

An algorithm for finding the scaled basis of the supereigenvector space in max-plus algebra

Hui-li Wang*, Sergeĭ Sergeev**

Abstract

We present an algorithm for finding a basis of the supereigenvector space in max-plus algebra. The main ideas of the new algorithm are: finding better generators by exploiting the main operation of the tropical double description method and making use of the previously known extremality criteria.

Keywords: max-plus algebra, generator, extremal
2000 MSC: 15A80, 15A39

1. Introduction

Max-plus algebra is the linear algebra over max-plus semiring. The latter semiring is the set $\overline{\mathbb{R}} := \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ equipped with the operations (\oplus, \otimes) , where addition $a \oplus b = \max(a, b)$ and multiplication $a \otimes b = a + b$. Note that $-\infty$ is neutral for \oplus and 0 is neutral for \otimes . Max-plus algebra has proved to be useful in a number of areas such as: automata theory, scheduling theory and discrete event systems. See [2, 3, 8, 9, 10] for some of these applications.

The operations (\oplus, \otimes) are extended to matrices and vectors over $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ in the

*School of Sciences, Southwest Petroleum University, Chengdu, Sichuan 610500, China. Email: huiliwang77@163.com.

Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11901486), Youth Science and Technology Innovation Team of SWPU for Nonlinear Systems (No. 2017CXTD02).

**University of Birmingham, School of Mathematics, Edgbaston B15 2TT, UK. Email: s.sergeev@bham.ac.uk. Corresponding author.

usual way. Thus we have for matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$:

$$(A \oplus B)_{ij} = a_{ij} \oplus b_{ij}, \quad (A \otimes B)_{ij} = \sum_k^{\oplus} a_{ik} \otimes b_{kj} = \max_k (a_{ik} + b_{kj}), \quad (1)$$

$$(\lambda \otimes A)_{ij} = \lambda \otimes a_{ij}, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$$

As usual, the first two operations require that A and B are of compatible sizes.

We denote by I any square matrix whose diagonal entries are 0 and off-diagonal ones are $-\infty$. Throughout the paper we also denote by $-\infty$ any vector or matrix whose every component is $-\infty$, by abuse of notation. Note that $-\infty$ is neutral for \oplus and I for \otimes . We also denote $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

For $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$, the problem of finding a vector $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$, $\mathbf{x} \neq -\infty$ and a scalar $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfying

$$A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \lambda \otimes \mathbf{x} \quad (2)$$

is called the (max-plus algebraic) supereigenproblem, the vector \mathbf{x} is called a supereigenvector of A associated with λ . If $\lambda = -\infty$, then $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \lambda \otimes \mathbf{x}$ for any $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$, so we only need to consider the case of $\lambda \neq -\infty$ in (2). Note that in this case (2) can be written as

$$A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x} \quad (3)$$

after multiplying both sides of (2) by λ^{-1} and rewriting $\lambda^{-1} \otimes A$ again as A . We will denote $\mathcal{X} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n : A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x} \neq -\infty\}$ and $\mathcal{X}' = \mathcal{X} \cup \{-\infty\}$ in the case of $\mathcal{X} \neq \emptyset$. We call \mathcal{X}' the supereigenspace of A associated with $\lambda = 0$. For $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}$ with $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$, $A_i \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq x_i$ stands for the i -inequality of the system $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}$, where A_i denotes the i th row of A .

Note that the problem of finding all supereigenvectors (3) is in close relation to the problems of finding all max-plus subeigenvectors \mathbf{x} : $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{x}$ and all max-plus eigenvectors \mathbf{x} : $A \otimes \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ (associated with $\lambda = 0$.) However, these two problems are much easier to solve, see in particular the monograph of Butkovič [3].

The problem of describing the supereigenvector space \mathcal{X}' was posed by Butkovič, Schneider and Sergeev [7] and has attracted some attention since then. It is a special case of a more general problem of describing the solution

set of $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq B \otimes \mathbf{x}$ where $B = I$. A method for finding a set of generators for the solution set of $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq B \otimes \mathbf{x}$ was developed by Butkovič and Hegedüs [5], and more recently, a much more efficient method was developed by Allami-geon, Gaubert and Goubault [1] for finding all extremals of such solution set. However, as the system $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}$ is much more special, an original algorithm for finding all generators was suggested by Wang and Wang [16], who also gave two algorithms for finding a finite proper supereigenvector for irreducible matrix and a finite solution of the system (3) for reducible matrix [17]. These algorithms are not in obvious relation with those of [5] and [1]. Based on the results in [16], Wang, Yang and Wang developed an improved algorithm which can be used to find a smaller set of generators [18]. A set of generators for the solution set of (3) was also obtained by Krivulin [11] using an algebraic method called sparsification. A similar method was used by Krivulin [12] to obtain a generating set of $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq B \otimes \mathbf{x}$.

Butkovič et al. [6] showed that any closed subspace of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ has the smallest scaled set of generators, which consists of scaled extremals of that subspace. Since the solution set of $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}$ is finitely generated and therefore closed, it is important to find the set of scaled extremals of it. Note that a general extremality criterion for a solution of $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq B \otimes \mathbf{x}$ was obtained by Allami-geon, Gaubert and Goubault [1]. Being based on a notion of connectivity in hypergraphs, it requires $O(n^2) \times \alpha(n)$ where $\alpha(n)$ is the inverse of the Ackermann function. Sergeev and Wang [14] recently obtained a different set of criteria for the extremality of a solution of $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}$. The verification of their set of criteria does not require one to construct a hypergraph and has $O(n^2)$ complexity (thus not involving the Ackermann function in this special case). A different approach was taken earlier by Sergeev [13] who gave a combinatorial description of those generators of [16] that are extremal, as a set of criteria that can be verified in $O(n^2)$ time where n is the dimension of A . The criteria of [13] can be used to immediately decide about the extremality of any generator obtained by Wang and Wang [16].

The purpose of this paper will be to develop an algorithm for finding all extremals of \mathcal{X}' , based on the earlier algorithms of Wang and Wang [16, 18]. The resulting algorithm formally appears below as Algorithm 3, but its essential parts are formulated earlier as Algorithm 1 and Algorithm 2. Two main ideas of this development are: 1) use the main operation of the tropical double description method and any of the available extremality criteria to find a smaller number of generators with a more “compact” support, 2) prove that the resulting set of extremals actually generates the whole \mathcal{X}' as all

generators found by the algorithm of Wang and Wang [18] (repeated here as Algorithm 4) appear as max-combinations of the “new” generators obtained in the present paper (Algorithm 3). The latter fact is proved in Theorem 3.1. Note that, although the main algorithm presented here uses the same main operation as the tropical double description method of Allamigeon, Gaubert and Goubault [1], it is essentially different, being more closely related to the algorithms of Wang and Wang [16, 18] and Krivulin [11].

The main algorithms and results of this paper (Algorithms 1, 2, 3 and Theorem 3.1) are presented in Section 3. Before this, we include Section 2 explaining the main concepts and constructions of max-plus algebra that will be used.

2. Preliminaries

Much of the techniques in the paper will be based on the connection between max-plus matrices and associated digraphs, which we next explain. Given a square matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$ the symbol D_A denotes the weighted directed graph (N, E, w) with the node set N and arc set $E = \{(i, j) : a_{ij} \neq -\infty\}$ and weight $\omega(i, j) = a_{ij}$ for all $(i, j) \in E$.

A sequence of nodes $\pi = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ in D_A is called a *path* (in D_A) if $(i_j, i_{j+1}) \in E$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k-1$. The length of such path π , denoted by $l(\pi)$, is $k-1$. The weight of such path π is denoted by $\omega(\pi)$ and is equal to $a_{i_1 i_2} + \dots + a_{i_{k-1} i_k}$. If $\omega(\pi) \geq 0$ then π is called a *nonnegative path*. Node i_1 is called the *starting node* and i_k the *endnode* of π , respectively. A path from i_1 to i_k can be called a $i_1 - i_k$ path. Further i_k is said to be *reachable* or *accessible* from i_1 , notation $i_1 \rightarrow i_k$. If $i_1 = i_k$ then the path (i_1, \dots, i_k) is called a *cycle*. Moreover, if $i_p \neq i_q$ for all $p, q = 1, \dots, k-1, p \neq q$ then that cycle is called an *elementary cycle* (similarly, we can define *elementary path*). Cycle $(i_1, i_2 = i_1)$ is called a *loop*.

The theory of generators, extremals and (weak) bases of subspaces in $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ (also known as max cones or tropical cones) was described by Butkovič et al. [6], see also [3], and below we give some elements of it.

Let $S \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$. We say that S is a *subspace* of \mathbb{R}^n if $a \otimes \mathbf{u} \oplus b \otimes \mathbf{v} \in S$ for every $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in S$ and $a, b \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. A vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ is called a *max-combination* of S if $\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in S}^{\oplus} \alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \otimes \mathbf{x}$, $\alpha_{\mathbf{x}} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, where only a finite number of $\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}$ are real. Denote the set of all max-combinations of S by $\text{span}(S)$. S is called a set of *generators* for T if $\text{span}(S) = T$. The set S is called (*weakly*) *dependent* if \mathbf{v} is a max-combination of $S \setminus \{\mathbf{v}\}$ for some $\mathbf{v} \in S$. Otherwise

S is (weakly) independent. Let $S, T \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$. If S is an independent set of generators for T then the set S is called a basis of T .

A vector $\mathbf{v} \in S$ is called an *extremal* in S if for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in S$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{y}$ implies $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x}$ or $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{y}$. A vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in S$ ($\mathbf{v} \neq -\infty$) is called *scaled* if $\|\mathbf{v}\| = 0$, where $\|\mathbf{v}\| = \max_{i=1}^n v_i$. The set S is called scaled if all its elements are scaled. For vector $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ the support of \mathbf{v} is defined by

$$\text{Supp}(\mathbf{v}) = \{j \in N : v_j \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The following result explains the construction of a basis for a finitely generated subspaces of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$.

Proposition 2.1 (Wagneur [15], see also [6, 3]). *If T is a finitely generated subspace then its set of scaled extremals is nonempty and it is the unique scaled basis for T .*

By Proposition 2.1, the set of scaled extremals in \mathcal{X}' is the unique scaled basis for \mathcal{X}' . For a moment, let us consider a general system $B \otimes \mathbf{x} \leq A \otimes \mathbf{x}$ with $A, B \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{p \times d}$. Such system consists of p inequalities $B_j \otimes \mathbf{x} \leq A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}$, where A_j and B_j are the j th rows of matrices A and B . Let us recall the double description method of [1], which is based on the following claim. Here and below, we denote by e^i the vector of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ whose i th entry is 0 and other ones are $-\infty$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. This is the i th tropical unit vector.

Proposition 2.2 ([1]). *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}^d$ be a tropical polyhedral cone defined as the set $\{\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^d \mid B \otimes \mathbf{x} \leq A \otimes \mathbf{x}\}$, where $A, B \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{p \times d}$ (with $p \geq 0$). Let V_0, \dots, V_p be the sequence of finite subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^d$ defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= \{e^i\}_{i \in [d]}, \\ V_k &= \{v \in V_{k-1} \mid B_k \otimes v \leq A_k \otimes v\} \cup \\ &\quad \{(B_k \otimes w) \otimes v \oplus (A_k \otimes v) \otimes w \mid v, w \in V_{k-1}, \\ &\quad B_k \otimes v \leq A_k \otimes v, B_k \otimes w > A_k \otimes w\}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

for all $k = 1, \dots, p$. Then \mathcal{C} is generated by the finite set V_p .

Not aiming to use this method in a straightforward way, we will make use of the main operation on which it is based. Let us denote $\mathcal{X}_i = \{\mathbf{x} : B_i \otimes \mathbf{x} \leq A_i \otimes \mathbf{x}\}$ and include a proof of the following fact, which is implicit in [1].

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_i$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^d$. Then*

$$\mathbf{z} = (B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \otimes \mathbf{x} \oplus (A_i \otimes \mathbf{x}) \otimes \mathbf{y}$$

also belongs to \mathcal{X}_i .

Proof. Substituting \mathbf{z} into $B_i \otimes \mathbf{z} \leq A_i \otimes \mathbf{z}$ and using distributivity we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & (B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \otimes (B_i \otimes \mathbf{x}) \oplus (A_i \otimes \mathbf{x}) \otimes (B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \\ & \leq (B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \otimes (A_i \otimes \mathbf{x}) \oplus (A_i \otimes \mathbf{x}) \otimes (A_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since $(B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \otimes (B_i \otimes \mathbf{x}) \leq (B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \otimes (A_i \otimes \mathbf{x})$ (using $B_i \otimes \mathbf{x} \leq A_i \otimes \mathbf{x}$) and $(A_i \otimes \mathbf{x}) \otimes (B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) = (B_i \otimes \mathbf{y}) \otimes (A_i \otimes \mathbf{x})$, we obtain that the left hand side of (5) does not exceed the first term on the right hand side, hence (5) holds. \square

System (3) can be treated as a special case of the more general system considered above, if we rewrite it as

$$B \otimes \mathbf{x} \leq A \otimes \mathbf{x}, \quad \text{with } B = I. \quad (6)$$

3. Algorithm for finding all extremal generators in \mathcal{X}'

In this section we will develop an algorithm which can be used to find the scaled basis of \mathcal{X}' .

Recall that for the system (6) $\mathcal{X}_i = \{\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n : A_i \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq x_i\}$. In the rest of this section, unless stated otherwise, for $\mathbf{x} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ we will denote by $(\mathbf{x})_j$ the j -th entry of \mathbf{x} .

Lemma 3.1. *Take any $i \in N$. Then $e^i \in \mathcal{X}_j$ for any $j \in N \setminus \{i\}$.*

Proof. For any $j \in N, j \neq i$, the j -th entry of e^i is $-\infty$. The claim then follows since $A_j \otimes e^i \geq -\infty$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$. If $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_i$ for any $i \in \text{Supp}(\mathbf{x})$, then $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. If $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}) = N$, then we have that $A_i \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq x_i$ for all $i \in N$ and the statement follows. If $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}) \subsetneq N$, then $x_i = -\infty$ for any $i \in N \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathbf{x})$ and $A_i \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq x_i = -\infty$ for all such i as well, thus again $A_i \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq x_i$ for all i . \square

It is known that the system (6) is solvable if and only if $\lambda(A) \geq 0$ [16]. We will therefore assume in the rest of this paper that $\lambda(A) \geq 0$ for the system (6). Given nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ in D_A , we denote $J_\sigma = \{j \in N : j \text{ in } \sigma\}$. With different nodes in J_σ as the starting nodes, the cycle σ can be rewritten as $(j_1 = i_k, j_2, \dots, j_{t+1} = j_1)$ for some $1 \leq k \leq t$ and will be denoted by $\sigma(i_k)$.

We will first show how Lemmas 2.1, 3.1 and 3.2 can be used for finding one solution to the system (6) corresponding to a nonnegative elementary cycle in D_A .

Consider a nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = \sigma(i_k) = (j_1 = i_k, j_2, \dots, j_{t+1} = j_1)$ in D_A and let k ($1 \leq k \leq t$) be fixed. We now show that a solution to system (6) can be found by iterating at most t times. Set $v^1 = e^{j_1}$. If $v^1 \in \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$, then $v^1 \in \mathcal{X}$ by Lemma 3.2 and the iteration stops. If $v^1 \notin \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$, then we set

$$v^2 := (B_{j_1} \otimes v^1) \otimes e^{j_2} \oplus (A_{j_1} \otimes e^{j_2}) \otimes v^1 = e^{j_2} \oplus (A_{j_1} \otimes e^{j_2}) \otimes v^1.$$

The only finite components of this vector are $(v^2)_{j_1} = a_{j_1 j_2}$ and $(v^2)_{j_2} = 0$.

By Lemma 3.1 we have $e^{j_2} \in \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$, and by Lemma 2.1 we have $v^2 \in \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$. If $v^2 \in \mathcal{X}_{j_2}$, then Lemma 3.2 implies that $v^2 \in \mathcal{X}$ since $\text{Supp}(v^2) = \{j_1, j_2\}$, and the iteration stops. If $v^2 \notin \mathcal{X}_{j_2}$, then we can set

$$v^3 := (B_{j_2} \otimes v^2) \otimes e^{j_3} \oplus (A_{j_2} \otimes e^{j_3}) \otimes v^2 = e^{j_3} \oplus (A_{j_2} \otimes e^{j_3}) \otimes v^2.$$

The only finite components of this vector are $(v^3)_{j_1} = a_{j_1 j_2} + a_{j_2 j_3}$, $(v^3)_{j_2} = a_{j_2 j_3}$ and $(v^3)_{j_3} = 0$.

By Lemma 3.1 $e^{j_3} \in \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$ and $e^{j_3} \in \mathcal{X}_{j_2}$. Then we have $v^3 \in \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$ since $e^{j_3}, v^2 \in \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $v^3 \in \mathcal{X}_{j_2}$. Next we continue to determine whether $v^3 \in \mathcal{X}_{j_3}$ and similarly whether $v^p \in \mathcal{X}_{j_p}$ for any $p \leq t-1$. More precisely, by t th iteration of this procedure we will have obtained vector $v^1 = e^{j_1} \notin \mathcal{X}_{j_1}$ and (if $t \geq 3$) vectors v^p for $2 \leq p \leq t-1$ such that $v^p \in \mathcal{X}_{j_l}$ for $l: 1 \leq l \leq p-1$, but $v^p \notin \mathcal{X}_{j_p}$. We then define

$$v^t := (B_{j_{t-1}} \otimes v^{t-1}) \otimes e^{j_t} \oplus (A_{j_{t-1}} \otimes e^{j_t}) \otimes v^{t-1} = e^{j_t} \oplus (A_{j_{t-1}} \otimes e^{j_t}) \otimes v^{t-1} \quad (7)$$

Using simple induction we can obtain the following finite components of v^t :

$$(v^t)_{j_t} = 0, \quad (v^t)_{j_l} = a_{j_l j_{l+1}} + \dots + a_{j_{t-1} j_t}, \quad l = 1, \dots, t-1. \quad (8)$$

Since $e^{j_t}, v^{t-1} \in \mathcal{X}_{j_t}$ for $l: 1 \leq l \leq t-2$, we obtain $v^t \in \mathcal{X}_{j_t}$ for $l: 1 \leq l \leq t-2$. Lemma 2.1 implies that $v^t \in \mathcal{X}_{j_{t-1}}$. We now also claim that $v^t \in \mathcal{X}_{j_t}$. By (8) we have $(v^t)_{j_1} = a_{j_1 j_2} + a_{j_2 j_3} + \cdots + a_{j_{t-1} j_t}$ and $(v^t)_{j_t} = 0$. Thus

$$A_{j_t} \otimes v^t \geq a_{j_t j_1} + (v^t)_{j_1} = a_{j_t j_1} + a_{j_1 j_2} + a_{j_2 j_3} + \cdots + a_{j_{t-1} j_t} = \omega(\sigma) \geq 0 = (v^t)_{j_t},$$

as claimed. Also observe that $\text{Supp}(v^t) = J_\sigma$, and then by Lemma 3.2 we have $v^t \in \mathcal{X}$. From the above discussion, for a nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = \sigma(i_k) = (j_1 = i_k, j_2, \cdots, j_{t+1} = j_1)$ in D_A we can always find a solution, say $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$, to the system (6) through no more than t iterations.

Note that the criteria of Allamigeon et al. [1, Theorem1] or Sergeev and Wang [14, Theorem 3.1] can then be used to perform the extremality test for $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$ and eliminate non-extremal solution. In this way we can obtain at most t extremal solutions to the system (6) by taking all $1 \leq k \leq t$ and denote the set of these extremal solutions (corresponding to nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ in D_A) by \mathcal{X}^σ .

Based on the above discussion, we summarize the following algorithm to find the set of extremal solutions \mathcal{X}^σ corresponding to the nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ in D_A .

Algorithm 1

Input: A nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ in D_A .

- 1: Set $\mathcal{X}^\sigma := \emptyset$ and $k = 1$.
- 2: **while** $k \leq t$ **do**
- 3: Let $\sigma(i_k) = (j_1 = i_k, j_2, \cdots, j_{t+1} = j_1)$.
- 4: Set $v^p := e^{j_p}$ and proceed with $p = 1$.
- 5: **while** $p \leq t - 1$ and $v^p \notin \mathcal{X}_{j_p}$ **do**
- 6: $v^{p+1} = e^{j_{p+1}} \oplus (A_{j_p} \otimes e^{j_{p+1}}) \otimes v^p$.
- 7: $p = p + 1$.
- 8: **end while**
- 9: Set $v^{\sigma(i_k)} := v^p$ and do extremality test for $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$.
- 10: **if** $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$ is an extremal **then**
- 11: $\mathcal{X}^\sigma := \mathcal{X}^\sigma \cup \{\|v^{\sigma(i_k)}\|^{-1} \otimes v^{\sigma(i_k)}\}$.
- 12: **end if**
- 13: $k = k + 1$.
- 14: **end while**

Output: \mathcal{X}^σ .

Given nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ in D_A . Recall that a path (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m) is called a maximum J_σ -path [16] if the following three conditions are satisfied: (1) $l_p \neq l_q$ for any $1 \leq p \neq q \leq m$, (2) the endnode l_m is the unique node which belongs to J_σ in the path, (3) there are no paths from l to l_1 in D_A for any $l \in N \setminus (J_\sigma \cup \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m\})$. Next we show how to efficiently find some extremal solutions to the system (6) by using Lemma 2.1 corresponding to some maximum J_σ -path. We start with a simple but useful statement:

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathcal{X}$ with $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}) \subsetneq N$ be such that $a_{ji} \neq -\infty$ for some $j \in N \setminus \text{Supp}(\mathbf{x})$ and $i \in \text{Supp}(\mathbf{x})$, and consider $\mathbf{y} := \mathbf{x} \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}) \otimes e^j$. Then*

- (i) $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}$;
- (ii) *If \mathbf{x} is not an extremal of \mathcal{X} or if $e^j \in \mathcal{X}_j$, then \mathbf{y} is not an extremal of \mathcal{X} .*

Proof. (i): Observing that $\mathbf{y} = (B_j \otimes e^j) \otimes \mathbf{x} \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}) \otimes e^j$, we first establish that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_i$ for $i \in \text{Supp}(\mathbf{x})$ (since $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_i$ and $e^j \in \mathcal{X}_i$) and then apply Lemma 2.1 to establish that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{X}_j$.

(ii): If $e^j \in \mathcal{X}_j$ then $e^j \in \mathcal{X}$ and, since the supports of \mathbf{x} and e^j are disjoint, this implies that \mathbf{y} is not an extremal. If \mathbf{x} is not an extremal, then we can write it as $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^1 \oplus \mathbf{x}^2$ where both \mathbf{x}^1 and \mathbf{x}^2 are different from \mathbf{x} and belong to \mathcal{X} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y} &= \mathbf{x} \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}) \otimes e^j \\ &= \mathbf{x}^1 \oplus \mathbf{x}^2 \oplus (A_j \otimes (\mathbf{x}^1 \oplus \mathbf{x}^2)) \otimes e^j \\ &= \mathbf{x}^1 \oplus \mathbf{x}^2 \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}^1) \otimes e^j \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}^2) \otimes e^j \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}^1 &= \mathbf{x}^1 \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}^1) \otimes e^j = (B_j \otimes e^j) \otimes \mathbf{x}^1 \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}^1) \otimes e^j, \\ \mathbf{y}^2 &= \mathbf{x}^2 \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}^2) \otimes e^j = (B_j \otimes e^j) \otimes \mathbf{x}^2 \oplus (A_j \otimes \mathbf{x}^2) \otimes e^j \end{aligned}$$

Then $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}^1 \oplus \mathbf{y}^2$. As in part (i), using Lemma 2.1 we establish that $\mathbf{y}^1 \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\mathbf{y}^2 \in \mathcal{X}$. Also, $\mathbf{x}^1 \neq \mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{x}^2 \neq \mathbf{x}$ obviously imply (in this case) that $\mathbf{y}^1 \neq \mathbf{y}$ and $\mathbf{y}^2 \neq \mathbf{y}$. \square

Given a maximum J_σ -path $\pi = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m)$ in D_A , where nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ in D_A and $l_m = i_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq t$, we can obtain one solution $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$ to (6) for $\sigma = \sigma(i_k) = (j_1 = i_k, j_2, \dots, j_{t+1} = j_1)$ by using Algorithm 1. Obviously such $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$ and l_{m-1} satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.3, which implies that $v^{\sigma(i_k)} \oplus (A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes v^{\sigma(i_k)}) \otimes e^{l_{m-1}} \in \mathcal{X}$. Continue to work with $v^{\sigma(i_k)} \oplus (A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes v^{\sigma(i_k)}) \otimes e^{l_{m-1}}$ and l_{m-2} and so on. On the other hand, in the above process of constructing solution to the system (6), once one of the situations described in Lemma 3.3 part (ii) occurs, the process stops. For every such constructed solution we can perform an extremality test and denote by $\mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma}$ the extremal solutions set obtained by using above mentioned method corresponding to maximum J_σ -path π in D_A .

Formally, this process can be described by the following algorithm:

Algorithm 2

Input: A maximum J_σ -path $\pi = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m)$ in D_A , where $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ is a nonnegative elementary cycle in D_A and $l_m = i_k$ for some $1 \leq k \leq t$ and $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$ is an extremal returned by Algorithm 1.

- 1: Set $\mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma} := \emptyset$. Let $v^{l_m} = v^{\sigma(i_k)}$ and proceed with $q = 1$.
- 2: **while** $q \leq m - 1$ **do**
- 3: **if** $e^{l_{m-q}} \notin \mathcal{X}_{l_{m-q}}$ **then**
- 4: $v^{l_{m-q}} = v^{l_{m-q+1}} \oplus (A_{l_{m-q}} \otimes v^{l_{m-q+1}}) \otimes e^{l_{m-q}}$.
- 5: Do extremality test for $v^{l_{m-q}}$.
- 6: **if** $v^{l_{m-q}}$ is an extremal **then**
- 7: $\mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma} := \mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma} \cup \{\|v^{l_{m-q}}\|^{-1} \otimes v^{l_{m-q}}\}$.
- 8: **else**
- 9: return $\mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma}$.
- 10: **end if**
- 11: **else**
- 12: return $\mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma}$.
- 13: **end if**
- 14: $q = q + 1$.
- 15: **end while**

Output: $\mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma}$.

When we implement Algorithms 1 and 2 for all nonnegative elementary cycles and all maximum J_σ -paths in D_A , we can obtain a set of scaled extremal solutions, denoted by \mathcal{X}_E , which can be computed by the following algorithm:

Algorithm 3

Input: $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$.

- 1: Let $\zeta = \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ is a nonnegative elementary cycle in } D_A\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_E := \emptyset$.
- 2: **while** $\zeta \neq \emptyset$ **do**
- 3: Take $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1) \in \zeta$.
- 4: Apply Algorithm 1 to σ and set $\mathcal{X}_E := \mathcal{X}_E \cup \mathcal{X}^\sigma$.
- 5: Set $\vartheta = \{\pi : \pi \text{ is a maximum } J_\sigma\text{-path in } D_A\}$.
- 6: **while** $\vartheta \neq \emptyset$ **do**
- 7: Take $\pi = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m) \in \vartheta$ with $l_m = i_k$ for some k ($1 \leq k \leq t$).
- 8: **if** $v^{\sigma(i_k)}$ is an extremal **then**
- 9: Apply Algorithm 2 to π and set $\mathcal{X}_E := \mathcal{X}_E \cup \mathcal{X}^{\pi-\sigma}$.
- 10: **end if**
- 11: $\vartheta := \vartheta \setminus \{\pi\}$.
- 12: **end while**
- 13: $\zeta := \zeta \setminus \{\sigma\}$.
- 14: **end while**

Output: \mathcal{X}_E .

If the steps of extremality test in Algorithms 1 and 2 are omitted, then we can obtain a set of solutions to the system (6) by Algorithm 3 and denoted it by \mathcal{X}_G . Denote $\mathcal{X}_{SG} = \{\|\mathbf{x}\|^{-1} \otimes \mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_G\}$. Obviously \mathcal{X}_E consists precisely of vectors of \mathcal{X}_{SG} which are extremals of \mathcal{X}' . In fact, \mathcal{X}_E is also the scaled extremal set of \mathcal{X}' , that is, \mathcal{X}_E is the scaled basis of \mathcal{X}' .

We recall two algorithms in [18] that are needed for proof of the next result, Theorem 3.1. Note that the following algorithm is obtained by combining Algorithm 3.1 and Algorithm 4.1 in [18], where \mathcal{X}_A denote a generating set for \mathcal{X}' and $\mathbf{x}[j; a]$ denote the vector in which the j th entry is a and all other components are $-\infty$.

Algorithm 4

Input: $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$.

- 1: Let $\zeta = \{\sigma : \sigma \text{ is a nonnegative elementary cycle in } D_A\}$ and $\mathcal{X}_A := \emptyset$.
- 2: **while** $\zeta \neq \emptyset$ **do**
- 3: Take $\sigma = (i_1, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1) \in \zeta$.
- 4: Set $\mathbf{x}_j = ((\mathbf{x}_j)_1, (\mathbf{x}_j)_2, \dots, (\mathbf{x}_j)_n)^T = (-\infty, -\infty, \dots, -\infty)^T$ for all $1 \leq j \leq t$.
- 5: Compute $\omega(\sigma)$ and proceed with $j = 1$.

```

6:  while  $j \leq t$  do
7:      Set  $(\mathbf{x}_j)_{i_1} := 0$ , and let  $\alpha_j = \omega(\sigma)$  and  $\alpha_l = 0$  for all  $1 \leq l \leq t$  and
       $l \neq j$ , and proceed with  $s = 1$ .
8:      while  $s \leq t - 1$  do
9:           $(\mathbf{x}_j)_{i_{s+1}} := (\mathbf{x}_j)_{i_s} + \alpha_s - a_{i_s i_{s+1}}$ .
10:          $s = s + 1$ .
11:      end while
12:      Set  $\mathcal{X}_A := \mathcal{X}_A \cup \{\mathbf{x}_j\}$ .
13:       $j = j + 1$ .
14:  end while
15:  Set  $\vartheta = \{\pi : \pi \text{ is a maximum } J_\sigma\text{-path in } D_A\}$ .
16:  while  $\vartheta \neq \emptyset$  do
17:      Take  $\pi = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m) \in \vartheta$  with  $l_m = i_k$  for some  $k$  ( $2 \leq k \leq t+1$ ).

18:       $\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x}_{k-1}$ ,  $c := (\mathbf{x}_{k-1})_{i_k}$  and proceed with  $p = 1$ .
19:      while  $p \leq m - 1$  do
20:           $c := c + a_{l_{m-p} l_{m-p+1}}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{x}[l_{m-p}; c]$ .
21:          Set  $\mathcal{X}_A := \mathcal{X}_A \cup \{\mathbf{x}\}$ .
22:           $p = p + 1$ .
23:      end while
24:       $\vartheta := \vartheta \setminus \{\pi\}$ .
25:  end while
26:   $\zeta := \zeta \setminus \{\sigma\}$ .
27: end while
Output:  $\mathcal{X}_A$ .

```

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$ with $\lambda(A) \geq 0$. Then \mathcal{X}_E is the scaled basis of \mathcal{X}' .*

Proof. Due to the fact that a set of generators for \mathcal{X}' has been described in [16], see also [13], and subsequently a smaller generating set (denoted by \mathcal{X}_A) for \mathcal{X}' is characterized in [18], and \mathcal{X}_E is the scaled extremal set of \mathcal{X}_{SG} , it is sufficient to prove that any generator in \mathcal{X}_A is a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_{SG} or, equivalently, \mathcal{X}_G .

Note that any generator $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{X}_A$ corresponds to either a nonnegative elementary cycle σ in D_A or a maximum $J_{\sigma'}$ -path $\pi = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m)$ in D_A , where σ' is nonnegative elementary cycle in D_A .

Take any nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ in D_A . Then by Algorithm 4 we can get t generators (say) $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t$. By

the similarity of the construction for generators $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_t$ we only need to prove that \mathbf{x}_1 is a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G , where $\mathbf{x}_1 = ((\mathbf{x}_1)_1, (\mathbf{x}_1)_2, \dots, (\mathbf{x}_1)_n)^T \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^n$ can be computed by Algorithm 4 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_1} &= 0 \\
(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} &= \omega(\sigma) - a_{i_1 i_2} \\
(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_3} &= \omega(\sigma) - a_{i_1 i_2} - a_{i_2 i_3} \\
&\vdots \\
(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_p} &= \omega(\sigma) - a_{i_1 i_2} - \dots - a_{i_{p-1} i_p} \\
&\vdots \\
(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_t} &= \omega(\sigma) - a_{i_1 i_2} - \dots - a_{i_{t-1} i_t} \\
(\mathbf{x}_1)_s &= -\infty \text{ for any } s \in N \setminus J_\sigma
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

When we implement Algorithm 1 for $\sigma = \sigma(i_2) = (i_2, i_3, \dots, i_t, i_1, i_2)$, it is assumed that $v^{\sigma(i_2)}$ is obtained by iterating p ($1 \leq p \leq t$) times.

If $p = t$, then by Algorithm 1 we can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} &= a_{i_2 i_3} + \dots + a_{i_t i_1} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_3} &= a_{i_3 i_4} + \dots + a_{i_t i_1} \\
&\vdots \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_t} &= a_{i_t i_1} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_1} &= 0 \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_s &= -\infty \text{ for any } s \in N \setminus J_\sigma.
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

By (9) and (10) we have $\mathbf{x}_1 = v^{\sigma(i_2)}$, that is, \mathbf{x}_1 can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

If $p = 1$, then $v^{\sigma(i_2)} = e^{i_2}$. If $1 < p < t$, then by Algorithm 1 we can get:

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} &= a_{i_2 i_3} + \dots + a_{i_p i_{p+1}} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_3} &= a_{i_3 i_4} + \dots + a_{i_p i_{p+1}} \\
&\vdots \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_p} &= a_{i_p i_{p+1}} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_{p+1}} &= 0 \\
(v^{\sigma(i_2)})_s &= -\infty \text{ for any } s \in N \setminus \{i_2, i_3, \dots, i_{p+1}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We further assume that $v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})}$ is obtained by using Algorithm 1 for $\sigma = \sigma(i_{p+2}) = (i_{p+2}, \dots, i_t, i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}, i_{p+2})$ in the case of $p < t - 1$ or $\sigma = \sigma(i_1) = (i_1, \dots, i_t, i_1)$ in the case of $p = t - 1$, and iterating q ($1 \leq q \leq t$) times in both cases. We only need to prove for $1 < p < t - 1$ ($p = 1$ and $p = t - 1$ can be proved similarly). There are three cases:

Case 1. If $p + q = t$, then by Algorithm 1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+2}} &= a_{i_{p+2}i_{p+3}} + \dots + a_{i_t i_1} \\
&\vdots \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_t} &= a_{i_t i_1} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_1} &= 0 \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_s &= -\infty \text{ for any } s \in N \setminus \{i_{p+2}, i_{p+3}, \dots, i_t, i_1\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using (9), (11) and (12) we obtain that

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes (a_{i_{p+1}i_{p+2}} + \dots + a_{i_{t-1}i_t} + a_{i_t i_1}) \oplus v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})},$$

that is, \mathbf{x}_1 can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

Case 2. If $p + q > t$ (obviously $p + q < 2t$), then by Algorithm 1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+2}} &= a_{i_{p+2}i_{p+3}} + \dots + a_{i_{t-1}i_t} + a_{i_t i_1} + a_{i_1 i_2} + \dots + a_{i_{p+q-t}i_{p+q-t+1}} \\
&\vdots \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_t} &= a_{i_t i_1} + a_{i_1 i_2} + \dots + a_{i_{p+q-t}i_{p+q-t+1}} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_1} &= a_{i_1 i_2} + \dots + a_{i_{p+q-t}i_{p+q-t+1}} \\
&\vdots \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+q-t}} &= a_{i_{p+q-t}i_{p+q-t+1}} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+q-t+1}} &= 0 \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_s &= -\infty \text{ for any } s \in N \setminus \{i_{p+2}, \dots, i_t, i_1, \dots, i_{p+q-t+1}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Using (9), (11), (13) and that $w(\sigma) \geq 0$ we obtain that

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes (a_{i_{p+1}i_{p+2}} + \dots + a_{i_{t-1}i_t} + a_{i_t i_1}) \oplus v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})} \otimes (-a_{i_1 i_2} - \dots - a_{i_{p+q-t}i_{p+q-t+1}}), \tag{14}$$

that is, \mathbf{x}_1 can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

Case 3. If $p + q < t$, then by Algorithm 1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+2}} &= a_{i_{p+2}i_{p+3}} + \cdots + a_{i_{p+q}i_{p+q+1}} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+3}} &= a_{i_{p+3}i_{p+4}} + \cdots + a_{i_{p+q}i_{p+q+1}} \\
&\vdots \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+q}} &= a_{i_{p+q}i_{p+q+1}} \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_{i_{p+q+1}} &= 0 \\
(v^{\sigma(i_{p+2})})_s &= -\infty \text{ for any } s \in N \setminus \{i_{p+2}, i_{p+3}, \dots, i_{p+q+1}\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

If we continue in this way by considering $v^{\sigma(i_{p+q+2})} \dots$ then we can always find a finite number of solutions in \mathcal{X}_G such that the support of such solutions can cover the support of \mathbf{x}_1 . Further \mathbf{x}_1 can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G similarly as in Case 1 and Case 2. More precisely, it can be shown that in this case and in general we have

$$\mathbf{x}_1 = v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0 \oplus z, \tag{16}$$

where c_0 is a real number satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} = (v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} \otimes c_0$ and z is a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

Take any maximum $J_{\sigma'}$ -path $\pi = (l_1, l_2, \dots, l_m)$ in D_A , where σ' is non-negative elementary cycle in D_A . Then obviously, $m \geq 2$. Without loss of generality assume that $\sigma' = \sigma = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_t, i_{t+1} = i_1)$ and $l_m = i_2$. Then by Algorithm 4 we can get $m - 1$ generators corresponding to π , say, $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}), \mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}), \dots, \mathbf{x}_1(l_1)$, where $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1})$ satisfying $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1})) = J_\sigma \cup \{l_{m-1}\}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_r = (\mathbf{x}_1)_r$ for any $r \in N, r \neq l_{m-1}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}} = (\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} + a_{l_{m-1}i_2}$, $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2})$ satisfying $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2})) = \text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1})) \cup \{l_{m-2}\}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}))_r = (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_r$ for any $r \in N, r \neq l_{m-2}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}))_{l_{m-2}} = (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}} + a_{l_{m-2}l_{m-1}} = (\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} + a_{l_{m-1}i_2} + a_{l_{m-2}l_{m-1}}, \dots, \mathbf{x}_1(l_1)$ satisfying $\text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}_1(l_1)) = \text{Supp}(\mathbf{x}_1(l_2)) \cup \{l_1\}$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_1))_r = (\mathbf{x}_1(l_2))_r$ for any $r \in N, r \neq l_1$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_1))_{l_1} = (\mathbf{x}_1(l_2))_{l_2} + a_{l_1l_2} = \dots = (\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} + a_{l_{m-1}i_2} + a_{l_{m-2}l_{m-1}} + \dots + a_{l_1l_2}$.

Next we show that each $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-k})$ ($1 \leq k \leq m - 1$) can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

By the previous discussion, there exist some real number c_0 satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} = (v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} \otimes c_0$ and a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G , say z , such that \mathbf{x}_1 can be expressed as in (16).

For $k = 1$, if $A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes (v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0) = a_{l_{m-1}i_2} + (\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2}$, that is $A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes (v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0) = (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}}$, then it is straightforward to verify that

$$\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}) = v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_0 \oplus \mathbf{x}_1, \quad (17)$$

where

$$v^{l_{m-1}} = v^{\sigma(i_2)} \oplus (A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes v^{\sigma(i_2)}) \otimes e^{l_{m-1}}. \quad (18)$$

It follows from (16) and (17) that $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1})$ can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

If $A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes (v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0) \neq a_{l_{m-1}i_2} + (\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2}$, that is, $A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes (v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0) > (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}}$. Note that, since $(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} = (v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} \otimes c_0$ and $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}} = (\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} + a_{l_{m-1}i_2}$, the inequality $A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes (v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0) < (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}}$ is impossible.

Set

$$a = A_{l_{m-1}} \otimes (v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0) - (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}}. \quad (19)$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}) = v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes (c_0 - a) \oplus \mathbf{x}_1, \quad (20)$$

where $v^{l_{m-1}}$ is also defined by (18). It follows from (16) and (20) that $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1})$ can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

By (17) and (20) we can get that, in general,

$$\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}) = v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1 \oplus \mathbf{x}_1 = v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1 \oplus v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0 \oplus z, \quad (21)$$

where c_1 is a real number satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}} = (v^{l_{m-1}})_{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1$, c_0 is a real number satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} = (v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} \otimes c_0$ and z is a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

For $k = 2$, if $A_{l_{m-2}} \otimes (v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1) = a_{l_{m-2}l_{m-1}} + (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}} = (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}))_{l_{m-2}}$, then

$$\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}) = v^{l_{m-2}} \otimes c_1 \oplus \mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}), \quad (22)$$

where

$$v^{l_{m-2}} = v^{l_{m-1}} \oplus (A_{l_{m-2}} \otimes v^{l_{m-1}}) \otimes e^{l_{m-2}}. \quad (23)$$

It follows from (21) and (22) that $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2})$ can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

If $A_{l_{m-2}} \otimes (v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1) > a_{l_{m-2}l_{m-1}} + (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}} = (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}))_{l_{m-2}}$. Set $b = A_{l_{m-2}} \otimes (v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1) - (a_{l_{m-2}l_{m-1}} + (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}))_{l_{m-1}}) = A_{l_{m-2}} \otimes (v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1) - (\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}))_{l_{m-2}}$. Then

$$\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}) = v^{l_{m-2}} \otimes (c_1 - b) \oplus \mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}), \quad (24)$$

where $v^{l_{m-2}}$ is also defined by (23). It follows from (21) and (24) that $\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2})$ can be expressed as a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

By (22) and (24) we can get that, in general,

$$\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-2}) = v^{l_{m-2}} \otimes c_2 \oplus \mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-1}) = v^{l_{m-2}} \otimes c_2 \oplus v^{l_{m-1}} \otimes c_1 \oplus v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0 \oplus z, \quad (25)$$

where c_k is a real number satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-k}))_{l_{m-k}} = (v^{l_{m-k}})_{l_{m-k}} \otimes c_k$ for $k = 1, 2$, c_0 is a real number satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} = (v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} \otimes c_0$ and z is a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

If we continue in this way then we can obtain successively for any $k = 3, \dots, m-1$ (if $m \geq 4$):

$$\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-k}) = \sum_{1 \leq h \leq k}^{\oplus} v^{l_{m-h}} \otimes c_h \oplus v^{\sigma(i_2)} \otimes c_0 \oplus z, \quad (26)$$

where c_h is a real number satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1(l_{m-h}))_{l_{m-h}} = (v^{l_{m-h}})_{l_{m-h}} \otimes c_h$ for any $1 \leq h \leq k$, c_0 is a real number satisfying $(\mathbf{x}_1)_{i_2} = (v^{\sigma(i_2)})_{i_2} \otimes c_0$ and z is a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G .

Thus any generator in the generating set \mathcal{X}_A for \mathcal{X}' is a max-combination of \mathcal{X}_G (equivalently \mathcal{X}_{SG}), together with \mathcal{X}_E is the scaled extremal set of \mathcal{X}_{SG} , yields that \mathcal{X}_E is the scaled basis of \mathcal{X}' . \square

Let us now give an example illustrating the work of Algorithms 1, 2 and 3.

Example 3.1. Consider the system $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{x}$, where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 1 & -\infty & -\infty & -\infty \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -\infty & -\infty \\ -\infty & 0 & -\infty & 2 & -\infty \\ 1 & -\infty & -5 & -\infty & -7 \\ -2 & -2 & -7 & 1 & -\infty \end{pmatrix}.$$

By using the tropical double description method of Allamigeon, Gaubert and Goubault [1] or [16, Algorithm 3.2] or [18, Algorithm 4.1] we can get that the scaled basis of \mathcal{X}' is

$$\begin{aligned} & \{e^2, (0, -1, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)^T, (-\infty, 0, 0, -\infty, -\infty)^T, \\ & (-\infty, 0, 0, -5, -\infty)^T, (-3, -4, 0, -2, -\infty)^T, (-\infty, 0, -\infty, -\infty, -2)^T, \\ & (0, -1, -\infty, -\infty, -2)^T, (-\infty, 0, -\infty, -9, -2)^T, \\ & (-1, -2, -\infty, 0, -\infty)^T, (-2, -3, -\infty, -1, 0)^T\}. \end{aligned}$$

Next we use our method to obtain these extremals. There are four non-negative elementary cycles in D_A : $\sigma_1 = (2, 2)$, $\sigma_2 = (2, 1, 2)$, $\sigma_3 = (2, 3, 2)$, $\sigma_4 = (2, 3, 4, 1, 2)$. For the nonnegative elementary cycle σ_1 we have the following maximum J_{σ_1} -paths in D_A : $\pi_1 = (5, 3, 4, 1, 2)$, $\pi_2 = (5, 4, 1, 2)$, $\pi_3 = (3, 4, 5, 1, 2)$, $\pi_4 = (5, 4, 3, 2)$, $\pi_5 = (4, 5, 3, 2)$, $\pi_6 = (3, 4, 5, 2)$.

Applying Algorithm 1 to nonnegative elementary cycle $\sigma_1 = (2, 2)$, we obtain the extremal generator e^2 .

Applying Algorithm 2 to the maximum J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_1 = (5, 3, 4, 1, 2)$ we first obtain $v^1 = e^2 \oplus (A_1 \otimes e^2) \otimes e^1 = (1, 0, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)^T$. This is indeed an extremal, as it can be verified using [1, Theorem 1] or [14, Theorem 3.1], and the corresponding scaled extremal is $(0, -1, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)^T$. The next generator is $v^4 = v^1 \oplus (A_4 \otimes v^1) \otimes e^4 = (1, 0, -\infty, 2, -\infty)^T$, which is again an extremal, and the corresponding scaled extremal is $(-1, -2, -\infty, 0, -\infty)^T$. The next generator is $v^3 = v^4 \oplus (A_3 \otimes v^4) \otimes e^3 = (1, 0, 4, 2, -\infty)^T$, which is again an extremal, and after scaling it becomes $(-3, -4, 0, -2, -\infty)^T$. Finally we compute $v^5 = v^3 \oplus (A_5 \otimes v^3) \otimes e^5 = (1, 0, 4, 2, 3)^T$. However, this is not an extremal and we turn to the next J_{σ_1} -path.

Applying Algorithm 2 to the maximum J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_2 = (5, 4, 1, 2)$ we first obtain v^1 and v^4 that have been already computed. After this we compute new vector $v^5 = v^4 \oplus (A_5 \otimes v^4) \otimes e^5 = (1, 0, -\infty, 2, 3)^T$, which appears to be an extremal, and after scaling it becomes $(-2, -3, -\infty, -1, 0)^T$.

Next we turn to the maximum J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_3 = (3, 4, 5, 1, 2)$. Note that v^1 has been obtained in the previous discussion of J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_1 = (5, 3, 4, 1, 2)$. Then we compute the new vector $v^5 = v^1 \oplus (A_5 \otimes v^1) \otimes e^5 = (1, 0, -\infty, -\infty, -1)^T$, which corresponds to the scaled extremal $(0, -1, -\infty, -\infty, -2)^T$. As for the next generator $v^4 = v^5 \oplus (A_4 \otimes v^5) \otimes e^4 = (1, 0, -\infty, 2, -1)^T$, it is not an extremal and we go to the next J_{σ_1} -path.

Next we turn to the maximum J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_4 = (5, 4, 3, 2)$. We first obtain $v^3 = e^2 \oplus (A_3 \otimes e^2) \otimes e^3$, which is the scaled extremal $(-\infty, 0, 0, -\infty, -\infty)^T$. We next obtain $v^4 = v^3 \oplus (A_4 \otimes v^3) \otimes e^4 = (-\infty, 0, 0, -5, -\infty)^T$, again an extremal, becoming $(-\infty, 0, 0, -5, -\infty)^T$ after scaling. Finally we compute $v^5 = v^4 \oplus (A_5 \otimes v^4) \otimes e^5 = (-\infty, 0, 0, -5, -2)^T$, but this vector is not an extremal.

Working with the maximum J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_5 = (4, 5, 3, 2)$, we first compute v^3 , but this is the same v^3 which we had for the previous J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_4 = (5, 4, 3, 2)$. Then we compute $v^5 = v^3 \oplus (A_5 \otimes v^3) \otimes e^5 = (-\infty, 0, 0, -\infty, -2)^T$, but this is not an extremal, so this path has not given us any new extremal generators and we have to turn to the remaining path π_6 .

Working with the maximum J_{σ_1} -path $\pi_6 = (3, 4, 5, 2)$ we first obtain $v^5 = e^2 \oplus (A_5 \otimes e^2) \otimes e^5 = (-\infty, 0, -\infty, -\infty, -2)^T$, which is an extremal and it becomes $(-\infty, 0, -\infty, -\infty, -2)^T$ after scaling. Then we compute $v^4 = v^5 \oplus (A_4 \otimes v^5) \otimes e^4 = (-\infty, 0, -\infty, -9, -2)^T$, which is an extremal and it becomes $(-\infty, 0, -\infty, -9, -2)^T$ after scaling. Then we compute $v^3 = v^4 \oplus (A_3 \otimes v^4) \otimes e^3 = (-\infty, 0, 0, -9, -2)^T$, but this is not an extremal.

Thus we have exhausted the set of all maximal J_{σ_1} -paths leading to the loop $\sigma_1 = (2, 2)$. Next, for the cycle $\sigma_2 = (2, 1, 2)$ Algorithm 1 produces $v^{\sigma_2(1)} = (1, 0, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)^T$ and $v^{\sigma_2(2)} = e^2$. Both of these extremals were generated above, and considering the maximal J_{σ_2} -paths cannot yield any new extremals. For the cycle $\sigma_3 = (2, 3, 2)$ observe that $v^{\sigma_3(2)} = e^2$ and $v^{\sigma_3(3)} = (-\infty, 0, 0, -\infty, -\infty)^T$, and we have the same observation as for σ_2 . For the cycle $\sigma_4 = (2, 3, 4, 1, 2)$ we have $v^{\sigma_4(2)} = e^2$, $v^{\sigma_4(1)} = (1, 0, -\infty, -\infty, -\infty)^T$, $v^{\sigma_4(4)} = (1, 0, -\infty, 2, -\infty)^T$ and $v^{\sigma_4(3)} = (1, 0, 4, 2, -\infty)^T$: all of them are extremals, but they were previously computed and considering the J_{σ_4} -paths cannot yield any new extremals in this case, either.

Thus Algorithm 3, which combines tropical double description method and [18, Algorithm 4.1], provides a new method to find the scaled basis for the solution space of the system (3) (or (6)) with $A \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{n \times n}$. At least theoretically, the computational complexity of Algorithm 3 is still high since it is also based on all nonnegative elementary cycles and maximum J_{σ} -paths in D_A (see [18]). We see that (at least) all these cycles have to be examined, and that repeated extremals often appear in the process. However, it is worth noting that Algorithm 3 also has a mechanism of pruning the whole branches of generators that are not extremal and this mechanism may help to reduce the running time. It nevertheless remains a compelling challenge for the future work to avoid repeating extremals and further enhance the pruning mechanism of the algorithms presented here, in order to work out a more efficient method.

References

- [1] X. Allamigeon, S. Gaubert and E. Goubault, Computing the vertices of tropical polyhedra using directed hypergraphs, *Discrete Comp. Geom.* 49(2) (2013) 247-279.

- [2] F.L. Baccelli, G. Cohen, G.J. Olsder, J.P. Quadrat. Synchronization and Linearity. John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1992.
- [3] P. Butkovič, Max-linear Systems: Theory and Algorithms, Springer-Verlag, London, 2010.
- [4] P. Butkovič, On tropical supereigenvectors, Linear Algebra and its Applications 498 (2016) 574-591.
- [5] P. Butkovič, G. Hegedüs, An elimination method for finding all solutions of the system of linear equations over an extremal algebra, Ekonomicko-matematický obzor 20(1984) 203-215.
- [6] P. Butkovič, H. Schneider and S. Sergeev, Generators, extremals and bases of max cones. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 421 (2007), 394-406.
- [7] P. Butkovič, H. Schneider and S. Sergeev, Recognizing weakly stable matrices, SIAM J. Control Optim. 50(5)(2012) 3029-3051.
- [8] M. Gondran, M. Minoux, Graphs, Dioids and Semirings: New Applications and Algorithms, Springer-Verlag, 2008.
- [9] B. Heidergott, G.J. Olsder and J. van der Woude, Max-Plus at Work, Princeton University Press, 2005.
- [10] S. Kubo, K. Nishinari, Applications of max-plus algebra to flow shop scheduling problems, Discrete Applied Mathematics 247 (2018) 278-293.
- [11] N. Krivulin, Complete algebraic solution of multidimensional optimization problems in tropical semifield, J. of Logical and Algebraic Methods in Programming 99 (2018), 26-40.
- [12] N. Krivulin, Complete solution of tropical vector inequalities using matrix sparsification, Applications of Mathematics 65 (2020), 755-775.
- [13] S. Sergeev, Extremals of the supereigenvector cone in max algebra: A combinatorial description, Linear Algebra and its Applications 479 (2015) 106-117.
- [14] S. Sergeev, Hui-li Wang, Extremality criteria for the supereigenvector space in max-plus algebra, E-print arXiv 2109.10605.

- [15] E. Wagneur, Moduloids and pseudomodules: 1. Dimension theory. *Discrete Mathematics* 98 (1991) 57–73.
- [16] Xue-ping Wang, Hui-li Wang, The generators of the solution space for a system of inequalities, *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 459 (2014) 248-263.
- [17] Hui-li Wang, Xue-ping Wang, A polynomial algorithm for solving system of inequalities in max-plus algebra, *Information Sciences* 318 (2015) 1-13.
- [18] Hui-li Wang, Yan Yang, Xue-ping Wang, An improved algorithm for finding the generators of the solution space for $A \otimes \mathbf{x} \geq \lambda \otimes \mathbf{x}$, *Soft Computing* 24 (2020) 9383-9390.