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For open quantum systems, environmental dissipative effect can be represented by statistical quasi-particles, namely dissipatons. We exploit this fact to establish the dissipaton thermofield theory. The resulting generalized Langevin dynamics of absorptive and emissive thermofield operators are effectively noise–resolved. Some universal relations are then readily followed between a important class of nonequilibrium steady–state correlation functions. All these relations are validated numerically. A simple corollary is the transport current expression, which exactly recovers the result obtained from the nonequilibrium Green’s function formalism.

I. INTRODUCTION

Thermal effects are of vital importance in various realms of physics, ranging from elementary particles to quantum devices and dictate the system–environment correlations, the thermodynamics and transport current, as demonstrated in this section. Understanding the thermal effects in strongly correlated systems is closely related to the coherent manipulations in spintronic and superconducting quantum interference devices. There are two major theoretical approaches to open quantum systems. One is the nonequilibrium Green’s function (NEGF) formalism, which is a type of correlation function approach, related to various quantum transport properties. Another is the real–time quantum dissipation method, such as the semi-group quantum master equations, Feynman–Vernon influence functional path integral theory, and its derivative equivalence the hierarchical equations of motion formalism. These traditional quantum dissipation theories primarily focus on reduced system dynamics, without revealing relations between various correlation functions, in particular those involving thermal environment modes.

In this work, we develop an alternative method, the dissipaton thermofield (DTF) theory. This is a real–time dynamic method, which also readily reveals relations between different correlation functions. The DTF theory goes with a generalized nonequilibrium Langevin equation description of the thermofield modes. We exploit the statistical quasi–particles (named as dissipatons) to characterize the non-Markovian influence of environments. The proposed DTF theory extends the conventional thermofield method with the quasi-particle dynamics picture. The resulting generalized Langevin dynamics of absorptive and emissive thermofield operators are effectively noise–resolved. This greatly facilitates establishing the relations between various nonequilibrium Green’s functions and transport current, as demonstrated in this work. Moreover, the DTF dynamics agrees well with the dissipaton equation of motion (DEOM) theory, a second–quantization version of the hierarchical equations of motion formalism. It is also noticed that DEOM is practically equivalent to the emerging discrete pseudo-mode semi-group form of the reduced core–system dynamics method. The proposed DTF theory that integrated with DEOM will serve as a versatile tool for the thermal effects in strongly correlated systems.

II. BACKGROUND

Let us start with the total system–plus–reservoirs composite Hamiltonian,

\[ H_T = H_S + H_{SB} + H_B, \quad \text{with} \quad H_{SB} = \sum_{\alpha,u} \hat{Q}_\alpha \hat{F}^\dagger_{\alpha u}. \]  (1)

Both the system Hamiltonian \( H_S \) and the dissipative system modes \( \{ \hat{Q}_\alpha \} \) are arbitrary, whereas the hybrid reservoir bath modes \( \{ \hat{F}_{\alpha u} \} \) assume to be linear. This together with noninteracting reservoir model of \( h_B = \sum_{\alpha} h_\alpha \) constitute the Gaussian environment ansatz. The environmental influence is fully characterized by the interacting bath reservoir correlation functions \( (t \geq 0) \):

\[ c_{\alpha}(t) = \{ c_{\alpha uv}(t) \equiv \langle \hat{F}^u_{\alpha u}(t) \hat{F}^v_{\alpha v}(0) \rangle_B \}. \]  (2)

Here, \( \hat{F}_{\alpha u}(t) \equiv e^{-i h_\alpha t} \hat{F}_{\alpha u} e^{-i h_\alpha t} = e^{i h_\alpha t} \hat{F}_{\alpha u} e^{-i h_\alpha t} \) and \( \langle (\cdot) \rangle_B = tr_B (\cdot) \rho_B^0 = \sum_{\alpha} [ e^{-\beta_\alpha h_\alpha / \hbar} \langle \cdot \rangle_g ] \). The corresponding response functions are

\[ \phi_{\alpha}(t) = \{ \phi_{\alpha uv}(t) \equiv i [ \langle \hat{F}^u_{\alpha u}(t) \hat{F}^v_{\alpha v}(0) \rangle_B ] \}, \]  (3)

satisfying \( \phi_{\alpha uv}(t) = i [ c_{\alpha uv}(t) - c_{\alpha vu}(t) ] \). Inversely, \( c_{\alpha}(t) \) can also determined by \( \phi_{\alpha}(t) \) via the fluctuation–dissipation theorem.

Consider now the \( H_T \)-based Heisenberg picture of the hybrid bath modes. It is easy to obtain

\[ \hat{F}_{\alpha u}(t) = \hat{F}_{\alpha u}^0(t) - \sum_v \int_0^t d\tau \phi_{\alpha uv}(t - \tau) \hat{Q}_\nu(\tau), \]  (4)

with the Langevin random force \( \hat{F}_{\alpha u}^0(t) \) on the local system dissipative mode \( \hat{Q}_\alpha \); see Eq. (1). In other
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words, Eq. (4) is the precursor to conventional quantum Langevin equation. It together with \([\hat{F}_{au}(t), \hat{Q}_v(0)] = 0\) give rise to the system–bath entanglement theorem for response functions, which is a type of input–output relations in the total composite space. On the other hand, \(\langle \hat{F}_{au}(t)\hat{Q}_v(0)\rangle \neq 0\). To obtain the nonequilibrium steady–state correlation function type input–output relations for such as

\[
C_{ss}(t) = \{C_{ss}^{ss}(t) = \langle \hat{Q}_u(t)\hat{Q}_v(0)\rangle \}, \tag{5a}
\]

\[
C_{as}(t) = \{C_{as}^{as}(t) = \langle \hat{F}_{au}(t)\hat{Q}_v(0)\rangle \}, \tag{5b}
\]

Eq. (4) is insufficient since it cannot distinguish the absorptive and emissive contributions. More specifically, from Eq. (4) we have

\[
C_{as}(t) = X_{as}(t) - \int_0^t d\tau \phi_a(t - \tau) \cdot C_{ss}(\tau), \tag{6}
\]

with

\[
X_{as}(t) = \{X_{as}^{as}(t) = \langle \hat{F}_{au}(t)\hat{Q}_v(0)\rangle \}, \tag{7}
\]

to be further resolved. To that end, we exploit the statistical quasi-particles picture, which is used in the DEOM theory, and obtain

\[
X_{as}(t) = \sum_k X_{ask}(t), \tag{8}
\]

with

\[
X_{ask}^{as}(t) = (\hat{f}_{au}(t)\hat{Q}_v(0)) = \langle \hat{f}_{au}(0)\hat{Q}_v(0)\rangle e^{-\gamma_{akt}}, \tag{9}
\]

Here, \(\gamma_{akt}\) originates from the exponential decomposition of the interacting bath correlations reading

\[
c_{auv}(t) = \sum_k \eta_{auvk} e^{-\gamma_{akt}}. \tag{10}
\]

Evidently, to establish the aforementioned correlation function type input–output relations, the key step is to formulate \(X_{ask}^{as}(t)\) in terms of \(C_{ss}(t)\) [cf. Eq. (5a)] and \(c_{a}(t)\) [cf. Eq. (2)]. We address this issue within the scope of DTF theory to be elaborated as follows.

III. DISSIPATON THERMOFIELD THEORY

A. Ansatzes

The proposed DTF theory is based on the dissipaton decomposition of the hybrid reservoir modes, as schematically represented in Fig. 1. There are three basic ingredients:

(i) Dissipaton decomposition ansatz: The hybrid reservoir modes can be decomposed into dissipatons as

\[
\hat{F}_{au} = \sum_k \hat{f}_{auk} \tag{11a}
\]

with [cf. Eqs. (2) and (10)]

\[
\langle \hat{f}_{auk}(t)\hat{f}_{auk'}(0)\rangle_b = \delta_{a,a'}\delta_{k,k'}\eta_{auvk} e^{-\gamma_{akt}}, \tag{11b}
\]

satisfying \(\langle \hat{f}_{auk}(0)\hat{f}_{auk}(t)\rangle_b = \langle \hat{f}_{auk}(t)\hat{f}_{auk}(0)\rangle_b^*, \) the time–reversal relation, where \(\gamma_{akt}^* = \gamma_{akt}\) and \(\hat{f}_{auk}(t) = e^{i\alpha_{ukt}}\hat{f}_{auk} e^{-i\alpha_{ukt}}\). This defines dissipatons that are statistically independent diffusive environmental modes, exploited previously in the DEOM theory.

(ii) Thermofield dissipatons ansatz: Each \(\hat{f}_{auk}\) consists of an absorptive (+) and an emissive (−) parts,

\[
\hat{f}_{auk} = \hat{f}_{auk}^+ + \hat{f}_{auk}^-, \tag{12a}
\]

defined via

\[
\hat{f}_{auk}^+\rho_b^0 = \rho_b^0 \hat{f}_{auk}^+ = 0. \tag{12b}
\]

This results in

\[
c_{auk}^{\pm}(t) = \langle \hat{f}_{auk}^{\pm}(t)\hat{f}_{auk}^{\pm}(0)\rangle_b = \eta_{auvk} e^{-\gamma_{akt}}, \tag{13a}
\]

\[
c_{auk}^{\pm}(t) = \langle \hat{f}_{auk}(0)\hat{f}_{auk}^{\pm}(t)\rangle_b = \eta_{auvk}^* e^{-\gamma_{akt}}, \tag{13b}
\]

where \(\eta_{auvk}^\pm = \eta_{auvk}\) and \(\eta_{auvk}^\pm = \eta_{auvk}^*\). As the thermofield excitation is concerned, \(\hat{f}_{auk}^{\pm}\) resembles the creation/annihilation operator onto the reference \(\rho_b^0\) that participates in Eqs. (2) and (11b).

(iii) Thermofield Langevin ansatz: Each thermofield dissipaton satisfies

\[
\hat{f}_{auk}(t) = \hat{f}_{auk}^{\pm}(t) \pm i \int_0^t d\tau c_{auk}^{\pm}(t - \tau)\hat{Q}_v(\tau). \tag{14}
\]

In compared with Eq. (4), the resolved are not only the absorptive versus emissive contributions, but also the Langevin force that reads \(\hat{f}_{auk}(t) = \hat{f}_{auk}^{\pm}(0)e^{-\gamma_{akt}}\). This recovers the generalized diffusion equation of the DEOM theory, further including the thermal effects.
B. Input–output relation for correlation functions

In the following, we elaborate above basic ingredients of the DTF theory, with a class of input–output relations between local and nonlocal nonequilibrium steady-state correlation functions. Denote \( C_{\alpha sk}(t) = \{C_{\alpha sk}(t) \equiv \{\hat{f}_{\alpha uk}(t)Q_v(0)\} \) and \( \phi_{\alpha sk}(t) = i\{\hat{e}_{\alpha sk}(t) - c^\dagger_{\alpha sk}(t)\} = \{\phi_{\alpha uk}(t) = i\{C_{\alpha uk}(t) - c^\dagger_{\alpha uk}(t)\}\}. \) Equations (12) and (14) give rise to

\[
C_{\alpha sk}(t) = X_{\alpha sk}(t) - \int_0^t d\tau \phi_{\alpha sk}(t - \tau) \cdot C_{ss}(\tau) \tag{15}
\]

where \( X_{\alpha sk}^\alpha(t) = X_{\alpha sk}^\alpha(0)e^{-\gamma_{sk}t} \), Eq. (9), and

\[
X_{\alpha sk}(0) = i\int_0^\infty d\tau [\hat{e}_{\alpha k}(\tau)C_{ss}^\dagger(\tau) - c^\dagger_{\alpha k}(\tau)C_{ss}^\dagger(\tau)]. \tag{16}
\]

with \( M^T \) being the matrix transpose. Together with Eq. (15) and (9), we obtain further

\[
X_{\alpha sk}(t) = 2\text{Im}\int_0^\infty d\tau \hat{c}^T_{\alpha}(t + \tau) \cdot C_{ss}^\dagger(\tau). \tag{17}
\]

This completes Eq. (6), the nonequilibrium correlation function type input–output relations.

The derivations of the key expression (16) are as follows. (i) Let us start with \( \langle \hat{A}(0) \rangle = \lim_{t \to \infty} \text{Tr}[\hat{A}(t)\rho_T^{\text{init}}] \) for any operator \( \hat{A} \). This asymptotic identity holds for any physically supported initial total composite density operator \( \rho_T^{\text{init}} \). In particular, we choose \( \rho_T^{\text{init}} = \rho_b^{\text{init}} \otimes \rho_0^s \), with \( \rho_b^{\text{init}} \) being the pure bath canonical ensemble density operator; (ii) Then split \( X_{\alpha sk}^\alpha(0) \equiv \{\hat{f}_{\alpha uk}(0)Q_v(0)\} = \{\hat{f}_{\alpha uk}^+(0)Q_v(0)\} + \{\hat{Q}_v(0)\hat{f}_{\alpha uk}^-(0)\} \). This is true since the system and reservoir operators are commutable at any given local time; (iii) Finally, obtain \( \text{Tr}[\hat{f}_{\alpha uk}^+(t)\hat{Q}_v(t)\rho_T^{\text{init}}] \) and \( \text{Tr}[\hat{Q}_v(t)\hat{f}_{\alpha uk}^-(t)\rho_T^{\text{init}}] \) from Eq. (14), with focus on their \( t \to \infty \) expressions, where \( \hat{f}_{\alpha uk}^\pm(t) \) makes no contribution according to (12b). The resulting \( X_{\alpha sk}(0) \) according to (ii) is just Eq. (16).

IV. COMMENTS

A. DTF versus DEOM

It is worth emphasizing that the present DTF formalism, Eqs. (11)–(14), is rather general in relation to the absorptive and emissive processes. Its application to obtain Eqs. (15)–(17) is an example that can be numerically verified by DEOM evaluations; see Fig. 2 for the direct versus indirect calculations. However, Eqs. (15)–(17) can not be obtained within the original DEOM framework. That is to say, although both the DTF theory and DEOM method are numerically exact for Gaussian environments, DTF theory helps reveal more explicit relations.

Note that the present DTF goes with the exponential decomposition, Eq. (10). Its generalization to the generic time–derivative closure decomposition scheme can be readily constructed, similar to what we have done for the DEOM theory. Nevertheless, the exponential decomposition is often the choice towards the interpretation of experimental observations.

Besides, due to the obtained relation (15)–(17), we can compute \( C_{\alpha sk}(t) \) by only evaluating \( C_{ss}(t) \). The latter is much easier to converge in DEOM calculations. This will help save a lot of numerical costs.

B. DTF versus NEGF

Furthermore, the \( t = 0 \) behaviour of Eq. (6) with Eq. (17) is closely related to the NEGF formalism of transport current. For example, consider the heat transport from the \( \alpha \)-reservoir to the local impurity system. The heat current operator reads

\[
\hat{J}_\alpha = -\frac{d\hat{h}_\alpha}{dt} = -i[H_T, h_\alpha] = \sum_u \hat{P}_{uu} \hat{Q}_u. \tag{18}
\]

This is the electron transport analogue. The heat current is then [cf. Eq. (5b)]

\[
J_\alpha = \sum_u \langle \hat{P}_{uu} \hat{Q}_u \rangle = \sum_u \hat{C}_{uu}^{\alpha \alpha}(t = 0). \tag{19}
\]

Now apply Eq. (6), with noticing that its second term does not contribute to \( \hat{C}_{uu}^{\alpha \alpha}(0) \). We obtain

\[
J_\alpha = 2\text{Im}\int_0^\infty d\tau \text{tr} \{\hat{e}_\alpha(\tau) \cdot C_{ss}(\tau)\}. \tag{20}
\]

This is the time–domain Meir–Wingreen’s formula via the NEGF approaches. It is obtained in NEGF formalism by introducing the contour ordering, followed by the
use of Langreth’s rules. Here, the DTF theory can produce it in a rather simple manner.

C. DTF versus conventional thermofield approach

Last but not least, we compare the DTF theory to the conventional thermofield approach\textsuperscript{29}. The latter goes by the purification of the canonical thermal state, \( \rho_0^n \propto \sum_{\nu_j} e^{-\beta\nu_j \omega} |\nu_j\rangle (\nu_j) \), to the pure state, \(|\xi\rangle\), involving extra assistant degrees of freedom. The thermofield operators arising from the Bogoliubov transformation satisfy \( \hat{a}_j |\xi\rangle = \hat{b}_j |\xi\rangle = 0 \). The corresponding transformed Hamiltonian is \( H_\tau = H_s + \hat{Q} \hat{F} + \hat{h}_n \),

\[
\hat{F} = \hat{F}_+ + \hat{F}_- , \quad \text{with} \quad \hat{F}_- |\xi\rangle = \langle \xi | \hat{F}^+ = 0 ,
\]

satisfying

\[
\hat{F}_\sigma \sigma (t) = \hat{F}_\sigma \sigma (t - \sigma i) \int_0^t \text{d} \tau c_n^\dagger (t - \tau) \hat{Q}(\tau).
\]

Here, \( c_n^\dagger (t) \equiv [c_n (t)]^* \equiv [c_n^\dagger (t)]^* \) and \( \hat{F}_\sigma \sigma (t) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_n g_n (\sqrt{1 + n_j \hat{a}_j^\dagger e^{\sigma \omega_j t}} + \sqrt{n_j \hat{b}_j^\dagger e^{-\sigma \omega_j t}}) \). Compared to the DTF formalism, we observe Eqs. (11)–(12) with Eq. (14) as the statistical quasi-particle analogue to Eq. (21) with Eq. (22). The present theory would be better physically supported, with the Langevin force in Eq. (14) being effectively resolved. This agrees with the generalized diffusion equation of the DEOM theory\textsuperscript{30}. The DTF theory does bridge the NEGF and the real–time reduced system dynamics methods.

V. CONCLUDING REMARKS

A. Example: Fano interference

To close the discussion, we apply the present theory to study the Fano interference spectroscopy, exemplified with the model Hamiltonian,

\[
H_\tau (t) = (\Omega/2) \hat{\sigma}_z + h_n \hat{F} \quad \hat{\sigma}_x \hat{F}
\]

in response of weak external field. We evaluate the linear absorption spectrum,

\[
S_A (\omega) \equiv \text{Re} \int_0^\infty \text{d} t e^{i \omega t} \langle \hat{\mu}_\tau (t) \hat{\mu}_\tau (0) \rangle ,
\]

with the total dipole, \( \hat{\mu}_\tau = \mu_0 \hat{\sigma}_z + \nu_0 \hat{F} \), consisting of both discrete system and continuous environment contributions. Hence, \( \langle \hat{\mu}_\tau (t) \hat{\mu}_\tau (0) \rangle = \mu_0^2 C_{ss} (t) + \mu_0 \nu_0 [C_{sb} (t) + C_{bs} (t)] + \nu_0^2 C_{nn} (t) \). The local correlation function \( C_{ss} (t) = \langle \hat{\sigma}_x (t) \hat{\sigma}_x (0) \rangle \) is considered to be the input.

The others involving nonlocal interacting bath mode \( \hat{F} \) are the output, including \( C_{bh} (t) \) that can also be formulated via the present DTF theory, giving rise to \( C_{bb} (t) = c_b (t) + Y_{bb} (t) - \int_0^t \text{d} \tau \phi_a (t - \tau) C_{ss} (\tau) \) with \( Y_{bb} (t) = 2 \text{Im} \int_0^\infty \text{d} \tau c_b (t + \tau) C_{bh} (\tau) \). The results are shown in Fig. 3; see the caption for the details.

B. Summary

In conclusion, we develop a statistical quasi-particle thermofield theory, the DTF theory, which is exact assuming the Gaussian influence environments. It goes with the dissipaton decomposition of the hybrid bath reservoir mode. The DTF theory bridges the NEGF and the real–time reduced system dynamics methods. Universal relations for a class of important nonequilibrium steady–state correlation functions are established. The fermionic counterparts to the present DTF theory and the resulting nonequilibrium input–output relations can be readily established in a similar manner. The new theory is an important ingredient in the study of open quantum systems. It will serve as a versatile tool such as the nonequilibrium thermodynamics and transport phenomena in strongly correlated systems.

![FIG. 3. Fano absorption spectral lineshapes [cf. Eq. (24)], where \( S_A (\omega) = \mu_0^2 C_{ss} (\omega) + \mu_0 \nu_0 [C_{sb} (\omega) + C_{bs} (\omega)] + \nu_0^2 C_{nn} (\omega) \) with \( C_{bb} (\omega) \equiv \text{Re} \int_0^\infty \text{d} t e^{i \omega t} C_{bb} (t) \). Parameters are \( \beta \Omega = 2 \), \( \lambda = 0.05 \Omega \) and \( \gamma = 0.5 \Omega \) with applying the Drude spectral bath model \( J (\omega) = 2 \lambda \text{tr} / (\lambda^2 + \gamma^2) \). The bath dipole \( \mu_B \equiv 2 \lambda B_0 \) is chosen as \( \mu_B / \mu_S = \infty, \pm 5, \pm 2, \pm 1, 0 \).
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