

Improved log-concavity for rotationally invariant measures of symmetric convex sets

Dario Cordero-Erausquin and Liran Rotem

Abstract

We prove that the (B) conjecture and the Gardner-Zvavitch conjecture are true for all log-concave measures that are rotationally invariant, extending previous results known for Gaussian measures. Actually, our result apply beyond the case of log-concave measures, for instance to Cauchy measures as well. For the proof, new spectral inequalities are obtained for even probability measures that are log-concave with respect to a rotationally invariant measure.

1 Introduction and main results

Improved log-concavity inequalities under the assumption of symmetry have become a central topic in the Brunn-Minkowski theory of convex bodies, with several fascinating consequences and conjectures. Maybe one of the first appearances of this phenomenon was the (B) inequality established in [7] for a centered gaussian measure γ on \mathbb{R}^n . It states that for a symmetric convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ (here symmetry means origin-symmetry, that is $K = -K$) the function

$$t \rightarrow \gamma(e^t K) \quad \text{is log-concave on } \mathbb{R}. \quad (1)$$

A nonnegative function m is said to be log-concave if $(-\log m)$ is a convex function with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. The indicator of a convex set C is a log-concave function and it is common to denote the corresponding convex function by $1_C^\infty := -\log 1_C$.

Property (1) was first conjectured by Banaszczyk and popularized by Latała ([16]). It found applications outside Brunn-Minkowski theory, for instance in the setting of small ball estimates in high dimensions, see [17], [12].

A Borel measure μ on \mathbb{R}^n is said to satisfy the (B) property if (1) holds for every symmetric convex set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with μ in place of γ . It is conjectured that every even log-concave measure μ , and by this we mean $d\mu(x) = e^{-V(x)} dx$ with V convex

and even, satisfies the (B) property. Prékopa's theorem ([20]) implies that every log-concave measure μ satisfies a Brunn-Minkowski inequality: For all convex sets K, L the function

$$[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow \mu((1-t)K + tL) \quad \text{is log-concave.} \quad (2)$$

This immediately implies that the function $s \rightarrow \mu(sK)$ is log-concave on \mathbb{R}^+ . The conjecture is a strengthening of this property under the extra assumption of symmetry. Saroglou ([22]) showed that this conjecture follows from another celebrated conjecture for symmetric convex sets, namely the log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture ([3]). Combining the results of [22] and [3] it follows that our conjecture holds in \mathbb{R}^2 . Conversely, a certain strong form of our conjecture will also imply the log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture ([21]).

In dimension $n \geq 3$ very few examples of measures verifying the (B) inequality were known and until now they all somehow relied on the result for the Gaussian measure; These few known examples were obtained by Eskenazis, Nayar and Tkocz in [10] and will be discussed in Section 6.

In a similar vein, a striking recent result of Eskenazis and Moschidis ([9]) gives the following improvement of the log-concavity (2) to $1/n$ -concavity for a centered Gaussian measure γ : if K and L are symmetric convex sets in \mathbb{R}^n and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\gamma((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L)^{1/n} \geq (1-\lambda)\gamma(K)^{1/n} + \lambda\gamma(L)^{1/n}. \quad (3)$$

This implies that the function $[0, 1] \ni t \rightarrow \gamma((1-t)K + tL)^{1/n}$ is concave (because we work with convex sets). This property was conjectured by Gardner and Zvavitch ([11]), and again it is connected to several natural problems in the geometry of convex bodies. Note that here the prototype of a measure satisfying this property is the Lebesgue measure restricted to a convex set, by the Brunn-Minkowski inequality. It is remarkable that the Gaussian measure also behaves this way. One can ask whether every even log-concave measure satisfies this Gardner-Zvavitch property. This conjecture will again be a corollary of the log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture ([19]), so in particular it is known to hold in \mathbb{R}^2 . Building on earlier ideas of Kolesnikov and Milman ([15], [14]), Kolesnikov and Livshyts ([13]) proposed a convenient spectral inequality that allowed them to show (3) with exponent $\frac{1}{2n}$ (see Theorem 8 below). This was improved to the optimal exponent $\frac{1}{n}$ in [9]. In [6] it was shown that (3) holds for arbitrary rotation invariant log-concave measures instead of γ , but only when K and L are small perturbations of a ball. In [18] Livshyts proved (3) for all even log-concave measures, but with the optimal exponent $\frac{1}{n}$ replaced with a worse exponent $c_n = \frac{1}{n^{4+o(1)}}$.

In the present paper, we show that there is nothing special about the Gaussian measure and that properties (1) and (3) hold for every rotationally invariant log-concave measure on \mathbb{R}^n , providing the first large class of measures on \mathbb{R}^n beyond

Gaussian measures satisfying the (B) conjecture and the Gardner-Zvavitch conjecture. Actually, we will go beyond log-concave measures; for instance, we will show that the Cauchy measures also satisfy these properties.

Let us fix some notation in order to state our results. We consider a finite dimensional Euclidean space $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. For notational convenience, we assume we work with the standard structure – note that the problems we study are affine invariant. A Borel measure μ is rotationally invariant if $\mu(A) = \mu(RA)$ for every Borel set A and every linear map $R \in O(n)$. Since we are only considering measures that are absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure dx , this means that we are considering measures of the form

$$d\mu(x) = e^{-w(|x|)} dx$$

for some function $w : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. In this setting, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu \text{ is log-concave} &\Leftrightarrow x \rightarrow w(|x|) \text{ is convex on } \mathbb{R}^n \\ &\Leftrightarrow w \text{ is increasing and convex on } \mathbb{R}^+ \\ &\Rightarrow w \text{ increasing and } t \rightarrow w(e^t) \text{ is convex on } \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

This last condition will prove to be sufficient for establishing the results. Note that for a smooth w , the log-concavity of μ amounts to the conditions $w' \geq 0$, $w'' \geq 0$, whereas our weaker assumption is equivalent to $w' \geq 0$, $sw''(s) + w'(s) \geq 0$. Also, unless w is constant (which is not a situation of interest) we will always have that $w(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

We begin with the (B) conjecture. Actually, we are able to extend the same *strong* form that was established for the Gaussian measure to every log-concave (and beyond) rotationally invariant measure.

Theorem 1. *Let $w : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be an increasing function such that $t \rightarrow w(e^t)$ is convex, and let μ be the measure on \mathbb{R}^n with density $e^{-w(|x|)}$. Then for every symmetric convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and every symmetric matrix A , the function*

$$t \mapsto \mu(e^{tA}K)$$

is log-concave.

Let us mention that we will actually prove the following more general statement: under the same assumptions on w , if $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is an even convex function then the function

$$t \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-v(e^{tA}x) - w(|x|)} dx \quad \text{is log-concave on } \mathbb{R}. \quad (4)$$

The theorem corresponds to the choice $v = 1_K^\infty$ (if one replaces t by $-t$, which preserves log-concavity). This “functional” version of the (B) property was previously studied in [8].

Note that the functions $w(t) = w_p(t) := t^p/p$ satisfy the assumptions of the theorem for all $p > 0$. Hence the corresponding measures

$$d\mu_p = e^{-|x|^p/p} dx$$

all have the strong (B) property. Taking $w = 1_{[0,1]}^\infty$ (that is $p \rightarrow +\infty$) we see that the uniform measure on B_2^n also has the strong (B) property. Recall we are free to pick any Euclidean structure, or equivalently we can work with measures on \mathbb{R}^n of the form

$$d\mu(x) = e^{-w(\langle Cx, x \rangle)} dx \tag{5}$$

where C is a symmetric positive matrix; one just has to be careful when stating the strong (B) property as in this case the condition on the matrix A is that $CA = A^*C$. For the classical (B) property ($A = \text{Id}$) there is no issue here and in particular the uniform measure on an ellipsoid satisfies the (B) inequality. Note also that if \mathcal{E} is an ellipsoid we may use (4) with $A = \text{Id}$, $w = 1_{[0,1]}^\infty$ and the norm associated to \mathcal{E} . Performing the change of variables $y = e^{tA}x$ (whose Jacobian is log-linear and hence immaterial), we derive the following corollary: if ν is an arbitrary even log-concave measure on \mathbb{R}^n then

$$t \rightarrow \nu(e^t \mathcal{E}) \text{ is log-concave on } \mathbb{R}. \tag{6}$$

It is also worth mentioning that by approximation, our results apply to degenerate nonnegative quadratic forms as well, that is to the case where the matrix C in (5) is degenerate. For instance we can consider measures of the form $e^{-w(\sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_k^2})} dx$ with $k \leq n$.

Let us give some further examples of non-log-concave measures that satisfy our assumptions and for which our results hold. For instance, by taking $w(t) = a \log t + \tilde{w}(t)$, for any $a \geq 0$ and \tilde{w} satisfying our assumptions (possibly $\tilde{w} \equiv 0$), we can consider measures of the form

$$d\mu(x) = |x|^{-a} e^{-\tilde{w}(|x|)} dx.$$

It is reasonable to impose local integrability (around zero) of the density, that is $0 \leq a < n$, for if not the measure of every symmetric convex body is $+\infty$, and the result is therefore less interesting. We can also take for instance $w(t) = a \log(1+t^b)$ for any $a, b \geq 0$ and work with measures of the form

$$d\mu(x) = (1 + |x|^b)^{-a} dx,$$

which include Cauchy-type measures on \mathbb{R}^n ; Here again, we can replace dx by any rotationally invariant measure satisfying our assumptions.

It is well known that taking second derivatives reduces Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities to spectral inequalities for some differential operator. The proof of Theorem 1 follows a scheme similar to [7], which handled the Gaussian case μ_2 by establishing a connection with a “second eigenvalue problem” associated to measures that are log-concave with respect to μ_2 . We will reduce the problem to a spectral inequality of Brascamp–Lieb type, in an improved form for even functions. By examining the Gaussian case one could seek an improvement in the constant of a “classical” spectral inequality. However, we believe this would not be the right way to go (see the remark at the end of Section 4). Moreover, already for our rotationally invariant measure μ , we do not know the exact whole spectrum (unlike the gaussian case), and we need anyway to work with measures that are log-concave with respect to μ . We will instead establish the following spectral inequality, from which the result follows:

Theorem 2. *Let $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^2 -smooth increasing function such that $t \mapsto w(e^t)$ is convex. Define $W : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $W(x) = w(|x|)$ and let ν be an even probability measure on \mathbb{R}^n that is log-concave with respect to $e^{-W(x)}dx$, that is $d\nu(x) = e^{-W(x)-v(x)}dx$ for some even convex function V .*

Then for every even C^1 function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\int |f|^2 d\nu < \infty$ we have

$$\mathrm{Var}_\nu f \leq \int \left\langle \left(\nabla^2 W + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \mathrm{Id} \right)^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \right\rangle d\nu.$$

One can check that equality holds in Theorem 2 when $\int e^{-W} < \infty$ if v is constant, that is $d\nu = \frac{e^{-W(x)}}{\int e^{-W}} dx$, and $f(x) = \langle \nabla W, x \rangle = w'(|x|)|x|$. This can be seen without explicit computations by inspecting the use of Theorem 2 in the proof of Theorem 1 in Section 4 and using the fact that for $K = \mathbb{R}^n$ the function $t \mapsto \mu(e^t K)$ is constant and thus log-linear.

In the case of the Gaussian measure μ_2 (that is $w(t) = t^2/2$), this inequality reduces to the fact that for an even measure ν that is log-concave with respect to μ_2 , one has $\mathrm{Var}_\nu f \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla f|^2 d\nu$ for every even smooth f .

The matrix $\nabla^2 W + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \mathrm{Id}$ is a rank one perturbation of the identity (see (8) below), so we can compute its inverse explicitly. The result is that under the assumptions of Theorem 2 we have the inequality

$$\mathrm{Var}_\nu f \leq \int \left(\frac{|x|}{2w'(|x|)} |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{|x| w''(|x|) - w'(|x|)}{2|x| w'(|x|) (|x| w''(|x|) + w'(|x|))} \langle \nabla f, x \rangle^2 \right) d\nu.$$

For example, taking $w(t) = w_p(t) = t^p/p$ we see that when ν is log-concave with respect to μ_p we have

$$\mathrm{Var}_\nu f \leq \int \left(\frac{1}{2} |x|^{2-p} |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{p-2}{2p} \cdot \frac{\langle \nabla f, x \rangle^2}{|x|^p} \right) d\nu.$$

Using the trivial bounds $0 \leq \langle \nabla f, x \rangle^2 \leq |\nabla f|^2 |x|^2$ we can deduce the less precise but more elegant inequality

$$\mathrm{Var}_\nu f \leq \max \left\{ \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cdot \int |x|^{2-p} |\nabla f|^2 d\nu.$$

This inequality is still sharp when $\nu = c \cdot \mu_p$ for $0 < p \leq 2$ and c a normalization constant, with the same equality case. Similarly, taking $w_C(t) = a \cdot \log(1+t^2)$ we see that when ν is log-concave with respect to the Cauchy-type measure $\frac{1}{(1+|x|^2)^\alpha} dx$ we obtain the inequalities

$$\mathrm{Var}_\nu f \leq \frac{1}{4a} \int (1+|x|^2) (|\nabla f|^2 + \langle \nabla f, x \rangle^2) d\nu \leq \frac{1}{4a} \int (1+|x|^2)^2 |\nabla f|^2 d\nu.$$

Again, both of these inequalities are sharp when ν is a constant. This last inequality is similar in spirit to a result of Bobkov and Ledoux ([2]) for Cauchy measures, which is only sharp up to a universal constant but holds for non-even functions.

In the Gaussian case, the above-mentioned inequality $\mathrm{Var}_\nu f \leq \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla f|^2 d\nu$ for f even was at the heart of the argument in [7]. It was established using an L^2 argument with a Bochner integration by parts (a second argument using Cafferelli's contraction property was also given). The argument used the following Poincaré inequality, which follows from the variance Brascamp–Lieb inequality ([4]) or the Bakry–Emery criterion ([1]): For a measure ν that is log-concave with respect to μ_2 , one has for every smooth h that $\mathrm{Var}_\nu h \leq \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu$. For our general μ this inequality needs to be replaced by a *weighted* Poincaré inequality that appears to be new, even in the simple case of of the measure $e^{-w(|x|)} dx$. In fact we will only prove such an inequality when the function is *odd*, which is good enough for our purposes.

Theorem 3. *Let $w : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 -smooth and increasing. Let ν be an even finite measure on \mathbb{R}^n which is log-concave with respect to $e^{-w(|x|)} dx$, that is $d\nu(x) = e^{-w(|x|)-v(x)} dx$ for some even convex function v . Then for every C^1 -smooth and **odd** function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\nabla h \in L^2(\nu)$ we have*

$$\int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu \leq \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu.$$

As we will see after the proof, equality holds in Theorem 3 when $v = 0$, that is $d\nu = e^{-w(|x|)} dx$, and $h(x) = \langle x, \theta \rangle$ for a fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the two cases of interest from before $w = w_p$ and $w = w_C$, Theorem 3 reduces to the inequalities

$$\int |x|^{p-2} h^2 d\nu \leq \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \int \frac{h^2}{1+|x|^2} d\nu \leq \frac{1}{2a} \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu$$

respectively. Both of these inequalities are sharp when $v = 0$, that is when $d\nu = e^{-|x|^p/p} dx$ and $d\nu = \frac{1}{(1+|x|^2)^a} dx$ with $a > n/2$, respectively, with equality for linear functions.

It is rather striking that our weighted Poincaré inequality above allows us to solve the problem of the Gardner-Zvavitch conjecture for rotationally invariant measures, with the same conditions as for the (B) inequality, that is:

Theorem 4. *Let $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ be an increasing function such that $t \mapsto w(e^t)$ is convex and let μ be the measure on \mathbb{R}^n with density $e^{-w(|x|)}$. Then for every symmetric convex bodies $K, L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$,*

$$\mu((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L)^{1/n} \geq (1-\lambda)\mu(K)^{1/n} + \lambda\mu(L)^{1/n}$$

As before, our result includes all rotationally invariant log-concave measures but applies also beyond this class – see the examples given above, such as Cauchy type measures.

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proofs of the main results and some further comments and extensions. In the next Section we prove the weighted Poincaré inequality (Theorem 3). Then, in Section 3 we will use it to establish our spectral estimate of Brascamp-Lieb type for even functions (Theorem 2). We show in Section 4 that this spectral estimate in turn implies the strong (B) inequality (Theorem 1). In Section 5, we give the proof of the dimensional Brunn-Minkowski inequality (Theorem 4). In the final Section 6, following an argument of [10] we explain how to extend the (B) inequality to mixtures of rotationally invariant measures, thus providing new examples of measures satisfying this property.

2 Weighted Poincaré inequalities

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 3. We will proceed by integration in polar coordinates: for an integrable or nonnegative function F on \mathbb{R}^n ,

$$\int F(x) dx = c_n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty F(r\theta) r^{n-1} dr d\theta,$$

where $d\theta$ refers to the usual normalized measure on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{x : |x| = 1\}$. Therefore we will need two Poincaré type inequalities, one for the spherical part and one for the radial part.

In order to treat the spherical part, we will need the following weighted Poincaré inequality on the sphere that is a particular case of a general result of Kolesnikov and Milman ([15]), as we shall see.

Proposition 5. *Let $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex C^1 function and let μ be the measure on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} with density e^{-v} . Then for every C^1 function $g : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} g \, d\mu = 0$ one has*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (n-1 - Rv) g^2 \, d\mu \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}} g|^2 \, d\mu.$$

Here and after, we use the notation $Rv(x) = \langle \nabla v(x), x \rangle$ for the radial derivative and $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}} g$ for the spherical gradient of g .

Indeed, generalizing a result of Colesanti ([5]), Kolesnikov and Milman proved the following very general inequality:

Theorem 6 ([15]). *Let (M, g) be a compact, smooth, complete, connected and oriented n -dimensional Riemannian manifold with boundary ∂M . Let $\mu = e^{-v} \, d\text{Vol}_M$ be a measure on M , where $v : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^2 -smooth.*

Assume (M, g, μ) satisfies the $CD(0, N)$ condition for some N such that $\frac{1}{N} \in [-\infty, \frac{1}{n}]$, and that $\text{II}_{\partial M} > 0$. Then for every $f \in C^1(\partial M)$ we have

$$\int_{\partial M} H_{\mu} f^2 \, d\mu_{\partial M} - \frac{N-1}{N} \cdot \frac{(\int_{\partial M} f \, d\mu_{\partial M})^2}{\mu(M)} \leq \int_{\partial M} \langle \text{II}_{\partial M}^{-1} \nabla_{\partial M} f, \nabla_{\partial M} f \rangle \, d\mu_{\partial M}.$$

To explain the notation of the theorem, we say that (M, g, μ) satisfies the $CD(0, N)$ condition if

$$\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} = \text{Ric}_g + \nabla^2 v - \frac{1}{N-n} dv \otimes dv \succeq 0$$

as a 2-tensor, where Ric_g denotes the classical Ricci curvature. Furthermore $\text{II}_{\partial M}$ denotes the second fundamental form, and $H_{\mu}(x) = \text{tr}(\text{II}_{\partial M}(x)) - \langle \nabla v(x), \nu(x) \rangle$ denotes the weighted mean curvature of ∂M at $x \in \partial M$, where $\nu(x)$ is the outer unit normal to ∂M at x .

To see why Proposition 5 follows from Theorem 6 we simply choose $M = B_2^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the unit Euclidean ball with the standard Euclidean metric. By approximation we may assume v is C^2 . Then for $d\mu = e^{-v} dx$ the weighted manifold (M, g, μ) satisfies the $CD(0, \infty)$ condition since

$$\text{Ric}_{g,\mu} = 0 + \nabla^2 v + 0 = \nabla^2 v \succeq 0.$$

Moreover in this case $\text{II}_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}(x)$ is given by the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n for all $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \partial B_2^n$, so

$$H_{\mu}(x) = n-1 - \langle \nabla v(x), x \rangle = n-1 - Rv(x).$$

Plugging this into Theorem 6, one obtains Proposition 5.

Let us comment a bit more on this result. Kolesnikov and Milman obtained their inequality using a general Reilly-type integration by parts formula for the solution u of the problem $\Delta_g u - \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\mu(M)} \int_{\partial M} f d\mu_{\partial M}$ in the interior of M and the normal derivative of u on ∂M equal to f . However, when M is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^n this inequality can be derived in a more elementary way by differentiating the Brunn-Minkowski inequality (2) for the log-concave measure $d\mu = e^{-v} dx$ – see [15] (in particular Theorem 6.6) and [13] (in particular Proposition 3.2). When $v = 0$ this is exactly what was done in [5], but it is absolutely crucial for us to have the correct inequality for the weight e^{-v} .

The second ingredient we will need for the proof is the following one dimensional lemma.

Lemma 7. *Let $w, v : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be continuous functions and C^1 on $(0, \infty)$. Let f be a C^1 function on $[0, \infty)$ which is compactly supported (for simplicity) and satisfies $f(0) = 0$. Then for every $\alpha \geq 0$ we have*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{w'}{t} f^2 t^\alpha e^{-w-v} dt \leq \int_0^\infty \left((f')^2 + \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{f}{t} \right)^2 - v' \frac{f^2}{t} \right) t^\alpha e^{-w-v} dt.$$

Proof. Since the inequality involves first order derivatives we may approximate and assume that f is actually C^2 -smooth. Since we also have $f(0) = 0$ we may write $f(t) = t \cdot g(t)$ for a function g continuous on $[0, \infty)$, C^1 on $(0, \infty)$ and compactly supported. It follows using integration by parts, since boundary terms vanish, that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \frac{w'}{t} f^2 t^\alpha e^{-w-v} dt &= \int_0^\infty w' g^2 t^{\alpha+1} e^{-w-v} dt = - \int_0^\infty (g^2 t^{\alpha+1} e^{-v}) (e^{-w})' dt. \\ &= \int_0^\infty (g^2 t^{\alpha+1} e^{-v})' e^{-w} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty (2tg g' + (\alpha + 1)g^2 - v' g^2 t) t^\alpha e^{-w-v} dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty \left((f')^2 + \alpha \cdot \left(\frac{f}{t} \right)^2 - v' \frac{f^2}{t} \right) t^\alpha e^{-w-v} dt &= \int_0^\infty \left((g + tg')^2 + \alpha \cdot g^2 - v' tg^2 \right) t^\alpha e^{-w-v} dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left(g^2 + 2tg g' + t^2 (g')^2 + \alpha g^2 - v' tg^2 \right) t^\alpha e^{-w-v} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the two expressions we see that the difference between the right hand side and the left hand side is exactly $\int_0^\infty (g')^2 t^{\alpha+2} e^{-w-v} dt$, which is clearly non-negative. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3:

Proof of Theorem 3. We begin with some standard approximation arguments. First let us note that we can assume that $h \in L^2(\nu)$. Actually, we can assume that h is bounded. Indeed, let us introduce for every $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ a C^1 smooth non-decreasing odd function $R_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $R_k(t) = t$ for $t \in [0, k]$, $R_k(t) \equiv k + 1$ for $t \geq k + 2$, $R_k(t) \leq t$ and $R'_k(t) \leq 1$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then the functions $h_k := R_k(h)$ satisfy $h_k = h$ on the open set $\{|h| < k\}$, $|h_k| \uparrow |h|$ and $|\nabla h_k| \xrightarrow{\leq} |\nabla h|$. Hence by monotone and dominated convergence, respectively, we can pass from the bounded functions h_k to h in our inequality.

Next we reduce to the case that h is compactly supported. The classical argument is to introduce a smooth function χ on \mathbb{R}^n with values in $[0, 1]$ that is compactly supported and equals to 1 in a neighborhood of 0 and to set $\chi_k(x) := \chi(x/k)$. Then $\chi_k \uparrow 1$ and $|\nabla \chi_k| \leq C/k$ for some constant $C > 0$. On one hand we have $\frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|}(h\chi_k)^2 \uparrow \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|}h^2$ and, on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |\nabla(h\chi_k)|^2 d\nu &= \int |\nabla h|^2 \chi_k^2 d\nu + 2 \int h\chi_k \langle \nabla h, \nabla \chi_k \rangle d\nu + \int h^2 |\nabla \chi_k|^2 d\nu \\ &\leq \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu + \frac{2C}{k} \sqrt{\int h^2 d\nu} \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu + \frac{C^2}{k^2} \int h^2 d\nu \end{aligned}$$

which converges to $\int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu$ as wanted.

Finally, we approximate w and v . By replacing $w(t)$ with $\max(w(t), -k)$ and invoking monotone convergence as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we can assume that w is continuous on the closed ray $[0, \infty)$ and C^1 on $(0, \infty)$ except maybe at one point, which is irrelevant. By standard approximation we may also assume without loss of generality that v is smooth.

These remarks being made, we compute the integrals for our compactly supported function h using polar coordinates. We obtain

$$\int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu = c_n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{w'(r)}{r} h^2(r\theta) r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)-v(r\theta)} dr d\theta.$$

For a fixed $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we will now apply Lemma 7 with $f_\theta(r) = h(r\theta)$, $v_\theta(r) = v(r\theta)$ and $\alpha = n - 1$. Note that $v'_\theta(r) = \langle \nabla v(r\theta), \theta \rangle = \frac{1}{r} Rv(r\theta)$. Therefore we can bound our integral by

$$c_n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty \left(\underbrace{\langle \nabla h(r\theta), \theta \rangle^2}_{\text{I}} + \underbrace{(n-1) \left(\frac{h(r\theta)}{r} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{r} Rv(r\theta) \cdot \frac{h(r\theta)^2}{r}}_{\text{II}} \right) r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)-v(r\theta)} dr d\theta.$$

We will leave term I as is for now. In order to bound term II we change the order of integration:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{II} &= c_n \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (n-1 - \text{R}v(r\theta)) \left(\frac{h(r\theta)}{r} \right)^2 r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)-v(r\theta)} d\theta dr \\ &= c_n \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (n-1 - \text{R}v(r\theta)) \left(\frac{h(r\theta)}{r} \right)^2 e^{-v(r\theta)} d\theta \right) dr \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Proposition 5 to the inner integral, with $v_r(\theta) = v(r\theta)$ and $g_r(\theta) = \frac{h(r\theta)}{r}$. Note that $\text{R}v_r(\theta) = \text{R}v(r\theta)$ and $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}} g_r(\theta) = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}} h(r\theta)$, where for a function $h : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the notation

$$\nabla_{\mathbb{S}} h(x) = \nabla h(x) - \left\langle \nabla h(x), \frac{x}{|x|} \right\rangle \cdot \frac{x}{|x|}$$

denotes the tangential part of the gradient of h . We may therefore apply the proposition and conclude that

$$\text{II} \leq c_n \int_0^\infty r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}} h(r\theta)|^2 e^{-v(r\theta)} d\theta dr.$$

Using this estimate for II we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu &\leq c_n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty (\langle \nabla h(r\theta), \theta \rangle^2 + |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}} h(r\theta)|^2) r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)-v(r\theta)} dr d\theta \\ &= c_n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \int_0^\infty |\nabla h(r\theta)|^2 r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)-v(r\theta)} dr d\theta \\ &= \int |\nabla h(x)|^2 e^{-w(|x|)-v(x)} dx = \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof of Theorem 3. \square

To conclude this section let us prove that when $v = 0$, that is $d\nu = e^{-w(|x|)} dx$, we have equality in Theorem 3 for every linear function $h(x) = \langle x, \theta \rangle$. By homogeneity and rotation invariance it is enough to consider the function $h(x) = x_1$. Formally, the result follows by integration by parts:

$$\int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu = - \int \partial_1 (e^{-w(|x|)}) x_1 dx = \int 1 \cdot e^{-w(|x|)} dx = \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu.$$

To check this rigorously, introduce $A_{\epsilon, R} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \epsilon < |x| < R\}$ for $0 < \epsilon < R < \infty$. Then using polar coordinates and integration by parts we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_{\epsilon, R}} \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu &= \frac{1}{n} \int_{A_{\epsilon, R}} \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} |x|^2 e^{-w(|x|)} dx = \frac{c_n}{n} \int_{\epsilon}^R w'(r) r^n e^{-w(r)} dr \tag{7} \\ &= -\frac{c_n}{n} \int_{\epsilon}^R r^n (e^{-w(r)})' dr = \frac{c_n}{n} \cdot (\epsilon^n e^{-w(\epsilon)} - R^n e^{-w(R)}) + c_n \int_{\epsilon}^R r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)} dr \\ &= \frac{c_n}{n} \cdot (\epsilon^n e^{-w(\epsilon)} - R^n e^{-w(R)}) + \int_{A_{\epsilon, R}} |\nabla h|^2 d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Since the integrands are nonnegative the integrals $\int_{A_{\epsilon,R}} \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu$ and $\int_{A_{\epsilon,R}} |\nabla h|^2 d\nu$ have a limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$, and the limits are finite since $\int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu \leq \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu < \infty$. Therefore the limits $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon^n e^{-w(\epsilon)}$ and $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} R^n e^{-w(R)}$ also exist. Since $\int_0^\infty r^{n-1} e^{-w(r)} dr = \frac{1}{c_n} \int e^{-w(|x|)} dx < \infty$, both of these limits have to be 0. We may therefore let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (7) and deduce that

$$\int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h^2 d\nu = \int |\nabla h|^2 d\nu.$$

as claimed.

3 Improved Brascamp-Lieb inequality

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 2. So we are working with a probability measure ν whose density is of the form $e^{-W(x)-v(x)}$ with $W(x) = w(|x|)$ where w is smooth and satisfies the assumptions of the theorem, and v is an arbitrary even convex function on \mathbb{R}^n with values on $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$. In the applications, e^{-v} will be the indicator of a symmetric convex set. But by approximation, we can easily assume that v is finite and smooth on \mathbb{R}^n .

The classical Hörmander–Brascamp–Lieb inequality states that for a smooth integrable f one has

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_\nu f &\leq \int \left\langle (\nabla^2 W + \nabla^2 v)^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \right\rangle d\nu \\ &\leq \int \left\langle (\nabla^2 W)^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \right\rangle d\nu \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \cdot Id \succeq 0$, the conclusion of Theorem 2 is clearly stronger than this last inequality, but of course we are assuming that f is even; Recall that $f(x) = \langle (\nabla W + \nabla v)(x), \theta \rangle$ is an equality case in the first inequality, but this function is odd in our case.

A direct computation of $\nabla^2 W$ shows that for every $x \neq 0$,

$$\nabla^2 W(x) = w''(|x|) \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \cdot \left(Id - \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} \right),$$

so one can write

$$\nabla^2 W(x) + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \cdot Id = \left(w''(|x|) + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \right) \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} + 2 \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \cdot \left(Id - \frac{x}{|x|} \otimes \frac{x}{|x|} \right). \quad (8)$$

The condition that $t \mapsto w(e^t)$ is convex implies that $w''(s) + \frac{w'(s)}{s} \geq 0$ for all $s > 0$. Hence the expression above shows that $\nabla^2 W(x) + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \cdot Id \succeq 0$, which we will use in the proof.

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the even function $V = W + v$ so that $\frac{d\nu}{dx} = e^{-V}$; we already mentioned that by approximation v can be assumed to be C^2 -smooth so V is C^2 as well. Since ν is log-concave with respect to e^{-W} it follows that $\nabla^2 V \succeq \nabla^2 W$ as positive definite matrices. Also write $A(x) = \nabla^2 W(x) + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \cdot Id$.

Consider the operator $Lu = \Delta u - \langle \nabla V, \nabla u \rangle$, that is the Laplace operator $\nabla^* \nabla$ on $L^2(\nu)$. We are given an even function f . We can add a constant to f and assume without loss of generality that $\int f d\nu = 0$. It is well known then that f can be approximated by functions of the form Lu for smooth compactly supported u (see for instance [7]). Moreover, since V is even and f is even we can also assume that u is even. Therefore it is enough to prove

$$\int ((Lu - f)^2 - f^2 + \langle A^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle) d\nu \geq 0,$$

that is

$$\int ((Lu)^2 - 2Lu \cdot f + \langle A^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle) d\nu \geq 0.$$

Integrating by parts we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int Lu \cdot f d\nu &= - \int \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle d\nu, \\ \int (Lu)^2 d\nu &= \int \left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 + \langle (\nabla^2 V) \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \right) d\nu \\ &\geq \int \left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 + \langle (\nabla^2 W) \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \right) d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

where $\|A\|_2 = \text{tr}(AA^*)$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Therefore it is enough to prove the inequality

$$\int \left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 + \langle (\nabla^2 W) \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle + \langle A^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle \right) d\nu \geq 0.$$

For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\left| A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla f + A^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla u \right|^2 = \langle A^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle + 2 \langle \nabla f, \nabla u \rangle + \langle A \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle,$$

so our goal can be written as

$$\int \left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 + \langle (\nabla^2 W) \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle + \left| A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \nabla f + A^{\frac{1}{2}} \nabla u \right|^2 - \langle A \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \right) d\nu \geq 0.$$

As $|A \nabla f + A^{-1} \nabla u|^2 \geq 0$ it is therefore enough to prove that

$$\int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} |\nabla u|^2 d\nu = \int \langle (A - \nabla^2 W) \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle d\mu \leq \int \|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 d\nu. \quad (9)$$

But this follows from Theorem 3: Every derivative $h_i = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$ is odd, so by the Theorem 3 we have

$$\int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} h_i^2 d\nu \leq \int |\nabla h_i|^2 d\nu.$$

Summing over $1 \leq i \leq n$ we obtain the desired inequality (9). \square

4 The (B) property

In this section we prove Theorem 1 in the functional form (4):

Proof of Theorem 1. By approximation we may assume w is well defined on $[0, \infty)$ and C^2 -smooth there. Write $W(x) := w(|x|)$.

As we said, we will prove the more general form (4). So we fix an arbitrary *even* convex function $v : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, and our goal is to prove that

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-v(e^{tA}y) - W(y)} dy = e^{-t \cdot \text{tr} A} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-v(x) - W(e^{-tA}x)} dx \quad (10)$$

is log-concave. Since the function $t \mapsto e^{-t \cdot \text{tr} A}$ is clearly log-linear, and since the change of variables $t \mapsto -t$ preserves log-concavity, we are led to prove that the function

$$\rho_v(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-v(x) - W(e^{tA}x)} dx$$

is log-concave.

To do so we need to show that $\rho_v(t)\rho_v''(t) \leq \rho_v(t)^2$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. However from the change of variables (10) one can check that $\rho_v(t+h) = \rho_{\tilde{v}}(h)$ where $\tilde{v}(x) = v(e^{-tA}x) + t \cdot \text{tr} A$ is again an even convex function. So we see it is enough to show that $\rho(0)\rho''(0) \leq \rho(0)^2$ for $\rho := \rho_v$ and v is an arbitrary even convex function.

Computing these derivatives we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho'(t) &= - \int e^{-W(e^{tA}x)} \langle \nabla W(e^{tA}x), Ae^{tA}x \rangle e^{-v(x)} dx \\ \rho''(t) &= \int e^{-W(e^{tA}x)} \langle \nabla W(e^{tA}x), Ae^{tA}x \rangle^2 e^{-v(x)} dx \\ &\quad - \int e^{-W(e^{tA}x)} (\langle \nabla^2 W(e^{tA}x) \cdot Ae^{tA}x, Ae^{tA}x \rangle + \langle \nabla W(e^{tA}x), A^2 e^{tA}x \rangle) e^{-v(x)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

so the condition $\rho(0)\rho''(0) \leq \rho(0)^2$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \int e^{-v(x)} d\mu(x) \cdot \int (\langle \nabla W, Ax \rangle^2 - \langle \nabla^2 W \cdot Ax, Ax \rangle - \langle \nabla W, A^2 x \rangle) e^{-v(x)} d\mu(x) \\ \leq \left(\int \langle \nabla W, Ax \rangle e^{-v(x)} d\mu(x) \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Introduce the probability measure

$$d\nu(x) = \frac{e^{-v(x)}}{\int e^{-v} d\mu} d\mu(x).$$

Our aim is to prove that

$$\int (\langle \nabla W, Ax \rangle^2 - \langle \nabla^2 W \cdot Ax, Ax \rangle - \langle \nabla W, A^2 x \rangle) d\nu \leq \left(\int \langle \nabla W, Ax \rangle d\nu \right)^2,$$

that is

$$\int \langle \nabla W, Ax \rangle^2 d\nu - \left(\int \langle \nabla W, Ax \rangle d\nu \right)^2 \leq \int (\langle \nabla^2 W \cdot Ax, Ax \rangle + \langle \nabla W, A^2 x \rangle) d\nu. \quad (11)$$

Actually, this aimed inequality is really equivalent to the strong (B) inequality for μ . We claim that this inequality follows from Theorem 2 for the function

$$f_0(x) := \langle \nabla W(x), Ax \rangle.$$

Indeed, note first that Theorem 2 is applicable since ν is even and log-concave with respect to μ and f_0 is even. Next we have to interpret correctly the right-hand side of (11). Note that

$$\nabla f_0 = \nabla^2 W \cdot Ax + A \cdot \nabla W$$

and so

$$\langle \nabla^2 W \cdot Ax, Ax \rangle + \langle \nabla W, A^2 x \rangle = \langle \nabla f_0(x), Ax \rangle$$

which means that (11) rewrites as

$$\text{Var}_\nu(f_0) \leq \int \langle \nabla f_0(x), Ax \rangle d\nu(x).$$

But since $\nabla W(x) = \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|}x$ we can write

$$\nabla f_0(x) = \left(\nabla^2 W + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \text{Id} \right) Ax \quad (12)$$

which implies that

$$\langle \nabla f_0(x), Ax \rangle = \left\langle \left(\nabla^2 W + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \text{Id} \right)^{-1} \nabla f_0, \nabla f_0 \right\rangle.$$

This shows that (11) follows from the Theorem 2, as claimed. \square

There is a hidden but crucial choice behind the apparently trivial relation (12). Indeed, let us consider the simple case where $w(t) = t^p/p$ (so $W(x) = |x|^p/p$) and $A = \text{Id}$. The equivalent formulation (11) of the (B) inequality is then

$$\text{Var}_\nu(f_0) = \text{Var}_\nu(|\cdot|^p) \leq p \int |x|^p d\nu(x) = \int \langle \nabla f_0, x \rangle d\nu$$

for $f_0(x) = |x|^p$ and ν an even probability measure log-concave with respect to $e^{-W(x)} = e^{-|x|^p}$ dx. We see that there are several possible interpretations of the last term, since we have both

$$x = \frac{p-1}{p} (\nabla^2 W)^{-1} \cdot \nabla f_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x = \frac{1}{p|x|^{p-2}} \nabla f_0.$$

By the way, here we can invoke homogeneity to shorten computations since $f_0 = pW$. Every choice of a matrix-valued function $B(x)$ such that $B \cdot x = \nabla f_0$ leads to the natural question whether the corresponding Brascamp-Lieb type inequality $\text{Var}_\nu(f) \leq \int \langle B^{-1} \nabla f, \nabla f \rangle d\nu$ holds for every smooth even f ; This would imply the (B) inequality. The two formulas above coincide in the case of the Gaussian measure ($p = 2$), but not in general. Our choice (12) is some combination of the two:

$$x = (\nabla^2 W + |x|^{p-2} \text{Id})^{-1} \nabla f_0(x).$$

5 Dimensional Brunn-Minkowski inequality

In this section we prove Theorem 4, that is the Gardner-Zvavitch conjecture for rotationally invariant measures. We heavily rely on the computations done by Kolesnikov and Livshyts, and on the ideas introduced by Eskenazis and Moschidis in their solution of the Gaussian case.

As for the Brunn-Minkowski inequality and the (B) inequality, we can prove this $\frac{1}{n}$ -concavity by computing the second derivative in the parameter λ . This was done by Kolesnikov and Livshyts [13] (see Lemma 2.3), who found the following neat *sufficient* condition. Below, the notation μ_K refers to the normalized restriction of a measure μ to a set K with $\mu(K) < \infty$.

Theorem 8. *Let μ be a locally finite measure on \mathbb{R}^n with density e^{-W} . Assume that for every symmetric convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and every smooth even function $u : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $Lu := \Delta u - \langle \nabla W, \nabla u \rangle \equiv 1$ in K we have*

$$\int \left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 + \langle \nabla^2 W \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \right) d\mu_K \geq \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then for every symmetric convex bodies $K, L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $0 < \lambda < 1$ we have

$$\mu((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq (1-\lambda)\mu(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda\mu(L)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

Let us mention that this formulation builds upon previous ideas introduced in [15] and [14], in particular the idea to obtain Poincaré type inequalities on the *boundary* of the given domain K by expressing a function on ∂K as a Neumann data of a function in the interior of K .

With Theorem 6 in hand, we can proceed with the proof of our result.

Proof of Theorem 4. Write $W(x) = w(|x|)$ and assume by approximation that w is smooth. We begin by following the argument of Eskenazis and Moschidis [9].

Define $r = \frac{|x|^2}{2n}$ and note that

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 = \|\nabla^2(u - r)\|_2^2 + \frac{2}{n}\Delta u - \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since $Lu = 1$ we have $\Delta u = \langle \nabla W, \nabla u \rangle + 1$, so

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 = \|\nabla^2(u - r)\|_2^2 + \frac{2}{n} \langle \nabla W, \nabla u \rangle + \frac{1}{n}. \quad (13)$$

Next, we apply our weighted Poincaré inequality (Theorem 3). Since K is symmetric the measure μ_K is even, and of course it is log-concave with respect to μ . Moreover, for every i the derivative $\partial_i(u - r)$ is odd, since $u - r$ is even. Hence

$$\int |\nabla \partial_i(u - r)|^2 d\mu_K \geq \int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} (\partial_i(u - r))^2 d\mu_K.$$

Summing over $1 \leq i \leq n$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|\nabla^2(u - r)\|_2^2 d\mu_K &\geq \int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} |\nabla(u - r)|^2 d\mu_K \\ &= \int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} (|\nabla u|^2 - 2 \langle \nabla u, \nabla r \rangle + |\nabla r|^2) d\mu_K \\ &\geq \int \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \langle \nabla u, x \rangle \right) d\mu_K \\ &= \int \left(\frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{2}{n} \langle \nabla W, \nabla u \rangle \right) d\mu_K, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used the fact that $\nabla W = \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|}x$. Therefore using (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int \left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_2^2 + \langle \nabla^2 W \cdot \nabla u, \nabla u \rangle \right) d\mu_K &\geq \int \left\langle \left(\nabla^2 W + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} Id \right) \nabla u, \nabla u \right\rangle d\mu_K + \frac{1}{n} \\ &\geq 0 + \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality is true since our assumption on w implies that the matrix $\left(\nabla^2 W + \frac{w'(|x|)}{|x|} Id\right)$ is nonnegative (see the computation in the beginning of Section 3).

By Theorem 8 we conclude that

$$\mu((1-\lambda)K + \lambda L)^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq (1-\lambda)\mu(K)^{\frac{1}{n}} + \lambda\mu(L)^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

for all symmetric K, L and all $0 < \lambda < 1$. □

6 Mixtures

As was mentioned in the introduction, before the results of this paper there were very few examples of measures known to have the (B) property except the Gaussian measure. The only such examples we are aware of in dimension $n \geq 3$ come from a result of Eskenazis, Nayar and Tkocz ([10]) about Gaussian mixtures. We will now briefly explain and slightly extend their result.

Proposition 9. *Let $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$ be a random vector with a probability density on \mathbb{R}^n which is rotationally invariant and log-concave. Let $Y = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)$ be a random random vector on $(\mathbb{R}^+)^n$ independent of X with probability density $h : (0, \infty)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $(s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \mapsto h(e^{s_1}, e^{s_2}, \dots, e^{s_n})$ is log-concave. Let ν denote the distribution of $(X_1 Y_1, X_2 Y_2, \dots, X_n Y_n)$. Then for every symmetric convex body $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ the function*

$$(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \mapsto \nu(e^{\Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)} K)$$

is log-concave on \mathbb{R}^n ; In particular $t \mapsto \nu(e^t K)$ is log-concave on \mathbb{R} .

Here we use the notation $\Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ for the diagonal matrix with entries t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n on its diagonal.

Proof. For every Borel set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(K) &= \mathbb{P}((X_1 Y_1, X_2 Y_2, \dots, X_n Y_n) \in K) \\ &= \int_{(0, \infty)^n} \mathbb{P}((y_1 X_1, y_2 X_2, \dots, y_n X_n) \in K) h(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

We perform a change of variables $e^{-s} = y$ (i.e. $e^{-s_i} = y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$). Then $dy = e^{-\sum_{i=1}^n s_i} ds$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(A) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{P}(e^{-\Delta(s_1, \dots, s_n)} \cdot X \in A) h(e^{-s}) e^{-\sum s_i} ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbb{P}(X \in e^{\Delta(s_1, \dots, s_n)} A) h(e^{-s}) e^{-\sum s_i} ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu(e^{\Delta(s_1, \dots, s_n)} A) \cdot h(e^{-s}) e^{-\sum s_i} ds, \end{aligned}$$

where μ denotes the distribution of X .

Therefore if we now assume that K is a symmetric convex body, then

$$\nu(e^{\Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)} K) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu(e^{\Delta(s_1+t_1, \dots, s_n+t_n)} A) \cdot h(e^{-s}) e^{-\sum s_i} ds.$$

By Theorem 1 the function $(t, s) \mapsto \mu(e^{\Delta(s_1+t_1, \dots, s_n+t_n)} A)$ is log-concave on \mathbb{R}^{2n} , so by our assumption on h

$$(t, s) \mapsto \mu(e^{\Delta(s_1+t_1, \dots, s_n+t_n)} A) h(e^{-s}) e^{-\sum s_i}$$

is also log-concave. It is a well-known corollary of the Prékopa inequality (2) that marginals of log-concave functions are also log-concave. Hence the function

$$t \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu(e^{\Delta(s_1+t_1, \dots, s_n+t_n)} A) \cdot h(e^{-s}) e^{-\sum s_i} ds = \nu(e^{\Delta(t_1, \dots, t_n)} K)$$

is log-concave. □

The result of [10] is identical to the proposition above, with an identical proof, except the fact that they have to assume X is Gaussian in order to use the original result of [7], while we can use instead Theorem 1. Of course, the assumption in the proposition that the distribution μ of X is log-concave may be replaced with the weaker assumption on μ of Theorem 1.

Proposition 9 is only useful if one can identify measures ν which satisfy its assumptions. It is shown in [10] that if $0 < p \leq 1$ and if ν has density proportional to $e^{-\|x\|_p^p} = e^{-\sum |x_i|^p}$, then ν satisfies the assumptions of the proposition (with a Gaussian random vector X) and therefore has the (B) property. The same is shown for the product measure $\nu = \nu_1^{\otimes n}$, where ν_1 is the distribution of a p -stable random variable for $0 < p \leq 1$. No other examples are constructed.

Since we now have more freedom in the choice of X , our proposition applies to more measures ν than the theorem of [10]. However, at the moment we don't have any natural measure to propose that could be handled using this extra freedom.

References

- [1] Dominique Bakry and Michel Émery. Diffusions hypercontractives. In Jaques Azema and Marc Yor, editors, *Séminaire de Probabilités XIX 1983/84*, volume 1123 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 177–206. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1985.

- [2] Sergey Bobkov and Michel Ledoux. Weighted Poincaré-type inequalities for Cauchy and other convex measures. *The Annals of Probability*, 37(2):403–427, mar 2009.
- [3] Károly J. Böröczky, Erwin Lutwak, Deane Yang, and Gaoyong Zhang. The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality. *Advances in Mathematics*, 231(3-4):1974–1997, oct 2012.
- [4] Herm J. Brascamp and Elliott H. Lieb. On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 22(4):366–389, aug 1976.
- [5] Andrea Colesanti. From the Brunn–Minkowski inequality to a class of Poincaré type inequalities. *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, 10(05):765–772, oct 2008.
- [6] Andrea Colesanti, Galyna Livshyts, and Arnaud Marsiglietti. On the stability of Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 273(3):1120–1139, aug 2017.
- [7] Dario Cordero-Erausquin, Matthieu Fradelizi, and Bernard Maurey. The (B) conjecture for the Gaussian measure of dilates of symmetric convex sets and related problems. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 214(2):410–427, sep 2004.
- [8] Dario Cordero-Erausquin and Liran Rotem. Several Results Regarding the (B)-Conjecture. In Bo’az Klartag and Emanuel Milman, editors, *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, Israel Seminar 2017-2019 Volume I*, volume 2256 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 247–262. Springer, Cham, 2020.
- [9] Alexandros Eskenazis and Georgios Moschidis. The dimensional Brunn–Minkowski inequality in Gauss space. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 280(6):108914, mar 2021.
- [10] Alexandros Eskenazis, Piotr Nayar, and Tomasz Tkocz. Gaussian mixtures: Entropy and geometric inequalities. *The Annals of Probability*, 46(5):2908–2945, sep 2018.
- [11] Richard J. Gardner and Artem Zvavitch. Gaussian Brunn-Minkowski inequalities. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(10):5333–5333, oct 2010.
- [12] Bo’az Klartag and Roman Vershynin. Small ball probability and Dvoretzky’s Theorem. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 157(1):193–207, jan 2007.

- [13] Alexander Kolesnikov and Galyna Livshyts. On the Gardner-Zvavitch conjecture: Symmetry in inequalities of Brunn-Minkowski type. *Advances in Mathematics*, 384:107689, jun 2021.
- [14] Alexander Kolesnikov and Emanuel Milman. Local L^p -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for $p < 1$. *arXiv:1711.01089*, nov 2017.
- [15] Alexander Kolesnikov and Emanuel Milman. Poincaré and Brunn-Minkowski inequalities on the boundary of weighted Riemannian manifolds. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 140(5):1147–1185, 2018.
- [16] Rafał Latała. On some inequalities for Gaussian measures. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Beijing*, volume II, pages 813–822. Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002.
- [17] Rafał Latała and Krzysztof Oleszkiewicz. Small ball probability estimates in terms of width. *Studia Mathematica*, 169(3):305–314, 2005.
- [18] Galyna Livshyts. A universal bound in the dimensional Brunn-Minkowski inequality for log-concave measures. *arXiv:2107.00095*, jun 2021.
- [19] Galyna Livshyts, Arnaud Marsiglietti, Piotr Nayar, and Artem Zvavitch. On the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for general measures with applications to new isoperimetric-type inequalities. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(12):8725–8742, apr 2017.
- [20] András Prékopa. Logarithmic concave measures with application to stochastic programming. *Acta Scientiarum Mathematicarum*, 32(3-4):301–316, 1971.
- [21] Christos Saroglou. Remarks on the conjectured log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality. *Geometriae Dedicata*, jul 2014.
- [22] Christos Saroglou. More on logarithmic sums of convex bodies. *Mathematika*, 62(03):818–841, may 2016.