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1, I_1) \right\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1 + t_2i, I_1 + I_2i) - \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1, I_1) \right\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))} \\ &\leq \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial I \partial t} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \|t_2i\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))} + \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial^2 I} \right\|_{\mathcal{D}} \|I_2i\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))} \\ &\leq \frac{C\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}}{\varepsilon^b r_{m_0} s_{m_0}} + \frac{C\tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}}{\varepsilon^b r_{m_0}^2} \\ (5.10) \quad &\leq \frac{1}{2} s_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(5.11) \quad \Psi(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))) \subset \tilde{D}(s_\nu, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0)).$$

Suppose that  $w = \Phi^{(m_0)}(z)$  with  $z = (\theta, t, I) \in \tilde{D}(s_\nu, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0)) \subset D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0})$ . Since  $\Phi^{(m_0)}$  is real for real argument and  $\tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0} < r_\nu < s_\nu$ , then by (3.40) with  $m = m_0 - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im} w| &= |\operatorname{Im} \Phi^{(m_0)}(z)| = |\operatorname{Im} \Phi^{(m_0)}(z) - \operatorname{Im} \Phi^{(m_0)}(\operatorname{Re} z)| \\ &\leq |\Phi^{(m_0)}(z) - \Phi^{(m_0)}(\operatorname{Re} z)| \\ &\leq \|\partial \Phi^{(m_0)}(\theta, t, I)\|_{D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0})} |\operatorname{Im} z| \\ (5.12) \quad &\leq 2|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2s_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (5.11) and (5.12), we have

$$(5.13) \quad \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_0))) \subset \mathbf{T}_{2s_\nu}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_\nu}^d, \quad \nu = m_0 + 1, m_0 + 2, \dots.$$

By (3.3) and (5.13), the proof of (5.9) is completed. Clearly, by (5.5)-(5.9), (5.4) fulfill (5.17)-(5.21) with  $m = 0$ . Then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** (Iterative Lemma) *Suppose that we have had  $m + 1$  ( $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) symplectic transformations  $\tilde{\Phi}_0 = id, \tilde{\Phi}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_m$  with*

$$(5.14) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_j : \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_j, \tilde{r}_j(\tilde{I}_j)) \rightarrow \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{j-1}, \tilde{r}_{j-1}(\tilde{I}_{j-1})), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

and

$$(5.15) \quad \|\partial(\tilde{\Phi}_j - id)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_j, \tilde{r}_j(\tilde{I}_j))} \leq \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

where  $\tilde{I}_j \in \mathbf{R}^d$ ,  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m$  such that system (5.4) is changed by  $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)} = \tilde{\Phi}_0 \circ \tilde{\Phi}_1 \circ \dots \circ \tilde{\Phi}_m$  into

$$(5.16) \quad \tilde{H}^{(m)} = \tilde{H}^{(0)} \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)} = \frac{H_0^{(m)}(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{\tilde{P}^{(m)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m_0+m+1}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b},$$

where

$$(5.17) \quad \frac{\partial H_0^{(m)}}{\partial I}(\tilde{I}_m) = \omega(I_0),$$

$$(5.18) \quad \|\tilde{P}^{(m)}\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m,$$

$$(5.19) \quad \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m)}(I)}{\partial I^2}\right), \det\left(\frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m)}(I)}{\partial I^2}\right)^{-1} \leq M_m, \forall I \in \tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m)),$$

$$(5.20) \quad \left\|\frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m)}(I)}{\partial I^2}\right\|_{\tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq h_m,$$

$$(5.21) \quad \|P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}(\theta, t, I)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq C\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\nu-m_0}, \nu = m_0 + m + 1, m_0 + m + 2, \dots$$

Then there is a symplectic transformation  $\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}$  with

$$(5.22) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} : \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1})) \rightarrow \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m))$$

and

$$\|\partial(\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} - id)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}$$

where  $\tilde{I}_{m+1} \in \mathbf{R}^d$  such that system (5.16) is changed by  $\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}$  into  $(\tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)} = \tilde{\Phi}_0 \circ \tilde{\Phi}_1 \circ \dots \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1})$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{(m+1)} &= \tilde{H}^{(m)} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} = \tilde{H}^{(0)} \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)} \\ &= \frac{H_0^{(m+1)}(I)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{\tilde{P}^{(m+1)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m_0+m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\theta, t, I)}{\varepsilon^b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{H}^{(m+1)}$  satisfies (5.17)-(5.21) by replacing  $m$  by  $m+1$ .

*Proof.* Assume that the change  $\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}$  is implicitly defined by

$$(5.23) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} : \begin{cases} I = \rho + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}, \\ \phi = \theta + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \rho}, \\ t = t, \end{cases}$$

where  $S = S(\theta, t, \rho)$  is the generating function, which will be proved to be analytic in a smaller domain  $\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))$ . By a simple computation, we have

$$dI \wedge d\theta = d\rho \wedge d\theta + \sum_{i,j=1}^d \frac{\partial^2 S}{\partial \rho_i \partial \theta_j} d\rho_i \wedge d\theta_j = d\rho \wedge d\phi.$$

Thus, the coordinates change  $\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}$  is symplectic if it exists. Moreover, we get the changed Hamiltonian

$$(5.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{(m+1)} &= \tilde{H}^{(m)} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} \\ &= \frac{H_0^{(m)}(\rho + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta})}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{\tilde{P}^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho + \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta})}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{P_{m_0+m+1} \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \sum_{\nu=m_0+m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta = \theta(\phi, t, \rho)$  is implicitly defined by (5.23). By Taylor formula, we have

$$(5.25) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{H}^{(m+1)} &= \frac{H_0^{(m)}(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a} + \left\langle \frac{\omega^{(m)}(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle + \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \frac{\tilde{P}^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + R_1 \\ &\quad + \frac{P_{m_0+m+1} \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\nu=m_0+m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_{\nu} \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega^{(m)}(\rho) = \frac{\partial H_0^{(m)}}{\partial I}(\rho)$  and

$$(5.26) \quad R_1 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^a} \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m)}}{\partial I^2}(\rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 d\tau + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^b} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{P}^{(m)}}{\partial I}(\theta, t, \rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} d\tau.$$

Expanding  $\tilde{P}^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)$  into a Fourier series,

$$(5.27) \quad \tilde{P}^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho) = \sum_{(k,l) \in \mathbf{Z}^d \times \mathbf{Z}} \widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i(\langle k, \theta \rangle + lt)} := \tilde{P}_1^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho) + \tilde{P}_2^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho),$$

where  $\tilde{P}_1^{(m)} = \sum_{|k|+|l| \leq \tilde{K}_m} \widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i(\langle k, \theta \rangle + lt)}$ ,  $\tilde{P}_2^{(m)} = \sum_{|k|+|l| > \tilde{K}_m} \widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i(\langle k, \theta \rangle + lt)}$ . Then, we derive the homological equation:

$$(5.28) \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + \left\langle \frac{\omega^{(m)}(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right\rangle + \frac{\tilde{P}_1^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} - \frac{\widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(0, 0, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} = 0,$$

where  $\widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(0, 0, \rho)$  is 0-Fourier coefficient of  $\tilde{P}^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)$  as the function of  $(\theta, t)$ . Let

$$(5.29) \quad S(\theta, t, \rho) = \sum_{|k|+|l| \leq \tilde{K}_m, (k,l) \neq (0,0)} \widehat{S}(k, l, \rho) e^{i(\langle k, \theta \rangle + lt)}.$$

By passing to Fourier coefficients, we have

$$(5.30) \quad \widehat{S}(k, l, \rho) = \frac{i}{\varepsilon^b} \cdot \frac{\widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho)}{\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega^{(m)}(\rho) \rangle + l}, \quad |k| + |l| \leq \tilde{K}_m, \quad (k, l) \in \mathbf{Z}^d \times \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{(0, 0)\}.$$

Then we can solve homological equation (5.28) by setting

$$(5.31) \quad S(\theta, t, \rho) = \sum_{|k|+|l| \leq \tilde{K}_m, (k,l) \neq (0,0)} \frac{i}{\varepsilon^b} \cdot \frac{\widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(k, l, \rho) e^{i(\langle k, \theta \rangle + lt)}}{\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega^{(m)}(\rho) \rangle + l}.$$

By (1.14), (5.17) and (5.19), for  $\forall \rho \in \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m))$ ,  $|k| + |l| \leq \tilde{K}_m$ ,  $(k, l) \neq (0, 0)$ , we have

$$(5.32) \quad \begin{aligned} |\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega^{(m)}(\rho) \rangle + l| &\geq |\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega^{(m)}(\tilde{I}_m) \rangle + l| - |\varepsilon^{-a} \langle k, \omega^{(m)}(\tilde{I}_m) - \omega^{(m)}(\rho) \rangle| \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau_2}} - C\varepsilon^{-a} |k| \tilde{r}_m \\ &\geq \frac{\gamma}{2|k|^{\tau_2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by (5.18), (5.30)-(5.32), using Rüssmann [27, 28] subtle arguments to give optimal estimates of small divisor series (also see Lemma 5.1 in [29]), we get

$$(5.33) \quad \|S(\theta, t, \rho)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(1)}, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C \|\tilde{P}^{(m)}\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m))}}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2}} \leq \frac{C \tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2}}.$$



Then by the Cauchy's estimate, we have

$$(5.34) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{D(\tilde{s}_m^{(2)}, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2+1}} \ll \tilde{r}_m - \tilde{r}_{m+1}, \quad \left\| \frac{\partial S}{\partial \rho} \right\|_{D(\tilde{s}_m^{(1)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(1)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2} \tilde{r}_m} \ll \tilde{s}_m - \tilde{s}_{m+1}.$$

By (5.23) and (5.34) and the implicit function theorem, we get that there are analytic functions  $u = u(\phi, t, \rho), v = v(\phi, t, \rho)$  defined on the domain  $\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m))$  with

$$(5.35) \quad \frac{\partial S(\theta, t, \rho)}{\partial \theta} = u(\phi, t, \rho), \quad \frac{\partial S(\theta, t, \rho)}{\partial \rho} = -v(\phi, t, \rho)$$

and

$$(5.36) \quad \|u\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2+1}} \ll \tilde{r}_m - \tilde{r}_{m+1}, \quad \|v\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2} \tilde{r}_m} \ll \tilde{s}_m - \tilde{s}_{m+1}$$

such that

$$(5.37) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} : \begin{cases} I = \rho + u(\phi, t, \rho), \\ \theta = \phi + v(\phi, t, \rho), \\ t = t. \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$(5.38) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m))) \subseteq \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m)).$$

Let

$$(5.39) \quad H_0^{(m+1)}(\rho) = H_0^{(m)}(\rho) + \varepsilon^{a-b} \widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(0, 0, \rho).$$

By the Cauchy's estimate and (5.18), we have

$$(5.40) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^p \widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(0, 0, \rho)}{\partial \rho^p} \right\|_{\tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_m^{(p)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\tilde{r}_m^p}, \quad p = 1, 2.$$

By (5.19), (5.20), (5.39) and (5.40), we have

$$(5.41) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m+1)}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^2} \right), \det \left( \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m+1)}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^2} \right)^{-1} \leq M_{m+1}, \quad \forall \rho \in \tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_m^{(2)}(\tilde{I}_m))$$

and

$$(5.42) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m+1)}(\rho)}{\partial \rho^2} \right\|_{\tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_m^{(2)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq h_{m+1}.$$

By (5.39), we have

$$(5.43) \quad \frac{\partial H_0^{(m+1)}(\rho)}{\partial \rho} = \frac{\partial H_0^{(m)}(\rho)}{\partial \rho} + \varepsilon^{a-b} \frac{\partial \widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(0, 0, \rho)}{\partial \rho}.$$

Noting that  $H_0^{(m+1)}(\rho), H_0^{(m)}(\rho)$  and  $\widehat{\tilde{P}^{(m)}}(0, 0, \rho)$  are real analytic on  $\tilde{D}(0, \tilde{r}_m^{(2)}(\tilde{I}_m))$  and that  $\tilde{I}_m \in \mathbf{R}^d$ . Then by (5.17), (5.39)-(5.41) and (5.43), it is not difficult to see that (see Appendix "A The Classical Implicit Function Theorem" in [31]) there exists an unique point  $\tilde{I}_{m+1} \in \mathbf{R}^d$  so that

$$(5.44) \quad \frac{\partial H_0^{(m+1)}}{\partial \rho}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}) = \omega(I_0),$$

$$(5.45) \quad |\tilde{I}_{m+1} - \tilde{I}_m| \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{a-b}\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\tilde{r}_m} \ll \tilde{r}_m.$$

By (5.38) and (5.45), we have

$$(5.46) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))) &\subseteq \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(9)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(9)}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))) \\ &\subseteq \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m))) \subseteq \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m, \tilde{r}_m(\tilde{I}_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(5.47) \quad \frac{\tilde{P}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} = \frac{\tilde{P}_2^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \frac{P_{m_0+m+1} \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + R_1.$$

Then by (5.25), (5.27), (5.28), (5.39) and (5.47), we have

$$(5.48) \quad \tilde{H}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho) = \frac{H_0^{(m+1)}(\rho)}{\varepsilon^a} + \frac{\tilde{P}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} + \sum_{\nu=m_0+m+2}^{\infty} \frac{P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b}.$$

By (5.18), (5.27) and (5.45), it is not difficult to show that (see Lemma A.2 in [30]), we have

$$(5.49) \quad \left\| \frac{\tilde{P}_2^{(m)}(\theta, t, \rho)}{\varepsilon^b} \right\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(9)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(9)}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\varepsilon^b} \tilde{K}_m^{d+1} e^{-\frac{\tilde{K}_m \tilde{s}_m}{2}} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b}.$$

By (5.18), (5.20), (5.33)-(5.36) and (5.45), we have

$$(5.50) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon^a} \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \frac{\partial^2 H_0^{(m)}}{\partial I^2}(\rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 d\tau \right\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(9)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(9)}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^a} \cdot \left( \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2+1}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b},$$

$$(5.51) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon^b} \int_0^1 \frac{\partial \tilde{P}^{(m)}}{\partial I}(\theta, t, \rho + \tau \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta}) \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} d\tau \right\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(9)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(9)}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\varepsilon^b \tilde{r}_m} \cdot \frac{\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\varepsilon^b \gamma \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2+1}} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_{m+1}}{\varepsilon^b}.$$

By (5.36) and (5.37), we have

$$(5.52) \quad \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(\phi, t, \rho) = (\theta, t, I), \quad (\phi, t, \rho) \in \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m)).$$

By (5.36), (5.37) and (5.52), we have

$$(5.53) \quad \|I - \rho\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2+1}}, \quad \|\theta - \phi\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(3)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(3)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2+1} \tilde{r}_m}.$$

By (5.37), (5.53) and Cauchy's estimate, we have

$$(5.54) \quad \|\partial(\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} - id)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_m^{(4)}, \tilde{r}_m^{(4)}(\tilde{I}_m))} \leq \frac{C\tilde{\varepsilon}_m}{\gamma \varepsilon^b \tilde{s}_m^{\tau_2+1} \tilde{r}_m}.$$

By (5.45) and (5.54), we have

$$(5.55) \quad \|\partial(\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1} - id)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \leq \frac{1}{2^{m+2}}.$$

By (5.14), (5.15), (5.46) and (5.55), we have

$$(5.56) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\partial \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \\ &= \|(\partial \tilde{\Phi}_1 \circ \tilde{\Phi}_2 \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1})(\partial \tilde{\Phi}_2 \circ \tilde{\Phi}_3 \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}) \cdots (\partial \tilde{\Phi}_{m+1})\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \\ &\leq \prod_{j=0}^m \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^{j+2}}\right) \\ &\leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$(5.57) \quad \|P_\nu \circ \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \leq C\tilde{\varepsilon}_{\nu-m_0}, \quad \nu = m_0+m+1, m_0+m+2, \dots$$

In fact, suppose that  $w = \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(z)$  with  $z = (\phi, t, \rho) \in \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))$ . Since  $\tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}$  is real for real argument and  $\tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0} < \tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}$ , we have

$$(5.58) \quad \begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im} w| &= |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(z)| = |\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(z) - \operatorname{Im} \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\operatorname{Re} z)| \\ &\leq |\tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(z) - \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\operatorname{Re} z)| \\ &\leq \|\partial \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\phi, t, \rho)\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} |\operatorname{Im} z| \\ &\leq 2|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.14), (5.46) and (5.58), we have

$$(5.59) \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))) \subseteq D_\nu := (\mathbf{T}_{2\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}}^d) \cap \tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{r}_0(\tilde{I}_0)).$$

For  $(t, I) = (t_1 + t_2 i, I_1, I_2 i) \in D_\nu$ , where  $t_1, t_2, I_1, I_2$  are real numbers, we have

$$(5.60) \quad \begin{aligned} &\|\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial I}\|_{D_\nu} \\ &= \|\operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1 + t_2 i, I_1 + I_2 i) - \operatorname{Im} \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1, I_1)\|_{D_\nu} \\ &\leq \|\frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1 + t_2 i, I_1 + I_2 i) - \frac{\partial \tilde{S}}{\partial I}(t_1, I_1)\|_{D_\nu} \\ &\leq \|\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial I \partial t}\|_{\mathcal{D}} \|t_2 i\|_{D_\nu} + \|\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{S}(t, I)}{\partial^2 I}\|_{\mathcal{D}} \|I_2 i\|_{D_\nu} \\ &\leq \frac{C\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}}{\varepsilon^b r_{m_0} s_{m_0}} + \frac{C\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}}{\varepsilon^b r_{m_0}^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} s_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.3), (5.59) and (5.60), we have

$$(5.61) \quad \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))) \subseteq \bar{D}_\nu := (\mathbf{T}_{s_\nu}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}}^d) \cap D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0}).$$

Suppose that  $w = \Phi^{(m_0)}(z)$  with  $z = (\theta, t, I) \in \bar{D}_\nu$ . Since  $\Phi^{(m_0)}$  is real for real argument and  $2\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0} < r_\nu < s_\nu$ , then by (3.40) with  $m = m_0 - 1$ , we have

$$(5.62) \quad \begin{aligned} |\operatorname{Im} w| &= |\operatorname{Im} \Phi^{(m_0)}(z)| = |\operatorname{Im} \Phi^{(m_0)}(z) - \operatorname{Im} \Phi^{(m_0)}(\operatorname{Re} z)| \\ &\leq |\Phi^{(m_0)}(z) - \Phi^{(m_0)}(\operatorname{Re} z)| \\ &\leq \|\partial \Phi^{(m_0)}(\theta, t, I)\|_{D(s_{m_0}, r_{m_0})} |\operatorname{Im} z| \\ &\leq 2|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 2s_\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (5.61) and (5.62), we have

$$(5.63) \quad \Phi^{(m_0)} \circ \Psi \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{\nu-m_0}, \tilde{r}_{\nu-m_0}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))) \subset \mathbf{T}_{2s_\nu}^{d+1} \times \mathbf{R}_{2s_\nu}^d, \quad \nu = m_0+m+1, m_0+m+2, \dots$$

By (3.3) and (5.63), the proof of (5.57) is completed. By (5.26), (5.45), (5.47), (5.49)-(5.51) and (5.57), we have

$$(5.64) \quad \|\tilde{P}^{(m+1)}\|_{\tilde{D}(\tilde{s}_{m+1}, \tilde{r}_{m+1}(\tilde{I}_{m+1}))} \leq C\tilde{\varepsilon}_{m+1}.$$

Then the proof is completed by (5.41), (5.42), (5.44), (5.46), (5.48), (5.55), (5.57) and (5.64).  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1-1.2

In Lemma 5.1, letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 6.1.** *There exists a symplectic transformation  $\tilde{\Phi}^{(\infty)} := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Phi}_0 \circ \tilde{\Phi}_1 \circ \cdots \circ \tilde{\Phi}_m$  with*

$$(6.1) \quad \tilde{\Phi}^{(\infty)} : \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \{\tilde{I}_\infty\} \rightarrow D(\tilde{s}_0, \tilde{r}_0(\tilde{I}_0)),$$

where  $\tilde{I}_\infty \in \mathbf{R}^d$  such that system (5.4) is changed by  $\tilde{\Phi}^{(\infty)}$  into

$$(6.2) \quad \tilde{H}^{(\infty)}(\theta, t, I) = \tilde{H}^{(0)} \circ \tilde{\Phi}^{(\infty)} = \frac{H_0^{(\infty)}(I)}{\varepsilon^a},$$

where

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{\partial H_0^{(\infty)}}{\partial I}(\tilde{I}_\infty) = \omega(I_0),$$

$$(6.4) \quad \|\tilde{\Phi}^{(\infty)} - id\|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_0^{\frac{1}{2l}}.$$

*Proof.* By (5.36) and (5.56), for  $z = (\theta, t, I) \in \mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty$  and  $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(z) - \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}(z)\|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty} \\ &= \|\tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}(\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(z)) - \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}(z)\|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty} \\ &\leq \|\partial \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}(\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(z))\|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty} \|\tilde{\Phi}_{m+1}(z) - z\|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty} \\ &\leq 2\tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{\frac{1}{l}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\Phi}^{(0)} := id$ . Then, we have

$$\|\tilde{\Phi}^{(\infty)}(z) - z\|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \|\tilde{\Phi}^{(m+1)}(z) - \tilde{\Phi}^{(m)}(z)\|_{\mathbf{T}^{d+1} \times \tilde{I}_\infty} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2\tilde{\varepsilon}_m^{\frac{1}{l}} \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}_0^{\frac{1}{2l}}.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.1.  $\square$

Then the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed by (3.1), (3.5), (3.46), (4.4), (5.4) and Lemma 6.1. Applying Theorem 1.1 to (1.9) we have Theorem 1.2 (see Section 5 of [16] for the proof).

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Moser, On invariant curves of area-preserving mappings of an annulus, *Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math. Phys.*, **2** (1962), 1-20.
- [2] J. Moser, Stable and Random Motions in Dynamical Systems, Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton Uni. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1973.
- [3] J. E. Littlewood, Some problems in real and complex analysis, Heath, Lexington, Mass. 1968.
- [4] G. R. Morris, A case of boundedness in Littlewood's problem on oscillatory differential equations, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **14**(1) (1976), 71-93.
- [5] R. Dieckerhoff and E. Zehnder, Boundedness of solutions via the twist-theorem, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa*, **14**(1) (1987), 79-95.

- [6] S. Laederich and M. Levi, Invariant curves and time-dependent potentials, *Ergodic. Theory Dynam. Systems*, **11(2)** (1991), 365-378.
- [7] B. Liu, Boundedness for solutions of nonlinear Hill's equations with periodic forcing terms via Moser's twist theorem, *J. Differential Equations*, **79(2)** (1989), 304-315.
- [8] B. Liu, Boundedness for solutions of nonlinear periodic differential equations via Moser's twist theorem, *Acta Math. Sinica (N.S.)*, **8(1)** (1992), 91-98.
- [9] Y. Wang, Unboundedness in a Duffing equation with polynomial potentials, *J. Differential Equations*, **160(2)** (2000), 467-479.
- [10] L. Jiao, D. Piao and Y. Wang, Boundedness for the general semilinear Duffing equations via the twist theorem, *J. Differential Equations*, **252(1)** (2012), 91-113.
- [11] Y. Peng, D. Piao and Y. Wang, Longtime closeness estimates for bounded and unbounded solutions of non-recurrent Duffing equations with polynomial potentials, *J. Differential Equations*, **268(2)** (2020), 513-540.
- [12] X. Yuan, Invariant tori of Duffing-type equations, *Advances in Math. (China)*, **24** (1995), 375-376.
- [13] X. Yuan, Invariant tori of Duffing-type equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **142(2)** (1998), 231-262.
- [14] X. Yuan, Lagrange stability for Duffing-type equations, *J. Differential Equations*, **160(1)** (2000), 94-117.
- [15] X. Yuan, Boundedness of solutions for Duffing equation with low regularity in time, *Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B*, **38(5)** (2017), 1037-1046.
- [16] X. Yuan, L. Chen and J. Li, KAM theorem with large perturbation and application to network of Duffing oscillators, arXiv:2104.05898v1 [math.DS] 13 Apr 2021.
- [17] R. Jothimurugan, K. Thamilmaran, S. Rajasekar and M. A. F. Sanjuán, Multiple resonance and anti-resonance in coupled Duffing oscillators, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **83(4)** (2016), 1803-1814.
- [18] I. Z. Kiss, Y. Zhai and J. L. Hudson, Resonance clustering in globally coupled electrochemical oscillators with external forcing, *Phy. Rev. E*, **77(4)** (2008), 046204.
- [19] A. Kovaleva, Capture into resonance of coupled Duffing oscillators, *Phy. Rev. E*, **92(2)** (2015), 022909.
- [20] M. G. Clerc, S. Coulibaly, M. A. Ferré and R. G. Rojas, Chimera states in a Duffing oscillators chain coupled to nearest neighbors, *Chaos*, **28(8)** (2018), 083126.
- [21] P. Sarkar and D. S. Ray, Vibrational antiresonance in nonlinear coupled systems, *Phy. Rev. E*, **99(5)** (2019), 052221.
- [22] A. K. Chatterjee, A. Kundu and M. Kulkarni, Spatiotemporal spread of perturbations in a driven dissipative Duffing chain: An out-of-time-ordered correlator approach, *Phy. Rev. E*, **102(5)** (2020), 052103.
- [23] J. Shena, N. Lazarides and J. Hizanidis, Multi-branched resonances, chaos through quasiperiodicity, and asymmetric states in a superconducting dimer, *Chaos*, **30(12)** (2020), 123127.
- [24] J. P. Deka, A. K. Sarma, A. Govindarajan and M. Kulkarni, Multifaceted nonlinear dynamics in PT-symmetric coupled Liénard oscillators, *Nonlinear Dyn.*, **100(2)** (2020), 1629-1640.
- [25] D. A. Salamon, The Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser theorem. *Math. Phys. Electron. J.*, **10(3)** (2004), 1-37.
- [26] E. Zehnder, Generalized implicit function theorems with applications to some small divisor problems I and II, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **28** (1975), 91-140; **29(1)** (1976), 49-111.

- [27] H. Rüssmann, On optimal estimates for the solutions of linear partial differential equations of first order with constant coefficients on the torus. *Dynamical systems, theory and applications*. Springer, 1975, pp 598-624.
- [28] H. Rüssmann, On the existence of invariant curves of twist mappings of an annulus. *Geometric dynamics*. Springer, 1983, pp 677-718.
- [29] J. Li, J. Qi and X. Yuan, KAM theorem for reversible mapping of low smoothness with application, arXiv:1910.08214v1 [math.DS] 18 Oct 2019.
- [30] J. Pöschel, A lecture on the classical KAM theorem, *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **69** (2001) 707-732.
- [31] L. Chierchia, Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) Theory. *Mathematics of complexity and dynamical systems*. Vols. 1-3, 810-836, Springer, New York, 2012.

(Lu Chen) COLLEGE OF TEACHER EDUCATION, QUZHOU UNIVERSITY, QUZHOU, ZHEJIANG 324000, P. R. CHINA

*Email address:* chenlu@zju.edu.cn