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Abstract—In recent years, thanks to the advances of meta-materials, the concept of continuous-aperture MIMO (CAP-MIMO) is reinvestigated to achieve improved communication performance with limited antenna apertures. Unlike the classical MIMO composed of discrete antennas, CAP-MIMO has a quasi-continuous antenna surface, which is expected to generate any current distribution (i.e., pattern) and induce controllable spatial electromagnetic waves. In this way, the information is directly modulated on the electromagnetic waves, which makes it promising to approach the ultimate capacity of finite apertures. The pattern design is the key factor to determine the communication performance of CAP-MIMO, but it has not been well studied in the literature. In this paper, we develop the pattern-division multiplexing (PDM) to design the patterns for CAP-MIMO. Specifically, we first study and model a typical multi-user CAP-MIMO system, which allows us to formulate the sum-rate maximization problem. Then, we develop a general PDM technique to transform the design of the continuous pattern functions to the design of their projection lengths on finite orthogonal bases, which can overcome the challenge of functional programing. Utilizing PDM, we further propose a block coordinate descent (BCD) based pattern design scheme to solve the formulated sum-rate maximization problem. Simulation results show that, the sum-rate achieved by the proposed scheme is about 300% higher than that achieved by the benchmark scheme, which demonstrates the effectiveness of the developed PDM for CAP-MIMO.

Index Terms—Continuous-aperture MIMO (CAP-MIMO), large intelligent surface, reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS), pattern-division multiplexing (PDM).

I. INTRODUCTION

From 3G to 5G, the system performance of wireless communications has been greatly improved by the wide use of multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) [2]–[4]. Equipped with multiple discrete antennas with half-wavelength spacing, MIMO is capable of enhancing the wireless transmissions by exploiting spatial multiplexing and diversity [5]–[7]. Inspired by the potential benefits of MIMO with more and more antennas, exploring the ultimate transmission performance of a limited MIMO aperture has attracted extensive attention in the communication community. As an ultimate MIMO structure with extremely dense antennas, the concept of continuous-aperture MIMO (CAP-MIMO), which is also called as holographic MIMO [8]–[11], large intelligent surface (LIS) [12]–[15], or active reconfigurable intelligent surface (RIS) [16]–[18], is reinvestigated for wireless communications in recent years.

Unlike the classical MIMO composed of multiple discrete antennas with half-wavelength spacing [2]–[4], by deploying a large number of sub-wavelength radiation elements in a compact space, CAP-MIMO takes the form of a quasi-continuous electromagnetic surface [16]. Thanks to the recent advances of highly-flexible reconfigurable antennas made of meta-materials, many low-cost and low-power prototypes of CAP-MIMO have emerged [19]–[22]. For example, a practical implementation is based on a quasi-continuous photonic stripe that integrates optical-electrical detectors, converters, and modulators [22]. In general, an ideal CAP-MIMO is expected to have full control freedom of generating any current distribution on its spatially-continuous surface [9], so that its radiated electromagnetic waves can be artificially configured in a desired manner. In this way, the information for receivers can be directly modulated on the spatial electromagnetic waves and radiated to the physical space. Relying on this meta-surface-based transmission mechanism, the physical properties of spatial electromagnetic waves can be sufficiently exploited [23], leading to extreme spatial resolution [12, Fig. 5], high spectrum efficiency [13, Fig. 7] [14, Fig. 4] and high energy efficiency [16, Fig. 4]. Thus, CAP-MIMO becomes a promising technology to satisfy many challenging requirements of future wireless networks, such as the wide in-building coverage, high-speed uplink transmission, and high-accuracy localization [16].

A. Prior Works

Realizing an ideal CAP-MIMO has a long history in the research field of micro-wave and photonics, dating back to
Harold Wheeler’s work in 1965 [24] and David Staiman’s work in 1968 [25], respectively. The recent works on CAP-MIMO include antenna design [22], physical model [9], performance analysis [10], channel estimation [8], and so on. For example, the authors in [24] proposed to realize CAP-MIMO by exploiting the current sheet made of tightly coupled dipole array and the monolayer metallic made of magnetic particles. Then, to characterize the propagation process of the electromagnetic waves, the authors in [9] modeled the wireless channels between CAP-MIMO transceivers as Gaussian random fields. Subsequently, the author in [12] derived the analytical expressions of the spatial degrees of freedom (DoFs) of CAP-MIMO, and the authors in [10] further analyzed its near-field DoFs by providing a heuristic proof. Moreover, the authors in [8] proposed to exploit the property of array geometry to reduce the pilot overhead required by the channel estimations for CAP-MIMO.

The pattern, i.e., the current distribution on the continuous aperture of CAP-MIMO, is the key factor determining the CAP-MIMO performance [12]. To support the coherent transmission of multiple data streams, it is necessary for CAP-MIMO transmitter to adopt a series of distinguishable patterns to carry different symbols [10], [11]. Specifically, the authors in [10] considered a near-field line-of-sight scenario, where one linear-aperture CAP-MIMO transmitter serves one linear-aperture electromagnetic-wave receiver. By adopting a series of square-wave functions to generate the patterns, the electromagnetic waves carrying different symbols can be radiated towards different spatial angles. Furthermore, the authors in [11] considered a similar near-field line-of-sight scenario with a couple of linear-aperture CAP-MIMO transceivers. Particularly, a wavenumber-division multiplexing (WDM) scheme was proposed to directly generate the patterns by Fourier basis functions. In this way, the transmitted symbols belonging to different streams are modulated on different spatial wavenumbers of radiated electromagnetic waves and transmitted, which is similar to the frequency-division multiplexing in conventional communications.

From the above discussions, we can find that, most existing works have directly adopted the patterns generated by given special functions to realize coherent transmission for CAP-MIMO [10], [11]. Although these heuristic schemes can improve the performance of CAP-MIMO to some extent, they only work efficiently in some special communication scenarios, such as single-user, linear-aperture, and/or near-field transmissions. To support CAP-MIMO in general scenarios with complex propagation environment and multiple distributed receivers, it is essential to design the patterns flexibly according to the real-time channel state information, which resembles the space-division multiplexing in conventional MIMO systems. Unfortunately, to the best of our knowledge, such a flexible and general pattern design scheme has not been well studied in the literature. One possible reason may be the mathematical challenge introduced by the design of continuous pattern functions of CAP-MIMO, which are usually non-convex functional programmings [26] and thus difficult to be solved by the classical discrete signal processing techniques for conventional MIMO systems.

B. Our Contributions

To fill in this gap, in this paper, we develop a general pattern-division multiplexing (PDM) technique to flexibly design patterns for multi-user CAP-MIMO according to the real-time channel state information. Our contributions are summarized as follows.

- Based on the electromagnetic propagation principle, we study and model a typical multi-user CAP-MIMO based communication system, where one CAP-MIMO transmitter with planar aperture serves multiple users coherently. This allows us to formulate the sum-rate maximization problem to optimize the CAP-MIMO patterns, and it also provides a possible framework for other technical problems in multi-user CAP-MIMO systems, such as channel estimation and energy efficiency optimization.

- We develop a general PDM technique to flexibly design the patterns of CAP-MIMO according to the real-time channel state information. The key idea is to use series expansion to project the continuous pattern functions of CAP-MIMO onto an orthogonal basis space, thus the design of continuous pattern functions is transformed to the design of their projection lengths on finite orthogonal bases. In this way, the challenging problem of optimizing continuous patterns of CAP-MIMO can be addressed.

- Utilizing the developed PDM technique, we further propose a block coordinate descent (BCD) based pattern design scheme to solve the formulated sum-rate maximization problem for multi-user CAP-MIMO. Simulation results show that, the patterns designed by the proposed pattern design scheme are almost mutually orthogonal for multiple users, and the sum-rate achieved by the proposed scheme is about 300% higher than that achieved by the existing WDM scheme [11].

C. Organization and Notation

Organization: The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces the system model of multi-user CAP-MIMO and formulates the problem of sum-rate maximization. The general PDM technique to address the continuous patterns of CAP-MIMO is developed in Section III, and the specific pattern design scheme to solve the formulated problem is proposed in Section IV. Simulation results are presented in Section V to validate the effectiveness of the proposed scheme. Finally, conclusions are drawn and future works are discussed in Section VI.

Notation: $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}_+, \text{ and } \mathbb{Z}$ denote the set of complex, real, positive real, and integer numbers, respectively; $[\cdot]^{-1}, [\cdot]^*, [\cdot]^T, \text{ and } [\cdot]^H$ denote the inverse, conjugate, transpose, and conjugate-transpose operations, respectively; $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of its argument; $\| \cdot \|_F$ denotes the Frobenius norm of its argument; $\text{det}(\cdot)$ denotes the determinant of its argument; $\mathbb{E}_z(\cdot)$ is the expectation operator with respect to the random vector $z$; $\Re\{\cdot\}$ denotes the real part of its argument; $\ln(\cdot)$ denotes natural logarithm; $\nabla_z$ denotes the first-order partial derivative operator with respect to $z$; surfaces are indicated with calligraphic letters $\mathcal{S}$ and $|\mathcal{S}|$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of $\mathcal{S}$; $I_L$ denotes an $L \times L$ identity matrix.
II. System Model and Problem Formulation for CAP-MIMO

In this section, we study and model a typical multi-user CAP-MIMO based communication system, where one CAP-MIMO transmitter with planer aperture simultaneously serves $K$ users in the downlink. Specifically, we first introduce the system model of a CAP-MIMO transmitter in Subsection II-A. Then, the electromagnetic channels between the transmitter and the users are illustrated in Subsection II-B. Next, the electromagnetic waves at the users are modeled in Subsection II-C. Finally, the problem of the sum-rate maximization for multi-user CAP-MIMO system is formulated in Subsection II-D.

A. CAP-MIMO Transmitter

As shown in Fig. 2 (a), we consider a CAP-MIMO transmitter with aperture $\mathcal{S}_T$ of area $A_T = |\mathcal{S}_T|$ working in a 3-D homogeneous medium. In the ideal case, CAP-MIMO has an almost continuous antenna aperture, which is able to generate any current distribution on its continuous surface for wireless communications [9]–[12]. Let $\mathbf{b}(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ denote the monochromatic current density at a generic location $s := (s_x, s_y, s_z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and time $t$. The ideally controllable current distribution at the CAP-MIMO transmitter can be written as

$$\mathbf{b}(s,t) = \mathbb{R} \left\{ \mathbf{b}(s)e^{-j2\pi ft} \right\}, \quad s \in \mathcal{S}_T,$$

where $f$ is the current frequency. For simplicity but without loss of generality, we assume that the communication system works in narrowband, which allows us to ignore the time-related component $e^{-j2\pi ft}$ and focus on the time-independent current density $\mathbf{b}(s) \in \mathbb{C}^3$.

This assumption is exactly the well-known and widely-used time-harmonic assumption in electromagnetic analysis [27].

Consider that the CAP-MIMO transmitter simultaneously serves $K$ electromagnetic-wave receivers (i.e., $K$ users) in the downlink. Let $\mathbf{x} \triangleq [x_1, \cdots, x_K]^\top \in \mathbb{C}^K$ denote the symbols transmitted to $K$ users, respectively. We assume that these symbols have the normalized power, i.e., $\mathbb{E}_x \{xx^\dagger\} = I_K$.

Then, similar to the conventional MIMO beamforming [28], the symbols $\mathbf{x}$ to be transmitted are modulated on $K$ different CAP-MIMO patterns $\left\{ \mathbf{b}_k(s) \right\}_{k=1}^K$, which aims to make these symbols orthogonal at different users as much as possible, and thus high channel capacity can be achieved. For simplicity, we assume that CAP-MIMO employs linear superposition to combine multiple information-carrying patterns $\left\{ \mathbf{b}_k(s) \right\}_{k=1}^K$ for coherent transmission, thus the combined current distribution $\mathbf{b}(s)$ on the CAP-MIMO aperture can be modeled as

$$\mathbf{b}(s) = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{b}_k(s)x_k, \quad s \in \mathcal{S}_T,$$

where pattern $\mathbf{b}_k(s) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ is the component of current density that carries symbol $x_k$.

B. Electromagnetic Channels

To model the radiated information-carrying electromagnetic waves in space, we define $\mathbf{e}(r) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ as the electric field at point $r := (r_x, r_y, r_z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$, which is induced by the current distribution $\mathbf{b}(s)$ on the CAP-MIMO aperture. According to Maxwell’s equations, the current distribution $\mathbf{b}(r')$ and the electric field $\mathbf{e}(r')$ satisfy the following inhomogeneous Helmholtz wave equation [27]:

$$\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{e}(r') - \kappa_0^2 \mathbf{e}(r') = j\kappa_0 Z_0 \mathbf{b}(r'),$$

where $r' \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is any arbitrary point in space; $\kappa_0$ is the spatial wavenumber; and $Z_0$ is the intrinsic impedance of spatial medium, which is 376.73 $\Omega$ in free space.
Then, to explicitly express the relationship between the current distribution \( j(s) \) at the transmitter and the electric field \( e(r) \) at the receiver, Green's method [27] is utilized to solve (3). By introducing Green function \( G(r, s) \in C^{3} \times \mathbb{R}^{3} \) that can be viewed as the system impulse response, the electric field \( e(r) \) at point \( r \) can be expressed from (3) as

\[
e(r) = \int_{S_T} G(r, s) j(s) ds,
\]

where Green function \( G(r, s) \) is similar to the classical definition of wireless channels. In particular, \( G(r, s) \) is determined by the specific transmission environment. For example, in ideal unbounded and homogeneous mediums, \( G(r, s) \) is [27]

\[
G(r, s) = \frac{j \kappa_{0} Z_{0}}{4 \pi} e^{j \kappa_{0} \|r-s\|} \left( I_3 + \frac{\nabla_{r} \nabla_{r}^H}{\kappa_{0}^2} \right), \tag{5}
\]

while \( G(r, s) \) is usually modeled as a stochastic process in scattering environments [13].

**C. Electromagnetic-Wave Receivers**

As shown in Fig. 2 (b), we assume that all \( K \) electromagnetic-wave receivers (i.e., \( K \) users) are located in the far-field region, and each user is equipped with an ideal isotropic antenna with area \( A_R = \frac{\mu_0}{4 \pi} \), which is much smaller than the transmitter aperture area \( A_T \) [14]. In this case, similar to a discrete antenna, each user can be reasonably approximated by a point in 3-D space.

Let \( r_k \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) denote the 3-D location of the \( k \)-th user. In the ideal case, user \( k \) is expected to ideally sense and capture the whole information of the electromagnetic waves reaching point \( r_k \) (i.e., holographic capability [10]). According to (2) and (4), the electromagnetic wave received by user \( k \) can be expressed as

\[
y_k = e_k + n_k = x_k \int_{S_T} G_k(s) \theta_k(s) ds + \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{K} x_j \int_{S_T} G_k(s) \theta_j(s) ds + n_k,
\]

where \( e_k := e(r_k) \), \( G_k(s) := G(r_k, s) \), and \( n_k \) is the electromagnetic noise at user \( k \), which is produced by all incoming electromagnetic waves that are not generated by the transmitter [27]. Here we assume that, \( n_k \in \mathbb{C}^3 \) is an additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) with zero mean and variance \( \sigma^2 I_3 \), and \( n_k \) for all \( k \in \{1, \cdots, K\} \) are mutually independent.

**D. Sum-Rate Maximization Problem Formulation**

Based on the above system model, in this subsection, we formulate the sum-rate maximization problem for multi-user CAP-MIMO. By calculating the sum of multi-user mutual information, the sum-rate of \( K \) users, can be derived from (6) as

\[
R_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log_2 \det \left[ I_3 + \alpha_k \alpha_k^H J_k^{-1} \right], \tag{7}
\]

where \( \alpha_k \) and \( J_k \) are respectively given by

\[
\alpha_k = \int_{S_T} G_k(s) \theta_k(s) ds,
\]

\[
J_k = \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{K} \int_{S_T} G_k(s) \theta_j(s) ds \left( \int_{S_T} G_k(s') \theta_j(s') ds' \right)^H + \sigma^2 I_3.
\]

In practical systems, we are interested in investigating the maximum sum-rate subject to a given power constraint. By integrating the radial component of the Poynting vector over a sphere with infinite-length radius [27], we introduce the following lemma to upper-bound the total transmit power of CAP-MIMO in the sense of expectation.

**Lemma 1 (Transmit power constraint of multi-user CAP-MIMO):** The total transmit power of the multi-user CAP-MIMO based communication systems can be upper-bounded by the following inequality:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{S_T} \| \theta_k(s) \|^2 ds \leq P_T, \tag{9}
\]

where \( P_T \) can be viewed as the allowable maximum “transmit power” of CAP-MIMO, which is implicitly associated with the physical radiation power and measured in \( \lambda^2 \) (or \( m\lambda^2 \)).

\textit{Proof:} Please see Appendix A.

By combing (7) and (9), the original problem of sum-rate maximization subject to the transmit power constraint can be formulated as

\[
\mathcal{P}_o : \max_{\theta(s)} R_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \log_2 \det \left[ I_3 + \alpha_k \alpha_k^H J_k^{-1} \right], \tag{10a}
\]

\[
\text{s.t.} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{S_T} \| \theta_k(s) \|^2 ds \leq P_T, \tag{10b}
\]

where \( \theta(s) \) is defined as \( \theta(s) := \{ \theta_k(s) \}_{k=1}^{K} \). Our goal is to maximize the sum-rate in (10a) by appropriately designing the continuous pattern functions \( \theta(s) \), i.e., the current distribution on the continuous aperture \( S_T \) of CAP-MIMO.

**Remark 1:** Generally, the pattern design problems such as \( \mathcal{P}_o \) in (10) are difficult to solve. The reason is that, the continuous pattern functions \( \theta(s) \) within integrals exist in both optimization objective and constraint in problem \( \mathcal{P}_o \) in (10), which is actually a non-convex functional programming [26]. In this case, since the partial derivatives of the optimization objective with respect to \( \theta(s) \) are difficult to obtain, the classical signal processing techniques for discrete arrays, such as gradient descent and Lagrange dual method [29], are hard to be adopted. Such kind of non-convex functional programings are common in the optics and micro-wave areas, especially for the design of the radiation patterns of directional antennas, and these problems are usually addressed by using commercial electromagnetic simulation software such as high frequency structure simulator (HFSS), which results in high time and space complexity.

**III. DEVELOPED PATTERN-DIVISION MULTIPLEXING (PDM) FOR CAP-MIMO**

To address the challenging optimization of continuous pattern functions as shown in problem \( \mathcal{P}_o \) in (10), in this
section, we develop PDM technique to design CAP-MIMO patterns. The key idea is to use series expansion to expand the continuous pattern functions in an orthogonal basis space. In this way, the continuous pattern functions are projected onto finite orthogonal bases, thus the design of these functions is transformed to the design of their projection lengths in the orthogonal basis space, which makes optimizing continuous functions feasible. Specifically, in Subsection III-A, we introduce the developed PDM technique to deal with CAP-MIMO patterns. Then, in Subsection III-B, we provide the performance analysis of the developed PDM.

A. A General Pattern-Division Multiplexing (PDM) Technique

Different from the existing heuristic methods which adopt the patterns directly generated by special functions for coherent transmissions [10], [11], in this section, we develop PDM technique to flexibly design the patterns for CAP-MIMO according to the real-time channel state information. Particularly, the developed PDM aims to strengthen the desired information-carrying signals and make the electromagnetic waves carrying different symbols as much orthogonal as possible at the users. In this way, higher channel capacity is expected, which is similar to the space-division multiplexing in classical MIMO systems.

To efficiently optimize the continuous pattern functions \( \{ \theta_k(s) \}_{k=1}^{K} \) in problem \( P_o \) in (10), an intuitive idea is to use series expansion to project these continuous functions onto an orthogonal space [27]. Without loss of generality, in this paper, we use Fourier bases to expand the continuous pattern functions in the wavenumber space, while it can be extended to other feasible series expansions without difficulty. In this way, we obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2 (Fourier series expansion of pattern functions):** For an arbitrary continuous pattern function \( \theta_k(s) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \) defined in volume \( s := (s_x, s_y, s_z) \in \mathcal{S_T} \), if \( \theta_k(s) \) is absolutely integrable, pattern function \( \theta_k(s) \) to be designed can be equivalently rewritten as

\[
\theta_k(s) = \sum_{n} w_{k,n} \Psi_n(s), \quad s \in \mathcal{S_T},
\]

where we define \( n := (n_x, n_y, n_z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \) and \( n \supseteq \sum_{n_x=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_y=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n_z=-\infty}^{\infty} \) to simplify notations. Particularly, the projection length \( w_{k,n} \in \mathbb{C}^3 \) in the wavenumber space and the Fourier base function \( \Psi_n(s) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \) can be written as

\[
w_{k,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_T}} \int_{\mathcal{S_T}} \theta_k(s) \Psi_n^*(s) \, ds, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^3,
\]

\[
\Psi_n(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_T}} e^{i \pi \left( \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_T} (s_x - L_x) + \frac{n_x}{L_x} (s_y - L_y) + \frac{n_y}{L_y} (s_z - L_z) \right)},
\]

where \( L_x, L_y, \) and \( L_z \) denote the maximum projection lengths of volume \( \mathcal{S_T} \) on the \( x-, y-, \) and \( z- \) axis of 3-D coordinate system, respectively. The Fourier bases \( \Psi_n(s) \) satisfy

\[
\int_{\mathcal{S_T}} \Psi_n(s) \Psi_{n'}(s) \, ds = \begin{cases} 1, & n' = n, \\ 0, & n' \neq n, \end{cases}
\]

which guarantees the orthogonality between any two basis functions.

Using the series expansion in Lemma 2, the continuous pattern functions \( \{ \theta_k(s) \}_{k=1}^{K} \) in problem \( P_o \) in (10) can be equivalently replaced by their discrete projection lengths \( \{ w_{k,n} \}_{k=1}^{K} \) in the wavenumber space. To this end, we introduce the following two useful corollaries to address the continuous pattern functions:

**Corollary 1 (Continuous-discrete transform for electric field):** Let \( \kappa := (\kappa_x, \kappa_y, \kappa_z) \) denote the wavenumber and \( \mathcal{F} \{ \cdot \} (\kappa) \) denote the Fourier transform of its argument on surface \( \mathcal{S_T} \) at wavenumber \( \kappa \). By adopting Fourier series expansion for pattern function \( \theta_j(s) \), the coupled Green function \( G_k(s) \) and pattern function \( \theta_j(s) \) in integral \( \int_{\mathcal{S_T}} ds \), i.e., the electric field, can be equivalently rewritten as

\[
\int_{\mathcal{S_T}} G_k(s) \theta_j(s) \, ds = \sum_{n} \Omega_{k,n} w_{j,n},
\]

\[
\forall k, j \in \{1, \cdots, K\},
\]

where

\[
\Omega_{k,n} = \mathcal{F} \{ G_k(s) \} (\kappa_n) = \int_{\mathcal{S_T}} G_k(s) \Psi_n(s) \, ds,
\]

in which \( \Omega_{k,n} \in \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3} \) is exactly the Fourier transform of Green function \( G_k(s) \) on the CAP-MIMO aperture \( \mathcal{S_T} \) at wavenumber \( \kappa_n = (2\pi n_x/L_x, 2\pi n_y/L_y, 2\pi n_z/L_z) \). In particular, according to Parseval’s theorem, the following equation naturally holds:

\[
\int_{\mathcal{S_T}} \| G_k(s) \|_F^2 \, ds = \sum_n \| \Omega_{k,n} \|_F^2,
\]

where \( \| G_k(s) \|_F^2 \) can be viewed as the channel gain in the spatial domain at position \( s \) and \( \| \Omega_{k,n} \|_F^2 \) can be viewed as the channel gain in the wavenumber domain at wavenumber \( \kappa_n \).

**Corollary 2 (Continuous-discrete transform for power constraint):** According to Parseval’s theorem, the Euclidean norm of pattern function \( \theta_k(s) \) in integral \( \int_{\mathcal{S_T}} ds \), i.e., the transmit power of \( x_k \), can be equivalently rewritten as

\[
\int_{\mathcal{S_T}} \| \theta_k(s) \|_F^2 \, ds = \sum_n \| w_{k,n} \|_F^2, \quad \forall k \in \{1, \cdots, K\},
\]

which guarantees the conservation of system energy.

Utilizing the continuous-discrete transforms in Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, the continuous functions \( \{ G_k \}_{k=1}^{K} \) and \( \{ \theta_k(s) \}_{k=1}^{K} \) can be replaced by their projection lengths \( \{ \Omega_{k,n} \}_{k=1}^{K} \) and \( \{ w_{k,n} \}_{k=1}^{K} \) in wavenumber space, respectively. Thus, the functional programming [26] can be reformulated as a common digital signal processing problem. However, since the number of expansion items is infinite as shown in (14), (16) and (17), the optimization of projection lengths \( \{ w_{k,n} \}_{k=1}^{K} \) is still unacceptable for practical computing devices. Therefore, how to address the infinite expansion items becomes a critical issue for the pattern design of CAP-MIMO.

Fortunately, thanks to the inherent physical properties of Green function \( G_k(s) \), some recent works have revealed that, the value of \( \| \Omega_{k,n} \|_F^2 \), i.e., the channel gain in the
wavenumber domain, is high in the low-wavenumber band and negligible in the high-wavenumber band in most cases. For example, the authors in [11] have shown by simulations that, in a typical system with two linear-aperture CAP-MIMO transceivers, the wavenumber-domain channel gain within the band of \([-\kappa_0, \kappa_0]\) is usually much higher than that within the other bands [11, Fig. 4]. By deriving the closed-form expression of \(\mathcal{F}\{G_k(s)\}\), the authors in [30] have analytically proved that, the wavenumber-domain channel gain within the band of \([-\kappa_0, \kappa_0]\) dominates in the whole wavenumber domain [30, Fig. 2, Fig. 3]. Some works on channel modeling have also pointed out that, the small-scale fading of CAP-MIMO channel can be modeled by the sum of finite Fourier plane-wave representations, which also implies that ignoring high-wavenumber expansion items has little impact on channel quality [9, Eq. (39)].

To observe the above fact, here we take a specific scenario for example. For simplicity, we consider a single-user CAP-MIMO system working at frequency \(f\), where the CAP-MIMO transmitter is deployed on the x-axis with aperture \(S_T = \{(s_x, s_y, s_z) | s_x \leq 0.5 \text{ m}, s_y = 0, s_z = 0\}\) and the user is located at \((0, 0, d)\). Then, we plot the normalized channel gain \(\|\mathcal{F}\{G_k(s)\}\|^2_p\) against the normalized wavenumber \(\kappa_x/\kappa_0\) in Fig. 3 (a) and Fig. 3 (b) with different setups. From these two figures, one can observe that, for all our considered setups, the channel gain within the band of \(\kappa_x \in [-\kappa_0, \kappa_0]\) dominates in the wavenumber domain. Particularly, when \(|\kappa_x/\kappa_0| > 1\), compared with \(|\kappa_x/\kappa_0| = 0\), the wavenumber-domain channel gain suffers a large loss of about -30 dB, which is in agreement with the results in existing works [9], [11], [30].

As a result, these facts inspire us to approximate the Fourier expansion series of Green functions with finite low-wavenumber and high-power items which satisfy \(2\pi \frac{\kappa_0}{L_x} \leq \kappa_0\), \(2\pi \frac{\kappa_0}{L_y} \leq \kappa_0\), and \(2\pi \frac{\kappa_0}{L_z} \leq \kappa_0\) and ignore those high-wavenumber and low-power items, which actually utilizes the idea of compressed sensing [31]. In this way, we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 1 (Finite-item approximation of expansion series):** Define \(N := (N_x, N_y, N_z)\) with \(N_x, N_y, N_z\) being the numbers of the reserved expansion items on the x-, y-, and z-axis, respectively. Let \(\sum_{n=1}^{N_x} \sum_{j=1}^{N_y} \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} = -N_x = -N_y = -N_z\), for notation simplification. Then, the electric field in (14) and the transmit power in (17) can be approximated by

\[
\int_{S_T} G_k(s) \theta_j(s) ds \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N_x} \sum_{j=1}^{N_y} \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} \Omega_{k,n} w_{j,n}, \forall k, j \in \{1, \ldots, K\},
\]

\[
\int_{S_T} \|\theta_k(s)\|^2 ds \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N_x} \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} \|w_{k,n}\|^2, \forall k \in \{1, \ldots, K\},
\]

where the numbers of reserved expansion items \(N\) can be set to

\[
N_x = \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa_0 L_x}{2\pi} \right\rfloor, \quad N_y = \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa_0 L_y}{2\pi} \right\rfloor, \quad N_z = \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa_0 L_z}{2\pi} \right\rfloor.
\]

**Proof:** Employ a truncation operation on (14) and (17), and then find the minimum positive integers \(N_x, N_y, N_z\) subject to \(2\pi \frac{\kappa_0}{L_x} \geq \kappa_0, 2\pi \frac{\kappa_0}{L_y} \geq \kappa_0, \) and \(2\pi \frac{\kappa_0}{L_z} \geq \kappa_0\). ■

Exploiting the above proposition, the total number of expansion items becomes finite, which is acceptable for the practical computing devices. For example, when \(L_x = 0.5 \text{ m}\) and \(f = 2.4 \text{ GHz}\), the number of reserved items on the x-axis can be chosen as \(N_x = \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa_0 L_x}{2\pi} \right\rfloor = 4\). In this way, it becomes feasible to design the continuous pattern functions \(\{\theta_k(s)\}_{k=1}^{K}\) for CAP-MIMO via optimizing the finite projection lengths \(\{w_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^{K}\) in the wavenumber space.

**B. Performance Analysis of Developed PDM Technique**

Since the developed PDM includes a finite-item approximation as shown in Proposition 1, the CAP-MIMO system will...
suffer a performance loss. Nevertheless, it can be expected from Corollary 1 and Corollary 2 that, when the number of the reserved expansion items increases, this performance loss will approach zero asymptotically. In this subsection, to show the PDM’s capability of approaching the ideal solution asymptotically, we analyze the performance loss caused by the finite-item approximation. To this end, in order to make the problem analytically tractable and get insightful results, here we consider a simplified CAP-MIMO system with single user \( K = 1 \), while the general multi-user case will be studied in Section IV.

1) Achievable user signal-to-noise ratio (SNR): To analyze the performance loss caused by the finite-item approximation while employing PDM, we first study the achievable user SNR in the ideal case. Since \( K = 1 \), we can denote the Green function and the pattern function by \( G(s) := G_1(s) \) and \( \theta(s) := \theta_1(s) \), respectively. Then, the original sum-rate maximization problem \( P_s \) in (10) can be equivalently reformulated as the following SNR maximization problem:

\[
\mathcal{P}_s : \max_{\psi, \theta(s)} \gamma = \frac{\psi^H \int_{S_T} G(s) \theta(s) ds}{\psi^H \psi \sigma^2},
\]

\[
\text{s.t. } \int_{S_T} \|\theta(s)\|^2 ds \leq P_T,
\]

where \( \gamma \) is the user SNR and \( \psi \in \mathbb{C}^3 \) denotes the electromagnetic-wave combiner at the user, as shown in Fig. 2 (b). Different from most CAP-MIMO systems whose performance limits are difficult to obtain due to the non-convex functional programings, we prove that the single-user CAP-MIMO system has a closed-form and optimal pattern design, as shown below:

**Lemma 3** (Achievable user SNR): The maximum achievable SNR of the single-user CAP-MIMO system, i.e., the optimal solution to problem \( \mathcal{P}_s \) in (20), can be expressed as

\[
\gamma^{\text{opt}} = \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} \lambda_{\text{max}} \left\{ \int_{S_T} \mathbf{G}(s) \mathbf{G}^H(s) ds \right\},
\]

where \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \{ \cdot \} \) denotes the maximum eigenvalue of its argument. In particular, the optimal user SNR \( \gamma^{\text{opt}} \) can be achieved when

\[
\theta(s) = \frac{\sqrt{P_T} \mathbf{G}^H(s) \xi_{\text{max}} \left\{ \int_{S_T} \mathbf{G}(s') \mathbf{G}^H(s') ds' \right\}}{\sqrt{\int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{G}(s')\|_F^2 \xi_{\text{max}} \left\{ \int_{S_T} \mathbf{G}(s') \mathbf{G}^H(s') ds' \right\}^2 ds}},
\]

\[
\psi = \frac{\xi_{\text{max}} \left\{ \int_{S_T} \mathbf{G}(s) \mathbf{G}^H(s) ds \right\}}{\xi_{\text{max}} \left\{ \int_{S_T} \mathbf{G}(s) \mathbf{G}^H(s) ds \right\}},
\]

where \( \xi_{\text{max}} \{ \cdot \} \) denotes the eigenvector corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue of its argument.

**Proof:** Please see Appendix B.

**Remark 2:** When the user is located in the far-field region, Green function \( \mathbf{G}(s) \) takes the similar amplitude for all \( s \in S_T \), thus we have \( \mathbf{G}^H(s) \mathbf{G}(s) \approx \mathbf{G}^H \mathbf{G} \). At this time, it can be easily derived from (20) that, the achievable user SNR can be approximated by

\[
\gamma^{\text{opt}} \approx \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} A_T \|\mathbf{G}^H \mathbf{G}\|,
\]

can be viewed as the gain of channel between the CAP-MIMO transmitter and the user. One can find that, the achievable user SNR \( \gamma^{\text{opt}} \) is approximately proportional to the transmit SNR \( \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} \) and the area of CAP-MIMO aperture \( A_T \).

2) Performance loss caused by finite-item approximation: By combining Corollary 1 and Lemma 3, the achievable user SNR in the ideal case, i.e., \( \gamma^{\text{opt}} \) in (21), can be equivalently rewritten as

\[
\gamma^{\text{opt}} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\| \sum_{n} \Omega_n \mathbf{w}_n \right\|^2,
\]

where \( \Omega_n \) and \( \mathbf{w}_n \) are the Fourier transform of \( \mathbf{G}(s) \) on surface \( S_T \) and the inverse Fourier transform of \( \psi(s) \) at wavenumber \( \kappa_n \), respectively, written as

\[
\Omega_n = \int_{S_T} \mathbf{G}(s) \mathbf{\Psi}_n(s) ds,
\]

\[
\mathbf{w}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{A_T}} \int_{S_T} \psi(s) \mathbf{\Psi}_n^*(s) ds.
\]

After employing the finite-item approximation in Proposition 1 for \( \gamma^{\text{opt}} \) in (23), the non-ideal achievable SNR \( \hat{\gamma} \) can be written as:

\[
\hat{\gamma} = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\| \sum_{n} \Omega_n \mathbf{w}_n \right\|^2.
\]

To analytically evaluate the impact of the finite-item approximation in Proposition 1 on the system performance, here we define a new metric called SNR loss: \( \Delta := \gamma^{\text{opt}} - \hat{\gamma} \). Then, our goal is to derive the upper bound of the SNR loss \( \Delta \) for a given \( N \), which characterizes the worst-case performance loss caused by the finite-item approximation in Proposition 1. By exploiting some inequality techniques, we obtain the following lemma:

**Lemma 4** (Upper bound of SNR loss): Given the numbers of the reserved Fourier expansion items \( N = (N_x, N_y, N_z) \), the SNR loss \( \Delta \) can be upper-bounded by

\[
\Delta \leq \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} \sqrt{1 - \eta} \int_{S_T} \|\mathbf{G}(s)\|_F^2 ds,
\]

where \( \eta \in [0, 1] \) is defined as

\[
\eta = \frac{\sum_{n} \|\Omega_n\|_F^2}{\sum_{n} \|\Omega_n\|_F^2},
\]

which can be regarded as a threshold describing the degree of finite-item approximation.

**Proof:** Please see Appendix C.

**Remark 3:** From (27) we can observe that, the upper bound of the SNR loss \( \Delta \) is approximately proportional to the transmit SNR \( \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} \) and the aperture area \( A_T \) of CAP-MIMO, which is similar to the observations in Lemma 3. It implies that, for a given \( N \), larger aperture area \( A_T \) will lead to larger performance loss. This explains why \( N \) should be set according to the aperture size, as shown in (19). Besides, when the number of the reserved expansion series \( N \) increases, i.e., \( \eta \rightarrow 1 \), the upper bound of the SNR loss \( \Delta \) gradually goes to zero. It indicates that, despite the existence of the finite-item approximation, the performance loss can be artificially controlled by setting an acceptable \( N \) while employing the
developed PDM. In this way, the pattern design scheme based on PDM is promising to approach the ideal solution asymptotically.

IV. PROPOSED PATTERN DESIGN SCHEME BASED ON PDM TECHNIQUE

In this section, to show how to design CAP-MIMO patterns through the developed PDM technique, we propose a BCD based pattern design scheme to solve the sum-rate maximization problem $\mathcal{P}_o$ in (10) as a typical example. Specifically, in Subsection IV-A, we present the whole process of the scheme design. Then, in Subsection IV-B, the convergence and computational complexity of the proposed pattern design scheme are discussed.

A. Proposed Pattern Design Scheme for Sum-Rate Maximization

In this subsection, we propose a BCD based pattern design scheme to solve the sum-rate maximization problem $\mathcal{P}_o$ in (10). Firstly, we consider to decouple the continuous pattern functions by adopting an equivalent transform for sum-rate maximization problem [32, Theorem 1], and we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 5 (Equivalent problem for sum-rate maximization): By introducing auxiliary variables $\rho = [\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_K]^T \in \mathbb{R}^K_+$ and the combining vectors $\psi := \{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^K$ for all $K$ users, the original sum-rate maximization problem $\mathcal{P}_o$ in (10) can be equivalently reformulated as

$$\mathcal{P}_1 : \max_{\rho, \psi, \theta(s)} R'_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{k=1}^K \log_2 \rho_k - \frac{1}{\ln 2} \ln \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \rho_k E_k + \frac{K}{\ln 2} \right),$$

s.t. $\sum_{k=1}^K \int_{\mathcal{S}_T} \|\theta_k(s)\|^2 \, ds \leq P_T$, \hspace{1cm} (29a)

$$\mathcal{P}_2 : \max_{\psi, \theta(s)} \sum_{k=1}^K \log_2 \rho_k E_k + \frac{K}{\ln 2},$$

s.t. $\sum_{k=1}^K \int_{\mathcal{S}_T} \|\theta_k(s)\|^2 \, ds \leq P_T$, \hspace{1cm} (29b)

where $\psi_k \in \mathbb{C}^3$ is the electromagnetic-wave combiner at user $k$ as shown in Fig. 2 (b), and $E_k$ is the mean-square error (MSE) of the decoded symbol $\hat{x}_k = \psi_k^H y_k$, defined as

$$E_k = \mathbb{E}_{x,n} \left\{ \|x_k - \hat{x}_k\|^2 \right\} = 1 - \int_{\mathcal{S}_T} \psi_k^H G_k(s) \theta_k(s) \, ds + \sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^K \left\| \psi_k^H G_k(s) \theta_j(s) \, ds \right\|^2 + \sigma^2 \|\psi_k\|^2. \hspace{1cm} (30)$$

To solve the equivalent problem $\mathcal{P}_1$ in (29), similar to conventional MIMO beamforming, a scheme of pattern design can be established by optimizing variables $\rho$, combiners $\psi$, and continuous pattern functions $\theta(s)$ alternatively until the convergence of sum-rate $R_{\text{sum}}$. For clarity, we summarize the whole process of this pattern design scheme in Algorithm 1, where the updates of $\rho$, $\psi$, and $\theta(s)$ are introduced in the following three parts, respectively.

1) Fix $\psi$ and $\theta(s)$, then optimize $\rho$: While fixing the user combiners $\psi$ and the CAP-MIMO pattern functions $\theta(s)$, the optimal solution to the auxiliary variables $\rho$ can be obtained by setting $\frac{\partial R'_{\text{sum}}}{\partial \rho_k}$ to zero for all $k \in \{1, \cdots, K\}$, written as

$$\rho_k^\text{opt} = E_k^{-1}, \quad k \in \{1, \cdots, K\}. \hspace{1cm} (31)$$

2) Fix $\rho$ and $\theta(s)$, then optimize $\psi$: While fixing the auxiliary variables $\rho$ and the pattern functions $\theta(s)$ of CAP-MIMO, after removing the unrelated components in problem $\mathcal{P}_1$ in (29), the subproblem of optimizing the user combiners $\psi$ can be reformulated as

$$\mathcal{P}_2 : \max_{\psi} - \sum_{k=1}^K \psi_k^H A_k \psi_k + 2 \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbb{E} \{ \psi_k^H \beta_k \},$$

where $A_k$ and $\beta_k$ are defined as

$$A_k = \rho_k \int_{\mathcal{S}_T} G_k(s) \theta_j(s) \, ds \left( \int_{\mathcal{S}_T} G_k(s') \theta_j(s') \, ds' \right)^H + \rho_k \sigma^2 I_3,$$ \hspace{1cm} (33a)

$$\beta_k = \rho_k \int_{\mathcal{S}_T} G_k(s) \theta(s) \, ds. \hspace{1cm} (33b)$$

Note that, subproblem $\mathcal{P}_2$ in (32) is a standard convex quadratic programming (QP), thus the optimal solution to $\psi$ can be easily calculated as

$$\psi_k^\text{opt} = A_k^{-1} \beta_k, \quad k \in \{1, \cdots, K\}. \hspace{1cm} (34)$$

3) Fix $\rho$ and $\psi$, then optimize $\theta(s)$: Given fixed auxiliary variables $\rho$ and the user combiners $\psi$, after removing the unrelated components in problem $\mathcal{P}_1$ in (29), the subproblem of optimizing the continuous pattern functions $\theta(s)$ can be reformulated as

$$\mathcal{P}_3 : \max_{\theta(s)} \sum_{k=1}^K \rho_k g_k(\theta(s)), \hspace{1cm} (35a)$$

s.t. $\sum_{k=1}^K \int_{\mathcal{S}_T} \|\theta_k(s)\|^2 \, ds \leq P_T$, \hspace{1cm} (35b)

Algorithm 1 Proposed pattern design scheme for sum-rate maximization.

**Input:** Green functions $G_k(s)$ with respect to $s \in \mathcal{S}_T$ for all users $k \in \{1, \cdots, K\}$.

**Output:** Optimized sum-rate $R_{\text{sum}}$; optimized combiners $\psi$ at users; optimized patterns $\theta(s)$ on the aperture of CAP-MIMO transmitter.

1. Initialize $\psi$ and $\theta(s)$;
2. **while** No convergence of $R_{\text{sum}}$ **do**
3. Update $\rho$ by (31);
4. Update $\psi$ by (34);
5. Update $w$ by (40) and (41);
6. Update $\theta(s)$ by (42);
7. Update $R_{\text{sum}}$ by (10a);
8. **end while**
9. **return** Optimized $R_{\text{sum}}^\text{opt}$, $\psi^\text{opt}$, and $\theta^\text{opt}(s)$. 

In this way, the pattern design scheme based on PDM is promising to approach the ideal solution asymptotically.

...
where the function $g_k (\theta (s))$ is defined as
\[
g_k (\theta (s)) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left| \int_{S_T} \psi^H_k G_k(s) \theta_j (s) ds \right|^2 - 2 \Re \left\{ \int_{S_T} \psi^H_k G_k(s) \theta_k (s) ds \right\}.
\] (36)

To address the challenging functional programming shown in (35), we consider to employ the continuous-discrete transforms in Corollary 1 and Corollary 2, as well as the finite-item approximation in Proposition 1, to address the continuous Green functions and pattern functions. In this way, problem $P_3$ in (35) can be reformulated as
\[
P_4 : \max_{w} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_k \hat{g}_k (w),
\] (37a)
subject to
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{n} \|w_{k,n}\|^2 \leq P_T,
\] (37b)
where we have defined $w$ as the set of all projection lengths $w_{k,n}$ and
\[
\hat{g}_k (w) = \sum_{n} \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left| \psi^H k \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left| \psi^H k \right|^2 - 2 \Re \left\{ \sum_{n} \psi^H k \psi_k \right\},
\] (38)
in which $h_{k,n} := \Omega^H k \psi_k$.

To simplify notations, we define $h_k$ and $w_k$ as the vectorized sets of $h_{k,n}$ and $w_{k,n}$ for all $n = (n_x, n_y, n_z) \in \{-N_x, \cdots, N_x\}, \{-N_y, \cdots, N_y\}, \{-N_z, \cdots, N_z\}$, respectively. In this way, the optimization problem $P_4$ in (37) can be equivalently reorganized as
\[
P_5 : \max_{w} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \rho_k \left( \sum_{j=1}^{K} |h^H_{k,j} w_j|^2 - 2 \Re \left\{ h^H_{k} w_k \right\} \right),
\] (39a)
subject to
\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \|w_k\|^2 \leq P_T,
\] (39b)
which is a standard quadratically constrained quadratic programming (QCQP). By adopting Lagrange multiplier method [33], the optimal solution to problem $P_5$ in (39) can be obtained by
\[
w^\text{opt}_k = \rho_k \left( \rho_k \sum_{j=1}^{K} h^H_{j} h_j + \zeta \Omega_{1NF} \right)^{-1} h_k, \ \forall k \in \{1, \cdots, K\},
\] (40)
wherein $\Omega_{1NF} := (2N_x+1)(2N_y+1)(2N_z+1)$ is the total number of the reserved Fourier expansion items. Note that, $\zeta$ is the Lagrange multiplier, which should be chosen such that the complementarity slackness condition of the power constraint (39b) is satisfied, i.e.,
\[
\zeta^\text{opt} = \min \left\{ \zeta \geq 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{K} \|w_k\|^2 \leq P_T \right\}.
\] (41)
One-dimensional binary search can be an efficient way to solve (41) and obtain the optimal Lagrange multiplier $\zeta^\text{opt}$ [32].

Finally, after calculating the optimal projection lengths $\{w^\text{opt}_k\}_{k=1}^{K}$, according to Lemma 2, the final solution to the patterns on CAP-MIMO aperture can be obtained by
\[
\theta^\text{opt}_t (s) = \sum_{n} w^\text{opt}_{k,n} \psi_n (s), \ s \in S_T,
\] (42)
which completes the proposed BCD based pattern design scheme.

B. Convergence and Complexity Analysis

1) Convergence: The convergence of the proposed pattern design scheme is asymptotic due to the finite-item approximation as shown in Proposition 1. Specifically, here we introduce superscript $t$ as the iteration index for Algorithm 1, e.g., $\theta^t (s)$ refers to the set of pattern functions at the end of the $t$-th iteration. Thus, the convergence of Algorithm 1 can be summarized as follows:
\[
R_{\text{sum}}^t (\rho^{t+1}, \psi^{t+1}, \theta^{t+1} (s)) \geq R_{\text{sum}}^t (\rho^{t+1}, \psi^{t+1}, \theta^t (s)) \geq R_{\text{sum}}^t (\rho^{t+1}, \psi^t, \theta^t (s)),
\] (43)
where (b) and (c) follow since the updates of auxiliary variable $\rho$ in (31) and receiver combiner $\psi$ in (34) are monotonous, while (a) follows when the number of reserved expansion items $N_F$ is sufficiently large. It is because a performance gap exists between problem $P_3$ in (35) and problem $P_4$ in (37), which is caused by the finite-item approximation in (18). When $N_F$ increases, this performance gap can be close to zero gradually according to Lemma 4, which ensures the convergence of Algorithm 1. In later simulations, we will show that, for a CAP-MIMO with aperture area of $A_T = 0.25$ m$^2$, even when $N_F = 9$, the proposed pattern design scheme still has a well performed convergence with each iteration being monotonous.

2) Complexity: The computational complexity of the proposed pattern design scheme is mainly introduced by the updates of variables $\rho$, $\psi$, $w$, and pattern functions $\theta (s)$, as shown in (31), (34), (40), and (42), respectively. Let $I_s$ denote the sampling number of the continuous integral operation $\int_{S_T} ds$. Then, the computational complexity of updating auxiliary variable $\rho$ is $O (12K^2 I_s + K^2 + 4K)$, which is mainly caused by the calculation of MSE $E_{\rho}$. The complexity of updating combining vector $\psi$ is $O (10K^2 I_s + K^2 + 21K)$, which is due to the matrix inversion in (34). Different from the updates of $\rho$ and $\psi$ with closed-form expressions, the update of $w$ requires solving QCQP in (39). Thus, for a given accuracy tolerance $\varepsilon$, the complexity of updating $w$ is $O (\log_2 (1/\varepsilon) \sqrt{N_F + 1(1 + 2N_F)N_F^2})$, which is caused by the matrix inversion in (40) and the one-dimensional binary search for optimal $\zeta^\text{opt}$. Finally, the complexity of updating $\theta (s)$ is $O (I_s N_F)$, which is caused by the discrete-continuous transform as shown in (42). In general, since the aperture of CAP-MIMO is nearly continuous, it is reasonable to assume $I_s \gg K$ and $N_F \gg K$. Thus, the overall computational complexity of the proposed pattern design scheme can be approximated by $O (I_s I_T K^2 + \log_2 (1/\varepsilon) I_s N_F^3)$, wherein
$I_\sigma$ is the iteration number required by the convergence of sum-rate $R_{\text{sum}}$.

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Simulation Setup

1) Simulation scenario: For the simulation scenario, we consider a 3-D scenario with the topology shown in Fig.
4, where one CAP-MIMO transmitter serves $K = 8$ users simultaneously. Following the same setup in [14], we assume that the CAP-MIMO transmitter is deployed on the $xy$-plane with its center located at $(0, 0, 0)$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{S}_T := \left\{ (s_x, s_y, s_z) \mid |s_x| \leq \frac{L_x}{2}, |s_y| \leq \frac{L_y}{2}, s_z = 0 \right\},$$

where the CAP-MIMO aperture has a square shape with the area of $A_T = 0.25$ m², i.e., $L_x = L_y = 0.5$ m. Particularly, all users are located in a square region, where four of them are located at $(\pm 1 \text{ m}, \pm 1 \text{ m}, 30 \text{ m})$, and the other four are located at $(\pm 5 \text{ m}, \pm 5 \text{ m}, 30 \text{ m})$, respectively.

2) Simulation parameters: For simulation parameters, the frequency of information-carrying current density $j(s)$ and electric field $\mathbf{e}(r)$ is set to $f = 2.4$ GHz, and the intrinsic impedance is set to $Z_0 = 376.73$ Ω [27]. Unless specially specified, the maximum transmit power of CAP-MIMO is set to $P_T = 100$ mW, and the noise power is set to $\sigma^2 = 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$ V²/m². Green functions $\{G_k(s)\}_{k=1}^K$ are generated by the free-space channel model (5). The sampling number of integral $\int_{\mathcal{S}_T} ds$ is set to $I_s = 1024$. To show the impact of finite-element approximation in Proposition 1, here we consider three different setups for the finite-element approximation of expansion series: (i) $N_x = N_y = 7$ and $N_z = 0$ (i.e., $N_F = 225$); (ii) $N_x = N_y = 4$ and $N_z = 0$ (i.e., $N_F = 81$); (iii) $N_x = N_y = 1$ and $N_z = 0$ (i.e., $N_F = 9$). Note that, for the considered aperture $\mathcal{S}_T$ in (44), setup (ii) is exactly the setting of $N$ provided in (19), i.e.,

$$\left[ \frac{N_x L_x}{2 \pi} \right] = 4.$$ 

All pattern functions $\{\theta_k(s)\}_{k=1}^K$ and user combiners $\{\psi_k\}_{k=1}^K$ are randomly initialized by the standard complex-Gaussian stochastic processes and variables, respectively.

3) Simulation benchmarks: For the benchmark scheme, we consider the WDM scheme recently proposed in [11] for comparison. Specifically, the key idea of WDM is to directly adopt multiple different orthogonal Fourier basis functions as the patterns for different users. In this way, the symbols for different users are actually modulated on different wavenumbers of the radiated electromagnetic waves thus achieving multi-stream transmission, which is similar to the frequency-division multiplexing in conventional MIMO systems. By contrast, the developed PDM designs the patterns for multiple users according to the channel state information, which resembles the space-division multiplexing in conventional MIMO systems. Furthermore, to evaluate the interference cancellation capability of different schemes, similar to the ideal assumption in multi-user MIMO systems, we consider the interference-free sum-rate as the upper bound for comparison, which is realized by ideally assuming all inter-user interferences are fully canceled and then employing the proposed pattern design scheme under setup (i) of $N$, i.e., $N_F = 225$.

B. Sum-Rate Against the Aperture Area $A_T$

To show the impact of CAP-MIMO aperture area on the system performance, we first plot the sum-rate against the aperture area $A_T$ in Fig. 5, where the aperture shape of CAP-MIMO always remains a square, i.e., $L_x = L_y$. From this figure, we have the following three observations.

Firstly, compared with the existing WDM, the proposed PDM achieves a higher sum-rate. For example, when $A_T = 1$ m², the sum-rate achieved by the proposed scheme is 17.90 bps/Hz, which is about 300% higher than 4.53 bps/Hz achieved by WDM. The reason is that, the WDM scheme directly uses $K$ different orthogonal Fourier basis functions to generate $K$ patterns for multiple users. Although this scheme can make different symbols orthogonal at the transmitter, their orthogonality cannot be guaranteed at the users. After passing through the channels, the orthogonality among symbols may be destroyed, which will result in high inter-user interference or even make the radiated electromagnetic wave unable to
reach the users accurately. By contrast, the proposed PDM jointly designs the patterns for $K$ users according to the specific channel state information. During the process of pattern design, the proposed scheme actually makes a trade-off between the amplification of desired signals and the cancellation of inter-user interferences. In this way, the electromagnetic waves carrying symbols can be focused on the users accurately with stronger orthogonality, and thus higher sum-rate can be achieved.

Secondly, the proposed PDM achieves different performances while given different setups of $N$ for finite-item approximation. Particularly, as the aperture area $A_T$ increases, the PDM scheme under setup $N_F = 9$ suffers an increasingly large performance loss compared with those under setups $N_F = 81$ and $N_F = 225$. The reason is that, the number of the reserved expansion items $N_F = 9$ is so small that the finite-item approximation in (18) cannot well approximate the fully controllable pattern functions $\{\theta_k(s)\}_{k=1}^{K}$. In this case, the optimizable DoFs of the pattern functions $\{\theta_k(s)\}_{k=1}^{K}$ are limited, which adversely influences the sum-rate maximization.

Finally, compared with the PDM scheme under $N_F = 81$, that under $N_F = 225$ achieves almost negligible performance improvement. It implies that, for the considered aperture $S_T$ in (44), when $N_F = 81$, the number of optimizable DoFs has been sufficiently large that the continuous pattern functions $\{\theta_k(s)\}_{k=1}^{K}$ can be well designed, thus there is no need to approximate the pattern functions with larger $N_F = 225$. The reason is that, the wavenumber-domain channel gain of CAP-MIMO dominates within the low-wavenumber band of $[-\kappa_0, \kappa_0]$, as shown in Fig. 3. Thus, ignoring the high-wavenumber items of Fourier expansion series has very limited impact on pattern designs, which demonstrates the effectiveness of the setup of $N$ provided in (19).

C. Sum-Rate Against the Transmit Power $P_T$

To show the impact of transmit power on the system performance, we plot the sum-rate against the maximum transmit power $P_T$ in Fig. 6. We have the following two observations.

Firstly, for all considered schemes, the achievable sum-rate increases quickly as the transmit power becomes higher, while the proposed PDM always outperforms the existing WDM in the considered range of transmit power. For example, when the transmit power $P_T$ is $10^3 \text{ mA}^2$, the maximum sum-rate achieved by WDM scheme is 5.96 bps/Hz, while that achieved by the proposed PDM scheme is about 15.96 bps/Hz, which achieves an improvement of about 168%. Secondly, we note that, the performance gaps, including the gap between the upper bound and the proposed scheme and the gap between the proposed scheme and WDM scheme, become larger as the maximum transmit power $P_T$ gets higher. The reason behind this phenomenon is that, the performance gaps among these three schemes highly depend on the inter-user interferences, and the sum-rate is simultaneously influenced by the inter-user interferences and the noise. When the transmit power is low, the inter-user interferences are accordingly low, thus the noise dominates, which makes the performance gaps among these schemes small. By contrast, when the transmit power of CAP-MIMO increases, the interferences will be larger, and finally dominate in the undesired factors for sum-rate improvement.

D. Patterns $\theta(s)$ of CAP-MIMO

To show the pattern functions optimized by the proposed pattern design scheme, by fixing $N_F = 81$, we present the normalized amplitude of the x-component of the optimized patterns $\theta_k(s)$ for the former four users in Fig. 7, and their phase in Fig. 8, respectively. From these two figures, it is interesting to observe that, after optimizing the patterns (i.e., the current distributions) via the proposed scheme, the patterns for different users are nearly orthogonal. For example, as shown in Fig. 7, the power of the patterns carrying different symbols are mainly distributed in non-overlapping regions, in this way, the inter-user interferences at each user can be well eliminated. Besides, from Fig. 8 one can notice that, the phase
I. INTRODUCTION

The iteration number pattern design scheme, in Fig. 9, we plot the sum-rate against E. Convergence of the Proposed Pattern Design Scheme the effectiveness of the proposed pattern design scheme.

for the sum-rate improvement, which has also demonstrated both of these two figures have provided intuitive explanations orthogonal beams towards multiple users. We can conclude that, conventional MIMO beamforming, which aims to generate or-

to sum-rate improvement. However, after several iterations, the sum-rate achieved by the proposed pattern design scheme increases monotonously, even for the scheme under setup $N_F = 9$. For example, when $I_o = 2$, the sum-rate achieved by the proposed scheme is 5.10 bps/Hz, while that finally converges to about 6.70 bps/Hz when the iteration number $I_o$ is five. We can conclude that, despite the use of finite-item approximation in (18), the proposed scheme still enjoys a good convergence performance.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS

In this paper, we have developed PDM technique to design the CAP-MIMO patterns. Specifically, we have studied and modeled a multi-user CAP-MIMO system, which may provide a framework for some open problems, such as the analyses of channel DoFs and capacity [30]. The developed PDM is able to transform the design of the continuous pattern functions to the design of their projection lengths on finite orthogonal bases. Thus, PDM may serve as a signal processing framework for some technical problems in CAP-MIMO systems, such as channel estimation [16] and energy efficiency optimization [34]. Utilizing PDM, we have proposed a BCD based pattern design scheme to solve the formulated sum-rate maximization problem. Simulation results have shown that, the sum-rate achieved by the proposed scheme is about 300% higher than that achieved by the existing WDM scheme. In the future, the CAP-MIMO pattern design with lower complexity is important, and some modern tools like deep reinforcement learning [35] can be leveraged for the pattern design of CAP-MIMO in complex electromagnetic channels.

APPENDIX A

PROOF OF LEMMA 1

By integrating the radial component of the Poynting vector over a sphere with infinite-length radius, for a deterministic source in a given surface $S_T$, the physical radiation power can be upper-bounded by the integral of the Euclidean norm of $j(s)$ over $s \in S_T$ [27]. Here we extend this proof to the case in the sense of expectation, where the current distribution $j(s)$ is a stochastic process composed of multiple patterns that carry symbols x, as shown in (2).

Firstly, we calculate the Poynting vector $S(r)$ by its definition:

$$S(r) = \frac{1}{2Z_0} e^H(r)e(r)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2Z_0} \sum_{k=1}^{K} |x_k|^2 \left( \int_{S_T} G(r, s) \theta_k(s) ds \right)^2 +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2Z_0} \sum_{j \neq j'} x_{j'}^* x_j \left( \int_{S_T} G(r, s) \theta_j(s) ds \right)^H \int_{S_T} G(r, s) \theta_{j'}(s) ds,$$

(45)
where  \( \sum_{j' 
eq j} \Delta = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \sum_{j'=1,j 
eq j'}^{K} \). Utilizing  \( \mathbb{E}_x \{ xx^H \} = I_k \), the physical radiation power of CAP-MIMO  \( P_{\text{rad}} \) in the sense of expectation can be calculated as

\[
P_{\text{rad}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \mathbb{E}_x \left\{ \int_{\Omega} S(r) r^2 d\omega \right\}
\]

\[
= \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{1}{2Z_0} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{\Omega} \left\| \int_{C_T} G(r, s) \theta_k(s) (s) \right\|^2 r^2 d\omega,
\]

wherein  \( r = ||r|| \) and  \( \omega \in \Omega \) is the solid angle of 4\( \pi \) steradians. Note that, when  \( r \) is large enough, the Green function  \( G(r, s) \) can be approximated by

\[
G(r, s) = \frac{k_0^2 Z_0}{4\pi} e^{jkr} \left( I_3 - \frac{rr^H}{r^2} \right) e^{-jkr^T(\phi, \varphi)s},
\]

(47)

where  \( \phi \in [0, \pi) \) and  \( \varphi \in [-\pi, \pi) \) are the elevation angle and azimuth angle respectively, which is associated with points  \( r \) and  \( s \). Plane-wave wave vector  \( \kappa(\phi, \varphi) \) takes the form of  \( \kappa(\phi, \varphi) = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} [\cos \varphi \sin \phi, \sin \varphi \sin \phi, \cos \phi]^T \). By substituting (47) into (46), we obtain

\[
P_{\text{rad}} = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{k_0^2 Z_0}{2\omega^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{\Omega} \left\| \int_{C_T} \left( I_3 - \frac{rr^H}{r^2} \right) e^{-jkr^T(\phi, \varphi)s} \right\|^2 d\omega
\]

\[
\leq \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{k_0^2 Z_0}{2\omega^2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{\Omega} \left\| \theta_k(s) \right\|^2 d\omega
\]

(48)

where Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is applied to the right side of the equality to derive its upper bound. It can be observed from (48) that, the component related to  \( r \) is limited when  \( r \to \infty \), i.e.,

\[
0 < \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \int_{C_T} \left( I_3 - \frac{rr^H}{r^2} \right) e^{-jkr^T(\phi, \varphi)s} \right\|^2 d\omega < \infty.
\]

(49)

Therefore, the physical radiation power of CAP-MIMO can be upper-bounded by the component in (48) unrelated to  \( r \), written as

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{K} \int_{C_T} \left\| \theta_k(s) \right\|^2 ds \leq P_r,
\]

(50)

which completes the proof.

### APPENDIX B

**PROOF OF LEMMA 3**

Obviously, the optimal solution to  \( P_\gamma \) in (20) is achieved when  \( \int_{C_T} \left\| \theta(s) \right\|^2 ds = P_r \). Thus, by applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the objective of problem  \( P_\gamma \) in (20), we obtain

\[
\gamma = \frac{\int_{C_T} \psi^H G(s) \theta(s) ds}{\psi^H \psi \sigma^2}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{\int_{C_T} \left\| \psi^H G(s) \right\|^2 ds \int_{C_T} \left\| \theta(s) \right\|^2 ds}{\psi^H \psi \sigma^2}
\]

(51)

where equality (a) holds when

\[
\theta(s) = \sqrt{P_r} G(s)^H \psi \sqrt{\int_{C_T} \left\| G(s)^H \psi \right\|^2 ds'}
\]

(52)

Thus, problem  \( P_\gamma \) in (20) can be equivalently reformulated as

\[
\max_{\psi} \gamma = P_r \int_{C_T} \left\| \psi^H G(s) \right\|^2 ds
\]

\[
= P_r \psi^H \int_{C_T} G(s) G(s)^H ds \psi
\]

(53)

It is a standard Rayleigh quotient thus  \( \gamma \) can be maximized when the combiner  \( \psi \) takes the eigenvector corresponding to the maximum eigenvalue of matrix  \( \int_{C_T} G(s) G(s)^H ds \), written as

\[
\psi = \xi_{\text{max}} \left\{ \int_{C_T} G(s) G(s)^H ds \right\} \frac{1}{\xi_{\text{max}} \left\{ \int_{C_T} G(s) G(s)^H ds \right\}}.
\]

(54)

Then, the pattern design scheme in (22) can be obtained by substituting (54) into (52) and  \( \gamma^\text{opt} \) in (21) can be obtained by substituting (22) into (20), which completes the proof.

### APPENDIX C

**PROOF OF LEMMA 4**

For simplifying notations, here we define  \( \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} - \sum_{n=1}^{N} \). Then, the full derivation process of Lemma 4 is summarized as follows.

Firstly, by substituting  \( \gamma^\text{opt} \) in (23) and  \( \gamma \) in (26) into  \( \Delta = \gamma^\text{opt} - \gamma \), we obtain:

\[
\Delta = \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n w_n \right)^H \left( \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \Omega_n w_n \right) \right\}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \left\{ \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \Omega_n w_n \right\}^2
\]

(55)

where inequality (a) follows since  \( \mathbb{E} \{ z^H y \} \leq ||z|| \cdot ||y|| \) and (b) follows since  \( ||z|| - ||y|| \leq ||z - y|| \). Then, by substituting  \( w_n \) in (24) as well as  \( \theta(s) \) and  \( \psi \) in (22) into (55), we obtain:

\[
\Delta \leq \frac{1}{\sigma^2 A_T} \int_{\mathcal{J}_\psi} \left\| G(s)^H \psi \right\|^2 ds \int_{C_T} \int_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \Omega_n \psi_n(s) G(s) \psi ds ds
\]

\[
= P_r \int_{C_T} \left\| \psi^H G(s) \right\|^2 ds
\]

(51)
\[
\frac{1}{\sigma^2 A_T} \mathbb{E}_V \|G(s)\|^2 \leq \left\| \int_{S_T} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \Omega_n \Psi_n(s) G(s)^H(s) \psi(s) \, ds \right\|
\]

(56)

Next, by utilizing Cauchy-Schwarz inequality \( \left\| \int_{S_T} z^H y \, ds \right\| \leq \sqrt{\int_{S_T} \|z\|^2 \, ds} \sqrt{\int_{S_T} \|y\|^2 \, ds} \), we further obtain

\[
\Delta \leq \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2 A_T} \left( \int_{S_T} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \Omega_n \Psi_n(s)^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{S_T} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \Omega_n \Psi_n(s)^2 \, ds \right)^{1/2} + \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Omega_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|\Omega_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

(57)

where \((a)\) follows since \( \|z\| \leq \sum \|z\| \). Finally, the upper bound of \( \Delta \) can be derived as

\[
\Delta \leq \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Omega_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|\Omega_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2} + \frac{P_T}{\sigma^2} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Omega_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left( \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|\Omega_n\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

(58)

where \((a)\) follows since \( \|z\| \leq \|z\| \); \((b)\) holds since \( \eta = \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N} \|\Omega_n\|^2}{\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|\Omega_n\|^2} \); and \((c)\) holds according to Parseval’s theorem shown in (16). This completes the proof.
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