

Closed–open morphisms on periodic Floer homology

Guanheng Chen

Abstract

In this note, we investigate homomorphisms from the periodic Floer homology (PFH) to the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology. We call the homomorphisms closed–open morphisms. Under certain assumptions on the Lagrangian link, we first follow R. Lipshitz’s idea to give a cylindrical formulation of the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology. Then we construct the closed–open morphisms from the PFH to the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology. Moreover, we show that the morphisms are non–vanishing. As an application, we deduce a relation between the PFH–spectral invariants and the HF–spectral invariants.

Contents

1	Introduction and Main results	2
	Acknowledgements	6
2	Preliminarily	6
2.1	Twisted periodic Floer homology	6
2.2	Quantitative Heegaard Floer homology	10
3	A Heegaard Floer type homology	12
3.1	Moduli space of HF–curves	15
3.2	A Heegaard Floer type homology	19
3.3	Invariance	21
4	Equivalence of two formulations	22
4.1	Index comparison	22
4.2	Tautological correspondence	26

5	Closed–open morphisms	28
5.1	Closed–open curves and their indexes	29
5.2	Moduli space of PFH–HF curves	32
5.3	Homotopy invariance	34
5.4	Proof of the partial invariance	37
6	Computations	41
6.1	Reeb chords and period orbits of φ_{H_ϵ}	41
6.2	Some computations on the PFH cobordism maps	45
6.3	Proof of the non–vanishing result	47
7	Compare two spectral invariants	50
A	Cobordism maps on PFH	51
	Bibliography	54

1 Introduction and Main results

Given a symplectic surface (Σ, ω) and a symplecticismorphism $\varphi \in \text{Sym}p(\Sigma, \omega)$, M. Hutchings introduces an invariant $\widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi, \gamma_0)$ for the triple $(\Sigma, \omega, \varphi)$ called **(twisted) periodic Floer homology** [13] [15] (abbreviated as PFH). Here γ_0 is a reference 1–cycle. PFH is a sister version of a more well–known invariant called embedded contact homology (abbreviated as ECH).

Recently, D. Cristofaro–Gardiner, V. Humilière and S. Seyfaddini extract a sequence of numerical invariants from PFH, called PFH–spectral invariant. The PFH–spectral invariants satisfy a kind of “Weyl law” called **Calabi property**. It is an analogy of the “volume property” for ECH [4]. The Calabi property was first verified for a special class of Hamiltonian functions on the two–sphere [10]. The general case is proved by O. Edtmair and Hutchings [12]; also by D. Cristofaro–Gardiner, R. Prasad and B. Zhang independently [7].

Let $\Lambda = \sqcup_{i=1}^d \Lambda_i$ be a **link** consisting of d pairwise–disjoint circles on (Σ, ω) . Under certain monotonicity assumptions, D. Cristofaro–Gardiner, V. Humilière, C. Mak, S. Seyfaddini and I. Smith define another invariant called **quantitative Heegaard Floer homology** [8], denoted by $HF(\text{Sym}^d \varphi(\Lambda), \text{Sym}^d \Lambda)$. It is a variant of the usual Heegaard Floer homology. For a suitable choice of symplectic form, $\text{Sym}^d \varphi(\Lambda)$ and $\text{Sym}^d \Lambda$ are Lagrangian submanifolds in $\text{Sym}^d \Sigma$. Roughly speaking, the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology is the Lagrangian Floer homology of $(\text{Sym}^d \varphi(\Lambda), \text{Sym}^d \Lambda)$. Similar to the PFH case, they extract a numerical invariant from the quantitative Heegaard Floer

homology called HF–spectral invariant. The HF–spectral invariants satisfy the parallel properties of the PFH–spectral invariants, particularly the Calabi property.

It is interesting to study the relation of these two homological theories, especially the relation between the PFH–spectral invariants and HF–spectral invariants. To this end, our goal is to establish a homomorphism from the PFH to the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology which is an analogy of the usual closed–open morphism from symplectic Floer homology to Lagrangian Floer homology [1]. We still call these homomorphisms **closed–open morphisms**.

The closed–open morphism on PFH in fact is not a new story. A variant of such morphisms have been constructed in a slightly different setting by V. Colin, P. Ghiggini, and K. Honda when they prove the equivalence between ECH and Heegaard Floer homology [11]. The ground of the results here has been set up in their works.

In this note, we obtain some partial results on constructing the closed–open morphisms. Let Σ be a closed surface with genus g and ω a volume form of volume 1. Let $\Lambda = \sqcup_{i=1}^d \Lambda_i$ be a d –disjoint union of simple closed curves in Σ . We called Λ a **link** on Σ . Throughout this note, we assume that the link Λ satisfies the following properties:

A.1 Λ consists of $k > 1$ disjoint contractile components $\sqcup_{i=1}^k \Lambda_i$ and g meridians, one for each genus. In particular, $d = k + g$.

A.2 Let $\Sigma - \Lambda = \cup_{i=1}^{k+1} B_k$, where B_i is a disk for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and B_{k+1} is a planar domain with $2g + k$ boundary components. For $1 \leq i \leq k$, each circle Λ_i is the boundary of B_i .

A.3 $\mathring{B}_i \cap \mathring{B}_j = \emptyset$, where \mathring{B}_i denote the interior of B_i .

A.4 For $0 \leq i < j \leq k + 1$, we have $\int_{B_i} \omega = \int_{B_j} \omega = \frac{1}{k+1}$.

A typical picture of a link satisfying the above conditions is shown in Figure 2.

The quantitative Heegaard Floer homology is defined by counting holomorphic disks in a symplectic manifold of dimension $2d$ while the PFH is defined by counting holomorphic curves in a four–dimensional symplectic manifold. It is hard to establish the closed–open morphisms directly when $d > 1$. Therefore, the first task of this note is to follow R. Lipshitz’s idea [23] to define a homology $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ whose differential is defined by counting “open holomorphic curves” in a four–manifold. Moreover, we show that this homology is isomorphic to the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology. The precise statement is given as follows:

Theorem 1. *Fix a base point $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^d \Lambda$. Given a non–degenerate Hamiltonian symplectomorphism φ_H , we construct a homology $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$. Moreover, there is an isomorphism*

$$\Psi : HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x}) \rightarrow HF_*(\text{Sym}^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), \text{Sym}^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$$

preserving the action filtration, where the latter is the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology defined in [8]. In particular, we have $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{F}[T^{-1}, T])$.

Then we construct the closed–open morphisms from PFH to the homology $HF(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$.

Theorem 2. *Fix a reference 1–cycle γ_0 with degree d . Let $\mathcal{Z}_0 \in H_2(W, \gamma_0, \mathbf{x})$ be a reference relative homology class. Then for a generic admissible almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}(W, \Omega_H)$, there exists a homomorphism*

$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})_J)_* : \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0)_J \rightarrow HF(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_J$$

satisfying the following properties:

- (Partial invariance) Suppose that φ_H, φ_G satisfy the following conditions: (see Definition 2.1)
 - ♠.1 Each periodic orbit of φ_H with degree less than or equal d is either d –negative elliptic or hyperbolic.
 - ♠.2 Each periodic orbit of φ_G with degree less than or equal d is either d –positive elliptic or hyperbolic.

Fix reference relative homology classes $Z_{ref} \in H_2(X, \gamma_1, \gamma_0)$, $\mathcal{Z}_0 \in H_2(W, \gamma_0, \mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{Z}_1 \in H_2(W, \gamma_1, \mathbf{x})$ satisfying $\mathcal{Z}_1 = Z_{ref} \# \mathcal{Z}_0$. Then for generic admissible almost complex structures $J_H \in \mathcal{J}(W, \Omega_H)$ and $J_G \in \mathcal{J}(W, \Omega_G)$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{PFH}_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_1)_{J_H} & \xrightarrow{(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(W, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})_{J_H})_*} & HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_{J_H} \\ \downarrow PFH_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X) & & \downarrow I((H, J_H), (G, J_G))_* \\ \widetilde{PFH}_*(\Sigma, \varphi_G, \gamma_0)_{J_G} & \xrightarrow{(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_G, L_{\Lambda_G})_{J_G})_*} & HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_G, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_{J_G} \end{array}$$

Here $PFH_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \omega_X)$ is the cobordism map induced by a surface bundle over the cylinder (see (5.23)) and $I((H, J_H), (G, J_G))_*$ is the cobordism map on HF defined in Section 3.3.

- (Non–vanishing) If φ_H satisfies the condition (♠.1), then there is a class $\sigma_H \in \widetilde{PFH}_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0)$ such that

$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})_J)_*(\sigma_H) = e_H^{\mathbf{x}},$$

where $e_H^{\mathbf{x}} \neq 0 \in HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ is the unit defined in Section 3.2. (We expect that it agrees with the the unit of $HF_*(\text{Sym}^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), \text{Sym}^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ under the isomorphism in Theorem 1.) In particular, the closed–open morphism is non–vanishing.

The class σ_H plays the role of unit in PFH; see Remark 6.2.

A direct application of the closed–open morphisms is that we can deduce a relation between the PFH–spectral invariants and HF–spectral invariants.

Corollary 1.1. *Fix a base point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \text{Sym}^d \Lambda$. Let $\gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}} := \Psi_H(S^1 \times \mathbf{x})$, where Ψ_H is the trivialization (2.2). Let $\sigma_H^{\mathbf{x}} \in \widetilde{\text{PFH}}_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}})$ be the class defined (7.36). Then we have*

$$c_{\Lambda}^{hf}(H, \Psi(e_H^{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{x}) \leq c_{\sigma_H^{\mathbf{x}}}^{pfh}(H, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}}) + \int_0^1 H_t(\mathbf{x}) dt. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $H_t(\mathbf{x})$ is short for $\sum_{i=1}^d H_t(x_i)$.

Remark 1.1. *To keep the HF–spectral invariants with the same form of the PFH–spectral invariants, the definition here differs from the one in [8] by a factor d . More precisely, we have $c_{\Lambda}^{hf}(H, \mathbf{x}) = dc_{\Lambda}(H, \mathbf{x})$, where $c_{\Lambda}(H, \mathbf{x})$ is the HF–spectral invariant defined in [8].*

Remark 1.2. *The HF–spectral invariant $c_{\Lambda}^{hf}(H, \Psi(e_H^{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{x})$ and the right hand side of (1.1) are independent of the choice of \mathbf{x} . Also, to define the right hand side of (1.1), we can take $\mathbf{x} \in \text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ and not necessarily in $\text{Sym}^d \Lambda$. For the details, please see the discussion in Section 7.*

Remark 1.3. *Analogy to Theorem 1, it is conjectured that the periodic Floer homology is isomorphic to the symplectic Floer homology of $(\text{Sym}^d \Sigma, \text{Sym}^d \varphi)$. Provided that the conjecture is true, the closed–open morphisms defined here can be regarded as an alternative formulation of the usual closed–open morphisms defined in [1]. Also, Corollary 1.1 corresponds to Corollary 7.3 in [8].*

The following two remarks concern the assumptions $\spadesuit.1$ and $\spadesuit.2$.

Remark 1.4. *Due to certain technical issues, the cobordism maps on PFH cannot be defined by holomorphic curves so far. The current definition of the PFH cobordism maps relies heavily on the Seiberg–Witten theory [22] and Lee–Taubes’s isomorphism “SWF=PFH” [26].*

However, in the proof of Theorem 2, we indeed need the holomorphic curve definition of the cobordism maps. The assumptions $\spadesuit.1$ and $\spadesuit.2$ are used to ensure that the cobordism maps on PFH can be defined in terms of holomorphic curves (see Theorem 2 of [9]). We expect that these two assumptions can be removed.

Remark 1.5. *Fix a Hamiltonian function H , a metric g_Y on $S^1 \times \Sigma$, and positive numbers $\delta > 0, d$. By Proposition 3.2 in [9], we can find a Hamiltonian function H' satisfying the following properties:*

1. The symplectismorphism $\varphi_{H'}$ satisfies the condition $(\spadesuit.1)$.
2. $|H - H'| \leq \delta$ and $|dH - dH'|_{g_Y} \leq \delta$.

The condition $(\spadesuit.1)$ can be replaced by $(\spadesuit.2)$ depending on what we need in the context.

Coefficient Throughout this note, we use $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ coefficient.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Jingling Yang's helpful conversation.

2 Preliminarily

2.1 Twisted periodic Floer homology

In this section, we review those aspects of periodic Floer homology that we need. For more details, please refer to [15], [16] and [26].

Given $\varphi \in \text{Symp}(\Sigma, \omega)$, we define the **mapping torus** by

$$Y_\varphi := [0, 1] \times \Sigma / \sim, (1, x) \sim (0, \varphi(x)).$$

Obviously, $\pi : Y_\varphi \rightarrow S^1$ is a surface bundle over the circle. Let $\xi := \ker \pi_*$ denote the vertical bundle. The volume form ω and the vector field ∂_t descend to a closed two form ω_φ and a vector field R on Y_φ respectively.

Given a **Hamiltonian function** $H : S_t^1 \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define the **Hamiltonian vector field** X_H by $\omega(X_H, \cdot) = d_\Sigma H$. Let φ_H^t be the flow generated by X_H ; it is called the **Hamiltonian flow**. Set $\varphi_H := \varphi_H^1$. A symplectismorphism φ is called **Hamiltonian** if $\varphi = \varphi_H$ for some H . Suppose that $\varphi = \varphi_H$. Then we have the following global trivialization

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_H : S_t^1 \times \Sigma &\rightarrow Y_{\varphi_H} \\ (t, x) &\rightarrow (t, (\varphi_H^t)^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover, $\Psi_H^*(\omega_{\varphi_H}) = \omega + dH \wedge dt$ and $(\Psi_H)_*^{-1}(R) = \partial_t + X_H$. **For our purpose, we assume that φ is a Hamiltonian symplectismorphism generated by some H ; unless otherwise stated.**

A **periodic orbit** is a smooth map $\gamma : \mathbb{R}_\tau/q\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Y_\varphi$ satisfying the ODE $\partial_\tau \gamma = R \circ \gamma$ for some $q > 0$. The number q is called **period or degree** of the periodic orbit. It is easy to show that q is the intersection number $[\gamma] \cdot [\Sigma]$. We say that a periodic orbit γ is non-degenerate if 1 is not an eigenvalue of the linearized return map. It is called

elliptic if the eigenvalues of the linearized return map are on the unit circle, positive hyperbolic if the eigenvalues are real positive numbers, and negative hyperbolic if the eigenvalues are real negative numbers.

An **orbit set** $\alpha = \{(\alpha_i, m_i)\}$ is a finite collection of periodic orbits, where α_i are distinct, non-degenerate, irreducible embedded periodic orbits and m_i are positive integers. An orbit set α is called a **PFH generator** if $m_i = 1$ whenever α_i is a hyperbolic orbit. Sometimes we write an orbit set using multiplicative notation $\alpha = \prod_i \alpha_i$; we allow $\alpha_i = \alpha_j$ for $i \neq j$ and it repeats m_i times.

The terminology in the assumptions **♠.1**, **♠.2** are explained in the following definition.

Definition 2.1. (see [17] Definition 4.1) Fix $d > 0$. Let γ be an embedded elliptic orbit with degree $q \leq d$.

- γ is called *d-positive elliptic* if the rotation number θ is in $(0, \frac{q}{d}) \pmod{1}$.
- γ is called *d-negative elliptic* if the rotation number θ is in $(-\frac{q}{d}, 0) \pmod{1}$.

Holomorphic currents Let $(X, \Omega_X) = (\mathbb{R}_s \times Y_\varphi, \omega_\varphi + ds \wedge dt)$ be the symplectization of Y_φ . An almost complex structure is called **admissible** if $J(\partial_s) = R$, J preserving ξ and $J|_\xi$ is compatible with $\omega|_\xi$. The set of admissible almost complex structures is denoted by $\mathcal{J}(Y_\varphi, \omega_\varphi)$

A **holomorphic current** $\mathcal{C} = \sum d_a C_a$ from α to β is a finite formal sum of holomorphic curves such that \mathcal{C} is asymptotic to α as $s \rightarrow \infty$ and asymptotic to β as $s \rightarrow -\infty$ in current sense, where C_a are distinct, irreducible, somewhere injective J -holomorphic curves with finite energy $\int_{C_a} \omega_\varphi < \infty$ and d_a are positive integers.

To distinguish with the HF-curves defined later, sometime we call a holomorphic curve in (X, Ω_X) a **PFH-curve**.

The ECH index Given orbit sets $\alpha = \{(\alpha_i, m_i)\}$ and $\beta = \{(\beta_j, n_j)\}$ on Y_φ , define $H_2(Y_\varphi, \alpha, \beta)$ to be the set of 2-chains Z in Y_φ such that $\partial Z = \sum_i m_i \alpha_i - \sum_j n_j \beta_j$, modulo the boundary of 3-chains. Note that $H_2(Y_\varphi, \alpha, \beta)$ is an affine space over $H_2(Y_\varphi, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \langle [\Sigma] \rangle$. Given $Z \in H_2(Y_\varphi, \alpha, \beta)$ and trivializations τ of $\xi|_\alpha$ and $\xi|_\beta$, the ECH index is defined by

$$I(\alpha, \beta, Z) = c_\tau(Z) + Q_\tau(Z) + \sum_i \sum_{p=1}^{m_i} CZ_\tau(\alpha_i^p) - \sum_j \sum_{q=1}^{n_j} CZ_\tau(\beta_j^q), \quad (2.3)$$

where $c_\tau(Z)$ and $Q_\tau(Z)$ are respectively the relative Chern number and the relative self-intersection number (see [16] and [14]), and CZ_τ is the Conley–Zehnder index. The ECH index also can be defined for punctured holomorphic curves in a general symplectic cobordism [14].

Fredholm index Let $u : C \rightarrow X$ be a J -holomorphic curve from $\alpha = \{(\alpha_i, m_i)\}$ to $\beta = \{(\beta_j, n_j)\}$. For each i , let k_i denote the number of ends of u at α_i , and let $\{p_{ia}\}_{a=1}^{k_i}$ denote their multiplicities. Likewise, for each j , let l_j denote the number of ends of u at β_j , and let $\{q_{jb}\}_{b=1}^{l_j}$ denote their multiplicities. Then the Fredholm index of u is defined by

$$\text{indu} = -\chi(C) + 2c_\tau(u^*\xi) + \sum_i \sum_{a=1}^{k_i} CZ_\tau(\alpha_i^{p_{ia}}) - \sum_j \sum_{b=1}^{l_j} CZ_\tau(\beta_j^{q_{jb}}). \quad (2.4)$$

Partition conditions Given a simple periodic orbit γ and a holomorphic curve C , suppose that C has positive ends at covers of γ whose total covering multiplicity is m . The multiplicities of these covers form a partition of the integer m , denoted by $p_+(\gamma^m, C)$. Similarly, we define $p_-(\gamma^m, C)$ for negative ends.

Definition 2.2. Let $u : C \rightarrow X$ be a J -holomorphic curve from α to β without \mathbb{R} -invariant cylinders. We say that u satisfies the **ECH partition conditions** if $p_+(\gamma^m, C) = p_+(m, \gamma)$ and $p_-(\gamma^m, C) = p_-(m, \gamma)$, where $p_+(m, \gamma)$ and $p_-(m, \gamma)$ are defined in [13], [16].

Definition 2.3. A **connector** $u : C \rightarrow X$ is a union of branched covers of trivial cylinders. A connector is called *trivial* if it is a union of unbranched covers of trivial cylinders; otherwise, it is called *non-trivial*.

The precise definitions of $p_+(m, \gamma)$ and $p_-(m, \gamma)$ are not required in this note. We only need following facts about the connectors and ECH partition conditions.

F.1 Let γ be an elliptic orbit. For any partition $p(m, \gamma)$, there are no nontrivial $\text{ind} = 0$ connectors from $p_+(m, \gamma)$ to $p(m, \gamma)$. Likewise, there are no nontrivial $\text{ind} = 0$ connectors from $p(m, \gamma)$ to $p_-(m, \gamma)$.

F.2 Suppose that u is a simple holomorphic curve. Then u satisfies the ECH partition conditions if and only if $I(u) = \text{ind}(u)$. For more details, see [13] and [14]. This property also holds for the PFH–HF curves defined later; see Theorem 5.6.9 of [11].

F.3 The connector has non-negative Fredholm index.

Definition of periodic Floer homology Now let us return to the definition of PFH. Fix a reference degree $d > g(\Sigma)$ 1-cycle γ_0 transversed to ξ . The chain complex $\widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi, \gamma_0)$ of PFH is a free module generated by (α, Z) , where α is a PFH generators with degree $d = d(\gamma_0)$ and $Z \in H_2(Y, \alpha, \gamma_0)$. Fix a generic $J \in \mathcal{J}(Y, \omega_\varphi)$ on X . Let $\mathcal{M}_i^J(\alpha, \beta, Z)$ denote the moduli space of holomorphic currents from α to β

with ECH index $I = i$ and relative homology class Z . The PFH differential is defined by

$$\partial_J(\alpha, Z) = \sum_{(\beta, Z')} \# \mathcal{M}_1^J(\alpha, \beta, Z - Z') / \mathbb{R}(\beta, Z')$$

Note that the right hand side of the above equation is a finite sum because $[\gamma_0]$ is negative monotone with respect to $[\omega_\varphi]$ in the sense of Definition 1.1 of [26]. The obstruction gluing argument in [19] and [20] show that $\partial_J^2 = 0$. The homology of $(\widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi, \gamma_0), \partial_J)$ is called **twisted periodic Floer homology**, denoted by $\widetilde{PFH}_*(\Sigma, \varphi, \gamma_0)_J$. By Lee and Taubes's isomorphism [26], we know that $\widetilde{PFH}_*(\Sigma, \varphi, \gamma_0)_J$ is independent of the choices of J and the Hamiltonian isotropy of φ .

Let γ_1 be another choice of reference cycle with $d(\gamma_1) = d(\gamma_0)$. Fix a relative homology class $Z_0 \in H_2(Y_\varphi, \gamma_0, \gamma_1)$. Then Z_0 induces an isomorphism

$$\Psi_{Z_0} : \widetilde{PFH}_*(\Sigma, \varphi, \gamma_0) \rightarrow \widetilde{PFH}_*(\Sigma, \varphi, \gamma_1) \quad (2.5)$$

by sending (α, Z) to $(\alpha, Z + Z_0)$.

PFH–spectral invariants Let H be a Hamiltonian function such that $\varphi = \varphi_H$ is non–degenerate. Given a generator (α, Z) , its **action** is defined by

$$\mathbb{A}_H(\alpha, Z) = \int_Z \omega_{\varphi_H}.$$

Define a subcomplex $\widetilde{PFC}^L(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0)$ generated by the generator (α, Z) with $\mathbb{A}_H(\alpha, Z) < L$. Since the differential ∂ decreases \mathbb{A}_H , the homology of this subcomplex is well defined, denoted by $\widetilde{PFH}^L(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0)$.

Fix a class $\sigma \in \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \gamma_0)$. Then the **PFH–spectral invariant** is defined by

$$c_\sigma^{pjh}(H, \gamma_0) := \inf\{L \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma \text{ belongs to the image of } \widetilde{PFH}^L(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0) \rightarrow \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \gamma_0)\}.$$

In the case that φ_H is degenerate, we find a sequence $\{H_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that H_n converges in C^∞ to H and each φ_{H_n} is non–degenerate. Then we define

$$c_\sigma^{pjh}(H, \gamma_0) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_\sigma^{pjh}(H_n, \gamma_0).$$

The limit is well defined by Corollary 4.4 of [12]. Let γ_1 be another choice of reference cycle with $d(\gamma_1) = d(\gamma_0)$. We have (see Proposition 4.2 of [12])

$$c_{\Psi_{Z_0}(\sigma)}^{pjh}(H, \gamma_1) = c_\sigma^{pjh}(H, \gamma_0) + \int_{Z_0} \omega_{\varphi_H}. \quad (2.6)$$

Cobordism maps Let (X, Ω_X) be a complete symplectic manifold such that

$$(X - K, \Omega_X) \cong ([R_0, \infty) \times, Y_{\varphi_+}, \omega_{\varphi_+} + ds \wedge dt) \cup ((-\infty, -R_0] \times, Y_{\varphi_-}, \omega_{\varphi_-} + ds \wedge dt), \quad (2.7)$$

where $K \subset X$ is a compact subset. Fix a reference relative homology class $Z_{ref} \in H_2(X, \gamma_0, \gamma_1)$. Then (X, Ω_X, Z_{ref}) induces a homomorphism

$$PFH_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X) : \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma_+, \varphi_+, \gamma_0) \rightarrow \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma_-, \varphi_-, \gamma_1).$$

Such a homomorphism is called a **cobordism map**. The superscript “sw” indicates that the cobordism map is defined by the Seiberg–Witten equations via the isomorphism “SWF=PFH” in [26]. Even these maps are defined by Seiberg–Witten equations, they ensure that the existence of holomorphic curves in X when the cobordism maps are non-trivial. These crucial properties are called **holomorphic axioms**. We put the precise statements in the appendix (see Theorem A.1).

In this note, we only use a special case that $\pi_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ is a surface bundle over the cylinder (see (5.23)). An Ω_X -compatible almost complex structure J_X is called **admissible** if it satisfies

1. J_X agrees with some admissible almost complex structures $J_{\pm} \in \mathcal{J}(Y_{\varphi_{\pm}}, \omega_{\varphi_{\pm}})$ over the cylindrical ends;
2. (Compatible with the fibration) J_X preserves TX^{vert} and TX^{hor} , where $TX^{vert} = \ker(\pi_X)_*$ and TX^{hor} is the Ω_X -orthogonal complement.

Suppose that φ_{H_+} satisfies $\spadesuit.1$ and φ_{H_-} satisfies $\spadesuit.2$. Fix a generic admissible almost complex structure J_X . According to Theorem 2 in [9], we can define the cobordism map

$$PFH_{Z_{ref}}(X, \Omega_X)_{J_X} : \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_+}, \gamma_0) \rightarrow \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_-}, \gamma_1).$$

alternatively by counting embedded J_X -holomorphic curves with $I = 0$. We remove the superscript “sw” to indicate that the map is defined by counting holomorphic curves. Moreover, the holomorphic curve definition coincides with the Seiberg–Witten definition. (See Theorem 3 of [9].)

2.2 Quantitative Heegaard Floer homology

Let $\mathbb{M} = Sym^d \Sigma$ be the d -symmetric product of Σ . The product symplectic form $\omega^{\times d}$ on $(\Sigma)^{\times d}$ descends to a singular Kähler current $\omega_{\mathbb{M}}$ on \mathbb{M} . $\omega_{\mathbb{M}}$ is smooth away from the diagonal Δ . Fix a small neighbourhood V of Δ . According Perutz’s result (Section 7 of [27]), we obtain a smooth Kähler form ω_V on \mathbb{M} such that

- $[\omega_V] = [\omega_{\mathbb{M}}]$;

- $\omega_V = \omega_{\mathbb{M}}$ on $\mathbb{M} - V$.

Then $Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda)$ and $Sym^d\Lambda$ are ω_V -Lagrangian submanifolds. In fact, they are monotone Lagrangian submanifolds. (See Remark 4.22 of [8].) The Hamiltonian symplectomorphism φ_H is called **non-degenerate (with respect to Λ)** if $Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda)$ intersects $Sym^d\Lambda$ transversely. We assume that φ_H is non-degenerate throughout.

Fix a base point $\mathbf{x} \in Sym^d\Lambda$. Define a reference chord $\mathbf{x}(t) := Sym^d\varphi_H^{1-t}(\mathbf{x})$. For any $y \in Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda) \cap Sym^d\Lambda$, a capping is a smooth map $\hat{y} : [0, 1]_s \times [0, 1]_t \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ such that $\hat{y}(1, t) = \mathbf{x}(t)$, $\hat{y}(0, t) = y$, and $\hat{y}(s, i) \in Sym^d\varphi_H^{1-i}(Sym^d\Lambda)$. Two capping \hat{y}_0 and \hat{y}_1 are equivalent if $y_0 = y_1$ and $\int_{\hat{y}_0} \omega_V = \int_{\hat{y}_1} \omega_V$. The equivalent class of the capping is denoted by \mathcal{S} .

Definition 2.4. A path of almost complex structures $\{J_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on $Sym^d\Sigma$ is called a quasi-nearly-symmetric almost complex structure if it satisfies

1. J_t is $\omega_{\mathbb{M}}$ -tame over $Sym^d\Sigma - V$.
2. For each t , there exists a complex structure j_t on Σ such that $J_t = Sym^d(j_t)$ over V .

Let $CF(Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d\Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ be a free module generated by (y, \mathcal{S}) . Fix a generic quasi-nearly-symmetric almost complex structure $\{J_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_1^{\{J_t\}}(y_+, y_-)$ be the moduli space of $u : \mathbb{R}_s \times [0, 1]_t \rightarrow \mathbb{M}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_s u + J_t(u)\partial_t u = 0 \\ u(s, 0) \in Sym^d\varphi_H\Lambda, u(s, 1) \in Sym^d\Lambda \\ \lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} u(s, t) = y_{\pm} \\ indu = 1. \end{cases}$$

The differential is defined by

$$m_1(y_+, \mathcal{S}_+) = \sum_{y_-} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{M}_1^{\{J_t\}}(y_+, y_-)/\mathbb{R}} \varepsilon(u)(y_-, [u] \# \mathcal{S}_+).$$

The quantitative Heegaard Floer homology $HF_*(Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d\Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ is the homology of $(CF(Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d\Lambda, \mathbf{x}), m_1)$. By Lemma 6.6 in [8], the homology is well defined. The quantitative Heegaard Floer homology is independent of the choices of Hamiltonian function and almost complex structure. Moreover, Lemma 6.10 in [8] shows that

$$HF_*(Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d\Lambda, \mathbf{x}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{T}^d, \mathbb{F}[T^{-1}, T]). \quad (2.8)$$

Unit There is a unique class $\mathbf{1}$ called the **unit**. It is the unit with respect to the quantum product. The unit is defined by $\mathbf{1}_H^{\mathbf{x}} := \Phi(\mathbb{M}, B_0, L)(1)$, where

$$\Phi(\mathbb{M}, B_0, L) : \mathbb{F}[T^{-1}, T] \rightarrow HF_*(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x}) \quad (2.9)$$

is the cobordism map induced by (\mathbb{M}, B_0, L) . Here B_0 is a disk with one negative strip-like end. $L \subset \partial B_0 \times \mathbb{M}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold such that $L|_{s \leq -R} = \mathbb{R}_{s \leq -R} \times (\{0\} \times Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda) \cup \{1\} \times Sym^d \Lambda)$.

The cobordism map $\Phi(\mathbb{M}, B, L)$ is defined by counting the holomorphic sections $s : B \rightarrow B \times \mathbb{M}$ such that $s|_{\partial B} \subset L$ and $inds = 0$. Also, the negative end of s is asymptotic to $y \in Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda) \cap Sym^d \Lambda$.

From (2.8), we know that the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology is non-vanishing, so is the unit $\mathbf{1}$.

Action functional and HF-spectral invariants Given a generator (y, \mathcal{S}) , its action is defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}_H(y, \mathcal{S})_{\mathbf{x}} = - \int_{\mathcal{S}} \omega_V + \int_0^1 Sym^d H(\mathbf{x}(t)) dt.$$

We omit the subscript “ \mathbf{x} ” when the context is clear.

As in the PFH case, the action induces a filtration $CF^L(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda) \hookrightarrow CF(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda)$ on the chain complex (see Lemma 6.12 of [8]). Fix a class $\sigma \neq 0 \in HF(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda)$. The **HF-spectral invariant** is defined by

$$c_{\Lambda}^{hf}(H, \sigma, \mathbf{x}) := \inf\{L \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma \in Im(HF^L(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda) \rightarrow HF(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda))\}.$$

3 A Heegaard Floer type homology

In this section, we construct a Heegaard Floer type homology by following Lipshitz’s approach [23]. The main difference is that the disk bubbles could appear, but we can deal with them easily as the monotone Lagrangian Floer homology.

Fix a Hamiltonian function $H : [0, 1]_t \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Let φ_H be the time-1 Hamiltonian flow generated by H . A **Reeb chord** is a union of paths

$$y = [0, 1] \times \{y_1, \dots, y_d\} \subset [0, 1] \times \Sigma$$

so that $y_i \in \varphi_H(\Lambda_i) \cap \Lambda_{\sigma(i)}$, where σ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, d\}$. Note that there is a 1-1 correspondence between the Reeb chords and the intersection points $Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda) \cap Sym^d \Lambda$.

Consider the symplectic manifold $M = \mathbb{R}_s \times [0, 1]_t \times \Sigma$ with the product symplectic form $\Omega = \omega + ds \wedge dt$. Let $L_0 = \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(\Lambda)$ and $L_1 = \mathbb{R} \times \{1\} \times \Lambda$. Note that they are Lagrangian submanifolds of (M, Ω) .

HF-curves Given two Reeb chords y_{\pm} , define $Z_{y_+, y_-} := L_0 \cup L_1 \cup \{\infty\} \times y_+ \cup \{-\infty\} \times y_-$.

Definition 3.1. Let (F, j) be a Riemann surface (possibly disconnected) with boundary marked points $\{p_i^{\pm}\}_{i=1}^d$. Let $\dot{F} = F - \{p_i^{\pm}\}_{i=1}^d$. A **d -multisection** is a smooth map $u : (F, \partial F) \rightarrow (M, Z_{y_+, y_-})$ such that

1. $u(\partial\dot{F}) \subset L_0, L_1$. For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, $u^{-1}(L_0^i), u^{-1}(L_1^i)$ consists of exactly one component of $\partial\dot{F}$.
2. u is asymptotic to y_{\pm} as $s \rightarrow \pm\infty$.
3. $\int_F u^* \omega < \infty$.

Let $H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ be the set of continuous maps $u : (F, \partial F) \rightarrow (M, Z_{y_+, y_-})$ satisfying the conditions 1), 2) mod out the relation \sim . Here $u_1 \sim u_2$ if and only if the compactifications \check{u}_1 and \check{u}_2 are equivalent in $H_2(M, Z_{y_+, y_-}; \mathbb{Z})$. An element in $H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ is called a **relative homology class**.

Fix a base point z_j in each connected component of $\Sigma - \Lambda - \varphi_H(\Lambda)$. For each $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$, we have a well-defined intersection number

$$n_j(A) := \#(A \cap (\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \times z_j)). \quad (3.10)$$

A relative homology class A is called **positive** if $n_j(A) \geq 0$ for each j . Note that if A admits a holomorphic representative, then A is positive.

Almost complex structure An Ω -compatible almost complex structure J on M is called **admissible** if it satisfies the following properties:

1. J is \mathbb{R}_s -invariant.
2. $J(\partial_s) = \partial_t$.
3. J preserves the vertical bundle of M .

The space of admissible almost complex structures is denoted by \mathcal{J}_M .

A J -holomorphic d -multisection $u : (F, \partial F, j) \rightarrow (M, Z_{y_+, y_-})$ is called a (J -holomorphic) **HF-curve**.

Fredholm index To define the Fredholm index of a HF-curve u , we need to fix a trivialization of $u^*T\Sigma$ as follows. Fix a non-singular vector v on Λ . Then $(\varphi_*(v), j\varphi_*(v))$ and $(v, j(v))$ give trivializations on $T\Sigma|_{L_0}$ and $T\Sigma|_{L_1}$ respectively. We extend the trivialization arbitrarily along y_{\pm} . Such a trivialization is denoted by τ .

Define a real line bundle \mathcal{L} over ∂F as follows. We set $\mathcal{L}|_{\partial \dot{F}} = u^*T\varphi(\Lambda), u^*T\Lambda$. Extend \mathcal{L} along $\partial F - \partial \dot{F}$ by rotating in counterclockwise direction from $u^*T\varphi(\Lambda)$ and $u^*T\Lambda$ by the minimum amount possible. Then $(u^*T\Sigma, \mathcal{L})$ forms a bundle pair over ∂F . With respect to the trivialization τ , we have well-defined

1. Maslov index: $\mu_\tau(u) = \mu(u^*T\Sigma, \mathcal{L}, \tau)$;
2. relative Chern number: $c_1(u^*T\Sigma, \tau)$.

Note that $2c_1(u^*T\Sigma, \tau) + \mu_\tau(u)$ is independent of τ .

The **Fredholm index** of a HF-curve is defined by

$$indu = -\chi(F) + d + 2c_1(u^*T\Sigma, \tau) + \mu_\tau(u).$$

ECH index The concept of ECH index also can be generalized to the current setting. Given $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$, an oriented immersed surface $C \subset M$ is a τ -representative of A if

1. C intersects the fibers positively along ∂C ;
2. $\pi_{[0,1] \times \Sigma}|_C$ is an embedding near infinity;
3. C satisfies the τ -trivial conditions in the sense of Definition 4.5.2 in [11].

Given a class $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ with a τ -representative C , we define the **relative self-intersection number** as follows. Let ν_C be the normal bundle of C . Let $\psi \in \Gamma(\nu_C)$ be a generic section such that $\psi|_{\partial C} = J\tau$, where J is an admissible almost complex structure. Let C' be a push off of C along the direction ψ . Then we define

$$Q_\tau(A) := \#(C \cap C').$$

The **ECH index** (see Definition 4.5.11 in [11]) is defined by

$$I(A) := c_1(T\Sigma|_A, \tau) + Q_\tau(A) + \mu_\tau(A).$$

The Fredholm index and ECH index satisfy the following relation.

Theorem 3.2 (see Theorem 4.5.13 of [11]). *Fix an admissible almost complex structure J (not necessarily generic). Then for a J -holomorphic HF-curve u , we have*

$$I(u) = indu + 2\delta(u),$$

where $\delta(u)$ is a sign count of the double points of u . If J is generic, then $I(u) \geq 0$; $I(u) = 0$ if and only if u is a trivial strip.

3.1 Moduli space of HF–curves

Fix a relative homology class $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$. Let $\mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)$ denote the moduli space of HF–curves with relative homology class A .

Bubbles analysis First of all, note that $H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ is an affine space over $H_2([0, 1] \times \Sigma, \{0\} \times \varphi_H(\Lambda) \cup \{1\} \times \Lambda; \mathbb{Z})$. By the Mayer–Vietoris sequence, we know that the latter is spanned by classes $[\Sigma]$, $\{\{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i)\}_{i=1}^k$ and $\{\{1\} \times B_i\}_{i=1}^k$. To simplify the notation, we write $\{\{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i)\}$, $\{\{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i^c)\}$, $\{\{1\} \times B_i\}$ and $\{\{1\} \times B_i^c\}$ as $[\varphi_H(B_i)]$, $[\varphi_H(B_i^c)]$, $[B_i]$ and $[B_i^c]$ respectively. Here B_i^c denote the complement of B_i in Σ . Therefore, for $A, A' \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$, we have

$$A' - A = m[\Sigma] + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i[B_i] + c'_i[\varphi_H(B_i)].$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^{k+1} [B_i] = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} [\varphi_H(B_i)] = [\Sigma]$, we can also write

$$A' - A = \sum_i^{k+1} c_i[B_i] + c'_i[\varphi_H(B_i^c)].$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $A, A' \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ be positive homology classes such that $A' - A = m[\Sigma] + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i[B_i] + c'_i[\varphi_H(B_i)] + d_i[B_i^c] + d'_i[\varphi_H(B_i^c)]$ and $c_i, c'_i, d_i, d'_i, m \geq 0$. Then we have*

$$I(A') = I(A) + \sum_i^k (2c_i + 2c'_i) + 2k(d_i + d'_i) + 2m(k+1). \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let u be a τ –trivial representative of A . Suppose that $d_i = d'_i = m = 0$ first. As u is τ –trivial, we can assume that the ends of u are just trivial strips. Let $\mathcal{E}_i = [-1, \infty) \times [0, 1]_t \times y_i$ be a positive end of u and $y_i \in \varphi(\Lambda_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

We perform a modification on \mathcal{E}_i as follows. Let $I = [0, 1]$. Define a path $p_0 : I_s \rightarrow I_s \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i)$ by $p_0(s) = (s, 0, r = 1, \theta_0 + 2\pi f(s))$, where (r, θ) is the polar coordinates of $\varphi_H(B_i)$, $f(s)$ is a non–decreasing function such that $f = 0$ near $s = 0$ and $f = 1$ near $s = 1$, and $(1, \theta_0)$ is the polar coordinates of y_i . Note that p_0 wraps the boundary $\partial\varphi_H(B_i)$ one time. Define $p_1 : I_s \rightarrow I_s \times \{1\} \times \varphi_H(B_i)$ by $p_1(s) = (s, 1, 1, \theta_0)$. Let $p_-(t) = (0, t, 1, \theta_0)$ and $p_+(t) = (1, t, 1, \theta_0)$. Then we can find an embedded map $v : I_s \times I_t \rightarrow I_s \times I_t \times \varphi_H(B_i)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(s, t) &= (s, t, v_B(s, t)); \\ v(s, 0) &= p_0, \quad v(s, 1) = p_1; \\ v(0, t) &= p_-, \quad v(1, t) = p_+. \end{aligned}$$

Such a map v exists because the topology of $I_s \times I_t \times \varphi_H(B_i)$ is trivial. The loop $p_0 + p_+ - p_1 - p_-$ bounds a disk.

We replace a segment $[0, 1]_s \times [0, 1]_t \times y_i$ of the end \mathcal{E}_i by v , the result is called u' . Note that $[u'] = [u] + [\varphi_H(B_i)]$. Let $\psi \in \Gamma(u^*T\Sigma)$ be a generic section such that $\psi = \tau$ along $u(\partial\dot{F})$, where τ is induced by a non-vanishing vector field on $\varphi_H(\Lambda_i)$. We use the notation τ to denote the trivialization of $u^*T\Sigma$ induced by the same vector field on $\varphi_H(\Lambda_i)$. Also, we choose τ such that it agrees with the one on $u^*T\Sigma$ outside the segment v . Then the real line bundle \mathcal{L} still is a constant along $u'(\partial\dot{F})$ (with respect to τ). Therefore, we have

$$\mu_\tau(u') = \mu_\tau(u).$$

Take a generic section $\psi' \in \Gamma(u'^*T\Sigma)$ such that $\psi' = \psi$ outside the region v and $\psi'|_{\partial v} = \tau|_{\partial v}$. Note that $\psi'^{-1}(0) = \psi^{-1}(0)$ outside the segment v , but ψ' has extra zeros inside v . The zeros $\#(\psi'^{-1}(0)|_v) = \text{wind}(\psi'|_{\partial v})$, where $\text{wind}(\psi'|_{\partial v})$ is the winding number of $\psi'|_{\partial v}$ in $v_B^*T\varphi_H(B_i)$. We have $\#(\psi'^{-1}(0)) = 1$ because p_0 wraps $\varphi_H(\partial B_i)$ one time. As a result, we have

$$c_1(u'^*T\Sigma, \tau) = c_1(u^*T\Sigma, \tau) + 1.$$

Note that after the modification, the ends of u' still intersect the fibers positively. Therefore, we can take $u'^*T\Sigma$ to be the normal bundle. As before, we have $c_1(N_{u'}, J\tau) = c_1(N_u, J\tau) + 1$. Since $\varphi_H(\dot{B}_i) \cap \varphi_H(\dot{B}_j) = \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq k+1$ and the image of v is contained in $I_s \times I_t \times \varphi_H(B_i)$, the modification does not introduce any new double points. Hence, $\delta(u') = \delta(u)$. By Lemma 4.5.8 of [11], we have

$$Q_\tau(u') = Q_\tau(u) + 1.$$

We perform this operation c'_i times for $\varphi_H(B_i)$. For each B_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, we can perform a similar operation. But in this case, we need to take p_0 to be a constant path and take p_1 to be a path wraps $\{1\} \times \partial B_i$ one time. Then we get

$$I(A) = I(A') + \sum_i^k (2c_i + 2c'_i).$$

If $m \neq 0$, we first modify u to a u' represented the class $A + \sum_i c_i[B_i] + c'_i[\varphi_H(B_i)]$. Let u'' be a union of u' with m copies of Σ . By definition of the ECH index, we have

$$I(u'') = I(u') + I(m\Sigma) + 2m\#(u' \cap \Sigma) = I(u') + 2m(d - g + 1) = I(u') + 2m(k + 1).$$

Since $I(u + [\Sigma]) = I(u + [B_i^c] + [B_i]) = I(u + [B_i^c]) + 2$ and $I(u + [\Sigma]) = I(u) + 2(k + 1)$, we have $I(u + [B_i^c]) = I(u) + 2k$. By the same argument, we know that the class $[\varphi_H(B_i^c)]$ contribute $2k$ to the ECH index. \square

Under the monotone assumption **A.4**, the ECH index satisfies

$$I(A) - I(A') = 2(k+1) \left(\int_A \omega - \int_{A'} \omega \right). \quad (3.12)$$

Lemma 3.4. *Fix an admissible almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}_M$. Let $u : F \rightarrow M$ be a J -holomorphic curve with Lagrangian boundary conditions (if $\partial F \neq \emptyset$). If $u(F)$ is compact, then u is contained in a fiber. If $\partial F = \emptyset$, then it is a branched covering of Σ . If $\partial F \neq \emptyset$ and $u(\partial F) \subset \{s\} \times \{1\} \subset \Lambda_i$ or $\{s\} \times \{0\} \subset \varphi_H(\Lambda_i)$, then we have the following possibilities:*

1. u is a branched covering of $\{s\} \times \{1\} \times B_i$ or $\{s\} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$;
2. u is a branched covering of $\{s\} \times \{1\} \times B_i^c$ or $\{s\} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i^c)$ for some $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $B_i^c = \Sigma - B_i$;
3. u is a branched covering of $\{s\} \times \{0\} \times \Sigma - \varphi_H(\Lambda_i)$ or $\{s\} \times \{1\} \times \Sigma - \Lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d$. In these two cases, the homology class of u is $m[\Sigma]$.

In either cases, the homology class $[u]$ is a linear combination of $\cup_i^k [B_i]$, $\cup_{i=1}^k [\varphi_H(B_i)]$ and $[\Sigma]$.

Proof. Note that $\pi \circ u : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ is holomorphic because π is complex linear. The image $\text{Image}(\pi \circ u)$ is a compact subset by the assumption. Then the open mapping theorem implies that $\pi \circ u$ is a constant, i.e., u lies inside a fiber. It is easy to get the rest of conclusions. □

Transversality and compactness

Lemma 3.5. *There is a Baire subset $\mathcal{J}_M^{\text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{J}_M$ such that for $J \in \mathcal{J}_M^{\text{reg}}$, any HF-curve $u \in \mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)$ without closed irreducible components is Fredholm regular.*

Proof. Just note that the HF-curves cannot be multiply-covered because of the asymptotic behaviours. Then the proof is standard. For the details, please refer to Section 3 of [23] and Lemma 4.7.2 of [11]. □

Lemma 3.6. *Suppose that $I(A) = 1$. Then for a generic $J \in \mathcal{J}_M^{\text{reg}}$, the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)$ is compact. In particular, $\mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)/\mathbb{R}$ is a finite set of points.*

Proof. Let $\{u_n : F_n \rightarrow M\}$ be a sequence of HF-curves in $\mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)$. Note that u_n cannot contain closed irreducible components. Otherwise, Lemma 3.3 implies that $I(u_n) \geq 2(k+1)$. By the relative adjunction formula (see Lemma 4.5.9 of [11]), the

genus of F_n have a uniform upper bound. We may assume that the topology type of $\{F_n\}$ is fixed. Then we can apply the Gromov compactness in [2].

The curves u_n can be broken in the following two ways: 1) Bubbles comes from pinching an arc or an interior simple curve in F_n ; 2) breaking along the necks. Since $\cup_i \Lambda_i$ are pairwise disjoint, if an irreducible component v of the bubbles comes from pinching an arc a , then the ends points of a must lie inside the same component of Λ_i . Therefore, $\partial v \subset \{s\} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(\Lambda_i)$ or $\{s\} \times \{1\} \times \Lambda_i$.

The limit of u_n can be written as $u_\infty = \{u^1, \dots, u^N\}$. Each level u^i is a union of HF -curves and the bubbles described above. By Lemma 3.4 and the above discussion, we know that the homology classes of the bubbles are combination of $\cup_i^k [B_i]$, $\cup_{i=1}^k [\varphi_H(B_i)]$, $\cup_i^k [B_i^c]$, $\cup_{i=1}^k [\varphi_H(B_i^c)]$ and $[\Sigma]$. Let u_0^i be the component of u^i by removing the bubbles. Then

$$[u^i] = [u_0^i] + \sum_j^k c_{ij} [B_j] + c'_{ij} [\varphi_H(B_j)] + d_{ij} [B_j^c] + d'_{ij} [\varphi_H(B_j^c)] + m_i [\Sigma]$$

for some $c_{ij}, c'_{ij}, d_{ij}, d'_{ij}, m_i$. Moreover, $c_{ij}, c'_{ij}, d_{ij}, d'_{ij}, m_i \geq 0$ because of the energy reason. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = I(A) &= I(u_\infty) = I(u^1) \dots + I(u^N) \\ &= \sum_i \left(I(u_0^i) + \sum_j 2c_{ij} + 2c'_{ij} + 2d_{ij}k + 2d'_{ij}k + 2m_i(k+1) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Hence, $c_{ij} = c'_{ij} = d_{ij} = d'_{ij} = m_i = 0$, i.e., the bubbles cannot exist. Moreover, the index reason implies that $u_\infty = u^1$ cannot be broken. By Theorem 3.2, $indu^1 = I(u^1) = 1$ and u^1 is embedded. By Lemma 3.5, $\mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)/\mathbb{R}$ is a compact zero-dimensional manifold. □

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that $I(A) = 2$. Then for a generic J , $\partial \mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)$ consists of the following two types of broken holomorphic curves:*

- $u = (u_1, u_2)$, where $u_1 \in \mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_0, A_1)$ and $u_2 \in \mathcal{M}^J(y_0, y_-, A_2)$. Here A_1 and A_2 satisfy $A = A_1 \# A_2$ and $I(A_1) = I(A_2) = 1$.
- $u = u_1 \cup u_2$, where u_1 is a union of trivial holomorphic strips and u_2 is a simple index 1 holomorphic disk whose image is $\{s\} \times \{1\} \times B_i$ or $\{s\} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i)$ for some s and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Also, u_1 intersects u_2 at a point. In particular, $y_+ = y_-$ in this case.

Proof. Note that Equation (3.13) still holds. As $I(u_0^i) \geq 0$, $m_i = d_{ij} = d'_{ij} = 0$ (recall that we assume $k > 1$). If there exists some u_0^i with $I = 1$, then $c_{ij} = c'_{ij} = 0$ as well.

By the index reason, there is another level with $I = 1$ and all the other levels have $I = 0$. Then we get the first conclusion.

If $I(u_0^i) = 0$ for all i , then u_0^i is just a union of trivial strips. By Equation (3.13), $c_{ij} = 1$ or $c'_{ij} = 1$ for some (i, j) and all the other c_{ij}, c'_{ij} are zero. Also, $d_{ij} = d'_{ij} = m_i = 0$. Then u can be written as a union of trivial strips u_1 and a holomorphic curve u_2 . By Lemma 3.4, u_2 is contained in some fiber and its image is $\{s\} \times \{1\} \times B_i$ or $\{s\} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(B_i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. Since $c_{ij} = 1$ or $c'_{ij} = 1$, u_2 cannot be branched covered. Hence, u_1 intersects u_2 at a point. \square

3.2 A Heegaard Floer type homology

Fix a base point $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$, where $x_i \in \Lambda_i$. Define a reference chord $\mathbf{x}(t) = \varphi_H^{1-t}(\mathbf{x})$ from $\varphi_H(\Lambda)$ to Λ . Let y be a Reeb chord. A capping of y is a relative homology class $A \in H_2(M, \mathbf{x}, y)$. Two capping A_1, A_2 are equivalent if and only if $\int_{A_1} \omega = \int_{A_2} \omega$. Let $[A]$ denote the equivalence class.

The chain complex is a free module generated by the Reeb chords and capping, i.e.,

$$CF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x}) = \oplus \mathbb{F}(y, [A]).$$

By the monotone assumption (A.4), all the classes $[B_i]$ and $[\varphi_H(B_i)]$ are equivalent, written as B . It induces a \mathbb{Z} -action on $CF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ by $(y, [A]) \rightarrow (y, [A \# B])$.

Fix a generic $J \in \mathcal{J}_M^{reg}$. The differential is defined by

$$d_J(y_+, [A_+]) = \sum_{A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-), I(A)=1} \# \mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A) / \mathbb{R}(y_-, [A_+ \# A]),$$

By Lemma 3.6, the differential is well defined. Also, (3.12) implies that the right hand side is a finite sum.

According to Lemma 3.7 and the gluing argument in [23], we have

$$d_J^2(y, [A]) = \sum_i (\# \mathcal{M}^{J_1}(B_i) - \# \mathcal{M}^{J_0}(\varphi_H(B_i))) (y, [A \# B]) = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{J_1}(B_i)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{J_0}(\varphi_H(B_i))$ are moduli spaces of holomorphic disks in Σ with homology classes $[B_i]$ and $[\varphi_H(B_i)]$ respectively. Obviously, the Hamiltonian symplectomorphism φ_H^{-1} gives a diffeomorphism from $\mathcal{M}^{J_0}(\varphi_H(B_i))$ to $\mathcal{M}^{J_1}(B_i)$. In sum, the homology of $(CF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x}), d_J)$ is well defined, denoted by $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_J$.

The **action functional** on the chain complex is defined by

$$\mathcal{A}_H(y, [A])_{\mathbf{x}} = - \int_A \omega + \int_0^1 H_t(\mathbf{x}(t)) dt.$$

It is easy to check that the differential decreases the action functional. Therefore, we get a filtration on the chain complex and can define the spectral invariant as before. Because the isomorphism in Theorem 1 preserves the action filtration, the spectral invariant defined by $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ agrees with the one defined by $HF(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$.

Different choice of base points Let $\mathbf{x}' = \{x'_1, \dots, x'_d\}$ be another base point, where $x'_i \in \Lambda_i$. As in the PFH case, any relative homology class $A \in H_2(M, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x})$ induces an isomorphism between the the homologies defined by the different base points.

We take a special relative homology class $A_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'}$ as follows: Take a path $\eta : \sqcup_i [0, 1]_{s_i} \rightarrow \Lambda$ such that $\eta(0) = \mathbf{x}$ and $\eta(1) = \mathbf{x}'$. Define $u(s, t) = \varphi_H^{1-t}(\eta(s))$ and $A_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'} = [u] \in H_2(M, \mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x})$. Then u induces an isomorphism

$$(A_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'})_* : HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_J \rightarrow HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x}')_J \quad (3.14)$$

by sending $(y, [A])$ to $(y, [A \# u])$. The advantage of this class $A_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}'}$ is that it preserves the action function. To see this, note that the energy of u is

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\sqcup_i [0, 1]_{s_i} \times [0, 1]} u^* \omega &= \int_{\sqcup_i [0, 1]_{s_i} \times [0, 1]} \omega(X_H \circ \eta(s), \partial_s \eta) ds \wedge dt \\ &= \int_{\sqcup_i [0, 1]_{s_i} \times [0, 1]} dH(\partial_s \eta) ds \wedge dt \\ &= \int_0^1 (H_t(\mathbf{x}'(t)) - H_t(\mathbf{x}(t))) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

where $H_t(\mathbf{x}(t))$ is short for $\sum_{i=1}^d H(x_i(t))$ and similar for $H_t(\mathbf{x}'(t))$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}_H(y, [A])_{\mathbf{x}} = \mathcal{A}_H(y, [A \# u])_{\mathbf{x}'}$$

Since Λ is pairwise disjoint, likewise, we can define such an isomorphism on $HF(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$.

Unit When $H = H_\varepsilon$ be the Hamiltonian function defined in Section 6, then the unit $e_{H_\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{x}} \in HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_J$ is defined to be one in $HF(Sym^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), Sym^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ via the isomorphism Ψ in Theorem 1, i.e., $e_{H_\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{x}} := \Psi^{-1}(1_{H_\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{x}})$. As long as $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ is sufficiently small, the class $e_{H_\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{x}}$ is independent of the choice of ε because $1_{H_\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{x}}$ is represented by the maximum of H_ε . See Remark 6.1.

For any other H , define

$$e_H^{\mathbf{x}} := I(H_\varepsilon, H)_*(e_{H_\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{x}}),$$

where $I(H_\varepsilon, H)_*$ is the cobordism map defined in Section 3.3. In particular, we have $e_H^{\mathbf{x}} \neq 0$. For the other choice of base point, we define the unit via the isomorphism in (3.14).

3.3 Invariance

The purpose of this section is to show that the homology $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_J$ is independent of the choices of several datum.

Lemma 3.8. *[Lemma 6.1.1 of [10]] Given Hamiltonian functions H_- and H_+ , there exists a triple (Ω, L_0, L_1) on M such that*

1. Ω is a symplectic form such that $\Omega = \omega + ds \wedge dt$ when $|s| \geq R_0$.
2. $L_1 = \mathbb{R} \times \{1\} \times \Lambda$ and

$$\begin{aligned} L_0|_{s \geq R_0} &= \mathbb{R}|_{s \geq R_0} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_{H_+}(\Lambda), \\ L_0|_{s \leq -R_0} &= \mathbb{R}|_{s \leq -R_0} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_{H_-}(\Lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where $R_0 > 0$ is a positive constant.

3. L_0 and L_1 are Lagrangian submanifolds with respect to Ω .

Proof. Let $G : \mathbb{R}_s \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Hamiltonian with support in $|s| \leq R_0$. Assume that $|\partial_s G| \leq \frac{c_0}{R_0}$. Let $\chi : [0, 1]_t \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a cut-off function so that $\chi = 1$ near $t = 0$ and $\chi = 0$ near $t = 1$. Define $G_{s,t}(x) = \chi(t)G_s(t, x)$. Let $X_{G_{s,t}}$ be the Hamiltonian vector field of $G_{s,t}$. For each fixed t , we define a flow $\psi_{s,t}$ by $\partial_s \psi_{s,t} = X_{G_{s,t}} \circ \psi_{s,t}$ with initial value $\psi_{s,t} = Id$ for $s \leq -1$.

Let $L_0 = \cup_s \psi_{s,0}(\varphi_H(\Lambda)) \subset \mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \Sigma \subset M$ and $L_1 = \mathbb{R} \times \{1\} \times \Lambda$. Note that $L_0|_{s \geq R_0} = \mathbb{R}_{s \geq R_0} \times \varphi_G \circ \varphi_H(\Lambda)$ and $L_0|_{s \leq -R_0} = \mathbb{R}_{s \leq -R_0} \times \varphi_H(\Lambda)$.

Let $\Omega = \omega + dG_{s,t} \wedge ds + ds \wedge dt$. If R_0 is sufficiently large, then Ω is still a symplectic form. It is easy to check that L_1, L_0 are Lagrangian submanifolds. \square

The triple (Ω, L_0, L_1) is called a **Lagrangian cobordism** from $(\varphi_{H_+}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ to $(\varphi_{H_-}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$

Proposition 3.9. *The homology $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_J$ is independent of the choices of H and J .*

Proof. The proof is the same as Section 6.1 in [10]. We just outline the main argument.

Fix two pairs (H_-, J_-) and (H_+, J_+) , where H_{\pm} are Hamiltonian functions and $J_{\pm} \in \mathcal{J}_M^{reg}$. We define a cobordism map from $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_+}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_{J_+}$ to $HF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_-}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_{J_-}$ as follows. Take the triple (L_0, L_1, Ω) provided by Lemma 3.8. Let J be a generic Ω -tame almost complex structure on M such that

1. J agrees with J_+ at the positive end ($s \geq R_0$) and with J_- at the negative end ($s \leq -R_0$).

2. $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ is complex linear.

Then we define a homomorphism

$$I((H_+, J_+), (H_-, J_-))_J : CF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_+}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_{J_+} \rightarrow CF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_-}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_{J_-}$$

by counting $I = 0$ J -holomorphic curves in (M, Ω) with boundary on L_0 and L_1 . The bubbles can be ruled out by the index reason as in Lemma 3.6.

Consider a sequence of $I = 1$ holomorphic curves $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in (M, Ω) with boundary on L_0 and L_1 . As $n \rightarrow \infty$, the same argument in Lemma 3.7 shows that $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to a broken curve $u_\infty = (u_1, u_2)$. One level is a HF-curve with $I = 1$ and another level is a curve in the Lagrangian cobordism with $I = 0$. The gluing argument (see Appendix in [23]) shows that $I((H_+, J_+), (H_-, J_-))_J$ is a chain map. Moreover, the usual homotopy argument implies that $I((H_+, J_+), (H_-, J_-))_J$ is independent of the choice of J and deformation (L_0, L_1, Ω) over a compact subset of M .

Let $(M_{s_+}, \Omega, L_0, L_1)$ and $(M_{s_-}, \Omega', L'_0, L_1)$ be Lagrangian cobordisms from $(\varphi_{H_+}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ to $(\varphi_{H_0}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ and from $(\varphi_{H_0}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ to $(\varphi_{H_-}(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ respectively, where s_\pm denote the \mathbb{R} -coordinates. Define a family of cobordisms by

$$(M, \Omega_R, L_R^0, L_1) := (M_{s_+}, \Omega, L_0, L_1)|_{s_+ \geq -R} \cup_{s_+ = -R \sim s_- = R} (M_{s_-}, \Omega', L'_0, L_1)|_{s_- \leq R}$$

Note that (L_R^0, L_1) are Ω_R -Lagrangian submanifolds. By the usual neck stretching and homotopy arguments, we have

$$I((H_+, J_+), (H_-, J_-))_* = I(\Omega_R, L_R^0 \cup L_1)_* = I((H_0, J_0), (H_-, J_-))_* \circ I((H_+, J_+), (H_0, J_0))_*.$$

Take $(H_+, J_+) = (H_-, J_-)$. Then the above equation implies that $I((H_+, J_+), (H_0, J_0))_*$ is an isomorphism. \square

4 Equivalence of two formulations

In this section, we prove the promised isomorphism in Theorem 1. The main idea is the same as [23] what Lipshitz done for the usual Heegaard Floer homology. One may copy the argument in [23] to prove Theorem 1. Here we provide an alternative way to compare the ECH index of HF-curves and Fredholm index of holomorphic sections in $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \times Sym^d \Sigma$.

4.1 Index comparison

First of all, we need to construct a nice representative of $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ which is like a holomorphic curve. Lipshitz needs such a representative in his argument as well. We apply his arguments in Lemma 4.2' and Lemma 4.9' of [24] to our setting directly. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Fix a positive relative homology class $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$. Then we can find a representative $u : F \rightarrow M$ of $A + [\Sigma]$ with the following properties:*

1. u is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\partial\dot{F}$;
2. $\pi \circ u : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ is a branched covering;
3. u is holomorphic near the branch points of $\pi \circ u$;
4. u is embedded except for finitely many double points.

Proof. Let $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ be a positive relative homology class. It associates a domain $\sum_j n_j(A) D_j$, where $n_j(A) \geq 0$ is the intersection numbers in (3.10) and $\{D_j\}$ is the complement of $\Lambda \cup \varphi_H(\Lambda)$.

The construction consists of the following steps: First, we follow Lemma 4.2' of [24] to glue $\{D_j\}$ together according to the coefficients $\{n_j(A)\}$. This gives us a surface F with conners and a map $u_\Sigma : F \rightarrow \Sigma$. Secondly, we modify $u_\Sigma : F \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that

1. F has conners $\{p_i^\pm\}_{i=1}^d$ and $u_\Sigma(p_i^\pm) = y_i^\pm$.
2. The conners are acute.
3. Each component of ∂F is mapped to some $\varphi_H(\Lambda_i)$ or Λ_i so that each $\varphi_H(\Lambda_i)$, Λ_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$, is used exactly once.

All the modifications in this step are local. Therefore, the argument in Lemma 4.2' of [24] can be applied to our case directly. The surface F maybe disconnected. We can glue it with Σ so that the surface F is connected (see the proof of Lemma 4.9' in [24]). Moreover, the map $u_\Sigma : F \rightarrow \Sigma$ still satisfies the previous properties. Finally, we follow the argument in Lemma 4.9' of [24] to construct a branched covering $u_\mathbb{D} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$.

Define $u = u_\mathbb{D} \times u_\Sigma : F \rightarrow M$. We can perturb u such that it is embedded except for finitely many double points. Then $u : F \rightarrow M$ satisfies all the requirements. \square

Let u be the d -multisection provided by Lemma 4.1. Note that u intersects each fiber $(s, t) \times \Sigma$ with d points (counting multiplies) because $\pi \circ u$ is a branched covering, where $\pi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ is the projection. These d intersection points give us an element $S(s, t) \in \text{Sym}^d \Sigma$. Then we define a section s_u on $\mathbb{R}_s \times [0, 1]_t \times \text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ by sending (s, t) to $S(s, t)$. The section s_u is called the **tautological correspondence** of u . In terms of u , note that the intersections of s_u with the diagonal arise in the following two ways:

1. Branch points of $\pi \circ u$.
2. Double points of u .

The main goal of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.2. *Let u and s_u be the above. Then we have $I(u) = inds_u$.*

Define a real subbundle \mathcal{L}_d of $s_u^*TSym^d\Sigma$ by setting $\mathcal{L}_d|_{\partial s_u} = s_u^*T(\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times Sym^d\varphi(\Lambda)) \cup s_u^*T(\mathbb{R} \times \{1\} \times Sym^d\varphi(\Lambda))$. We extend \mathcal{L}_d along $\{\infty\} \times y_+$ and $\{-\infty\} \times y_-$ by the minimal rotation as before. Therefore, the real subbundle \mathcal{L}_d is $Sym^d\mathcal{L}$. Fix a trivialization τ of $u^*T\Sigma$ as before. Let $[x] = [x_1, \dots, x_d] \in Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda)$ or $Sym^d\Lambda$. Since $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^d$ are disjoint, we can identify $T_{[x]}Sym^d\Sigma$ with $T_{x_1}\Sigma \times \dots \times T_{x_d}\Sigma$. Therefore, τ induces a trivialization τ_d of $TSym^d\Sigma$ along $Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda)$ and $Sym^d\Lambda$. Since the chords $\{y_i \times [0, 1] | y_i \in \varphi_H(\Lambda_i) \cap \Lambda_{\sigma(i)}\}$ are pairwise disjoint, τ induces trivializations of $TSym^d\Sigma|_{\{\pm\infty\} \times y_{\pm}}$ (still denoted by τ_d) similarly. The following lemma can be obtained directly from the definition.

Lemma 4.3. *We have $\mu(u^*T\Sigma, \mathcal{L}, \tau) = \mu(s_u^*TSym^d\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_d, \tau_d)$.*

The next step is to compare the relative Chern number of u and s_u . We take a generic smooth section of $\psi \in \Gamma(u^*T\Sigma)$ such that $\psi = \tau$ along ∂u . We choose ψ such it satisfies the following condition: Let q be a branch point $\pi \circ u : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. We identify a neighbourhood of $u(q)$ with $D_w \times D_z$, where z is the holomorphic coordinate of the fiber and w is the coordinate pull back from the base. Similarly, if q is a double point, then we can take the coordinates (w, z) around $u(q)$ as the above. We take $\psi = \partial_z$ in terms of these coordinates. In particular, ψ has no zeros near the branch points and the double points.

Away from the diagonal, the section ψ induces a section ψ_d over $(\Lambda^{\max}TSym^d\Sigma)^{\otimes 2}|_{s_u}$ in the following way:

$$\psi_d([x_1, \dots, x_d]) = (\psi(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \psi(x_d)) \otimes (\psi(x_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \psi(x_d)).$$

Note that the right hand side of the above formula is independent of the order of (x_1, \dots, x_d) .

Now we extend ψ_d over the whole s_u by the following way: Let q be a branch point of $\pi \circ u : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. Assume that the degree of q is d firstly. Reintroduce the coordinates $D_w \times D_z$ in the above. Then $Imu \cap (\pi^{-1}(w)) = [z_1, \dots, z_d] \in Sym^d\mathbb{C}_z$ near $u(q)$. When $w \neq 0$, then $\{z_1 \dots z_d\}$ are distinct points in \mathbb{C}_z . We abuse z_i to denote the coordinate near z_i . Since $[z_1, \dots, z_d] \in Sym^d\mathbb{C}$ away from diagonal, we can identify its neighbourhood with $\mathbb{C}_{z_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{C}_{z_d}$. Then $\partial_z|_{z_i} = \partial_{z_i}$. Let $\sigma_1(z_1 \dots z_d) = \sum_i z_d, \dots, \sigma_d(z_1 \dots z_d) = \prod_i z_i$ be the elementary symmetric functions. These functions are coordinates of $Sym^d\mathbb{C}$. We have

$$\psi|_{z_i} = \partial_z|_{z_i} = \partial_{z_i} = \sum_j \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial z_i} \partial_{\sigma_j}.$$

It is well known that the Jacobian of the elementary symmetric functions is $\Delta = \prod_{i < j} (z_i - z_j)$. Therefore, we have

$$\psi_d|_{[z_1 \dots z_d]} = (\partial_z|_{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_z|_{z_d})^{\otimes 2} = (\partial_{z_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{z_d})^{\otimes 2} = \Delta^2 (\partial_{\sigma_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{\sigma_d})^{\otimes 2}. \quad (4.16)$$

We extend the function Δ^2 generic over the whole $D_w \times \text{Sym}^d D_z$, still denoted by Δ^2 . We set ψ_d over $D_w \times \text{Sym}^d D_z$ to be the most right hand side of (4.16).

For the general case, let q_1, \dots, q_N be branch points of $\pi \circ u : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ such that $\pi \circ u(q_k) = p$. Let d_k denote the degree of q_k . Then $d = \sum_{k=1}^N d_k$. Let (w, z_k) be the local coordinates around $u(q_k)$ as before. A neighbourhood of $[\pi^{-1}(p) \cap \text{Im}u] \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \times \text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ can be identified with $D_w \times \text{Sym}^{d_1} D_{z_1} \times \dots \times \text{Sym}^{d_N} D_{z_N}$. By the discussion above, the vector field ∂_{z_k} gives raise vectors $\{\sum_r \frac{\partial \sigma_r^k}{\partial z_k^r} \partial_{\sigma_r^k}\}_{i=1}^{d_k}$ over $\{\delta \leq |w| \leq 1\} \times \text{Sym}^{d_k} D_{z_k}$. Then $\psi_d = (\prod_k \Delta_k)^2 (\partial_{\sigma_1^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{\sigma_{d_n}^N})^{\otimes 2}$ over $\{\delta \leq |w| \leq 1\} \times \text{Sym}^{d_1} D_{z_1} \times \dots \times \text{Sym}^{d_N} D_{z_N}$. We extend Δ_k generic and set ψ_d to be $(\prod_k \Delta_k)^2 (\partial_{\sigma_1^1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{\sigma_{d_n}^N})^{\otimes 2}$ over the whole $D_w \times \text{Sym}^{d_1} D_{z_1} \times \dots \times \text{Sym}^{d_N} D_{z_N}$. If $u(q)$ is a double point, then we can extend ψ_d over a neighbourhood of $s_u(\pi(u(q)))$ in the above way.

Lemma 4.4. *The section ψ_d is non-vanishing over the ends of s_u . Moreover,*

$$2c_1(s_u^* T \text{Sym}^d \Sigma, \tau_d) = \#\psi_d^{-1}(0) = 2c_1(u^* T \Sigma, \tau) + b + 2\delta(u),$$

where b is the sum over all the branch points of the order of multiplicity minus one and $\delta(u)$ is the sign count of the double points.

Proof. The contribution of $\#\psi_d^{-1}(0)$ comes from two parts $\psi_{d,1}^{-1}(0)$ and $\psi_{d,2}^{-1}(0)$, where $\psi_{1,d}$ is the restriction of ψ_d away from diagonal and $\psi_{d,2}$ is the restriction of ψ_d near the diagonal.

Firstly, consider the case that away from diagonal. By definition, for any $p \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$ such that $\psi_{1,d}(s_u(p)) = 0$, we have $\#\psi_{1,d}^{-1}(0)|_p = 2 \sum_i \#\psi^{-1}(0)|_{q_i}$, where $\pi^{-1}(p) \cap \text{Im}u = \{q_1 \dots q_d\}$. Therefore, we obtain $\#\psi_{1,d}^{-1}(0) = 2\#\psi^{-1}(0)$ away from the double points and branch points. Since ψ has no zeros near the branch points and double points, we have

$$\#\psi_{1,d}^{-1}(0) = 2\#\psi^{-1}(0) = 2c_1(u^* T \Sigma, \tau).$$

Let q be a branch point of $\pi \circ u : F \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times [0, 1]$. To simplify the notation, we assume that the degree of q is d . Reintroduce the coordinates $D_w \times D_z$ around $u(q)$. Under coordinates $D_w \times D_z$ around $u(q)$, we write u as $(x^d, f(x))$, where x is the holomorphic coordinate on F . Since u is holomorphic near the branch points, we know that f is a holomorphic function. u is embedded near $u(q)$ implies that $f(x) = c_1 x + O(x^2)$. The

contribution of $\psi_{2,d}^{-1}(0)$ near $u(q)$ is the same as the winding number of $\Delta^2|_{|w|=\epsilon}$. Let x_1, \dots, x_d be the distinct branches of $w^{\frac{1}{d}}$. Then we have

$$\Delta^2|_{s_u(w)} = c_0 w^{d-1} + o(|w|^{d-1})$$

with $c_0 \neq 0$. Hence, $\text{wind}\Delta^2|_{s_u(w)} = d - 1$. For the general case, the argument is similar.

If $u(q)$ is a double point, then locally Imu is a graph of two functions f, g . Then $\Delta^2 = (f(w) - g(w))^2$ under the local coordinates. We can assume $f(w) = aw$ and $g(w) = bw$ if the double points is positive. Otherwise, we assume that $f(w) = a\bar{w}$ and $g(w) = b\bar{w}$. Hence, each positive (negative) double point contribute $2(-2)$ to the $\#\psi_{2,d}^{-1}(0)$.

In sum, $\#\psi_{2,d}^{-1}(0) = b + 2\delta(u)$ and this finishes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. By the Riemann–Hirwitz formula (see Corollary 3.2 of [23]), we have $e(F) = de(D) - b$, where $e(F), e(D)$ are the Euler measure. We know that $e(F) = \chi(F) - \frac{d}{2}$ and $e(D) = \chi(D) - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$. By Lemmas 4.3, 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{inds}_u &= 2c_1(s_u^*TSym^d\Sigma, \tau_d) + \mu(s_u^*TSym^d\Sigma, \mathcal{L}_d, \tau_d) \\ &= -\chi(F) + d + 2c_1(u^*T\Sigma, \tau) + \mu_\tau(u) + 2\delta(u) \\ &= \text{indu} + 2\delta(u) = I(u). \end{aligned}$$

\square

4.2 Tautological correspondence

In this subsection, we use the tautological correspondence to prove Theorem 1.

Lemma 4.5. *The tautological correspondence induces an identification*

$$\Psi_* : H_2(M, y_+, y_-) \rightarrow H_2(Sym^d\Sigma, y_+, y_-).$$

Proof. Fix a positive relative homology class $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$. Let u be a representative provided by Lemma 4.1. Then the tautological correspondence gives a class $\Psi_*[A + \Sigma] = [s_u] \in H_2(Sym^d\Sigma, y_+, y_-)$.

Recall that $H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ is an affine space over $\mathbb{Z} \langle [\varphi_H(B_i)], [B_i] \rangle_{i=1}^{k+1}$. On the other hand, $H_2(Sym^d\Sigma, y_+, y_-)$ is an affine space over $H_2(Sym^d\Sigma, Sym^d\varphi_H(\Lambda))$ and $H_2(Sym^d\Sigma, Sym^d\Lambda)$. We extend Ψ_* by

$$\Psi_*(A + \Sigma + \sum_i c_i [B_i] + \sum_i c'_i [\varphi'_H(B_i)]) = \Psi_*(A + \Sigma) + \sum_i c_i \Psi_*([B_i]) + \sum_i c'_i \Psi_*([\varphi'_H(B_i)]).$$

The definition of $\Psi_*([B_i])$ and $\Psi_*([\varphi'_H(B_i)])$ are given in the next paragraph.

For each B_i , we define a class $\Psi_*([B_i]) \in H_2(\text{Sym}^d \Sigma, \text{Sym}^d \Lambda)$ as follows: For $1 \leq i \leq k$, define a surface $F = \sqcup_{j=1}^d \mathbb{D}_j$. Let $u_\Sigma^i : F \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a map such that $u_\Sigma^i|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$ is a constant at Λ_j when $j \neq i$ and $u_\Sigma^i|_{\mathbb{D}_i}$ is a biholomorphism to B_i . Take $u_\mathbb{D}^i : F \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ to be the d -fold trivial covering. In the case that $i = k + 1$, let $F = B_{k+1} \cup \cup_{j=k+1}^d \mathbb{D}_j$. Take $u_\Sigma^{k+1} : F \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that $u_\Sigma^{k+1}|_{B_{k+1}}$ is a biholomorphism and $u_\Sigma^{k+1}|_{\mathbb{D}_j}$ is a constant at Λ_j . Take $u_\mathbb{D}^{k+1} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ to be a d -fold branched covering such that $u_\mathbb{D}^{k+1}|_{B_{k+1}}$ is a k -fold branched covering and $u_\mathbb{D}^{k+1}|_{\cup_{j=k+1}^d \mathbb{D}_j}$ is the trivial covering. For each $u^i = u_\mathbb{D}^i \times u_\Sigma^i$, we obtain a map $s_{u^i} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow (\text{Sym}^d \Sigma, \text{Sym}^d \Lambda)$ via the tautological correspondence. Define $\Psi_*([B_i])$ to be the homology class of s_{u^i} . The construction is similar for $[\varphi_H(B_i)]$.

By Lemma 4.10 in [8], the above construction give a 1–1 correspondence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{Z} \langle [\varphi_H(B_i)] \rangle &>_{i=1}^{k+1} \cong H_2(\text{Sym}^d \Sigma, \text{Sym}^d \varphi_H(\Lambda)) \\ \mathbb{Z} \langle [B_i] \rangle &>_{i=1}^{k+1} \cong H_2(\text{Sym}^d \Sigma, \text{Sym}^d \Lambda). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *Let $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ be a positive relative homology class. Then*

$$I(A) = \text{ind} \Psi_*(A) \text{ and } \int_A \omega = \int_{\Psi_*(A)} \omega_V.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.15 in [8], $\mu(\Psi_*([B_i])) = 2$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\text{ind} \Psi_*(A + \Sigma) = \text{ind} \Psi_*(A) + \sum_i^{k+1} \mu(\Psi_*([B_i])) = \text{ind} \Psi_*(A) + 2(k+1).$$

Combine the above equation with Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 3.3; then we get the first identity.

To prove the second statement, we first need to know how to recover u from s_u . Write $s_u = (s, t, u')$. Lift u' to $\tilde{u}' : \tilde{F} \rightarrow (\Sigma)^{\times d}$ satisfying the diagram (4.17), where $\tilde{F} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{D} \times (\Sigma)^{\times d} : u'(x) = p(y)\}$. Let S_{d-1} be the permutation group fixing the first factor of $(\Sigma)^{\times d}$. Note that S_{d-1} also acts on \tilde{F} . Let π_1 be the projection of $(\Sigma)^{\times d}$ to its first factor. Then $\pi_\Sigma \circ u = \pi_1 \circ u' / S_{d-1}$.

By the diagram (4.17) and the fact that $\tilde{u}_\mathbb{D}$ is a degree $d!$ -covering, we have

$$\int_{\tilde{F}} \tilde{u}^* \omega^{\times d} = \int_{\tilde{F}} \tilde{u}^* p^* \omega_\mathbb{M} = \int_{\tilde{F}} \tilde{u}_\mathbb{D}^* u'^* \omega_\mathbb{M} = d! \int_{\mathbb{D}} u'^* \omega_\mathbb{M} = d! \int_{\mathbb{D}} s_u^* \omega_\mathbb{M}.$$

On the other hand, by the fact that $\tilde{F} \rightarrow F = \tilde{F} / S_{d-1}$ is a $(d-1)!$ -covering, we have

$$(d-1)! \int_F u^* \omega = \int_{\tilde{F}} \tilde{u}^* \pi_1^* \omega = \frac{1}{d} \int_{\tilde{F}} \tilde{u}^* \omega^{\times d}.$$

The above two equations imply that $\int_F u^* \omega = \int_{\mathbb{D}} s_u^* \omega_\mathbb{M}$.

By the definition of $\Psi_*([B_i])$ in Lemma 4.5 and the similar argument, we have $\int_{B_i} \omega = \int_{\Psi_*([B_i])} \omega_\mathbb{M}$. As the energy is additivity, we get the second statement of the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1. By the isomorphism (3.14), it suffices to prove the result for a special choice of \mathbf{x} . Take the base point \mathbf{x} to be $y = \{y_1 \cdots, y_d\} \in \varphi_H(\Lambda) \cap \Lambda$. By Lemmas 4.5, 4.6, we get a canonical identification between $CF_*(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ and $CF(\text{Sym}^d \varphi_H(\Lambda), \text{Sym}^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$.

Since $J \in \mathcal{J}_M$ is \mathbb{R} -invariant, it is determined by a path of complex structures $\{j_t\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ on Σ . Therefore, each $J \in \mathcal{J}_M$ gives rise to a quasi-nearly-symmetric almost complex structure $\mathbb{J}_t := \text{Sym}^d j_t$. We extend it to be an almost complex structure \mathbb{J} on $\mathbb{R} \times [0, 1] \times \text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ by setting $\mathbb{J}(\partial_s) = \partial_t$. If u is a J -holomorphic HF-curve, then s_u is a \mathbb{J} -holomorphic section.

Let J be a generic almost complex structure such that the curves in $\mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A)$ and $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{J}}(y_+, y_-, \Psi_*(A))$ are Fredholm regular.

Fix a positive class $A \in H_2(M, y_+, y_-)$ with $I(A) = 1$. The tautological correspondence gives a map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{M}^J(y_+, y_-, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{J}}(y_+, y_-, \Psi_*(A)).$$

Obviously, Ψ is injective. By Lemma 4.6, the curves in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{J}}(y_+, y_-, \Psi_*(A))$ have Fredholm index 1.

Conversely, given a holomorphic section $s = (s, t, u') \in \mathcal{M}^{\mathbb{J}}(y_+, y_-, \Psi_*(A))$ with $\text{inds} = 1$, by the same argument in Proposition 13.2 of [23], we lift u' to a map $\tilde{u}' : \tilde{F} \rightarrow (\Sigma)^{\times d}$ satisfying the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{u}'} & (\Sigma)^{\times d} \\ \downarrow \tilde{u}_{\mathbb{D}} & & \downarrow p \\ \mathbb{D} & \xrightarrow{u'} & \text{Sym}^d \Sigma \end{array} \quad (4.17)$$

Here $\tilde{u}_{\mathbb{D}} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is a covering map with degree $d!$. Moreover, the maps in the diagram are holomorphic. Recall the S_{d-1} -action in Lemma 4.6. Then \tilde{u}' is S_{d-1} -equivariant. The map $\pi_1 \circ \tilde{u}'$ descends to a map $u_{\Sigma} : F \rightarrow \Sigma$, where $F := \tilde{F}/S_{d-1}$. Let $u_{\mathbb{D}} := \tilde{u}_{\mathbb{D}}/S_{d-1} : F \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. Then we define the inverse $u = \Psi^{-1}(s)$ by $u := u_{\mathbb{D}} \times u_{\Sigma} : F \rightarrow M$.

As in [23], we have $\Psi \circ \Psi^{-1} = \text{id}$. Again by Lemma 4.6, we have $1 = \text{inds} = I(u)$. Hence, the map Ψ is 1-1 onto.

To see that the isomorphism preserves the action filtration, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{A}_H(y, [A]) = \mathfrak{A}_H(y, \Psi_*([A]))$. We have proved this in Lemma 4.6. \square

5 Closed-open morphisms

In this section, we construct the closed-open morphisms and study their properties.

The construction is very similar to construct the cobordism maps on PFH. It is defined by counting $I = 0$ holomorphic curves in a “closed–open” symplectic manifold W . As pointed out by Hutchings (Section 5.5 of [16]), the main difficulty of defining the PFH cobordism maps is that the appearance of holomorphic curves with negative ECH index. It will violate the compactness and transversality of the moduli space. However, in the “closed–open” setting, the HF–ends ensure that the holomorphic curves cannot be multiply–covered. A key observation is that if a holomorphic curve in W with at least one end, then it has at least one positive end and one negative end. This is because of the fibration structure of W . By the ECH index inequality, the “closed–open” curves have non–negative ECH index. Moreover, as in Lemma 3.3, the bubbles contribute at least 2 to the ECH index; we can rule out them by the index reason. Therefore, we can define closed–open morphisms by using the usual techniques.

5.1 Closed–open curves and their indexes

Closed–open cobordism First of all, we introduce the closed–open symplectic manifold. Define a surface $B \subset \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\mathbb{Z}))$ by $B := \mathbb{R}_s \times (\mathbb{R}_t/(2\mathbb{Z})) - B^c$, where B^c is $(-\infty, -2)_s \times [1, 2]_t$ with the corners rounded. The picture of B is shown in Figure 1.




Figure 1:

Define the mapping torus $Y_{\varphi_H} = [0, 2] \times \Sigma / (0, \varphi_H(x)) \sim (2, x)$. Then $\pi : \mathbb{R}_s \times Y_{\varphi_H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R}/(2\mathbb{Z}))$ is a surface bundle over the cylinder. Define $W_H := \pi^{-1}(B)$. Obviously, $\pi_W : W_H \rightarrow B$ is a surface bundle over the surface B . The symplectic form Ω_H on W_H is defined to be the restriction of $\omega_{\varphi_H} + ds \wedge dt$.

We place a copy of Λ on the fiber $\pi_B^{-1}(-3, 1)$ and take its parallel transport along ∂B using the symplectic connection. The parallel transport sweeps out a Lagrangian

submanifold L_{Λ_H} of (W_H, Ω_H) . Note that L_{Λ_H} consists of d disjoint connected components. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\Lambda_H}|_{s \leq -3 \times \{0\}} &= \mathbb{R}_{s \leq -3} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(\Lambda) \\ L_{\Lambda_H}|_{s \leq -3 \times \{1\}} &= \mathbb{R}_{s \leq -3} \times \{1\} \times \Lambda. \end{aligned}$$

The triple $(W_H, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})$ is called a **closed–open cobordism**.

Remark 5.1. *By using the trivialization (2.2), we can identify W_H as a bundle over $\pi^{-1}(B) \subset \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \Sigma$, where $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times S^1$ is the projection. Therefore, for any two Hamiltonian functions H, G , we have a diffeomorphism from W_H to W_G preserving the fibration structure. When the context is clear, we suppress the subscript “ H ” from the notation.*

Remark 5.2. *We can define a slight different trivialization of W_H ; the advantage of the following trivialization is that we can describe the Lagrangian submanifold L_{Λ_H} easily. We shift the coordinate by $-\frac{1}{2}$ and define*

$$\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H} := \mathbb{R} \times \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right] \times \Sigma / (s, -\frac{1}{2}, \varphi_H(x)) \sim (s, \frac{3}{2}, x).$$

Let $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-decreasing cutoff function such that $\chi = 1$ when $t \geq 1$ and $\chi = 0$ when $t \leq 0$. Define a flow $\varphi_t := \varphi_H^{\chi(t)}$ and extend it to be id for $t \leq 0$ and be φ_H for $t \geq 1$. Define a trivialization by

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi'_H : \mathbb{R} \times \left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\right] / \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sim \frac{3}{2}\right) \times \Sigma &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H} \\ (s, t, x) &\rightarrow (s, t, \varphi_t^{-1}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

The restriction of Ψ'_H to $B \times \Sigma$ gives a trivialization of W_H . Moreover, we have $(\Psi'_H)^* \omega_{\varphi_H} = \omega + d(\dot{\chi}H) \wedge dt$ and $\Psi'_H(\partial B \times \varphi_H(\Lambda)) = L_{\Lambda_H}$.

PFH–HF curves

Definition 5.1. *Fix a Reeb chord y and an orbit set γ with degree d . Let (F, j) be a Riemann surface (possibly disconnected) with punctures. A **d –multisection** is a smooth map $u : (F, \partial F) \rightarrow W$ such that*

1. $u(\partial \dot{F}) \subset L_{\Lambda_H}$. Let $\{L_{\Lambda_H}^i\}_{i=1}^d$ be the connected components of L_{Λ_H} . For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, $u^{-1}(L_{\Lambda_H}^i)$ consists of exactly one component of $\partial \dot{F}$.
2. u is asymptotic to y as $s \rightarrow -\infty$.
3. u is asymptotic to γ as $s \rightarrow +\infty$.
4. $\int_F u^* \omega < \infty$.

Fix an orbit set γ and a Reeb chord y . Let

$$Z_{\gamma,y} := L_{\Lambda_H} \cup (\{\infty\} \times \gamma) \cup (\{-\infty\} \times y) \subset W.$$

We denote $H_2(W, \gamma, y)$ the equivalence classes of continuous maps $u : (F, \partial F) \rightarrow (W, Z_{\gamma,y})$ satisfying 1), 2), 3) in the above definition. Two maps are equivalent if their difference is trivial in $H_2(W, Z_{\gamma,y}; \mathbb{Z})$. Similar to the previous case, $H_2(W, \gamma, y)$ is an affine space over $H_2(W, L_{\Lambda_H}; \mathbb{Z})$ and the difference of any two classes can be written as

$$A' - A = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i B_i + m[\Sigma],$$

where B_i is the class represented by a symplectic parallel translation of $\{-3\} \times \{1\} \times B_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Fredholm index Similar as before, we fix a non-singular vector field on Λ and it induces a trivialization τ of $T\Sigma$ along Λ . We extend τ by parallel transport along L_{Λ_H} and arbitrarily along y and γ . Define a subbundle \mathcal{L} of $u^*T\Sigma|_{\partial F}$ as follows. Set $\mathcal{L} = u^*TL_{\Lambda_H}$ along $\partial\dot{F}$. We extend \mathcal{L} over $\partial F - \partial\dot{F}$ by rotating in clockwise direction from $T\Lambda$ to $T\varphi_H(\Lambda)$ by minimum amount possible. Let $\mu_\tau(u)$ denote the Maslov index of the bundle pair $(u^*T\Sigma|_{\partial F}, \mathcal{L})$.

The Fredholm index is defined by

$$indu := -\chi(\dot{F}) + 2c_1(u^*T\Sigma, \tau) + \mu_\tau^{ind}(\gamma) + \mu_\tau(u), \quad (5.18)$$

where $\mu_\tau^{ind}(\gamma)$ is the combination of the Conley–Zehnder index defined in (2.4).

ECH index Fix $\mathcal{Z} \in H_2(W, \gamma, y)$. Let $u : F \rightarrow W$ be a τ -trivial representative in the sense of Definition 5.6.1 of [11]. We can define the relative self-intersection $Q_\tau(\mathcal{Z})$ as before. Then the ECH index (Definition 5.6.6 [11]) is

$$I(\mathcal{Z}) := c_1(TW|_{\mathcal{Z}}, \tau) + Q_\tau(\mathcal{Z}) + \mu_\tau^{ech}(\gamma) + \mu_\tau(\mathcal{Z}), \quad (5.19)$$

where $\mu_\tau^{ech}(\gamma)$ is the combination of the Conley–Zehnder index defined in (2.3).

According to Theorem 5.6.9 of [11], the ECH inequality still holds for the PFH—HF curves, i.e., we have

$$I(u) \geq indu + 2\delta(u).$$

Moreover, $I(u) = indu$ if and only if the positive ends of u satisfy the ECH partition conditions. By the above inequality, we have $I(u) \geq 0$ for a generic J .

5.2 Moduli space of PFH–HF curves

Let $\mathcal{J}_{tame}(W, \Omega_H)$ be the set of Ω_W -tame almost complex structures J such that J agrees with the admissible almost complex structures on the ends, and the projection π_W is (J, j_B) complex linear. Let $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ be the moduli space of J -holomorphic PFH–HF curves.

Apart from the ECH inequality, the ECH index and Fredholm index also satisfy the following relation. It is an analogy of Proposition 1.6 (c) in [13].

Lemma 5.2. *Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ be a PFH–HF curve. Assume that $\gamma = \{(\gamma_i, m_i)\}$ is a PFH generator. Then*

$$I(u) = \text{indu} \pmod{2}.$$

Proof. By the relative adjunction formula (see Lemma 5.6.3 of [11]), we have

$$I(u) - \text{indu} = 2\delta(u) - w_\tau^+(u) + \mu_\tau^{ech}(\gamma) - \mu_\tau^{ind}(\gamma),$$

where $w_\tau^+(u)$ is the writhe number defined in Section 3.1 of [13].

We may assume that the positive ends of u are τ -trivial in the sense of Definition 2.3 of [13]. Otherwise, we modify u such that the positive ends are τ -trivial. Note that such a modification doesn't change the relative homology class. By definition, u has m_i ends at γ_i and $w_\tau^+(u) = 0$. If γ_i is hyperbolic, then $\mu_\tau^{ech}(\gamma_i) = \mu_\tau^{ind}(\gamma_i)$ since $m_i = 1$. If γ_i is elliptic, then

$$\mu_\tau^{ech}(\gamma_i) - \mu_\tau^{ind}(\gamma_i) = \sum_{q=1}^{m_i} (CZ_\tau(\gamma_i^q) - CZ_\tau(\gamma_i)) = \text{even}.$$

□

Lemma 5.3. *Assume that $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}_{tame}(W, \Omega_H)$ is a generic almost complex structure. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ is a compact zero-dimensional manifold. In other words, $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ is a set of finite points.*

Proof. The SFT-compactness in closed–open cobordisms can be found in Section 6.1 and Section 7.3 in [11]. Let $u = \{u_{N_+} \cdots u_0, \cdots u_{N_-}\}$ be a broken holomorphic curve from the limit of curves in $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$, where u_i are holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H}$ for $i > 0$, u_0 is a curve in W and u_j are curves in M for $j < 0$. By the ECH inequality and the fact **F.2**, the curves in $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ satisfy the ECH partition conditions. Therefore, the positive ends of u_{N_+} also satisfy the ECH partition conditions.

Similar as in Lemma 3.4, by the fact that $\pi_W : W \rightarrow B$ is complex linear and the open mapping theorem, the bubbles lie inside the fiber of W . The bubbles arise in the following two ways:

1. Since $L_{\Lambda_H}^i$ are pairwise disjoint, if an irreducible component v of the bubbles comes from pinching an arc a , then the ends points of a lie inside the same component of L_{Λ_H} . Then v is a branched covering of $\mathcal{P}_{\partial}^{\tau}(\mathcal{B}_i)$ for some τ and i , where $\mathcal{P}_{\partial B}^{\tau}|_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}$ is the parallel transport of the symplectic connection and \mathcal{B}_i is one of the following surfaces:

- (a) $\mathcal{B}_i = \{-3\} \times \{1\} \times B_i$ or $\{-3\} \times \{1\} \times B_i^c$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$.
- (b) $\mathcal{B}_i = \{-3\} \times \{1\} \times \Sigma - \Lambda_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$. In this case, the homology class of \mathcal{B}_i is $[\Sigma]$.

2. If v comes from pinching an interior simple closed curve, then the homology class of v is $m[\Sigma]$ for some $m \geq 0$.

In sum, we can write $[u_0] = [u_{00}] + m_0[\Sigma] + \sum_j c_{0j}[B_j] + d_{0j}[B_j^c]$, where u_{00} is a PFH–HF curve without closed irreducible components and the rest are homology classes of the bubbles. Observe that each irreducible component of u_{00} has at least one positive end and at least one negative. Thus u_{00} cannot be multiply–covered and $I(u_{00}) \geq 0$. Also, $m_0, c_{0j}, d_{0j} \geq 0$. The same argument in Lemma 3.3 can be used to show that

$$I([u_0]) = I(u_{00}) + 2m_0(k+1) + \sum_j 2c_{0j} + \sum_j 2kd_{0j}. \quad (5.20)$$

The holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H}$ always have non–negative ECH index, thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= I(\mathcal{Z}) = I(u) = I(u_{N_+}) \cdots I(u_0) + \cdots I(u_{N_-}) \\ &\geq \sum_{i \leq 0} \left(I(u_{i0}) + \sum_j 2c_{ij} + 2c'_{ij} + 2kd_{ij} + 2kd'_{ij} \right) + \sum_i 2m_i(k+1). \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

We have $c_{ij} = d_{ij} = c'_{ij} = m_i = 0$; in other words, no fiber bubbles appear. The inequality (5.21) also implies that $I(u_i) = 0$ for each i . The Fredholm indices of u_i are adding to zero. By **F.3**, we have $\text{indu}_i = 0$ for each i . For $i < 0$, u_i are trivial strips which are ruled out in the holomorphic buildings. For $i > 0$, u_i are connectors with zero Fredholm index. By the fact **F.1** and the ECH partition conditions, they must be trivial. Thus these curves also are ruled out. In sum, $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ is compact. \square

Fix a reference class $\mathcal{Z}_0 \in H_2(W, \gamma_0, \mathbf{x})$. We define a homomorphism

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})_J : \widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0) \rightarrow CF(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$$

by

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})_J(\gamma, Z) = \sum_{(y, [A]), I(\mathcal{Z})=0} \# \mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})(y, [A]),$$

where A is determined by the relation $Z \# \mathcal{Z}_0 \# A = \mathcal{Z}$. For a generic $J \in J_{tame}(W, \Omega_H)$, Lemma 5.3 implies that the above definition make sense.

Lemma 5.4. $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})_J$ is a chain map.

Proof. Consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ with $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 1$, where γ is a PFH generator. By the ECH index inequality and Lemma 5.2, the curves in $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ have Fredholm index $ind = 1$. The fact **F.2** implies that the curves satisfy the ECH partition conditions. Let u_∞ be a broken holomorphic curve from the limit of curves in $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$. The inequality (5.21) still holds. Thus the bubbles can be ruled out.

Suppose that u is broken. The same argument in Lemma 5.3 shows that it either consists of

- A PFH–curve with $I = ind = 1$ in the top level;
- A PFH–HF curve in W with $I = ind = 0$;
- The $ind = 0$ connectors in the middle level;
- There are no negative level;

or

- There is no positive level;
- A PFH–HF curve in W with $I = ind = 0$;
- A HF–curve with $I = 1$ in the negative level.

The conclusion follows from Hutchings–Taubes’s obstruction gluing analysis [19] [20]. \square

5.3 Homotopy invariance

Even we only define the closed–open morphism for a tuple $(W_H, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, J)$, the construction still holds for a slight general situation. If we vary the tuple $(\Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, J)$ over a compact subset of W_H such that the almost complex structure is still generic, then the closed–open morphism is still well defined by counting $I = 0$ PFH–HF curves as before. The purpose of this subsection is to show that this variation doesn’t change the closed–open morphism in homology level.

Let $\{(\Omega_\tau, L_\tau, J_\tau)\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ be a family of triples on W such that

1. $(\Omega_\tau, L_\tau, J_\tau)|_{|s| \geq 10} = (\Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, J)$.

2. For each τ , L_τ is a Ω_τ -Lagrangian submanifold over $\pi^{-1}(\partial B)$ and $L_\tau \cap (s, t) \times \Sigma$ is a d disjoint union of circles.
3. For each τ , J_τ is an Ω_τ -tame almost complex structure such that the projection $\pi_W : W \rightarrow B$ is complex linear with respect to (J_τ, j_B) .

By the previous argument, if J_τ is generic, then we can define a homomorphism $(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_\tau, L_\tau)_{J_\tau})_*$ by counting PFH-HF curves with $I = 0$.

Consider the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\{J_\tau\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z}) := \{(u, \tau) | u \in \mathcal{M}^{J_\tau}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})\}$. Due to the appearance of HF-ends, the curves in $\mathcal{M}^{\{J_\tau\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ cannot be multiply covered. Therefore, if the path $\{J_\tau\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ is generic, then $\mathcal{M}^{\{J_\tau\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ is a smooth manifold of expected dimension.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\{(u_n, \tau_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of PFH-HF curves in $\mathcal{M}^{\{J_\tau\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$. The sequence converges to a broken holomorphic curve u_∞ in the sense of SFT. Then*

1. If $I(\mathcal{Z}) = -1$, then u_∞ is unbroken.
2. Assume that γ is a PFH generator. If $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$ and $\tau \rightarrow \tau_*$, then
 - (a) If J_{τ_*} is generic, then u_∞ is unbroken, i.e., $u_\infty \in \mathcal{M}^{J_{\tau_*}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$.
 - (b) If J_{τ_*} is not generic, then we have the following two possibilities:
 - $u_\infty = \{u^+, v_1, \dots, v_k, u^-\}$, where u^+ is a J -holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H}$ with $I(u^+) = 1$, v_i are $ind = 0$ connectors and u^- is a J_{τ_*} -holomorphic PFH-HF curve in W with $I = -1$.
 - $u_\infty = \{u^+, u^-\}$, where u^+ is a J_{τ_*} -holomorphic PFH-HF curve in W with $I = -1$ and u^- is a J -holomorphic HF-curve with $I = 1$.

Proof. Let $u \in \mathcal{M}^{\{J_\tau\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ be a PFH-HF curve with $I(u) = -1, 0$. We assume that u doesn't contain closed components; otherwise, it can be ruled out by the index reason. Since the path $\{J_\tau\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ is generic and u is not multiply-covered, we have $indu \geq -1$. The ECH inequality (it still holds even J_τ is not generic) implies that

$$-1 \leq indu + 2\delta(u) \leq I(\mathcal{Z}) = -1 \text{ or } 0.$$

If $I(\mathcal{Z}) = -1$, then we have $indu = I(u) = -1$. If $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$ and γ is a PFH generator, then $indu = 0$. Here $indu \neq -1$ since $indu = I(u) \pmod 2$ by Lemma 5.2. In any case, the PFH ends of u satisfy the ECH partition conditions.

Write $u_\infty = \{v_k, \dots, v_1, u_0, v_{-1}, \dots, v_{-m}\}$, where v_i are curves in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H}$, and u_0 is a J_{τ_*} -holomorphic curve in W with Lagrangian boundary conditions L_{τ_*} , and v_{-j} are HF-curves in M with Lagrangian boundary conditions. Moreover, the total ECH index of u_∞ is $I(\mathcal{Z})$.

Write $u_0 = u_0^0 \cup u_0^1$ and $v_i = v_i^0 \cup v_i^1$, where u_0^0 is a PFH–HF curve, v_i^0 is a PFH–curve or a HF–curve, and u_0^1, v_i^1 consist of the bubbles in fibers. Since the path $\{J_\tau\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ is generic, we have $\text{ind}u_0^0 \geq -1$. Therefore, $I(u_0^0) \geq -1$. By (5.21) and Lemma 3.3, each bubble contributes at least 2 to the ECH index. Therefore, when $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$ or -1 , the bubbles can be ruled out.

Suppose that $I(\mathcal{Z}) = -1$. Since the other levels have non–negative ECH index, we must have $I(u_0) = -1$ and $I(v_i) = 0$. Hence, v_i are connectors for $i \geq 1$ and v_j are trivial strips for $j \leq -1$. Since the Fredholm index is additivity, we have $\text{ind}u_0 = -1$ and $\text{ind}v_j = 0$. The connectors can be ruled out by the ECH partition conditions and the fact **F.1**.

Consider the case that $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$. The index reason implies that either u_∞ is unbroken or there is a positive or negative level with $I = 1$, $I(u_0) = -1$ and all other levels have $I = 0$. Suppose that $I(v_k) = 1$ for some $k > 0$. Then $\text{ind}v_k = 1$ and all the other positive levels are connectors with $\text{ind} = 0$. The ECH partition conditions and the fact **F.1** imply that v_j are trivial connectors for $j > k$, which are ruled out. The negative levels are trivial strips, which are ruled out as well. In the case that $I(v_k) = 1$ for some $k < 0$, then $k = -1$ and the positive levels must be trivial connectors by the ECH partition conditions and the fact **F.1**. \square

Lemma 5.6. *Let $\{(\Omega_\tau, L_\tau, J_\tau)\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ be a family of triples on W such that $(\Omega_\tau, L_\tau, J_\tau)|_{|s| \geq 10} = (\Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, J)$. Suppose that the family of almost complex structures $\{J_\tau\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ is generic. Then there is a chain homotopy*

$$K : \widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0)_J \rightarrow CF(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_J$$

such that

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_1, L_1)_{J_1} - \Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_0, L_0)_{J_0} = K \circ \partial_J + d_J \circ K.$$

In particular, we have $(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_1, L_1)_{J_1})_* = (\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_0, L_0)_{J_0})_*$.

Proof. Define the homomorphism K by

$$K(\gamma, Z) = \sum_{y, I(\mathcal{Z}) = -1} \#\mathcal{M}^{\{J_\tau\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})(y, [A]),$$

where A is determined by the relation $Z \# \mathcal{Z}_0 \# A = \mathcal{Z}$. By Lemma 5.5, the homomorphism K is well defined.

By Lemma 5.5 and Hutchings–Taubes’s obstruction gluing argument [19] [20], then we get the result. Note that the ECH partition conditions ensure that the gluing coefficient is 1.

Here we explain a little more about the gluing argument. Hutchings and Taubes’s original obstruction gluing argument can be modified to glue a PFH–HF curve and a

PFH curve with connectors in between, see Section 6.5 of [11]. Let us outline the key steps for gluing a pair (u_+, u_-) , where u_+ is an embedded PFH-curve with $I = ind = 1$ and u_- is a PFH–HF curve with $I = ind = 0$. Then we will explain the changes in our situation. The gluing argument includes the following three steps:

1. Let \mathcal{M} be the moduli space of $ind = 0$ branched covering of the trivial cylinders whose ends are determined by the ECH partition conditions. Given $u_0 \in \mathcal{M}$, construct a preglued curve $u_* : F_* \rightarrow W$. Let ψ_{\pm} be sections of normal bundles of u_{\pm} and ψ_0 be a complex function over u_0 . Using these sections, we can deform u_* to $e_{u_*}(\beta_+\psi_+, \beta_0\psi_0, \beta_-\psi_-)$, where β_{\pm}, β_0 are suitable cut-off functions.
2. The deformed curve is J -holomorphic if and only if

$$\beta_+\Theta_+(\psi_+, \psi_0) + \beta_0\Theta_0(\psi_+, \psi_0, \psi_-) + \beta_-\Theta_-(\psi_-, \psi_0) = 0. \quad (5.22)$$

Hence, it suffices to solve $\Theta_{\pm} = 0$ and $\Theta_0 = 0$. Fix a sufficiently small ψ_0 . Since u_{\pm} are Fredholm regular, we can find solutions ψ_{\pm} such that $\Theta_{\pm} = 0$, where ψ_{\pm} depends on ψ_0 . Then we can reduce solving $\Theta_0 = 0$ to finding zeros of a section \mathfrak{s} of the obstruction bundle $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$.

3. Finally, Hutchings and Taubes show that $\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(0)$ only depends on the asymptotic expansion of the ends of u_0 . Moreover, they give a combinatorial formula for $\#\mathfrak{s}^{-1}(0)$.

In our situation, we need to glue a J_{τ_0} -holomorphic PFH–HF curve with $I = ind = -1$ and a $I = ind = 1$ PFH curves with connectors in between. The difference between the case here and the above is that (J_{τ}, L_{τ}) changes as τ vary. This difference only influences the second steps as the other steps take over the ends of holomorphic curves where (J_{τ}, L_{τ}) is fixed. As before, the deformed curve is $J_{\tau_0+\tau}$ -holomorphic if it satisfies the Equation (5.22). Over the region of $|s| \leq 10$, Θ_- should be replaced by

$$\Theta_-(\psi_-, \tau) = D\bar{\partial}_{J_{\tau_0}, u_-}\psi_- + \tau\dot{J}_{\tau_0}(u_-) \circ du \circ j + F_-(\psi_-, \tau),$$

where $F_-(\psi_-, \tau)$ is type 2-quadratic (see Definition 5.1 of [20]) with respect to ψ_- and τ . Over the region $|s| > 10$, Θ_- is the same as before. As our $\{J_{\tau}\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ is generic, the operator $D\bar{\partial}_{J_{\tau_0}, u_-}\psi_- + \tau\dot{J}_{\tau_0}(u_-) \circ du \circ j$ is a bijection for suitable Sobolev spaces. Hence, for sufficiently small ψ_0 , we can find a unique solution (ψ_-, τ) depending on ψ_0 . The rest of argument is the same as before. \square

5.4 Proof of the partial invariance

To prove the first property of Theorem 2, we want to apply the neck stretching argument. However, currently the PFH cobordism maps only can be defined via Seiberg–Witten equations which is not compatible with our setting here. To ensure that the

cobordism maps can be defined by holomorphic curves, we assume that φ_H and φ_G satisfy $(\spadesuit.1)$ and $(\spadesuit.2)$ respectively.

Define a symplectic cobordism by

$$X = \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \Sigma, \quad \omega_X = \omega + dH_{s,t} \wedge dt, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_X = \omega_X + ds \wedge dt, \quad (5.23)$$

where $H_{s,t} = \chi(s)H_t + (1 - \chi(s))G_t$ and $\chi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a cutoff function such that $\chi = 1$ when $s \geq R_0$ and $\chi = 0$ when $s \leq 0$. Then (X, Ω_X) gives a symplectic cobordism from $(Y_{\varphi_H}, \omega_{\varphi_H})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_G}, \omega_{\varphi_G})$ under the trivialization (2.2).

Let $(W, \Omega_G, L_{\Lambda_G})$ be a closed–open cobordism defined by a Hamiltonian G as before. Let (M, Ω, L_0, L_1) be the Lagrangian cobordism from $(\varphi_G(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ to $(\varphi_H(\Lambda), \Lambda)$ provided by Lemma 3.8. For simplicity, assume that the constant $R_0 = 1$ in Lemma 3.8 and (5.23). We glue (X, Ω_X) , $(W, \Omega_G, L_{\Lambda_G})$ and (M, Ω, L_0, L_1) in the following way: Let s_+ , s_0 and s_- be the \mathbb{R} –coordinates of X , W and M respectively. Define

$$(W_{r,R}, \Omega_{r,R}) := (M, \Omega)|_{s_- \leq r} \cup_{s_- = r \sim s_0 = -r} (W, \Omega_G)|_{-r \leq s_0 \leq R} \cup_{s_0 = R \sim s_+ = -R} (X, \Omega_X)|_{s_+ \geq -R}.$$

Note that $\pi : W_{r,R} \rightarrow B$ still is a surface bundle over B . We glue the Lagrangian submanifolds together similarly. Define

$$L_{r,R} := (L_0 \cup L_1)|_{s_- \leq r} \cup_{s_- = r \sim s_0 = -r} L_{\Lambda_G}|_{-r \leq s_0}$$

Then $L_{r,R}$ is a $\Omega_{r,R}$ –Lagrangian submanifold and it consists of d disjoint connected components. Moreover, the Lagrangian $L_{r,R} \subset \pi^{-1}(\partial B)$ satisfies

- $L_{r,R}|_{s \leq -2r-1 \times \{0\}} = \mathbb{R}_{s \leq -2r-1} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(\Lambda)$,
- $L_{r,R}|_{s \leq -2r-1 \times \{1\}} = \mathbb{R}_{s \leq -2r-1} \times \{1\} \times \Lambda$,
- and $L|_{|s| \leq r} = L_{\Lambda_G}$.

Let $(W', \Omega', L') := (M, \Omega, (L_0 \cup L_1))|_{s_- \leq 3} \cup_{s_- = 3 \sim s_0 = -3} (W, \Omega_G, L_{\Lambda_G})|_{-3 \leq s_0}$. Set

$$(W_R, \Omega_R, L_R) := (W_{r,R}, \Omega_{r,R}, L_{r,R})|_{r=-3}.$$

Take a generic path of almost complex structures $\{J_R\}_{R \geq 1}$ on W_R such that

1. J_1 is generic;
2. $J_R = J_H \in \mathcal{J}(Y_{\varphi_H}, \omega_{\varphi_H})$ when $s \geq 2R + 10$ and $s \leq -10$.
3. For each R , J_R is an Ω_R –tame almost complex structure such that the projection $\pi_R : W_R \rightarrow B$ is complex linear with respect to (J_R, j_B) .
4. As $R \rightarrow \infty$, $J_R|_{W'}$ converges in C_{loc}^∞ to a generic J' and $J_R|_X$ converges in C_{loc}^∞ to a generic admissible almost complex structure J_X .

We define the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\{J_R\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z}) := \{(u, R) | u \in \mathcal{M}^{J_R}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})\}$.

Lemma 5.7. *Assume that $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$. Let γ be a PFH generator. Let $\{(u_n, R_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of curves in $\mathcal{M}^{\{J_R\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$. The sequence converges to a broken holomorphic curve u_∞ in the sense of SFT. Then*

- *If $R_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $u_\infty = \{u^+, v_1, \dots, v_k, u^-\}$, where u^+ is an embedded J_X -holomorphic curve in X with $I = 0$, v_i are $\text{ind} = 0$ connectors and u^- is an embedded J' -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in W' with $I = 0$.*
- *If $R_n \rightarrow R_*$ and J_{R_*} is not a generic almost complex structure, then we have the following to possibilities:*
 1. *$u_\infty = \{u^+, v_1, \dots, v_k, u^-\}$, where u^+ is a J_H -holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H}$ with $I(u^+) = 1$, v_i are connectors and u^- is a J_{R_*} -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in W_{R_*} with $I = -1$.*
 2. *$u_\infty = \{u^+, u^-\}$, where u^+ is a J_{R_*} -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in W_{R_*} with $I = -1$ and u^- is a J_H -holomorphic HF-curve with $I = 1$.*

Proof. Consider the case that $R_n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$u_\infty = \{u^{N^+}, \dots, u^1, u^+, v_1, \dots, v_k, u^-, v_{-1}, \dots, v_{-m}\}$$

is a broken holomorphic curve with total zero ECH index, where u^i are J_H -holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_H}$, u^+ is a J_X -holomorphic curve in X , v_i are J_G -holomorphic curves in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_G}$, u^- is a J' -holomorphic curve in W' , and v_{-j} are J_H -holomorphic curves in M with Lagrangian boundary conditions $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \times \varphi_H(\Lambda) \cup \mathbb{R} \times \{1\} \times \Lambda$.

As our choice of almost complex structures so that the projections are complex linear, the same argument in Lemma 3.4 shows that the bubbles lie in the fibers. Hence, the inequality (5.21) still holds. In particular, u^- and v_{-j} have non-negative ECH index. By the assumptions on φ_H, φ_G and Corollary 7.4 in [9], we have $I(u^+) \geq 0$. Hence, each level of u_∞ has zero ECH index and no bubbles appear. The total Fredholm index is zero as well. Lemma 7.7 in [9] also implies that each level of u_∞ has zero Fredholm index.

The zero ECH index implies that v_{-j} are trivial strips and u^i, v_j are connectors. The ECH partition conditions and **F.1** can be used to show that u^i are trivial connectors. In particular, u^i and v_{-j} are ruled out.

For the case $R_n \rightarrow R_*$, the proof is the same as Lemma 5.5. □

By Lemma 5.7 and Hutchings–Taubes’s obstruction gluing argument [19], [20], we have

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(W_1, \Omega_1, L)_{J_1} = \Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W', \Omega_{W'}, L')_{J'} \circ PFC_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(X, \Omega_X)_{J_X} + d_{J_H} \circ K + K \circ \partial_{J_H}.$$

The morphism $K : \widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_0)_{J_H} \rightarrow CF(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})_{J_H}$ is defined by counting the moduli space $\mathcal{M}^{\{J_R\}}(\gamma, y, \mathcal{Z})$ with $I(\mathcal{Z}) = -1$. In particular, we have

$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(W_1, \Omega_1, L))_{J_1}^* = (\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W', \Omega_{W'}, L)_{J'})^* \circ PFH_{Z_0}(X, \Omega_X)_{J_X}.$$

Recall that $(\Omega_1, L, J_1)|_{s|\geq 20} = (\Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, J_H)$. Under the trivialization in Remark 5.2, it is easy to find a homotopy $\{(\Omega_\tau, L_\tau, J_\tau)\}_{\tau \in [0,1]}$ such that $(\Omega_\tau, L_\tau, J_\tau)|_{\tau=0} = (\Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, J_H)$ and $(\Omega_\tau, L_\tau, J_\tau)|_{\tau=1} = (\Omega_1, L, J_1)$. By Lemma 5.6, we have

$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_1}(W_H, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})_{J_H})^* = (\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W', \Omega_{W'}, L)_{J'})^* \circ PFH_{Z_0}(X, \Omega_X)_{J_X}. \quad (5.24)$$

According to Theorem 3 in [9], we can replace $PFH_{Z_0}(X, \Omega_X)_{J_X}$ by $PFH_{Z_0}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)$.

Lemma 5.8. *We have $(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W', \Omega_{W'}, L)_{J'})^* = I((G, J_G), (H, J_H))^* \circ (\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W_G, \Omega_G, L_{\Lambda_G})_{J_G})^*$.*

Proof. The proof is also the neck stretching argument, but we stretch the end of the other side. Let

$$(W_r, \Omega_r, L_r) := (M, \Omega, (L_0 \cup L_1))|_{s \leq r+3} \cup_{s=r+3 \sim s_0=-r-3} (W, \Omega_G, L_{\Lambda_G})|_{-r-3 \leq s_0}.$$

Let $\{J_r\}_{r \geq 0}$ be a generic family of almost complex structures such that $J_{r=0} = J'$, $J_r|_{W_G}$ converges to J_G and $J_r|_M$ converges to J'' . Let $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of J_{r_n} -holomorphic PFH–HF curves in W_{r_n} . Using the same argument in Lemma 5.7, then we get the following results:

- If $r_n \rightarrow \infty$, then $u_\infty = \{u^+, u^-\}$, where u^+ is an embedded J_G -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in W_G with $I = 0$ and u^- is an embedded J'' -holomorphic curve in M with $I = 0$.
- If $r_n \rightarrow r_*$ and J_{r_*} is not a generic almost complex structure, then we have the following two possibilities:
 1. $u_\infty = \{u^+, v_1, \dots, v_k, u^-\}$, where u^+ is a J_G -holomorphic curve in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_G}$ with $I(u^+) = 1$, v_i are connectors and u^- is a J_{r_*} -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in W_{r_*} with $I = -1$.
 2. $u_\infty = \{u^+, u^-\}$, where u^+ is a J_{r_*} -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in W_{r_*} with $I = -1$ and u^- is a J_H -holomorphic HF–curve with $I = 1$.

By the gluing argument and Lemma 5.6, we finish the proof of the statement. \square

Combine Lemma 5.8 and (5.24); then we finish the proof of the partial invariance in Theorem 2.

6 Computations

In this section, we first need to fix a Morse function as follows. Let $f_{\Lambda_i} : \Lambda_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a perfect Morse function with a minimum y_i^- and a maximum y_i^+ . We extend $\cup_i f_{\Lambda_i}$ to be a Morse function $f : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

1. (f, g_Σ) satisfies the Morse–Smale condition, where g_Σ is a fixed metric on Σ .
2. $f = f_{\Lambda_i} - \frac{1}{2}y^2$ in a neighbourhood of Λ_i , where y is the coordinate of the normal direction.
3. $\{y_i^+\}_{i=1}^d$ are the only maximum points of f . Also, $f(y_i^+) = 1$ for any $1 \leq i \leq d$.

The picture of f is shown in Figure 2. Define a function $H_\epsilon := \epsilon f$, where $0 < \epsilon < 1$ is a small constant.

We prove the non-vanishing results in this section. To this end, the idea is to compute the closed–open morphism for a special Hamiltonian G and then using the partial invariance in Theorem 2 to deduce the non-vanishing for other H .

The Morse function H_ϵ is a nice candidate for computation. In the next subsection, we show that the Reeb chords and periodic orbits of φ_{H_ϵ} correspond to the critical points of f_Λ and f respectively. Also, the ECH index and the energy of holomorphic curves in $(W, \Omega_{H_\epsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H_\epsilon}})$ are computable. Combining the energy and index reasons, we could compute $\Phi(W, \Omega_{H_\epsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H_\epsilon}})_*$. However, to apply the partial invariance in Theorem 2, we require that H_ϵ satisfies assumption $\spadesuit.1$ or $\spadesuit.2$ while H_ϵ does not. Therefore, we need to modify H_ϵ to H'_ϵ satisfying $\spadesuit.2$. After this modification, the periodic orbits of $\varphi_{\varphi_{H'_\epsilon}}$ is no longer corresponding to the critical points any more. We need to do a bit more works. For example, it is not clear which PFH generators represented the unit of PFH. Thus we need to compute the PFH cobordism maps.

6.1 Reeb chords and period orbits of φ_{H_ϵ}

Lemma 6.1. *If $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ is sufficiently small, then $y = [0, 1] \times \{y_1^{\epsilon_1}, \dots, y_d^{\epsilon_d}\}$ are the only Reeb chords of φ_{H_ϵ} , where $\epsilon_i \in \{+, -\}$.*

Proof. Note that $\text{dist}(\varphi_{H_\epsilon}(x), x) \leq c_0 \epsilon |\nabla f|$. We may assume that $\varphi_{H_\epsilon}(\Lambda_i)$ lies inside a small neighbourhood of Λ_i , $1 \leq i \leq d$. Let (x, y) be the coordinates near Λ_i , where x is the coordinate of Λ_i and y is the coordinate of the normal direction. It is easy to check that the Hamiltonian vector field is $X_{H_\epsilon} = -\epsilon y \partial_x - \epsilon f'_{\Lambda_i}(x) \partial_y$. Therefore, the Hamiltonian flow is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} &= -\epsilon y \\ \dot{y} &= -\epsilon f'_{\Lambda_i}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{6.25}$$

Take a neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0} = \mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0}^0 \cup \mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0}^1 \subset \Lambda_i$ of f_{Λ_i} 's critical points such that

- $f'_{\Lambda_i}(x) \geq \delta_0$ or $f'_{\Lambda_i}(x) \leq -\delta_0$ away from a smaller neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}_{\delta_0} \subset \mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0}$.
- $f_{\Lambda_i}|_{\mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0}^0} = m_p + \frac{1}{2}x^2$ and $f_{\Lambda_i}|_{\mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0}^1} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2$.

Suppose that for any $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$, we can find a non-constant solution (x_n, y_n) to (6.25) satisfying $(x_n(0), 0), (x_n(1), 0) \in \Lambda_i$. Take $n \rightarrow \infty$; (x_n, y_n) converges in C^∞ to a constant $(x_0, 0)$. If x_0 is outside \mathcal{U}_{δ_0} , then we have $f'_{\Lambda_i}(x_n) \geq \frac{\delta_0}{2}$ or $f'_{\Lambda_i}(x_n) \leq -\frac{\delta_0}{2}$ for n large enough. Equation (6.25) implies that $y_n(1) \leq -\frac{\delta_0 \epsilon_n}{2}$ or $y_n(1) \geq \frac{\delta_0 \epsilon_n}{2}$. This contradicts with $y_n(1) = 0$.

If x_0 lies in the δ_0 -neighbourhood of the maximum, we may assume that (x_n, y_n) lies inside $\mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0}^1 \times (-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0)$ where $f_{\Lambda_i}(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}x^2$. Then it is easy to check that $x_n(1) + iy_n(1) = (x_n(0) + iy_n(0))e^{i\epsilon_n}$. Obviously $(0, 0)$ is the only solution satisfying $y_n(0) = y_n(1) = 0$.

If (x_n, y_n) lies inside $\mathcal{U}_{2\delta_0}^0 \times (-2\delta_0, 2\delta_0)$ where $f_{\Lambda_i}(x) = m_p + \frac{1}{2}x^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_n(1) &= \cosh(\epsilon_n)x_n(0) - \sinh(\epsilon_n)y_n(0) \\ y_n(1) &= -\sinh(\epsilon_n)x_n(0) + \cosh(\epsilon_n)y_n(0). \end{aligned}$$

Again, $(0, 0)$ is the only solution satisfying $y_n(0) = y_n(1) = 0$. □

Remark 6.1. For the above H_ϵ , the homology $HF_*(\text{Sym}^d \varphi_{H_\epsilon}(\Lambda), \text{Sym}^d \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ is well defined. Let $s : B_0 \rightarrow B_0 \times \text{Sym}^d \Sigma$ be a holomorphic section contributed to the cobordism map (2.9). It is easy to deduce formulas for the Fredholm index and the energy of s . From these formulas, we know that the negative end of s must asymptotic to y_+ . Therefore, the unit $\mathbf{1}_{H_\epsilon}^x$ is represented by (y_+, \mathcal{S}_1) for some capping \mathcal{S}_1 . Let A_ϵ be the capping such that $\Psi_*([A_\epsilon]) = \mathcal{S}_1$. Then $(y_+, [A_\epsilon])$ is a representative of the unit of $HF(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_\epsilon}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$.

First modification on H_ϵ : The purpose of this modification is to ensure that there exist periodic orbits with large period near the local minimum of H_ϵ . Even these orbits do not contribute to the chain complex $\widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_\epsilon}, \gamma_0)$, they are useful when we compute the cobordism maps and closed-open morphisms.

Let (x, y) be the local coordinates near a local minimum p of f such that $\omega = dx \wedge dy$. Under these coordinates, we choose f such that $f = m_p + x^2 + y^2$. Let (r, θ) be the polar coordinates. Let $\mathcal{U}_p^\delta = \{(r, \theta) | r \leq \delta\}$ denote the δ -neighbourhood of p . Define $\mathcal{U}^\delta = \cup_p \mathcal{U}_p^\delta$, where p run over all the local minimums of f . Fix positive constants $\delta, \delta_0 > 0$. Take a function $\varepsilon(r)$ such that

- $\varepsilon(r) = \epsilon$ on the regions $\mathcal{U}^{\delta+\delta_0}$ and $\Sigma - \mathcal{U}^{\delta+2\delta_0}$. Here $\epsilon > 0$ is a small constant. Also, $\varepsilon(r) \geq \epsilon$ on the whole Σ .
- Over the region $\{\delta + \delta_0 \leq r \leq \delta + 2\delta_0\}$, ε is a function that only depends on r . The maximum of $\varepsilon(r)$ is denoted by ϵ_0 . We choose $\varepsilon(r)$ such that $0 < \frac{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon}{\delta_0} \ll 1$ is small enough.

Replace H_ϵ by $H_\varepsilon := \varepsilon(r)f$. Then we have

$$(\varepsilon(r)f(r))' = \varepsilon'(r)(m_p + r^2) + 2\varepsilon(r)r \geq 2\epsilon(\delta + \delta_0) - c_0 \frac{\epsilon_0 - \epsilon}{\delta_0} > 0.$$

Therefore, the replacement doesn't introduce any new critical point and H_ε is still a Morse function satisfying the Morse–Smale condition. The Hamiltonian vector field of H_ε over $\{r \leq \delta + 2\delta_0\}$ is

$$X_{H_\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{r}H'_\varepsilon(r)\partial_\theta.$$

For $r_0 \in (\delta + \delta_0, \delta + 2\delta_0)$ such that $\frac{1}{r_0}H'_\varepsilon(r_0) = \frac{p}{q} \neq 0$ is a rational number (p, q are relatively prime), then H_ε has a family of periodic orbits of the form

$$\gamma_{r_0, \theta_0}(\tau) = (\tau, r_0, \theta_0 - \frac{p}{q}\tau), \quad (6.26)$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}/(q\mathbb{Z})$. Since $\varepsilon(r)$ and $\varepsilon'(r)$ are very small, the period $q > d$.

Suppose that $\epsilon \leq \varepsilon$ is sufficiently small, then the periodic orbits of φ_{H_ε} with period less than or equal d are still 1–1 corresponding to the iterations of the constant orbits at critical points of H_ε . The critical points of H_ε are described in Figure 2.




Figure 2: The red points are the index 2 critical points. The green points are saddle points. The blue points are index 0 critical points. The union of the red circles is the link. The function f is a small perturbation of the height function.

Let $p \in \text{Crit}(H_\varepsilon)$ and γ_p denote the constant simple periodic orbit at p . Note that the degree of γ_p is 1. Let $\alpha = \gamma_{p_1} \cdots \gamma_{p_d}$ and $\beta = \gamma_{q_1} \cdots \gamma_{q_d}$ be two orbit sets. Here

$\{p_i\}, \{q_i\}$ could repeat. Let $\eta : \sqcup_{i=1}^d \mathbb{R}_{s_i} \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a path with d components such that $\lim_{s_i \rightarrow \infty} \eta(s_i) = p_i$ and $\lim_{s_i \rightarrow -\infty} \eta(s_i) = q_i$. Define a relative homology class

$$Z_{\alpha, \beta} = [S^1 \times \eta] \in H_2(Y_{\varphi_{H_\varepsilon}}, \alpha, \beta). \quad (6.27)$$

Define a chain $\mathbf{c} = \sum (\alpha_I, Z_I) \in \widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_\varepsilon}, \gamma_0)$. The notations are explained as follows:

C.1 $\alpha_I = \gamma_{y_{i_1}^+} \cdots \gamma_{y_{i_d}^+}$ and $I = (i_1, \cdots, i_d)$. The labels i_1, \cdots, i_d could repeat.

C.2 $Z_I \in H_2(Y_{\varphi_{H_\varepsilon}}, \alpha_I, \gamma_0)$. For any I, I' , $Z_I - Z_{I'} = Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}}$

When $I = (1, 2, \cdots, d)$, we write α_I as $\alpha_+ = \gamma_{y_1^+} \cdots \gamma_{y_d^+}$.

Lemma 6.2. *The chain $\mathbf{c} = \sum_I (\alpha_I, Z_I)$ is a cycle. Moreover, it represents a non-zero class $\sigma_{H_\varepsilon} \in \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_\varepsilon}, \gamma_0)$.*

Proof. As remarked in Page 11 of [12], the holomorphic current in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_{H_\varepsilon}}$ contributed to the differential is a union of trivial cylinders and a unique non-trivial holomorphic cylinder. For sufficiently small $\varepsilon \ll 1$, such a non-trivial cylinder corresponds to a Morse flow line of H_ε . Therefore, the computation of the PFH differential is reduced to the computation of the Morse differential. The latter is easy to be figure out for our H_ε . (In Figure 2, for any two maximums adjacent to each other, there is a unique flow line from the maximum to the saddle point in the middle.) \square

Remark 6.2. *The class σ_{H_ε} here can be regarded as the “unit” of the periodic Floer homology. Similar to the unit of the quantitative Heegaard Floer homology, the class σ_{H_ε} is equal to $PFH_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)(1)$. Here $X = B_0 \times \Sigma$ is a cobordism from empty set to $Y_{\varphi_{H_\varepsilon}}$; the surface B_0 is obtained by adding a negative end to the disk.*

Second modification on H : We expect that the closed–open morphism maps \mathbf{c} to a cycle represented the unit e_{H_ε} . However, to apply the partial invariance in Theorem 2, we need to perturb φ_{H_ε} so that it satisfies the condition $\spadesuit.1$ or $\spadesuit.2$.

According to the Proposition 3.2 of [9], we modify H_ε to H'_ε such that $\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}$ satisfies the condition $\spadesuit.2$. Such a modification takes over in an arbitrary small neighbourhood of the periodic orbits with degree less than d which violates $\spadesuit.2$. This modification may create new periodic orbits that violate $\spadesuit.2$ in the neighbourhood. But these new orbits have larger period. We repeat the modification in an arbitrary small neighbourhood of the orbits violated $\spadesuit.2$ until the new created orbits have period greater than d .

The modifications are local, hence we can arrange that $X_{H_\varepsilon} = X_{H'_\varepsilon}$ outside \mathcal{U}^δ . In particular, γ_{r_0, θ_0} in (6.26) are still periodic orbits of $\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}$. According to the construction, H'_ε satisfies the estimates in Remark 1.5.

6.2 Some computations on the PFH cobordism maps

In this section, we find a cycle which represents the “unit” in $\widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}, \gamma_0)$.

Let (X_+, Ω_{X_+}) be the symplectic cobordism from $(Y_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_{H_\varepsilon}}, \varphi_{H_\varepsilon})$ defined as (5.23). Keep in mind that Ω_{X_+} is \mathbb{R} -invariant in the region $\mathbb{R} \times (Y - S^1 \times \mathcal{U}^\delta) \subset X_+$. This region is called a **product region**.

Lemma 6.3. *Let α_I be the PFH generator of $(Y_{\varphi'_{H_\varepsilon}}, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon})$ defined in C.1. Let J_+ be an admissible almost complex structure on X_+ such that it is \mathbb{R} -invariant in the product region $\mathbb{R} \times (Y_{\varphi'_{H_\varepsilon}} - S^1 \times \mathcal{U}^\delta) \subset X_+$. Let $Z_{ref+} = [\mathbb{R} \times \gamma_0] \in H_2(X_+, \gamma_0, \gamma_0)$ be the reference relative homology class. Then we have*

$$PFC_{Z_{ref+}}^{sw}(X_+, \Omega_{X_+})_{J_+}(\alpha_I, Z_I) = (\alpha_I, Z_I).$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_- = \gamma_{p_1} \cdots \gamma_{p_d}$ be a PFH generator of $\widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_\varepsilon}, \gamma_0)$. Let $\eta \subset \Sigma$ be a union of lines starting from the critical points $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^d$ and ending at critical points $\{y_i^+\}_{i=1}^d$. Let $S_\eta = \eta \times S^1$. This gives us a reference relative homology class $Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_-} \in H_2(X_+, \alpha_I, \alpha_-)$. Any other class Z can be written as $Z = Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_-} + M(Z)[\Sigma]$. It is easy to check the the ECH index of $Z \in H_2(X_+, \alpha_I, \alpha_-)$ is

$$I(\alpha_I, \alpha_-, Z) = 2d - h(\alpha_-) - 2e_+(\alpha_-) + 2M(Z)(k+1), \quad (6.28)$$

where $h(\alpha_-)$ is the number of hyperbolic orbits in α_- and $e_+(\alpha_-)$ is the total multiplicities of periodic orbits $\gamma_{y_i^+}$ in α_- . The ω_{X_+} -energy of Z is

$$E_{\omega_{X_+}}(Z) = H_\varepsilon(\alpha_I) - H_\varepsilon(\alpha_-) + M(Z).$$

Suppose that $\langle PFC_{Z_{ref+}}^{sw}(X_+, \Omega_{X_+})_{J_+}((\alpha_I, Z_I)), (\alpha_-, Z_-) \rangle \neq 0$. Then the holomorphic curve axiom in Theorem A.1 provides us a J_+ -holomorphic current \mathcal{C} with $I(\mathcal{C}) = 0$. Moreover, we have the following estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\omega_{X_+}}(\mathcal{C}) &= \int_{\mathcal{C}} \omega + d_\Sigma H_s \wedge dt + \partial_s H_s ds \wedge dt \\ &\geq \int_{\mathcal{C}} \omega + d_\Sigma H_s \wedge dt - d \int_{S^1} \max_{\Sigma} |H'_\varepsilon - H_\varepsilon| dt \\ &\geq -dc_0 \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (6.29)$$

The last step follows from the fact that the term $\int_{\mathcal{C}} \omega + d_\Sigma H_s \wedge dt$ is non-negative. (See Lemma 3.8 in [5].)

Let Z be the relative homology class of \mathcal{C} . Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}^{J_+}(\alpha_I, \alpha_-, Z)} \neq \emptyset$, we have $M(Z) \geq 0$; otherwise, $E_{\omega_{X_+}}(Z) \leq -1 + d\varepsilon < -dc_0 \delta$ which contradicts (6.29). By (6.28), $I(Z) = 0$ implies that $M(Z) = h(\alpha_-) = 0$ and $e_+(\alpha_-) = d$. In other words, $Z = Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_I}$ and α_- only consists of periodic orbits $\gamma_{y_i^+}$. By the next lemma (Lemma 6.4) and the holomorphic axioms in Theorem A.1, we get the results. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let J_+ be an admissible almost complex structure on X_+ such that it is \mathbb{R} -invariant in the product region $\mathbb{R} \times (Y - S^1 \times \mathcal{U}^\delta) \subset X_+$. Let $\alpha_{I'} = \gamma_{y_{i'_1}^+} \cdots \gamma_{y_{i'_d}^+}$. Let $Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}} \in H_2(X_+, \alpha_I, \alpha_{I'})$ be the relative class defined in Lemma 6.3. Then the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}^{J_+}(\alpha_I, \gamma_{I'}, Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}})} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $I' = I$. Moreover, $\mathbb{R} \times \alpha_I$ is the unique element in $\overline{\mathcal{M}^{J_+}(\alpha_I, \alpha_I, Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_I})}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}^{J_+}(\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}, Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}})}$ be a broken holomorphic current. The intersection number $\#(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{R} \times \gamma_{r_0, \theta_0})$ is well defined. Moreover, the intersection number only depends on the relative homology class of \mathcal{C} . Therefore,

$$\#(\mathcal{C} \cap \mathbb{R} \times \gamma_{r_0, \theta_0}) = \#(S_\eta \cap \mathbb{R} \times \gamma_{r_0, \theta_0}) = 0.$$

By our choice of J_+ , $\mathbb{R} \times \gamma_{r_0, \theta_0}$ is a J_+ -holomorphic curve with $I = 0$. According to the intersection positivity of holomorphic curves, \mathcal{C} doesn't intersect $\mathbb{R} \times \gamma_{r_0, \theta_0}$. Since θ_0 is arbitrary, \mathcal{C} must lie inside $\mathbb{R} \times (Y - (S^1 \times \mathcal{U}^{\delta+\delta_0}))$.

Note that $E_{\omega_{X_+}}(\mathcal{C}) = E_{\omega_{X_+}}(Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}}) = 0$. Since J_+ is \mathbb{R} -invariant over $\mathbb{R} \times (Y - (S^1 \times \mathcal{U}^{\delta+\delta_0}))$, we can conclude that $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R} \times \alpha_I$. In particular, $\alpha_{I'} = \alpha_I$. \square

Let (X_-, ω_{X_-}) be the symplectic cobordism from $(Y_{\varphi_{H_\varepsilon}}, \varphi_{H_\varepsilon})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon})$ defined as in (5.23). Let J_- be an admissible almost complex structure such that $J_- = J_+$ on the product region $\mathbb{R} \times (Y - (S^1 \times \mathcal{U}^{\delta+\delta_0})) \subset X_-$. Let $Z_{ref-} = [\mathbb{R} \times \gamma_0] \in H_2(X_-, \gamma_0, \gamma_0)$ be the reference relative homology class. By the composition law of the PFH cobordism maps, we have

$$PFC_{Z_{ref-}}^{sw}(X_-, \Omega_{X_-})_{J_-} \circ PFC_{Z_{ref+}}^{sw}(X_+, \Omega_{X_+})_{J_+} = Id + K \circ \partial' + \partial' \circ K. \quad (6.30)$$

By Lemma 6.3 and (6.30), we get a cycle

$$\mathbf{c} + K \circ \partial' \mathbf{c} \in \widetilde{PFC}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}, \gamma_0),$$

where $\mathbf{c} = \sum(\alpha_I, Z_I)$ is defined in **C.1**, **C.2**. Also, it represents a non-vanishing class of $\widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}, \gamma_0)$ because the cobordism map $PFH_{Z_{ref-}}^{sw}(X_-, \Omega_{X_-})_{J_-}$ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 6.5. *Write $K \circ \partial'(\alpha_I, Z_I) = \sum(\beta, Z)$. Then we have*

$$E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(Z_I - Z) > -dc_0\delta.$$

Proof. If $\langle K(\beta, Z), (\beta', Z') \rangle \neq 0$, then the holomorphic curve axioms (Theorem A.1) imply that there exists a $J_+ \circ_R J_-$ -holomorphic current (possibly broken) \mathcal{C}' in $X_+ \circ_R X_-$. (The definition of $X_+ \circ_R X_-$ and $J_+ \circ_R J_-$ can be found in the Appendix.) By the same computation as in (6.29), we have

$$E_{\omega_{X_+ \circ_R X_-}}(Z - Z') = E_{\omega_{X_+ \circ_R X_-}}(\mathcal{C}') \geq -d \max |H_\varepsilon - H'_\varepsilon| \geq -dc_0\delta.$$

Note that $\omega_{X_+ \circ_R X_-} = \omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}} + d(\mathbf{a} \wedge dt)$, where \mathbf{a} is supported on $|s| \leq R$. By Stokes's theorem, the above equation is equivalent to

$$E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(Z - Z') \geq -dc_0\delta. \quad (6.31)$$

On the other hand, if $\langle \partial'(\alpha_I, Z_I), (\beta, Z) \rangle \neq 0$, then

$$E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(Z_I - Z) > 0. \quad (6.32)$$

Then the conclusion follows from inequalities (6.32) and (6.31). \square

6.3 Proof of the non-vanishing result

Reintroduce the triple $(W, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}})$. Let $\mathcal{J}(W, \Omega_H) \subset \mathcal{J}_{tame}(W, \Omega_H)$ be the set of almost complex structures which are the restriction of admissible almost complex structures in $\mathcal{J}(Y_{\varphi_H}, \omega_{\varphi_H})$. Take a $J \in \mathcal{J}(W, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon})$. Let $u_{y_i^\varepsilon} = B \times \{y_i^\varepsilon\} / (0, y_i^\varepsilon) \sim (2, y_i^\varepsilon)$. Then it is a J -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in $\mathcal{M}^J(\gamma_{y^\varepsilon}, y^\varepsilon)$. It is called a **horizontal section of** $(W, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}}, J)$. Moreover, it is to check that $ind_{u_{y_i^\varepsilon}} = 0$ and $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(u_{y_i^\varepsilon}) = 0$ from the definition.

Lemma 6.6. *Let u be a J -holomorphic PFH–HF curve in $(W, \Omega_H, L_{\Lambda_H})$ and $J \in \mathcal{J}(W, \Omega_H)$. Then*

$$E_{\omega_{\varphi_H}}(u) = \int_F u^* \omega_{\varphi_H} \geq 0.$$

Moreover, when $H = H'_\varepsilon$, $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(u) = 0$ if and only if u is a union of the horizontal sections.

Proof. Note that the almost complex structure $J = \begin{bmatrix} J^{hh} & 0 \\ 0 & J^{vv} \end{bmatrix}$ with respect to the splitting $TW = TW^{hor} \oplus TW^{vert}$, where $TW^{vert} = \ker(\pi_W)_*$ and TW^{hor} is the Ω_H -orthogonal complement. By direct computations, we have

$$\int_F u^* \omega_{\varphi_H} = \int_F |(du)^{vert}|^2 \geq 0.$$

In the case that $H = H'_\varepsilon$ and $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(u) = 0$, we have $du \in TW^{hor}$. Therefore, the negative ends of u must lie inside the trivial strips. This implies that $u = u_{y_i^\varepsilon}$ provided that u is irreducible. \square

Lemma 6.7. *Let $\mathcal{Z} \in H_2(W_{H'_\varepsilon}, \alpha_I, y)$ be a relative homology class. Then the ECH index and the energy are*

$$\begin{aligned} I(\mathcal{Z}) &= n(y) + 2m(k+1) + 2c, \\ E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) &= H'_\varepsilon(\alpha_I) - H'_\varepsilon(y) + m + \frac{c}{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $n(y)$ is the number of y_i^- in y . Moreover, if $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$, then $E_{\omega_{H'}}(\mathcal{Z}) \leq 0$ and “=” holds if and only if $n(y) = 0$.

Proof. The relative homology classes $Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}} \in H_2(Y_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}, \alpha_I, \alpha_{I'})$ satisfy $I(Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}}) = E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_{I'}}) = 0$. By the additivity of ECH index and energy, it suffices to prove the statement for $\alpha_I = \alpha_+$.

Let $\eta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Lambda_i$ be a path in the hemicycle such that $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \eta(s) = y_i^+$ and $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} \eta(s) = y_i^-$. Then $u_i^+(s, t) = (s, t, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}^{1-t}(\eta(s)))$ represents a class in $H_2(M, y_i^+, y_i^-)$. By the similar computation in (3.15), the energy of u_i^+ is

$$E(u_i^+) = H'_\varepsilon(y_i^+) - H'_\varepsilon(y_i^-).$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that $\text{ind} u_i^+ = 1$.

Let u_i^- be the trivial strip from y_i^+ to itself. Let $u = \cup_i u_i^\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Then we have $I(u) = n(y)$ and $E(u) = H'_\varepsilon(y_+) - H'_\varepsilon(y)$. Let $u_0 = \cup_i u_{y_i^+}$ be a union of the horizontal sections from α_+ to y_+ . Then $u_0 \# u$ represents a relative homology class $\mathcal{Z}_0 \in H_2(W_{H'_\varepsilon}, \alpha_+, y)$. By the additivity of the ECH index and energy, we have $I(\mathcal{Z}_0) = n(y)$ and $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}_0) = H'_\varepsilon(y_+) - H'_\varepsilon(y)$. The first statement of the lemma follows from that any $\mathcal{Z} \in H_2(W_{H'_\varepsilon}, \alpha_+, y)$ can be written as $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_0 + m[\Sigma] + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i[B_i]$ and (5.20).

If $I(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$, then we have $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) = H'_\varepsilon(y_+) - H'_\varepsilon(y) - \frac{n(y)}{2(k+1)}$. If $n(y) \geq 1$, then $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) < 0$. If $n(y) = 0$, then $y = y_+$ and $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$. \square

Lemma 6.8. $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}})_J(K \circ \partial'(\alpha_I, Z_I)) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that the statement is not true, then we get a chain of holomorphic curves $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3)$ from α_I to y with total zero ECH index, where \mathcal{C}_1 is a holomorphic current in $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}$ with $I = 1$, \mathcal{C}_2 is a $J_+ \circ_R J_-$ broken holomorphic current in $X_+ \circ_R X_-$ with $I = -1$ and \mathcal{C}_3 is a PFH–HF curve with $I = 0$. By Lemmas 6.5 and 6.6, we have

$$E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_1 \# \mathcal{C}_2 \# \mathcal{C}_3) = E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_1) + E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_2) + E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_3) > -dc_0\delta. \quad (6.33)$$

The curve $\mathcal{C}_1 \# \mathcal{C}_2 \# \mathcal{C}_3$ gives us a class $\mathcal{Z} \in H_2(W, \alpha_I, y)$. If $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) < 0$, then Lemma 6.7 implies that $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) \leq \frac{-1}{2(k+1)} + dc_0\epsilon$. Comparing this with the inequality (6.33), we must have $y = y_+$ and $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$.

Reintroduce the periodic orbits γ_{r_0, θ_0}^i (6.26) near the local minimums of H_ε . Here the superscript “ i ” indicates that the local minimum lies in the domain B_i . In particular, γ_{r_0, θ_0}^i lies in $S^1 \times B_i$. Define a curve $v_i := (\mathbb{R} \times \gamma_{r_0, \theta_0}^i) \cap W$. Note that it is J -holomorphic and ∂v_i is disjoint from the Lagrangian $L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}}$. Then for any relative class $\mathcal{Z}' \in H_2(W, \alpha_I, y)$, we have a well-defined intersection number

$$n_i(\mathcal{Z}') := \#(\mathcal{Z}' \cap v_i).$$

The relative homology class $\mathcal{Z} \in H_2(W, \alpha_I, y_+)$ in the first paragraph can be written as $\mathcal{Z} = Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_+} \# \mathcal{Z}_{hor} + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i [B_i] + m[\Sigma]$, where \mathcal{Z}_{hor} is the class represented by the union of horizontal sections. Let q denote the period of γ_{r_0, θ_0}^i . By the definition, we have

$$n_i(Z_{\alpha_I, \alpha_+} \# \mathcal{Z}_{hor}) = 0, \quad n_i([B_j]) = \delta_{ij}q \quad \text{and} \quad n_i([\Sigma]) = q. \quad (6.34)$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq k+1$. From (6.34), we know that

$$\#(\mathcal{C} \cap (\sqcup_{i=1}^{k+1} v_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} n_i(\mathcal{Z}) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i q + (k+1)m q = 0.$$

The last step is because $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) = 0$. By the intersection positivity of holomorphic curves, \mathcal{C}_2 doesn't intersect $\mathbb{R} \times \gamma_{r_0, \theta_0}^i$. In particular, \mathcal{C}_2 lies in side the product region of $X_+ \circ_R X_-$ and $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_2) \geq 0$. Then the inequality (6.33) becomes

$$0 = E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{Z}) = E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_1) + E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_2) + E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(\mathcal{C}_3) > 0.$$

We obtain a contradiction. □

Lemma 6.9. $\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}})_J((\alpha_I, Z_I)) = 0$ unless $\alpha_I = \alpha_+$. Moreover,

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}})_J((\alpha_+, Z_+)) = (y_+, [A_+])$$

The class A_+ is determined by the relation

$$Z_+ \# \mathcal{Z}_0 \# A_+ = \mathcal{Z}_{hor}, \quad (6.35)$$

where \mathcal{Z}_{hor} is the class represented by the horizontal section.

Proof. By Lemma 6.7, if $\langle \Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}})_J((\alpha_I, Z_I)), (y, [A]) \rangle \neq 0$, then $y = y_+$ and any PFH–HF curve u contributed to the closed–open morphism has $E_{\omega_{\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}}}(u) = 0$. According to Lemma 6.6, $u = \cup_{i=1}^d u_{y_i^+}$ and it is the unique PFH–HF curve with $I = 0$. Therefore, we have $\alpha_I = \alpha_+$ and

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}}, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon})_J(\mathbf{c}) = \Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}}, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon})_J((\alpha_+, Z_+)) = (y_+, A_+) \quad \square$$

In sum, we have

$$\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W_{H'_\varepsilon}, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}}, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon})_J(\mathbf{c} + K \circ \partial' \mathbf{c}) = (y_+, [A_+]).$$

Since $\varphi_{H'_\varepsilon} = \varphi_{H_\varepsilon}$ outside the region \mathcal{U}^δ , $HF(\Sigma, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$ is canonical isomorphic to $HF(\Sigma, \varphi_{H_\varepsilon}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x})$. Take A_+ to be A_e in Remark 6.1. Then

$$(\Phi_{\mathcal{Z}_0}(W_{H'}, L_{\Lambda_{H'}}, \Omega_{H'})_J)_*([\mathbf{c} + K \circ \partial' \mathbf{c}]) = [(y_+, [A_e])] = e_{H'_\varepsilon}^{\mathbf{x}} \in HF(\Sigma, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}, \Lambda, \mathbf{x}).$$

Up to now, we finish the proof of Theorem 2.

7 Compare two spectral invariants

Fix a base point $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. Define a reference 1-cycle $\gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}} := \Psi_H(S^1 \times \mathbf{x})$. Let $\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbf{x}$ in the symplectic cobordism (5.23). This gives a reference class $Z_{\mathbf{x}} = [\mathbb{R} \times S^1 \times \mathbf{x}] \in H_2(X, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}}, \gamma_G^{\mathbf{x}})$. Let \mathbf{x}' be another base point. Let η be d union of paths starting from \mathbf{x} and ending at \mathbf{x}' . Let $Z_{\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}} = [S^1 \times \eta] \in H_2(X, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}'}, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}})$.

Take $\mathbf{x} = y_+$ and Z_+, A_+ to be the trivial one. Then $[(y_+, A_+)]$ is the unit $e_{H'_\varepsilon}^{y_+} \in HF(\Sigma, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}, \Lambda, y_+)$ under the isomorphism in Theorem 1. Take $Z_0 = Z_{hor}$. Then there is a class $\sigma_{H'_\varepsilon}^{y_+} \in \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_{H'_\varepsilon}, \gamma_{H'_\varepsilon}^{y_+})$ such that

$$\Phi_{Z_0}(W, L_{\Lambda_{H'_\varepsilon}}, \Omega_{H'_\varepsilon})_*(\sigma_{H'_\varepsilon}^{y_+}) = e_{H'_\varepsilon}^{y_+}.$$

For any H , define a class $\sigma_H^{\mathbf{x}} \in \widetilde{PFH}(\Sigma, \varphi_H, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}})$ by

$$\sigma_H^{\mathbf{x}} := PFH_{Z_{\mathbf{x}, y_+} \# Z_{y_+}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)^{-1}(\sigma_{H'_\varepsilon}^{y_+}). \quad (7.36)$$

Proof of Corollary 1.1. First of all, suppose that H satisfies $\spadesuit \mathbf{1}$. For any $\delta > 0$, we can find a cycle $\mathbf{c} = \sum(\alpha, Z)$ such that it represents $\sigma_H^{y_+}$ and $\mathbb{A}_H(\alpha, Z) \leq c_{\sigma_H^{y_+}}^{pfh}(H, \gamma_H^{y_+}) + \delta$. The commutative diagram in Theorem 2 implies that

$$\Phi_{Z_1}(W_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, \Omega_H)_*(\sigma_H^{y_+}) = e_H^{y_+},$$

where $Z_1 = Z_0 \# Z_{y_+}$. Therefore, $\Phi_{Z_1}(W_H, L_{\Lambda_H}, \Omega_H)(\mathbf{c}) = \sum(y, [A])$ is a cycle represented $e_H^{y_+}$. By the definition of the closed–open morphism, there is a PFH–HF curve u from (α, Z) to (y, A) such that $[u] = Z \# Z_1 \# A$. It is easy to check that $\int_{Z_1} \omega_{\varphi_H} = 0$. By Lemma 6.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_F u^* \omega_{\varphi_H} = \int_Z \omega_{\varphi_H} + \int_{Z_1} \omega_{\varphi_H} + \int_A \omega \\ &= \mathbb{A}_H(\alpha, Z) - \mathcal{A}_H(y, A) + \int_0^1 H_t(\mathbf{x}) dt \\ &\leq c_{\sigma_H^{y_+}}^{pfh}(H, \gamma_H^{y_+}) + \delta - \mathcal{A}_H(y, A) + \int_0^1 H_t(\mathbf{x}) dt. \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the HF–spectral number, we have

$$c_\Lambda^{hf}(H, \Psi(e_H^{y_+}), y_+) \leq c_{\sigma_H^{y_+}}^{pfh}(H, \gamma_H^{y_+}) + \int_0^1 H_t(y_+) dt + \delta.$$

Then we get the conclusion by taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

For a general Hamiltonian function H and any fixed $\delta > 0$, according to Proposition 3.2 in [9], we can find a Hamiltonian function H'_δ satisfying $(\spadesuit \mathbf{1})$ and the estimates in Remark 1.5. Then the conclusion of Corollary 1.1 holds for H'_δ . Take $\delta \rightarrow 0$. The

continuity property of the spectral invariants (see Theorem 3.1 of [6] and Theorem 1.13 of [8]) implies that the result still holds for H .

Even we only prove (1.1) for $\mathbf{x} = y_+$, it is true for any other choice of base point. For any other choice of base point $\mathbf{x}' \in \text{Sym}^d \Lambda$, the isomorphism (3.14) implies that $c_\Lambda^{hf}(H, \Psi(e_H^{\mathbf{x}'}), \mathbf{x}') = c_\Lambda^{hf}(H, \Psi(e_H^{\mathbf{x}}), \mathbf{x})$. Using $Z_{\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}}$ to define the isomorphism (2.5) on PFH, then (2.6) implies that

$$c_{\sigma_H^{\mathbf{x}'}}^{pfh}(H, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}'}) + \int_0^1 H_t(\mathbf{x}') dt = c_{\sigma_H^{\mathbf{x}}}^{pfh}(H, \gamma_H^{\mathbf{x}}) + \int_0^1 H_t(\mathbf{x}) dt.$$

Thus the right hand side of (1.1) is independent of the choice of \mathbf{x} . \square

A Cobordism maps on PFH

In this appendix, we give the statements about the holomorphic curve axioms of the PFH cobordism maps. They are slight refinements of Theorem 1 in [9]. The statements are parallel to those for ECH (Proposition 6.2 of [18] and [21]). Now we do not assume that φ is Hamiltonian. $\varphi \in \text{Symp}(\Sigma, \omega)$ can be any symplectomorphism such that the periodic orbits are non-degenerate.

A **symplectic cobordism** from $(Y_{\varphi_+}, \omega_{\varphi_+})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_-}, \omega_{\varphi_-})$ is a compact symplectic manifold (X, Ω_X) such that $\partial X = Y_{\varphi_+} \sqcup (-Y_{\varphi_-})$ and $\Omega_X|_{Y_{\varphi_\pm}} = \omega_{\varphi_\pm}$. Let (\overline{X}, Ω_X) be the symplectic completion via adding cylindrical ends (see Section 2.3 of [9]). The completion (\overline{X}, Ω_X) satisfies (2.7).

Let (X_+, Ω_{X_+}) be a symplectic cobordism from $(Y_{\varphi_+}, \omega_{\varphi_+})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_0}, \omega_{\varphi_0})$ and (X_-, Ω_{X_-}) be another symplectic cobordism from $(Y_{\varphi_0}, \omega_{\varphi_0})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_-}, \omega_{\varphi_-})$. For $R \geq 0$, we define **stretched composition** by

$$X_+ \circ_R X_- = X_+ \cup_{Y_{\varphi_0}} ([-R, R] \times Y_{\varphi_0})_{Y_{\varphi_0}} \cup X_-.$$

An Ω_X -compatible almost complex structure J on \overline{X} is called **cobordism-admissible** if it agrees with some admissible almost complex structure of $\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_\pm}$ over the cylindrical ends. The set of cobordism-admissible almost complex structures is denoted by $\mathcal{J}_{comp}(X, \Omega_X)$. Given $J_\pm \in \mathcal{J}_{comp}(X_\pm, \Omega_{X_\pm})$ such that $J_+|_{\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_0}} = J_-|_{\mathbb{R} \times Y_{\varphi_0}}$, then we can glue them together and obtain a cobordism-admissible almost complex structure $J_+ \circ_R J_-$ on $\overline{X_+ \circ_R X_-}$. (See Section 2.5 of [9].)

Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}(PFC(\Sigma_+, \varphi_+, \gamma_+), PFC(\Sigma_-, \varphi_-, \gamma_-))$ be a linear map. Following the terminology in [18], we say that ϕ **counts J -holomorphic currents** if $\langle \phi(\alpha_+, Z_+), (\alpha_-, Z_-) \rangle \neq 0$ implies that the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}^J(\alpha_+, \alpha_-, Z_+ \#_{Z_{ref}} \# Z_-)}$ is non-empty.

Following Hutchings and Taubes' idea for ECH [21], the author defines the PFH cobordism maps via the isomorphism "SWF=PFH" [26] and Seiberg-Witten theory

[22]. (See Theorem 1 of [9].) The following theorem covers three situations that do not appear in Theorem 1 of [9], but they can be obtained by the same method. The parallel statement for ECH cobordism maps can be found in Proposition 6.2 of [18].

Theorem A.1. *Let (X, Ω_X) be a symplectic cobordism from $(Y_{\varphi_+}, \omega_{\varphi_+})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_-}, \omega_{\varphi_-})$. Assume that $d(\gamma_{\pm}) > g(\Sigma_{\pm})$. Fix a reference relative homology class $Z_{ref} \in H_2(X, \gamma_+, \gamma_-)$. Then (X, Ω_X, Z_{ref}) induces a module homomorphism*

$$PFH_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X) : PFH_*(\Sigma_+, \varphi_+, \gamma_+) \rightarrow PFH_*(\Sigma_-, \varphi_-, \gamma_-)$$

satisfying the following holomorphic curve axioms:

1. (Holomorphic curves) *Given a cobordism-admissible almost complex structure $J \in \mathcal{J}_{comp}(X, \Omega_X)$ such that $J_{\pm} = J|_{\mathbb{R}_{\pm} \times Y_{\pm}}$ are generic, then there is a chain map*

$$PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)_J : PFC_*(\Sigma_+, \varphi_+, \gamma_+) \rightarrow PFC_*(\Sigma_-, \varphi_-, \gamma_-)$$

inducing $PFH_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)$ and $PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)_J$ counts J -holomorphic currents with $I = 0$.

2. (Composition rule) *Let (X_+, Ω_{X_+}) and (X_-, Ω_{X_-}) be symplectic cobordisms from $(Y_{\varphi_+}, \omega_{\varphi_+})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_0}, \omega_{\varphi_0})$ and from $(Y_{\varphi_0}, \omega_{\varphi_0})$ to $(Y_{\varphi_-}, \omega_{\varphi_-})$ respectively. If (X, Ω_X) is the composition of (X_+, Ω_{X_+}) and (X_-, Ω_{X_-}) , then there exists a chain homotopy*

$$K : PFC_*(\Sigma_+, \varphi_+, \gamma_+) \rightarrow PFC_*(\Sigma_-, \varphi_-, \gamma_-)$$

such that

$$PFC_{Z_{ref-}}^{sw}(X_-, \Omega_{X_-})_{J_-} \circ PFC_{Z_{ref+}}^{sw}(X_+, \Omega_{X_+})_{J_+} = PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)_{J_+} + K \circ \partial_+ + K \circ \partial_-$$

and K counts $J_+ \circ_R J_-$ -holomorphic currents with $I = -1$. Here $Z_{ref} = Z_{ref-} \# Z_{ref+}$.

3. (Homotopy invariance) *Let $\{(\Omega_X^t, J_t)\}_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a family of symplectic 2-forms and cobordism-admissible almost complex structures such that $\Omega_X = \omega_{\varphi_{\pm}} + ds \wedge dt$ and $J = J_{\pm} \in \mathcal{J}(Y_{\varphi_{\pm}}, \omega_{\varphi_{\pm}})$ on the cylindrical ends. Then there exists a chain homotopy*

$$K : PFC_*(\Sigma_+, \varphi_+, \gamma_+) \rightarrow PFC_*(\Sigma_-, \varphi_-, \gamma_-)$$

such that

$$PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X^0)_{J_0} = PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X^1)_{J_1} + K \circ \partial_+ + K \circ \partial_-.$$

and K counts J_t -holomorphic currents with $I = -1$.

4. (Trivial cylinders) Suppose that $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{U}$ is a product region contained in \overline{X} , i.e., $\Omega_X|_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{U}} = \omega + ds \wedge dt$. Let J be a cobordism–admissible almost complex structure on \overline{X} such that J is \mathbb{R} –invariant over $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{U}$. Suppose that a union of trivial cylinders is the only element in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_0^J(\alpha_+, \alpha_-)$, then

$$\langle PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)_J(\alpha_+, Z_+), (\alpha_-, Z_-) \rangle = 1.$$

Proof. According to Lee–Taubes’s isomorphism [26], we can identify the PFH chain complex with the Seiberg–Witten chain complex (after the $d-\delta$ flat perturbation). Under this identification, the cobordism map $PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)_J$ in chain level is defined by counting the solutions to the Seiberg–Witten equations ((5–8) in [9]) perturbed by $r\Omega_X$. Note that (5–8) in [9] depends on J as well. In other words, $PFH_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)_J$ is defined to be the Seiberg–Witten cobordism map.

Suppose that $PFC_{Z_{ref}}^{sw}(X, \Omega_X)_J$ is non–trivial. Then we obtain a solution to Seiberg–Witten equations for any $r \gg 1$. As $r \rightarrow \infty$, Proposition 5.12 in [9] shows that the solutions converge to a J –holomorphic current in certain sense. Then we obtain the first statement. For a more general version of Taubes’s “SW to Gr” degeneration, please see [25].

The second and the third statements follow from the composition rule and homotopy invariance of the Seiberg–Witten cobordism maps (see Chapter VII, VIII of [22]). The chain homotopy K counts the perturbed Seiberg–Witten equations in $(\overline{X}_+ \circ_R \overline{X}_-, \Omega_R)$ or $(\overline{X}, \Omega_X^t)$ accordingly. Therefore, $K \neq 0$ implies that the existence of r –perturbed Seiberg–Witten equations in the corresponding setting for any $r \gg 1$. Again, by Proposition 5.12 in [9], we obtain a holomorphic current \mathcal{C} . Moreover, the ECH index of \mathcal{C} follows from Theorem 5.1 of [3].

For the last statement, we need to show that the $I = 0$ moduli space of holomorphic curves is diffeomorphic to the $ind = 0$ moduli space of solutions to the Seiberg–Witten equations. A special case that $\overline{X} = \mathbb{R} \times Y$ and (Ω_X, J) is \mathbb{R} –invariant has been proved in [26]. For another special case that the holomorphic current \mathcal{C} is embedded, Taubes’s argument can be applied to the cobordism case equally well [9]. The proofs are mirror modifications of the argument in Taubes’s series papers [28], [29], [30].

Since Taubes’ arguments require much hard analysis on the Seiberg–Witten equations which are beyond scope of this paper. We suggest the readers to read [26] and [9] for the relevant settings and more details on Taubes’s idea. Also, see Proposition 6.3 of [21] for the parallel arguments on ECH. Here we just outline the main idea as follows.

a) Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R} \times \alpha$ be the unique holomorphic current with $I = 0$. We follow Section 5a in [28] to build a complex line bundle E by gluing the normal bundle of \mathcal{C} with the trivial line bundle away from \mathcal{C} . The spin–c structure on \overline{X} is defined by $S_+ = E \oplus EK_X^{-1}$. We

can construct an approximation solution (A_r^*, ψ_r^*) (closed to solve (5-8) in [9]) associated to \mathcal{C} (see Section 5a of [28]). Away from the trivial cylinders \mathcal{C} , (A_r^*, ψ_r^*) is just the trivial solution. Let (γ, m) be a component of α . Near the trivial cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times \gamma$, (A_r^*, ψ_r^*) is determined by a map $\mathbf{v} : \mathbb{R} \times \gamma \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_m$, where \mathfrak{C}_m is the moduli space of m -vortices. The vortex equations can be regarded as the 2-dimensional Seiberg–Witten equations. In the case that \mathcal{C} is the trivial cylinders, there is a canonical way to choose the map \mathbf{v} . The analysis in [28] can be used to perturb (A_r^*, ψ_r^*) to be a true solution (A_r, ψ_r) .

b) The argument in [29] can be used to show that (A_r, ψ_r) is non-degenerate. By Theorem 5.1 of [3], the index of (A_r, ψ_r) is zero.

c) Let (A'_r, ψ'_r) be another solution to the $r\Omega_X$ -perturbed Seiberg–Witten equations with $ind = 0$. By Proposition 5.12 of [9], (A'_r, ψ'_r) converges to the trivial cylinders $\mathcal{C} = \mathbb{R} \times \alpha$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ because it is the unique holomorphic current with $I = 0$. For any $\delta > 0$, the convergence implies that $1 - |\psi'_r| < \delta$ away from \mathcal{C} . Intuitively, this means (A'_r, ψ'_r) is close to the trivial solution away from \mathcal{C} . The arguments in Section 6 of [30] can be carried over to show that (A'_r, ψ'_r) is gauge equivalent to (A_r, ψ_r) . \square

Shing–Tung Yau Center of Southeast University
 E-mail address: 103200077@seu.edu.cn

References

- [1] P. Albers, *A Lagrangian Piunikhin–Salamon–Schwarz morphism and two comparison homomorphisms in Floer homology*, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2008**, no. **4**, 2008.
- [2] F. Bourgeois, Y. Eliashberg, H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder, *Compactness results in symplectic field theory*, *Geom. Topol.* **7** (2003), 799–888.
- [3] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner, *The absolute gradings on embedded contact homology and Seiberg–Witten Floer cohomology*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **13** (2013) 2239–2260
- [4] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner, M. Hutchings, and V. Ramos, *The asymptotics of ECH capacities*, *Invent. Math.* **199**(2015), 187–214.
- [5] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner, V. Humilière, and S. Seyfaddini, *Proof of the simplicity conjecture*. *arXiv:2001.01792*, 2020.

- [6] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner, V. Humilière, and S. Seyfaddini, *PFH spectral invariants on the two-sphere and the large scale geometry of Hofer’s metric*. *arXiv:2102.04404v1*, 2021.
- [7] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner, R. Prasad, B. Zhang, *The smooth closing lemma for area-preserving surface diffeomorphisms*. *arXiv:2110.02925*, 2021.
- [8] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner, V. Humilière, C. Mak, S. Seyfaddini, I. Smith, *Quantitative Heegaard Floer cohomology and the Calabi invariant*. *arXiv:2105.11026*, 2021.
- [9] G. Chen, *On cobordism maps on periodic Floer homology*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **21** (1) 1–103, 2021.
- [10] V. Colin, K. Honda, and Y. Tian, *Applications of higher-dimensional Heegaard Floer homology to contact topology*. *arXiv:2006.05701*, 2020.
- [11] V. Colin, P. Ghiggini, and K. Honda, *The equivalence of Heegaard Floer homology and embedded contact homology via open book decompositions I*, *arXiv:1208.1074*, 2012.
- [12] O. Edtmair, M. Hutchings, *PFH spectral invariants and C^∞ -closing lemmas*. *arXiv:2110.02463*, 2021.
- [13] M. Hutchings, *An index inequality for embedded pseudoholomorphic curves in symplectizations*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **4** (2002) 313–361.
- [14] M. Hutchings, *The embedded contact homology index revisited*, *New perspectives and challenges in symplectic field theory*, 263–297, *CRM Proc. Lecture Notes* **49**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2009.
- [15] M. Hutchings, M. Sullivan, *The periodic Floer homology of Dehn twist*, *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* **5** (2005), pp. 301 – 354. *issn: 1472 – 2747*.
- [16] M. Hutchings, *Lecture notes on embedded contact homology*, *Contact and Symplectic Topology*, *Bolyai Society Mathematical Studies*, vol. 26, Springer, 2014, 389–484.
- [17] M. Hutchings, *Beyond ECH capacities*, *Geometry and Topology* **20** (2016) 1085–1126.
- [18] M. Hutchings, *Mean action and the Calabi invariant*, *Journal of Modern Dynamics* **10** (2016), 511–539.

- [19] M. Hutchings and C. H. Taubes, *Gluing pseudoholomorphic curves along branched covered cylinders I*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **5** (2007)
- [20] M. Hutchings and C. H. Taubes, *Gluing pseudoholomorphic curves along branched covered cylinders II*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **7** (2009)
- [21] M. Hutchings and C. H. Taubes, *Proof of the Arnold chord conjecture in three dimensions II*, *Geom. Topol.* **17** (2003), 2601–2688.
- [22] P. Kronheimer, T. Mrowka, *Monopoles and three-manifolds*, *New Math. Monogr.* 10, Cambridge Univ. Press (2007).
- [23] R. Lipshitz, *A cylindrical reformulation of Heegaard Floer homology*, *Geom. Topol.* **10** (2006).
- [24] R. Lipshitz, *Correction to the article: A cylindrical reformulation of Heegaard Floer homology*, *Geom. Topol.* **18** (2014), no. 1.
- [25] Y.-J. Lee, *From Seiberg-Witten to Gromov: MCE and Singular Symplectic Forms*. arXiv:2106.14411, 2021.
- [26] Y.-J. Lee and C. H. Taubes, *Periodic Floer homology and Seiberg–Witten–Floer cohomology*, *J. Symplectic Geom.* **10** (2012), no. 1, 81–164.
- [27] T. Perutz. *Hamiltonian handleslides for Heegaard Floer homology*. In *Proceedings of Gökova Geometry–Topology Conference 2007*, pages 15–35. Gökova Geometry/Topology Conference (GGT), Gökova, 2008.
- [28] C. H. Taubes, *Embedded contact homology and Seiberg–Witten Floer homology II*, *Geometry and Topology* **14** (2010), 2583–2720.
- [29] C. H. Taubes, *Embedded contact homology and Seiberg–Witten Floer homology III*, *Geometry and Topology* **14** (2010), 2721–2817.
- [30] C. H. Taubes, *Embedded contact homology and Seiberg–Witten Floer homology IV*, *Geometry and Topology* **14** (2010), 2819–2960.