

An interpretation of the 4-point condition of metric trees via Ptolemy's inequality

Mario Gómez¹ and Facundo Mémoli²

¹Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University,
gomezflores.1@osu.edu

²Department of Mathematics and Department of Computer Science and
Engineering, The Ohio State University, memoli@math.osu.edu

January 16, 2022

Abstract

We identify a family of Ptolemaic inequalities in $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$ spaces parametrized by κ and identify that the limit as $\kappa \rightarrow -\infty$ gives the 4-point condition of tree metric spaces.

Keywords: four-point condition, metric trees, Ptolemy's inequality.

1 Introduction

Ptolemy's inequality is a classical result in Euclidean geometry that relates the six distances between 4 points in the plane. More general metric spaces where this inequality holds are called Ptolemaic and include all Euclidean spaces and $\text{CAT}(0)$ spaces. In fact, a complete Riemannian manifold is Ptolemaic if, and only if, it is $\text{CAT}(0)$ [BFW09]. Spherical and hyperbolic versions of this inequality also exist [Val70b, Val70a], and we review their proof in this paper. We then show that $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$ spaces also satisfy a κ -Ptolemaic inequality, which is a rescaling of either the spherical or hyperbolic inequality depending on whether $\kappa > 0$ or $\kappa < 0$. Our main contribution is an interpretation of the 4-point condition

$$d_{13} + d_{24} \leq \max(d_{12} + d_{34}, d_{23} + d_{41})$$

that characterizes metric trees as the $(-\infty)$ -Ptolemy inequality. More precisely, we show that the 4-point condition is the limit of the κ -Ptolemy inequality when $\kappa \rightarrow -\infty$, effectively characterizing metric trees as those metric spaces where the κ -Ptolemy inequality holds for all κ .

Notation: Let M_κ^n be the n -dimensional model space with constant sectional curvature κ , i.e. the n -sphere with radius $1/\sqrt{\kappa}$ when $\kappa > 0$, n -dimensional Euclidean space when $\kappa = 0$, or n -dimensional hyperbolic space with constant curvature $\kappa < 0$. These spaces come equipped with a geodesic metric and have diameter $D_\kappa = \pi/\sqrt{\kappa}$ if $\kappa > 0$ and $D_\kappa = \infty$ when $\kappa \leq 0$.

Throughout the paper, whenever we have points p_1, \dots, p_m in a single fixed metric space (either X or M_κ^n), we'll denote the distance between p_i and p_j as d_{ij} . If X is a CAT-space, we write the points of X as p_i and the comparison points in the model space M_κ^n as \bar{p}_i . Similarly, we use d_{ij} for the distances between points in X and \bar{d}_{ij} for the distances in the model space M_κ^n .

2 Generalizing Ptolemy's inequality

Generalizations of Ptolemy's inequality were shown in spherical and hyperbolic geometry in [Val70b, Val70a], and a higher-dimensional version of Ptolemy's Theorem appears in [AV71]. We reproduce the arguments here to consolidate the cases of positive and negative curvature into one proof. Define

$$c_\kappa(t) = \begin{cases} \cos(\sqrt{\kappa}t), & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\ t, & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t), & \text{if } \kappa < 0, \end{cases}$$

and

$$s_\kappa(t) = \begin{cases} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}t), & \text{if } \kappa > 0, \\ t, & \text{if } \kappa = 0, \\ \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t), & \text{if } \kappa < 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $s_\kappa(t)$ is increasing for any t when $\kappa \leq 0$, and for $t \in [0, D_\kappa/2]$ when $\kappa > 0$.

Let $p_1, \dots, p_m \in M_\kappa^n$. For $\kappa \neq 0$, define the matrices

$$\begin{aligned} \text{CM}_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m) &= (c_\kappa(d_{ij}))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \\ P_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m) &= (s_\kappa^2(d_{ij}/2))_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}, \end{aligned}$$

and their determinants

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m) &= \det(\text{CM}_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m)), \\ \gamma_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m) &= \det(P_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m)). \end{aligned}$$

The first determinant is the spherical (resp. hyperbolic) Cayley-Menger determinant when $\kappa > 0$ (resp. $\kappa < 0$). The following is a classic fact found at the start of Section 67 and in Theorem 106.1 of [Blu70]. There, $\Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ is denoted as $\Delta_m(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ when $\kappa > 0$ and $\Lambda_m(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ when $\kappa < 0$.

Theorem 2.1. *The determinant $\Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ vanishes for $m \geq n + 2$. If $m \leq n + 1$, $\Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_m)$ is 0 or has sign $\text{sgn}(\kappa)^{m+1}$.*

The other fact needed for the proof is the Desnanot-Jacobi identity.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be an n -by- n matrix. Denote by $M_{\sim i, \sim j}$ the submatrix obtained by deleting the i -th row and j -th columns of M . If $i < j$ and $h < k$, let $M_{\sim i, j, \sim h, k} = (M_{\sim j, \sim k})_{\sim i, \sim h}$. Then:*

$$\det(M) \det(M_{\sim 1, n, \sim 1, n}) = \det(M_{\sim 1, \sim 1}) \det(M_{\sim n, \sim n}) - \det(M_{\sim 1, \sim n}) \det(M_{\sim n, \sim 1}).$$

The following is the higher dimensional generalization of Ptolemy's Theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\kappa \neq 0$ and $p_1, \dots, p_{n+2} \in M_\kappa^n$. The determinant $\gamma_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2})$ vanishes or has sign $(-1)^{n+1}$.*

Proof. Consider the matrix

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \text{CM}_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2}) \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & c_\kappa(d_{ij}) \end{array} \right).$$

From the half-angle formulas for trigonometric and hyperbolic functions, c_κ and s_κ satisfy $c_\kappa(2x) - 1 = -2 \text{sgn}(\kappa) s_\kappa^2(x)$. If we subtract the first row of A from the other rows and the first column from the others, we get the matrix

$$B = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} -1 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & -2 \text{sgn}(\kappa) s_\kappa^2(d_{ij}/2) \end{array} \right),$$

with determinant $\det(B) = \det(A) = -\Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2})$. If all the first minors of $\text{CM}_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2})$ are 0, then $\text{CM}_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2})$ has rank at most $n + 1$. In consequence, both A and B have a maximum rank of $n + 2$, which means that their first minors are also 0. In particular, $\gamma_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2}) = B_{\sim 1, \sim 1} = 0$.

Suppose, then, that $\text{CM}_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2})$ has a non-zero minor, and relabel the points so that $\Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) \neq 0$. By Theorem 2.2,

$$\det(B) \det(B_{\sim 1, n, \sim 1, n}) = \det(B_{\sim 1, \sim 1}) \det(B_{\sim n, \sim n}) - \det(B_{\sim 1, \sim n}) \det(B_{\sim n, \sim 1}).$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\Delta(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2}) \det(B_{\sim 1, n, \sim 1, n}) \\ &= (-2 \text{sgn}(\kappa))^{n+2} \gamma_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2}) (-\Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1})) - (\det(B_{\sim 1, \sim n}))^2. \end{aligned}$$

The first line is 0 because $\Delta(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2}) = 0$ by Theorem 2.1. Likewise, the sign of $\Delta_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1})$ is $\text{sgn}(\kappa)^{n+2}$, so the above equation forces

$$\text{sgn}(\gamma_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2})) = -(-\text{sgn}(\kappa))^{n+2} \text{sgn}(\kappa)^{n+2} = (-1)^{n+1}.$$

□

The previous theorem takes a familiar form when $n = 2$.

Corollary 2.4 (κ -Ptolemy inequality). *For any κ and $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in M_\kappa^2$,*

$$s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) \leq s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{23}/2)s_\kappa(d_{41}/2). \quad (1)$$

Proof. The case of $\kappa = 0$ is the usual Ptolemaic inequality in the plane. For $\kappa \neq 0$, Theorem 2.3 gives $\gamma_\kappa(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \leq 0$. In Valentine's words, "routine but tedious computations" [Val70b] show that $\gamma_\kappa(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4)$ decomposes as the product $A \cdot B \cdot C \cdot D$, where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= -[s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{23}/2)s_\kappa(d_{41}/2)] \\ B &= -s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{23}/2)s_\kappa(d_{41}/2) \\ C &= s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) - s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{23}/2)s_\kappa(d_{41}/2) \\ D &= s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) - s_\kappa(d_{23}/2)s_\kappa(d_{41}/2). \end{aligned}$$

The sums $B + C, C + D, D + B$ are all non-negative, so no two of B, C, D are negative. Since the product $B \cdot C \cdot D$ is also non-negative, we get that all three B, C, D must be non-negative. In particular, $B \geq 0$ gives (1). \square

Example 2.5. Let $p_1 = (1, 0, 0), p_2 = (0, 1, 0), p_3 = (-1, 0, 0)$, and $p_4 = (0, -1, 0)$ in M_1^2 , the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 . Observe that $d_{12} = d_{23} = d_{34} = d_{41} = \pi/2$ and $d_{13} = d_{24} = \pi$. The set $X = \{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ is a classic example of a non-Ptolemaic metric space, as

$$d_{12}d_{34} + d_{23}d_{41} = \pi^2/2 < \pi^2 = d_{13}d_{24}.$$

However, since $X \subset M_1^2$, it satisfies the 1-Ptolemy inequality:

$$s_1(d_{13}/2)s_1(d_{24}/2) = 1^2 \leq 2 \left(\sqrt{2}/2 \right)^2 = s_1(d_{12}/2)s_1(d_{34}/2) + s_1(d_{23}/2)s_1(d_{41}/2).$$

Remark 2.6. [DS05] showed that the determinant $\gamma_\kappa(p_1, \dots, p_{n+2})$ is irreducible for $n \geq 3$.

3 CAT-spaces

The generalization of Ptolemy's inequality to CAT-spaces is straightforward with the following 4-point characterization.

Proposition 3.1 ([BH99], Proposition II.1.11). *Let X be a $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$ space. For every 4-tuple $(p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4) \in X$ such that $d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} < 2D_\kappa$, there exists a 4-tuple $(\bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \bar{p}_3, \bar{p}_4) \in M_\kappa^2$ such that (addition is done modulo 4):*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{i,i+1} &= \bar{d}_{i,i+1} \text{ and} \\ d_{i,i+2} &\leq \bar{d}_{i,i+2}. \end{aligned}$$

The following is a generalization of the classic argument, as seen in [BFW09].

Theorem 3.2. *Let X be a $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$ space and $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in X$ such that $d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} < 2D_\kappa$. Then*

$$s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) \leq s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{41}/2)s_\kappa(d_{23}/2).$$

Proof. With a set $\bar{p}_1, \bar{p}_2, \bar{p}_3, \bar{p}_4 \in M_\kappa^2$ as in Proposition 3.1, Corollary 2.4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) &\leq s_\kappa(\bar{d}_{13}/2)s_\kappa(\bar{d}_{24}/2) \\ &\leq s_\kappa(\bar{d}_{12}/2)s_\kappa(\bar{d}_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(\bar{d}_{23}/2)s_\kappa(\bar{d}_{41}/2) \\ &= s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{23}/2)s_\kappa(d_{41}/2). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.3. Corollary 2.4 is stronger than Theorem 3.2 applied to M_κ^2 because the former holds without the restriction $d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} < 2D_\kappa$. However, this condition is necessary in the latter, as shown by the following example.

Let G be the metric graph formed by a circle C of radius 1 with three edges e_1, e_2, e_3 of length π attached at the points $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in C$ (see Figure 1), where $d_G(p_i, p_j) = 2\pi/3$ for $i \neq j$. Since the cycle C has length 2π , $G \in \text{CAT}(1)$ [BH99, Example II.1.15]. Let $x_i \in e_i$ such that $d_G(x_i, p_i) = \pi$, and set $x_4 = p_3$. Let $d_{ij} = d_G(x_i, x_j)$. We have

$$d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} = 8\pi > 2D_1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} s_1(d_{13}/2)s_1(d_{24}/2) &= -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &> -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \cdot 1 + \left(-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \\ &= s_1(d_{12}/2)s_1(d_{34}/2) + s_1(d_{23}/2)s_1(d_{41}/2). \end{aligned}$$

Question 1. The fact that $d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} = 8\pi$ is much larger than $2D_1 = 2\pi$ in Example 3.3 begs the question of whether the condition $d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} < 2D_1$ in Theorem 3.2 is tight or even if it is necessary. We don't have an answer at this point.

Question 2. Analogously to the hyperbolicity of a metric space, we can define

$$P_\kappa(X) = \sup \left[s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) - \left(s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{41}/2)s_\kappa(d_{23}/2) \right) \right],$$

where $d_{ij} = d_X(p_i, p_j)$ and the supremum is taken over all $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\} \subset X$. What properties does this constant have? In particular, is it stable under the Gromov-Hausdorff distance?




Figure 1: An example of a CAT(1) space G and a subset x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 that show that the restriction $d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} < 2D_\kappa$ is necessary in Theorem 3.2.

4 The limiting case

Metric trees are $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$ for any $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ [BH99], so they satisfy the κ -Ptolemy inequality for any κ by Theorem 3.2. Now we ask the converse: what can we say about a space X that satisfies the κ -Ptolemy inequality for all κ ? To answer this, we calculate a certain limit as $\kappa \rightarrow -\infty$. For that, recall that $\text{arcsinh}(x) = \ln(x + \sqrt{x^2 + 1})$ and observe that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{arcsinh}(x)}{\ln(x)} = 1$. If the limit of either the numerator or the denominator exists, then both limits exist and are equal.

Let $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ and suppose $c + d \leq a + b$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \text{arcsinh} \left[\sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} a \right) \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} b \right) + \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} c \right) \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} d \right) \right] \\
&= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \ln \left[\frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} a\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} a\right)}{2} \cdot \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} b\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} b\right)}{2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} c\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} c\right)}{2} \cdot \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} d\right) - \exp\left(-\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} d\right)}{2} \right] \\
&= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \ln \left[\exp \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} (a + b) \right) \left(\frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} a)}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} b)}{2} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} (c+d)\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} (a+b)\right)} \cdot \frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} c)}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} d)}{2} \right) \right] \\
&= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \ln \left[\exp \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} (a + b) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \ln \left[\frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} a)}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} b)}{2} + \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} (c+d)\right)}{\exp\left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} (a+b)\right)} \cdot \frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} c)}{2} \cdot \frac{1 - \exp(-\sqrt{-\kappa} d)}{2} \right] \\
&= a + b.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $c + d < a + b$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \max(a + b, c + d) \\
&= \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \text{arcsinh} \left[\sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} a \right) \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} b \right) + \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} c \right) \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} d \right) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $c = d = 0$,

$$a + b = \lim_{\kappa \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \text{arcsinh} \left[\sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} a \right) \cdot \sinh \left(\frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{2} b \right) \right].$$

As a result, if the inequality $s_\kappa(d_{13}/2)s_\kappa(d_{24}/2) \leq s_\kappa(d_{12}/2)s_\kappa(d_{34}/2) + s_\kappa(d_{41}/2)s_\kappa(d_{23}/2)$ is satisfied for all $\kappa < 0$, when we take $\frac{2}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \text{arcsinh}(\cdot)$, the limit above gives

$$d_{13} + d_{24} \leq \max(d_{12} + d_{34}, d_{14} + d_{23}).$$

In other words, if X satisfies the κ -Ptolemy inequality for all κ , then it also satisfies the 4-point condition, giving the following characterization of metric trees.

Theorem 4.1. *Given a metric space (X, d_X) , the following are equivalent:*

1. *X can be isometrically embedded in a metric tree.*
2. *Every subset $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \subset X$ satisfies the 4-point condition.*
3. *Every subset $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\} \subset X$ satisfies the κ -Ptolemy inequality for all κ such that $d_{12} + d_{23} + d_{34} + d_{41} < 2D_\kappa$.*

References

- [AV71] E.Z. Andalafte and Joseph E. Valentine. A metric characterization of “spherical” surfaces in n -dimensional hyperbolic space. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 251:142–152, 1971.
- [BFW09] S. M. Buckley, K. Falk, and D. J. Wraith. Ptolemaic spaces and CAT(0). *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 51(2):301–314, 2009.
- [BH99] Martin Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric Spaces of Non-Positive Curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [Blu70] L.M. Blumenthal. *Theory and Applications of Distance Geometry*. Chelsea Publishing Company, second edition, 1970.
- [DS05] C. D’Andrea and M. Sombra. The Cayley-Menger determinant is irreducible for $n \geq 3$. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 46(1):71–76, Jan 2005.
- [Val70a] Joseph E. Valentine. An analogue of Ptolemy’s theorem and its converse in hyperbolic geometry. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 34(3):817 – 825, 1970.
- [Val70b] Joseph. E. Valentine. An analogue of Ptolemy’s theorem in spherical geometry. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 77(1):47–51, 1970.