

CONCORDANCE OF DECOMPOSITIONS GIVEN BY DEFINING SEQUENCES

BOLDIZSÁR KALMÁR

ABSTRACT. We study the concordance and bordism of decompositions associated with defining sequences and we relate them to some invariants of toroidal decompositions and to the cobordism of homology manifolds. These decompositions are often wild Cantor sets and they arise as nested intersections of knotted solid tori. We show that there are at least uncountably many concordance classes of such decompositions in the 3-sphere.

1. INTRODUCTION

We study equivalence classes of decompositions of S^3 and also decompositions of other manifolds. These decompositions are given by toroidal defining sequences (we use the term toroidal for a subspace of an n -dimensional manifold being homeomorphic to the disjoint union of finitely many copies of $S^{n-2} \times D^2$) although more generally it would be possible to get similar results by considering handlebodies instead of solid tori in the defining sequences. The problem of classifying decompositions was studied by many authors. By [Sh68] so-called Antoine decompositions in \mathbb{R}^3 are equivalently embedded if and only if their toroidal defining sequences can be mapped into each other by homeomorphisms of the stages. More generally [ALM68] for a decomposition G of \mathbb{R}^3 given by an arbitrary defining sequence made of handlebodies the homeomorphism type of the pair $(\mathbb{R}^3/G, \text{cl } \pi_G(H_G))$, where π_G is the decomposition map and H_G is the union of the non-degenerate elements, is determined by the homeomorphism types of the consecutive stages of the defining sequence of G . By [GRWŽ11] two Bing-Whitehead decompositions of S^3 are equivalently embedded only if the stages of the toroidal defining sequences are homeomorphic to each other after some number of iterations (counting only the Bing stages). Decompositions given by defining sequences are upper semi-continuous and many shrinkability conditions are known about them. For example, Bing-Whitehead decompositions are shrinkable under some conditions [AS89, KP14] just like Antoine's necklaces, which are wild Cantor sets. In [Že05] the maximal genus of handlebodies being associated with a defining sequence is used to study Cantor sets.

In the present paper we define the concordance of decompositions (see Section 2.3) which come with toroidal defining sequences. As for knots, slice decompositions play an important role in the classification: a decomposition is slice if each component of a defining sequence is slice in a way that the $D^{n-1} \times D^2$ thickened slice disk stages are nested into each other. Being concordant means the analogous concordance of the solid tori in the defining sequence and this makes the well-known knot and link concordance invariants possible to apply in order to distinguish between the concordance classes of such decompositions. For example, we show that the concordance group of decompositions of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57N70, 57M30; Secondary 57P99.

Key words and phrases. Concordance, decomposition, Antoine's necklace, cobordism, homology manifold.

S^3 has at least uncountably many elements, see Theorem 3.2. The uncountably many elements that we find are Antoine decompositions. Note that Antoine's necklaces can be easily constructed in such a way that they have order two in the concordance group because of a mirror symmetry.

Decompositions appear in studying manifolds, where cell-like resolutions of homology manifolds [Qu82, Qu83, Qu87, Th84, Th04] provide a tool of obtaining topological manifolds. Decompositions also appear in the proof of the Poincaré conjecture in dimension four, see [Fr82, FQ90, BKKPR21]. The decomposition space given by a cell-like decomposition of a compact topological manifold is a homology manifold being also a topological manifold if it satisfies the disjoint disk property [Ed16]. A particular result [Ca78, Ca79, Ed80, Ed06] is that the double suspension of every integral homology 3-sphere is homeomorphic to S^5 , that is for every homology 3-sphere H there is a cell-like decomposition G of S^5 such that the decomposition space is the homology manifold $\Sigma^2 H$ and since $\Sigma^2 H$ satisfies the disjoint disk property, the decomposition G is shrinkable (and this implies that the decomposition space is S^5).

We also define and study another equivalence relation, which is the bordism of decompositions, see Definition 2.14 and Section 3.2. This yields a bordism group, which has a natural homomorphism into the cobordism group of homology manifolds [Mi90, Jo99, JR00]. We study how homological manifolds are related to the bordism group of cell-like decompositions via taking the decomposition space. It turns out that every such decomposition space is cobordant to a topological manifold in the cobordism group of homology manifolds and they generate a subgroup isomorphic to the cobordism group of topological manifolds, see Proposition 3.8. Often we state and prove our results only for unoriented cobordisms but all the arguments obviously work for the oriented cobordisms as well giving the corresponding results.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give some basic lemmas and the definitions of the most important notions and in Section 3 we state and prove our main results.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Cell-like decompositions. Throughout the paper we suppose that if X is a compact manifold with boundary and Y is a compact manifold with corners, then an embedding $e: Y \rightarrow X$ is such that the corners of Y are mapped into ∂X and the pairs of boundary components near the corners of Y are mapped into $\text{int} X$ and into ∂X , respectively. We also suppose that $e(\text{int} Y) \subset \text{int} X$. If Y has no corners, then $\partial X \cap e(Y) = \emptyset$. We generalize the notions of defining sequence, cellular set and cell-like set in the obvious way for manifolds with boundary as follows.

Definition 2.1 (Defining sequence for a subset). Let X be an n -dimensional manifold with possibly non-empty boundary. A *defining sequence* for a subset $C \subset X$ is a sequence

$$c: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$$

$$C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n, \dots$$

of compact n -dimensional submanifolds-with-boundary possibly with corners in X such that

- (1) every C_{n+1} has a neighbourhood U such that $U \subset C_n$,
- (2) in every component of C_n there is a component of C_{n+1} ,
- (3) $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n = C$ and

- (4) if $\partial X \neq \emptyset$, then there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\partial X \times [0, \varepsilon)$ is a collar neighbourhood of ∂X and for every C_n such that $C_n \cap \partial X \neq \emptyset$ we have $C_n \cap (\partial X \times [0, \varepsilon)) = (C_n \cap \partial X) \times [0, \varepsilon)$.

A decomposition of X defined by the defining sequence c is the triple (X, \mathcal{D}, C) , where $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ and the elements of $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ are

- (1) the connected components of C and
- (2) the points in $X - C$.

We denote the decomposition map by π .

Observe that for a decomposition (X, \mathcal{D}, C) each of the non-degenerate elements is a subset of C . For example in the case of an Antoine's necklace there are no non-degenerate elements, we choose C to be the Cantor set Antoine's necklace itself and so C consists of singletons. Every decomposition defined by some defining sequence is upper semi-continuous. A decomposition \mathcal{D} of a manifold induces a decomposition on its boundary by intersecting the decomposition elements with the boundary. The decomposition of the boundary ∂X induced by a defining sequence in X is upper semi-continuous. If all C_n in a defining sequence is connected, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n$ is connected.

Definition 2.2 (Cell-like set). A compact subset C of a metric space X is *cell-like* if for every neighbourhood U of C there is a neighbourhood V of C in U such that the inclusion map $V \rightarrow U$ is homotopic in U to a constant map. A decomposition is called cell-like if each of its decomposition elements is cell-like.

Cell-like sets given by defining sequences are connected because the connected components could be separated by open neighbourhoods but a homotopy has to deform the set into one single point in one component.

A space X is *finite dimensional* if for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X there exists a refinement \mathcal{V} of \mathcal{U} such that no points of X lies in more than K_X of the elements of \mathcal{U} , where K_X is a constant depending only on X .

Lemma 2.3. *Let \mathcal{D} be a decomposition of a manifold X possibly with non-empty boundary given by a defining sequence. Then the decomposition space X/\mathcal{D} is finite dimensional.*

Proof. If X has no boundary, then the statement follows from Theorem 2 and Proposition 3 in [Da86, Chapter 34]. If X has non-empty boundary, then the argument is also similar. \square

2.2. Homology manifolds. Recall that a metric space Y is an *absolute neighbourhood retract* (or *ANR* for short) if for every metric space Z and embedding $i: Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $i(Y)$ is closed there is a neighbourhood U of $i(Y)$ in Z which retracts onto $i(Y)$, that is $r|_{i(Y)} = \text{id}_{i(Y)}$ for some map $r: U \rightarrow i(Y)$. It is a fact that every manifold is an ANR. A space is called a *Euclidean neighbourhood retract* (or *ENR* for short) if it can be embedded into a Euclidean space as a closed subset so that it is a retract of some of its neighbourhoods. It is well-known that a space is an ENR if and only if it is a locally compact, finite dimensional, separable ANR.

Definition 2.4 (Homology manifold). Let $n \geq 0$ and let X and Y be finite dimensional ANR spaces, where Y is a closed subset of X . Suppose that for every $x \in X$ we have

- (1) $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for $k \neq n$ and
- (2) $H_n(X, X - \{x\})$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} if $x \in X - Y$ and it is isomorphic to 0 if $x \in Y$.

Then X is an n -dimensional *homology manifold*. The set of points $x \in Y$ are the *boundary points of X* and the set Y is denoted by ∂X . A homology manifold is called *closed* if it is compact and has no boundary.

Since locally compact and separable homology manifolds are ENR spaces, a locally compact and separable homology manifold is called an *ENR homology manifold*. In [Mi90] it is proved that for $n \geq 1$ and for every compact and locally compact n -dimensional homology manifold X the set of boundary points ∂X is an $(n-1)$ -dimensional homology manifold.

Sometimes a space X without the ANR property but having $H_k(X, X - \{x\}) = 0$ for $k \neq n$ and $H_n(X, X - \{x\}) = \mathbb{Z}$ in the sense of Čech homology is also called a homology manifold. These spaces arise as quotient spaces of acyclic decompositions of topological manifolds [DW83] while ANR homology manifolds are often homeomorphic to quotients of cell-like decompositions [Qu82, Qu83, Qu87].

In the case of cell-like decompositions the decomposition spaces are homology manifolds if they are finite dimensional essentially because of the Vietoris-Begle theorem [DV09, Theorem 0.4.1]. In more detail, we will use the following. Let X' be a compact n -dimensional manifold with possibly non-empty boundary, let Y be $\partial X' \times [0, 1]$ and attach Y to X' to get a manifold X .

Lemma 2.5. *Let \mathcal{D}' be a cell-like decomposition of X' given by a defining sequence. Suppose that in Y the cell-like decomposition \mathcal{E} is given, where \mathcal{E} is the product of the decomposition induced by \mathcal{D}' on $\partial X'$ and the trivial decomposition of $[0, 1]$. Suppose that the induced decomposition on $\partial X'$ is cell-like and it is given by the induced defining sequence. Denote by \mathcal{D} the resulting decomposition on X . Then X/\mathcal{D} is an n -dimensional ENR homology manifold with possibly non-empty boundary. The boundary points of X/\mathcal{D} are exactly the points of the ENR homology manifold $\pi(\partial X)$.*

Proof. We have to show that the quotient space

$$X/\mathcal{D}$$

is an n -dimensional homology manifold with boundary homology manifolds $\pi(\partial X)$. Take the closed manifold

$$X \cup_{\varphi} X,$$

where $\varphi: \partial X \rightarrow \partial X$ is the identity map.

The part of the decomposition space X/\mathcal{D} which is obtained by the defining sequence is finite dimensional by Lemma 2.3. The doubling of the decomposition \mathcal{D} on $X \cup_{\varphi} X$ yields a finite dimensional quotient space, we get this by using estimations for the covering dimension, see [HW41] and [Da86, Corollary 2.4A]. So the decomposition space P obtained by factorizing $X \cup_{\varphi} X$ by the double of \mathcal{D} is a closed finite dimensional homology manifold by [DV09, Proposition 8.5.1]. Since a small neighbourhood of a singleton results an open set in P homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^n , it is n -dimensional. We obtain the space X/\mathcal{D} by cutting P into two pieces along $\pi(\partial X)$.

Moreover the space X/\mathcal{D} is a locally compact separable metric space because X is so. By [DV09, Corollary 7.4.8] the space X/\mathcal{D} is an ANR so it follows that it is an ENR. The same holds for $\pi(\partial X)$. \square

Definition 2.6 (Cobordism of homology manifolds). The closed n -dimensional homology manifolds X_1 and X_2 are *cobordant* if there exists a compact $(n+1)$ -dimensional homology manifold W such that ∂W is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of X_1 and X_2 . The

induced cobordism group (the group operation is the disjoint union) is denoted by \mathfrak{N}_n^H . The oriented cobordism group is denoted by Ω_n^H .

Note that the connected sum of homology manifolds does not always exist. Analogously let \mathfrak{N}_n^E and Ω_n^E denote the cobordism group and oriented cobordism group of ENR homology manifolds (the cobordisms are also ENR), respectively.

Almost all oriented cobordism groups Ω_n^H are computed [BFMW96, Jo99, JR00]:

$$\Omega_n^H = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n = 1, 2 \\ \Omega_n^{TOP}[8\mathbb{Z} + 1] & \text{if } n \geq 6, \end{cases}$$

where Ω_n^{TOP} denotes the cobordism group of topological manifolds. By [Ma71, Corollary 4.2] the cobordism group of manifolds Ω_n is always a subgroup of Ω_n^H .

A *resolution* of a homology manifold N is a topological manifold M and a cell-like decomposition of M such that the decomposition space is homeomorphic to the homology manifold N , the quotient map π is proper and $\pi^{-1}(\partial N) = \partial M$. By [Qu82, Qu83, Qu87] homology manifolds are resolvable if a local obstruction is equal to 1, more precisely we have the following.

Theorem 2.7 ([Qu82, Qu83, Qu87]). *For every $n \geq 4$ and every non-empty connected n -dimensional ENR homology manifold N there is an integer local obstruction $i(N) \in 8\mathbb{Z} + 1$ such that*

- (1) *if $U \subset N$ is open, then $i(U) = i(N)$,*
- (2) *if $\partial N \neq \emptyset$, then $i(\partial N) = i(N)$,*
- (3) *$i(N \times N_1) = i(N)i(N_1)$ for any other homology manifold N_1 ,*
- (4) *if $\dim N = 4$ and ∂N is a manifold, then there is a resolution if and only if $i(N) = 1$ and*
- (5) *if $\dim N \geq 5$, then there is a resolution if and only if $i(N) = 1$.*

By [Th84, Th04] a closed 3-dimensional ENR homology manifold is resolvable if its singular set is general position dimension less than or equal to one.

Lemma 2.8. *Let M_1 and M_2 be two closed n -dimensional manifolds, where $n \geq 4$. If both of them are resolutions of the ENR homology manifold N , then M_1 and M_2 are cobordant as manifolds.*

Proof. If there are two resolutions $f_1: M_1 \rightarrow N$ and $f_2: M_2 \rightarrow N$ of a closed n -dimensional homology manifold N , then as in the proof of [Qu82, Theorem 2.6.1] take a resolution

$$Y \rightarrow X_{f_1} \cup X_{f_2}$$

of the double mapping cylinder

$$X_{f_1} \cup X_{f_2} \rightarrow N \times [-1, 1]$$

by applying [Qu83, Theorem 1.1] and [Qu87], this exists because $X_{f_1} \cup X_{f_2}$ is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional ENR homology manifold and $i(X_{f_1} \cup X_{f_2}) = 1$. It follows that the composition

$$Y \rightarrow X_{f_1} \cup X_{f_2} \rightarrow N \times [-1, 1]$$

is a resolution, moreover by [Qu83, Theorem 1.1] the cell-like map $Y \rightarrow X_{f_1} \cup X_{f_2}$ can be chosen so that it is a homeomorphism over the boundary hence Y is a cobordism between M_1 and M_2 . \square

2.3. Concordance and bordism of decompositions. We will study decompositions given by defining sequences C_1, C_2, \dots such that each C_n is a disjoint union of solid tori. We remark that more generally all the following notions work for decompositions whose stages are handlebodies instead of just tori.

Definition 2.9 (Concordance of decompositions). Let M_1 and M_2 be closed n -dimensional manifolds and let (M_1, \mathcal{D}_1, A) and (M_2, \mathcal{D}_2, B) be decompositions such that there exist toroidal defining sequences C_1, C_2, \dots for A and D_1, D_2, \dots for B . Then (M_1, \mathcal{D}_1, A) and (M_2, \mathcal{D}_2, B) are *concordant* if there exists a defining sequence E_1, E_2, \dots for a decomposition \mathcal{E} of a compact $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold W such that

- (1) $\partial W = M_1 \sqcup M_2$,
- (2) each E_i is homeomorphic to $C_i \times [0, 1]$ and
- (3) each E_i bounds the components of $C_i \subset M_1$ and $D_i \subset M_2$.

Clearly each E_i is like a direct product in some fixed collar of ∂W as in Definition 2.1. Of course being concordant is an equivalence relation and the concordance classes form a commutative semigroup under the operation “disjoint union”. Moreover this semigroup is a monoid because the neutral element is the “empty manifold”, that is the empty set \emptyset . To have a more meaningful neutral element we define the following.

Definition 2.10 (Slice decomposition). Let M be a closed n -dimensional manifold and let (M, \mathcal{D}, A) be a decomposition of M such that there exists a toroidal defining sequence C_1, C_2, \dots for A . Then (M, \mathcal{D}, A) is *slice* if there exists a defining sequence E_1, E_2, \dots for a decomposition \mathcal{E} of a the $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold $M \times [0, 1]$ such that

- (1) each E_i consists of finitely many $D^{n-1} \times D^2$ bounding $D_i \subset M \times \{0\}$ and
- (2) near ∂W all E_i is like a direct product in some fixed collar of ∂W .

Then we get a commutative monoid by factoring out the set of concordance classes by the classes of decompositions (M, \mathcal{D}, A) which are slice.

Definition 2.11 (Decomposition concordance group). Define the *decomposition concordance group* by taking the quotient of this monoid by defining the inverse of $[(M, \mathcal{D}, A)]$ to be $[(-M, \mathcal{D}, A)]$, where $-M$ denotes the opposite orientation. We denote this group by Γ_n .

If we confine the ambient manifolds to S^n and the cobordisms to $S^n \times [0, 1]$, then we obtain something similar to the classical link concordance.

Definition 2.12 (Concordance of decompositions in S^n). Let (S^n, \mathcal{D}_1, A) and (S^n, \mathcal{D}_2, B) be decompositions of S^n in the complement of ∞ such that there exist toroidal defining sequences C_1, C_2, \dots for A and D_1, D_2, \dots for B . Then (S^n, \mathcal{D}_1, A) and (S^n, \mathcal{D}_2, B) are *concordant* if there exists a defining sequence E_1, E_2, \dots for a decomposition \mathcal{E} of the compact $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold $S^n \times [0, 1]$ in the complement of $\{\infty\} \times [0, 1]$ such that

- (1) each E_i is homeomorphic to $C_i \times [0, 1]$,
- (2) each E_i bounds the components of $C_i \subset S^n \times \{0\}$ and $D_i \subset S^n \times \{1\}$ and
- (3) each E_i is like a direct product in some fixed collar of $\partial(S^n \times [0, 1])$.

Being concordant in S^n is an equivalence relation.

Definition 2.13 (Decomposition concordance group in S^n). If two decompositions are given by defining sequences, then in the connected sum (at ∞) of the two n -spheres the

operation “disjoint union” is a commutative semigroup operation. Then the decomposition $(S^n, \mathcal{P}(S^n), \emptyset)$ is a neutral element. By factoring out the set of concordance classes by the classes of decompositions (S^n, \mathcal{D}, A) which are slice, we get a commutative monoid and then by defining the inverse of $[(S^n, \mathcal{D}, A)]$ to be $[(-S^n, \mathcal{D}, A)]$ we get a group called the *decomposition concordance group in S^n* . We denote this group by Δ_n .

For example, the Whitehead decomposition in S^3 is slice [Fr82] and the Bing decomposition in S^3 is also slice because the Bing double of the unknot is slice. Observe that the Bing decomposition (S^3, \mathcal{B}, C) has only singletons, where C is a wild Cantor set with a defining sequence C_1, C_2, \dots and $\bigcap_{n \geq 1} C_n = C$. Note that a decomposition (S^n, \mathcal{D}, C) may not be concordant to the trivial decomposition $(S^n, \mathcal{P}(S^n), \emptyset)$ if $C \neq \emptyset$ even in the case of C consisting of singletons, though a priori (S^n, \mathcal{D}, C) can be slice.

We can restrict ourselves to *cell-like* decompositions at the representatives and at the concordances too, let us denote these groups by Γ_n^c and Δ_n^c , respectively. Then there are natural group homomorphisms such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_n^c & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_n^c \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta_n & \longrightarrow & \Gamma_n \end{array}$$

commutes.

Definition 2.14 (Bordism of decompositions). Let M_1 and M_2 be closed n -dimensional manifolds and let (M_1, \mathcal{D}_1, A) and (M_2, \mathcal{D}_2, B) be cell-like decompositions such that there exist toroidal defining sequences C_1, C_2, \dots for A and D_1, D_2, \dots for B . Then (M_1, \mathcal{D}_1, A) and (M_2, \mathcal{D}_2, B) are *bordant* if there exists a defining sequence E_1, E_2, \dots for a cell-like decomposition \mathcal{E} of a compact $(n+1)$ -dimensional manifold W such that

- (1) $\partial W = M_1 \sqcup M_2$,
- (2) each E_i is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of finitely many manifolds $P_j^{n-1} \times D^2$, where P_j^{n-1} can be any compact $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold,
- (3) each E_i bounds the components of C_i and D_i and
- (4) each E_i is like a direct product in some fixed collar of ∂W .

Obviously being bordant is an equivalence relation, the equivalence classes are called bordism classes. They form a commutative group under the operation “disjoint union”. Denote this group by \mathcal{B}_n .

We will show that for an arbitrary given cell-like decomposition \mathcal{D} as in Definition 2.14 extending it with a collar and with the product decomposition as in the statement of Lemma 2.5 and then assigning the decomposition space to it induces a group homomorphism into the cobordism group of homology manifolds.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Computations in the concordance groups. We are going to define invariants of some types of elements of the groups Δ_n and Γ_n . With the help of these invariants, we will show that the group Δ_n has at least uncountably many elements.

Definition 3.1. For a given defining sequence $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n, \dots$ let

$$n_{C_1, C_2, \dots} = (n_1, n_2, \dots)$$

be the sequence of the numbers of components of the manifolds C_1, C_2, \dots

If two decompositions of S^n as in Definition 2.12 are concordant, then they have C_1, C_2, \dots and D_1, D_2, \dots defining sequences such that

$$n_{C_1, C_2, \dots} = n_{D_1, D_2, \dots}.$$

By [Sh68, Theorem 3] in the case of $n = 3$ the sequence $n_{C_1, C_2, \dots}$ for an Antoine decomposition uniquely exists (take a canonical defining sequence). So we can assign to the concordance class (in the concordance semigroup) of an Antoine decomposition the sequence $n_{C_1, C_2, \dots}$.

Theorem 3.2. *There are at least uncountably many different elements in the concordance group Δ_3 . These can be represented by Antoine decompositions and then each of them has order two. The same holds for the group Δ_3^c .*

Proof. By the previous argument there are at least uncountably many elements in the concordance semigroup represented by the inequivalent Antoine decompositions [Sh68]. Each of the Antoine decompositions is not slice if all $n_i \geq 3$ because then the tori in a stage are linking and linking is a concordance invariant of links. The Antoine decompositions are different in the monoid as well, because if $[\mathcal{D}_1] = [\mathcal{D}_2]$ in the monoid, then $\mathcal{D}_1 + \mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{D}_2$ in the semigroup, where \mathcal{Q} is a slice decomposition and since the components at each stage of \mathcal{D}_j are linking, this equation is impossible for Antoine decompositions for non-empty \mathcal{Q} . If the equivalence classes of $[\mathcal{D}_1]$ and $[\mathcal{D}_2]$ are equal in the concordance group Δ_3 , then

$$\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_2 + \sum_i C_i + \overline{C}_i + \mathcal{Q},$$

in the semigroup, where \mathcal{Q} is a slice decomposition and \overline{C}_i denotes the mirror image of C_i . This is impossible for Antoine decompositions \mathcal{D}_1 and \mathcal{D}_2 if C_i, \overline{C}_i and \mathcal{Q} are non-empty since the components at each stage of \mathcal{D}_j are linking. So $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_2$. It is obvious that decompositions represented by some Antoine decompositions have order two since chosen them appropriately they are the same as their mirror images.

For Δ_3^c the same follows similarly since Antoine decompositions are cell-like. \square

Other invariants which similarly generalize the linking number or the signature of a knot or link could be used as well. For example, we have

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{D} be a decomposition of S^3 given by a toroidal defining sequence C_1, C_2, \dots for $C \subset S^3$. Suppose that every C_n is connected. Denote by $S(C_1, C_2, \dots)$ the value

$$\max\{|\sigma(C_n)| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\},$$

where σ denotes the signature of a knot. Denote by $\sigma(\mathcal{D}, C)$ the minimal number $S(C_1, C_2, \dots)$ among all the toroidal defining sequences for C giving \mathcal{D} such that all the stages of the defining sequence are connected.

Since slice knots have signature 0, for a slice decomposition (\mathcal{D}, C) we have $\sigma(\mathcal{D}, C) = 0$.

Proposition 3.4. *All Bing-Whitehead decompositions are different in the concordance semigroup and all of them are slice.*

Proof. By [GRWZ11, Theorem 2.5] for a given Bing-Whitehead Cantor set $C \subset S^3$ two toroidal defining sequences differ only in the number of Whitehead stages during some finitely many iterations starting from the beginning. This means that given an element

in the concordance semigroup in S^3 represented by a Bing-Whitehead decomposition we can assign an element s to it in the set

$$\{(n_1, n_2, \dots) : n_i \geq 1\} / \sim$$

where the n_i s are equal to the numbers of consecutive Bing iterations and for two sequences the relation $(n_1, n_2, \dots) \sim (m_1, m_2, \dots)$ holds if $\sum_{k=1}^p n_k = \sum_{k=1}^q m_k$ for some $p, q \geq 1$ and $n_{p+k} = m_{q+k}$ for every $k \geq 1$. For concordant Bing-Whitehead decompositions as in Definition 2.12 the assigned s is obviously unique. So there are uncountably many different Bing-Whitehead decompositions in the concordance semigroup (note that by [GRWZ11, Corollary 2.7] there are uncountably many Bing-Whitehead Cantor sets, which cannot be mapped into each other by a homeomorphism of the ambient S^3). All of these Bing-Whitehead decompositions are slice because every stage is slice in a thickened slice disk. \square

3.2. Computations in the bordism group.

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that $n \geq 0$ and M is a closed manifold. A closed n -dimensional homology manifold N having a resolution $M \rightarrow N$ is cobordant in \mathfrak{N}_n^E to M .*

Proof. Take $M \times [0, 1]$ and consider the cell-like decomposition \mathcal{D} of M which results the homology manifold N . Then $\mathcal{D} \times \{t \in \mathbb{R} : 0 \leq t \leq 1/2\}$ is a cell-like decomposition of $M \times [0, 1]$, denote it by \mathcal{E} . We have to show that the quotient space

$$M \times [0, 1] / \mathcal{E}$$

is an $(n+1)$ -dimensional homology manifold with boundary homology manifolds N and M . Take the closed manifold

$$M \times [0, 1] \cup_{\varphi} M \times [0, 1],$$

where $\varphi: \partial(M \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow \partial(M \times [0, 1])$ is the identity map. Since M/\mathcal{D} is n -dimensional, the doubling of the decomposition \mathcal{E} on $M \times [0, 1] \cup_{\varphi} M \times [0, 1]$ yields a finite dimensional quotient space, we get this by using estimations for the covering dimension, see [HW41] and [Da86, Corollary 2.4A]. So the decomposition space P obtained by factorizing $M \times [0, 1] \cup_{\varphi} M \times [0, 1]$ by the double of \mathcal{E} is a closed finite dimensional homology manifold by [DV09, Proposition 8.5.1]. Since this space has an open set homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , it is $(n+1)$ -dimensional. We obtain the space $M \times [0, 1] / \mathcal{E}$ by cutting P into two pieces along two subsets homeomorphic to M and N . This means that M and N are cobordant in \mathfrak{N}_n^E . \square

So if every 3-dimensional homology manifold is resolvable, then $\mathfrak{N}_3 = 0$. Also note that the decomposition space S^3/\mathcal{W} of the Whitehead decomposition \mathcal{W} is a null-cobordant 3-dimensional homology manifold, because $[S^3] = 0$.

Proposition 3.6. *For $n \geq 4$ the cobordism group \mathfrak{N}_n is a subgroup of \mathfrak{N}_n^E .*

Proof. Let M_1 and M_2 be closed manifolds. If the two cobordism classes $[M_1]$ and $[M_2]$ in \mathfrak{N}_n^E coincide, then since M_i are manifolds, we have $i(M_i) = 1$ hence a cobordism in \mathfrak{N}_n^E between M_1 and M_2 also has index 1 so this cobordism is resolvable. By [Qu83, Theorem 1.1] and Lemma 2.8 there is a manifold cobordism between M_1 and M_2 . \square

By Lemma 2.5 in Definition 2.14 for $i = 1, 2$ the space M_i/\mathcal{D}_i is an n -dimensional ENR homology manifold and W/\mathcal{E} is an $(n + 1)$ -dimensional ENR homology manifold if we add the appropriate collars. If (M, \mathcal{D}) is such a cell-like decomposition, then we can assign the cobordism class of the decomposition space M/\mathcal{D} to the bordism class of (M, \mathcal{D}) . This map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n: \mathcal{B}_n &\rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n^E \\ [(M, \mathcal{D})] &\mapsto [M/\mathcal{D}] \end{aligned}$$

is a group homomorphism. The image of β_n contains the classes represented by topological manifolds since trivial decompositions always exist and it contains also the classes represented by homology manifolds having appropriate resolutions. For $n = 1, 2$ all the homology manifolds are topological manifolds [Wi79] so the homomorphism β_n is surjective. Take the natural forgetting homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} F_n: \mathcal{B}_n &\rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n \\ [(M, \mathcal{D})] &\rightarrow [M]. \end{aligned}$$

For every $n \geq 0$ the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}_n & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & \mathfrak{N}_n^E \\ & \searrow F_n & \nearrow \varphi_n \\ & \mathfrak{N}_n & \end{array}$$

is commutative by Proposition 3.5, where φ_n is the natural map assigning the cobordism class $[M] \in \mathfrak{N}_n^E$ to the cobordism class $[M] \in \mathfrak{N}_n$.

Proposition 3.7. *For every $n \geq 0$ the image of β_n is equal to the subgroup of \mathfrak{N}_n^E generated by the cobordism classes of topological manifolds.*

Proof. The statement follows from the fact that F_n is surjective. \square

Proposition 3.8. *For $n \geq 1$, we have $\beta_n(\mathcal{B}_n) = \mathfrak{N}_n$ in \mathfrak{N}_n^E .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 we have $\beta_n(\mathcal{B}_n) = \mathfrak{N}_n$ for $n \geq 4$. For $n = 3$, since $\mathfrak{N}_3 = 0$, the statement also holds. For $n = 2$, The group \mathfrak{N}_2 is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2 so by Proposition 3.7 it is enough to show that $\beta_2(\mathcal{B}_2) = \mathbb{Z}_2$. But $[\mathbb{R}P^2]$ is not null-cobordant in \mathfrak{N}_2^E because $\mathbb{R}P^2$ has a non-zero characteristic number as a smooth or topological manifold and then by [BH91] it cannot be null-cobordant. For $n = 1$, of course $\mathfrak{N}_1^E = \mathfrak{N}_1 = 0$. \square

Remark 3.9. Instead of cell-like decompositions, which result homology manifolds, it would be possible to study decompositions which are just homologically acyclic and nearly 1-movable, see [DW83]. These result homology manifolds as well. Without being nearly 1-movable, these can result non-ANR homology manifolds.

As we could see, the class $\beta_n([(M, \mathcal{D})]) = [M/\mathcal{D}] \in \mathfrak{N}_n$ could not expose a lot of things about the decomposition \mathcal{D} . If we add more detail to the homology manifolds and their cobordisms, then we could obtain a finer invariant of the bordism group of decompositions. Recall that the singular set of a homology manifold is the set of non-manifold points, which is a closed set.

Definition 3.10 (0- and 1-singular homology manifolds). A homology manifold is 0-*singular* if its singular set is a 0-dimensional set. A compact homology manifold with collared boundary is 1-*singular* if its singular set S consists of properly embedded arcs such that S is a direct product in the collar. The closed n -dimensional 0-singular homology manifolds X_1 and X_2 are *cobordant* if there exists a compact $(n + 1)$ -dimensional 1-*singular* homology manifold W such that ∂W is homeomorphic to the disjoint union of X_1 and X_2 and $\partial W \cap S$ coincides with the singular set of $X_1 \sqcup X_2$ under this homeomorphism. The set of (oriented) cobordism classes is denoted by \mathfrak{N}_n^S (and Ω_n^S).

The set of cobordism classes \mathfrak{N}_n^S and Ω_n^S are groups with the disjoint union as group operation. Denote by \mathfrak{M}_n^0 the cobordism group of 0-singular manifolds where the cobordisms are arbitrary but the singular set of the cobordisms is not the entire manifold.

Note that the representatives of the classes in $\beta_n(\mathcal{B}_n)$ are 0-singular and the cobordisms between them have not only singular points because the boundary has not only singular points since the singular set is a compact 0-dimensional set. There are natural homomorphisms

$$i'_n: \mathcal{B}'_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n^S, \quad i_n: \mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{B}'_n \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_n$$

where \mathcal{B}'_n is the version of \mathcal{B}_n yielding 0-singular spaces and 1-singular cobordisms, there is the forgetful map

$$\varphi_n: \mathfrak{N}_n^S \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_n^0$$

and then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{B}'_n & \xrightarrow{i'_n} & \mathfrak{N}_n^S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_n \\ \mathcal{B}_n & \xrightarrow{i_n} & \mathfrak{M}_n^0 \xrightarrow{\psi_n} \mathfrak{N}_n^E \end{array}$$

commutes. Observe that ψ_n is injective, φ_n is surjective and since $\beta_n(\mathcal{B}_n) = \psi_n \circ i_n(\mathcal{B}_n) = \mathfrak{N}_n$, the image $i'_n(\mathcal{B}'_n)$ is in $\varphi_n^{-1} \circ \psi_n^{-1}(\mathfrak{N}_n)$, which could be a larger group than \mathfrak{N}_n .

REFERENCES

- [ALM68] S. Armentrout, L. L. Lininger, D. V. Meyer, Equivalent decompositions of \mathbb{R}^3 , Pacific J. Math. **24** (1968), 205–227.
- [AS89] F. D. Ancel and M. P. Starbird, The shrinkability of Bing-Whitehead decompositions, Topology **28** (1989), 291–304.
- [BKKPR21] S. Behrens, B. Kalmár, M. H. Kim, M. Powell, and A. Ray, editors, The disc embedding theorem, Oxford University Press, 2021.
- [BFMW96] J. Bryant, S. Ferry, W. Mio, S. Weinberger, Topology of homology manifolds, Ann. of Math. **143** (1996), 435–467.
- [BH91] S. Buoncrisiano and D. Hacon, Characteristic numbers for unoriented \mathbb{Z} -homology manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **323** (1991), 651–663.
- [Ca78] J. W. Cannon, $\Sigma^2 H^3 = S^5/G$, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **8** (1978), 527–532.
- [Ca79] J. W. Cannon, Shrinking cell-like decompositions of manifolds. Codimension three, Ann. of Math. **110** (1979), 83–112.
- [Da86] R. J. Daverman, Decompositions of manifolds, Academic Press, 1986.
- [DV09] R. J. Daverman and G. A. Venema, Embeddings in manifolds, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009.
- [DW83] R. J. Daverman and J. J. Walsh, Acyclic decompositions of manifolds, Pacific J. Math. **109** (1983), 291–303.
- [Ed80] R. D. Edwards, The topology of manifolds and cell-like maps, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Helsinki, 1978), Acad. Sci. Fennica, Helsinki, pages 111–127, 1980.
- [Ed06] R. D. Edwards, Suspensions of homology spheres, e-print, arXiv:math/0610573.

- [Ed16] R. D. Edwards, Approximating certain cell-like maps by homeomorphisms, arXiv:1607.08270. See Notices Amer. Math. Soc, **24** (1977), A-649. Abstract # 751-G5
- [Fr82] M. H. Freedman, The topology of four-dimensional manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 357–453.
- [FQ90] M. H. Freedman and F. Quinn, Topology of 4-manifolds, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1990.
- [GRWŽ11] D. Garity, D. Repovš, D. Wright and M. Željko, Distinguishing Bing-Whitehead Cantor sets, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), 1007–1022.
- [Ha51] O. Hanner, Some theorems on absolute neighborhood retracts, Ark. Mat. **1** (1951), 389–408.
- [HW41] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, Dimension theory, 1941.
- [Jo99] H. Johnston, Transversality for homology manifolds, Topology **38** (1999), 673–697.
- [JR00] H. Johnston and A. Ranicki, Homology manifold bordism, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), 5093–5137.
- [KP14] D. Kasprowski and M. Powell, Shrinking of toroidal decomposition spaces, Fundamenta Mathematicae **227** (2014), 271–296.
- [Ma71] C. R. F. Maunder, On the Pontrjagin classes of homology manifolds, Topology **10** (1971), 111–118.
- [Mi90] W. J. R. Mitchell, Defining the boundary of a homology manifold, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 509–513.
- [Qu82] F. Quinn, Ends of maps. III. Dimensions 4 and 5, J. Differential Geometry **17** (1982), 503–521.
- [Qu83] F. Quinn, Resolutions of homology manifolds, and the topological characterization of manifolds, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 267–284.
- [Qu87] F. Quinn, An obstruction to the resolution of homology manifolds, Michigan Math. J. **34** (1987), 285–291.
- [Sh68] R. B. Sher, Concerning wild Cantor sets in E^3 , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 1195–1200.
- [St68] R. E. Stong, Notes on cobordism theory, Princeton University Press and the University of Tokyo Press, Princeton, 1968.
- [Th84] T. L. Thickstun, An extension of the loop theorem and resolutions of generalized 3-manifolds with 0-dimensional singular set, Invent. Math. **78** (1984), 161–222.
- [Th04] T. L. Thickstun, Resolutions of generalized 3-manifolds whose singular sets have general position dimension one, Topology Appl. **138** (2004), 61–95.
- [Wi79] R. L. Wilder, Topology of Manifolds, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 32, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. Reprint of the 1963 edition, 1979.
- [Že05] M. Željko, Genus of a Cantor set, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **35** (2005), 349–366.

Email address: boldizsar.kalmar@gmail.com