

SPACES OF CONTINUOUS AND MEASURABLE FUNCTIONS INVARIANT UNDER A GROUP ACTION

SAMUEL A. HOKAMP

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize spaces of continuous and L^p -functions on a compact Hausdorff space that are invariant under a transitive and continuous group action. This work generalizes Nagel and Rudin's 1976 results concerning unitarily and Möbius invariant spaces of continuous and measurable functions defined on the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n .

1. INTRODUCTION

The idea for this paper came from the realization that much of Nagel and Rudin's work characterizing unitarily invariant spaces of continuous and measurable functions on the unit sphere of \mathbb{C}^n (originally found in [1] and summarized in [2]) could be generalized to spaces of continuous and measurable functions on a compact Hausdorff space X , which are invariant under the continuous and transitive action of a compact group G on X .

A space of complex functions on X is *G-invariant* if the pre-composition of any function in the set with the action of each element of G on X remains in the set. A *G-invariant* space is *G-minimal* if it contains no proper *G-invariant* subspace. Our main result (Theorem 5.1) yields that a collection of closed *G-minimal* spaces of continuous functions satisfying certain conditions suffices to characterize all closed *G-invariant* spaces of continuous functions on X : each closed *G-invariant* space is the closure of the direct sum of a unique subcollection of the *G-minimal* spaces.

In Section 3, we establish the existence of a unique regular Borel probability measure μ on X that is *G-invariant* in the sense that

$$\int_X f \, d\mu = \int_X f(\alpha x) \, d\mu(x),$$

for every continuous function f on X and every $\alpha \in G$ (Theorem 3.1). This measure is necessary to define the conditions for the collection of closed *G-minimal* spaces. Additionally, Theorem 5.1 also shows that each closed *G-invariant* space of measurable functions with respect to μ is characterized by the same collection of *G-minimal* spaces.

In Section 4, we define the conditions which a collection of *G-minimal* spaces must have in order to induce the closed *G-invariant* spaces of continuous and measurable functions. In Section 5, we prove our main result, Theorem 5.1. Section 6 is devoted to the proofs of Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4, which are used in establishing Theorem 5.1.

Date: June 5, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46E30. Secondary 32A70.

Key words and phrases. Spaces of continuous functions, group actions, functional analysis.

Corresponding author. Samuel A. Hokamp ✉ samuel.hokamp@sterling.edu. ☎ 920-634-7356.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. We let $C(X)$ denote the space of continuous complex functions on X , and if μ is a measure on X , the notation $L^p(\mu)$ denotes the usual Lebesgue spaces, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For $Y \subset C(X)$, the uniform closure of Y is denoted \overline{Y} , and for $Y \subset L^p(\mu)$, the norm-closure of Y in $L^p(\mu)$ is denoted \overline{Y}^p .

Let G be a compact group that acts continuously and transitively on X . We let m denote the Haar measure on G . When we wish to be explicit, the map $\varphi_\alpha : X \rightarrow X$ shall denote the action of α on X for each $\alpha \in G$; otherwise, we write αx to mean the action of $\alpha \in G$ on $x \in X$.

Definition 2.1. A space of complex functions Y defined on X is **invariant under G** (**G -invariant**) if $f \circ \varphi_\alpha \in Y$ for every $f \in Y$ and every $\alpha \in G$.

Remark 2.2. Since the action is continuous, $C(X)$ is G -invariant. Conversely, if $C(X)$ is G -invariant, then each action φ_α must be continuous.

Definition 2.3. If Y is G -invariant and T is a linear transformation on Y , we say T **commutes with G** if

$$T(f \circ \varphi_\alpha) = (Tf) \circ \varphi_\alpha$$

for every $f \in Y$ and every $\alpha \in G$.

Definition 2.4. A space $Y \subset C(X)$ is **G -minimal** if it is G -invariant and contains no nontrivial G -invariant spaces.

Definition 2.5. For each $x \in X$, the space $H(x)$ is the set of all continuous functions that are unchanged by the action of any element of G which stabilizes x . That is,

$$H(x) = \{f \in C(X) : f = f \circ \varphi_\alpha, \text{ for all } \alpha \in G \text{ such that } \alpha x = x\}.$$

3. A G -INVARIANT MEASURE ON X

Theorem 3.1. *There exists a unique regular Borel probability measure μ on X that is invariant under G in the sense that*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_X f d\mu = \int_X f \circ \varphi_\alpha d\mu,$$

for every $f \in C(X)$ and every $\alpha \in G$.

Proof. We define the map Λ on $C(X)$ by

$$\Lambda f = \int_G f(\gamma x) dm(\gamma),$$

for some $x \in X$. The transitivity of the action and the invariance of the Haar measure yield that Λ is well-defined: If $y \in X$, then there exists $\beta \in G$ such that $\beta x = y$. Thus,

$$\int_G f(\gamma y) dm(\gamma) = \int_G f(\gamma \beta x) dm(\gamma) = \int_G f(\gamma x) dm(\gamma).$$

Clearly, Λ is a linear functional on $C(X)$. Further, it is bounded:

$$|\Lambda f| \leq \int_G |f(\gamma x)| dm(\gamma) \leq \|f\|.$$

Thus, by the Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists a unique regular Borel measure μ on X such that

$$\int_X f d\mu = \Lambda f$$

for all $f \in C(X)$. Since m is a probability measure on G , μ is a probability measure on X .

The invariance property (3.1) follows from the invariance of the Haar measure:

$$\Lambda(f \circ \varphi_\alpha) = \int_G f(\alpha\gamma x) dm(\gamma) = \int_G f(\gamma x) dm(\gamma) = \Lambda f.$$

□

Throughout the remainder of the paper, we denote the G -invariant measure of Theorem 3.1 on X by μ , and the spaces $L^p(\mu)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, refer to this measure.

Remark 3.2. Explicitly, the invariance property (3.1) means $\mu(\alpha E) = \mu(E)$ for every Borel set E and every $\alpha \in G$. Consequently, (3.1) holds for every L^p function, and thus $L^p(\mu)$ is G -invariant for all $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and let p' be its conjugate exponent. Then*

$$\int_X (f \circ \varphi_\alpha) \cdot g d\mu = \int_X f \cdot (g \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}) d\mu,$$

for $f \in L^p(\mu)$, $g \in L^{p'}(\mu)$, and $\alpha \in G$.

4. G-COLLECTIONS

In the following theorem, we use $[\cdot, \cdot]$ to denote the inner product on $L^2(\mu)$:

$$[f, g] = \int_X f \bar{g} d\mu.$$

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose H is a closed G -invariant subspace of $C(X)$, and π is the orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mu)$ onto H . Then, π commutes with G , and to each $x \in X$ corresponds a unique $K_x \in H$ such that*

$$(4.1) \quad (\pi f)(x) = [f, K_x] \quad (f \in L^2(\mu)).$$

Additionally, the functions K_x satisfy the following:

- (1) $K_x(y) = \overline{K_y(x)}$ $(x, y \in X)$,
- (2) $\pi f = \int_X f(x) K_x d\mu(x)$ $(f \in L^2(\mu))$,
- (3) $K_{\varphi_\alpha(x)} = K_x \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}$ $(\alpha \in G)$,
- (4) $K_x = K_x \circ \varphi_\alpha$, for all $\alpha \in G$ such that $\alpha x = x$, and
- (5) $K_x(x) = K_y(y) > 0$ $(x, y \in X)$.

Proof. The projection π commutes with G due to the G -invariance of H^\perp , which follows from Corollary 3.3. The existence and uniqueness of K_x follows from the fact that $f \mapsto (\pi f)(x)$ is a bounded linear functional on $L^2(\mu)$. Further, $K_x \in H$ since $\pi f = 0$ whenever $f \perp H$. When $f \in H$, we get

$$f(x) = [f, K_x].$$

In particular, $K_y(x) = [K_y, K_x]$, which proves (1), and (2) follows naturally. Since π commutes with G ,

$$[f, K_{\varphi_\alpha(x)}] = (\pi f)(\varphi_\alpha(x)) = \pi(f \circ \varphi_\alpha)(x) = [f \circ \varphi_\alpha, K_x] = [f, K_x \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}}],$$

for every $f \in L^2(\mu)$ (Corollary 3.3 yields the last equality). This proves (3) and the special case (4). Finally, (3) also yields

$$K_{\varphi_\alpha(x)}(\varphi_\alpha(x)) = (K_x \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(\varphi_\alpha(x)) = K_x(x).$$

This and the transitivity of the group action yields (5), with the inequality due to

$$K_x(x) = [K_x, K_x] > 0.$$

□

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{G} be a collection of spaces in $C(X)$ with the following properties:

- (1) Each $H \in \mathcal{G}$ is a closed G -minimal space.
- (2) Each pair H_1 and H_2 in \mathcal{G} is orthogonal (in $L^2(\mu)$): If $f_1 \in H_1$ and $f_2 \in H_2$, then

$$\int_X f_1 \bar{f}_2 d\mu = 0.$$

- (3) $L^2(\mu)$ is the direct sum of the spaces in \mathcal{G} .

We say \mathcal{G} is a **G -collection** if it also possesses the following property:

- (*) $\dim(H \cap H(x)) = 1$ for each $x \in X$ and each $H \in \mathcal{G}$.

Throughout the remainder of the paper, we let \mathcal{G} denote a G -collection of $C(X)$, indexed by I . Observe Theorem 4.1(4) yields that $\dim(H(x) \cap H_i) \geq 1$ for each $x \in X$ and $i \in I$, so that Definition 4.2(*) requires each H_i to contain a unique (up to a constant multiple) function which satisfies Theorem 4.1(4) for each $x \in X$.

Remark 4.3. Explicitly, Definition 4.2(3) requires each $f \in L^2(\mu)$ to have a unique expansion $f = \sum f_i$, with $f_i \in H_i$, that converges unconditionally to f in the L^2 -norm.

Definition 4.4. We define π_i to be the projection of $L^2(\mu)$ onto H_i . The domain of each π_i is extended to $L^1(\mu)$ by Theorem 4.1(2).

Definition 4.5. If $\Omega \subset I$, we define E_Ω to be the direct sum of the spaces H_i for $i \in \Omega$.

Theorem 4.6. Suppose $T : H_i \rightarrow H_j$ is linear and commutes with G . When $i = j$, T is the identity on H_i scaled by a constant c . Otherwise, $T = 0$.

Proof. For each $x \in X$, let K_x denote the kernel of Theorem 4.1 in H_i and L_x the same in H_j . Then, if $\alpha \in G$ such that $\alpha x = x$, because T commutes with G , we get

$$TK_x = T(K_x \circ \varphi_\alpha) = (TK_x) \circ \varphi_\alpha.$$

Thus, by Definition 4.2(*), $TK_x = c(x)L_x$ for some constant $c(x)$, and hence

$$(TK_x)(x) = c(x)L_x(x).$$

Observe that $L_x(x)$ is independent of x . Further, if $y = \alpha x$, then

$$(TK_y)(y) = (TK_x \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}})(\alpha x) = (TK_x)(x).$$

Thus, $c(x) = c$ is the same constant for all $x \in X$.

If $f \in H_i$, we then get

$$f = \int_X f(x)K_x d\mu(x).$$

Application of T yields

$$Tf = \int_X f(x)TK_x d\mu(x) = c \int_X f(x)L_x d\mu(x) = c\pi_j f.$$

When $i = j$, then $\pi_j f = f$ for all $f \in H_i$. When $i \neq j$, then $\pi_j f = 0$ for all $f \in H_i$. □

5. CHARACTERIZATION OF CLOSED G -INVARIANT SPACES

We now prove our main result, Theorem 5.1. Throughout the section, we let \mathcal{X} denote any of the spaces $C(X)$ or $L^p(\mu)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Theorem 5.1. *If Y is a closed G -invariant subspace of \mathcal{X} , then Y is the closure of the direct sum of some subcollection of \mathcal{G} .*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 relies on the particular case when \mathcal{X} is the space $L^2(\mu)$ (Theorem 5.2), as well as Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4, which allow the passage from $L^2(\mu)$ to the other spaces. These lemmas are proved in Section 6.

Theorem 5.2. *If Y is a closed G -invariant subspace of $L^2(\mu)$, then Y is the L^2 -closure of the direct sum of some subcollection of \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. Define the set $\Omega = \{i \in I : \pi_i Y \neq \{0\}\}$ and let $i \in \Omega$. Since Y is G -invariant and π_i commutes with G , $\pi_i Y$ is a nontrivial G -invariant space in H_i . The G -minimality of H_i then yields that $\pi_i Y = H_i$.

Let Y_0 be the null space of π_i in Y , with relative orthogonal complement Y_1 . Then Y_0 is G -invariant, and so is Y_1 . Further, $\pi_i : Y_1 \rightarrow H_i$ is an isomorphism, whose inverse we denote Λ . If we fix $j \in I$ such that $j \neq i$ and define $T = \pi_j \circ \Lambda$, then T maps H_i into H_j and commutes with G . Thus, $T = 0$.

We conclude that $\pi_j Y_1 = \{0\}$ for all $j \neq i$, and thus $Y_1 = H_i$. Thus, $H_i \subset Y$ for all $i \in \Omega$, and further, $\overline{E_\Omega} \subset Y$. Since $\pi_j Y = \{0\}$ for all $j \notin \Omega$, Definition 4.2(3) yields the opposite inclusion. \square

Lemma 5.3. *If Y is a closed G -invariant space in \mathcal{X} , then $Y \cap C(X)$ is dense in Y .*

Lemma 5.4. *If $Y \subset C(X)$, Y is a G -invariant space, and some $g \in C(X)$ is not in the uniform closure of Y , then g is not in the L^2 -closure of Y .*

Proof of Theorem 5.1. If Y is a closed G -invariant subspace of \mathcal{X} , define \tilde{Y} to be the L^2 -closure of $Y \cap C(X)$. Lemma 5.4 then yields

$$\tilde{Y} \cap C(X) = Y \cap C(X).$$

We next observe that $Y \cap C(X)$ is L^2 -dense in \tilde{Y} and is \mathcal{X} -dense in Y , by Lemma 5.3. Each π_i is \mathcal{X} -continuous as well as L^2 -continuous, so that $\pi_i Y = \{0\}$ if and only if $\pi_i \tilde{Y} = \{0\}$. By Theorem 5.2, \tilde{Y} is the L^2 -closure of E_Ω , where Ω is the set of all $i \in I$ such that $\pi_i Y \neq \{0\}$. Another application of Lemma 5.4 yields

$$\tilde{Y} \cap C(X) = \overline{E_\Omega}.$$

The \mathcal{X} -density of $Y \cap C(X)$ in Y then implies Y is the \mathcal{X} -closure of E_Ω . \square

Example 5.5. Let $X = G = T^n$, the torus in \mathbb{C}^n , such that the action of G on X is given by coordinatewise multiplication. This action is both transitive and continuous, and the measure induced by the action is the usual Lebesgue measure m , normalized so that $m(T^n) = 1$.

For each $k = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we define H_k to be the space of all complex functions f on T^n given by $f(z) = cz^k$, where $c \in \mathbb{C}$ and $z^k = z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} \dots z_n^{k_n}$; that is, H_k is the span of the trigonometric monomial of power k .

The collection \mathcal{G} of spaces H_k forms a G -collection: Since $\dim H_k = 1$, each H_k is closed. The G -invariance of each H_k is clear, and thus each H_k is G -minimal. Further,

$$\int_{T^n} z^k \bar{z}^{k'} dm(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = k' \\ 0 & \text{if } k \neq k', \end{cases}$$

so that the spaces H_k are pairwise orthogonal. Finally, $L^2(T^n)$ is the direct sum of the spaces H_k as a consequence of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem. Thus, \mathcal{G} satisfies the first three properties of Definition 4.2. Lastly, for $z \in T^n$, we have $\dim(H(z) \cap H_k) = 1$ from Theorem 4.1 and the fact that $\dim H_k = 1$. Thus, \mathcal{G} is a G -collection.

Theorem 5.1 then yields that every closed G -invariant space of continuous or L^p functions on T^n is the closure of the direct sum of some collection of spaces H_k . Notably, the collection which induces the space of all functions which are restrictions to T^n of functions holomorphic on the polydisc and continuous on the closed polydisc is the collection of all H_k such that k has nonnegative coordinates.

6. PROOFS OF LEMMA 5.3 AND LEMMA 5.4

As in Section 5, we let \mathcal{X} denote any of the spaces $C(X)$ or $L^p(\mu)$, for $1 \leq p < \infty$. The following lemmas are used in the proofs of Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 6.1. *If $f \in C(X)$, then the map $\alpha \mapsto f \circ \varphi_\alpha$ is a continuous map of G into $C(X)$.*

Proof. For $\alpha \in G$, we define the map $\phi : G \rightarrow C(X, X)$ by $\phi(\alpha) = \varphi_\alpha$. Then ϕ is continuous when $C(X, X)$ is given the compact-open topology (continuity of the group action is used here). We define the map $T_f : C(X, X) \rightarrow C(X)$ for $f \in C(X)$ by $T_f(\varphi) = f \circ \varphi$, and we endow both spaces with the compact-open topology. Let $f \circ \varphi \in C(X)$ for $\varphi \in C(X, X)$ and suppose $f \circ \varphi \in V$, where $V = V(K, U)$ is a subbasis element in $C(X)$. Explicitly,

$$K \subset (f \circ \varphi)^{-1}(U). \quad \text{That is to say,} \quad K \subset \varphi^{-1}(f^{-1}(U)).$$

Then $V' = V'(K, f^{-1}(U))$ is a subbasis element in $C(X, X)$ and $\varphi \in V'$. Further, $V' \subset T_f^{-1}(V)$, so that T_f is continuous when $C(X, X)$ and $C(X)$ are endowed with the respective compact-open topologies. We finally observe that since X is compact, the norm topology and the compact-open topology on $C(X)$ coincide. \square

Lemma 6.2. *If $f \in \mathcal{X}$, then the map $\alpha \mapsto f \circ \varphi_\alpha$ is a continuous map of G into \mathcal{X} .*

Proof. For brevity, we let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm of the space \mathcal{X} and $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ the uniform norm in $C(X)$. If $\epsilon > 0$, then $\|f - g\| < \epsilon/3$ for some $g \in C(X)$. There is a neighborhood N of the identity in G such that $\|g - g \circ \varphi_\alpha\|_\infty < \epsilon/3$ for all $\alpha \in N$ (Lemma 6.1). Since

$$|f - f \circ \varphi_\alpha| \leq |f - g| + |g - g \circ \varphi_\alpha| + |(g - f) \circ \varphi_\alpha|,$$

we have $\|f - f \circ \varphi_\alpha\| < \epsilon$ for all $\alpha \in N$. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Let $f \in Y$ and choose N as in the proof of Lemma 6.2. Let $\psi : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be continuous, with support in N , such that $\int \psi dm = 1$. Define

$$g(x) = \int_G \psi(\alpha) f(\alpha x) dm(\alpha).$$

Since $\alpha \mapsto \psi(\alpha)f \circ \varphi_\alpha$ is a continuous map into Y , we have $g \in Y$. If $\beta \in G$ such that $\beta x_1 = x$, the invariance of the Haar measure m yields

$$g(x) = \int_G \psi(\alpha\beta^{-1})f(\alpha x_1) dm(\alpha).$$

Thus, $g \in Y \cap C(X)$.

Finally, the relation

$$f - g = \int_N \psi(\alpha)(f - f \circ \varphi_\alpha) dm(\alpha)$$

gives $\|f - g\| < \epsilon$, since $\|f - f \circ \varphi_\alpha\| < \epsilon$ whenever $\alpha \in N$. \square

Proof of Lemma 5.4. There is a $\mu' \in M(X)$ such that $\int f d\mu' = 0$ for all $f \in Y$, but $\int g d\mu' = 1$. There is a neighborhood N of the identity in G such that $\operatorname{Re} \int g \circ \varphi_\alpha d\mu' > \frac{1}{2}$ for every $\alpha \in N$. Associate ψ to N as in the proof of Lemma 5.3, and define $\Lambda \in C(X)^*$ by

$$\Lambda h = \int_X \int_G \psi(\alpha)h(\alpha x) dm(\alpha) d\mu'(x).$$

By the Schwarz inequality,

$$\left| \int_G \psi(\alpha)h(\alpha x) dm(\alpha) \right|^2 \leq \int_G |\psi(\alpha)|^2 dm(\alpha) \int_G |h(\alpha x)|^2 dm(\alpha) = \|\psi\|_2^2 \int_X |h|^2 d\mu,$$

so that

$$|\Lambda h| \leq \|\mu'\| \|\psi\|_2 \|h\|_2.$$

Thus, Λ extends to a bounded linear functional Λ_1 on $L^2(\mu)$. By interchanging integrals in the definition of Λ , we get $\Lambda_1 f = 0$ for every $f \in Y$, but $\operatorname{Re} \Lambda_1 g \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus, $g \notin \overline{Y}^2$. \square

7. FUTURE QUESTIONS

- (1) Does a G -collection exist for all groups G acting continuously and transitively on X ? What about a collection that only lacks (*)? What conditions might exist on G or X that yield a collection that only lacks (*)?
- (2) Suppose H is a subgroup of G and \mathcal{H} is a collection of closed H -minimal spaces satisfying the same conditions as \mathcal{G} . What is the relationship between \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} ? The uniqueness of μ shows that the H -measure is the same as the G -measure, and further, G -invariance implies H -invariance (of a space).
- (3) Continuing from (2), we know that \mathcal{M} is a subgroup of \mathcal{U} and that there are only six \mathcal{M} -invariant spaces in $C(S)$, while there are infinitely many \mathcal{U} -invariant spaces. Why are there fewer spaces? One would think that \mathcal{M} being a subgroup would allow for even more invariant spaces.
- (4) Can the results of [3] similarly be generalized?

REFERENCES

- [1] Alexander Nagel and Walter Rudin. Moebius-invariant function spaces on balls and spheres. *Duke Math. J.*, 43(4):841–865, 1976.
- [2] Walter Rudin. *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* . Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008. Reprint of the 1980 edition.
- [3] Samuel A. Hokamp. Certain Invariant Spaces of Bounded Measurable Functions on a Sphere. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2011.05272, November 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STERLING COLLEGE, STERLING, KANSAS, 67579
 Email address: samuel.hokamp@sterling.edu