

# On the spread of outerplanar graphs

Daniel Gotshall\*      Megan O'Brien\*      Michael Tait\*

November 24, 2021

## Abstract

The spread of a graph is the difference between the largest and most negative eigenvalue of its adjacency matrix. We show that for sufficiently large  $n$ , the  $n$ -vertex outerplanar graph with maximum spread is a vertex joined to a linear forest with  $\Omega(n)$  edges. We conjecture that the extremal graph is a vertex joined to a path on  $n - 1$  vertices.

## 1 Introduction

The *spread* of a square matrix  $M$  is defined to be

$$S(M) := \max_{i,j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|,$$

where the maximum is taken over all pairs of eigenvalues of  $M$ . That is,  $S(M)$  is the diameter of the spectrum of  $M$ . The spread of general matrices has been studied in several papers (e.g. [4, 9, 18, 24, 25, 34, 37]). In this paper, given a graph  $G$  we will study the spread of the adjacency matrix of  $G$ , and we will call this quantity the *spread of  $G$*  and denote it by  $S(G)$ . Since the adjacency matrix of an  $n$ -vertex graph is real and symmetric, it has a full set of real eigenvalues which we may order as  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . In this case, the spread of  $G$  is given simply by  $\lambda_1 - \lambda_n$ .

The study of the spread of graphs was introduced in a systematic way by Gregory, Hershkowitz, and Kirkland in [15]. Since then, the spread of graphs has been studied extensively. A problem in this area with an extremal flavor is to maximize or minimize the spread over a fixed family of graphs. This problem has been considered for trees [1], graphs with few cycles [13, 27, 38], the family of all  $n$ -vertex graphs [3, 6, 29, 31, 32, 35], bipartite graphs [6], graphs with a given matching number [19] or girth [36] or size [22].

We also note that spreads of other matrices associated with a graph have been considered extensively (e.g. [2, 12, 14, 16, 21, 23, 26, 39, 40, 41]), but in this paper we will focus on

---

\*Department of Mathematics & Statistics, Villanova University, email addresses {`dgotshall`, `mobrie71`, `michael.tait`}@villanova.edu. The third author is partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-2011553.

the adjacency matrix. This paper examines the question of maximizing the spread of an  $n$ -vertex outerplanar graph. A graph is *outerplanar* if it can be drawn in the plane with no crossings and such that all vertices are incident with the unbounded face. Similarly to Wagner's theorem characterizing planar graphs, a graph is outerplanar if and only if it does not contain either  $K_{2,3}$  or  $K_4$  as a minor. Maximizing the spread of this family of graphs is motivated by the extensive history on maximizing eigenvalues of planar or outerplanar graphs, for example [5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 17, 20, 28, 30, 42, 43].

Our main theorem comes close to determining the outerplanar graph of maximum spread. Let  $P_k$  denote the path on  $k$  vertices and  $G \vee H$  the join of  $G$  and  $H$ . A linear forest is a disjoint union of paths.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For  $n$  sufficiently large, any graph which maximizes spread over the family of outerplanar graphs on  $n$  vertices is of the form  $K_1 \vee F$  where  $F$  is a linear forest with  $\Omega(n)$  edges.*

We leave it as an open problem to determine whether or not  $F$  should be a path on  $n - 1$  vertices, and we conjecture that this is the case.

**Conjecture 1.2.** *For  $n$  sufficiently large, the unique  $n$ -vertex outerplanar graph of maximum spread is  $K_1 \vee P_{n-1}$ .*

## 2 Preliminaries

Let  $G$  be an outerplanar graph of maximum spread and let  $A$  be its adjacency. We will frequently assume that  $n$  is sufficiently large. We will use the characterization that a graph is outerplanar if and only if it does not contain  $K_{2,3}$  or  $K_4$  as a minor. In particular,  $G$  does not contain  $K_{2,3}$  as a subgraph. Given a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , the neighborhood of  $v$  will be denoted by  $N(v)$  and its degree by  $d_v$ . If  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we will use  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  to mean that there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for  $n$  sufficiently large.  $f = \Omega(g)$  means that  $g = \mathcal{O}(f)$  and  $f = \Theta(g)$  means that  $f = \mathcal{O}(g)$  and  $g = \mathcal{O}(f)$ . We will occasionally have sequences of inequalities where we will abuse notation and mix inequality symbols with  $\mathcal{O}(\cdot)$  and  $\Theta(\cdot)$ .

Let the eigenvalues of  $A$  be represented as  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . For any disconnected graph, adding an edge between the connected components will not decrease  $\lambda_1$  and will also not decrease  $-\lambda_n$ . Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $G$  is connected. By the Perron-Frobenius theorem we may assume that the eigenvector  $\mathbf{x}$  corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  has  $\mathbf{x}_u > 0$  for all  $u$ .

Furthermore, we will normalize  $\mathbf{x}$  so that it has maximum entry equal to 1, and let  $\mathbf{x}_w = 1$  where  $w$  is a vertex attaining the maximum entry in  $\mathbf{x}$ . Note there may be more than one such vertex, in which case we can arbitrarily choose and fix  $w$  among all such vertices. The other eigenvector of interest to us corresponds to  $\lambda_n$ , call it  $\mathbf{z}$ . We will also normalize  $\mathbf{z}$  so that its largest entry in absolute value has absolute value 1 and let  $w'$  correspond to a vertex with maximum absolute value in  $\mathbf{z}$  (so  $\mathbf{x}_{w'}$  equals 1 or  $-1$ ).

We will implement the following known equalities for the largest and smallest eigenvalues:

$$\lambda_1 = \max_{\mathbf{x}' \neq 0} \frac{(\mathbf{x}')^t A \mathbf{x}'}{(\mathbf{x}')^t \mathbf{x}'} = \frac{\mathbf{x}^t A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^t \mathbf{x}} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\lambda_n = \min_{\mathbf{z}' \neq 0} \frac{(\mathbf{z}')^t A \mathbf{z}'}{(\mathbf{z}')^t \mathbf{z}'} = \frac{\mathbf{z}^t A \mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^t \mathbf{z}} \quad (2.2)$$

An important result from equations 2.1 and 2.2 and the Perron-Frobenius Theorem is that for any strict subgraph  $H$  of  $G$ , we have  $\lambda_1(A(G)) > \lambda_1(A(H))$ . Finally, we will use the following theorem from [33]

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $n$  large enough,  $K_1 \vee P_{n-1}$  has maximum spectral radius over all  $n$ -vertex outerplanar graphs.*

### 3 Vertex of Maximum Degree

The main goal of this section is to prove that  $G$  has a vertex of degree  $n - 1$ . This is stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For  $n$  large enough, we have  $d_w = n - 1$ .*

As a first step, we will get preliminary upper and lower bounds on the largest and smallest eigenvalues of  $G$ . First we obtain an upper bound on the spectral radius.

**Lemma 3.2.**  $\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{n} + 1$ .

*Proof.* We define the graph  $G_1$  to be the graph  $K_1 \vee P_{n-1}$ . By Theorem 2.3, we know that any outerplanar graph on sufficiently many vertices cannot have a spectral radius larger than that of  $G_1$ . Now define  $G_2$  as  $G_1$  with another edge joining the endpoints of the path, so  $G_2 = K_1 \vee C_{n-1}$ . Clearly  $G_1$  is a subgraph of  $G_2$ . Putting all this together gives us

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq \lambda_1(G_1) < \lambda_1(G_2) = \sqrt{n} + 1,$$

where the last equality can be calculated using an equitable partition with two parts (the dominating vertex and the cycle).  $\square$

Next we bound  $|\lambda_n|$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *For  $n$  sufficiently large,  $\sqrt{n-1} - 2 \leq |\lambda_n| \leq \sqrt{n-1} + 2$ .*

*Proof.* The upper bound on  $|\lambda_n|$  follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 and the well-known fact  $\lambda_1 \geq |\lambda_n|$  for any graph. Now to get the lower bound, since  $G$  is the outerplanar graph on  $n$  vertices that maximizes spread, we have

$$S(G) \geq S(K_{1,n-1})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lambda_1(K_{1,n-1}) - \lambda_n(K_{1,n-1}) \\
&= \sqrt{n-1} - (-\sqrt{n-1}) \\
&= 2\sqrt{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So

$$2\sqrt{n-1} \leq \lambda_1(G) - \lambda_n(G) \leq \sqrt{n} + 1 - \lambda_n(G) < \sqrt{n-1} + 2 - \lambda_n(G).$$

Hence we have

$$-\lambda_n \geq \sqrt{n-1} - 2.$$

□

Essentially the same proof also gives a lower bound for  $\lambda_1$ .

**Corollary 3.4.** *For  $n$  large enough we have  $\lambda_1 \geq \sqrt{n-1} - 2$ .*

We shall use Lemma 3.3 to obtain a lower bound on the degree of each vertex.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $u$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $G$ . Then  $d_u > |\mathbf{z}_u|n - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$  and  $d_u > \mathbf{x}_u n - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ .*

*Proof.* We will show the first part explicitly. When  $\lambda_n$  is the smallest eigenvalue for our graph, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda_n^2 \mathbf{z}_u| &= \left| \sum_{y \sim u} \sum_{v \sim y} \mathbf{z}_v \right| \\
&\leq d_u + \sum_{y \sim u} \sum_{\substack{v \sim y \\ v \neq u}} |\mathbf{z}_v|.
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that an outerplanar graph cannot have a  $K_{2,3}$ . This implies every vertex in  $G$  has at most two neighbors in  $N(u)$ , meaning the eigenvector entry for each vertex contained in the neighborhood of  $N(u)$  can be counted at most twice. Hence  $\sum_{y \sim u} \sum_{v \sim y} |\mathbf{z}_v| \leq 2 \sum_{v \neq u} |\mathbf{z}_v|$ . Note

$$|\lambda_n| |\mathbf{z}_v| \leq \sum_{v' \sim v} |\mathbf{z}_{v'}| \leq \sum_{v' \sim v} 1 = d_v.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{y \sim u} \sum_{v \sim y} |\mathbf{z}_v| \leq 2 \sum_{v \neq u} |\mathbf{z}_v| \leq \frac{2}{|\lambda_n|} \sum_{v \neq u} d_v \leq \frac{4e(G)}{|\lambda_n|} \leq \frac{4(2n-3)}{|\lambda_n|},$$

as  $e(G) \leq 2n-3$  by outerplanarity. Combining and using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$(\sqrt{n-1} - 2)^2 |\mathbf{z}_u| \leq |\lambda_n^2 \mathbf{z}_u| \leq d_u + \frac{4(2n-3)}{|\lambda_n|} \leq d_u + \frac{8n}{\sqrt{n-1} - 2},$$

for  $n$  sufficiently large. Isolating  $d_u$  gives the result. A similar proof can be written to justify the lower bound with respect to  $\mathbf{x}$  and we omit these details.

□

**Lemma 3.6.** *We have  $d_w > n - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$  and  $d_{w'} > n - \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ . For every other vertex  $u$  we get  $|\mathbf{z}_u|, \mathbf{x}_u = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ , for  $n$  sufficiently large.*

*Proof.* The bound on  $d_w$  and  $d_{w'}$  follows immediately from the previous lemma and the normalization that  $|\mathbf{z}_{w'}| = \mathbf{x}_w = 1$ . Now consider any other vertex  $u$ . We know that  $G$  contains no  $K_{2,3}$  and hence can have at most 2 common neighbors with  $w$ . Thus  $d_u = \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{n})$ . By Lemma 3.5, we have that there are constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$c_1\sqrt{n} > d_u\mathbf{x}_u - c_2\sqrt{n},$$

and

$$c_1\sqrt{n} > d_u|\mathbf{z}_u| - c_2\sqrt{n},$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . This implies the result.  $\square$

If  $w$  and  $w'$  were distinct vertices, then for sufficiently large  $n$  they would share many neighbors, contradicting outerplanarity. Hence we immediately have the following important fact.

**Corollary 3.7.** *For  $n$  sufficiently large we have  $w = w'$ .*

Hence from now on, we will denote the vertex in Corollary 3.7 by  $w$ , and furthermore for the remainder of the paper we will also assume that  $\mathbf{z}_w = 1$  without loss of generality. Before deriving our next result quantifying the other entries of  $\mathbf{z}$ , we first need to define an important vertex set.

**Definition 3.8.** Recall that  $w$  is the fixed vertex of maximum degree in  $G$ . Let  $B = V(G) \setminus (N(w) \cup \{w\})$ .

Now we consider the  $\mathbf{z}_u$  eigenvector entries of vertices in  $B$ . At the moment we know that each eigenvector entry for a vertex in  $B$  has order at most  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ . The next lemma shows that in fact the sum of all of the eigenvector entries of  $B$  has this order.

**Lemma 3.9.** *For  $n$  large enough, we have that  $\sum_{u \in B} |\mathbf{z}_u|$  and  $\sum_{u \in B} \mathbf{x}_u$  are each  $\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $u \in B$ . Since  $u$  is not adjacent to  $w$ , all of the neighbors of  $u$  have eigenvector entry of order at most  $1/\sqrt{n}$  and the size of  $B$  is also of order at most  $1/\sqrt{n}$  by Lemma 3.6. Hence there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$|\lambda_n||\mathbf{z}_u| \leq \sum_{v \sim u} |\mathbf{z}_v| \leq \frac{Cd_u}{\sqrt{n}},$$

and  $|B| \leq C\sqrt{n}$ . Now

$$\sum_{u \in B} |\mathbf{z}_u| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_n|} \sum_{u \in B} \left(\frac{Cd_u}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq \frac{C}{|\lambda_n|\sqrt{n}}(e(B, V(G) \setminus B) + 2e(B)).$$

Each vertex in  $B$  is connected to at most two vertices in  $N(u)$ , so  $e(B, V(G) \setminus B) \leq 2|B| \leq 2C\sqrt{n}$ . The graph induced on  $B$  is outerplanar, so  $e(B) \leq 2|B| - 3 < 2C\sqrt{n}$ . Finally, using Lemma 3.3, we get the required result. A slightly modified version of this argument proves the bound on  $\sum_{u \in B} \mathbf{x}_u$ .  $\square$

We will use Lemma 3.9 to show that  $B$  is empty, and this will complete the proof of Theorem 3.1. First we define the following alteration of  $G$ . Let  $t$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $B$  (if it exists).

**Definition 3.10.** Let  $G^*$  be the graph defined such that its adjacency matrix  $A^*$  satisfies

$$A_{ij}^* = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = w, j = t \\ 0 & \text{if } i = t, j \neq w \\ A_{ij} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

That is, to get  $G^*$  from  $G$  we add an edge from  $t$  to  $w$  and remove all other edges incident with  $t$ . In particular, the only neighbor of the  $t$  vertex in  $G^*$  is  $w$ .

**Lemma 3.11.** *For large enough  $n$ ,  $B$  is empty.*

*Proof.* Assume for contradiction that  $B$  is nonempty. Then there is a vertex  $t$  such that  $t \not\sim w$ . Define  $G^*$  as in Definition 3.10. Furthermore, we define the vector  $\mathbf{z}^*$  which slightly modifies  $\mathbf{z}$  as follows.

$$\mathbf{z}_u^* = \begin{cases} \mathbf{z}_u & \text{if } u \neq t \\ -|\mathbf{z}_u| & \text{if } u = t \end{cases}$$

That is, if  $\mathbf{z}_t < 0$  then  $\mathbf{z}^*$  is the same vector as  $\mathbf{z}$  and otherwise we flip the sign of  $\mathbf{z}_t$ . Note that  $(\mathbf{z}^*)^T \mathbf{z}^* = \mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} S(G^*) - S(G) &\geq \left( \frac{\mathbf{x}^T A^* \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} - \frac{(\mathbf{z}^*)^T A^* \mathbf{z}^*}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} \right) - \left( \frac{\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} - \frac{\mathbf{z}^T A \mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\mathbf{x}_t}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \left( 1 - \sum_{v \sim t} x_v \right) + \frac{2\mathbf{z}_t}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} \left( \text{sgn}(\mathbf{z}_t) + \sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{z}_v \right) \\ &\geq \frac{2\mathbf{x}_t}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \left( 1 - \sum_{v \sim t} x_v \right) + \frac{2|\mathbf{z}_t|}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} \left( 1 - \left| \sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{z}_v \right| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\text{sgn}(\mathbf{z}_t)$  equals 1 if  $\mathbf{z}_t > 0$  and  $-1$  otherwise.

$$\left| \sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{z}_v \right| \leq \sum_{v \sim t} |\mathbf{z}_v| \leq \sum_{\substack{v \sim t \\ v \notin B}} |\mathbf{z}_v| + \sum_{v \in B} |\mathbf{z}_v|.$$

There are at most 2 terms in the first sum, and so by Lemmas 3.6 and 3.9, we have

$$\left| \sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{z}_v \right| = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{x}_v = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right).$$

This implies  $1 - \sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{x}_v > 0$  and  $1 - \left| \sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{z}_v \right| > 0$  for  $n$  large enough, which implies that

$$\frac{2\mathbf{x}_t}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \left(1 - \sum_{v \sim t} x_v\right) + \frac{2|\mathbf{z}_t|}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} \left(1 - \left| \sum_{v \sim t} \mathbf{z}_v \right| \right) > 0$$

for  $n$  large enough. Hence  $S(G^*) > S(G)$ , contradicting the assumption that  $G$  is spread-extremal.  $\square$

We have finally achieved our goal for this section. Theorem 3.1 follows immediately from the definition of  $B$  and the fact that it is empty, as implied by Lemma 3.11.

## 4 Determining Graph Structure

By Theorem 3.1, the vertex  $w$  has degree  $n - 1$ , or equivalently,  $K_{1, n-1}$  is a subgraph of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is  $K_{2,3}$ -free, the graph induced by the neighborhood of  $w$  has maximum degree at most 2. Furthermore, this subgraph cannot contain a cycle, otherwise  $G$  would contain a wheel-graph and this is a  $K_4$ -minor. Any graph of maximum degree at most 2 that does not contain a cycle is a disjoint union of paths. Therefore, we know that  $G$  is given by a  $K_1 \vee F$  where  $F$  is a disjoint union of paths. Our next task is to study  $F$ . To this end, we denote the number of edges in  $F$  by  $m$ . Our main theorem, Theorem 1.1 is proved if we can show that  $m = \Omega(n)$ . Before doing this, we need a more accurate estimate for the eigenvector entries.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For any  $u \neq w$ , we have  $\mathbf{z}_u = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{d_u - 1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)$ .*

*Proof.* As we are only considering outerplanar graphs, we have  $d_u \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  for all  $u \neq w$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n \mathbf{z}_u &= \sum_{y \sim u} \mathbf{z}_y \\ &= \mathbf{z}_w + \sum_{\substack{y \sim u \\ y \neq w}} \mathbf{z}_y \\ &= 1 - \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.6 and our normalization.

Note that as  $\lambda_n \mathbf{z}_u = 1 + \mathbf{z}_{u_1} + \mathbf{z}_{u_2} > 0$  and  $\lambda_n < 0$ , we must have  $\mathbf{z}_u < 0$ . Next we repeat the argument to improve our estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_n \mathbf{z}_u &= \mathbf{z}_w + \sum_{\substack{y \sim u \\ y \neq w}} \mathbf{z}_y \\ &= 1 + (d_u - 1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \Theta \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we apply our bounds on  $\lambda_n$  in Lemma 3.3 to get

$$\mathbf{z}_u = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{d_u - 1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta \left( \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \right).$$

□

We can use equivalent reasoning to obtain a very similar approximation for the  $\mathbf{x}_u$  entries,

**Lemma 4.2.** *For any  $u \neq w$ , we have  $\mathbf{x}_u = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{d_u - 1}{\lambda_1^2} + \Theta \left( \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \right)$*

*Proof.* By the same logic as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_u &= \sum_{w \sim u} \mathbf{x}_w \\ &= \mathbf{x}_w + \sum_{\substack{y \sim u \\ y \neq w}} \mathbf{x}_y \\ &= 1 + \Theta \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \text{ by Corollary 3.6.} \end{aligned}$$

So  $\mathbf{x}_u = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \Theta \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)$ . Next we repeat the argument to improve our estimate,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_u &= \mathbf{x}_w + \sum_{\substack{y \sim u \\ y \neq w}} \mathbf{x}_y \\ &= 1 + (d_u - 1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \Theta \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

So  $\mathbf{x}_u = \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{d_u - 1}{\lambda_1^2} + \Theta \left( \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \right)$  according to our bounds on  $\lambda_1$  in Lemma 3.2. □

Using Lemma 4.1, we can now get tighter estimates on  $\lambda_n$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** *We have that  $\lambda_n = -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{m}{n-1} + \Theta \left( \frac{m}{n^{3/2}} \right)$ .*

*Proof.* We first define the vector

$$\mathbf{y}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \\ \vdots \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \end{bmatrix}$$



where  $\mathbf{y}_2$  has  $n$  entries and  $w$  corresponds to the 1 entry. As  $\lambda_n$  is the minimum Rayleigh quotient we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_n &\leq \frac{\mathbf{y}_2^T A \mathbf{y}_2}{\mathbf{y}_2^T \mathbf{y}_2} \\ &= \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j} (\mathbf{y}_2)_i (\mathbf{y}_2)_j}{2} \\ &= (n-1) \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \right) + m \left( \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \\ &= -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{m}{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

In order to show the lower bound on  $\lambda_n$ , we need to realize that  $\lambda_n$  is the minimum possible Rayleigh quotient. So

$$\lambda_n = \frac{\mathbf{z}^T A \mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} = \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j} \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_j}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} = \frac{2 \sum_{w \sim k} \mathbf{z}_w \mathbf{z}_k}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} + \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j, i, j \neq w} \mathbf{z}_i \mathbf{z}_j}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}}.$$

Note the first term is the Rayleigh quotient for the star subgraph centered at the vertex  $w$  of maximum degree. Hence it is bounded from below by  $-\sqrt{n-1}$ . More specifically, we have

$$\frac{2 \sum_{w \sim k} \mathbf{z}_w \mathbf{z}_k}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} = \frac{\mathbf{z}^T A(K_{1, n-1}) \mathbf{z}}{\mathbf{z}^T \mathbf{z}} \geq \lambda_n(A(K_{1, n-1})) = -\sqrt{n-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 we have

$$\lambda_n \geq -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{2 \sum_{\substack{i \sim j \\ i, j \neq w}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{d_i-1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{d_j-1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)}{1 + \left( \sum_{\ell \neq w} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{d_\ell-1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)^2}$$

For  $\ell \neq w$  we have  $0 \leq d_\ell - 1 \leq 2$ . Note that for  $n$  large enough we have that  $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{d_u-1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) < 0$ , and so we have a lower bound if we replace all  $d_\ell - 1$  terms in the numerator by 2 and in the denominator by 0, and so we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_n &\geq -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{2 \sum_{\substack{i \sim j \\ i, j \neq w}} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{2}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \frac{2}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)}{1 + \sum_{\ell \neq w} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)^2} \\ &= -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{2m \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)}{1 + (n-1) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $-\sqrt{n-1} - 2 \leq \lambda_n \leq \sqrt{n-1} + 2$ , we have that  $\frac{1}{\lambda_n^2} = \frac{1}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_n &\geq -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{2m\left(\frac{1}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)\right)}{1 + (n-1)\left(\frac{1}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right)\right)} \\ &= -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{\frac{2m}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{m}{n^{3/2}}\right)}{2 + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right)} \\ &= -\sqrt{n-1} + \left(\frac{m}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{m}{n^{3/2}}\right)\right) \left(1 + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right),\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

We claim a very similar result for  $\lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 4.4.**  $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{n-1} + \frac{m}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{m}{n^{3/2}}\right)$ .

*Proof.* First we prove the lower bound. Define the vector

$$\mathbf{y}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $w$  corresponds to the entry 1. As  $\lambda_1$  is the maximum possible Rayleigh quotient we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &\geq \frac{\mathbf{y}_3^T A \mathbf{y}_3}{\mathbf{y}_3^T \mathbf{y}_3} \\ &= \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j} (\mathbf{y}_3)_i (\mathbf{y}_3)_j}{2} \\ &= (n-1) \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right) + m \left(\frac{1}{n-1}\right) \\ &= \sqrt{n-1} + \frac{m}{n-1}.\end{aligned}$$

For the upper bound, we use the fact that  $\lambda_1$  is the maximum possible Rayleigh quotient similarly to the previous lemma. So

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_1 &= \frac{\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \\ &= \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{2 \sum_{w \sim k} \mathbf{x}_w \mathbf{x}_k}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} + \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j, i, j \neq w} \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{x}_j}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}.$$

As before, the first term is

$$\frac{\mathbf{x}^T A(K_{1, n-1}) \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}} \leq \lambda_1(A(K_{1, n-1})) = \sqrt{n-1}.$$

Applying Lemma 4.2 and using  $0 \leq d_u - 1 \leq 2$  for all  $u \neq w$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &\leq \sqrt{n-1} + \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j, i, j \neq w} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{d_i-1}{\lambda_1^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{d_j-1}{\lambda_1^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)}{1 + \left( \sum_{\ell \neq w} \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{d_\ell-1}{\lambda_1^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{n-1} + \frac{2 \sum_{i \sim j, i, j \neq w} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{2}{\lambda_1^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{2}{\lambda_1^2} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)}{1 + \sum_{\ell \neq w} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\sqrt{n-1} - 2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{n-1} + 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &\leq \sqrt{n-1} + \frac{2m \left( \frac{1}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)}{1 + (n-1) \left( \frac{1}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right)} \\ &= \sqrt{n-1} + \frac{\frac{2m}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{m}{n^{3/2}}\right)}{2 + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{n^{1/2}}\right)} \\ &= \sqrt{n-1} + \left( \frac{m}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{m}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right) \left( 1 + \Theta\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

We are now in a position to prove our main theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* As before, let  $G_1$  be the graph  $K_1 \vee P_{n-1}$  and let  $A_1$  be its adjacency matrix with the dominating vertex corresponding to the first row and column. Since  $G$  is spread-extremal we must have  $S(G) \geq S(G_1)$ . We lower bound  $S(G_1)$  using the vectors

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 + \sqrt{n} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 - \sqrt{n} \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using these vectors and the Rayleigh principle, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
S(G_1) &\geq \frac{\mathbf{v}_1^T A_1 \mathbf{v}_1}{\mathbf{v}_1^T \mathbf{v}_1} - \frac{\mathbf{v}_2^T A_1 \mathbf{v}_2}{\mathbf{v}_2^T \mathbf{v}_2} \\
&= \frac{2(n-1)(\sqrt{n}-1) + (n-2)(1)}{2n-2\sqrt{n}} - \frac{2(n-1)(-\sqrt{n}-1) + (n-2)(1)}{2n+2\sqrt{n}} \\
&= \frac{2(n-1)(\sqrt{n}-1) + (n-1)(1)}{2n-2\sqrt{n}} - \frac{1}{2n-2\sqrt{n}} \\
&\quad - \frac{2(n-1)(-\sqrt{n}-1) + (n-1)(1)}{2n+2\sqrt{n}} + \frac{1}{2n+2\sqrt{n}} \\
&= \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{n}-1} + \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{n}+1} - \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n^2-n} \geq 2\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we have

$$2\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{n} \leq \lambda_1(G) - \lambda_n(G) = \left( \sqrt{n-1} + \frac{m}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{m}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right) - \left( -\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{m}{n-1} + \Theta\left(\frac{m}{n^{3/2}}\right) \right).$$

Therefore there exists a constant  $C$  such that for  $n$  large enough we have

$$2\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{n} \leq 2\sqrt{n-1} + \frac{C \cdot m}{n^{3/2}}.$$

Since  $\sqrt{n} - \sqrt{n-1} = \Omega(n^{-1/2})$ , rearranging gives the final result. □

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the Community of Mathematicians and Statisticians Exploring Research (Co-MaStER) program at Villanova, through which this research was started.

## References

- [1] Tatjana M Aleksić and Miroslav Petrović. Cacti whose spread is maximal. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 31(1):23–34, 2015.
- [2] Enide Andrade, Geir Dahl, Laura Leal, and María Robbiano. New bounds for the signless Laplacian spread. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 566:98–120, 2019.
- [3] Mustapha Aouchiche, Francis K Bell, Dragiša Cvetković, Pierre Hansen, Peter Rowlinson, Slobodan K Simić, and Dragan Stevanović. Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. 16. some conjectures related to the largest eigenvalue of a graph. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 191(3):661–676, 2008.

- [4] Iwo Biborski. Note on the spread of real symmetric matrices with entries in fixed interval. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 632:246–257, 2022.
- [5] Barry N Boots and Gordon F Royle. A conjecture on the maximum value of the principal eigenvalue of a planar graph. *Geographical analysis*, 23(3):276–282, 1991.
- [6] Jane Breen, Alex WN Riasanovsky, Michael Tait, and John Urschel. Maximum spread of graphs and bipartite graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.03129*, 2021.
- [7] Dasong Cao and Andrew Vince. The spectral radius of a planar graph. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 187:251–257, 1993.
- [8] Dragiša Cvetković and Peter Rowlinson. The largest eigenvalue of a graph: A survey. *Linear and multilinear algebra*, 28(1-2):3–33, 1990.
- [9] Emeric Deutsch. On the spread of matrices and polynomials. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 22:49–55, 1978.
- [10] Zdeněk Dvořák and Bojan Mohar. Spectral radius of finite and infinite planar graphs and of graphs of bounded genus. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 100(6):729–739, 2010.
- [11] Mark N Ellingham and Xiaoya Zha. The spectral radius of graphs on surfaces. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 78(1):45–56, 2000.
- [12] Yi-Zheng Fan and Shaun Fallat. Edge bipartiteness and signless Laplacian spread of graphs. *Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics*, pages 31–45, 2012.
- [13] Yi-Zheng Fan, Yi Wang, and Yu-Bin Gao. Minimizing the least eigenvalues of unicyclic graphs with application to spectral spread. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 429(2-3):577–588, 2008.
- [14] Yi-Zheng Fan, Jing Xu, Yi Wang, and Dong Liang. The Laplacian spread of a tree. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 10(1):79–86, 2008.
- [15] David A Gregory, Daniel Hershkowitz, and Stephen J Kirkland. The spread of the spectrum of a graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 332:23–35, 2001.
- [16] Haiyan Guo and Bo Zhou. On adjacency-distance spectral radius and spread of graphs. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 369:124819, 2020.
- [17] Yuan Hong and Jin-Long Shu. Sharp lower bounds of the least eigenvalue of planar graphs. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 296(1-3):227–232, 1999.
- [18] Charles R Johnson, Ravinder Kumar, and Henry Wolkowicz. Lower bounds for the spread of a matrix. *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, 71:161–173, 1985.

- [19] Xueliang Li, Jianbin Zhang, and Bo Zhou. The spread of unicyclic graphs with given size of maximum matchings. *Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, 42(4):775–788, 2007.
- [20] Huiqiu Lin and Bo Ning. A complete solution to the Cvetković–Rowlinson conjecture. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 97(3):441–450, 2021.
- [21] Zhen Lin, Lianying Miao, and Shu-Guang Guo. The  $A_\alpha$ -spread of a graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 606:1–22, 2020.
- [22] Bolian Liu and Liu Mu-Huo. On the spread of the spectrum of a graph. *Discrete mathematics*, 309(9):2727–2732, 2009.
- [23] Muhuo Liu and Bolian Liu. The signless Laplacian spread. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 432(2-3):505–514, 2010.
- [24] Leon Mirsky. The spread of a matrix. *Mathematika*, 3(2):127–130, 1956.
- [25] Peter Nylen and Tin-Yau Tam. On the spread of a Hermitian matrix and a conjecture of Thompson. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 37(1-3):3–11, 1994.
- [26] Carla Silva Oliveira, Leonardo Silva De Lima, Nair Maria Maia de Abreu, and Steve Kirkland. Bounds on the  $Q$ -spread of a graph. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 432(9):2342–2351, 2010.
- [27] Miroslav Petrović, Bojana Borovičanin, and Tatjana Aleksić. Bicyclic graphs for which the least eigenvalue is minimum. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 430(4):1328–1335, 2009.
- [28] AJ RF and RJ Schwenk. On the eigenvalues of a graph. *Selected Topics in Graph Theory, Academic Press, San Diego*, pages 307–336, 1978.
- [29] Alex W Neal Riasanovsky. *Two Problems in Extremal Combinatorics*. PhD thesis, Iowa State University, 2021.
- [30] Peter Rowlinson. On the index of certain outerplanar graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 29:221–225, 1990.
- [31] Zoran Stanić. *Inequalities for graph eigenvalues*, volume 423. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [32] Dragan Stevanovic. *Spectral radius of graphs*. Academic Press, 2014.
- [33] Michael Tait and Josh Tobin. Three conjectures in extremal spectral graph theory. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 126:137–161, 2017.
- [34] Robert C Thompson. The eigenvalue spreads of a Hermitian matrix and its principal submatrices. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 32(3-4):327–333, 1992.

- [35] John C Urschel. *Graphs, Principal Minors, and Eigenvalue Problems*. PhD thesis, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 2021.
- [36] Bing Wang, Ming-qing Zhai, and Jin-long Shu. On the spectral spread of bicyclic graphs with given girth. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, English Series*, 29(3):517–528, 2013.
- [37] Junliang Wu, Pingping Zhang, and Wenshi Liao. Upper bounds for the spread of a matrix. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 437(11):2813–2822, 2012.
- [38] Yarong Wu and Jinlong Shu. The spread of the unicyclic graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 31(1):411–418, 2010.
- [39] Lihua You, Liyong Ren, and Guanglong Yu. Distance and distance signless Laplacian spread of connected graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 223:140–147, 2017.
- [40] Zhifu You and Bolian Liu. The Laplacian spread of graphs. *Czechoslovak mathematical journal*, 62(1):155–168, 2012.
- [41] Guanglong Yu, Hailiang Zhang, Huiqiu Lin, Yarong Wu, and Jinlong Shu. Distance spectral spread of a graph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 160(16-17):2474–2478, 2012.
- [42] Hong Yuan. On the spectral radius and the genus of graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 65(2):262–268, 1995.
- [43] Hong Yuan. Upper bounds of the spectral radius of graphs in terms of genus. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 74(2):153–159, 1998.