

GENERALIZED MANIFOLDS, NORMAL INVARIANTS, AND \mathbb{L} -HOMOLOGY

FRIEDRICH HEGENBARTH AND DUŠAN REPOVŠ

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Erik Kjær Pedersen (1946-2020)

ABSTRACT. Let X^n be an arbitrary oriented closed generalized n -manifold, $n \geq 5$. In our recent paper (Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 63 (2020), no. 2, 597-607) we have constructed a map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ which extends the normal invariant map for the case when X^n is a topological n -manifold. Here, $\mathcal{N}(X^n)$ denotes the set of all normal bordism classes of degree one normal maps $(f, b) : M^n \rightarrow X^n$, and $H_*^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{E})$ denotes the Steenrod homology of the spectrum \mathbb{E} . An important nontrivial question arose whether the map t is bijective (note that this holds in the case that X^n is a topological n -manifold). It is the purpose of this paper to prove that the answer to this question is affirmative.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the paper, n will denote an integer ≥ 5 . A *generalized manifold* X^n (without boundary) of dimension $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a *Euclidean neighborhood retract (ENR)* (i.e. X^n is an n -dimensional locally compact separable metrizable absolute neighborhood retract (ANR)), satisfying the *local Poincaré duality* (i.e. the local homology of X^n is like that of \mathbb{R}^n).

In this paper we shall consider only *oriented connected compact* generalized manifolds. Clearly, every oriented *closed* (i.e. connected, compact and without boundary) topological manifold is such a space (cf. Cavicchioli, Hegenbarth and Repovš [3]).

For every generalized n -manifold X^n , there exists an embedding $\varphi : X^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ into \mathbb{R}^m , for a sufficiently large $m \geq n \in \mathbb{N}$, so that the boundary $\partial N^m \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of a neighbourhood $N^m \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ of $\varphi(X^n)$ in \mathbb{R}^m is homotopy equivalent to a spherical fibration ν_{X^n} , called the *Spivak fibration*, with fiber homotopy equivalent to S^{m-n-1} (cf. Browder [1]). We shall consider only the oriented case and we shall denote also its classifying map by $\nu_{X^n} : X^n \rightarrow BSG$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 55N07, 55R20, 57P10, 57R67; Secondary: 18F15, 55M05, 55N20, 57P05, 57P99, 57R65.

Key words and phrases. Generalized manifold, Steenrod \mathbb{L} -homology, Poincaré duality complex, normal invariant of degree one map, periodic surgery spectrum \mathbb{L} , fundamental complex, Spivak fibration, Pontryagin-Thom construction, Spanier-Whitehead duality, absolute neighborhood retract.

A systematic construction of generalized manifolds was given by Bryant, Ferry, Mio and Weinberger [2] (for a comprehensive treatment see Cavicchioli, Hegenbarth and Repovš [3] and Hegenbarth and Repovš [8], and the references therein). It was proved by Ferry and Pedersen [6] that there is a canonical lift $\xi_0 : X^n \rightarrow BSTOP$ of ν_{X^n} , i.e. the composition $X^n \xrightarrow{\xi_0} BSTOP \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}} BSG$ is homotopic to ν_{X^n} . It gives rise to the *canonical surgery problem*, denoted by (f_0, b_0) , via the Pontryagin-Thom construction.

Here, $f_0 : M_0^n \rightarrow X^n$ is a degree one map, where M_0^n is a closed topological n -manifold and $b_0 : \nu_{M_0^n} \rightarrow \xi_0$ is a bundle map, covering the map f_0 (by slightly abusing the notation, we shall denote by $\nu_{M_0^n}$ also the stable normal \mathbb{R}^{m-n} -bundle of an embedding $M_0^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, not just its associated spherical fibration). The canonical surgery problem (f_0, b_0) is unique up to normal bordism.

Let us denote the set of all normal bordism classes of normal degree one maps (f, b) by $\mathcal{N}(X^n)$, where $f : M^n \rightarrow X^n$ is a map of degree one, $b : \nu_{M^n} \rightarrow \xi$ is a bundle map covering f , and $\xi : X^n \rightarrow BSTOP$ is a *TOP*-reduction of ν_{X^n} (i.e. $\mathcal{J} \circ \xi$ is homotopic to ν_{X^n}).

In the case when X^n is a closed n -manifold, one associates to (f, b) and element in $H_n(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$, where $\mathbb{L}^+ = \mathbb{L} < 1 >$ is the (semi-simplicial) *connected surgery spectrum* (cf. Kühl, Macko and Mole [12], Nicas [17], and Ranicki [20, Chapter 18]).

In the case when X^n is a topological n -manifold, this element in $H_n(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ is obtained by decomposing (f, b) into adic pieces, using a transversality structure on the manifold X^n (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 16]). This defines a map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ which is bijective. The image of (f, b) is called the *normal invariant* of the normal degree one map (f, b) .

This construction does not carry over to generalized manifolds X^n . If X^n is not homotopy equivalent to a topological n -manifold, there is no transversality structure on X^n . Moreover, what does \mathbb{L}^+ -homology mean in the class of compact ENR's? In our recent paper we have proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1. (Hegenbarth-Repovš [9, Theorem 5.1]) *Let X^n be an oriented closed generalized n -manifold, $n \geq 5$. Then one can construct a map*

$$t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$$

which extends the normal invariant map in the case when X^n is a topological n -manifold.

Here, $H_*^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{E})$ denotes the *Steenrod homology* of the spectrum \mathbb{E} . We refer to Ferry [5], Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10], and Milnor [15] for the construction and properties.

As it was already pointed out above, the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ in Theorem 1.1 is bijective for topological n -manifolds X^n . Therefore it is very natural to ask if perhaps bijectivity of t also holds for generalized n -manifolds X^n ? The main goal of the present paper is to show that the answer to this question is affirmative.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X^n be an oriented closed generalized n -manifold, $n \geq 5$. Then the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ in Theorem 1.1 is also a bijection.*

We outline the plan how we shall prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 2 we shall recall the construction of the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ from Hegenbarth and Repovš [9]. In Section 3 we shall prove that the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ is the composition of maps in the following commutative diagram

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}(M_0^n) & \xrightarrow{t_0} & H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow (f_0)_* \\ \mathcal{N}(X^n) & \xrightarrow{t} & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \end{array}$$

There are canonical identifications of $\mathcal{N}(M_0^n)$ with $H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ and $\mathcal{N}(X^n)$ with $H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(M_0^n)$ corresponds to

$$(f_0)^* : H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \rightarrow H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+).$$

A precise definition will be given at the beginning of Section 3.

Here, (f_0, b_0) is the canonical surgery problem mentioned above. It is well-known that the composed map

$$H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}(M_0^n) \xrightarrow{t_0} H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$$

is equal to the following composition of isomorphisms

$$H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{M_0^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{SD} H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+),$$

where $T(\nu_{M_0^n})$ denotes the *Thom space* of the normal bundle of an embedding $M_0^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and the first map is the *Thom isomorphism*. The second map SD denotes the *S-duality* (i.e. the *Spanier-Whitehead duality*) isomorphism (cf. Kühl, Macko, and Mole [12, Chapter 14, p.259] and Ranicki [20, Chapter 17, p.193]).

The same isomorphisms hold for X^n (cf. Ranicki [20, Proposition 16.1 (v), p.175],

$$H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^+),$$

where we assume $X^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, and the existence of the isomorphism

$$\tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow[\cong]{SD} H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$$

follows from Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Theorem B, p.205].

Finally, in Section 4 we shall show that since (f_0, b_0) is a normal degree one map, the following diagram commutes (cf. diagram 4.1 in Section 4)

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{M_0^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{SD} & H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\ (f_0)^* \uparrow & & (T(b_0))^* \uparrow & & \downarrow (f_0)_* \\ H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{SD} & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \end{array}$$

The bottom isomorphism is therefore equal to the composite map

$$H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \cong \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(M_0^n) \xrightarrow{t_0} H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{(f_0)^*} H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+).$$

Now the commutativity of diagram 1.1 implies that the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ is indeed bijective, as asserted in Theorem 1.2. Details will be given in the forthcoming sections.

Remark 1.3. *In the epilogue (cf. Section 5) we shall give an outlook for comparing the exact sequence of a map $q : X^n \rightarrow B$, where B is a compact metric space, with the controlled surgery sequence, determined by the map q (cf. Bryant, Ferry, Mio and Weinberger [2]). We are grateful to the referee for suggesting to also include a discussion of this interesting problem.*

2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE MAP $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$

We recall the construction of the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ from Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Section 4]. So let us fix an oriented closed generalized n -manifold X^n of dimension $n \geq 5$. If \mathcal{U} is a covering of X^n by open sets, we denote its nerve by $N(\mathcal{U})$. If the covering $\mathcal{U}' \prec \mathcal{U}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{U} , then there is a simplicial map $s : N(\mathcal{U}') \rightarrow N(\mathcal{U})$.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists a sequence of open coverings $\{\mathcal{U}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ with the following properties:*

- (a) *for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{U}_{j+1} \prec \mathcal{U}_j$, and there exists a simplicial map $s_j : N(\mathcal{U}_{j+1}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{U}_j)$;*
- (b) *for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist maps $\varphi_j : X^n \rightarrow N(\mathcal{U}_j)$, $\psi_j : N(\mathcal{U}_j) \rightarrow X^n$ such that $\psi_j \circ \varphi_j : X^n \rightarrow X^n$ is an ε_j -equivalence, where $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_j = 0$;*
- (c) *$\varprojlim_j N(\mathcal{U}_j) = X^n$; and*
- (d) *the following diagram is homotopy commutative*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & N(\mathcal{U}_{j+1}) & \\ \varphi_{j+1} \nearrow & \downarrow s_j & \searrow \psi_{j+1} \\ X^n & & X^n \\ \varphi_j \searrow & & \nearrow \psi_j \\ & N(\mathcal{U}_j) & \end{array}$$

Proof. See Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Sections 2 and 3] for verification of properties (a), (b), (d), and Milnor [15, Lemma 2] for property (c). \square

Let $M(s_j) = N(\mathcal{U}_{j+1}) \times I \cup_{s_j} N(\mathcal{U}_j)$ be the mapping cylinder of the map $s_j : N(\mathcal{U}_{j+1}) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{U}_j)$. Using property Proposition 2.1 (d), we can form the mapping telescope $F_0 = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} M(s_j)$ and the obvious maps

$$X^n \times [j, j+1] \xrightarrow{\varphi_j \times Id_{[j, j+1]}} M(s_j) \xrightarrow{\psi_j \times Id_{[j, j+1]}} X^n \times [j, j+1]$$

fit together to give the map $X^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_0 \xrightarrow{\Lambda} X^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Here, F_0 is a locally finite complex which can be completed to give a complex F such that (cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Section 3] for details):

(i) at the ∞ -end we add $\varprojlim_j N(\mathcal{U}_j) = X^n$;

(ii) at the 0-end we add a cone with the cone point c_0 .

The complex F_0 (resp. F) is an open (resp. closed) fundamental complex of the (compact metric) space X^n . If \mathbb{E} is an arbitrary spectrum and $H_*^{lf}(F_0; \mathbb{E})$ denotes the locally finite homology of F_0 , then the Steenrod homology satisfies the following axiom

$$H_*^{lf}(F_0; \mathbb{E}) \cong H_*^{st}(F, X^n, \{c_0\}; \mathbb{E}).$$

Note that F is contractible, hence we have the following isomorphism

$$H_m^{st}(F, X^n, \{c_0\}; \mathbb{E}) \xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} H_{m-1}^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{E}).$$

We can now outline the construction of the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ (cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Section 4]). Let (f, b) be a normal degree one map, i.e. $f : M^n \rightarrow X^n$ is of degree one and $b : \nu_{M^n} \rightarrow \xi$ is a bundle map covering f . As before, (f_0, b_0) denotes the canonical map, i.e. $f_0 : M_0^n \rightarrow X^n$, $b_0 : \nu_{M_0^n} \rightarrow \xi_0$. Consider the following bundles over F_0 : $\eta = \Lambda^*(\xi \times \mathbb{R}_+)$, $\eta_0 = \Lambda^*(\xi_0 \times \mathbb{R}_+)$. Then $\Gamma^*(\eta) \cong \xi \times \mathbb{R}_+$, $\Gamma^*(\eta_0) \cong \xi_0 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, since $\Lambda \circ \Gamma$ is homotopic to $Id_{X^n \times \mathbb{R}_+}$.

One obtains bundle maps (Φ, B) and (Φ_0, B_0) from the following compositions

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : M^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ &\xrightarrow{f \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}} X^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_0, \\ B : \nu_{M^n} \times \mathbb{R}_+ &\xrightarrow{b \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}} \xi \times \mathbb{R}_+ \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \eta, \\ \Phi_0 : M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ &\xrightarrow{f_0 \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}} X^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_0, \\ B_0 : \nu_{M_0^n} \times \mathbb{R}_+ &\xrightarrow{b_0 \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}} \xi_0 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \xrightarrow{\Gamma} \eta_0. \end{aligned}$$

Their mapping cylinders $M(\Phi, B)$ (resp. $M(\Phi_0, B_0)$) are normal spaces with boundaries $(M^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \amalg F_0$ (resp. $(M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \amalg F_0$). Gluing them along F_0 yields the normal space

$$N = M(F, B) \bigcup_{F_0} -M(F_0, B_0), \quad \partial N = M^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \cup_{F_0} M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

where the minus sign denotes the opposite orientation on $M(F_0, B_0)$.

This normal space N can be decomposed into adic pieces to define an element in $H_{n+2}^{lf}(F_0; \Omega^{NSTOP})$, where Ω^{NSTOP} is the semi-simplicially defined spectrum of adic normal spaces with manifold boundary (cf. Kühl, Macko and Mole [12, Section 11] for the precise definition).

There is a similar spectrum Ω^{NPD} , where the boundaries are Poincaré duality spaces, and there exists an obvious map $\Omega^{NSTOP} \rightarrow \Omega^{NPD}$. Moreover, there is a map of spectra $\Omega^{NPD} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^+$ (cf. Ranicki [19, p.287]), inducing isomorphisms in homology theory (cf. Hausmann and Vogel [7], Levine [13],

Quinn [18]). The composition $\Omega^{NSTOP} \rightarrow \Omega^{NPD} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}^+$ is called $sign^{\mathbb{L}}$ in Kühl, Macko and Mole [12, p.232].

A word about notations: we shall denote the element represented by $M(\Phi, B) \cup_{F_0} -M(\Phi_0, B_0)$ by $\{f, b\} - \{f_0, b_0\} \in H_{n+2}^{lf}(F_0; \Omega^{NSTOP})$ and its image under

$$\begin{aligned} H_{n+2}^{lf}(F_0; \Omega^{NSTOP}) &\xrightarrow{\cong} H_{n+2}^{st}(F, X^n, \{c_0\}; \Omega^{NSTOP}) \\ &\xrightarrow[\cong]{\partial} H_{n+1}^{st}(X^n; \Omega^{NSTOP}) \xrightarrow{sign^{\mathbb{L}}} H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \end{aligned}$$

will be denoted by $[f, b] - [f_0, b_0]$.

Finally, one can then show that the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ sending (f, b) to $[f, b] - [f_0, b_0]$, is well-defined (cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, Theorem 5.1]).

3. FACTORIZATION OF THE MAP $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$

This section is devoted to studying diagram 1.2.

I. First, one has to define the map $\mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(M_0^n)$. We shall keep the notations from Section 2, so (f_0, b_0) denotes the canonical surgery problem for an oriented closed generalized n -manifold X^n with $f_0 : M_0^n \rightarrow X^n$, $b_0 : \nu_{M_0^n} \rightarrow \xi_0$.

Let (f, b) represent an element in $\mathcal{N}(X^n)$, where $f : M^n \rightarrow X^n$, $b : \nu_{M^n} \rightarrow \xi$. We shall also write $\xi_0, \xi : X^n \rightarrow BSTOP$ for the corresponding classifying maps. Their compositions with $\mathcal{J} : BSTOP \rightarrow BSG$ are homotopic.

Consider now the bundles $(f_0)^*(\xi_0)$ and $(f_0)^*(\xi)$ over M_0^n . Observe that $(f_0)^*(\xi_0) = \nu_{M_0^n}$ and that $(f_0)^*(\xi)$ is fiber homotopy equivalent to $\nu_{M_0^n}$. In other words, $(f_0)^*(\xi)$ is a *TOP*-reduction of the Spivak fibration of the manifold M_0^n .

Therefore $(f_0)^*(\xi)$ defines a surgery problem $f' : M'^n \rightarrow M_0^n$, $b' : \nu_{M'^n} \rightarrow (f_0)^*(\xi)$, which we shall denote by (f', b') . These are well-known constructions (cf. Browder [1, Section II.4], Madsen and Milgram [14, Chapter 2], Wall [22, Chapter 10]).

Lemma 3.1. *The composition of the normal maps*

$$M'^n \xrightarrow{f'} M_0^n \xrightarrow{f_0} X^n, \quad \nu_{M'^n} \xrightarrow{b'} (f_0)^*(\xi) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_0} \xi,$$

where \tilde{f}_0 is the obvious bundle map covering the map f_0 , is normally bordant to (f, b) .

Proof. For the proof we have to describe (f_0, b_0) , (f, b) , and (f', b') in more details. Suppose that X^n is embedded into S^m , for some sufficiently large $m \geq n$, with a regular neighborhood $W^m \subset S^m$ and a retraction $r : W^m \rightarrow X^n$. Thus $r|_{\partial W^m} : \partial W^m \rightarrow X^n$ is homotopy equivalent to the spherical fibration ν_{X^n} , giving rise to $\beta : S^m \rightarrow W^m / \partial W^m \rightarrow T(\nu_{X^n})$.

The *TOP*-reductions ξ_0 and ξ of ν_{X^n} then yield the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & T(\xi) \\ & \nearrow & \uparrow h \\ T(\nu_{X^n}) & & \\ & \searrow & \\ & & T(\xi_0) \end{array}$$

Note that $h : T(\xi_0) \rightarrow T(\xi)$ is induced by a fiber homotopy equivalence $\dot{\xi}_0 \sim \nu_{X^n} \sim \dot{\xi}$, where $\dot{\xi}_0$ (resp. $\dot{\xi}$) denotes the sphere bundles of ξ_0 (resp. ξ).

Denote the compositions with β by $\alpha_0 : S^m \rightarrow T(\xi_0)$, $\alpha : S^m \rightarrow T(\xi)$. They can be made transverse to $X^n \subset T(\xi_0)$ (resp. $T(\xi)$) in order to obtain $\alpha_0^{-1}(X^n) = M_0^n$ (resp. $\alpha^{-1}(X^n) = M^n$), and b_0 (resp. b) are the obvious maps from their normal bundles in S^m . Moreover, α_0 (resp. α) factor as $S^m \rightarrow T(\nu_{M_0^n}) \rightarrow T(\xi_0)$ (resp. $S^m \rightarrow T(\nu_{M^n}) \rightarrow T(\xi)$) and we have the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & T(\nu_{M^n}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T(\xi) \\ & \nearrow & \searrow \alpha & \nearrow & \uparrow h \\ S^m & & & & \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \alpha_0 & \searrow & \\ & & T(\nu_{M_0^n}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T(\xi_0) \end{array}$$

Note that $h : T(\xi_0) \rightarrow T(\xi)$ induces a homotopy equivalence $\bar{h} : T((f_0)^*(\xi_0)) \rightarrow T((f_0)^*(\xi))$. However, $(f_0)^*(\xi_0) = \nu_{M_0^n}$, so we get the following homotopy commutative diagram

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\quad \alpha \quad} & & \\ & & T(\nu_{M^n}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & T(\xi) \\ & \nearrow \alpha_0 & & \nearrow h & \\ S^m & & & & \\ \downarrow \alpha' & & & & \uparrow T(\tilde{f}_0) \\ & & T(\xi_0) & & \\ & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \uparrow T(\tilde{f}_0) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \\ T(\nu_{M_0^n}) & \xrightarrow{=} & T((f_0)^*(\xi_0)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} & T((f_0)^*(\xi)) \end{array}$$

Here, $\tilde{f}_0 : (f_0)^*(\xi) \rightarrow \xi$ (resp. $\tilde{f}_0 : (f_0)^*(\xi_0) \rightarrow \xi_0$) are the obvious bundle maps over $f_0 : M_0^n \rightarrow X^n$ (for simplicity we use the same symbol \tilde{f}_0 for

both maps), and $T(\tilde{f}_0)$ is the induced map between the Thom spaces, so $T(\tilde{f}_0)^{-1}(X^n) = M_0^n$, similarly for $T(\tilde{f}_0) : T((f_0)^*(\xi_0)) \rightarrow T(\xi_0)$. Note that h and \bar{h} are not induced by bundle maps.

By making the composition $S^m \xrightarrow{\alpha'} T(\nu_{M_0^n}) = T((f_0)^*(\xi_0)) \xrightarrow{\bar{h}} T((f_0)^*(\xi))$ transverse to M_0^n , one obtains the surgery problem

$$M'^n = (\bar{h} \circ \alpha')^{-1}(M_0^n) \rightarrow M_0^n, \quad b' : \nu_{M'^n} \rightarrow (f_0)^*(\xi).$$

Homotopy commutativity of diagram 3.3 then implies that

$$M'^n \xrightarrow{f'} M_0^n \xrightarrow{f_0} X^n, \quad \nu_{M'^n} \xrightarrow{b'} (f_0)^*(\xi) \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_0} \xi$$

is normally bordant to (f, b) . To see this, observe that (f, b) is obtained from the upper arrow α , whereas the composition $(f_0, b_0) \circ (f', b')$ is obtained from the composition of the arrows $\downarrow \rightarrow \uparrow$, that is $T(\tilde{f}_0) \circ \bar{h} \circ \alpha'$. Note that $T(\tilde{f}_0)$ produces (f_0, b_0) and $\bar{h} \circ \alpha'$ gives (f', b') . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 3.2. *One might expect that homotopy comutativity of diagram 3.3 implies that (f_0, b_0) and (f, b) are normally bordant. However, this is not the case since h (resp. \bar{h}) are not induced by TOP-bundle maps.*

The association $(f, b) \rightarrow (f', b')$ defines a map $\mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(M_0^n)$. It depends on the fixed surgery problems (f_0, b_0) , and $Id_{M_0^n} : M_0^n \xrightarrow{\cong} M_0^n$, $Id_{\nu_{M_0^n}} : \nu_{M_0^n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \nu_{M_0^n}$. We shall relate this map using the following identifications (cf. Kühn, Macko and Mole [12, Chapter 14, in particular Section 14.23])

$$\mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow [X^n, G/TOP], \quad \mathcal{N}(M_0^n) \rightarrow [M_0^n, G/TOP].$$

Given $f : M^n \rightarrow X^n$, $b : \nu_{M^n} \rightarrow \xi$, we know that $\xi \oplus (-\xi_0) : X^n \rightarrow BTOP$ classifies the Whitney sum of ξ and $-\xi_0$. The composition with $\mathcal{J} : BTOP \rightarrow BSG$ is homotopic to the constant map, hence it yields a map $X^n \rightarrow G/TOP$. This defines a bijection $\mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow [X^n, G/TOP]$, depending on (f_0, b_0) .

Let us denote the image of $(f, b) \in \mathcal{N}(X^n)$ in $[X^n, G/TOP]$ by $[\xi - \xi_0]$. Similarly, $\mathcal{N}(M_0^n) \rightarrow [M_0^n, G/TOP]$ can be defined using $Id_{M_0^n} : M_0^n \xrightarrow{\cong} M_0^n$, $Id_{\nu_{M_0^n}} : \nu_{M_0^n} \xrightarrow{\cong} \nu_{M_0^n}$. The construction above then implies the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *The diagram*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}(M_0^n) & \rightarrow & [M_0^n, G/TOP] \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow (f_0)^* \\ \mathcal{N}(X^n) & \rightarrow & [X^n, G/TOP] \end{array}$$

commutes. Moreover, $(f_0)^*([\xi - \xi_0]) = [(f_0)^*(\xi) - \nu_{M_0^n}]$. \square

II. Next, we show how (f', b') can be used to calculate $t(f, b) \in H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$. By crossing (f', b') with \mathbb{R}_+ , one gets a normal map

$f' \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+} : M'^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$, $b' \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+} : \nu_{M'^n} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow (f_0)^*(\xi) \times \mathbb{R}_+$, denoted by $(f', b') \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}$. The mapping cylinder $M((f', b') \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+})$ of the map $(f', b') \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}$ is a normal space with manifold boundary, hence it defines an element

$$M((f', b') \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}) \in H_{n+2}^{lf}(M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \Omega^{NSTOP}).$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\Gamma_0 : M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow F_0$ be defined as the composition of the maps $f_0 \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+} : M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow X^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\Gamma : X^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow F_0$. Then Γ_0 induces a homomorphism*

$$(\Gamma_0)_* : H_{n+2}^{lf}(M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \Omega^{NSTOP}) \rightarrow H_{n+2}^{lf}(F_0; \Omega^{NSTOP}),$$

such that $(\Gamma_0)_*([M((f', b') \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+})]) = \{f, b\} - \{f_0, b_0\}$.

Proof. The element $(\Gamma_0)_*([M((f', b') \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+})])$ is represented by the mapping cylinder

$$(M'^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{f' \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}} M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+,$$

but decomposed according to the dissection given by $\Gamma_0 : M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow F_0$. The element $\{f, b\} - \{f_0, b_0\}$ is represented by

$$(M'^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{\Phi} F_0 \bigcup_{F_0} - (M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{\Phi_0} F_0,$$

as described in Section 2. By Lemma 3.1, it is equivalent to the mapping cylinder construction based on the composition of the normal maps $(f_0, b_0) \circ (f', b')$. It gives the following

$$(M'^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{f' \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}} M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+ \cup (M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{\Gamma_0} F_0 \cup - (M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{\Gamma_0} F_0,$$

(cf. Ferry [4, Proposition 8.10] for the mapping cylinder calculations).

This is obviously bordant to

$$(M'^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{f' \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+}} M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$$

since

$$(M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{\Gamma_0} F_0 \cup - (M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+) \times I \bigcup_{\Gamma_0} F_0$$

is 0-bordant. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4. \square

Now (f', b') is a normal degree one map between manifolds, so it defines an element $[f', b'] \in H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$, namely its normal invariant.

Corollary 3.5. *Consider the homomorphism $(f_0)_* : H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$. Then $(f_0)_*([f', b']) = [f, b] - [f_0, b_0]$.*

Remark 3.6. *If X^n happens to be a topological n -manifold, then this is the Ranicki composition formula (cf. Ranicki [21, Proposition 2.7]).*

Proof. The assertion follows from the following diagram
(3.5)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H_{n+2}^{lf}(M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \Omega^{NSTOP}) & \xrightarrow{(\Gamma_0)_*} & H_{n+2}^{lf}(F_0; \Omega^{NSTOP}) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
H_{n+2}^{st}(M_0^n \times [0, \infty], M_0^n \times \{\infty\}, M_0^n \times \{0\}; \Omega^{NSTOP}) & \xrightarrow{(\bar{\Gamma}_0)_*} & H_{n+2}^{st}(F, X^n, \{c_0\}; \Omega^{NSTOP}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H_{n+1}(M_0^n; \Omega^{NSTOP}) & \xrightarrow{(f_0)_*} & H_{n+1}^{st}(X^n; \Omega^{NSTOP}) \\
\downarrow (sign^{\mathbb{L}^+})_* & & \downarrow (sign^{\mathbb{L}^+})_* \\
H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{(f_0)_*} & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)
\end{array}$$

Note that the element $[M((f', b') \times Id_{\mathbb{R}_+})] \in H_{n+2}^{lf}(M_0^n \times \mathbb{R}_+; \Omega^{NSTOP})$ maps to $[f', b']$ under the left vertical arrow of morphisms. The completion of Γ_0 then gives the map $\bar{\Gamma}_0 : M_0^n \times [0, \infty] \rightarrow F$. This completes the proof of Corollary 3.5. \square

III. Summary: Let X^n be an oriented closed generalized manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$, and $f_0 : M_0^n \rightarrow X^n$, $b_0 : \nu_{M_0^n} \rightarrow \xi_0$ a surgery problem according to a *BSTOP*-reduction of ν_{X^n} . Then the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$, defined in Section 2, fits into the following commutative diagram

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{N}(M_0^n) & \xrightarrow{t_0} & H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\
\uparrow & & \downarrow (f_0)_* \\
\mathcal{N}(X^n) & \xrightarrow{t} & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)
\end{array}$$

Here, t_0 sends a normal degree one map with target M_0^n to its normal invariant. Moreover, under the identification of Corollary 3.3, diagram 3.6 can be redrawn as follows

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc}
[M_0^n, G/TOP] & \longrightarrow & H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\
(f_0)^* \uparrow & & \downarrow (f_0)_* \\
[X^n, G/TOP] & \longrightarrow & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)
\end{array}$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

The essence of the proof was already given in Section 1, by comparing diagrams 1.1 and 1.2. In this section we present the details.

Let \mathbb{L}^\bullet denote the symmetric \mathbb{L} -spectrum (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 13]). It is a ring spectrum and \mathbb{L}^+ is a \mathbb{L}^\bullet -module spectrum. Hence the cup product constructions $H^q(Z, A; \mathbb{L}^\bullet) \times H^p(Z; \mathbb{L}^+) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(Z, A; \mathbb{L}^+)$ are well-defined.

Considering an oriented closed generalized n -manifold, embedded in $X^n \subset S^m$, for some $m \geq n$, its Spivak fibration ν_{X^n} has a canonical orientation (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 16]), i.e. a Thom class

$$\mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X^n}} \in H^{m-n}(E(\nu_{X^n}), \partial E(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^\bullet) \cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^\bullet),$$

inducing the Thom isomorphism (here, $E(\nu_{X^n})$ is the associated disk fibration)

$$H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) = H^0(E(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{\cup \mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X^n}}} \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^+).$$

Recall that *canonical* means that it is constructed via the canonical reduction ξ_0 of ν_{X^n} . Hence the Thom class $\mathcal{U}_{\xi_0} \in \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\xi_0); \mathbb{L}^\bullet)$, corresponds to $\mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X^n}}$ under the homotopy equivalence between $T(\xi_0)$ and $T(\nu_{X^n})$.

The existence of \mathcal{U}_{ξ_0} is guaranteed (cf. Ranicki [20, Chapter 16]). Moreover, since $(f_0)^*(\xi_0) \cong \nu_{M_0^n}$, it follows that $f_0 : M_0^n \rightarrow X^n$, $b_0 : \nu_{M_0^n} \rightarrow \xi_0$ induces $T(b_0) : T(\nu_{M_0^n}) \rightarrow T(\xi_0)$, so that under

$$(T(b_0))^* : H^{m-n}(T(\xi_0); \mathbb{L}^\bullet) \rightarrow H^{m-n}(T(\nu_{M_0^n}); \mathbb{L}^\bullet),$$

\mathcal{U}_{ξ_0} is mapped to $\mathcal{U}_{\nu_{M_0^n}}$, the Thom class of $\nu_{M_0^n}$. This implies commutativity of the following diagram

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \cup \mathcal{U}_{\nu_{M_0^n}}} & \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{M_0^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) \\ \uparrow (f_0)^* & & \uparrow (T(b_0))^* \\ H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cdot \cup \mathcal{U}_{\nu_{X^n}}} & \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\xi_0); \mathbb{L}^+) \end{array}$$

The Thom isomorphisms are now composed with the S -duality isomorphisms:

$$\tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{M_0^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) \cong H_n^{st}(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \cong H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$$

and

$$\tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) \cong H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+).$$

For the generalized manifold X^n , this follows from Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Theorem B], which asserts that

$$H^{m-n-1}(S^m \setminus X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \cong H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+).$$

Since for every $m \geq n$,

$$H^{m-n-1}(S^m; \mathbb{L}^+) = L_{m-1}, \quad H^{m-n}(S^m; \mathbb{L}^+) = L_m,$$

where $L_q = \pi_q(G/TOP)$, the exact sequence of the pair $(S^m, S^m \setminus X^n)$ then implies that

$$\begin{aligned} H^{m-n-1}(S^m \setminus X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) &\cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}(S^m, S^m \setminus X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\ &\cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{X^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) \cong \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\xi_0); \mathbb{L}^+). \end{aligned}$$

This also applies to M_0^n .

The proof of Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Theorem B] shows that the following diagram commutes

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{M_0^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_n^{st}(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) = H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\ (T(b_0))^* \uparrow & & \downarrow (f_0)_* \\ \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\xi_0); \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \end{array}$$

Briefly, this follows since the Spanier-Whitehead duality isomorphism comes from the slant product constructions, using the map

$$X_+^n \wedge T(\xi_0) \cong X_+^n \wedge T(\nu_{X^n}) \rightarrow S^m,$$

i.e. it comes from the element in $H^m(X_+^n \wedge T(\xi_0); \mathbb{L}^+)$ which it defines. This construction is natural for the normal map (f_0, b_0) . Since X^n is not a complex, $T(\nu_{X^n})$ is replaced by a certain function space which leads to the Steenrod homology (cf. Kahn, Kaminker and Schochet [10, Section 4]).

Summary: The following diagram commutes

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\nu_{M_0^n}); \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{SD} & H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\ (f_0)^* \uparrow & & (T(b_0))^* \uparrow & & \downarrow (f_0)_* \\ H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{H}^{m-n}(T(\xi_0); \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{SD} & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \end{array}$$

The composition of the upper row isomorphisms is known to be

$$\cdot \cap [M_0^n]_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet} : H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+),$$

where $[M_0^n]_{\mathbb{L}^\bullet} \in H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^\bullet)$ is the \mathbb{L}^\bullet -coefficient fundamental class of M_0^n (cf. Ranicki [20, Proposition 18.3]). Finally, we can identify

$$[M_0^n, G/TOP] = H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+), \quad [X^n, G/TOP] = H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+),$$

according to the equivalence $G/TOP \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{L}^+$ (cf. Kirby and Siebenmann [11, Essay 5, Appendix C], Ranicki [20, Proposition 16.1]).

Combining this with Corollary 3.3 and diagram 3.6, we obtain the following diagram

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & \cdot \cap [M_0^n]_{\mathbb{L}^+} & & & \\ & & & \curvearrowright & & & \\ H^0(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [M_0^n, G/TOP] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{N}(M_0^n) & \xrightarrow{t_0} & H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\ \uparrow (f_0)^* & & \uparrow (f_0)^* & & \uparrow & & \downarrow (f_0)_* \\ H^0(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & [X^n, G/TOP] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{N}(X^n) & \xrightarrow{t} & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \\ & & & \curvearrowleft & & & \\ & & & \cong & & & \end{array}$$

Commutativity of the outer diagram (cf. diagram 4.3) and each square imply that

$$\mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(M_0^n) \xrightarrow{t_0} H_n(M_0^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{(f_0)_*} H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$$

is an isomorphism, hence by diagram 3.6, this composition is t . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Remark 4.1. *In particular, the proof of Theorem 1.2 also shows that the \mathbb{L} -duality isomorphism for generalized manifold X^n factors over $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$.*

5. EPILOGUE

We shall conclude this paper by a brief outlook for further studies, following a very interesting suggestion of the referee. In this paper we have proved that there exists a bijective map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ from normal degree one bordisms to the Steenrod homology of the spectrum \mathbb{L}^+ .

The Steenrod homology is known to behave well on the category of compact metric spaces. In particular, if $q : X^n \rightarrow B$ is any morphism, then there exists a long exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad \dots \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}^+) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{st}(B, X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{\partial_*} H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{q_*} H_n^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}^+) \rightarrow \dots$$

On the other hand, if $q : X^n \rightarrow B$ is a UV^1 -map, then there is a controlled surgery sequence (cf. Bryant, Ferry, Mio and Weinberger [2], Mio [16], and Nicas [17]),

$$(5.2) \quad H_{n+1}^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^c \left(\begin{array}{c} X^n \\ \downarrow q \\ B \end{array} \right) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}(X^n) \xrightarrow{\sigma^c} H_n^{st}(B; \mathbb{L})$$

Here, \mathbb{L} denotes the 4-periodic spectrum with $\mathbb{L}_0 = \mathbb{Z} \times G/TOP$, σ^c is the controlled surgery obstruction map, and

$$(5.3) \quad \mathcal{S}^c \left(\begin{array}{c} X^n \\ \downarrow q \\ B \end{array} \right)$$

is the controlled structure set. This controlled surgery sequence 5.2 makes sense if the controlled structure set 5.3 is nonempty (cf. Mio [16, Theorem 3.8]).

It is natural to ask if sequences 5.1 and 5.2 are related via the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$. First, one notes that two spectra \mathbb{L}^+ and \mathbb{L} are involved, where $\mathbb{L}^+ \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{L}$ is considered as the covering spectrum over the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $K(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$, i.e. $\mathbb{L}^+ \xrightarrow{i} \mathbb{L} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ is a fibration of spectra.

In order to compare sequences 5.1 and 5.2 we consider the composite map

$$q_* \circ i_* : H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{q_*} H_n^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}),$$

and obtain the following diagram

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{q_* \circ i_*} & H_n^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}) \\ t \uparrow & & \uparrow = \\ \mathcal{N}(X^n) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^c} & H_n^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}) \end{array}$$

The first step would be to prove commutativity of diagram 5.4. However, this is not enough, since one also needs a map between $H_{n+1}^{st}(B, X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ and the set 5.3, compatible with $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$. This can all be done if X^n is a topological n -manifold (cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [8]). In the case when X^n is a generalized n -manifold, this is still an unsolved problem.

For the second step, one is led to "refining" the map $t : \mathcal{N}(X^n) \rightarrow H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$ to a map

$$\bar{t} : \mathcal{S}^c \left(\begin{array}{c} X^n \\ \downarrow q \\ B \end{array} \right) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{st}(B, X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$$

so that the following diagram is commutative

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} H_{n+1}^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}^+) & \rightarrow & H_{n+1}^{st}(B, X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \rightarrow & H_n^{st}(X^n; \mathbb{L}^+) & \xrightarrow{q_* \circ i_*} & H_n^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}) \\ \downarrow i_* & & \bar{t} \uparrow & & t \uparrow & & \downarrow = \\ H_{n+1}^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{S}^c & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}(X^n) & \xrightarrow{\sigma^c} & H_n^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}) \end{array}$$

where \mathcal{S}^c denotes the set 5.3.

Since $\dim X^n = n$, we may assume that $\dim B \leq n$. In this case, it follows from the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence (which holds for the Steenrod homology, cf. Hegenbarth and Repovš [9, p. 206]) that $H_{n+1}^{st}(B; \mathbb{L}^+) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_{n+1}^{st}(B; \mathbb{L})$ is an isomorphism. In this case, the map

$$\bar{t} : \mathcal{S}^c \left(\begin{array}{c} X^n \\ \downarrow q \\ B \end{array} \right) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{st}(B, X^n; \mathbb{L}^+)$$

is bijective. However, the existence of such a map \bar{t} is at present still a conjecture.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the referee for several comments and suggestions. This research was supported by the Slovenian Research Agency grants P1-0292, N1-0083, and N1-0114.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Browder, *Surgery on Simply-Connected Manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 65, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1972. MR 0358813
- [2] J.L. Bryant, S. Ferry, W. Mio, and S. Weinberger, Topology of homology manifolds, *Ann. of Math.* 143 (2) (1996), 435-467. MR 1394965
- [3] A. Cavicchioli, F. Hegenbarth, and D. Repovš, *Higher-Dimensional Generalized Manifolds: Surgery and Constructions*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, Vol. 23, European Math. Soc., Zürich, 2016. MR 3558558
- [4] S.C. Ferry, *Geometric Topology Notes*, Rutgers Univ., Piscataway, NJ, 1992-1993. <https://sites.math.rutgers.edu/~sferry/ps/geotop.pdf>
- [5] S.C. Ferry, Remarks on Steenrod homology, *Novikov Conjectures, Index Theorems, and Rigidity, Vol. 2*, S. Ferry, A. Ranicki, and J. Rosenberg, Eds., London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 227, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 148-166. MR 1388310
- [6] S.C. Ferry and E.K. Pedersen, Epsilon surgery theory, *Novikov Conjecture, Index Theorem and Rigidity, Vol. 2*, S. Ferry, A. Ranicki, and J. Rosenberg, Eds., London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser., Vol. 227, London, 1995, pp. 167-226. MR 1388311
- [7] J.C. Hausmann and P. Vogel, *Geometry on Poincaré Spaces*, Math. Notes, Vol. 41, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993. MR 1238937
- [8] F. Hegenbarth and D. Repovš, The Bryant-Ferry-Mio-Weinberger construction of generalized manifolds, *Exotic Homology Manifolds, Oberwolfach 2003*, Geom. Topol. Monogr., Vol. 9, Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2006, pp. 17-32. MR 2222488
- [9] F. Hegenbarth and D. Repovš, On Steenrod \mathbb{L} -homology, generalized manifolds, and surgery, *Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2)* 63 (2020), no. 2, 579-607. MR 4085040
- [10] D.S. Kahn, J. Kaminker, and C. Schochet, Generalized homology theories on compact metric spaces, *Michigan Math. J.* 24 (2) (1977), 203-224. MR 0474274
- [11] R.C. Kirby and L.C. Siebenmann, *Foundational Essays on Topological Manifolds, Smoothings, and Triangulations*, With notes by J. Milnor and M. Atiyah. Ann. of Math. Stud., Vol. 88. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1977. MR 0645390
- [12] P. Kühn, T. Macko, and A. Mole, The total surgery obstruction revisited, *Münster J. Math.* 6:1 (2013), 181-269. MR 3148212

- [13] N. Levitt, Poincaré duality cobordism, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 96 (1972), 211-244. MR 0314059
- [14] I. Madsen and R.J. Milgram, *The Classifying Spaces for Surgery and Cobordism of Manifolds*, Ann. of Math. Stud, Vol. 92. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1979. MR 0548575
- [15] J. Milnor, On the Steenrod homology theory, *Novikov Conjectures, Index Theorems, and Rigidity, Vol. 1*, S. Ferry, A. Ranicki, and J. Rosenberg, Eds., London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., Vol. 226, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995, pp. 79-96. MR 1388297
- [16] W. Mio, Homology manifolds, *Surveys on Surgery Theory, Vol. 1: Papers Dedicated to C. T. C. Wall*, Eds. S. Cappell, A. Ranicki and J. Rosenberg, Ann. of Math. Stud., Vol. 145, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 323-343. MR 1747540
- [17] A. Nicas, Induction theorems for groups of homotopy manifold structures, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 39:267 (1982). MR 0668807
- [18] F.S. Quinn, Surgery on Poincaré and normal spaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 78 (1972), 262-267. MR 0296955
- [19] A.A. Ranicki, The total surgery obstruction, *Proc. Alg. Topol. Conf. Aarhus 1978*, Lect. Notes Math., Vol. 763, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979, pp. 275-316. MR 0561227
- [20] A.A. Ranicki, *Algebraic L-Theory and Topological Manifolds*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., Vol. 102, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992. MR 1211640
- [21] A.A. Ranicki, A composition formula for manifold structures, *Pure Appl. Math. Q.* 5 (2009), no. 2, 701-727. MR2508900
- [22] C.T.C. Wall, *Surgery on Compact Manifolds*, Second Ed., Edited and with a foreword by A.A. Ranicki, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 69, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. MR 1687388

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA "FEDERIGO ENRIQUES", UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI MILANO, 20133 MILANO, ITALY

Email address: `friedrich.hegenbarth@unimi.it`

FACULTY OF EDUCATION AND FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF LJUBLJANA & INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND MECHANICS, 1000 LJUBLJANA, SLOVENIA

Email address: `dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si`