

More on co-even domination number

Nima Ghanbari

January 20, 2022

Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, P.O. Box 7803, 5020 Bergen,
Norway

Nima.Ghanbari@uib.no

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A dominating set of G is a subset $D \subseteq V$ such that every vertex not in D is adjacent to at least one vertex in D . The cardinality of a smallest dominating set of G , denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the domination number of G . A dominating set D is called co-even dominating set if the degree of vertex v is even number for all $v \in V - D$. The cardinality of a smallest co-even dominating set of G , denoted by $\gamma_{coe}(G)$, is the co-even domination number of G . In this paper, we find more results on co-even domination number of graphs and count the number of co-even dominating sets of some specific graphs.

Keywords: domination number, co-even dominating set, subdivision, path.

AMS Subj. Class.: 05C38, 05C69, 05C75 , 05C76

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E . Throughout this paper, we consider only simple graphs. For each vertex $v \in V$, the set $N_G(v) = \{u \in V | uv \in E\}$ refers to the open neighbourhood of v and the set $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$ refers to the closed neighbourhood of v in G . The degree of v , denoted by $deg(v)$, is the cardinality of $N_G(v)$. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a dominating set if every vertex in $V - S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S . The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in G . We say that u in dominating set S dominates v , if $v \in N_G(u)$. See [16] for more detail in domination number.

There are various domination numbers in the literature. One of them is co-even domination number. A dominating set D is called a co-even dominating set if the degree of vertex v is even number for all $v \in V - D$. The cardinality of a smallest co-even dominating set of G , denoted by $\gamma_{coe}(G)$, is the co-even domination number of G [19]. We refer the reader to [11, 12, 13, 20] for more detail in co-even domination number of a graph.

We denote by $G \odot v$ the graph obtained from G by the removal of all edges between any pair of neighbours of v , note v is not removed from the graph [3]. The k -subdivision of G , denoted by $G^{\frac{1}{k}}$, is constructed by replacing each edge $v_i v_j$ of G with a path of length k , say $P^{\{v_i, v_j\}}$. These k -paths are called *superedges*, any new vertex is an internal vertex, and is denoted by $x_l^{\{v_i, v_j\}}$ if it belongs to the superedge $P_{\{v_i, v_j\}}$, $i < j$, with distance l from the vertex v_i , where $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. Note that for $k=1$, we have $G^{1/1} = G^1 = G$, and if the graph G has v vertices and e edges, then the graph $G^{\frac{1}{k}}$ has $v + (k-1)e$ vertices and ke edges. Some results about subdivision of a graph can be found in [4, 8, 14].

The concept of domination and related invariants have been generalized in many ways. Most of the papers published so far deal with structural aspects of domination, trying to determine exact expressions for $\gamma(G)$ or some upper and/or lower bounds for it. There were no paper concerned with the enumerative side of the problem by 2008. Regarding to enumerative side of dominating sets, domination polynomial of graph has introduced in [7]. The domination polynomial of graph G is the generating function for the number of dominating sets of G , i.e., $D(G, x) = \sum_{i=1}^{|V(G)|} d(G, i)x^i$ (see [1, 7]). This polynomial and its roots has been actively studied in recent years (see for example [17, 18]). It is natural to count the number of another kind of dominating sets ([2, 9, 15]). Let $\mathcal{D}_{coe}(G, i)$ be the family of co-even dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i and let $d_{coe}(G, i) = |\mathcal{D}_{coe}(G, i)|$. The generating function for the number of co-even dominating sets of G is $D_{coe}(G, x) = \sum_{i=1} d_0(G, i)x^i$.

In the next Section, we study the co-even domination number of graphs constructed by the removal of all edges between any pair of neighbours of a vertex. In Section 3, we find upper bound for co-even domination number of k -subdivision of graphs. Finally, in Section 4, we find the number of co-even dominating sets of some specific graphs and their generating functions.

2 Co-even domination number of $G \odot v$

$G \odot v$ is the graph obtained from G by the removal of all edges between any pair of neighbours of v . In this section we obtain sharp upper and lower bounds for the co-even domination number of $G \odot v$. First we state some known results.

Proposition 2.1 [19] *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and D is a co-even dominating set. Then,*

- (i) *All vertices of odd or zero degrees belong to every co-even dominating set.*
- (ii) *$\deg(v) \geq 2$, for all $v \in V - D$.*
- (iii) *$\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G)$.*

Now we consider to $G \odot v$ and find upper and lower bounds for the co-even domination number of that.

Theorem 2.2 Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and $v \in V$. Then,

$$\gamma_{coe}(G) - \deg(v) + 1 \leq \gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G) + \deg(v) - 1.$$

Proof. Suppose that $v \in V$ and $D_{coe}(G)$ is co-even dominating set of G . First we find the upper bound for $\gamma_{coe}(G \odot v)$. We consider the following cases:

- (i) $v \notin D_{coe}(G)$. In this case, $\deg(v)$ should be even and there exists $u \in N_G(v)$ such that $u \in D_{coe}(G)$. By the definition of $G \odot v$, removal all edges between any pair of neighbours of v , may change their degree to an odd number but the degree of v does not change and remains even. So

$$D_{coe}(G) \cup N_G(v)$$

is a co-even dominating set for $G \odot v$, and since u is adjacent to v and $u \in D_{coe}(G)$, then $\gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G) + \deg(v) - 1$.

- (ii) $v \in D_{coe}(G)$ and $\deg(v)$ is even. In this case we consider to the set

$$(D_{coe}(G) - \{v\}) \cup N_G(v).$$

It is easy to see that this set is a co-even dominating set for $G \odot v$ and since $\deg(v)$ is even, we do not need it in our dominating set and it dominates with all of its neighbours. So $\gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G) + \deg(v) - 1$.

- (iii) $v \in D_{coe}(G)$ and $\deg(v)$ is odd. First, suppose that there is at least a vertex in $N_G(v) \cap D_{coe}(G)$. Then $D_{coe}(G) \cup N_G(v)$ is a co-even dominating set for $G \odot v$ with size at most $\gamma_{coe}(G) + \deg(v) - 1$. Now, suppose that $N_G(v) \cap D_{coe}(G) = \{\}$. We show that all vertices in $N_G(v)$ can not have even degree and connected to odd number of vertices in $N_G(v)$ at the same time. Suppose that this happens and we have all vertices in $N_G(v)$ with even degree and they are connected to odd number of vertices in $N_G(v)$ at the same time. By removing v and all vertices in G which are not in $N_G(v)$ and consider to this subgraph of G , then we have a graph with odd number of vertices and all of the vertices have odd degree, which is a contradiction to the Handshake lemma. So this does not happen. Therefore, there exists at least a vertex $w \in N_G(v)$ which is adjacent to even number of vertices in $N_G(v)$. Note that $\deg(w)$ remains even in $G \odot v$. By considering

$$(N_G(v) - \{w\}) \cup D_{coe}(G)$$

as our dominating set, we have a co-even dominating set with size $\gamma_{coe}(G) + \deg(v) - 1$. Hence, $\gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G) + \deg(v) - 1$.

Now we find the lower bound for $\gamma_{coe}(G \odot v)$. First we form $G \odot v$ and find a co-even dominating set for it. Let $D_{coe}(G \odot v)$ be this set. We consider the following cases:

(i') $v \notin D_{coe}(G \odot v)$. In this case, $deg(v)$ should be even and there exists $u \in N_G(v) \cap D_{coe}(G \odot v)$. By considering

$$D_{coe}(G \odot v) \cup N_G(v)$$

as our dominating set, we have a co-even dominating set for G , and since u is adjacent to v and $u \in D_{coe}(G \odot v)$, then $\gamma_{coe}(G) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) + deg(v) - 1$.

(ii') $v \in D_{coe}(G \odot v)$ and $deg(v)$ is even. In this case we consider to the set

$$(D_{coe}(G \odot v) - \{v\}) \cup N_G(v).$$

It is easy to see that this set is a co-even dominating set for G and since $deg(v)$ is even, we do not need it in our dominating set. So $\gamma_{coe}(G) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) + deg(v) - 1$.

(iii') $v \in D_{coe}(G \odot v)$ and $deg(v)$ is odd. First, suppose that there is at least a vertex in $N_G(v) \cap D_{coe}(G \odot v)$. Then $D_{coe}(G \odot v) \cup N_G(v)$ is a co-even dominating set for G with size at most $\gamma_{coe}(G) + deg(v) - 1$. Now, suppose that $N_G(v) \cap D_{coe}(G \odot v) = \{\}$. By the same argument as case (iii), we conclude that all vertices in $N_G(v)$ can not have even degree and connected to odd number of vertices in $N_G(v)$ at the same time. Therefore, there exists at least a vertex $w \in N_G(v)$ which is adjacent to even number of vertices in $N_G(v)$. Now by considering

$$(N_G(v) - \{w\}) \cup D_{coe}(G \odot v)$$

as our dominating set, we have a co-even dominating set with size $\gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) + deg(v) - 1$ for G . Hence, $\gamma_{coe}(G) \leq \gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) + deg(v) - 1$.

Therefore we have the result. □

We end this section by showing that bounds for co-even domination number of $G \odot v$ are sharp:

Remark 2.3 *The bounds in Theorem 2.2 are sharp. For the upper bound, it suffices to consider G as shown in Figure 1. The set of black vertices in G is a co-even dominating set of G . Also, the set of black vertices is a co-even dominating set of $G \odot v$, and $\gamma_{coe}(G \odot v) = \gamma_{coe}(G) + deg(v) - 1$. For the lower bound, it suffices to consider H as shown in Figure 2. The set of black vertices in H and $H \odot v$ are co-even dominating sets of them, respectively. So $\gamma_{coe}(H \odot v) = \gamma_{coe}(H) - deg(v) + 1$.*

3 Co-even domination number of k -subdivision of a graph

In this section, we study the co-even domination number of k -subdivision of a graph and find upper bound for that. The k -subdivision of G , denoted by $G^{\frac{1}{k}}$, is constructed by replacing each edge $v_i v_j$ of G with a path of length k , say $P^{\{v_i, v_j\}}$. These k -paths are called *superedges*, any new vertex is an internal vertex, and is denoted by $x_l^{\{v_i, v_j\}}$




Figure 1: Graphs G and $G \odot v$




Figure 2: Graphs H and $H \odot v$

if it belongs to the superedge $P_{\{v_i, v_j\}}$, $i < j$, with distance l from the vertex v_i , where $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$. In the following, we present upper bound for co-even domination number of k -subdivision of a graph. First we state a known result.

Lemma 3.1 [10] $\gamma(P_n) = \lceil \frac{n}{3} \rceil$.

For study the co-even domination number of k -subdivision of a graph, first we consider to $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and find a sharp upper bound for it.

Theorem 3.2 Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. Then,

$$\gamma_{\text{coe}}(G^{\frac{1}{2}}) \leq |V(G)|.$$

Proof. By considering $V(G)$ in $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we have a dominating set for that. By considering any edge $uv \in E$, Since any vertex $x_1^{\{u,v\}}$ in superedge $P^{\{u,v\}}$ in $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ has degree 2, then we do not need them in our co-even dominating set if they dominate with some other vertices. Hence $V(G)$ is a co-even dominating set for $G^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and we have the result. \square

Remark 3.3 The upper bound in Theorem 3.2 is sharp. It suffices to consider G as star graph $S_4 = k_{1,3}$. One can easily check that $\gamma_{\text{coe}}(S_4^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 4$ which is the number of vertices of S_4 .




Figure 3: Superedge $P^{\{u,v\}}$ in $G^{\frac{1}{n}}$ related to the proof of Theorem 3.6

The following example shows that $\gamma_{coe}(G^{\frac{1}{2}})$ can be less than $|V(G)|$:

Example 3.4 For the path graph P_4 , we have $P_4^{\frac{1}{2}} = P_7$, and it is easy to see that $\gamma_{coe}(P_4^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 3 < 4$.

Now we find the exact value of co-even domination number of 3-subdivision of a graph.

Theorem 3.5 Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. Then,

$$\gamma_{coe}(G^{\frac{1}{3}}) = |V(G)|.$$

Proof. For every edge $uv \in E(G)$, we have a superedge $P^{\{u,v\}}$ with vertices $u, x_1^{\{u,v\}}, x_2^{\{u,v\}}$ and v in $G^{\frac{1}{3}}$. To have a dominating set in four consecutive vertices, we need at least two vertices. By choosing u and v , then we have a dominating set for $G^{\frac{1}{3}}$ with size $|V(G)|$. It is easy to see that there is no set with smaller size as dominating set for this graph. Since $x_1^{\{u,v\}}$ and $x_2^{\{u,v\}}$ in superedge $P^{\{u,v\}}$ have degree 2, then our dominating set, is a co-even dominating set too. Therefore $\gamma_{coe}(G^{\frac{1}{3}}) = |V(G)|$. \square

Now we consider to $G^{\frac{1}{n}}$, where $n \geq 4$ and find an upper bound for co-even domination number of that.

Theorem 3.6 Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph and $n \geq 4$. Then,

$$\gamma_{coe}(G^{\frac{1}{n}}) \leq |V(G)| + \gamma(P_{n-3})|E(G)|.$$

Proof. For every $uv \in E(G)$, we consider $u, x_1^{\{u,v\}}, x_2^{\{u,v\}}, \dots, x_{n-2}^{\{u,v\}}, x_{n-1}^{\{u,v\}}, v$ as vertex sequence of $P^{\{u,v\}}$, as shown in Figure 3. First we put all vertices in $V(G)$ in our dominating set. So we do not need $x_1^{\{u,v\}}$ and $x_{n-1}^{\{u,v\}}$ in our (co-even) dominating set since they dominate by vertices in $V(G)$. Now we have a path of order $n - 3$ by vertices $x_2^{\{u,v\}}, x_3^{\{u,v\}}, \dots, x_{n-2}^{\{u,v\}}$ in $P^{\{u,v\}}$. We choose $\gamma(P_{n-3})$ vertices among these vertices which actually makes a dominating set for this path, and put these vertices in our dominating set. Then by applying this process to all edges, we have a co-even dominating set with size $|V(G)| + \gamma(P_{n-3})|E(G)|$ for $G^{\frac{1}{n}}$. Note that since we chose every vertex in $V(G)$, then we do not have any vertex with odd degree among vertices we did not choose. Therefore we have the result. \square




Figure 4: Graphs G and $G^{\frac{1}{6}}$

Remark 3.7 *The upper bound in Theorem 3.6 is sharp. It suffices to consider G as shown in Figure 4. The set of black vertices in $G^{\frac{1}{6}}$, is a co-even dominating set for that with minimum size. By Lemma 3.1, $\gamma(P_3) = 1$. We have $|V(G)| + \gamma(P_{n-3})|E(G)| = 6 + 1(9) = 15$. So $\gamma_{coe}(G^{\frac{1}{6}}) = |V(G)| + \gamma(P_3)|E(G)|$.*

4 Number of co-even dominating sets of specific graphs

In this section, we study the number of co-even dominating sets of some graph classes. Let $\mathcal{D}_{coe}(G, i)$ be the family of co-even dominating sets of a graph G with cardinality i and let $d_{coe}(G, i) = |\mathcal{D}_{coe}(G, i)|$. The generating function for the number of co-even dominating sets of G is $D_{coe}(G, x) = \sum_{i=1} d_0(G, i)x^i$. In the following, we consider to some special graph classes and compute the number of co-even dominating sets of them and their generating function. By the definition of co-even dominating set, we have the following easy result:

Lemma 4.1 *If a graph G has no vertices with odd degree, then $\mathcal{D}_{coe}(G, i) = \mathcal{D}(G, i)$.*

As an immediate result of Lemma 4.1, we have:

Proposition 4.2 *For the cycle graph C_n , we have $d_{coe}(C_n, i) = d(C_n, i)$.*

Alikhani et al. computed $d(C_n, i)$ in [5]. Now we consider the path graph P_n and compute $d_{coe}(P_n, i)$. Note that $\gamma_{coe}(P_n) = 2 + \lceil \frac{n-4}{3} \rceil$ (see [19]). We need the following theorem:

Theorem 4.3 [6] *The number of dominating sets of path graph P_n , satisfies the following recursive relation:*

$$d(P_n, i) = d(P_{n-1}, i-1) + d(P_{n-1}, i-2) + d(P_{n-1}, i-3).$$

Theorem 4.4 (i) *For every $n \geq 8$, the number of co-even dominating sets of path P_n with cardinality i , $d_{coe}(P_n, i)$, is:*

$$\begin{aligned} d_{coe}(P_n, i) &= d(P_{n-2}, i-2) + 2d_{coe}(P_{n-4}, i-2) \\ &\quad + 2d_{coe}(P_{n-5}, i-2) + d_{coe}(P_{n-6}, i-2). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 5: Making co-even dominating sets of P_n related to the proof of Theorem 4.4

(ii) For every $n \geq 8$, for the generating function of co-even dominating sets of path P_n we have:

$$D_{coe}(P_n, x) = x^2 \left(D(P_{n-2}, x) + 2D_{coe}(P_{n-4}, x) \right. \\ \left. + 2D_{coe}(P_{n-5}, x) + D_{coe}(P_{n-6}, x) \right).$$

Proof.

(i) Since we have two vertices with odd degree, then we need these vertices in our co-even dominating set. Suppose that this set is S . We consider the following cases (See figure 5):

- (I) We find a dominating set with cardinality $i - 2$ for the rest of vertices which is a path of order $n - 2$. So, in this case, we have $d(P_{n-2}, i - 2)$ co-even dominating sets. Note that in this case, at least one of the vertices v_2 or v_3 and at least one of the vertices v_{n-1} or v_{n-2} are in S .
- (II) $v_2, v_3 \notin S$ and $v_{n-1} \in S$. In this case, we should have $v_4 \in S$. By considering the path consist of vertices $v_4, v_5, v_6, \dots, v_{n-1}$, we have a path of order $n - 4$, and since $v_4, v_{n-1} \in S$, then finding a dominating set for this path is like finding a co-even dominating set for P_{n-4} . So, in this case, we have $d_{coe}(P_{n-4}, i - 2)$ co-even dominating sets.
- (III) $v_{n-2}, v_{n-1} \notin S$ and $v_2 \in S$. In this case, we should have $v_{n-3} \in S$. By similar argument as case (II), we have $d_{coe}(P_{n-4}, i - 2)$ co-even dominating sets.
- (IV) $v_2, v_3, v_{n-1} \notin S$ and $v_{n-2} \in S$. In this case, we should have $v_4 \in S$. By considering the path consist of vertices $v_4, v_5, v_6, \dots, v_{n-2}$, we have a path of order $n - 5$, and since $v_4, v_{n-2} \in S$, then finding a dominating set for this path is like finding a co-even dominating set for P_{n-5} . So, in this case, we have $d_{coe}(P_{n-5}, i - 2)$ co-even dominating sets.
- (V) $v_2, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1} \notin S$ and $v_3 \in S$. In this case, we should have $v_{n-3} \in S$. By similar argument as case (IV), we have $d_{coe}(P_{n-5}, i - 2)$ co-even dominating sets.

- (VI) $v_2, v_3, v_{n-1}, v_{n-2} \notin S$. In this case, we should have $v_4, v_{n-3} \in S$. By considering the path consist of vertices $v_4, v_5, v_6, \dots, v_{n-3}$, we have a path of order $n - 6$, and since $v_4, v_{n-3} \in S$, then finding a dominating set for this path is like finding a co-even dominating set for P_{n-6} . So, in this case, we have $d_{coe}(P_{n-6}, i - 2)$ co-even dominating sets.

Therefore, we have the result.

- (ii) It follows from Part (i). □

It is easy to find $d_{coe}(P_n, j)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 7$. By using Theorem 4.4, we obtain $d_{coe}(P_n, j)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 12$ as shown in Table 1.

j	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
n												
1	1											
2	0	1										
3	0	1	1									
4	0	1	2	1								
5	0	0	3	3	1							
6	0	0	2	6	4	1						
7	0	0	1	7	10	5	1					
8	0	0	0	6	16	15	6	1				
9	0	0	0	3	19	30	21	7	1			
10	0	0	0	1	16	46	50	28	8	1		
11	0	0	0	0	10	49	90	76	36	9	1	
12	0	0	0	0	4	45	126	161	112	45	10	1

Table 1. $d_{coe}(P_n, j)$ The number of co-even dominating sets of P_n with cardinality j .

Here, we consider the number of co-even dominating sets of complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,m}$. If n and m are odd, then we need all the vertices in our co-even dominating set. If n is odd and m is even, then we need $m + 1$ vertices to have co-even dominating set. If n and m are even, then we need two vertices for our co-even dominating set. By an easy argument we have:

Proposition 4.5 *For the number of co-even dominating sets of complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,m}$ with cardinality i where $0 < i \leq n + m$,*

- (i) $d_{coe}(K_{n,m}, i) = 0$ if n and m are odd and $i < n + m$, and

$$d_{coe}(K_{n,m}, n + m) = 1.$$

- (ii) $d_{coe}(K_{n,m}, i) = 0$ if n is odd and m is even and $i < m + 1$, and for $m + 1 \leq i \leq n + m$ we have

$$d_{coe}(K_{n,m}, i) = \binom{n}{i - m}.$$

(iii) $d_{coe}(K_{n,m}, 1) = 0$ if n and m are even, and for $2 \leq i \leq n + m$, where $i = t + s$ such that $1 \leq t \leq n$ and $1 \leq s \leq m$ we have

$$d_{coe}(K_{n,m}, i) = \sum_{t+s=i} \binom{n}{t} \binom{m}{s}.$$

As an immediate result of Proposition 4.5, we have:

Corollary 4.6 *The generating function for the number of co-even dominating sets of complete bipartite graphs $K_{n,m}$ is*

- (i) $D_{coe}(K_{n,m}, x) = x^{n+m}$, if n and m are odd.
- (ii) $D_{coe}(K_{n,m}, x) = \sum_{i=m+1}^{n+m} \binom{n}{i-m} x^i$, if n is odd and m is even.
- (iii) $D_{coe}(K_{n,m}, x) = \sum_{i=2}^{n+m} \sum_{t+s=i} \binom{n}{t} \binom{m}{s} x^i$, if n and m are even.

Now we consider the number of co-even dominating sets of complete graphs K_n . If n is even, then the degree of each vertex is odd and we need all vertices in our co-even dominating set, but if n is odd, then we need only one vertex for our co-even dominating set. Hence, we have the following result:

Proposition 4.7 *For the number of co-even dominating sets of complete graphs K_n with cardinality i where $0 < i \leq n$,*

- (i) $d_{coe}(K_n, i) = 0$ if n is even and $i < n$, and $d_{coe}(K_n, n) = 1$.
- (ii) If n is odd, then $d_{coe}(K_n, i) = \binom{n}{i}$.

We end this section by an immediate result of Proposition 4.7:

Corollary 4.8 *The generating function for the number of co-even dominating sets of complete graphs K_n is*

- (i) $D_{coe}(K_n, x) = x^n$, if n is even.
- (ii) $D_{coe}(K_n, x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} x^i$, if n is odd.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we obtained some lower and upper bounds of co-even domination number of graphs which constructed by removing edges between all neighbours of a vertex, and show that these bounds are sharp. Then, we presented sharp upper bound for co-even domination number of a k -subdivision of a graph. Also we introduced the concept of the generating function for the number of co-even dominating sets of a graph and computed that for specific graphs. Future topics of interest for future research include the following suggestions:

- (i) Finding generating function for the number of co-even dominating sets of other graph classes.
- (ii) Finding lower bound for co-even domination number of a k -subdivision of a graph.

6 Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the Research Council of Norway and Department of Informatics, University of Bergen for their support.

References

- [1] S. Akbari, S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, Characterization of graphs using domination polynomial, *Europ. J. Combin.*, 31 (2010) 1714-1724.
- [2] S. Alikhani, M.H. Akhbari, C. Eslahchi, R. Hasni, On the number of outer connected dominating sets of graphs, *Utilitas Math.* 91 (2013) 99-107.
- [3] S. Alikhani, E. Deutsch, More on domination polynomial and domination root, *Ars Combin.*, 134 (2017) 215-232.
- [4] S. Alikhani, N. Ghanbari, Introduction to total dominator edge chromatic number, *TWMS J. App. and Eng. Math.*, Accepted, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/1801.08871>.
- [5] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, Dominating sets and Domination Polynomials of Certain Graphs, II, *Opuscula Mathematica*, Vol 30, No 1 (2010) 37-51.
- [6] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, Dominating sets and domination polynomials of paths, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, (2009) Article ID 542040.
- [7] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, Introduction to domination polynomial of a graph, *Ars Combin.* 114 (2014) 257-266.
- [8] Ch. S. Babu, A. A. Diwan, Subdivisions of graphs: A generalization of paths and cycles, *Discrete Mathematics*, 308 (2008) 44794486.
- [9] K. Borissevich and T. Došlić, Counting dominating sets in cactus chains, *Filomat* 29 (8) (2015) 1847-1855.
- [10] G. Chartrand, P. Zhang, Introduction to Graph Theory, *McGraw-Hill, Boston, Mass, USA*, 2005.
- [11] N. Ç. Demirpolat, E. Kılıç, Co-Even Domination Number of Some Path Related Graphs, *Journal of Modern Technology and Engineering* , 6(2) (2021) 143-150.
- [12] N. Ghanbari, Co-even domination number of some binary operations on graphs, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.01845>.
- [13] N. Ghanbari, Co-even domination number of graphs constructed by removal or contraction of a vertex (an edge), available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.08504>.
- [14] N. Ghanbari, Secure domination number of k -subdivision of graphs, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.09190>.

- [15] N. Ghanbari, S. Alikhani, On the number of isolate dominating sets of certain graphs, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.04397>.
- [16] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of domination in graphs. Marcel Dekker, (1998) NewYork.
- [17] T. Kotek, J. Preen, F. Simon, P. Tittmann, M. Trinks, Recurrence relations and splitting formulas for the domination polynomial, *Elec. J. Combin.* 19(3) (2012) 27 pp.
- [18] M.R. Oboudi, On the roots of domination polynomial of graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 205 (2016) 126-131.
- [19] M. M. Shalaan, A. A. Omran, Co-even Domination in Graphs, *International Journal of Control and Automation*, 13(3) (2020) 330-334.
- [20] M. M. Shalaan, A. A. Omran, (2020). Co-even Domination Number in Some Graphs. *IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 928 (2020) 042015, doi:10.1088/1757-899X/928/4/042015.