

# NON-SIMPLE POLARISED ABELIAN SURFACES

ROBERT AUFFARTH AND PAWEŁ BORÓWKA

ABSTRACT. We study the space of non-simple polarised abelian surfaces. Specifically, we describe the locus of polarised abelian surfaces of type  $(1, d)$  that contain an elliptic curve of exponent  $n$  and characterise its irreducible components. We find a condition on the complementary exponent  $m$  and show that the locus of surfaces containing complementary elliptic curves of exponents  $m, n$  is irreducible. We also find equations for this locus in the Siegel space.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The moduli space of non-simple principally polarised abelian varieties is well understood. Indeed, the space of all non-simple principally polarised abelian varieties that contain an abelian subvariety of fixed dimension and exponent is irreducible and can be described as the quotient of a product space of polarised abelian varieties (see for instance [Deb88], [Auf16], [Bor16]). In particular, for principally polarised surfaces, exponents of complementary elliptic curves coincide and the periods of such surfaces have to satisfy so called Humbert singular equations (see for example [ALR17, Bor16, vdG88]).

On the other hand, the moduli space of polarised abelian varieties of a given (non-principal) type is much harder to deal with. The main obstacle to extend the results of the principally polarised case is the fact that two complementary abelian subvarieties of a non-principally polarised abelian variety do not necessarily have the same exponent. This inevitably leads to the conclusion that the moduli space of non-simple polarised abelian varieties that contain an abelian subvariety of a fixed dimension is not necessarily irreducible, and it is not clear a priori how to describe the irreducible components.

In [vdG88, Ch. IX], G. van der Geer recalls Humbert's singular equations and states that they can be generalised to non-principally polarised surfaces in [vdG88, Th. IX.2.4]. However, in Corollary 5.5 we show that different singular equations can give the same irreducible component in the moduli. Hence, [vdG88, Th. IX.2.4] is not enough to describe irreducible components of non-simple abelian surfaces.

In [G06], L. Guerra constructs moduli spaces of non-simple abelian varieties and especially their irreducible components. However, due to the fact that the construction is general and not very explicit, the author concludes his paper with questions about whether the constructed spaces are actually non-empty [G06, page 334]. In Corollary 5.6, in the case of polarised abelian surfaces, we show a necessary and sufficient condition for his spaces to be non-empty and that they consist of unique irreducible components.

In [ALR17] the authors have found equations for non-simple polarised varieties. However, since they are defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  they do not provide any information about irreducible components and possible exponents of subvarieties.

The aim of this paper is to understand completely the case of non-simple polarised abelian surfaces. Let  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$  be a moduli of  $(1, d)$  polarised abelian surfaces. Denote by

$$\mathcal{E}_d(m, n) := \left\{ (A, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}_2(d) : \begin{array}{l} A \text{ contains a pair of complementary} \\ \text{elliptic curves of exponents } m, n \end{array} \right\}.$$

The main result of the paper (that follows from Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 5.4) can be summarised in the following theorem

**Theorem 1.1.** *For  $d, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$  is a (non-empty) irreducible subvariety of dimension 2 of  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$  if and only if*

$$mn \cdot \gcd(m, n, d) = \gcd(m, n)^2 d.$$

*In such a case, we can write  $m = \frac{cd}{a}, n = \frac{cd}{b}$  for some pairwise coprime  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then, there exist  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $au - bv = c$  and  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$  is the image of the set of period matrices*

$$\left\{ Z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix} : \text{Im}(Z) > 0, z_3 = \left(\frac{du}{b} + \frac{dv}{a}\right)z_2 - \frac{d^2 uv}{ab}z_1 \right\}.$$

The idea of the proof is to use certain cyclic isogenies from principally polarised abelian surfaces in order to reduce the problem to working in the principally polarised case. Since we understand the situation for principally polarised surfaces, we need to carefully compute the degrees of the induced restrictions of the isogeny to each elliptic curve. To show the irreducibility we consider modular curves as moduli spaces of elliptic curves with level structure and show that our objects of interest are equivalent under the action of the symplectic group.

Our approach can be seen as a careful combination of ideas in [vdG88] and [G06]. We reduce the problem of finding exponents to the principally polarised case with additional structure and use symplectic action to conclude the results. Therefore, we significantly extend the statement of Theorem IX.2.4 from [vdG88] and solve an open problem stated in [G06, page 334].

The structure of the paper is the following: In Section 2 we go over some preliminaries of abelian surfaces and find important condition on the exponents of elliptic curves, in Section 3 we prove crucial results on finite abelian groups with symplectic action, in Section 4 we prove the irreducibility of the moduli spaces we are studying, and finally in Section 5 we compute equations and state some concluding remarks.

*Acknowledgements.* The work of the second author has been partially supported by the Polish National Science Center project number 2018/30/E/ST1/00530. He also wishes to thank his wife Aleksandra for some valuable discussions on proofs in Section 3.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES ON ABELIAN SURFACES

Let  $(A, \mathcal{L})$  be a complex polarised abelian surface of type  $D := (1, d)$ . That is,  $\mathcal{L}$  is an ample line bundle and the map

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{L}} : A \rightarrow A^{\vee} =: \text{Pic}^0(A)$$

$$x \mapsto t_x^* \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1}$$

is an isogeny with kernel isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z})^2$ . Moreover let  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$  denote the space of polarised abelian surfaces of type  $(1, d)$ . If  $d = 1$  we will simply use the standard notation  $\mathcal{A}_2$ . We wish to study the moduli space

$$\mathcal{E}_d(n) := \{(A, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}_2(d) : A \text{ contains an elliptic curve of exponent } n\},$$

where the exponent of an elliptic curve  $E \subseteq A$  is by definition the degree of  $\mathcal{L}|_E$ , and in particular we want to understand what its irreducible components are.

If  $E \subseteq A$  is an elliptic curve, then it corresponds to the image of a symmetric idempotent  $\epsilon_E \in \text{End}(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  (where symmetric means that it is fixed by the Rosati involution given by  $\mathcal{L}$ ). We can define the *complementary elliptic curve* of  $E$  with respect to  $\mathcal{L}$  as

$$F := \text{Im}(1 - \epsilon_E),$$

where  $\epsilon_F := 1 - \epsilon_E$  is also a symmetric idempotent. We have that  $E \cap F$  is finite and  $A = E + F$ , and therefore the natural addition map

$$E \times F \rightarrow A$$

is an isogeny.

We define another moduli space

$$\mathcal{E}_d(m, n) := \left\{ (A, \mathcal{L}) \in \mathcal{A}_2(d) : \begin{array}{l} A \text{ contains a pair of complementary} \\ \text{elliptic curves of exponents } m, n \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that for a general pair  $(m, n)$  the space  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$  will be empty, and that

$$\mathcal{E}_d(n) = \bigcup_m \mathcal{E}_d(m, n).$$

**Remark 2.1.** It is a classical result (see [BL06, Cor 12.1.2]) that if  $d = 1$  then  $m = n$ . In the non-principally polarised case the complementary polarisation types can be quite unexpected. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider a product  $E \times F$  with the product polarisation  $\mathcal{O}_E(a) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_F(b)$ . The kernel of the isogeny associated to the polarisation is  $\mathbb{Z}_a^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_b^2$ , and by elementary group theory, this group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_{\gcd(a,b)} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text{lcm}(a,b)}$ . In particular, the product polarisation is of type  $(\gcd(a, b), \text{lcm}(a, b))$ , and so  $E \times F$  can naturally be seen to belong to the moduli space  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$  where  $d = \text{lcm}(a, b)/\gcd(a, b)$ . Moreover, with this primitive polarisation  $E$  has exponent  $a/\gcd(a, b)$  and  $F$  has exponent  $b/\gcd(a, b)$ .

Going back to the original problem, we would like to find conditions on the pair  $(n, m)$  for it to correspond to complementary polarisation types on a polarised abelian surface of type  $(1, d)$ . In other words, we want to know when  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n) \neq \emptyset$ . An obvious necessary condition that follows from [BL06, Cor 2.4.6.d] is that  $d | \text{lcm}(m, n)$ .

If  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2\}$  is a symplectic basis for  $\Lambda$ , then we see that the sublattice given by  $\langle d\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \mu_1, \mu_2 \rangle$  gives an abelian variety  $X$  and a cyclic isogeny

$$f : X \rightarrow A$$

of degree  $d$ . Moreover, we see that  $f^*\mathcal{L} \equiv d\theta$  where  $\theta$  is a principal polarisation and  $\equiv$  stands for numerical equivalence. Let

$$E' := f^{-1}(E)^0, \quad F' := f^{-1}(F)^0$$

be the corresponding elliptic curves on  $X$ . By [ALR17, Corollary 2.5], we have that  $E'$  and  $F'$  are complementary elliptic curves on  $X$  with respect to  $d\theta$ , and therefore with respect to

$\theta$ . In particular, since  $\theta$  is a principal polarisation, we have that  $E'$  and  $F'$  have the same exponent which we will denote by  $c$ .

Define

$$a := |E' \cap \ker f| = \deg f|_{E'}, \quad b := |F' \cap \ker f| = \deg f|_{F'}.$$

In order to construct possible pairs of complementary types, we start by reducing possible cases. We do this with the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow A$ ,  $E'$  and  $F'$  be as before. Assume  $\ker f \cap E' \cap F' = \langle x \rangle$  for some point  $x$ . Then there exists another principally polarised abelian variety  $Y$  with elliptic curves  $E'' = E'/\langle x \rangle$  and  $F'' = F'/\langle x \rangle$  and a map  $h : Y \rightarrow A$  such that  $\ker h \cap E'' \cap F'' = \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* In the proof, we abuse notation by writing  $E'$  both as an abstract curve and its image in  $X$ . In the same way,  $x$  is both a point of  $X$  that is in the image of  $E'$  and a point of  $E'$ .

For any map  $g$ , let  $\bar{g}$  denote the induced map on the quotient. Let  $q$  denote the quotient map  $X \rightarrow X/\langle x \rangle$ , and let  $g$  be the addition map. We have the following diagram of polarised isogenies:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E' \times F' & \xrightarrow{g} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ & & \searrow q & & \uparrow \bar{f} \\ & & & & X/\langle x \rangle \end{array}$$

Since  $\ker(g \circ q)$  contains the group generated by  $(x, 0)$  and  $(0, x)$  in  $E' \times F'$  we get the quotient map and the extended diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} E' \times F' & \xrightarrow{g} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ \text{quotient} \downarrow & & \searrow q & & \uparrow \bar{f} \\ E'/\langle x \rangle \times F'/\langle x \rangle & \xrightarrow{\bar{g} \circ \bar{q}} & & & X/\langle x \rangle \end{array}$$

The polarisation types are as follows, where  $l$  is the order of  $x$ :

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} (cd, cd) & \xrightarrow{g} & (d, d) & \xrightarrow{f} & (1, d) \\ \text{quotient} \downarrow & & \searrow q & & \uparrow \bar{f} \\ (\frac{c}{l}d, \frac{c}{l}d) & \xrightarrow{\bar{g} \circ \bar{q}} & & & (\frac{d}{l}, d) \end{array}$$

Since  $\bar{f} \circ \bar{g} \circ \bar{q}$  is a polarised isogeny of order  $\frac{c^2 d}{l^2}$ , we can factor it via the map  $h'$  of order  $\frac{c^2}{l^2}$  to a surface  $(Y, d\theta_Y)$  and  $h : Y \rightarrow A$  that is a cyclic isogeny of order  $d$ . Moreover, the restrictions of  $h'$  to  $E''$  and to  $F''$  are injective, we get that  $h'$  is addition and  $E''$ ,  $F''$  in  $Y$  are elliptic curves that are complementary to each other.  $\square$

By the previous lemma, we can therefore assume that  $E' \cap F' \cap \ker f = \{0\}$ . We are now ready to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be  $(1, d)$  polarised abelian surface that is non-simple. Let  $E, F$  be a pair of complementary elliptic curves with exponents  $n$  and  $m$ , respectively. Then  $m, n$  and  $d$  satisfy the following equation:*

$$(4) \quad mn \cdot \gcd(m, n, d) = \gcd(m, n)^2 d.$$

*Proof.* Assume  $E'$  is of exponent  $c$  in  $X$ . Then  $E' \cap F' = E'[c] = F'[c]$ . Now, in order to have  $\ker f \cap E' \cap F' = \{0\}$ , we need that  $a, b, c$  are pairwise coprime, i.e.  $(a, b) = (a, c) = (b, c) = 1$ . Moreover, the exponents of  $E, F$  are  $\frac{cd}{a}$  and  $\frac{cd}{b}$ . Note that  $\gcd(\frac{cd}{a}, \frac{cd}{b}) = \frac{cd}{ab}$ . Hence both sides of Equation 4 equal  $\frac{c^2 d^3}{a^2 b^2}$ .  $\square$

We define the function

$$\mu : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

as follows: If  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$  we can write  $n = \tilde{n}t$  and  $d = \tilde{d}t$  where  $t$  is the maximal integer such that  $\gcd(\tilde{n}, t) = \gcd(\tilde{d}, t) = 1$ . Then we define

$$\mu(n, d) := \sigma(t),$$

where  $\sigma$  is the function that counts the number of positive divisors of a number.

**Lemma 2.4.** *If  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ , then the number of  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  that satisfy Equation (4) is  $\mu(n, d)$ .*

*Proof.* Write

$$n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad d = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\beta_i}, \quad m = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\gamma_i}$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i \geq 0$  and  $\beta_i \leq \max\{\alpha_i, \gamma_i\}$ . Now Equation (4) turns into

$$\alpha_i + \gamma_i + \min\{\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i\} = 2 \min\{\alpha_i, \gamma_i\} + \beta_i.$$

If  $\alpha_i = \beta_i$  (only when  $p \mid t$ ), we get the equation

$$\gamma_i + \min\{\alpha_i, \gamma_i\} = 2 \min\{\alpha_i, \gamma_i\},$$

which is satisfied if and only if  $\gamma_i \leq \alpha_i$ . Therefore  $\gamma_i$  can take on  $\alpha_i + 1$  possibilities.

If  $\alpha_i > \beta_i$ , then we get the equation

$$\alpha_i + \min\{\beta_i, \gamma_i\} = \gamma_i + \beta_i.$$

This can only be satisfied if  $\gamma_i = \alpha_i$  and so there is one possibility.

If  $\alpha_i < \beta_i$ , then we get the equation

$$\alpha_i + \gamma_i = \min\{\alpha_i, \gamma_i\} + \beta_i$$

which has the unique solution  $\gamma_i = \beta_i$ . By counting the total number of possibilities for each  $i$ , we get the number  $\mu(n, d)$ .  $\square$

The aim of the rest of the paper is to show that the restriction of Proposition 2.3 is in fact sufficient for the existence of elliptic curves with the given exponents, and that the locus  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$  where  $m, n$  and  $d$  satisfy Equation (4) is irreducible. Finally as a consequence, Lemma 2.4 computes the number of irreducible components of  $\mathcal{E}_d(n)$ .

### 3. SYMPLECTIC MODULES OVER $\mathbb{Z}_d$

Now, we need some results from the theory of abelian groups. Let  $d > 1$  be a positive integer. Our main object of interest is the group  $\mathbb{Z}_d^4$  equipped with a non-degenerate symplectic form  $\omega$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_d$  (i.e.  $\omega$  is bilinear, alternating and  $\omega(x, \cdot) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ). We will use the perspective that  $\mathbb{Z}_d^4$  is a free module over  $\mathbb{Z}_d$ . The results can be stated in a more general setting, but it is not necessary for our purposes.

We start with a useful fact that we will use quite often:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $k|d$  and let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_d^n$  be of order  $k$ . Then there exists  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_d^n$  of order  $d$  such that  $x = \frac{d}{k}y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x$  be of order  $k$  and consider the canonical projection  $\pi: \mathbb{Z}_d^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_d^n / \langle x \rangle$ . Note that by the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups  $\mathbb{Z}_d^n / \langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{d}{k}}$ . Now,  $\pi^{-1}(0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{\frac{d}{k}})$  is a cyclic group of order  $d$  that contains  $x$ . Hence, there exists a generator  $y$  such that  $x = \frac{d}{k}y$ .  $\square$

As usual, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $E \subset \mathbb{Z}_d^n$  we denote  $kE = \{kx : x \in E\}$  and  $E[k] = \{x \in E : kx = 0\}$ . Then, the lemma yields an immediate corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.** *If  $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_d^4$  and  $k | d$ , then  $E[k] = \frac{d}{k}E$ .*

*Proof.* The inclusion  $\frac{d}{k}E \subseteq E[k]$  is obvious. If  $x \in E[k]$  is of order  $\ell$  (and so  $\ell | k$ ), then the previous lemma tells us that  $x = \frac{d}{\ell}y$  for some  $y$  of order  $d$ . Now

$$x = \frac{d}{k} \frac{k}{\ell} y$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

The first result deals with the case of a direct sum.

**Lemma 3.3.** *For an integer  $d > 1$ , let  $E \cong F \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^2$  with the canonical bases  $e_1, e_2$  and  $f_1, f_2$  be equipped with the alternating forms given by  $\omega_E(e_1, e_2) = \omega_F(f_1, f_2) = 1$ . Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_d^4 = E \oplus F$  with the direct sum of forms  $\omega_E + \omega_F$ . Let  $a, b$  be coprime divisors of  $d$ . Then, there exists a cyclic subgroup  $G$  of order  $d$  such that  $|G \cap E| = a$  and  $|G \cap F| = b$ . Moreover, all such groups are equivalent under the action of a (symplectic) group  $Sp(E, \omega_E) \times Sp(F, \omega_F)$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, consider  $P = be_1 + af_1$ . Since  $e_1, f_1$  are independent, for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_d$  we have  $kP = 0$  if and only if  $kb = ka = 0 \pmod{d}$ . Since  $a, b$  are coprime, then  $\text{lcm}(\frac{d}{a}, \frac{d}{b}) = d$ , so  $d|k$ . Hence  $P$  is of order  $d$ . Now, we need to prove that  $G = \langle P \rangle$  satisfies the assertion. Firstly, note that  $kP \in E$  if and only if  $\frac{d}{a}|k$ . Hence, we can write  $k = \frac{d}{a}l$ , for  $l = 1, \dots, a$ . There are exactly  $a$  possible values of  $l$  and  $\frac{ld}{a}e_1 = 0$  if and only if  $l = a$ . This shows  $|G \cap E| = a$ . Completely analogously, one can show that  $|G \cap F| = b$ .

Let  $H$  be another such group. Let  $Q = k_1e_1 + k_2e_2 + zf_1 + tf_2$  be a generator of  $H$ . Since  $H \cap E$  is of order  $a$ , we know that  $\frac{d}{a}z = \frac{d}{a}t = 0$ . Hence  $z = az'$ ,  $t = at'$  for some  $z', t'$ . Let  $f'_1 = z'f_1 + t'f_2$ . Since  $Q$  is of order  $d$  and therefore  $zf_1 + tf_2$  is of order  $\frac{d}{a}$ , we get that  $f'_1$  is of order  $d$ . Let  $f'_2 \in F$  be chosen so that  $\omega_F(f'_1, f'_2) = 1$ . Now, for a symplectic basis  $e_1, e_2, f'_1, f'_2$  we have  $Q = k_1e_1 + k_2e_2 + af'_1$ . Analogously, one can find symplectic  $e'_1, e'_2 \in E$  such that  $Q = be'_1 + af'_1$ . Since both bases are symplectic, the transition matrix belongs to  $Sp(E, \omega_E) \times Sp(F, \omega_F)$  and hence  $H$  is equivalent to  $G$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.4.** For a non-prime integer  $d$ , having  $E \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^2, E \subset \mathbb{Z}_d^4$  does not imply the existence of  $F$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_d^4 = E \oplus F$ . Therefore, we need a more general and more technical construction that will involve working over  $\mathbb{Z}_{cd}$ , for some  $c > 1$ .

For an integer  $d > 1$ , let  $a, b, c$  be pairwise coprime integers, such that  $a, b$  are divisors of  $d$  and  $c > 1$ . Let  $E \cong F \cong \mathbb{Z}_{cd}^2$  with the canonical bases  $e_1, e_2$  and  $f_1, f_2$  be equipped with the alternating forms given by  $\omega_E(e_1, e_2) = \omega_F(f_1, f_2) = 1$ . Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_d^4 = E \oplus F$  with the direct sum of forms  $\omega_E + \omega_F$ . Assume, there is an isotropic subgroup  $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_c^2$  such that  $K \cap E = K \cap F = \{0\}$  and let  $X = (E \oplus F)/K$  with  $\pi : E \oplus F \rightarrow X$  be the quotient projection. Such group is an example of so called allowable isotropic subgroup in [Bor16].

Note that since  $K \subset (E \oplus F)[c]$  we can write every element of  $K$  as  $dP$  and instead of using restricted symplectic form (that may be trivial), we use the induced symplectic form that is given by  $\omega_K(dP, dQ) = \omega(P, Q) \bmod c$  for which  $K$  has to be isotropic, too.

We will start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** *For any  $K$  satisfying the condition above there exists a symplectic basis  $e_1, e_2 \in E, f_1, f_2 \in F$  such that  $K = \langle dbe_1 + da f_1, dae_2 - dbf_2 \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, note that  $K = \langle dbe_1 + da f_1, dae_2 - dbf_2 \rangle$  satisfies the above properties. Certainly  $K \subset (E \oplus F)[c]$ . Moreover, since  $a, b$  are coprime, both generators are of order exactly  $c$  and they are linearly independent.  $K$  is obviously isotropic since  $ab = ba$ . Moreover, since  $a, c$  (and  $b, c$ ) are coprime, elements  $dbe_1, dae_2$  generates  $E[c]$  and hence  $K \cap E = \{0\}$ . Analogously  $K \cap F = \{0\}$ .

Now, consider the multiplication map  $f_{bd} : \mathbb{Z}_{cd} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_c$ . It is surjective, because  $b, c$  are coprime. Moreover, for any generator  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_c$  there exists a generator  $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{cd}$  such that  $x = bdy$ . To see this, one can use Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions to see that  $y_k = y + kc$  has infinitely many primes, hence at least one is coprime to  $d$  and hence its class in  $\mathbb{Z}_{cd}$  is a generator. The map  $f_{ad}$  has the same properties.

Now, let  $x, y \in K$  be generators of  $K$ . By what we have proved, we can write  $x = dbe_1 + da f_1$  and  $y = dae'_2 - dbf'_2$  for some elements  $e_1, e'_2 \in E, f_1, f'_2 \in F$  of order  $cd$ . By the fact that  $K \cap E = \{0\}$  we see that  $e_1, e'_2$  are independent and hence generate  $E$ . Therefore  $\omega(e_1, e'_2) = \alpha$  is a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}_{cd}$ . Note that  $K$  is isotropic so by direct calculation of  $\omega_K(x, y)$  one gets that  $\omega(f_1, f'_2) = \alpha \bmod c$ , too. Hence, by a possible change of  $f'_2$ , we can assume  $\omega(f_1, f'_2) = \alpha$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{cd}$ .

Now, let  $e_2 = \alpha^{-1}e'_2, f_2 = \alpha^{-1}f'_2$ . Then  $e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2$  is a symplectic basis and  $x, \alpha^{-1}y$  are generators of  $K$  of the desired form.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $E' = \pi(E \times \{0\}), F' = \pi(\{0\} \times F)$ . Then  $E' \cap F' = \pi(dE \times \{0\}) = \pi(\{0\} \times dF)$ . In particular, we have that  $\pi^{-1}(E' \cap F') = dE + dF$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, note that for every  $dx \in dE$  there exists a unique  $dy \in dF$  such that  $dx + dy \in K$  and all elements of  $K$  are of this form.

Hence, for any  $P' = Q' \in E' \cap F'$ , we have  $P' - Q' = 0 \in X$ , so there exist  $P \in E$  with  $\pi(P) = P'$  and  $Q \in F$  with  $\pi(Q) = Q'$  such that  $P - Q \in K$ . Hence  $P - Q = dx + dy$  so  $P - dx = Q + dy = 0$ . hence  $P' = \pi(dx + 0) = Q' = \pi(0 - dy)$ .

On the other hand if  $P' = \pi(dx + 0)$  then there exist  $dy \in dF$ , such that  $dx + dy \in K$ , so  $P' = \pi(dx + 0) = \pi(0 - dy) = Q' \in E' \cap F'$ .

Note that by comparing the orders, one gets  $\pi^{-1}(E' \cap F') = dE + dF$ .  $\square$



Let  $X[d]$  be the set of  $d$ -torsion points of  $X = (E \oplus F)/K$ . Note that  $X[d] \cong \mathbb{Z}_d^4$ . Now, we are interested in the set  $\pi^{-1}(X[d])$  that will be denoted by  $L$ . From the definition, we can write  $L = \{e + f : e \in E, f \in F, de + df \in K\}$ . By  $l$ , we denote  $l = \gcd(c, d)$ . Let  $\tilde{c} = \frac{c}{l}$ . Note that  $cd = \tilde{c}ld$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *The set of cyclic groups  $G' \subset X[d]$  of order  $d$  such that  $G' \cap E' \cap F' = \{0\}$  is dominated by the set of cyclic subgroups  $\tilde{G} \subset L$  of order  $ld$  (via the map  $\pi$ ).*

*Proof.* If  $P \in \tilde{G}$  is a generator of order  $ld$  then  $kP \in dE + dF$  only for  $k$  such that  $d|k$ , so  $\pi(\tilde{G})$  is a desired group. On the other hand, if  $|\tilde{G}| < ld$ , hence  $P \in \tilde{G}$  is a generator of order less than  $ld$  then we can write  $P = k(e + f)$  for some generators  $e \in E$  and  $f \in F$  and  $k > 1, k|d$ . Hence, one gets  $\frac{d}{k}P \in dE + dF$ . Now, if  $\pi(\tilde{G})$  is of order  $d$ , then  $\frac{d}{k}\pi(P) \neq 0$  and we get that  $\pi(\tilde{G}) \cap E' \cap F' \neq \{0\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *For any  $K$  as above and  $G$  that satisfy  $|G| = ld$ ,  $|G \cap K| = l$ ,  $G \cap (dE + dF) = \{0\}$  and  $|G \cap E| = a$ ,  $|G \cap F| = b$  there exists a symplectic basis  $e_1, e_2 \in E, f_1, f_2 \in F$  such that  $G = \langle \tilde{c}be_1 + \tilde{c}af_1 \rangle$ ,  $K = \langle dbe_1 + da f_1, dae_2 - dbf_2 \rangle$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in K$  be of order  $c$ , such that  $\tilde{c}u \in G$  and let  $v \in G$  be a generator of  $G$  (of order  $ld$ , such that  $dv \in K$ ). We claim that  $u + v$  is of order  $cd$ . To see this, let  $k(u + v) = 0$  for the smallest positive  $k$ . This means that  $ku = -kv \in G \cap K$ . In order to have  $ku \in G$  one needs  $\tilde{c}|k$  and for  $kv \in K$  one needs  $d|k$ . Since  $\tilde{c}, d$  are coprime we have  $\tilde{c}d|k$ . Now, note that  $c|\tilde{c}d$ , so in fact,  $ku = 0$  and therefore  $ld|k$ . Since  $\text{lcm}(\tilde{c}, ld) = cd$  we get that  $cd|k$  and so  $u + v$  is of order  $cd$ .

Note that  $d(u + v) \in K$  is of order  $c$  and  $\tilde{c}(u + v) \in G$  is a generator of  $G$ .

By the proof of Lemma 3.3 (applied for order ‘ $ld$ ’), we can write  $v = \tilde{c}be_v + \tilde{c}af_v$  and by Lemma 3.5 we can write  $u = dbe_u + da f_u$  for some  $e_u, e_v \in E, f_u, f_v \in F$ .

Now,  $u + v = be'_1 + af'_1$  for  $e'_1 = de_u + \tilde{c}e_v, f'_1 = df_u + \tilde{c}f_v$ . It may happen that  $f'_1$  is not of order  $cd$ . However, since  $|G \cap E| = a$  and is given by  $\frac{cd}{|af'_1|}$ , we get that  $af'_1$  is of order  $\frac{cd}{a}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we get that  $af'_1 = af_1$  for some  $f_1$  of order  $cd$ . Analogously, we can find  $e_1$  of order  $cd$  such that  $be'_1 = be_1$  and therefore  $u + v = be_1 + af_1$  for  $e_1 \in E, f_1 \in F$  of order  $cd$ . By the proof of Lemma 3.5 one can extend  $e_1, f_1$  symplectically to  $e_2, f_2$  such that  $K = \langle d(u + v), dae_2 - dbf_2 \rangle$ , which proves the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 3.9.** Note that, a posteriori, Lemma 3.3 can be seen as a special case of Lemma 3.8 when  $c = \tilde{c} = 1$ ,  $K = \{0\}$  and  $X = E \oplus F$ .

#### 4. IRREDUCIBILITY OF $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$

We would like to describe the moduli of non-simple abelian surfaces  $\mathcal{E}_d$ . Our natural starting point is a moduli space of products of elliptic curves with a chosen  $d$ -torsion point which in the literature are sometimes called abelian surfaces with a root (cf. [BL95, HW89]). A crucial feature that gives us many components of the moduli space of non-simple surfaces is the fact that a  $d$ -torsion point on a product of elliptic curves is not necessarily a sum of  $d$ -torsion points of elliptic curves. Lemma 3.3, interpreted correctly, shows that all components of the moduli space are parametrised by pairs of coprime divisors of  $d$ . That is why, as before, we fix coprime  $a, b$  that are divisors of  $d$ .

Since a non-simple principally polarised surface is only isogenous to a product, we also use a construction from [Bor16] that involves so-called allowable isotropic subgroups.



In order to make this precise, for  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $X(N)$  denote the modular curve associated to the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(N) := \ker(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_N))$ , i.e. the moduli space of triples  $(E, p, q)$  where  $p, q \in E$  is a symplectic basis of torsion points of order  $N$ .

Now let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$  be coprime integers with  $a|d$ ,  $b|d$  and  $\tilde{c} = \frac{c}{\gcd(c, d)}$  and consider the moduli map

$$\Phi_{a,b,c} : X(cd) \times X(cd) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_2(d)$$

$$((E, e_1, e_2), (F, f_1, f_2)) \mapsto ((E \times F) / \langle \tilde{c}be_1 + \tilde{c}af_1, dbe_1 + dae_2 - dbf_2 \rangle, H)$$

where  $H$  is the polarisation that pulls back to  $cd$  times the principal product polarisation on  $E \times F$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *The map  $\Phi_{a,b,c}$  is a well-defined morphism and its image lies in  $\mathcal{E}_d(\frac{cd}{a}, \frac{cd}{b})$ .*

*Proof.* We start with  $(E \times F, \mathcal{O}_E(cd) \boxtimes \mathcal{O}_F(cd))$  with a  $(cd, cd)$  product polarisation. We define  $K = \langle dbe_1 + dae_2 - dbf_2 \rangle$  and we see that  $K$  is an allowable isotropic subgroup of the set of  $c$ -torsion points, so we can define a quotient  $\pi_c : E \times F \rightarrow (E \times F)/K$  and by [BL06, Cor 6.3.5] and Riemann-Roch we can compute that  $X = (E \times F)/K$  has a  $(d, d)$  polarisation and the quotient map  $\pi_c$  is polarised. Now if  $P = \tilde{c}be_1 + \tilde{c}af_1$  then  $\pi_c(P) \in X[d]$  and  $A = X/\langle P \rangle$  is indeed (again by [BL06, Cor 6.3.5]) a  $(1, d)$ -polarised abelian surface with the quotient map  $\pi_d$  being a polarised isogeny.

The last assertion follows from the fact that  $\pi_c|_{E \times \{0\}}$  is injective, whereas  $\pi_d|_{\pi_c(E \times \{0\})}$  is of order  $a$  and analogously  $(\pi_d \circ \pi_c)|_{\{0\} \times F}$  is of order  $b$ .  $\square$

Now, we are ready to show the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $m, n, d$  be positive integers that satisfy Equation 4. Then, one can write  $m = \frac{cd}{a}$ ,  $n = \frac{cd}{b}$  for some  $a, b$  coprime divisors of  $d$  and  $c$  coprime to  $a, b$  and the map  $\Phi_{a,b,c}$  is surjective onto  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$  is a non-empty irreducible subvariety of  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$  of dimension 2.*

*Proof.* By dividing Equation 4 by  $\gcd(m, n)$  one gets  $\mathrm{lcm}(m, n) | \gcd(m, n)d$ , hence by elementary number theory we can write  $\mathrm{lcm}(m, n) = \gcd(m, n) \cdot q$  for some  $q|d$  and so  $m = \gcd(m, n) \cdot b$ ,  $n = \gcd(m, n) \cdot a$  for some  $a, b$  that are coprime and satisfy  $ab = q$ . In particular  $a, b$  are divisors of  $q$  and hence divisors of  $d$ . Substituting  $m, n$  we get  $\gcd(m, n, d) = \frac{d}{ab}$ , so  $m = \frac{cd}{a}$ ,  $n = \frac{cd}{b}$  for  $c$  coprime to  $a, b$ . Moreover, the triple  $(a, b, c)$  is uniquely determined by  $m, n$  and all triples  $(a, b, c)$  appear in this way.

For this reason, we only need to show that a non-simple abelian surface is in the image of some  $\Phi_{a,b,c}$ . Fortunately, this is a straightforward corollary of Lemma 2.2, Poincaré's Irreducibility Theorem and Lemma 3.8.

To be precise, if  $A$  is a non-simple  $(1, d)$  polarised surface, then one can find maps  $E \times F \rightarrow X \rightarrow A$ , where (up to an isomorphism)  $X = (E \times F)/K$  with  $K$  an allowable isotropic subgroup of  $c$ -torsion points and  $A = X/G$ , for some  $G$  cyclic of order  $d$  with  $|G \cap E| = a$  and  $|G \cap F| = b$ . Now, by Lemma 3.8 there exists a symplectic basis  $e_1, e_2, f_1, f_2$  of the set of  $cd$  torsion points of  $E \times F$ , such that  $K = \langle dbe_1 + dae_2 - dbf_2 \rangle$  and  $G$  is generated by the image of  $\tilde{c}be_1 + \tilde{c}af_1$ . Hence  $A \in \mathrm{im}(\Phi_{a,b,c})$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *The locus of non-simple principally polarised abelian surfaces in  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$  is equal to*

$$\mathcal{E}_d = \bigcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}_d(m, n) = \bigcup_{\substack{a|d, b|d, c \\ (a,b)=(a,c)=(b,c)=1}} \text{Im}(\Phi_{a,b,c}),$$

where the components are irreducible (or empty).

The following corollary computes possible complementary exponents if we fix a pair  $d, n$ .

**Corollary 4.4.** *Let  $n, d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can write uniquely  $n = \frac{cd}{a}$ , where  $a = \frac{d}{\gcd(n,d)}$  and  $c = \frac{n}{\gcd(d,n)} = \frac{\text{lcm}(n,d)}{d}$ . Let  $q$  be a divisor of  $d$  such that  $a|q$  and  $a, \frac{q}{a}, c$  are pairwise coprime. Note that  $q$  always exists (e.g.  $q = a$ ). Denote by  $b = \frac{q}{a}$ .*

*Then  $m = \frac{cd}{b}$  satisfies Theorem 4.2 and is a complementary type to  $n$ . In particular  $\mathcal{E}_d(n)$  is non-empty and the number of irreducible components equals  $\mu(n, d)$  (see Lemma 2.4).*

**Remark 4.5.** In extreme cases, we have the following. If  $d^2|n$  then the only possibility is  $a = b = 1$  and both exponents equal  $n$ . If  $(d, n) = 1$ , then  $c = n$ ,  $q = a = d$ , so  $b = 1$  and we have exponents  $n, dn$ . On the other hand, if  $n = cd$  for some  $c$  coprime to  $d$  then  $a = 1$  and there are as many complementary types as divisors of  $d$  (of the form  $cb$  for  $b|d$ ).

## 5. EQUATIONS FOR $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$ AND CONCLUDING REMARKS

Using [ALR17, Prop 4.4] and [K94, Prop 5.4] one sees that preimages of  $\mathcal{E}_d$  in

$$\mathfrak{h}_2 = \left\{ Z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 \\ z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix} : \text{Im}(Z) > 0 \right\}$$

satisfy singular relations  $da_1z_1 + a_2z_2 + a_3z_3 + a_4(z_2^2 - z_1z_3) + da_5 = 0$  for some integers  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5)$  without a common divisor satisfying  $\Delta = a_2^2 - 4da_1a_3 - 4da_4a_5 = p^2$  for some integer  $p$ . Note that [vdG88, Chapter IX] uses slightly different notation that results in different formulae.

The equations come from the fact that being non-simple is invariant under isogenies. Hence, one can consider a map that takes a period matrix  $[Z \ D]$  to  $[Z \ id]$  and use the pullback of a differential form to get equations of the desired form.

Now we would like to find an equation that induces the locus  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$ . We start with the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Fix  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $x \neq y$  and  $d|xy$ . Let  $A_{x,y} = \mathbb{C}^2/\Lambda$  with  $\Lambda$  generated by the columns of*

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & 1 & 0 \\ z_2 & (x+y)z_2 - xyz_1 & 0 & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Then there exist elliptic curves  $E_x, E_y$  that are embedded in  $A$  via maps of analytic representations  $[1 \ x]$  with the primitive lattice vectors  $f_2 - yf_1, \frac{\text{lcm}(d,x)}{x}e_1 + \text{lcm}(d,x)e_2$  and  $[1 \ y]$  with the primitive lattice vectors  $f_2 - xf_1, \frac{\text{lcm}(d,y)}{y}e_1 + \text{lcm}(d,y)e_2$ . In particular, the exponents are equal to  $|\text{lcm}(d,x) - \frac{y\text{lcm}(d,x)}{x}|$  and  $|\text{lcm}(d,y) - \frac{x\text{lcm}(d,y)}{y}|$ .*

*Proof.* To shorten the proof, we only show a case of  $E_y$ . A direct computation shows that  $[1 \ y]^t(z_2 - xz_1) = f_2 - xf_1$  which is a primitive vector in  $\Lambda$ . A vector  $\frac{\text{lcm}(d,y)}{y}e_1 + \text{lcm}(d,y)e_2$

is indeed primitive in  $\Lambda$  because the coefficients  $\frac{\text{lcm}(d,y)}{y}, \frac{\text{lcm}(d,y)}{d}$  are coprime. The exponent is the absolute value of a symplectic form on primitive vectors in the image, hence we get the assertion.  $\square$

**Remark 5.2.** Note that for general  $z_1, z_2$ , the curves are non-isogenous to each other. In such a case  $A$  contains only these two elliptic curves, so they have to be complementary to each other. One may also explicitly compute the norm maps and associated idempotents using analytic representations.

If we multiply the exponents of  $E_x, E_y$  by  $\text{gcd}(d, x)$  and  $\text{gcd}(d, y)$  respectively, we get the same number  $dx - dy$  (here, we assume  $x > y$ ). The following lemma is then crucial to find equations.

**Lemma 5.3.** *For any pair  $m, n$  of complementary exponents there exist  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  (with  $d|xy$ ) satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} dx - dy = m \cdot \text{gcd}(d, x) \\ dx - dy = n \cdot \text{gcd}(d, y) \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $m = \frac{cd}{a}, n = \frac{cd}{b}$ . By Bezout's identity, there exist  $u, v$  such that  $au - bv = c$ . Let  $x = \frac{du}{b}, y = \frac{dv}{a}$ . Note that  $b, u$  and  $a, v$  have to be coprime, so  $\text{gcd}(d, x) = \frac{d}{b}$  and  $\text{gcd}(d, y) = \frac{d}{a}$ . Therefore  $dx - dy = \frac{d^2}{ab}(au - bv) = \frac{cd^2}{ab} = m\frac{d}{b} = n\frac{d}{a}$  and we get the assertion.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.4.** *For a choice of  $x, y$  as in Lemma 5.3, the abelian surface  $A_{x,y}$  contains elliptic curves of exponents  $m, n$ . This shows that, using irreducibility, we get that  $\mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$  is the image of the set of matrices satisfying  $z_3 = (x + y)z_2 - xyz_1$  with  $\Delta = (\frac{cd}{ab})^2$ . Moreover, having explicit periods  $\tau_E, \tau_F$  of elliptic curves  $E, F$ , one can solve a system of linear equations to get explicit  $z_1, z_2$  and hence explicit period matrix of a surface  $A_{x,y}$  containing  $E$  and  $F$ .*

Lemma 5.3 allows us to find two important examples that show that, contrary to the principally polarised case, the discriminant of a singular equation carries very little information about irreducible components in  $\mathcal{A}_2(d)$ .

**Corollary 5.5.** *For  $d = 6$  the equations  $z_2 = 0$  and  $6z_1 - 5z_2 + z_3 = 0$  (both having  $\Delta = 1$ ) yield abelian surfaces containing complementary elliptic curves of exponents 1, 6 and 2, 3 respectively. Moreover, for  $d = 90$ , the equations  $1620z_1 - 81z_2 + z_3 = 0$  (with  $\Delta = 9^2$ ) and  $180z_1 - 27z_2 + z_3 = 0$  (with  $\Delta = 3^2$ ) induce the same locus  $\mathcal{E}_{90}(18, 45)$  in  $\mathcal{A}_2(90)$ .*

We would like to conclude our paper with the remark connecting our results with the results in [G06]. Guerra's idea was to use directly Poincare's Reducibility Theorem to obtain a map  $E \times F \rightarrow A$ . An advantage of his approach is that one gets models of irreducible components of the moduli space, provided it is non-empty. L. Guerra concludes his paper [G06] with some questions regarding the non-emptiness of the moduli space and irreducible components that are parametrised by the number of orbits of matrices  $[M]$  under the group action. Our paper answers the question stated in [G06, page 334] for surfaces.

**Corollary 5.6.** *Here, we use notation from [G06]. Let  $\delta = (E, D, D')$ , where  $E = (1, d), D = m, D' = n$ . Then the moduli space  $\mathcal{E}_\delta[M]$  is non-empty and all matrices  $M$  are equivalent*

under the action of the group if and only if  $m, n$  satisfy Theorem 4.2. In such a case,  $\mathcal{E}_\delta[M] = \mathcal{E}_d(m, n)$ .

Our result does not generalise well to higher dimensions. A principally polarised case has already been solved in [Auf16] and [Bor16]. In a non-principally polarised case, firstly, not every type can be obtained by a cyclic isogeny from a principally polarised abelian variety. Moreover, you usually get non-principally polarised components, so you inevitably get the problem of non-trivial kernel of the polarising isogeny which restrict possible subgroups to divide by.

#### REFERENCES

- [Auf16] R. Auffarth *On a numerical characterization of non-simple principally polarized abelian varieties*. Math. Z. 282 (2016), no. 3-4, 731–746; MR3473641
- [ALR17] R. Auffarth, H. Lange, A. M. Rojas. *A criterion for an abelian variety to be non-simple*. J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 221 (2017), 1906–1925; MR3623174
- [BL06] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Complex Abelian Varieties. Second edition*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 302. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. xii+635 pp. ISBN: 3-540-20488-1; MR2062673
- [BL95] C. Birkenhake, H. Lange, *Moduli spaces of abelian surfaces with isogeny*, Geometry and analysis (Bombay, 1992), 225–243, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Bombay, 1995; MR1351509
- [Bor16] P. Borówka, *Non-simple principally polarised abelian varieties*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 195 (2016), no. 5, 1531–1549; MR3537961
- [Deb88] O. Debarre, *Sur les variétés de Prym des courbes tétraogonales*. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 21 (1988), no. 4, 545–559; MR0982333
- [vdG88] G. van der Geer, *Hilbert modular surfaces*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), 16. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988. x+291 pp. ISBN: 3-540-17601-2; MR0930101
- [G06] L. Guerra, *Siegel coordinates and moduli spaces for morphisms of abelian varieties* Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 185 (2006), no. 3, 319–335; MR2231028
- [HW89] K. Hulek, S. Weintraub, *Bielliptic abelian surfaces*. Math. Ann. 283 (1989), no. 3, 411–429; MR0985240
- [K94] E. Kani *Elliptic curves on abelian surfaces*. Manuscripta Math. 84 (1994), no. 2, 199–223; MR1285957

R. AUFFARTH, DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE, SANTIAGO, CHILE

*Email address:* rfauffar@uchile.cl

P. BORÓWKA, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY IN KRAKÓW, POLAND

*Email address:* pawel.borowka@uj.edu.pl