

# THE TWISTED 1-LOOP INVARIANT AND THE JACOBIAN OF PTOLEMY COORDINATES

SEOKBEOM YOON

ABSTRACT. We present an alternative definition of the twisted 1-loop invariant in terms of the Jacobian of Ptolemy coordinates. As an application, we prove that the twisted 1-loop invariant is equal to the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial for all hyperbolic once-punctured torus bundles. This implies that the 1-loop conjecture proposed by Dimofte and Garoufalidis holds for all hyperbolic once-punctured torus bundles.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

An ideal triangulation of a cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold is an effective tool to describe the hyperbolic structure of the manifold: each tetrahedron is assigned to a complex variable which describes its shape and each edge has an equation to guarantee that the tetrahedra around the edge are well-glued compatibly [Thu77]. These equations can be encoded into integer matrices called the gluing equation matrices. Surprisingly, (a slight modification of) the gluing equation matrices turned out to have the symplectic property [NZ85], and this led to some new invariants of 3-manifolds defined in terms of ideal triangulations. The *1-loop invariant* introduced in [DG13] is one of such invariants which is also motivated by the generalized Volume conjecture [Guk05]. Although it is defined in terms of ideal triangulations, it has topological invariance, namely, it is invariant under 2–3 Pachner moves. Furthermore, the 1-loop conjecture [DG13, Conj.1.8] predicts that the 1-loop invariant coincides with the adjoint Reidemeister torsion [Por97]. To state the conjecture precisely, let  $M$  be a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold and  $\mathcal{T}$  be an ideal triangulation of  $M$ . We denote by  $\tau_\gamma(M)$  the adjoint Reidemeister torsion of  $M$  and by  $\tau_\gamma^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T})$  the 1-loop invariant of  $\mathcal{T}$  (here CS stands for the Chern–Simons theory, as the 1-loop invariant is derived from its perturbative partition function). Note that both are elements of the trace field of  $M$  well-defined up to sign and depend on a choice of a peripheral curve  $\gamma$  of  $M$ .

**Conjecture 1.1** ([DG13]). The 1-loop invariant  $\tau_\gamma^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T})$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is equal to the adjoint Reidemeister torsion  $\tau_\gamma(M)$  of  $M$  for all peripheral curves  $\gamma$ .

Garoufalidis and the author showed in [GY21] that the gluing equation matrices can be generalized to *twisted gluing equations matrices* (whose entries are in  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ ) by choosing an infinite cyclic cover of  $M$ , or an epimorphism  $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  equivalently, and additionally recording how the gluing data are lifted to a fundamental domain. They also defined *twisted 1-loop invariant* using a similar construction to the 1-loop invariant and conjectured that it is equal to the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial (associated with the geometric representation and the epimorphism  $\alpha$ ). Note that both are Laurent polynomials in one variable  $t$  over the trace field and well-defined up to multiplication of units in  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ .

**Conjecture 1.2** ([GY21]). The twisted 1-loop invariant  $\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}, t)$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is equal to the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial  $\tau(M, t)$  of  $M$ .

Our original motivation was to study Conjecture 1.2 for punctured surface bundles over  $S^1$ . As is well-known, twisted Alexander polynomials of those manifolds are simply determined by monodromies. In particular, adjoint twisted Alexander polynomials are determined by how monodromies act on the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character varieties of punctured surfaces. This leads us to consider so-called Ptolemy coordinates or assignments, instead of shape parameters of tetrahedra, as we need coordinates for the character varieties of punctured surfaces. Ptolemy coordinates are well-studied objects in both 2 and 3-dimensional hyperbolic geometry but in different languages (see, for instance, [FG06, Pen12, GTZ15]). An essential property of Ptolemy coordinates is that they effectively parameterize (certain

*Date:* January 7, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* Twisted 1-loop invariant, twisted Alexander polynomial, 1-loop invariant, once-punctured torus bundle, Ptolemy coordinates.

subsets of) character varieties of both punctured surfaces and cusped 3-manifolds in the same fashion. This allows us to compute adjoint twisted Alexander polynomials of punctured surface bundles easily in terms of Ptolemy coordinates (see Theorem 4.11 for once-punctured torus bundles). Note that we will use a sign-extended version of Ptolemy assignments,  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignments, developed in [Yoo19] (there, these were called  $\sigma$ -deformed Ptolemy assignments) due to a technical reason that the geometric representation of a cusped hyperbolic manifold does not admit an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -lift which is boundary-unipotent [Cal06].

The goal of this paper is to relate the twisted 1-loop invariant with Ptolemy coordinates. Precisely, we present an alternative definition of the twisted 1-loop invariant as well as the 1-loop invariant in terms of the Jacobian of Ptolemy coordinates (see Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8). An advantage of this definition is that it does not require a combinatorial flattening, an extra datum which is needed in the original definition of (twisted) 1-loop invariant in [DG13] and [GY21]. Interestingly, this resembles the fact that we need a combinatorial flattening to compute the Chern-Simons invariant in terms of the shapes of tetrahedra [Neu04] but we do not if we use Ptolemy coordinates [Zic09, GTZ15].

As an application of Theorem 3.7, we prove that Conjecture 1.2 is true for all hyperbolic once-punctured torus bundles (which was our original motivation; most of the techniques used in the proof can be applied to higher genus surface bundles). To state the theorem precisely, let  $M_\varphi$  be the once-punctured torus bundle over  $S^1$  with monodromy  $\varphi$  and  $\alpha : \pi_1(M_\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the epimorphism induced from the projection map  $M_\varphi \rightarrow S^1$ . We choose an ideal triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  of  $M_\varphi$  as the canonical triangulation (also called the monodromy triangulation) [FH82, Lac03, Gue06].

**Theorem 1.3.** *The twisted 1-loop invariant  $\tau^{\mathrm{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t)$  equals to the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial  $\tau(M_\varphi, t)$  of  $M_\varphi$  for all hyperbolic once-punctured torus bundles  $M_\varphi$ .*

As a corollary of Theorem 1.3, we obtain that the 1-loop conjecture [DG13, Conj.1.8] holds for all hyperbolic once-punctured torus bundles.

**Corollary 1.4.** *The 1-loop invariant  $\tau_\gamma^{\mathrm{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi)$  equals to the adjoint Reidemeister torsion  $\tau_\gamma(M_\varphi)$  of  $M_\varphi$  for all peripheral curves  $\gamma$  and hyperbolic once-punctured torus bundles  $M_\varphi$ .*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly recall the definition of the twisted 1-loop invariant. In Section 3, we present an alternative definition of the twisted 1-loop invariant in terms of the Jacobian of Ptolemy coordinates. In Section 4, we explicitly compute the twisted 1-loop invariant (Section 4.2) and Ptolemy assignments (Section 4.3) for once-punctured torus bundles and present a formula for the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial in terms of Ptolemy assignments (Section 4.4). Based on computations in Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4 in Section 5.

**Acknowledgments.** The author would like to thank Jinsung Park who gave motivation of this work in the first place, and Stavros Garoufalidis, Hyuk Kim, Seonhwa Kim and Joan Porti for their helpful comments. The author was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the NRF of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education (2020R1A6A3A03037901).

## 2. THE TWISTED 1-LOOP INVARIANT

Let  $M$  be a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold equipped with an epimorphism  $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$  be an ideal triangulation of  $M$ . Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be the infinite cyclic cover of  $M$  corresponding to the kernel of  $\alpha$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$  be the ideal triangulation of  $\widetilde{M}$  induced from  $\mathcal{T}$ . We index the edges  $e_i$  and the tetrahedra  $\Delta_j$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  by  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$  (the number of tetrahedra is equal to that of edges, as the Euler characteristic of  $M$  is zero). We choose a fundamental domain of  $M$  in  $\widetilde{M}$  and denote by  $\widetilde{e}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\Delta}_j$  the lifts of  $e_i$  and  $\Delta_j$ , respectively, to the fundamental domain. Then an edge and a tetrahedron of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$  are given by  $g^k \cdot \widetilde{e}_i$  and  $g^k \cdot \widetilde{\Delta}_j$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$  where  $g$  is a generator of the deck transformation group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\widetilde{M}$ .

A quad type of a tetrahedron is a pair of opposite edges; hence each tetrahedron has three different quad types. We choose a quad type and an orientation of each  $\Delta_j$  so that each edge of  $\Delta_j$  admits a shape parameter among

$$z_j, \quad z'_j = \frac{1}{1 - z_j}, \quad z''_j = 1 - \frac{1}{z_j} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\}$$

with opposite edges having same parameters (see Figure 1). We denote by  $\square$  the three pairs of opposite edges of a tetrahedron so that the edges of  $\square$  are assigned to the shape parameter  $z^\square$ .




FIGURE 1. An ideal tetrahedron with shape parameters  $z^\square$ .

The gluing equation matrices  $\mathbf{G}^\square$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  are the  $N \times N$  matrices (whose rows and columns are indexed by the edges and by the tetrahedra of  $\mathcal{T}$  respectively and) whose  $(i, j)$ -entry  $\mathbf{G}_{ij}^\square$  is the number of edges of  $\Delta_j$  with parameter  $z_j^\square$  that are incident to  $e_i$  in  $\mathcal{T}$ . The gluing equation matrices basically show which tetrahedra are attached to  $e_i$ . In particular, the gluing equation of  $e_i$  is given by

$$(1) \quad e_i : \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{\mathbf{G}_{ij}^\square} z_j'^{\mathbf{G}'_{ij}^\square} z_j''^{\mathbf{G}''_{ij}^\square} = 1.$$

In a similar manner, we consider tetrahedra of  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  that are attached to  $\tilde{e}_i$ . Precisely, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $\mathbf{G}_k^\square$  be the  $N \times N$  matrix whose  $(i, j)$ -entry is the number of edges of  $g^k \cdot \tilde{\Delta}_j$  with parameter  $z_j^\square$  that are incident to  $\tilde{e}_i$  in  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$ . We then define the *twisted gluing equation matrices*  $\mathbf{G}^\square(t)$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  by

$$\mathbf{G}^\square(t) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{G}_k^\square t^k.$$

Note that entries of  $\mathbf{G}^\square(t)$  are in  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$  and that  $\mathbf{G}^\square(t)$  reduces to  $\mathbf{G}^\square$  when  $t = 1$ .

A peripheral curve is an oriented, homotopically non-trivial, simple closed curve in the peripheral torus of  $M$ . Each peripheral curve  $\gamma$  is associated with three row vectors  $\mathbf{C}_\gamma^\square \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  that describe its completeness equation as

$$(2) \quad \gamma : \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{\mathbf{C}_{\gamma j}^\square} z_j'^{\mathbf{C}'_{\gamma j}^\square} z_j''^{\mathbf{C}''_{\gamma j}^\square} = 1$$

where  $\mathbf{C}_{\gamma j}^\square$  is the  $j$ -th component of  $\mathbf{C}_\gamma^\square$ . We say that a point  $(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, 1\})^N$  is a *solution* of  $\mathcal{T}$  if it satisfies the gluing equation (1) for all edges of  $\mathcal{T}$  and the completeness equation (2) for all peripheral curves of  $M$  and that a solution is *geometric* if its holonomy representation  $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  is the geometric representation of  $M$ . Throughout the paper, we assume that a geometric solution of  $\mathcal{T}$  exists.

A *combinatorial flattening* of  $\mathcal{T}$  consists of three column vectors  $f^\square \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  satisfying

$$(3) \quad f + f' + f'' = (1, \dots, 1)^T,$$

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{G}f + \mathbf{G}'f' + \mathbf{G}''f'' = (2, \dots, 2)^T,$$

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{C}_\gamma f + \mathbf{C}'_\gamma f' + \mathbf{C}''_\gamma f'' = 0$$

for all peripheral curves  $\gamma$ . Note that every ideal triangulation has a combinatorial flattening [Neu92, Thm.4.5].

**Definition 2.1** ([GY21]). With the above notation, the *twisted 1-loop invariant*  $\tau^{\mathrm{CS}}(\mathcal{T}, t)$  of  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined as

$$(6) \quad \tau^{\mathrm{CS}}(\mathcal{T}, t) := \frac{\det(\mathbf{G}(t) \mathrm{diag}(\zeta) + \mathbf{G}'(t) \mathrm{diag}(\zeta') + \mathbf{G}''(t) \mathrm{diag}(\zeta''))}{\prod_{j=1}^N \zeta_j^{f_j} \zeta_j'^{f_j'} \zeta_j''^{f_j''}} \in \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$$

where the right-hand side is evaluated at the geometric solution of  $\mathcal{T}$ ,

$$(7) \quad \zeta_j = \frac{d \log z_j}{dz_j} = \frac{1}{z_j}, \quad \zeta_j' = \frac{d \log z_j'}{dz_j} = \frac{1}{1 - z_j}, \quad \zeta_j'' = \frac{d \log z_j''}{dz_j} = \frac{1}{z_j(z_j - 1)},$$

and  $\text{diag}(\zeta^\square)$  is the diagonal matrix with diagonal entries  $\zeta_1^\square, \dots, \zeta_n^\square$ .

Several choices, such as quad types of  $\Delta_j$ , a fundamental domain of  $M$  and a flattening of  $\mathcal{T}$ , are involved in the right-hand side of Equation (6). However, it turns out that the twisted 1-loop invariant  $\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}, t)$  is well-defined up to multiplication of units in  $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ . Furthermore, it is invariant under 2–3 Pachner moves. We refer to [GY21] for details.

**Remark 2.2.** The logarithmic form of the gluing equation (1) is

$$(8) \quad e_i : \sum_{j=1}^N \mathbf{G}_{ij} \log z_j + \mathbf{G}'_{ij} \log z'_j + \mathbf{G}''_{ij} \log z''_j = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}.$$

Since we fixed a fundamental domain, say  $D$ , of  $M$ , the infinite cyclic cover  $\widetilde{M}$  is divided into  $g^k \cdot D$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Accordingly, the left-hand side of Equation (8) is divided with respect to  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ : the contribution from  $g^k \cdot D$  is

$$\sum_{j=1}^N (\mathbf{G}_k)_{ij} \log z_j + (\mathbf{G}'_k)_{ij} \log z'_j + (\mathbf{G}''_k)_{ij} \log z''_j.$$

It naturally allows us to associate a Laurent polynomial  $E_i$  to each edge  $e_i$

$$E_i = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N (\mathbf{G}_k)_{ij} \log z_j + (\mathbf{G}'_k)_{ij} \log z'_j + (\mathbf{G}''_k)_{ij} \log z''_j \right) t^k$$

which reduces to the left-hand side of Equation (8) when  $t = 1$ . It follows from the definition (7) that

$$(\mathbf{G}(t) \text{diag}(\zeta) + \mathbf{G}'(t) \text{diag}(\zeta') + \mathbf{G}''(t) \text{diag}(\zeta''))_{ij} = \frac{\partial E_i}{\partial z_j}$$

for  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ .

### 3. THE JACOBIAN OF $\sigma$ -PTOLEMY COORDINATES

In this section, we present an alternative definition of the twisted 1-loop invariant in terms of the Jacobian of  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy coordinates (see Theorem 3.7 below).

**3.1. A single tetrahedron.** We first consider a single tetrahedron  $\Delta$  with its *truncation*  $\overline{\Delta}$ , a polyhedron obtained from  $\Delta$  by chopping off its vertices. There are two types of edges in  $\overline{\Delta}$ : an edge of a triangular face is called a *short-edge* and an edge that is not a short-edge is called a *long-edge*. Let  $\delta\overline{\Delta}$  be the union of four triangular faces of  $\overline{\Delta}$  so that the edges of  $\delta\overline{\Delta}$  are the short-edges of  $\overline{\Delta}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  for  $X \in \{\Delta, \overline{\Delta}, \delta\overline{\Delta}\}$  the set of oriented edges of  $X$ . It is clear that  $\mathcal{E}(\delta\overline{\Delta}) \subset \mathcal{E}(\overline{\Delta})$  and that  $\mathcal{E}(\Delta)$  is identified with  $\mathcal{E}(\overline{\Delta}) \setminus \mathcal{E}(\delta\overline{\Delta})$  in an obvious way.

We say that an assignment  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\delta\overline{\Delta}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  of a sign to each oriented short-edge is a *cocycle* if

- $\sigma(-e) = \sigma(e)^{-1}$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\delta\overline{\Delta})$  where  $-e$  is the same edge  $e$  but with the reversed orientation;
- $\sigma(e_1)\sigma(e_2)\sigma(e_3) = 1$  if  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  form the boundary of a 2-cell of  $\delta\overline{\Delta}$  in any cyclic order.

In fact, the orientations of short-edges do not matter as the target group is  $\{\pm 1\}$ .

**Definition 3.1** ([Yoo19]). For a cocycle  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\delta\overline{\Delta}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  an assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is called a  *$\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment (or coordinates)* if  $c(-e) = -c(e)$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\Delta)$  and

$$(9) \quad c(l_{02})c(l_{13}) - \frac{\sigma(s_{031})\sigma(s_{302})}{\sigma(s_{312})\sigma(s_{021})} c(l_{03})c(l_{12}) - \frac{\sigma(s_{013})\sigma(s_{102})}{\sigma(s_{132})\sigma(s_{023})} c(l_{01})c(l_{23}) = 0$$

where  $l_{ij}$  is the oriented edge of  $\Delta = [v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3]$  starting from the vertex  $v_i$  and heading to  $v_j$  and  $s_{ijk}$  is the oriented short-edge starting from  $l_{ij}$  and heading to  $l_{jk}$  as in Figure 2. We refer to Equation (9) as the  *$\sigma$ -Ptolemy equation*  $p_\Delta^\sigma$  of  $\Delta$ .

It is shown in [Yoo19] that a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  determines a cocycle  $\rho : \mathcal{E}(\overline{\Delta}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and the shape of  $\Delta$ . Precisely, the cocycle  $\rho$  is given by

$$(10) \quad \rho(l_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -c(l_{ij})^{-1} \\ c(l_{ij}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(s_{ijk}) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(s_{ijk}) & -\frac{\sigma(s_{kij})}{\sigma(s_{jki})} \frac{c(l_{ki})}{c(l_{jk})} \\ 0 & \sigma(s_{ijk})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$




FIGURE 2. An edge notation for a truncated tetrahedron.

for Figure 2 and the shape parameter  $z$  at the edge  $l_{13}$  is given by

$$(11) \quad z = -\frac{\sigma(s_{103})\sigma(s_{321})}{\sigma(s_{032})\sigma(s_{210})} \frac{c(l_{01})c(l_{23})}{c(l_{03})c(l_{12})}.$$

We refer to [Yoo19, Sec.2] for details.

3.1.1. *The Jacobian of the  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy equation.* We choose a quad type of  $\Delta$  and an orientation of each edge of  $\Delta$ . We denote by  $e_0^\square$  and  $e_1^\square$  two edges of  $\Delta$  with shape parameter  $z^\square$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** With the above notation, we have

$$\frac{c(e_i^\square)}{c(e_0)c(e_1)} \frac{\partial p_\Delta^\sigma}{\partial c(e_i^\square)} = \pm \frac{\zeta^\square}{\zeta}$$

for  $i = 0, 1$  and any  $\square$  where the sign  $\pm$  does not depend on  $i$  and  $\square$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the edges of  $\Delta$  are oriented as in Figure 2 and a quad type of  $\Delta$  is given by  $(e_0, e_1) = (l_{02}, l_{13})$  so that  $(e'_0, e'_1) = (l_{01}, l_{23})$  and  $(e''_0, e''_1) = (l_{03}, l_{12})$ . It follows that for  $i = 0, 1$

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{c(e_i)}{c(e_0)c(e_1)} \frac{\partial p_\Delta^\sigma}{\partial c(e_i)} &= 1 = \frac{\zeta}{\zeta}, \\ \frac{c(e'_i)}{c(e_0)c(e_1)} \frac{\partial p_\Delta^\sigma}{\partial c(e'_i)} &= -\frac{\sigma(s_{013})\sigma(s_{102})}{\sigma(s_{132})\sigma(s_{023})} \frac{c(l_{01})c(l_{23})}{c(l_{02})c(l_{13})} \\ &= -\frac{c(l_{01})c(l_{23})}{\frac{\sigma(s_{132})\sigma(s_{023})}{\sigma(s_{013})\sigma(s_{102})} \frac{\sigma(s_{031})\sigma(s_{302})}{\sigma(s_{312})\sigma(s_{021})} c(l_{03})c(l_{12}) + c(l_{01})c(l_{23})} \\ &= \frac{1}{1/z - 1} = \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{c(e''_i)}{c(e_0)c(e_1)} \frac{\partial p_\Delta^\sigma}{\partial c(e''_i)} &= -\frac{\sigma(s_{031})\sigma(s_{302})}{\sigma(s_{312})\sigma(s_{021})} \frac{c(l_{03})c(l_{12})}{c(l_{02})c(l_{13})} \\ &= -\frac{c(l_{03})c(l_{12})}{c(l_{03})c(l_{12}) + \frac{\sigma(s_{312})\sigma(s_{021})}{\sigma(s_{031})\sigma(s_{302})} \frac{\sigma(s_{013})\sigma(s_{102})}{\sigma(s_{132})\sigma(s_{023})} c(l_{01})c(l_{23})} \\ &= \frac{1}{-1 + z} = \frac{\zeta''}{\zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that Equations (12) and (13) are followed from Equation (11) with the fact that  $\sigma$  is a cocycle. If we change orientations of some edges of  $\Delta$ , then there could be an additional sign in the above computation, but it would not depend on  $i$  and  $\square$ . We compute the other quad types  $(l_{01}, l_{23})$  and  $(l_{03}, l_{12})$  of  $\Delta$  similarly.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $(f, f', f'') \in \mathbb{Z}^3$  be a triple of integers satisfying  $f + f' + f'' = 1$ . Then we have

$$(14) \quad \frac{c(e_i^\square)}{c_\Delta^f} \frac{\partial p_\Delta^\sigma}{\partial c(e_i^\square)} = \pm \frac{\zeta^\square}{\zeta_\Delta^f}$$

for  $i = 0, 1$  and any  $\square$  where the sign  $\pm$  does not depend on  $i$  and  $\square$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} c_{\Delta}^f &:= c(e_0)^f c(e_1)^f c(e'_0)^{f'} c(e'_1)^{f'} c(e''_0)^{f''} c(e''_1)^{f''}, \\ \zeta_{\Delta}^f &:= \zeta^f \zeta^{f'} \zeta^{f''}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 3.2, suppose that a quad type of  $\Delta$  is  $(e_0, e_1) = (l_{02}, l_{13})$  so that  $(e'_0, e'_1) = (l_{01}, l_{23})$  and  $(e''_0, e''_1) = (l_{03}, l_{12})$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^f \zeta^{f'} \zeta^{f''} &= \zeta^{1-f'-f''} \zeta^{f'} \zeta^{f''} \\ &= \zeta \left( \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta} \right)^{f'} \left( \frac{\zeta''}{\zeta} \right)^{f''} \\ &= \pm \zeta \left( \frac{c(l_{01})c(l_{23})}{c(l_{02})c(l_{13})} \right)^{f'} \left( \frac{c(l_{03})c(l_{12})}{c(l_{02})c(l_{13})} \right)^{f''} \\ &= \pm \zeta \cdot \frac{c(e_0)^f c(e_1)^f c(e'_0)^{f'} c(e'_1)^{f'} c(e''_0)^{f''} c(e''_1)^{f''}}{c(e_0)c(e_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

where the sign  $\pm$  above only depends on the cocycle  $\sigma$  and the triple  $(f, f', f'')$ . Combining this with Lemma 3.2, we obtain Equation (14).  $\square$

Note that Lemma 3.3 reduces to Lemma 3.2 when  $(f, f', f'') = (1, 0, 0)$ .

**3.2.  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignments for a 3-manifold.** The notion of  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment in Section 3.1 naturally extends to an ideal triangulation of a 3-manifold [Yoo19]. We briefly recall its definition with some properties that we need in the following sections.

Let  $M$  be a 1-cusped hyperbolic 3-manifold and  $\overline{M}$  be the compact manifold with a torus boundary whose interior is homeomorphic to  $M$ . An ideal triangulation  $\mathcal{T}$  of  $M$  endows  $\overline{M}$  with a decomposition into truncated tetrahedra whose triangular faces triangulate the boundary  $\partial\overline{M}$  of  $\overline{M}$ . For simplicity we confuse this decomposition of  $\overline{M}$  as well as that of  $\partial\overline{M}$  with its underlying space. We denote by  $\mathcal{E}(X)$  for  $X \in \{\mathcal{T}, \overline{M}, \partial\overline{M}\}$  the set of oriented edges of  $X$ .

**Definition 3.4** ([Yoo19]). For a cocycle  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\overline{M}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  an assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is called a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment (or coordinates) if it satisfies  $c(-e) = -c(e)$  for all  $e \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T})$  and the  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy equation for all tetrahedra of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

As in Equation (10), a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  determines a cocycle  $\rho : \mathcal{E}(\overline{M}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  and thus induces a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  (also denoted by  $\rho$ ) up to conjugation. We obtain a map  $\Phi$  from the set  $P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  of all  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignments of  $\mathcal{T}$  to the  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety  $\mathcal{X}(M)$  of  $M$

$$(15) \quad \Phi : P^\sigma(\mathcal{T}) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M)$$

by sending  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  to the conjugacy class of  $\rho$ . Note that by the definition (10) the representation  $\rho$  satisfies (up to conjugation)

$$(16) \quad \rho(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(\gamma) & * \\ 0 & \sigma(\gamma)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \pi_1(\partial\overline{M})$$

where  $\sigma : \pi_1(\partial\overline{M}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is the homomorphism (also denoted by  $\sigma$ ) induced from the cocycle  $\sigma$ .

**Remark 3.5.** As the  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy equation (9) is homogeneous, the set  $P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  admits the multiplication by a non-zero complex number acting diagonally. It is proved in [Yoo19, Prop.2.6] that  $\Phi(k \cdot c) = \Phi(c)$  for all  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  and  $k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ .

As in Equation (11), a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  determines the shape parameters of tetrahedra of  $\mathcal{T}$ . These shape parameters automatically form a solution of  $\mathcal{T}$ , and its holonomy representation admits an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -lift whose conjugacy class is  $\Phi(c) \in \mathcal{X}(M)$ . Conversely, a standard pseudo-developing map argument shows the following (see [Yoo19, Sec.2.3] or [GTZ15, Thm.1.12] for details).

**Proposition 3.6** ([Yoo19]). Let  $z_1, \dots, z_N$  be a solution of  $\mathcal{T}$  whose holonomy representation admits an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -lift  $\rho$ . Then for any cocycle  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\overline{M}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  satisfying Equation (16) there exists  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  corresponding to the solution.

The geometric representation of a hyperbolic 3-manifold always has an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -lift [CS83, Prop.3.1.1]. We say that a cocycle  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\overline{M}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is an *obstruction cocycle* if it satisfies Equation (16) for a lift  $\rho$  of the geometric representation of  $M$ . It follows from Proposition 3.6 that for any obstruction cocycle  $\sigma$  there exists  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  corresponding to the geometric solution of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**3.3. An alternative definition of the twisted 1-loop invariant.** We now fix an epimorphism  $\alpha : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\widetilde{M}$  be the infinite cyclic cover of  $M$  corresponding to the kernel of  $\alpha$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$  be the ideal triangulation of  $\widetilde{M}$  induced from  $\mathcal{T}$ . We choose a fundamental domain of  $M$  in  $\widetilde{M}$  and denote by  $\tilde{e}_i$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}_j$  the lifts of  $e_i$  and  $\Delta_j$ , respectively, to the fundamental domain (recall that  $e_1, \dots, e_N$  and  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_N$  are the edges and the tetrahedra of  $\mathcal{T}$ ). It is clear that  $\tilde{e}_1, \dots, \tilde{e}_N$  are edges of  $\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_N$  but those are not all of the edges of  $\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_N$ . We denote by  $\tilde{e}_{N+1}, \dots, \tilde{e}_{N+L}$  the other edges of  $\tilde{\Delta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\Delta}_N$ . It follows that for  $N+1 \leq i \leq N+L$  there exists a unique pair of  $1 \leq j(i) \leq N$  and  $k(i) \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$(17) \quad \tilde{e}_i = g^{k(i)} \cdot \tilde{e}_{j(i)}$$

where  $g$  is a generator of the deck transformation group  $\mathbb{Z}$  of  $\widetilde{M}$ .

We choose an orientation of each edge of  $\mathcal{T}$  and orient the edges of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$  accordingly. We then assign a variable  $c_i$  to each  $\tilde{e}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N+L$ ) and define an element  $p_i^\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}[c_1, \dots, c_{N+L}, t^{\pm 1}]$  as follows.

- let  $p_i^\sigma$  be the left-hand side of the  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy equation (9) of  $\tilde{\Delta}_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ ;
- let  $p_i^\sigma = c_i - t^{-k(i)} c_{j(i)}$  for  $N+1 \leq i \leq N+L$ .

For  $N+1 \leq i \leq N+L$  we often write  $p_i^\sigma(t)$  instead of  $p_i^\sigma$  if we need to specify the value of the variable  $t$ . For instance, there is an obvious bijection

$$P^\sigma(\mathcal{T}) \simeq \{(c_1, \dots, c_{N+L}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{N+L} : p_1^\sigma = \dots = p_N^\sigma = p_{N+1}^\sigma(1) = \dots = p_{N+L}^\sigma(1) = 0\}$$

sending  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T})$  to  $(c_1, \dots, c_{N+L})$  where  $c_i = c(e_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and  $c_i = c_{j(i)}$  for  $N+1 \leq i \leq N+L$ . In particular, if  $\sigma$  is an obstruction cocycle, then there exists a point  $(c_1, \dots, c_{N+L}) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{N+L}$  corresponding to the geometric solution of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** For an obstruction cocycle  $\sigma$  we have

$$\tau^{\mathrm{CS}}(\mathcal{T}, t) = \pm \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_N} \det \left( \frac{\partial p_i^\sigma}{\partial c_j} \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N+L$$

where the right-hand side is evaluated at a point  $(c_1, \dots, c_{N+L})$  corresponding to the geometric solution of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

*Proof.* For  $N+1 \leq i \leq N+L$  we have

$$(18) \quad \frac{\partial p_i^\sigma}{\partial c_j} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = i \\ -t^{-k(i)} & \text{if } j = j(i) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Thus adding  $t^{-k(i)}$  times the  $i$ -column of the jacobian matrix  $(\partial p_i^\sigma / \partial c_j)$  to the  $j(i)$ -th column, we have

$$\left( \begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{Y} & * \\ \hline \mathbf{0} & \mathrm{Id}_L \end{array} \right)$$

where  $\mathrm{Id}_L$  is the identity matrix of size  $L$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$  is a square matrix of size  $N$  with  $\det \mathbf{Y} = \det \left( \frac{\partial p_i^\sigma}{\partial c_j} \right)$ .

Let  $f^\square = (f_1^\square, \dots, f_N^\square) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  be a combinatorial flattening of  $\mathcal{T}$ . We claim that

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathrm{diag}(\pm c_1, \dots, \pm c_N) \mathbf{Y}^T \mathrm{diag}(c_{\tilde{\Delta}_1}^{f_1}, \dots, c_{\tilde{\Delta}_N}^{f_N})^{-1} \\ &= (\mathbf{G}(t) \mathrm{diag}(\zeta) + \mathbf{G}'(t) \mathrm{diag}(\zeta') + \mathbf{G}''(t) \mathrm{diag}(\zeta'')) \mathrm{diag}(\zeta_{\tilde{\Delta}_1}^{f_1}, \dots, \zeta_{\tilde{\Delta}_N}^{f_N})^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{\tilde{\Delta}_i}^{f_i}$  and  $\zeta_{\tilde{\Delta}_i}^{f_i}$  are the notations defined in Lemma 3.3. We fix  $1 \leq I \leq N$  and let  $N+1 \leq I_1, \dots, I_m \leq N+L$  be the indices satisfying  $j(I_1) = \dots = j(I_m) = I$ . This means that the edges  $\tilde{e}_{I_1}, \dots, \tilde{e}_{I_m}$  are lifts of the edge  $e_I$ .

Then we have

$$\mathbf{Y}_{JI} = \frac{\partial p_J^\sigma}{\partial c_I} + \frac{\partial p_J^\sigma}{\partial c_{I_1}} t^{-k(I_1)} + \dots + \frac{\partial p_J^\sigma}{\partial c_{I_m}} t^{-k(I_m)}$$

for  $1 \leq J \leq N$ , and since  $c_I = c_{I_1} = \dots = c_{I_m}$ ,

$$(20) \quad \frac{c_I}{c_{\tilde{\Delta}_J}^{f_J}} \mathbf{Y}_{JI} = \frac{c_I}{c_{\tilde{\Delta}_J}^{f_J}} \frac{\partial p_J^\sigma}{\partial c_I} + \frac{c_{I_1}}{c_{\tilde{\Delta}_J}^{f_J}} \frac{\partial p_J^\sigma}{\partial c_{I_1}} t^{-k(I_1)} + \dots + \frac{c_{I_m}}{c_{\tilde{\Delta}_J}^{f_J}} \frac{\partial p_J^\sigma}{\partial c_{I_m}} t^{-k(I_m)}.$$

A tetrahedron  $\Delta_J$  is attached to  $e_I$  if and only if  $\tilde{\Delta}_J$  is attached to one of  $\tilde{e}_I, \tilde{e}_{I_1}, \dots, \tilde{e}_{I_m}$ . Also, a tetrahedron  $\tilde{\Delta}_J$  is attached to  $\tilde{e}_{I_l}$  for some  $1 \leq l \leq m$  if and only if  $g^{-k(I_l)} \cdot \tilde{\Delta}_J$  is attached to  $\tilde{e}_I$ . Combining this fact with Equation (20), we obtain Equation (19) from Lemma 3.3. Therefore,

$$(21) \quad \pm \frac{c_1 \cdots c_N}{c_{\tilde{\Delta}_1}^{f_1} \cdots c_{\tilde{\Delta}_N}^{f_N}} \det \mathbf{Y} = \frac{\det (\mathbf{G}(t) \operatorname{diag}(\zeta) + \mathbf{G}'(t) \operatorname{diag}(\zeta') + \mathbf{G}''(t) \operatorname{diag}(\zeta''))}{\zeta_{\tilde{\Delta}_1}^{f_1} \cdots \zeta_{\tilde{\Delta}_N}^{f_N}} = \tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}, t).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$c_{\tilde{\Delta}_1}^{f_1} \cdots c_{\tilde{\Delta}_N}^{f_N} = c_1^2 \cdots c_N^2$$

since  $(f, f', f'')$  satisfies  $\mathbf{G}f + \mathbf{G}'f' + \mathbf{G}''f'' = (2, \dots, 2)^T$ . This completes the proof:

$$(22) \quad \tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}, t) = \pm \frac{c_1 \cdots c_N}{c_{\tilde{\Delta}_1}^{f_1} \cdots c_{\tilde{\Delta}_N}^{f_N}} \det \mathbf{Y} = \pm \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_N} \det \mathbf{Y} = \pm \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_N} \det \left( \frac{\partial p_i^\sigma}{\partial c_j} \right).$$

□

Combining Theorem 3.7 with [GY21, Thm.1.7], we also obtain an alternative expression of the 1-loop invariant [DG13] in terms of  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy coordinates:

**Corollary 3.8.** *We have*

$$\tau_\lambda^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}) = \pm \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_N} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=1} \det \left( \frac{\partial p_i^\sigma}{\partial c_j} \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N + L$$

where  $\lambda$  is the peripheral curve satisfying  $\alpha(\lambda) = 0$ .

**Remark 3.9.** A combinatorial flattening is required to satisfy the three conditions (3), (4) and (5). However, we only used the first and second conditions to obtain Theorem 3.7 which provides an expression of the twisted 1-loop invariant without using a flattening. This implies that we actually do not need the third condition (5) in the first place.

#### 4. ONCE-PUNCTURED TORUS BUNDLES

Let  $F$  be the once-punctured torus and  $G$  be the mapping class group of  $F$ . For  $\varphi \in G$  we denote by  $M_\varphi$  the  $F$ -bundle over the circle  $S^1$  whose monodromy is  $\varphi$ , i.e.,

$$M_\varphi = F \times [0, 1] / \sim$$

where the quotient  $\sim$  identifies  $F \times \{0\}$  with  $F \times \{1\}$  by a diffeomorphism of  $F$  whose isotopy class is  $\varphi$ . It is well-known that  $G$  is identified with  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and that  $M_\varphi$  is hyperbolic if  $\varphi \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  has distinct real eigenvalues. Also, up to conjugation we can write

$$\varphi = \underbrace{R \cdots R}_{s_1} \underbrace{L \cdots L}_{t_1} \cdots \underbrace{R \cdots R}_{s_n} \underbrace{L \cdots L}_{t_n}$$

for some positive integers  $s_1, t_1, \dots, s_n, t_n$  where

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by  $\varphi_i \in \{R, L\}$  the  $i$ -th letter of  $\varphi$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N = s_1 + t_1 + \dots + s_n + t_n$ , and let  $\varphi_0 := \varphi_N$ . For the sake of simple exposition, we assume that  $t_n \geq 2$ . The other case  $t_n = 1$  has only minor variants.

**4.1. The canonical triangulation of  $M_\varphi$ .** The canonical triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  of  $M_\varphi$  consists of  $N$  ideal tetrahedra. Letting  $M_0$  be the once-punctured torus, we inductively construct a manifold  $M_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) by attaching an



ideal tetrahedron  $\Delta_i$  to  $M_{i-1}$  where the way of attaching  $\Delta_i$  depends on whether  $\varphi_{i-1}$  is  $R$  or  $L$  as in Figure 3. Then the manifold  $M_\varphi$  is obtained from  $M_N$  by identifying the remaining faces of the last tetrahedron  $\Delta_N$  with the initial surface  $M_0$  in a trivial way. We refer to [Gue06] for details. We denote by  $e_i$  the new edge (the bold edge in Figure 3) created when we attach  $\Delta_i$  to  $M_{i-1}$  and by  $z_i$  the shape parameter of  $\Delta_i$  at  $e_i$ .




FIGURE 3. Four copies of  $F$  and the way of attaching  $\Delta_i$ .

The canonical triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  induces the triangulation of the peripheral torus of  $M_\varphi$  where each  $\Delta_i$  provides four triangles (see Figure 4). We refer to [Gue06, Sec.4] for a detailed description of this triangulation. Each edge  $e_i$  appears in this triangulation as a vertex and thus the gluing equation of  $e_i$  can be easily computed from the triangles adjacent to the vertex:

$$(23) \quad e_i : \begin{cases} z_{\bar{i}} (z'_{i+1})^2 \cdots (z'_{i+j})^2 z_{\overline{i+j+1}} = 1 & \text{if } \varphi_i = R \\ z_{\bar{i}} (z''_{i+1})^2 \cdots (z''_{i+j})^2 z_{\overline{i+j+1}} = 1 & \text{if } \varphi_i = L \end{cases}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  where  $1 \leq \bar{k} \leq N$  denotes the number congruent to  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  in modulo  $N$  and  $j \geq 1$  is the smallest integer satisfying  $\varphi_{\overline{i+j}} = \varphi_{\bar{i}}$ . Remark that we have  $i + j + 1 \leq N$  so that we actually do not need the overline symbols in Equation (23) for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  but  $i = N - t_n$  (when  $\varphi_i$  is the last  $R$  in  $\varphi$ ) and  $i = N - 1, N$  (when  $\varphi_i$  is one of the last two  $L$ 's in  $\varphi$ ). Without using the overline symbol, we have

$$(24) \quad e_i : \begin{cases} z_i (z'_{i+1})^2 \cdots (z'_{i+j})^2 z_{i+j+1} = 1 & \text{if } \varphi_i = R \\ z_i (z''_{i+1})^2 \cdots (z''_{i+j})^2 z_{i+j+1} = 1 & \text{if } \varphi_i = L \end{cases}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  but  $i = N - t_n, N - 1, N$  where the rest cases are

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{N-t_n} : & \quad z_{N-t_n} (z'_{N-t_n+1})^2 \cdots (z'_{N-t_n})^2 \times (z'_1)^2 z_2 = 1, \\ e_{N-1} : & \quad z_{N-1} (z''_N)^2 \times z_1 = 1, \\ e_N : & \quad z_N \times (z''_1)^2 (z''_2)^2 \cdots (z''_{t_1+1})^2 z_{t_1+2} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Here we put  $\times$  intentionally to distinguish the indices that come after  $N$ . This would be helpful for understanding Lemma 4.2 below.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $\varphi = R^2 L^3$ . The canonical triangulation  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  has 5 edges whose gluing equations are

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 : & \quad z_1 (z'_2)^2 z_3 = 1, \\ e_2 : & \quad z_2 (z'_3)^2 (z'_4)^2 (z'_5)^2 \times (z'_1)^2 z_2 = 1, \\ e_3 : & \quad z_3 (z''_4)^2 z_5 = 1, \\ e_4 : & \quad z_4 (z''_5)^2 \times z_1 = 1, \\ e_5 : & \quad z_5 \times (z''_1)^2 (z''_2)^2 (z''_3)^2 z_4 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose peripheral curves  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  as in Figure 4, then their completeness equations are

$$(26) \quad \mu : (z'_1)^{-1} (z''_2)^{-1} z'_3 z'_4 z'_5 = 1,$$

$$(27) \quad \lambda : (z_1 (z''_2)^{-1} (z''_3)^{-1} z_4^{-1} (z''_3)^{-1} z_2)^2 = 1.$$

Note that  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  correspond to the base circle of the bundle  $M_\varphi \rightarrow S^1$  and the puncture of  $F$ , respectively and that they generate the fundamental group of the peripheral torus. A numerical computation shows that the geometric




FIGURE 4. The induced triangulation of the peripheral torus for  $\varphi = R^2 L^3$ . The triangles with index  $1 \leq i \leq 5$  are from  $\Delta_i$ , and the dots indicate where the parameter  $z_i$  is assigned.

solution of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  is approximately

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} z_1 &\approx -0.19373 + 0.90574i, & z_2 &\approx 0.80627 + 0.90574i, \\ z_3 &\approx -0.19373 + 0.90574i, & z_4 &\approx 0.35508 + 0.35232i, \\ z_5 &\approx 0.35508 + 0.35232i \end{aligned}$$

with  $\text{Vol}(M_\varphi) = \text{Vol}(\Delta_1) + \cdots + \text{Vol}(\Delta_5) \approx 4.17775$ .

**4.2. The twisted 1-loop invariant of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ .** Let  $\alpha : \pi_1(M_\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the epimorphism induced from the projection map  $M_\varphi \rightarrow S^1$  and  $\widetilde{M}_\varphi$  be the infinite cyclic cover corresponding to the kernel of  $\alpha$ . We identify  $\widetilde{M}_\varphi$  with  $F \times \mathbb{R}$  and choose a fundamental domain of  $M_\varphi$  as  $F \times (0, 1]$ . Recall Section 2 that the choice of the fundamental domain determines twisted gluing equation matrices  $\mathbf{G}^\square(t)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** The  $i$ -th row of  $\mathbf{G}(t) \text{diag}(\zeta) + \mathbf{G}'(t) \text{diag}(\zeta') + \mathbf{G}''(t) \text{diag}(\zeta'')$  is

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} (0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \zeta_i \ 2\zeta'_{i+1} \ \cdots \ 2\zeta'_{i+j} \ \zeta_{i+j+1} \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) & \text{if } \varphi_i = R \\ (0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \zeta_i \ 2\zeta''_{i+1} \ \cdots \ 2\zeta''_{i+j} \ \zeta_{i+j+1} \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) & \text{if } \varphi_i = L \end{cases}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  but  $i = N - t_n, N - 1, N$  where  $j \geq 1$  is the smallest integer satisfying  $\varphi_{i+j} = \varphi_i$ . The rest three rows are

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{the } (N - t_n)\text{-th row : } & (0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \zeta_{N-t_n} \ 2\zeta'_{N-t_n+1} \ \cdots \ 2\zeta'_N) + (2\zeta'_1 \ \zeta_2 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) t, \\ \text{the } (N - 1)\text{-st row : } & (0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \zeta_{N-1} \ 2\zeta''_N) + (\zeta_1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) t, \\ \text{the } N\text{-th row : } & (0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ \zeta_N) + (2\zeta''_1 \ \cdots \ 2\zeta''_{t_1+1} \ \zeta_{t_1+2} \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) t. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\widetilde{e}_i$  and  $\widetilde{\Delta}_j$  denotes the lifts of  $e_i$  and  $\Delta_j$  to the fundamental domain, respectively and that the tetrahedra  $\Delta_{\widetilde{i}}, \dots, \Delta_{\widetilde{i+j+1}}$  are attached to the edge  $e_i$  in  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  (see Equation (23)). Lifting  $e_i$  to  $\widetilde{e}_i$ , these tetrahedra  $\Delta_{\widetilde{k}}$  ( $i \leq k \leq i+j+1$ ) are lifted to  $g^{\frac{k-\widetilde{k}}{N}} \cdot \widetilde{\Delta}_{\widetilde{k}}$  accordingly. Here  $g$  is the generator of the deck transformation group of  $\widetilde{M}_\varphi$  sending the fundamental domain  $F \times (0, 1]$  to  $F \times (1, 2]$ . Since we have  $i+j+1 \leq N$  for  $i \neq N - t_n, N - 1, N$  as in Equation (24), that is, every tetrahedron attached to  $e_i$  is also lifted into the fundamental domain, we obtain Equation (29) from Remark 2.2. Similarly, we obtain Equation (30) from Equation (25).  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** The twisted 1-loop invariant of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  is given by

$$\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = \det \mathbf{X}$$

where  $\mathbf{X}$  is the  $N \times N$  matrix whose  $i$ -th row  $\mathbf{X}_i$  is

$$(31) \quad \mathbf{X}_i = \begin{cases} \left( 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 1 \ \frac{2z_{i+1}}{1-z_{i+1}} \ \cdots \ \frac{2z_{i+j}}{1-z_{i+j}} \ 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \right) & \text{if } \varphi_i = R \\ \left( 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \ 1 \ \frac{2}{z_{i+1}-1} \ \cdots \ \frac{2}{z_{i+j}-1} \ 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \right) & \text{if } \varphi_i = L \end{cases}$$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  but  $i = N - t_n, N - 1, N$  where  $j \geq 1$  is the smallest integer satisfying  $\varphi_{i+j} = \varphi_i$ . The rest rows of  $\mathbf{X}$  are

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{X}_{N-t_n} &= \left( 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \frac{2z_{N-t_n+1}}{1-z_{N-t_n+1}} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{2z_N}{1-z_N} \right) + \left( \frac{2z_1}{1-z_1} \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \right) t, \\ \mathbf{X}_{N-1} &= \left( 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 1 \quad \frac{2}{z_{N-1}-1} \right) + (1 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0) t, \\ \mathbf{X}_N &= (0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \quad 1) + \left( \frac{2}{z_1-1} \quad \cdots \quad \frac{2}{z_{t_1+1}-1} \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \cdots \quad 0 \right) t. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 4.2 with the fact that  $\zeta'_i/\zeta_i = z_i/(1-z_i)$  and  $\zeta''_i/\zeta_i = 1/(z_i-1)$  we have

$$\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{G}(t) \text{diag}(\zeta) + \mathbf{G}'(t) \text{diag}(\zeta') + \mathbf{G}''(t) \text{diag}(\zeta'')) \text{diag}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_N)^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, three vectors  $f = (1, \dots, 1)^T$  and  $f' = f'' = (0, \dots, 0)^T$  satisfy the conditions (3) and (4); it is clear that  $f + f' + f'' = (1, \dots, 1)^T$ , and  $\mathbf{G}f + \mathbf{G}'f' + \mathbf{G}''f'' = \mathbf{G}f = (2, \dots, 2)^T$  since the gluing equation (23) of each edge  $e_i$  has exactly two shape parameters  $z_{\bar{i}}$  and  $z_{i+j+1}$  of the form  $z$ . Then it follows from Remark 3.9 that

$$\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = \frac{\det(\mathbf{G}(t) \text{diag}(\zeta) + \mathbf{G}'(t) \text{diag}(\zeta') + \mathbf{G}''(t) \text{diag}(\zeta''))}{\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_N} = \det \mathbf{X}.$$

□

**Example 4.4** (Continued). Applying the case of  $\varphi = R^2L^3$  to Theorem 4.3, we have

$$(33) \quad \mathbf{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{2z_2}{1-z_2} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{2z_3}{1-z_3} & \frac{2z_4}{1-z_4} & \frac{2z_5}{1-z_5} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{z_4-1} & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{2}{z_5-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2z_1}{1-z_1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{z_1-1} & \frac{2}{z_2-1} & \frac{2}{z_3-1} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} t.$$

An easy exercise is that  $\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = \det \mathbf{X}$  is a polynomial of degree 3 whose leading coefficient and constant term are  $-1$  and  $1$ , respectively. Also, since  $\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, 1) = 0$  [GY21, Thm.1.7], we have  $\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = 1 - \alpha t + \alpha t^2 - t^3$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed, substituting the geometric solution (28) to  $\det \mathbf{X}$ , we obtain

$$(34) \quad \tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) \approx 1.00000 - (31.45667 + 9.44217i)t + (31.45667 + 9.44217i)t^2 - 1.00000t^3.$$

**4.3.  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignments of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ .** We start with the once-punctured torus  $F$  consisting of two ideal triangles. Chopping off the puncture of  $F$ , we obtain the compact surface  $\bar{F}$  consisted of two truncated triangles. We choose an assignment  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\bar{F}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  as in Figure 5 (note that the orientations of short-edges do not matter as the target group is  $\{\pm 1\}$ ). Since we do not have any tetrahedron so far, a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is an assignment simply satisfying  $c(-e) = -c(e)$  for  $e \in \mathcal{E}(F)$ . Choosing the orientations of the edges  $e_{N+1}$ ,  $e_{N+2}$  and  $e_{N+3}$  of  $F$  as in Figure 5, the set  $P^\sigma(F)$  of all  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignments of  $F$  is identified with  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ :

$$P^\sigma(F) \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^3, \quad c \mapsto (c(e_{N+1}), c(e_{N+2}), c(e_{N+3})).$$

Here we use the index starting from  $N+1$  to match it with the ones used in Sections 3.3 and 4.1.




FIGURE 5. The compact surface  $\bar{F}$ .

Recall that a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  determines a cocycle  $\rho : \mathcal{E}(\bar{F}) \rightarrow \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , and thus there is a map  $\Phi$  from  $P^\sigma(F)$  to the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -character variety  $\mathcal{X}(F)$  of  $F$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** The image of  $\Phi$  is contained in  $\text{tr}_\lambda^{-1}(-2)$  where  $\text{tr}_\lambda : \mathcal{X}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the trace function of  $\lambda = \partial\bar{F}$ .

*Proof.* The boundary curve  $\lambda = \partial\bar{F}$  consists of 6 short-edges and the product of signs that  $\sigma$  assigns to them is  $-1$ . It thus follows from Equation (10) that the the image of  $\lambda$  under the representation  $\rho$  is

$$\rho(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & * \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

up to conjugation, hence  $\text{tr}\rho(\lambda) = -2$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.6.** The fundamental group of  $F$  is the free group with two generators, say  $g_1$  and  $g_2$ , and the character variety  $\mathcal{X}(F)$  is identified with  $\mathbb{C}^3$  with coordinates  $\text{tr}_{g_1}$ ,  $\text{tr}_{g_2}$  and  $\text{tr}_{g_1g_2}$ . Using Equation (10), we explicitly compute that

$$\rho(g_1) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x^2+y^2}{xz} & \frac{y}{x^2} \\ y & \frac{z}{x} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{1}{x} \\ x & -\frac{x^2+y^2+z^2}{yz} \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$\text{tr}\rho(g_1) = \frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{xz}, \quad \text{tr}\rho(g_2) = -\frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{yz}, \quad \text{tr}\rho(g_1g_2) = -\frac{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}{xy}.$$

where  $(x, y, z) = (c(e_{N+1}), c(e_{N+2}), c(e_{N+3}))$ . Also, one can double-check that  $\text{tr}\rho(\lambda) = \text{tr}\rho(g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1}) = -2$  and Remark 3.5.

Recall that the manifold  $M_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) is obtained from  $M_{i-1}$  by attaching an ideal tetrahedron  $\Delta_i$  to  $M_{i-1}$  as in Figure 3 ( $M_0$  is the once-punctured torus  $F$ ). For simplicity we confuse the ideal triangulation of  $M_i$  with its underlying space  $M_i$ . We denote by  $\bar{M}_i$  the compact manifold obtained from  $M_i$  by replacing  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_i$  to their truncations  $\bar{\Delta}_1, \dots, \bar{\Delta}_i$ .

We first extend  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\bar{M}_{i-1}) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  to  $\partial\bar{M}_i$  so that the extension  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\bar{M}_i) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  (also denoted by  $\sigma$ ) satisfies the cocycle conditions. Explicitly, the truncated tetrahedron  $\bar{\Delta}_i$  has 12 short-edges, 6 of which are contained in  $\bar{M}_{i-1}$ . Thus there are 6 new short-edges when we attach  $\bar{\Delta}_i$  to  $\bar{M}_{i-1}$ , and we assign  $+1$  to all of these new short-edges but one; assign  $-1$  to the one in the bottom-left as in Figure 6 (see also Figure 5). One easily checks that  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\bar{M}_i) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  is indeed a cocycle (and such an extension is unique).




FIGURE 6. Four copies of  $F$  and the way of attaching  $\Delta_i$ .

We then extend a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(M_{i-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  to  $c : \mathcal{E}(M_i) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  (also denoted by  $c$ ) so that the  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy equation of  $\Delta_i$  is satisfied. Explicitly, if we orient the edges  $e_\alpha, e_\beta, e_\gamma$  and  $e_i$  of  $\Delta_i$  as in Figure 6, then the  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy equation of  $\Delta_i$  is

$$(35) \quad \begin{cases} c(e_i)c(e_\beta) - c(e_\alpha)^2 - c(e_\gamma)^2 = 0 & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = R \\ c(e_i)c(e_\alpha) - c(e_\beta)^2 - c(e_\gamma)^2 = 0 & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = L \end{cases}$$

and therefore

$$(36) \quad c(e_i) = \begin{cases} \frac{c(e_\alpha)^2 + c(e_\gamma)^2}{c(e_\beta)} & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = R \\ \frac{c(e_\beta)^2 + c(e_\gamma)^2}{c(e_\alpha)} & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = L \end{cases}.$$

Note that (as in Section 4.1)  $e_i$  is the new edge created when we attach  $\Delta_i$  to  $M_{i-1}$  and that  $c(e_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq N$  is a rational function in the three initial variables  $c(e_{N+1})$ ,  $c(e_{N+2})$  and  $c(e_{N+3})$ .

**Remark 4.7.** Recall that a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment determines the shapes of tetrahedra. Precisely, for Figure 6 the shape parameter  $z_i$  of  $\Delta_i$  at the edge  $e_i$  is given by

$$(37) \quad z_i = \begin{cases} -c(e_\gamma)^2/c(e_\alpha)^2 & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = R \\ -c(e_\beta)^2/c(e_\gamma)^2 & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = L \end{cases}.$$

The boundary of  $M_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) consists of four ideal triangles. We regard two of them forming the initial punctured torus  $M_0$  as a *negative boundary* and the other two triangles as a *positive boundary*, so that the manifold  $M_\varphi$  is obtained by identifying the negative and positive boundaries of  $M_N$  in a trivial way. It is clear from Figure 6 that  $\sigma$  assigns the signs for the negative and positive boundaries of  $M_i$  in the same fashion. It follows that the cocycle  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\overline{M}_N) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  induces  $\sigma : \mathcal{E}(\partial\overline{M}_\varphi) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  (also denoted by  $\sigma$ ). On the other hand, the triple of horizontal, vertical, and diagonal edges of the negative boundary of  $M_i$  is  $(e_{N+1}, e_{N+2}, e_{N+3})$ . See Figure 5. Such triples for positive boundaries depend on  $i$ , as we attach  $\Delta_i$  to the positive boundary of  $M_{i-1}$  as in Figure 6:

$$(38) \quad \begin{aligned} (e_\alpha, e_\beta, e_\gamma) &\mapsto (e_\alpha, e_\gamma, e_i) && \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = R, \\ (e_\alpha, e_\beta, e_\gamma) &\mapsto (e_\gamma, e_\beta, e_i) && \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = L. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the triple for the positive boundary of  $M_N$  is  $(e_{N-1}, e_{N-t_n}, e_N)$ . Therefore, a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c : \mathcal{E}(M_N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$  is required to satisfy

$$(39) \quad c(e_{N+1}) = c(e_{N-1}), \quad c(e_{N+2}) = c(e_{N-t_n}), \quad c(e_{N+3}) = c(e_N)$$

in order to become a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ . Summing up the above, we obtain:

**Proposition 4.8.** A  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T}_\varphi)$  is represented by a point  $(c(e_1), \dots, c(e_{N+3})) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^{N+3}$  satisfying Equation (36) for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$  and Equation (39).

**Proposition 4.9.** There exists a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T}_\varphi)$  corresponding to the geometric solution of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the cocycle  $\sigma$  is an obstruction cocycle (see Proposition 3.6). Let  $\rho$  be an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -lift of the geometric representation of  $M_\varphi$ . The fundamental group of  $\pi_1(M_\varphi)$  has a presentation

$$\langle g_1, g_2, \mu \mid \mu g_1 \mu^{-1} = \varphi_*(g_1), \mu g_2 \mu^{-1} = \varphi_*(g_2) \rangle$$

where  $\mu$  is the base circle of the bundle  $M_\varphi \rightarrow S^1$  and  $\varphi_* : \pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(F)$  is the isomorphism induced from the monodromy  $\varphi$ . This implies that we may assume that  $\mathrm{tr}\rho(\mu) = 2$ ; if  $\mathrm{tr}\rho(\mu) = -2$ , then we consider another lift  $\bar{\rho}$  defined by  $\bar{\rho}(g_1) = \rho(g_1)$ ,  $\bar{\rho}(g_2) = \rho(g_2)$  and  $\bar{\rho}(\mu) = -\rho(\mu)$ . On the other hand, the geometric representation does not admit an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -lift which is boundary-unipotent [Cal06]. Hence, we have  $\mathrm{tr}\rho(\lambda) = -2$  and

$$(40) \quad \rho(\mu) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(\mu) & * \\ 0 & \sigma(\mu)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(\lambda) & * \\ 0 & \sigma(\lambda)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

up to conjugation. This proves that  $\sigma$  is an obstruction cocycle. □

**Example 4.10 (Continued).** For  $\varphi = R^2L^3$  the set  $P^\sigma(\mathcal{T}_\varphi)$  consists of points  $(c(e_1), \dots, c(e_8)) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^8$  satisfying

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} c(e_1) &= \frac{c(e_7)^2 + c(e_8)^2}{c(e_6)}, & c(e_2) &= \frac{c(e_8)^2 + c(e_1)^2}{c(e_7)}, & c(e_3) &= \frac{c(e_8)^2 + c(e_2)^2}{c(e_1)}, \\ c(e_4) &= \frac{c(e_2)^2 + c(e_3)^2}{c(e_8)}, & c(e_5) &= \frac{c(e_2)^2 + c(e_4)^2}{c(e_3)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(42) \quad c(e_6) = c(e_4), \quad c(e_7) = c(e_2), \quad c(e_8) = c(e_5).$$

As  $P^\sigma(\mathcal{T}_\varphi)$  admits the multiplication action by elements of  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (see Remark 3.5), we may assume that  $c(e_6) = 1$ . Then there are finitely many solutions to Equations (41) and (42). Among them, we find a solution corresponding

to the geometric solution (28) by using Equation (37): the shape parameters of  $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_5$  are

$$(43) \quad (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5) = \left( -\frac{c(e_7)^2}{c(e_8)^2}, -\frac{c(e_1)^2}{c(e_8)^2}, -\frac{c(e_2)^2}{c(e_8)^2}, -\frac{c(e_2)^2}{c(e_3)^2}, -\frac{c(e_2)^2}{c(e_4)^2} \right).$$

A numerical computation shows that the three initial variables corresponding to the geometric solution (28) are

$$(44) \quad (c(e_6), c(e_7), c(e_8)) \approx (1.00000, 0.26938 - 0.65395i, 0.64492 - 0.35232i)$$

with

$$(c(e_1), \dots, c(e_5)) \approx (-0.06329 - 0.80677i, 0.26938 - 0.65395i, 1.00000, 1.00000, 0.64492 - 0.35232i).$$

**4.4. Adjoint twisted Alexander polynomials.** We now fix a  $\sigma$ -Ptolemy assignment  $c \in P^\sigma(\mathcal{T}_\varphi)$  and a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(M_\varphi) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  determined by  $c$  (up to conjugation). We denote by  $H^*(M_\varphi; V)$  the twisted cohomology of  $M_\varphi$  where the coefficient  $V = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$  is twisted by  $\mathrm{Ad}\rho \otimes \alpha$ . Here  $\mathrm{Ad}\rho$  denotes the adjoint action associated to  $\rho$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** *The adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial  $\tau(\rho, t)$  associated to  $\rho$  is given by*

$$(45) \quad \tau(\rho, t) = \det \left( tI - \frac{\partial(c(e_{N-1}), c(e_{N-t_n}), c(e_N))}{\partial(c(e_{N+1}), c(e_{N+2}), c(e_{N+3}))} \right)$$

if  $H^*(M_\varphi; V)$  is trivial and the restriction of  $\rho$  to the punctured torus  $F$  is irreducible. Here  $c(e_{N-t_n}), c(e_{N-1})$  and  $c(e_N)$  are viewed as (rational) functions in  $c(e_{N+1}), c(e_{N+2})$  and  $c(e_{N+3})$ .

*Proof.* As the restriction  $\eta$  of  $\rho$  to  $F$  is irreducible, we have  $H^0(F; V) = H^0(F; \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})) = 0$ . Hence the cohomology  $H^i(F; V)$  is non-trivial only for  $i = 1$ . Then a routine computation of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for a bundle over the circle  $S^1$  (see e.g. [Fri88]) shows that

$$\tau(\rho, t) = \det(tI - \varphi^*)$$

where  $\varphi^* : H^1(F; V) \rightarrow H^1(F; V)$  is the isomorphism induced from the monodromy  $\varphi$ . As the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $F$  is trivial, we may reduce the coefficient  $V$  to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Also, it is known that  $H^1(F; \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}))$  is isomorphic to the Zariski tangent space  $T_{[\eta]}\mathcal{X}(F)$  of the character variety  $\mathcal{X}(F)$  at the conjugacy class of  $\eta$  (see e.g. [Wei64, Sik12]). Hence we may regard  $\varphi^*$  as an automorphism of  $T_{[\eta]}\mathcal{X}(F)$ . We now consider the differential  $d\mathrm{tr}_\lambda : T_{[\eta]}\mathcal{X}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the trace function  $\mathrm{tr}_\lambda : \mathcal{X}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of  $\lambda$  at  $[\eta]$ . Since  $[\eta] \in \mathrm{tr}_\lambda^{-1}(-2) \subset \mathcal{X}(F)$  (see Lemma 4.5), the kernel of  $d\mathrm{tr}_\lambda$  is equal to  $T_{[\eta]}(\mathrm{tr}_\lambda^{-1}(-2))$ . On the other hand, the monodromy  $\varphi$  induces an action on  $\mathcal{X}(F)$  fixing  $[\eta]$  and preserving  $\mathrm{tr}_\lambda$  (since  $\varphi$  preserves the boundary curve  $\lambda$ ). It follows that  $\varphi^* : T_{[\eta]}\mathcal{X}(F) \rightarrow T_{[\eta]}\mathcal{X}(F)$  has a matrix form

$$\varphi^* = \left( \begin{array}{cc|c} A & & * \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right)$$

where the matrix  $A$  represents the restriction of  $\varphi^*$  to  $T_{[\eta]}(\mathrm{tr}_\lambda^{-1}(-2))$

Let  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  be the negative and positive boundaries of  $M_N$ , respectively, so that  $M_\varphi$  is obtained by identifying  $F_0$  with  $F_1$  in a trivial way. Recall Lemma 4.5 and Remark 3.5 (see also Remark 4.6) that  $P^\sigma(F_i)/\mathbb{C}^* \simeq (\mathbb{C}^*)^3/\mathbb{C}^*$  gives a local coordinates of  $\mathrm{tr}_\lambda^{-1}(-2) \subset \mathcal{X}(F)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Precisely, two homogeneous coordinates

$$[c(e_{N+1}) : c(e_{N+2}) : c(e_{N+3})] \quad \text{and} \quad [c(e_{N-1}) : c(e_{N-t_n}) : c(e_N)]$$

are local coordinates of  $\mathrm{tr}_\lambda^{-1}(-2)$  at  $[\eta]$  where the notation  $[x : y : z]$  means that we identify it with  $[kx : ky : kz]$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ . Also, recall Equation (36) that if we multiply the initial variables  $c(e_{N+1}), c(e_{N+2})$  and  $c(e_{N+3})$  by  $k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , then the rational function  $c(e_i)$  becomes  $k \cdot c(e_i)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . It implies that  $\frac{\partial(c(e_{N-1}), c(e_{N-t_n}), c(e_N))}{\partial(c(e_{N+1}), c(e_{N+2}), c(e_{N+3}))}$  has an eigenvalue 1 and thus

$$\det \left( tI - \frac{\partial(c(e_{N-1}), c(e_{N-t_n}), c(e_N))}{\partial(c(e_{N+1}), c(e_{N+2}), c(e_{N+3}))} \right) = (t-1) \det(tI - A).$$

This completes the proof, since  $\tau(\rho, t) = \det(tI - \varphi^*) = (t-1) \det(tI - A)$ .  $\square$

If  $\rho$  is an  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ -lift of the geometric representation of  $M_\varphi$ , we write  $\tau(M_\varphi, t)$  instead of  $\tau(\rho, t)$ . In this case, it is proved in [BDHP19, Lem.2.5] that the twisted cohomology  $H^*(M_\varphi; V)$  is trivial. Also, the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $F$

is irreducible; otherwise, the generators  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  of  $\pi_1(F)$  satisfy up to conjugation

$$\rho(g_1) = \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & * \\ 0 & m_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \rho(g_2) = \begin{pmatrix} m_2 & * \\ 0 & m_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for some  $m_1$  and  $m_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , hence  $\text{tr}\rho(\lambda) = \text{tr}\rho(g_1 g_2 g_1^{-1} g_2^{-1}) = 2$  which contradicts to the fact that  $\text{tr}\rho(\lambda) = -2$ .

**Example 4.12 (Continued).** From Equation (41) we have

$$c(e_2) = \frac{x^2 z^2 + (y^2 + z^2)^2}{x^2 y},$$

$$c(e_4) = \frac{x^8 z^4 + x^6 (5y^2 z^4 + 4z^6) + 2x^4 z^2 (y^2 + z^2)^2 (2y^2 + 3z^2) + x^2 (y^2 + z^2)^4 (y^2 + 4z^2) + (y^2 + z^2)^6}{x^6 y^4 z},$$

$$c(e_5) = \frac{x^{12} z^6 + x^{10} (8y^2 z^6 + 6z^8) + x^8 z^4 (y^2 + z^2) (4y^2 + 3z^2) (2y^2 + 5z^2) + 4x^6 z^2 (y^2 + z^2)^4 (y^2 + 5z^2)}{x^9 y^6 z^2} \\ + \frac{x^4 (y^2 + z^2)^5 (11y^2 z^2 + y^4 + 15z^4) + 2x^2 (y^2 + z^2)^7 (y^2 + 3z^2) + (y^2 + z^2)^9}{x^9 y^6 z^2}.$$

where  $(x, y, z) = (c(e_6), c(e_7), c(e_8))$ . Substituting the geometric solution (44) to Theorem 4.11

$$\tau(M_\varphi, t) = \det \left( tI - \frac{\partial(c(e_4), c(e_2), c(e_5))}{\partial(c(e_6), c(e_7), c(e_8))} \right),$$

we obtain

$$\tau(M_\varphi, t) \approx 1.00000 - (31.45667 + 9.44217i)t + (31.45667 + 9.44217i)t^2 - 1.00000t^3$$

which agrees with the twisted 1-loop invariant  $\tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t)$  computed in Example 4.4.

## 5. PROOFS

**5.1. Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Applying the computation in Section 4.3 to Theorem 3.7, we have

$$(46) \quad \tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = \pm \frac{1}{c_1 \cdots c_N} \det \left( \frac{\partial p_i^\sigma}{\partial c_j} \right), \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq N+3$$

where  $p_i^\sigma$  ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) is given by (cf. Equation (35))

$$(47) \quad p_i^\sigma = \begin{cases} c_i c_\beta - c_\alpha^2 - c_\gamma^2 & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = R \\ c_i c_\alpha - c_\beta^2 - c_\gamma^2 & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = L \end{cases} \quad \text{for Figure 6}$$

and (cf. Equation (39))

$$p_{N+1} = c_{N+1} - c_{N-1}t, \quad p_{N+2} = c_{N+2} - c_{N-1}t, \quad p_{N+3} = c_{N+3} - c_N t.$$

Recall that the quad type of  $\Delta$  is given by  $(e_i, e_{i'})$  for some  $i'$ . Precisely, for Figure 6 we have  $e_{i'} = e_\beta$  if  $\varphi_{i-1} = R$  and  $e_{i'} = e_\alpha$  if  $\varphi_{i-1} = L$ . Since  $f = (1, \dots, 1)^T$  and  $f' = f'' = (0, \dots, 0)^T$  satisfy  $\mathbf{G}f + \mathbf{G}'f' + \mathbf{G}''f'' = (2, \dots, 2)^T$  (see the proof of Theorem 4.3), we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^N c_i c_{i'} = \prod_{i=1}^N c_{\Delta_i}^{f_i} = \prod_{i=1}^N c_i^2.$$

Here  $c_{\Delta_i}^{f_i}$  is the notation defined in Lemma 3.3. It follows that  $\prod_i c_{i'} = \prod_i c_i$  and thus

$$(48) \quad \tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = \pm \det \left( \frac{\partial(\bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_N^\sigma, p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma)}{\partial(c_1, \dots, c_N, c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3})} \right)$$

where

$$(49) \quad \bar{p}_i^\sigma = \begin{cases} c_i - \frac{c_\alpha^2 - c_\gamma^2}{c_\beta} & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = R \\ c_i - \frac{c_\beta^2 - c_\gamma^2}{c_\alpha} & \text{if } \varphi_{i-1} = L \end{cases} \quad \text{for Figure 6.}$$

**Lemma 5.1.** We have

$$\det \left( \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_N^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_N)} \right) = \det \left( \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_{N-1}^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})} \right)$$

where the right-hand side is obtained by eliminating the variable  $c_N$  by the equation  $\bar{p}_N^\sigma = 0$ .

*Proof.* From the determinant formula for a block matrix with the fact  $\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma / \partial c_N = 1$  we have

$$(50) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_N^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_N)} \right)$$

$$(51) \quad = \det \left( \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_{N-1}^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p_{N+1}^\sigma}{c_N} \\ c_N \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \bar{p}_{N-1}^\sigma}{c_N} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{c_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{c_{N-1}} \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Since  $\partial \bar{p}_k^\sigma / \partial c_N = 0$  for  $1 \leq k \leq N-1$ , the matrix in Equation (51) equals to

$$(52) \quad \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_{N-1}^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p_{N+1}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{\partial c_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial p_{N+1}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{\partial c_{N-1}} \\ \frac{\partial p_{N+2}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{\partial c_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial p_{N+2}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{\partial c_{N-1}} \\ \frac{\partial p_{N+3}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{\partial c_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial p_{N+3}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{\partial c_{N-1}} \\ & & & \mathbf{0} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, since  $\frac{\partial \bar{p}_N^\sigma}{\partial c_k} = -\frac{\partial c_N}{\partial c_k}$  for  $k \neq N$ , the above matrix equals to

$$(53) \quad \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_{N-1}^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})} + \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial p_{N+1}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial c_N}{\partial c_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial p_{N+1}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial c_N}{\partial c_{N-1}} \\ \frac{\partial p_{N+2}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial c_N}{\partial c_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial p_{N+2}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial c_N}{\partial c_{N-1}} \\ \frac{\partial p_{N+3}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial c_N}{\partial c_{N+1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial p_{N+3}^\sigma}{\partial c_N} & \frac{\partial c_N}{\partial c_{N-1}} \\ & & & \mathbf{0} & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Due to the chain rule, if we eliminate the variable  $c_N$  by using the equation  $\bar{p}_N^\sigma = 0$ , then the matrix (53) is equal to  $\frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_{N-1}^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1})}$  where  $p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_{N-1}^\sigma$  are viewed as functions in  $N+2$  variables  $c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_{N-1}$  (while those in the matrix (53) are viewed as functions in  $N+3$  variables  $c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_N$ ).  $\square$

Continuing the variable reduction of Lemma 5.1, we obtain

$$(54) \quad \tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = \pm \det \left( \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma, \bar{p}_1^\sigma, \dots, \bar{p}_N^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}, c_1, \dots, c_N)} \right)$$

$$(55) \quad = \pm \det \left( \frac{\partial(p_{N+1}^\sigma, p_{N+2}^\sigma, p_{N+3}^\sigma)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3})} \right)$$

$$(56) \quad = \pm \det \left( I - \frac{\partial(c_{N-1}, c_{N-t_n}, c_N)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3})} t \right)$$

where  $c_{N-t_n}, c_{N-1}, c_N$  are viewed as functions in  $c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3}$ . On the other hand, the adjoint twisted Alexander polynomial  $\tau(M_\varphi, t)$  is palindromic, namely,  $\tau(M_\varphi, t) = \tau(M_\varphi, t^{-1})$  up to multiplication by an element of  $\pm t^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . See e.g. [Kit96, KL99]. Therefore, combining the above with Theorem 4.11, we obtain

$$(57) \quad \tau^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi, t) = \det \left( I - \frac{\partial(c_{N-1}, c_{N-t_n}, c_N)}{\partial(c_{N+1}, c_{N+2}, c_{N+3})} t \right) = \tau(M_\varphi, t^{-1}) = \tau(M_\varphi, t)$$

up to multiplication by an element of  $\pm t^{\mathbb{Z}}$ . This proves Theorem 1.3.

**5.2. Proof of Corollary 1.4.** Combining Theorem 1.3 with [GY21, Thm.1.7], we obtain

$$\tau_\lambda^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi) = \tau_\lambda(M_\varphi)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a peripheral curve  $\lambda$  satisfying  $\alpha(\lambda) = 0$ . Here  $\tau_\lambda^{\text{CS}}(\mathcal{T}_\varphi)$  and  $\tau_\lambda(M_\varphi)$  are the 1-loop invariant of  $\mathcal{T}_\varphi$  and the adjoint Reidemeister torsion of  $M_\varphi$ , respectively, with respect to  $\lambda$ . On the other hand, in [Sie17, Sec.5.3] it is



proved that if Conjecture 1.1 holds for one peripheral curve, then it does for all peripheral curves, hence we obtain Corollary 1.4.

## REFERENCES

- [BDHP19] Leo Benard, Jerome Dubois, Michael Heusener, and Joan Porti. Asymptotics of twisted Alexander polynomials and hyperbolic volume. *preprint arXiv:1912.12946*, 2019.
- [Cal06] Danny Calegari. Real places and torus bundles. *Geom. Dedicata*, 118:209–227, 2006.
- [CS83] Marc Culler and Peter B. Shalen. Varieties of group representations and splittings of 3-manifolds. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 117(1):109–146, 1983.
- [DG13] Tudor Dimofte and Stavros Garoufalidis. The quantum content of the gluing equations. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(3):1253–1315, 2013.
- [FG06] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov. Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (103):1–211, 2006.
- [FH82] W. Floyd and A. Hatcher. Incompressible surfaces in punctured-torus bundles. *Topology Appl.*, 13(3):263–282, 1982.
- [Fri88] David Fried. Counting circles. In *Dynamical systems (College Park, MD, 1986–87)*, volume 1342 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 196–215. Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [GTZ15] Stavros Garoufalidis, Dylan P. Thurston, and Christian K. Zickert. The complex volume of  $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -representations of 3-manifolds. *Duke Math. J.*, 164(11):2099–2160, 2015.
- [Gue06] François Gueritaud. On canonical triangulations of once-punctured torus bundles and two-bridge link complements. *Geom. Topol.*, 10:1239–1284, 2006. With an appendix by David Futer.
- [Guk05] Sergei Gukov. Three-dimensional quantum gravity, Chern-Simons theory, and the A-polynomial. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 255(3):577–627, 2005.
- [GY21] Stavros Garoufalidis and Seokbeom Yoon. Twisted Neumann–Zagier matrices. *preprint arXiv:2109.00379*, 2021.
- [Kit96] Teruaki Kitano. Twisted Alexander polynomial and Reidemeister torsion. *Pacific J. Math.*, 174(2):431–442, 1996.
- [KL99] Paul Kirk and Charles Livingston. Twisted Alexander invariants, Reidemeister torsion, and Casson-Gordon invariants. *Topology*, 38(3):635–661, 1999.
- [Lac03] Marc Lackenby. The canonical decomposition of once-punctured torus bundles. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78(2):363–384, 2003.
- [Neu92] Walter Neumann. Combinatorics of triangulations and the Chern-Simons invariant for hyperbolic 3-manifolds. In *Topology '90 (Columbus, OH, 1990)*, volume 1 of *Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 243–271. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- [Neu04] Walter Neumann. Extended Bloch group and the Cheeger-Chern-Simons class. *Geom. Topol.*, 8:413–474, 2004.
- [NZ85] Walter Neumann and Don Zagier. Volumes of hyperbolic three-manifolds. *Topology*, 24(3):307–332, 1985.
- [Pen12] Robert C. Penner. *Decorated Teichmüller theory*. QGM Master Class Series. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2012.
- [Por97] Joan Porti. Torsion de Reidemeister pour les variétés hyperboliques. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 128(612):x+139, 1997.
- [Sie17] Rafał Siejakowski. Infinitesimal gluing equations and the adjoint hyperbolic Reidemeister torsion. *preprint arXiv:1710.02109*, 2017.
- [Sik12] Adam S. Sikora. Character varieties. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 364(10):5173–5208, 2012.
- [Thu77] William Thurston. *The geometry and topology of 3-manifolds*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977. <http://msri.org/publications/books/gt3m>.
- [Wei64] André Weil. Remarks on the cohomology of groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 80:149–157, 1964.
- [Yoo19] Seokbeom Yoon. The volume and Chern-Simons invariant of a Dehn-filled manifold. *Topology Appl.*, 256:208–227, 2019.
- [Zic09] Christian K. Zickert. The volume and Chern-Simons invariant of a representation. *Duke Math. J.*, 150(3):489–532, 2009.

DEPARTAMENT DE MATEMÀTIQUES, UNIVERSITAT AUTÒNOMA DE BARCELONA, 08193 CERDANYOLA DEL VALLÈS, SPAIN  
 Email address: [sbyoon15@mat.uab.cat](mailto:sbyoon15@mat.uab.cat)