

# The Range Description of a Conical Radon Transform

Weston Baines<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics Mailstop 3368 Texas A&M University College Station, TX  
77843-3368*

---

## 5 Abstract

In this work we consider the Conical Radon Transform, which integrates a function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  over shifted copies of a given circular cone. Transforms of this type are known to arise naturally as models of Compton camera imaging and single-scattering optical tomography (in the case  $n = 2$ ). The main results (which differ depending on the parity of  $n$ ) provide a description of the range of the transform on the space  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

*Keywords:* Radon Transform, Cone Transform, Optical Tomography, Compton Camera Imaging, Wave Equation

---

## 1. Introduction

The data provided by Compton camera imaging (see, e.g., [29, 25, 5, 16])  
 10 can be modeled by the surface integrals of the unknown source distribution  $f(\mathbf{x})$  over (a subset of) the circular cones in the ambient space. This gives rise to a *Conical Radon Transform*, CRT.

An  $n$ -dimensional cone can be described by the following parameters:  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  for the vertex, a unit vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  showing the central axis




Figure 1: 3D realization of the cone  $C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \phi)$

direction, and  $\phi \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$  for the opening angle (see Figure 1). We define the conical set

$$C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \phi) := \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : \tan \phi (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{u} = \sqrt{(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{u})}\}.$$

The CRT of a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is therefore given by the following surface area integral formula:

$$C[f](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \phi) = \int_{C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \phi)} f dS. \quad (1)$$

15 In  $2D$ , the conical Radon transform arises in single-scattering optical tomography [11] where it is called the Broken Ray (or  $V$ -line) Transform [4, 15].

A rich body of research exists devoted to the study of the problem of reconstructing the source distribution function  $f(x)$  from its CRT data (resp.

---

\*Corresponding author

*Email address:* bainesw1@tamu.edu (Weston Baines)

BRT data in 2D) (e.g., see [12, 25, 11, 29, 22] and references therein). It is well known that ranges of Radon type transforms are usually of infinite co-  
 20 dimension, and describing them is an important part of tomographic studies [20]. Range conditions for a special set-up of the Broken Ray Transform (BRT) were found in [15]. A characterization of the range of the conical Radon transform using polyhedral cones was provided in [4]. The range  
 25 problem for CRT, however, has seen limited results (See [17, 28] for some recent developments).

To reduce the over-determinacy, or to avoid it totally, it is common to consider only some proper subsets of all possible cones, e.g., by restricting the vertices, axes' directions, or opening angles (See e.g. [18, 21]). Similar  
 30 to other works [8, 9, 23, 19], we consider the set of cones with given axes directions and opening angles. (See Figure 2).




Figure 2: 3D cone with central axis aligned along the “vertical” coordinate and  $\pi/2$  radians opening.

Our main task is to describe the range of the CRT on the space  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$

of smooth functions with compact support. Since one might expect that the wave equation is relevant in the study of the CRT, (See e.g. the discussion  
 35 in Sec. 5 of [25]) it is not that surprising that the descriptions differ for even and odd dimensions.

The article is structured as follows. Section 2 contains the main notions and notations involved. The main results are described in Section 3. Proofs of these are given in Section 4. These results are formulated and stated, to  
 40 avoid complicating notations, for cones with the opening angle  $\pi/2$  radians (half-opening angle  $\pi/4$  radians). They, however, can be easily translated to any opening angle, which is done in Section 5. Final remarks, conclusions, and acknowledgments are provided in Section 6 and Section 7.

## 2. Some Objects and Notations

45 Throughout the text, we will denote vectors with a bold font, e.g.  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ .

For a complex number  $z$  we denote its real and imaginary parts with  $\Re(z)$  and  $\Im(z)$  respectively.

As was indicated in the Introduction, we define CRT of a function  $f$  on  
 50  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{C}[f](\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \phi) = \int_{C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}, \phi)} f dS. \quad (2)$$

Let us make some simplifications. First, until Section 5, we will assume that  $\phi = \pi/4$ , and thus the factor  $\tan \phi$  disappears. Secondly, since we fix the direction of the axes of the cones, the parameter  $\mathbf{u}$  is not needed. Instead, we will assume that  $\mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{u}}^n = \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_t$ , and thus vectors are represented as  $(\mathbf{x}, t)$ .

We will assume that the axes of all cones are aligned with the  $t$ -direction, i.e.

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{e}_n = (\mathbf{0}, 1) \in \mathbb{R}_{\mathbf{x}}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}_t.$$

$$\mathcal{C}[f](\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{C((\mathbf{x}, t), \mathbf{e}_n, \pi/4)} f dS = \int_{z-t=|\mathbf{y}-\mathbf{x}|} f(\mathbf{y}, z) dS, \quad (3)$$

where  $dS$  is the surface measure on the cone, and  $z - t = |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}|$  gives an implicit parameterization of the cone  $C(\mathbf{x}, t, \pi/4)$ . In other words, it is the convolution of  $f(\mathbf{x}, t)$  with the distribution

$$D(\mathbf{x}, t) = \delta(t - |\mathbf{x}|) \quad (4)$$

55 where  $\delta$  is the Dirac delta distribution.<sup>1</sup>

A *weighted conical Radon transform* also arises frequently in applications (see [29, 25, 14]), which can be written as convolution with the distribution

$$D_w(\mathbf{x}, t) = w(\mathbf{x}, t)\delta(t - |\mathbf{x}|) \quad (5)$$

where  $w(\mathbf{x}, t)$  is a given weight. While a variety of weight functions can arise, in this work we will only need  $w(\mathbf{x}, t) = |(\mathbf{x}, t)|^{-1}$ . We will denote the  
60 distribution above with this specified weight as

$$D' = D_{|(\mathbf{x}, t)|^{-1}} \quad (6)$$

and the corresponding weighted CRT as

$$\mathcal{C}'[f] = D' * f. \quad (7)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>Appearance of such cones suggests a possible difference in formulas of the CRT depending on the parity of the dimension. This difference does materialize. E.g., for odd dimensions inversion require non-local transformation.

One expects that the conical Radon transform would be intimately related to the wave equation, which is indeed the case. We introduce the d'Alembertian operator denoted with the usual square symbol

$$\square = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (8)$$

65 where  $\Delta_{\mathbf{x}}$  is the Laplacian with respect to the spatial variable  $\mathbf{x}$ .

The Heaviside function will be denoted as

$$h(t) := \begin{cases} 1, & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\Theta(\mathbf{x}, t) := h(t) \cdot \delta(\mathbf{x}) \quad (9)$$

where  $\delta(\mathbf{x})$  is the delta function. Then

$$\Theta * f = \int_{-\infty}^t f(\mathbf{x}, z) dz. \quad (10)$$

We will use  $\mathbb{1}_{\Omega}$  to denote the indicator function of a domain  $\Omega$  defined as

$$\mathbb{1}_{\Omega}(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \notin \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

70 Let  $T(\mathbf{x}, t)$  be a tempered distributions. When  $T$  is supported in a half-space,

$$H_{t_0} := \{(\mathbf{x}, t) \mid t \geq t_0\}, \quad (12)$$

its Fourier transform (which is a tempered distribution itself),

$$\hat{T}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) = \mathcal{F}[T](\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) = T(e^{-i(\mathbf{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} + \sigma t)}), \quad (13)$$

has analytic extension to  $\Im(\sigma) < 0$  due to the following classical Paley-Wiener statement [7, 27]:

75 **Lemma 1** *The Fourier transform  $\widehat{T}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma)$  of the tempered distribution  $T$  satisfying  $\text{supp } T \subseteq H_{t_0}$  is analytic in*

$$\mathbb{H}_- = \{(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) : \Im(\sigma) < 0\} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\widehat{T}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \Re(\sigma) + i\Im(\sigma)) \rightarrow \widehat{T}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \Re(\sigma)) \text{ as } \Im(\sigma) \nearrow 0 \quad (15)$$

in the sense of distributions.

We thus can simplify our considerations by working with the Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{H}_-$  and taking the limit  $\Im(\sigma) \nearrow 0$  when needed. This applies to the distributions  $D$  and  $D'$  introduced before. In particular (see, e.g.[26]),  $\widehat{D}$  on  $\mathbb{H}_-$  can be computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{D}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial B(0,1)} (e^{-i(r\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{\theta} + r\sigma)}) d\boldsymbol{\theta} r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (e^{-i\sigma|x|} e^{-i\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}}) d\boldsymbol{x} \\ &= \alpha_n \frac{i\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\boldsymbol{\theta}$  is the surface measure on the sphere,  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , and

$$\alpha_n := (-1)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} 2^n \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right). \quad (16)$$

80 Using the relation  $\sqrt{2}tD' = D$ , we conclude that on  $\mathbb{H}_-$  one has

$$\widehat{D}'(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) = \frac{\beta_n}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}}, \quad (17)$$

where

$$\beta_n := \sqrt{2}(-1)^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} \frac{2^{n-1}}{1-n} \pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right). \quad (18)$$

### 3. The Main Results

As indicated in the Introduction, our goal is to characterize the range of the CRT  $\mathcal{C}$  as a map defined from  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . As one might have  
85 expected, the answers are somewhat different in odd and even dimensions.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $n = 2k$  be even (and thus  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k-1}$ ). A function  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{R})$  is in the range of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{R})$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1.  $\square^k g(\mathbf{x}, t)$  has compact support.
- 90 2.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \square^k g(\mathbf{x}, t) dt = 0$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k-1}$ .
3.  $\text{supp } g \subseteq H_{t_0}$  (see (12)) for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

An analogous result for odd dimensions is as follows:

**Theorem 2** *Let  $n = 2k + 1$  be odd (and thus  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ ). A function  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times \mathbb{R})$  is in the range of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times \mathbb{R})$  if and only if*

- 95 1.  $\square^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[g](\mathbf{x}, t)$  has compact support.
2.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \square^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[g](\mathbf{x}, t) dt = 0$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ .
3.  $\text{supp } g \subseteq H_{t_0}$  for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

### 4. The proofs

We start with the following auxiliary results:

100 **Lemma 2** *Let  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $\square^l g = 0$  for some natural number  $l$  and  $\text{supp } g \subset H_{t_0}$  for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $g \equiv 0$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $g$  satisfy the conditions of the lemma and  $l = 1$ . Then for any  $s < t_0$ ,  $g$  solves the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \square g = 0 \\ g(\mathbf{x}, s) = 0 \\ g_t(\mathbf{x}, s) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

(See Figure 3). It is well known (see e.g. [10]) that the solution to the Cauchy




Figure 3: Domain of  $g$  in Lemma 2. If  $g$  vanishes outside a half-space,  $g$  must vanish everywhere.

105 problem (19) is  $g \equiv 0$ .

If  $l > 1$ , then  $v = (\square)^{l-1}g$  satisfies the same conditions of the lemma for  $l = 1$ , and hence  $v \equiv 0$ . By induction we conclude that  $g \equiv 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1** *A smooth solution of  $\square^l g = f$  supported in  $H_{t_0}$  for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  (if such a solution exists) is unique.*

110 **Lemma 3** *Let  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{R})$  satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1, then  $g$  and its derivatives are bounded.*

*Proof:* To prove the claim that  $g$  is bounded, due to Corollary 1, it will suffice to construct a solution of the PDE

$$\square^k g = f \tag{20}$$

that is supported in some  $H_{t_0}$  and is indeed bounded<sup>2</sup>.

115 We achieve this by using a fundamental solution  $\Phi$  for  $\square^k$ , supported on a half-space. Then the needed solution of (20) will be given by the convolution  $\Phi * f$ , which will also be supported on a half-space.

Fundamental solutions for  $\square^\xi g$  for any complex number  $\xi$  were studied extensively in [6]. In particular, for integer  $k$  the fundamental solution is  
120 given by

$$\Phi = (-1)^k \alpha_{2k-1}^{-1} \Theta * D. \tag{21}$$

The fact that it is a fundamental solution is easily verified by making use of the Fourier transform for  $\Im(\sigma) < 0$ :

$$\mathcal{F}[\square^k \Phi * f] = \alpha_{2k-1}^{-1} (i\sigma)^{-1} (\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^k \alpha_{2k-1} \frac{i\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^k} \hat{f} = \hat{f}, \tag{22}$$

where  $\hat{f}$  denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ .

125 Furthermore, the convolution  $\Theta * D$  is supported in a half-space, due to the geometry of the supports of  $\Theta$  and  $D$ , see Figure 4. In fact their convolution is supported on the interior of the shaded cone. This implies, as

---

<sup>2</sup>Here  $f$  is **defined** as  $\square^k g$ .




Figure 4: The supports of  $\Theta$  (left),  $D$  (center), and their convolution (right).

has been mentioned, that  $g = \Phi * f$  (which is in fact closely related to the inversion formula for the conical Radon transform).

Let us now show that  $\Phi * f$ , and hence  $g$  is bounded. Observe that

$$|\Phi * f(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq |\alpha_{2k-1}|^{-1} \int_{|x-y|=t-s} dS \int_{-\infty}^s |f(\mathbf{y}, z)| dz.$$

Since the right hand side is a volume integral, we get the estimate

$$|\Phi * f(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq C \max(|f|) Vol(\text{supp}(f)). \quad (23)$$

□

130 This implies boundedness of  $g = \Phi * f$ .

**Remark 1** *By applying the same argument to  $\partial^m \Phi * f = \Phi * \partial^m f$  where  $m$  is a multiindex, one confirms that all derivatives are also bounded.*

**Lemma 4** *Let  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times \mathbb{R})$  satisfy the properties of Theorem 2, then  $g$  is bounded.*

135 *Proof:* Similar to the previous lemma, we shall prove this by studying the solution of the equation

$$\square^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[g] = f \quad f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times \mathbb{R}) \quad (24)$$

under the constraint

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \square^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[g](\mathbf{x}, t) dt = 0 \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}. \quad (25)$$

Our approach here is similar to the one above: finding an appropriate fundamental solution of the equation

$$\square^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[\Phi] = \delta \quad (26)$$

140 and then using Corollary 1.

The relevant fundamental solution is

$$\Phi = \alpha_{2k}^{-1} \beta_{2k}^{-1} \Theta * D \quad (27)$$

To verify this, we make use of the Fourier transform and (17) with  $\Im(\sigma) < 0$  to get

$$\mathcal{F}[\square^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[\Phi * f]] = \alpha_{2k}^{-1} \beta_{2k}^{-1} \left( \frac{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{2k}}{i\sigma} \right) \alpha_{2k} \beta_{2k} \frac{i\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{2k}} \hat{f} = \hat{f} \quad (28)$$

Boundedness of  $\Phi * f$  is proven exactly as in the previous case.  $\square$

145 **Remark 2** *Although we only require that  $\text{supp } g \subset H_{t_0}$ , if  $g$  is the CRT of some compactly supported function  $f$  then it must be that  $\text{supp } g \subset \text{supp } f + C(0, \mathbf{e}_n, \pi/4)$ . One can easily verify that the conditions imposed on  $g$  in Theorems 1 and 2 guarantee this stronger statement is satisfied.*

The upshot of these lemmas is that  $g$  is well-behaved enough for it and its  
150 derivatives to be identified with tempered distributions supported in a half-space, in which case we can utilize computations with Fourier transforms freely.

#### 4.1. Proof of Theorem 1

*Proof:* Let us start proving the necessity of the conditions. Suppose  $g = \mathcal{C}[f]$  for some  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\text{supp } f \subset H_{t_0}$ . It follows from the definition of CRT that  $g$  is smooth, bounded and  $\text{supp } g \subset H_{t_0}$  (in particular, the statement (3) of the theorem holds)<sup>3</sup>. Therefore, for  $\Im(\sigma) < 0$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\square^k g}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) &= (-1)^k (\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^k \alpha_{2k-1} \frac{i\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^k} \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) \\ &= (-1)^k \alpha_{2k-1} i\sigma \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

In the limit  $\Im(\sigma) \rightarrow 0^-$ , we obtain

$$\square^k g(\boldsymbol{x}, t) = (-1)^k \alpha_{2k-1} f_t(\boldsymbol{x}, t) \quad (29)$$

155 Properties (1) and (2) follow immediately from the previous identity, which finishes the proof of necessity of the conditions.

To prove the sufficiency of the conditions we will make use of the inverse CRT. Suppose  $g$  is smooth and satisfies the properties specified in Theorem 1. Define

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}, t) := (-1)^k \alpha_{2k-1}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^t \square^k g(\boldsymbol{x}, z) dz. \quad (30)$$

160 Then  $f$  is smooth with compact support, as  $g$  is smooth and satisfies properties (1) and (2). Moreover, we have for  $\Im(\sigma) < 0$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}[f]}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) = \frac{\alpha_{2k-1}}{\alpha_{2k-1}} \frac{i\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^k} \left( \frac{1}{i\sigma} (\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^k \hat{g} \right) = \hat{g}$$

---

<sup>3</sup>Since  $g = D * f$ , the support of  $g$  belongs to the sum of the supports of  $f$  and the distribution  $D$ . Because  $\text{supp } f \subseteq H_{t_0}$ ,  $\text{supp } g \subseteq H_{t_0} + H_0 = H_{t_0}$ .

In the limit  $\Im(\sigma) \rightarrow 0^-$  we find that in fact  $\mathcal{C}[f] = g$  and thus  $g$  is in the range of  $\mathcal{C}$  as desired<sup>4</sup>.  $\square$

#### 4.2. Proof of Theorem 2

*Proof:* let us start with proving the necessity of the conditions. Suppose  $g = \mathcal{C}[f]$  for some  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times \mathbb{R})$ , and  $\text{supp } f \subset H_{t_0}$ . Then, as in the previous theorem,  $g$  is smooth and bounded, and  $\text{supp } g \subset H_{t_0}$ . Thus, for  $\Im(\sigma) < 0$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\square^{2k}\mathcal{C}'[g]}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) &= \frac{\beta_{2k}}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{k-1/2}} (\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{2k} \alpha_{2k} \frac{i\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{k+1/2}} \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) \\ &= \alpha_{2k} \beta_{2k} i\sigma \hat{f}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

165 Sending  $\Im(\sigma) \rightarrow 0^-$ , we obtain

$$\square^{2k}\mathcal{C}'[g](\mathbf{x}, t) = \alpha_{2k} \beta_{2k} f_t(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (31)$$

This implies the properties (1) and (2), while (3) has already been established.

Let us turn to proving sufficiency of the conditions. Suppose  $g$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2. We define

$$f(\mathbf{x}, t) := \alpha_{2k}^{-1} \beta_{2k}^{-1} \int_{-\infty}^t \square^{2k}\mathcal{C}'[g](\mathbf{x}, z) dz. \quad (32)$$

170 Then  $f$  is smooth with compact support, as  $g$  is smooth and satisfies properties (1) and (2). Moreover, we have for  $\Im(\sigma) < 0$

$$\widehat{\mathcal{C}[f]}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \sigma) = \frac{\alpha_{2k}}{\alpha_{2k} \beta_{2k}} \frac{i\sigma}{(\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{k+1/2}} \left( \frac{\beta_{2k} (\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{2k}}{i\sigma (\sigma^2 - |\boldsymbol{\omega}|^2)^{k-1/2}} \right) = \hat{g}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Note that this computation is justified by Lemma 3.

Then in the limit  $\Im(\sigma) \rightarrow 0^-$  we find that  $\mathcal{C}[f] = g$  and thus  $g$  is in the range of  $\mathcal{C}$  as desired.  $\square$

## 5. Arbitrary angle of the cone

175 For simplicity we have restricted our attention so far to cones with right angle opening and central axis aligned with the last coordinate of our chosen coordinate system. The axis' direction does not restrict the generality. Let us tackle an arbitrary half-opening angle  $\phi$ . The transformation  $(x, t) \mapsto (\cot \phi x, t)$  transforms the  $45^\circ$  half-opening angle to  $\phi$ .

180 This suggests consideration of the modified d'Alembertian

$$\square_\phi = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \tan^2 \phi \Delta_{\mathbf{x}} \quad (33)$$

With these identities in hand one can argue along the same line as the previous sections to prove the results stated below<sup>5</sup> for the CRT  $\mathcal{C}_\phi$  with half-opening angle  $\phi$ .

**Theorem 3** *Let  $n = 2k$  be even. A function  $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k-1} \times \mathbb{R})$  is in the*  
 185 *range of  $\mathcal{C}_\phi$  acting on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1.  $\square_\phi^k g(\mathbf{x}, t)$  has compact support.
2.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \square_\phi^k g(\mathbf{x}, t) dt = 0$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k-1}$ .
3.  $\text{supp } g \subseteq H_{t_0}$  for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

---

<sup>5</sup>One could also obtain them by changing the variables in the previous ones.

190 An analogous result for odd dimensions is as follows:

**Theorem 4** *Let  $n = 2k + 1$  be odd. A function  $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times \mathbb{R})$  is in the range of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2k} \times \mathbb{R})$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

1.  $\square_\phi^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[g](\mathbf{x}, t)$  has compact support.
- 195 2.  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \square_\phi^{2k} \mathcal{C}'[g](\mathbf{x}, t) dt = 0$  for every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ .
3.  $\text{supp } g \subseteq H_{t_0}$  for some  $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

## 6. Final remarks

In this work we considered the Conical Radon Transform  $\mathcal{C}$ , where only the cones of a given fixed opening angle and axes parallel to a given vector  
200 are involved. A theorem describing the range of the transform on the space  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is proven. The form of the theorem is different depending on the parity of the spatial dimension.

Similar theorems would be of interest for various other types of cones. For instance, the (significantly overdetermined) set of all circular cones (with  
205 arbitrary directions and opening angles). A step in this direction was made in [17].

Another interesting question is to describe the range of the transform, where the vertices of the cones are restricted to a given surface, while the axes directions and opening angles are arbitrary. This is the natural set-up  
210 in the so called Compton camera imaging [3, 5, 24, 28, 16, 29, 30], in which the vertices of the cones are at the detector's surface. A step toward this was made in [28].

## 7. Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the NSF grant DMS 1816430 and Texas  
215 A&M Cyclotron Institute. The author expresses his gratitude to these agen-  
cies. Thanks also go to P. Kuchment for posing the problem and useful  
comments.

## References

- [1] M. Abramowitz, I. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions: With  
220 Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Applied mathematics se-  
ries, Dover Publications, 1965.  
URL <https://books.google.com/books?id=MtU8uP7XMvoC>
- [2] M. Agranovsky, D. Finch, P. Kuchment, Range conditions for a spherical  
mean transform, *Inverse Problems & Imaging* 3 (3) (2009) 373–382.
- 225 [3] M. Allmaras, D. Darrow, Y. Hristova, G. Kanschat, P. Kuchment, De-  
tecting small low emission radiating sources, *Inverse Problems and Imag-  
ing* 7 (1) (2013) 47–79. doi:10.3934/ipi.2013.7.47.
- [4] G. Ambartsoumian, M. J. L. Jebelli, The v-line transform with some  
generalizations and cone differentiation, *Inverse Problems* 35 (3) (2019)  
230 034003. doi:10.1088/1361-6420/aafcf3.  
URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/aafcf3>
- [5] W. Baines, P. Kuchment, J. Ragusa, Deep learning for 2d passive source  
detection in presence of complex cargo, *Inverse Problems* 36 (10) (2020)

104001. doi:10.1088/1361-6420/abb51d.

235 URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/abb51d>

[6] C. G. Bollini, J. J. Giambiagi, Arbitrary powers of d’alembertians and the Huygens’ principle, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* 34 (2) (1993) 610–621. arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.530263>, doi:10.1063/1.530263.

240 URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.530263>

[7] H. Bremermann, *Distributions, Complex Variables, and Fourier Transforms*, Addison-Wesley series in mathematics, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1965.

URL <https://books.google.com/books?id=f7E-AAAAIAAJ>

245 [8] J. Cebeiro, M. Morvidone, M. Nguyen, Back-projection inversion of a conical radon transform, *Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering* 24 (2) (2016) 328–352. arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17415977.2015.1034121>, doi:10.1080/17415977.2015.1034121.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/17415977.2015.1034121>

250 [9] M. Cree, P. Bones, Towards direct reconstruction from a gamma camera based on Compton scattering, *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* 13 (2) (1994) 398–407. doi:10.1109/42.293932.

[10] L. C. Evans, *Partial differential equations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 2010.

255 [11] L. Florescu, V. A. Markel, J. C. Schotland, Inversion formulas for the broken-ray radon transform, *Inverse Problems* 27 (2) (2011) 025002.

doi:10.1088/0266-5611/27/2/025002.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/27/2/025002>

- [12] R. Gouia-Zarrad, Analytical reconstruction formula for n-  
260 dimensional conical radon transform, *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* 68 (9) (2014) 1016–1023, BIOMATH 2013.  
doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2014.04.019>.  
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0898122114001795>
- [13] I. S. Gradshteyn, I. M. Ryzhik, Table of integrals, series, and products,  
265 seventh Edition, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2007, translated from the Russian, Translation edited and with a preface by Alan Jeffrey and Daniel Zwillinger, With one CD-ROM (Windows, Macintosh and UNIX).
- [14] C.-Y. Jung, S. Moon, Inversion formulas for cone transforms arising in  
270 application of compton cameras, *Inverse Problems* 31 (1) (2015) 015006.  
doi:10.1088/0266-5611/31/1/015006.  
URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/31/1/015006>
- [15] A. Katsevich, R. Krylov, Broken ray transform: inversion and a range  
275 condition, *Inverse Problems* 29 (7) (2013) 075008. doi:10.1088/0266-5611/29/7/075008.  
URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/29/7/075008>
- [16] V. Maxim, M. Frandęs, R. Prost, Analytical inversion of the compton transform using the full set of available projections, *Inverse Problems*

- 280 25 (9) (2009) 095001. doi:10.1088/0266-5611/25/9/095001.  
URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/25/9/095001>
- [17] S. Moon, On the determination of a function from its cone transform with fixed central axis, SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis 48 (03 2015). doi:10.1137/15M1021945.
- 285 [18] S. Moon, M. Haltmeier, Analytic inversion of a conical radon transform arising in application of compton cameras on the cylinder, SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences 10 (07 2016). doi:10.1137/16M1083116.
- [19] S. Moon, Orthogonal function series formulas for inversion of the spherical radon transform, Inverse Problems 36 (3) (2020) 035007. doi:  
290 10.1088/1361-6420/ab6d54.  
URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/ab6d54>
- [20] F. Natterer, The Mathematics of Computerized Tomography, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 2001. arXiv:<https://epubs.siam.org/doi/pdf/10.1137/1.9780898719284>, doi:10.1137/  
295 1.9780898719284.  
URL <https://epubs.siam.org/doi/abs/10.1137/1.9780898719284>
- [21] N. Duy, L. Nguyen, An inversion formula for the horizontal conical radon transform, Analysis and Mathematical Physics 11 (03 2021). doi:10.1007/s13324-020-00468-y.
- 300 [22] M. Nguyen, T. Truong, The development of radon transforms associated to compton scatter imaging concepts, Eurasian Journal of Math-

ematical and Computer Applications 6 (03 2018). doi:10.32523/2306-6172-2018-6-1-32-51.

- [23] M. Nguyen, T. Truong, P. Grangeat, Radon transforms on a class of  
305 cones with fixed axis direction, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen* 38 (2005) 8003–  
8015. doi:10.1088/0305-4470/38/37/006.
- [24] A. Olson, A. Ciabatti, Y. Hristova, P. Kuchment, J. Ragusa,  
M. Allmaras, Passive detection of small low-emission sources: Two-  
dimensional numerical case studies, *Nuclear Science and Engineering*  
310 184 (1) (2016) 125–150. arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.13182/NSE15-66>,  
doi:10.13182/NSE15-66.  
URL <https://doi.org/10.13182/NSE15-66>
- [25] V. Palamodov, Reconstruction from cone integral transforms, *Inverse  
Problems* 33 (10) (2017) 104001. doi:10.1088/1361-6420/aa863e.  
315 URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/aa863e>
- [26] E. M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean  
Spaces (PMS-32)*, Princeton University Press, 1971.  
URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt1bpm9w6>
- [27] R. S. Strichartz, *A Guide to Distribution Theory and Fourier  
320 Transforms*, WORLD SCIENTIFIC, 2003. arXiv:[https://www.  
worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/5314](https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/pdf/10.1142/5314), doi:10.1142/5314.  
URL <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.1142/5314>
- [28] F. Terzioglu, Some analytic properties of the cone transform, *Inverse*

Problems 35 (3) (2019) 034002. doi:10.1088/1361-6420/aafccf.

325 URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/aafccf>

[29] F. Terzioglu, P. Kuchment, L. Kunyansky, Compton camera imaging and the cone transform: a brief overview, Inverse Problems 34 (5) (2018) 054002. doi:10.1088/1361-6420/aab0ab.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6420/aab0ab>

330 [30] X. Xun, B. Mallick, R. J. Carroll, P. Kuchment, A bayesian approach to the detection of small low emission sources, Inverse Problems 27 (11) (2011) 115009. doi:10.1088/0266-5611/27/11/115009.

URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/27/11/115009>