

RIGIDITY OF MEAN CONVEX SUBSETS IN NON-NEGATIVELY CURVED RCD SPACES AND STABILITY OF MEAN CURVATURE BOUNDS

CHRISTIAN KETTERER

ABSTRACT. We prove splitting theorems for mean convex open subsets in RCD (Riemannian curvature-dimension) spaces that extend results for Riemannian manifolds with boundary by Kasue, Croke and Kleiner to a non-smooth setting. A corollary is for instance Frankel's theorem. Then, we prove that our notion of mean curvature bounded from below for the boundary of an open subset is stable w.r.t. to uniform convergence of the corresponding boundary distance function. We apply this to prove stability of minimal and more general CMC hypersurfaces and almost rigidity theorems for uniform domains whose boundary has a lower mean curvature bound.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Acknowledgements	7
2. Preliminaries.	7
2.1. Curvature-dimension condition	7
2.2. First order calculus for metric measure spaces	8
2.3. Distributional Laplacian and strong maximum principle	9
2.4. Riemannian curvature-dimension conditon	11
2.5. $1D$ -localization	12
3. Notions of Generalized mean curvature	14
4. Splitting	20
4.1. Measurable Splitting	20
4.2. Isometric splitting	23
5. Almost rigidity	25
5.1. Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and the uniform distance	25
5.2. Stability and almost rigidity results	27
5.3. Stability of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces	30
References	31

2010 Mathematics Subject classification. Primary 53C21 30L99, Keywords: curvature-dimension condition, mean curvature, optimal transport, comparison geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Cheeger-Gromoll splitting theorem states that a Riemannian manifold with non-negative Ricci curvature which contains a geodesic line splits off a factor \mathbb{R} . In [Kas83] Kasue proved a version of this result in the presence of boundary components: A Riemannian manifold with mean convex boundary and nonnegative Ricci curvature that contains a geodesic ray with initial point in the boundary splits off $[0, \infty)$. Kasue also proved that a Riemannian manifold with more than one compact mean convex boundary component and non-negative Ricci curvature is isometric to a product $[0, D] \times N$. In particular, there are exactly two boundary components and the mean curvature vanishes. Croke and Kleiner [CK92] showed that this is the special case of a more general splitting principle for Riemannian manifolds with boundary that have arbitrary lower mean curvature bounds and arbitrary lower Ricci curvature bounds. Generalisations for Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature bounds have been obtained by Sakurai [Sak19] and Moore-Woolgar [MW21].

In this article our first goal is to generalize Kasue's rigidity theorem to the nonsmooth context of RCD spaces. The latter is the celebrated synthetic notion of Ricci curvature bounded from below for metric measure spaces. The class of RCD spaces includes Riemannian manifolds with convex boundary. However Riemannian manifolds that admit boundary with mean curvature bounds are in general not in this class. In the presence of boundary components the interior of the Riemannian manifold may not be geodesically convex and therefore will not satisfy any $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ condition. Hence, for a generalization of Kasue's theorem our framework is the class of open subsets inside RCD spaces whose boundary admits a lower mean curvature bound in a generalized sense.

In [Ket20] and in [BKMW20] synthetic notions of lower mean curvature bounds for an open subset Ω in an RCD space (X, d, m) were introduced and several geometric consequences were derived (a similar notion for Lorentzian length spaces with synthetic lower Ricci curvature bounds was used in [CM20]). In [BKMW20] the authors prove a rigidity theorem for this framework that is independent of the number of boundary components and generalizes another theorem by Kasue that also appears in [Kas83] (see also [Li14]). One of the key steps in the proof of this rigidity theorem is a Laplace comparison estimate for the boundary distance function d_{Ω^c} of an open set Ω [BKMW20, Corollary 4.11]:

$$(1) \quad \Delta_{\Omega}(-d_{\Omega^c}) \geq -(N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(d_{\Omega^c})}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(d_{\Omega^c})} m|_{\Omega}$$

where Δ_{Ω} is the distributional Laplacian in Ω , $m|_{\Omega}$ is the reference measure m restricted to Ω , H is the synthetic lower mean curvature bound and

$$s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(r) = \cos\left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{N-1}}r\right) - \frac{H}{N-1} \sin\left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{N-1}}r\right)$$

for $K > 0$ and appropriately modified for $K \leq 0$. In particular, for $K = 0$ and $H = \delta(N - 1)$ (1) is

$$\Delta_{\Omega}(-d_{\Omega^c}) \geq \frac{\delta}{(1 - \delta d_{\Omega^c})}$$

and by one of the results in [BKMW20] one has $d_{\Omega^c} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}$. Moreover in [MS21] this Laplace estimate for $H = 0$ was derived for perimeter minimizing sets of finite perimeter in an RCD space.

In Section 3 we will see that under general assumptions on $\partial\Omega$ the Laplace estimate (1) is equivalent to notions of lower mean curvature bounds that were used in [Ket20, BKMW20]. This is well-known for Riemannian manifolds and justifies the following definition. We will say that the boundary of a general open subset Ω in some $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space (X, d, m) has *Laplace mean curvature bounded from below by $H \in \mathbb{R}$* if the corresponding distance function to the complement d_{Ω^c} satisfies (1). The advantage of this notion for lower mean curvature bounds is that it will work for all open subsets Ω in RCD spaces without any other a priori assumptions on $\partial\Omega$. Moreover it has nice stability properties.

The first result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space, and let $\Omega_{\alpha} \subset X$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, be open and connected such that $\Omega_{\alpha}^c \neq \emptyset$ and $\Omega_{\alpha}^c \cap \Omega_{\beta}^c = \emptyset$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. Assume $\partial\Omega_{\alpha}$ has (Laplace) mean curvature bounded from below by 0 and assume that $\partial\Omega_2$ is compact.*

Then, $m = 2$ and there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N - 1)$ space Y such that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_{\Omega}, m_{\Omega})$ is isomorphic to $[0, D] \otimes Y$ with $D := \inf_{x \in \Omega_1^c, y \in \Omega_2^c} d_X(x, y)$.

The distance \tilde{d}_{Ω} is the completion of the induced intrinsic distance on Ω and $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_{\Omega}, m_{\Omega})$ is the corresponding metric measure space.

As a corollary we obtain

Corollary 1.2. *Let X be a compact $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space. There are no open, connected subsets Ω_1 and Ω_2 that are disjoint such that $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\partial\Omega_2$ have (Laplace) mean curvature bounded from below by $\delta > 0$.*

It can be seen as a mean curvature version in context of RCD spaces of the non-existence result of positive scalar curvature metrics on a torus by Schoen-Yau-Gromov-Lawson [SY79a, SY79b, GL80].

As another corollary we get a Frankel-type theorem for mean convex subsets in positively curved RCD spaces.

Corollary 1.3. *Let X be an $\text{RCD}(\delta, N)$ space for $\delta > 0$. Let Ω_1 and Ω_2 be open connected subsets in X such that $\partial\Omega_1$ and $\partial\Omega_2$ are (Laplace) mean convex. Then $\Omega_1^c \cap \Omega_2^c \neq \emptyset$.*

Putting the boundary component of Ω_2 at infinity in Theorem 1.1, we also get the following theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space and let $\Omega \subset X$ be open and connected with mean curvature bounded from below by 0. Assume there exists a geodesic ray $\gamma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \Omega$ with $\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \gamma(r) = x_0 \in \partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$.*

Then, there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N - 1)$ space Y such that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_\Omega, m_\Omega)$ is isomorphic to $[0, \infty) \otimes Y$.

The previous rigidity results raise the question for an almost rigidity theorem: given a Riemannian manifold that satisfies the assumption of the theorems up to an error ϵ are we close (and in which sense) to the rigidity case? In absence of extrinsic boundary, that is $\Omega = X$, these questions can be answered by RCD rigidity theorems, stability of RCD curvature bounds w.r.t. measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and Gromov's precompactness theorem.

For domains with lower mean curvature bounds inside of Riemannian manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below the problem is more delicate [Per16, Won08]. A sequence of closed domains may not subconverge in Gromov Hausdorff sense to a metric space. This behavior is similar to the one of closed Riemannian manifolds with lower scalar curvature bounds (for instance, see [Sor17, Gro18]).

Our solution to this problem is as follows. Since we study spaces with boundary as subsets of RCD spaces, we can consider the function d_{Ω^c} that is 1-Lipschitz. This viewpoint allows to apply Gromov's Arzela-Ascoli theorem as a suitable compactness theorem in this framework. For a family of $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ spaces X_i together with functions $d_{\Omega_i^c}$ one obtains a subsequence of metric measure spaces and distance functions that converge in measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense and uniformly, respectively, to a 1-Lipschitz function d_{Ω^c} on a limit RCD space X . To quantify uniform convergence we introduce the uniform distance between continuous functions (Definition 5.2). Applied to distance functions to the boundary of subsets Ω and Ω' in X and in Y , respectively, one can define a distance $\mathcal{D}(\Omega, \Omega')$. Moreover Laplace mean curvature bounds are preserved under this convergence (Theorem 5.8).

Then, our almost rigidity theorem in the class of subsets in smooth Riemannian manifolds reads as follows.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $V, L, c, C, \Gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $N > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds.*

Let M be a Riemannian manifold with $\text{ric}_M \geq -\delta$, $\dim_M \leq N$, $\text{vol}_M(M) \leq V$ and $\text{diam}_M \leq L$ and let $\Omega_\alpha \subset X$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, be open subsets with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega_\alpha$ such that Ω_α is (c, C) -uniform, $\partial\Omega_\alpha$ has mean curvature bounded from below by $-\delta$ and $\inf_{x \in \partial\Omega_\alpha, y \in \partial\Omega_\beta} d_M(x, y) \geq \Gamma > 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$.

Then, $m = 2$ and there exist an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space Z , an $\text{RCD}(0, N - 1)$ space Y and an open subset $\Omega' \subset Z$ such that $(\tilde{\Omega}', \tilde{d}_{\Omega'}, m_Z|_{\Omega'}) \simeq Y \otimes [0, D]$

for some $D > 0$ and

$$\mathbb{D}(X, Z) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2, \Omega') \leq \epsilon.$$

Here \mathbb{D} is the Sturm's transportation distance [Stu06a]. We actually will prove the theorem in the class of RCD spaces.

The main result in [BKMW20] is that a subset Ω with mean curvature bounded from below by $N - 1$ inside an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space X which attains the inscribed radius bound, is isomorphic to a truncated cone w.r.t. its intrinsic geometry. The following theorem is now the corresponding almost rigidity theorem.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $V, L, c, C, \Gamma > 0$ and $N > 1$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds.*

Let M be a Riemannian manifold with $\dim_M \leq N$, $\text{ric}_M \geq -\delta$, $\text{vol}_M(M) \leq V$ and $\text{diam}_X \leq L$, and let Ω be open and (c, C) -uniform such that $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ is smooth and has mean curvature bounded from below by $N - 1 - \delta$. Assume there exists $x \in \Omega$ such that $d_{\Omega^c}(x) \geq 1 - \delta$.

Then, there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space Z , an $\text{RCD}(N - 2, N - 1)$ space Y and an open subset $\Omega' \subset Z$ such that $(\tilde{\Omega}', \tilde{d}_{\Omega'}, m_Z|_{\Omega'})$ is isomorphic to $Y \times_r^{N-1} [0, 1]$ and

$$\mathbb{D}(X, Z) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \Omega') \leq \epsilon.$$

Here $Y \times_r^{N-1} [0, 1]$ denotes the truncated N -Euclidean cone over Y .

The notion of (c, C) -uniform domain (Definition 5.6) is well-known in the study of elliptic and parabolic PDEs. In our theorem this property guarantees that connectedness of domains is preserved under uniform convergence of their distance functions to the boundary. It is however still unsatisfying since it rules out interesting examples, for instance, examples with long and thin spikes or tentacles that disappear in the limit. Therefore, we expect that (c, C) -uniformity can be replaced by a weaker property. We will investigate such a property in a future publication.

Another application of stability of Laplace mean curvature bounds is stability of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces, and in particular minimal hypersurfaces, along a sequence of Riemannian manifold with lower Ricci curvature bounds that converge in measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense. We can prove a theorem in the context of RCD spaces. We say the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of an open subset Ω is a CMC hypersurface with curvature $H \in \mathbb{R}$ if $m(\partial\Omega) = 0$ and the signed distance function $d_{\partial\Omega} := d_{\bar{\Omega}} - d_{\Omega^c}$ satisfies

$$(2) \quad \Delta_{\Omega}(d_{\partial\Omega}) \geq -(N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(-d_{\partial\Omega})}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(-d_{\partial\Omega})} m|_{\Omega} \quad \text{on } \Omega$$

and

$$(3) \quad \Delta_{X \setminus \bar{\Omega}}(-d_{\partial\Omega}) \geq -(N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{-H}{N-1}}(d_{\partial\Omega})}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{-H}{N-1}}(d_{\partial\Omega})} m|_{X \setminus \bar{\Omega}} \quad \text{on } X \setminus \bar{\Omega}.$$

If Ω is a subset with smooth boundary in a Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature bounded from below by K this estimate is equivalent to $\partial\Omega$ being a CMC hypersurface, as recently discussed in [MS21]. For stability of this notion however we encounter an obstacle. The uniform limit of signed distance functions $d_{\partial\Omega_i}$ on $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ spaces X_i may not be a signed distance function of a set Ω with $m(\partial\Omega) = 0$. But assuming a uniform inner/outer ball condition for Ω , corresponding roughly to a uniform upper and lower bound for the second fundamental form, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $D, \eta > 0$ and $N \in (1, \infty)$. For $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds.*

Let X_i be a sequence of $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ spaces with $\text{diam}_X \leq D$ and let $\Omega_i \subset X_i$ be open subsets that satisfy a η -uniform inner-outer ball condition and such that $\partial\Omega_i$ are CMC hypersurfaces with curvature $H \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, there exists a measured GH converging subsequence of X_i with a limit $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space X such that a subsequence of $d_{\partial\Omega_i}$ uniformly converges to $d_{\partial\Omega}$ for an open subset Ω in X that is a CMC hypersurface of curvature H .

Though the previous theorem requires the additional regularity of the δ -uniform outer/inner ball condition, an effective regularity theory for CMC hypersurface in Riemannian manifolds with lower Ricci curvature bounds (or more generally in RCD spaces) should guarantee that these assumptions are satisfied. Effective regularity theory here means, that local regularity estimates only depend on global geometric data like lower Ricci and upper dimension bounds, lower volume bounds as well as the constant mean curvature H of the CMC hypersurface.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the necessary background about CD spaces, first and second order calculus on metric measure spaces, RCD spaces, and the 1D localisation technique.

In Section 3 we review several notions of mean curvature bounds for open subsets Ω in RCD spaces and show that they are equivalent under suitable regularity assumptions on $\partial\Omega$. In particular, we show equivalence to the Laplace estimate and introduce Laplace mean curvature bounds.

In Section 4 we first prove that open subsets with disconnected boundary and mean curvature bounded from below in essentially non-branching CD spaces admit a measurable splitting. Then, we obtain the isometric splitting in the context of RCD spaces (Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.4).

In Section 5 we first review uniform convergence of functions on a sequence of compact metric spaces, and define the uniform distance. Then we prove stability of mean curvature bounds under uniform convergence and deduce the almost rigidity theorem (Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.6) in the context of RCD spaces. Finally we prove Theorem 1.7 concerning CMC hypersurfaces.

1.1. Acknowledgements. This work was started when the author was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) - Projektnummer 396662902, “Synthetische Krümmungsschranken durch Methoden des optimal Transports”.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

2.1. Curvature-dimension condition. Let (X, d) be a complete and separable metric space equipped with a locally finite Borel measure m . We call the triple (X, d, m) a metric measure space. Sometimes it will be convenient to simplify the notion and to denote a metric or metric measure space just X and the corresponding distance function or reference measure d_X and m_X respectively.

Given a metric space (X, d) a geodesic is a length minimizing curve $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$. We denote the set of constant speed geodesics $\gamma : [a, b] \rightarrow X$ with $\mathcal{G}^{[a,b]}(X)$ equipped with the topology of uniform convergence and set $\mathcal{G}^{[0,1]}(X) =: \mathcal{G}(X)$. For $t \in [a, b]$ the evaluation map $e_t : \mathcal{G}^{[a,b]}(X) \rightarrow X$ is defined as $\gamma \mapsto \gamma(t)$ and e_t is continuous. A set of geodesics $F \subset \mathcal{G}(X)$ is said to be *non-branching* if $\forall \epsilon \in (0, 1)$ the map $e_{[0,\epsilon]}|_F$ is one to one.

The set of (Borel) probability measures is $\mathcal{P}(X)$, the subset of probability measures with finite second moment is $\mathcal{P}^2(X)$, the set of probability measures in $\mathcal{P}^2(X)$ that are m -absolutely continuous is denoted with $\mathcal{P}^2(X, m)$ and the subset of measures in $\mathcal{P}^2(X, m)$ with bounded support is denoted with $\mathcal{P}_b^2(X, m)$.

The space $\mathcal{P}^2(X)$ is equipped with the L^2 -Wasserstein distance W_2 that is finite on $\mathcal{P}^2(X)$. A dynamical optimal coupling is a probability measure $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ such that $t \in [0, 1] \mapsto (e_t)_\# \Pi$ is a W_2 -geodesic in $\mathcal{P}^2(X)$. The set of dynamical optimal couplings $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ between $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$ is denoted with $\text{OptGeo}(\mu_0, \mu_1)$.

A metric measure space (X, d, m) is called *essentially nonbranching* if for any pair $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}^2(X, m)$ every optimal dynamical plan $\Pi \in \text{OptGeo}(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ is concentrated on a set of nonbranching geodesics.

Definition 2.1. For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $\cos_\kappa : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as the solution of

$$v'' + \kappa v = 0, \quad v(0) = 1 \quad \& \quad v'(0) = 0.$$

\sin_κ is defined as solution of the same ODE with initial value $v(0) = 0$ & $v'(0) = 1$. That is

$$\cos_\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} \cosh(\sqrt{|\kappa|x}) & \text{if } \kappa < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \\ \cos(\sqrt{\kappa}x) & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \end{cases} \quad \sin_\kappa(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sinh(\sqrt{|\kappa|x})}{\sqrt{|\kappa|}} & \text{if } \kappa < 0 \\ x & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \\ \frac{\sin(\sqrt{\kappa}x)}{\sqrt{\kappa}} & \text{if } \kappa > 0 \end{cases}$$

Let π_κ be the diameter of a simply connected space form \mathbb{S}_κ^2 of constant curvature κ , i.e.

$$\pi_\kappa = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } \kappa \leq 0 \\ \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}} & \text{if } \kappa > 0. \end{cases}$$

In [Kas83] and [Sak19] the authors define

$$(4) \quad s_{\kappa,\lambda}(r) = \cos_\kappa(r) - \lambda \sin_\kappa(r)$$

for $\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The pair (κ, λ) satisfies *the ball condition* if the equation $s_{\kappa,\lambda}(r) = 0$ has a positive solution. The latter happens if and only if one of the following three cases holds: (1) $\kappa > 0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, (2) $\kappa = 0$ and $\lambda > 0$ or (3) $\kappa \leq 0$ and $\lambda > \sqrt{|\kappa|}$. For $(\kappa, \lambda) = (\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1})$ let $r_{K,H,N}$ be the smallest positive zero of $s_{\kappa,\lambda} = s_{K/(N-1), H/(N-1)}$ if any exists; moreover $s_{\kappa,\lambda}(r) < 0$ for all $r > r_{K,H,N}$ if $\kappa \leq 0$, while $s_{\kappa,\lambda}$ oscillates sinusoidally with mean zero and period greater than $2r_{K,H,N}$ if $\kappa > 0$. In particular, $r_{K,H,N} < \infty$ if and only if $(\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1})$ satisfies the ball-condition.

For $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (0, \infty)$ and $\theta \geq 0$ we define the *distortion coefficient* as

$$t \in [0, 1] \mapsto \sigma_{K,N}^{(t)}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin_{K/N}(t\theta)}{\sin_{K/N}(\theta)} & \text{if } \theta \in [0, \pi_{K/N}), \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $\sigma_{K,N}^{(t)}(0) = t$. Moreover, for $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in [1, \infty)$ and $\theta \geq 0$ the *modified distortion coefficient* is defined as

$$t \in [0, 1] \mapsto \tau_{K,N}^{(t)}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \theta \cdot \infty & \text{if } K > 0 \text{ and } N = 1, \\ t^{\frac{1}{N}} \left[\sigma_{K,N-1}^{(t)}(\theta) \right]^{1 - \frac{1}{N}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where our convention is $0 \cdot \infty = 0$.

Definition 2.2 (Curvature-Dimension Condition, [Stu06b, LV09, BS10]). A metric measure space (X, d, m) satisfies the *curvature-dimension condition* $CD(K, N)$, $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in [1, \infty)$, if for all $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_b^2(X, m)$ there exists an L^2 -Wasserstein geodesic $(\mu_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ and an optimal coupling π between μ_0 and μ_1 such that

$$(5) \quad S_N(\mu_t | m) \leq - \int \left[\tau_{K,N}^{(1-t)}(\theta) \rho_0(x)^{-\frac{1}{N}} + \tau_{K,N}^{(t)}(\theta) \rho_1(y)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \right] d\pi(x, y)$$

where $\mu_i = \rho_i d m$, $i = 0, 1$, and $\theta = d(x, y)$.

If (X, d, m) is complete and satisfies the condition $CD(K, N)$ for $N < \infty$, then $(\text{supp } m, d)$ is a geodesic space and $(\text{supp } m, d, m)$ is $CD(K, N)$. In the following we can always assume that $\text{supp } m = X$.

2.2. First order calculus for metric measure spaces. Let (X, d, m) be a metric measure space. We denote with $\text{Lip}(X)$ the space of Lipschitz functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and with $\text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$ the space of Lipschitz function

$f \in \text{Lip}(X)$ with support in Ω for an open set $\Omega \subset X$. For $f \in \text{Lip}(X)$ the local slope is

$$\text{Lip}(f)(x) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)}, \quad x \in X.$$

If $f \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$, a function $g \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ is called *relaxed gradient* if there exists sequence of Lipschitz functions f_n which converges in $L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ to f , and there exists h such that $\text{Lip} f_n$ weakly converges to h in $L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ and $h \leq g$ m-a.e. A function $g \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ is called the *minimal relaxed gradient* of f and denoted by $|\nabla f|$ if it is a relaxed gradient and minimal w.r.t. the L^2 -norm among all relaxed gradients. The object $|\nabla f|$ is local in the sense that

$$|\nabla f| = 0 \text{ m-a.e. on } f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}) \quad \forall \mathcal{N} \subset \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{L}^1(\mathcal{N})$$

and $|\nabla f| = |\nabla g|$ m-a.e. on $\{f = g\}$. The space of L^2 -Sobolev functions is

$$W^{1,2}(X) := \left\{ f \in L^2(\mathfrak{m}) : \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mathfrak{m} < \infty \right\}.$$

$W^{1,2}(X)$ equipped with the norm $\|f\|_{W^{1,2}(X)}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^2$ is a Banach space. If $W^{1,2}(X)$ is a Hilbert space, we say the metric measure space (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is *infinitesimally Hilbertian*.

For $f, g \in W^{1,2}(X)$ one defines functions $D^\pm f(\nabla g) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$D^+ f(\nabla g) = \inf_{\epsilon > 0} \frac{|\nabla(f + \epsilon g)|^2 - |\nabla f|^2}{2\epsilon},$$

$$D^- f(\nabla g) = \sup_{\epsilon < 0} \frac{|\nabla(f + \epsilon g)|^2 - |\nabla f|^2}{2\epsilon}.$$

If (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is infinitesimally Hilbertian, then $D^+ f(\nabla g) = D^- f(\nabla g)$,

$$(6) \quad \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle := D^+ f(\nabla g) = D^+ g(\nabla f) = \frac{1}{4} |\nabla(f + g)|^2 - \frac{1}{4} |\nabla(f - g)|^2$$

and $\langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle \in L^1(\mathfrak{m})$.

2.3. Distributional Laplacian and strong maximum principle. We recall the notion of the distributional Laplacian (cf. [Gig15, CM18]).

Definition 2.3. Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be a locally compact metric measure space and $\Omega \subset X$ be open. Let $\text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$ denote the set of Lipschitz functions compactly supported in an open subset Ω . A *Radon functional* over Ω is a linear map $T : \text{Lip}_c(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that for every compact subset W in Ω there exists a constant $C_W \geq 0$ such that

$$(7) \quad |T(f)| \leq C_W \max_W |f| \quad \forall f \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega) \text{ with } \text{supp } f \subset W.$$

One says T is non-negative if $T(f) \geq 0$ for all $f \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$ satisfying $f \geq 0$.

Remark 2.4. The Riesz-Markov-Kakutani representation theorem says that for a non-negative Radon functional T there exists a Radon measure μ_T such that $T(f) = \int f d\mu_T \quad \forall f \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega)$.

Recall that $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ for an open set $\Omega \subset X$ if for any Lipschitz function ϕ with compact support in Ω we have $\phi \cdot u \in W^{1,2}(X)$. Thanks to the locality properties of $|\nabla f|$ for $f \in W^{1,2}(X)$ the object $|\nabla u|$ is well defined for $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If $|\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$, one writes $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If $u \in \text{Lip}(X)$ then $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Definition 2.5 (Nonsmooth Laplacian). Let $\Omega \subset X$ be open and let $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$. One says u is in the domain of the distributional Laplacian on Ω , writing $u \in D(\Delta, \Omega)$, provided there exists a Radon functional T over Ω such that

$$\int D^-u(\nabla f) d\mathfrak{m} \leq -T(f) \leq \int D^+u(\nabla f) d\mathfrak{m} \quad \forall f \in \text{Lip}_c(\Omega).$$

If T is represented as a measure μ_T , one writes $\mu_T \in \Delta_\Omega u$. If there is only one such measure μ_T by abuse of notation we will identify μ_T with T and write $\mu_T = \Delta_\Omega u$.

If (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is infinitesimally Hilbertian, $u \in W^{1,2}(X)$ is in the domain of the L^2 -Laplacian if there exists $h \in L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ such that

$$\int \langle \nabla u, \nabla f \rangle d\mathfrak{m} = \int h f d\mathfrak{m} \quad \forall f \in \text{Lip}(X).$$

In this case we write $h = \Delta u$ and $u \in D_{L^2}(\Delta)$. For a linear subspace $\mathbb{V} \subset L^2(\mathfrak{m})$ we write $u \in D_{\mathbb{V}}(\Delta)$ whenever $\Delta u \in \mathbb{V}$.

Remark 2.6 (Locality and linearity). (i) If $u \in D(\Delta, \Omega)$ and Ω' is open in X with $\Omega' \subset \Omega$, then $u \in D(\Delta, \Omega')$ and for $\mu \in \Delta_\Omega u$ it follows that $\mu|_{\Omega'} \in \Delta_{\Omega'} u$.

(ii) Assume (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) is infinitesimally Hilbertian. If $u, v \in D(\Delta, \Omega)$, then $u + v \in D(\Delta, \Omega)$ and for $\mu_u \in \Delta_\Omega u$ and $\mu_v \in \Delta_\Omega v$ it follows that $\mu_u + \mu_v \in \Delta_\Omega(u + v)$.

Recall that $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is *sub-harmonic* if

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 d\mathfrak{m} \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla(u + g)|^2 d\mathfrak{m} \quad \forall g \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \text{ with } g \leq 0.$$

One says u is *super-harmonic* if $-u$ is sub-harmonic, and u is *harmonic* if it is both sub- and super-harmonic.

Theorem 2.7 (Characterizing super-harmonicity, [GM13, Theorem 4.3]). *Let X be an $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space with $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in [1, \infty)$, let $\Omega \subset X$ be open and $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega)$. Then u is super-harmonic if and only if $u \in D(\Delta, \Omega)$ and there exists $\mu \in \Delta_\Omega u$ such that $\mu \leq 0$.*

The following is [BB11, Theorem 9.13] (see also [GR19]):

Theorem 2.8 (Strong Maximum Principle). *Let X be an $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space with $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in [1, \infty)$, let $U \subset X$ be a connected open set with compact closure and let $u \in W_{loc}^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$ be sub-harmonic. If there exists $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that $u(x_0) = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} u$ then u is constant.*

2.4. Riemannian curvature-dimension conditon.

Definition 2.9. A metric measure space (X, d, m) satisfies the *Riemannian curvature-dimension condition* $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in [1, \infty)$ if it satisfies a curvature-dimension conditions $\text{CD}(K, N)$ and is infinitesimally Hilbertian.

For a brief overview on the history of this definition we refer the reader to the preliminary section of [KK20].

Let (X, d, m) be a metric measure space that is infinitesimally Hilbertian but does not necessarily satisfy a curvature-dimension condition. For $f \in D_{W^{1,2}(X)}(\Delta)$ and $\phi \in D_{L^\infty}(\Delta) \cap L^\infty(m)$ the *carré du champ operator* is defined as

$$\Gamma_2(f; \phi) := \int \frac{1}{2} |\nabla f|^2 \Delta \phi d m - \int \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle \phi d m.$$

A metric measure space (X, d, m) satisfies the *Bakry-Emery condition* $\text{BE}(K, N)$ for $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (0, \infty]$ if it satisfies the weak Bochner inequality

$$\Gamma_2(f; \phi) \geq \frac{1}{N} \int (\Delta f)^2 \phi d m + K \int |\nabla f|^2 \phi d m.$$

for any $f \in D_{W^{1,2}(X)}(\Delta)$ and $\phi \in D_{L^\infty}(\Delta) \cap L^\infty(m)$, $\phi \geq 0$.

A metric measure space satisfies the *Sobolev-to-Lipschitz* property if every $f \in W^{1,2}(X)$ with $|\nabla f| \in L^\infty(m)$ admits a Lipschitz representative $\tilde{f} \in \text{Lip}(X)$ such that the local Lipschitz constant is bounded from above $\|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}$. For RCD spaces the Sobolev-to-Lipschitz property was proved in [AGS14, Theorem 6.2].

Theorem 2.10 ([EKS15, AGS15, AMS19]). *Let (X, d, m) be a metric measure space. The Riemannian curvature-dimension condition $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in [1, \infty]$ holds if and only if (X, d, m) is infinitesimally Hilbertian, satisfies the Sobolev-to-Lipschitz property and satisfies the Bakry-Emery condition $\text{BE}(K, N)$.*

An important class of functions on an RCD space (X, d, m) is the family of test function \mathbb{D}_∞ that are defined by

$$\mathbb{D}_\infty = \{f \in D_{W^{1,2}(X)}(\Delta) \cap L^\infty(m) : |\nabla f| \in L^\infty(m)\}.$$

For $f \in \mathbb{D}_\infty$ one can define a Hessian $\text{Hess}(f)$ via the formula

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hess}f(\nabla g, \nabla h) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\langle \nabla g, \nabla \langle \nabla h, \nabla f \rangle \rangle + \langle \nabla h, \nabla \langle \nabla f, \nabla g \rangle \rangle - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \langle \nabla g, \nabla h \rangle \rangle] \quad g, h \in \mathbb{D}_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

One can extend the operator Hess to the bigger class $H^{2,2}(X)$ that contains $D_{L^2}(\Delta)$. For $f \in H^{2,2}(X)$ the Hessian is a tensorial object and admits a Hilbert-Schmidt norm $|\text{Hess}f|_{HS} \in L^2(m)$.

Theorem 2.11 ([Sav14, Gig18, Stu18]). *If the metric measure space (X, d, m) satisfies the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$, and $f \in \mathbb{D}_\infty \subset W^{2,2}(X)$, then $|\nabla f|^2 \in W^{1,2}(X) \cap D(\Delta)$ and an improved Bochner formula holds in the sense of measures involving the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the Hessian of f :*

$$\Gamma_2(f) := \frac{1}{2} \Delta |\nabla f|^2 - \langle \nabla f, \nabla \Delta f \rangle m \geq [K |\nabla f|^2 + |\text{Hess } f|_{HS}^2] m$$

where Δ is the distributional Laplace operator, and Γ_2 is called measure valued Γ_2 -operator. In particular, the singular part of the left hand side in previous inequality is non-negative.

2.5. 1D-localization. In this section we will recall the basics of the localization technique introduced by Cavalletti and Mondino for 1-Lipschitz functions as a nonsmooth analogue of Klartag's needle decomposition: needle refers to any geodesic along which the Lipschitz function attains its maximum slope, also called transport rays here and by Klartag and others [EG99, FM02, Kla17]. The presentation follows Sections 3 and 4 in [CM17]. We assume familiarity with basic concepts in optimal transport (for instance [Vil09]).

Let (X, d, m) be a proper metric measure space with $\text{supp } m = X$ as we always assume.

Let $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz function. Then the *transport ordering*

$$\Gamma_u := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : u(y) - u(x) = d(x, y)\}$$

is a d -cyclically monotone set, and one defines $\Gamma_u^{-1} = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (y, x) \in \Gamma_u\}$.

Note that we switch orientation in comparison to [CM17] where Cavalletti and Mondino define Γ_u as Γ_u^{-1} .

The union $\Gamma_u \cup \Gamma_u^{-1}$ defines a relation R_u on $X \times X$, and R_u induces the *transport set with endpoints and branching points*

$$\mathcal{T}_{u,e} := P_1(R_u \setminus \{(x, y) : x = y \in X\}) \subset X$$

where $P_1(x, y) = x$. For $x \in \mathcal{T}_{u,e}$ one defines $\Gamma_u(x) := \{y \in X : (x, y) \in \Gamma_u\}$, and similarly $\Gamma_u^{-1}(x)$ and $R_u(x)$. Since u is 1-Lipschitz, Γ_u, Γ_u^{-1} and R_u are closed, as are $\Gamma_u(x), \Gamma_u^{-1}(x)$ and $R_u(x)$.

The sets of *forward* and *backward branching points*, A_+ & A_- , are defined respectively as

$$A_{+/-} := \{x \in \mathcal{T}_{u,e} : \exists z, w \in \Gamma_u(x) / \Gamma_u^{-1}(x) \text{ \& } (z, w) \notin R_u\}.$$

Then one considers the (*nonbranched*) *transport set* as $\mathcal{T}_u := \mathcal{T}_{u,e} \setminus (A_+ \cup A_-)$ and the (*nonbranched*) *transport relation* as the restriction of R_u to $\mathcal{T}_u \times \mathcal{T}_u$.

The sets $\mathcal{T}_{u,e}, A_+$ and A_- are σ -compact ([CM17, Remark 3.3] and [Cav14, Lemma 4.3] respectively), and \mathcal{T}_u is a Borel set. In [Cav14, Theorem 4.6] Cavalletti shows that the restriction of R_u to $\mathcal{T}_u \times \mathcal{T}_u$ is an equivalence relation. Hence, from R_u one obtains a partition of \mathcal{T}_u into a disjoint family of equivalence classes $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Q}$. A section is a map $s : \mathcal{T}_u \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_u$ such that

if $(x, s(x)) \in R_u$ and $(y, x) \in R_u$ then $s(x) = s(y)$. By [Cav14, Proposition 5.2] there exists a measurable section s , and the quotient space Q can be identified with the image of \mathcal{T}_u under this map s . Hence, we can and will consider Q as a subset of X , namely the image of s , equipped with the induced measurable structure

The quotient map $\mathfrak{Q} : \mathcal{T}_u \rightarrow Q$ given by the measurable section s is measurable, and we set $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{Q}_\# [m|_{\mathcal{T}_u}]$. Hence \mathfrak{q} as a Borel measure on X . By inner regularity we replace Q with a Borel set $Q' \subset Q$ such that $\mathfrak{q}(Q \setminus Q') = 0$ and in the following we denote Q' by Q (compare with [CM17, Proposition 3.5] and the following remarks).

Every X_α , $\alpha \in Q$, is isometric to an interval $I_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}$ (c.f. [CM17, Lemma 3.1] and the comment after Proposition 3.7 in [CM17]) via a distance preserving map $\gamma_\alpha : I_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ where γ_α is parametrized such that $d(\gamma_\alpha(t), s(\gamma_\alpha(t))) = \text{sgn}(\gamma_\alpha(t))t$, $t \in I_\alpha$, and where $\text{sgn} x$ is the sign of $u(x) - u(s(x))$. The map $\gamma_\alpha : I_\alpha \rightarrow X$ extends to a geodesic also denoted γ_α and defined on the closure \bar{I}_α of I_α . We set $\bar{I}_\alpha = [a(X_\alpha), b(X_\alpha)]$.

In [CM18, Theorem 3.3], Cavalletti and Mondino prove:

Theorem 2.12 (Disintegration into needles/transport rays). *Let (X, d, m) be a geodesic metric measure space with $\text{supp } m = X$ and m σ -finite. Let $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a 1-Lipschitz function, let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Q}$ be the induced partition of \mathcal{T}_u via R_u , and let $\mathfrak{Q} : \mathcal{T}_u \rightarrow Q$ be the induced quotient map as above. Then, there exists a unique strongly consistent disintegration $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Q}$ of $m|_{\mathcal{T}_u}$ with respect to \mathfrak{Q} .*

The following is [CM18, Lemma 3.4].

Lemma 2.13 (Negligibility of branching points). *Let (X, d, m) be an essentially nonbranching MCP(K, N) space, $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (1, \infty)$, with $\text{supp } m = X$. Then, for any 1-Lipschitz function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, it follows $m(\mathcal{T}_{u,e} \setminus \mathcal{T}_u) = 0$.*

The initial and final points are defined by

$$\mathfrak{a}_u := \{x \in \mathcal{T}_{u,e} : \Gamma_u^{-1}(x) = \{x\}\}, \quad \mathfrak{b}_u := \{x \in \mathcal{T}_{u,e} : \Gamma_u(x) = \{x\}\}.$$

In [CM16, Theorem 7.10] it was proved that under the assumption of the previous lemma there exists $\hat{Q} \subset Q$ with $\mathfrak{q}(Q \setminus \hat{Q}) = 0$ such that for $\alpha \in \hat{Q}$ one has $\overline{X_\alpha} \setminus \mathcal{T}_u \subset \mathfrak{a}_u \cup \mathfrak{b}_u$. In particular, for $\alpha \in \hat{Q}$ we have

$$(8) \quad R_u(x) = \overline{X_\alpha} \supset X_\alpha \supset (R_u(x))^\circ \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{Q}^{-1}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{T}_u.$$

where $(R_u(x))^\circ$ denotes the relative interior of the closed set $R_u(x)$.

The following is [CM18, Theorem 3.5].

Theorem 2.14 (Factor measures inherit curvature-dimension bounds). *Let $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (1, \infty)$ and let (X, d, m) be essentially nonbranching and MCP(K, N) with $\text{supp } m = X$. For any 1-Lipschitz function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Q}$ denoted the disintegration of $m|_{\mathcal{T}_u}$ from Theorem 2.12 which is strongly consistent with the quotient map $\mathfrak{Q} : \mathcal{T}_u \rightarrow Q$.*

Then there exists \tilde{Q} such that $\mathfrak{q}(Q \setminus \tilde{Q}) = 0$ and $\forall \alpha \in \tilde{Q}$, m_α is a Radon measure with $dm_\alpha = h_\alpha d\mathcal{H}^1|_{X_\alpha}$ and (X_α, d, m_α) satisfies $MCP(K, N)$. If (X, d, m) satisfies the condition $CD(K, N)$, then (X, d, m_α) satisfies the condition $CD(K, N)$ as well.

Remark 2.15. The theorem yields that h_α is locally Lipschitz continuous on $(a(X_\alpha), b(X_\alpha))$ [CM17, Section 4]. In particular h_α is differentiable for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $r \in (a(X_\alpha), b(X_\alpha))$ and

$$\frac{\overline{d}^+}{dr} h_\alpha(r) = \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{h_\alpha(r+h) - h_\alpha(r)}{h}, \quad \frac{\overline{d}^-}{dr} h_\alpha(r) = \limsup_{h \uparrow 0} \frac{h_\alpha(r+h) - h_\alpha(r)}{h}$$

both exist in \mathbb{R} for all $r \in (a(X_\alpha), b(X_\alpha))$. The Bishop-Gromov volume monotonicity implies that h_α can be extended to a continuous function on $[a(X_\alpha), b(X_\alpha)]$ [CM18, Remark 2.14]. We consider $\frac{d}{dr} h_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined a.e. via $\frac{d}{dr}(h_\alpha \circ \gamma_\alpha)(r) =: \frac{d}{dr} h_\alpha(\gamma_\alpha(r))$.

Remark 2.16 (Generic geodesics). We set $Q^\dagger := \tilde{Q} \cap \hat{Q}$, where \tilde{Q} and \hat{Q} index the transport rays identified between Lemma 2.13 and Theorem 2.14. Then, $\mathfrak{q}(Q \setminus Q^\dagger) = 0$ and for every $\alpha \in Q^\dagger$ the space $(X, d, h_\alpha \mathcal{H}^1)$ is $MCP(K, N)$ (or $CD(K, N)$) and (8) hold. We also set $\mathcal{Q}^{-1}(Q^\dagger) =: \mathcal{T}_u^\dagger \subset \mathcal{T}_u$ and $\bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{T}_u^\dagger} R_u(x) =: \mathcal{T}_{u,e}^\dagger \subset \mathcal{T}_{u,e}$.

3. NOTIONS OF GENERALIZED MEAN CURVATURE

Let (X, d, m) be an RCD space with $\text{supp } m = X$ and let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open such that $m(\partial\Omega) = 0$. We set $S := \partial\Omega$ and $\Omega^c := X \setminus \Omega$. Since $m(S) = 0$, it holds $\partial\Omega^c = S$. The distance function $d_\Omega : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$d_\Omega(x) := \inf_{y \in \Omega} d(x, y).$$

The signed distance function d_S for S is given by

$$d_S := d_\Omega - d_{\Omega^c} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

It follows that $d_S(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in S$, and $d_S \leq 0$ if $x \in \Omega$ and $d_S \geq 0$ if $x \in \Omega^c$. It is clear that $d_S|_\Omega = -d_{\Omega^c}$ and $d_S|_{\Omega^c} = d_\Omega$. Setting $v = d_S$ we can also write

$$d_S(x) = \text{sign}(v(x)) d(\{v = 0\}, x), \forall x \in X.$$

Since (X, d) is a proper geodesic space, d_S is 1-Lipschitz [CM18, Remark 8.4, Remark 8.5].

Let $\mathcal{T}_{d_S, e}$ be the transport set of d_S with end- and branching points. We have $\mathcal{T}_{d_S, e} \supset X \setminus S$. In particular, we have $m(X \setminus \mathcal{T}_{d_S, e}) = 0$ by Lemma 2.13 and $m(S) = 0$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.14 the 1-Lipschitz function d_S induces a partition $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Q}$ of X up to a set of measure zero for a measurable quotient space Q , and a disintegration $\{m_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Q}$ that is strongly consistent with the partition. The subset X_α , $\alpha \in Q$, is the image of a distance preserving map $\gamma_\alpha : I_\alpha \rightarrow X$ for an interval $I_\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\bar{I}_\alpha = [a(X_\alpha), b(X_\alpha)] \ni 0$.

We consider $Q^\dagger \subset Q$ as in Remark 2.16. One has the representation

$$m(B) = \int_Q m_\alpha(B) d\mathbf{q}(\alpha) = \int_{Q^\dagger} \int_{\gamma_\alpha^{-1}(B)} h_\alpha(r) dr d\mathbf{q}(\alpha)$$

for all Borel $B \subset X$. For a transport ray X_α one has $d_S(\gamma_\alpha(b(X_\alpha))) \geq 0$ and $d_S(\gamma_\alpha(a(X_\alpha))) \leq 0$ (for instance compare with [CM18, Remark 4.12]).

Let us recall another result of Cavalletti-Mondino:

Theorem 3.1 (Laplacian of signed distance functions [CM18, Corollary 4.16]). *Let (X, d, m) be a $CD(K, N)$ space, and Ω and $S = \partial\Omega$ as above. Then $d_S|_{X \setminus S} \in D(\Delta, X \setminus S)$, and one element of $\Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S})$ that we also denote with $\Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S})$ is the Radon functional on $X \setminus S$ given by the representation formula*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S}) &= (\log h_\alpha)' m|_{X \setminus S} \\ &\quad + \int_Q (h_\alpha \delta_{a(X_\alpha) \cap \{d_S < 0\}} - h_\alpha \delta_{b(X_\alpha) \cap \{d_S > 0\}}) d\mathbf{q}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The Radon functional $\Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S})$ can be represented as the difference of two measures $[\Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S})]^+$ and $[\Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S})]^-$ such that

$$[\Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S})]_{abs}^+ - [\Delta_{X \setminus S}(d_S|_{X \setminus S})]_{abs}^- = (\log h_\alpha)' \quad m\text{-a.e.}$$

In particular, $-(\log h_\alpha)'$ coincides with a measurable function m -a.e. .

Remark 3.2 (Measurability and zero-level selection). It is easy to see that $A := \Omega^{-1}(\Omega(S \cap \mathcal{T}_{d_S})) \subset \mathcal{T}_{d_S}$ is a measurable subset. The reach $A \subset \mathcal{T}_{d_S}$ is defined such that $\forall \alpha \in \Omega(A)$ we have $X_\alpha \cap S = \{\gamma(t_\alpha)\} \neq \emptyset$ for a unique $t_\alpha \in I_\alpha$. Then, the map $\hat{s} : \gamma(t) \in A \mapsto \gamma(t_\alpha) \in S \cap \mathcal{T}_{d_S}$ is a measurable section (i.e. selection) on $A \subset \mathcal{T}_{d_S}$, and one can identify the measurable set $\Omega(A) \subset Q$ with $A \cap S$ and can parameterize γ_α such that $t_\alpha = 0$.

This measurable section \hat{s} on A is fixed for the rest of the paper. The reach A is the union of all disjoint needles that intersect with $\partial\Omega$ – eventually in $a(X_\alpha)$ (or in $b(X_\alpha)$) provided $a(X_\alpha)$ (respectively $b(X_\alpha)$) belongs already to I_α . We shall also define the *inner reach* B_{in} as the union of all needles disjoint from $\overline{\Omega^c}$ and the *outer reach* B_{out} as the union of all needles disjoint from Ω . The superscript \dagger will be used indicate intersection with $\mathcal{T}_{d_S}^\dagger$. Thus

$$A \cap \mathcal{T}_{d_S}^\dagger =: A^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{x \in A^\dagger} R_{d_S}(x) =: A_e^\dagger.$$

The sets A^\dagger and A_e^\dagger are measurable, and also

$$(9) \quad B_{in}^\dagger := \Omega^\circ \cap \mathcal{T}_{d_S}^\dagger \setminus A^\dagger \subset \mathcal{T}_{d_S}^\dagger \quad \text{and} \quad B_{out}^\dagger := \Omega^c \cap \mathcal{T}_{d_S}^\dagger \setminus A^\dagger \subset \mathcal{T}_{d_S}^\dagger$$

as well as $\bigcup_{x \in B_{out}^\dagger} R_{d_S}(x) =: B_{out,e}^\dagger$ and $\bigcup_{x \in B_{in}^\dagger} R_{d_S}(x) =: B_{in,e}^\dagger$ are measurable. The map $\alpha \in \Omega(A^\dagger) \mapsto h_\alpha(0) \in \mathbb{R}$ is measurable (see [CM16, Proposition 10.4]).

Remark 3.3 (Surface measure via ray maps). Let us briefly explain the previous definition from the viewpoint of the ray map [CM17, Definition 3.6] or its precursor from the smooth setting [FM02]. For the definition we fix a measurable extension $s_0 : \mathcal{T}_{d_S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{d_S}$ such that $s_0|_{A^\dagger} = \hat{s}$ as in Remark 3.2. As was explained in Subsection 2.5 such a section allows us to identify the quotient space Q with a Borel subset in X up to a set of \mathfrak{q} -measure 0. Following [CM17, Definition 3.6] we define the ray map

$$g : \mathcal{V} \subset \mathfrak{Q}(A \cup B_{in}) \times (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow \Omega$$

into Ω and its domain \mathcal{V} via its graph

$$\begin{aligned} \text{graph}(g) = \{ & (\alpha, t, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}(A) \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega : x \in X_\alpha, -d(x, \alpha) = t\} \\ & \cup \{(\alpha, t, x) \in \mathfrak{Q}(B_{in}) \times \mathbb{R} \times \Omega : x \in X_\alpha, -d(x, \gamma_\alpha(b(X_\alpha))) = t\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is exactly the ray map as in [CM17] up to a reparametrisation for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Q}(B_{in})$. Note that $g(\alpha, 0) = \gamma_\alpha(0) = \alpha$ and $g(\alpha, t) = \gamma_\alpha(t)$ if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Q}(A)$ but $\gamma_\alpha(t + d(b(X_\alpha), \alpha)) = g(\alpha, t)$ for $\alpha \in \mathfrak{Q}(B_{in})$. Then the disintegration for a non-negative $\phi \in C_b(\Omega)$ takes the form

$$\int_\Omega \phi \, d\mathfrak{m} = \int_Q \int_{\mathcal{V}_\alpha} \phi \circ g(\alpha, t) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) d\mathcal{L}^1(t) d\mathfrak{q}(\alpha)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_\alpha = P_2(\mathcal{V} \cap \{\alpha\} \times \mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $P_2(\alpha, t) = t$. With Fubini's theorem the right hand side is

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}} \phi \circ g(\alpha, t) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) d(\mathfrak{q} \otimes \mathcal{L}^1)(\alpha, t) = \int \int_{\mathcal{V}_t} \phi \circ g(\alpha, t) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) d\mathfrak{q}(\alpha) d\mathcal{L}^1(t)$$

where $\mathcal{V}_t = P_1(\mathcal{V} \cap Q \times \{t\}) \subset Q$ and $P_1(\alpha, t) = \alpha$. In particular, for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $\mathcal{V}_t \subset Q$ and the map $\alpha \mapsto h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t)$ are measurable. Hence, for \mathcal{L}^1 -a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $d\mathfrak{p}_t(\alpha) = h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) d\mathfrak{q}|_{\mathcal{V}_t}(\alpha)$ on Q . Then disintegration takes the form

$$\mathfrak{m}|_\Omega = \mathfrak{m}|_{\Omega \cap \mathcal{T}_{d_S}} = \int (g(\cdot, t) \# \mathfrak{p}_t) dt.$$

Note that $\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V} \cap Q \times \{0\} = \mathfrak{Q}(A) \dot{\cup} \mathfrak{Q}(B_{in})$ is measurable, one has $\mathcal{V}_t \subset \mathcal{V}_0$, $t < 0$, and that $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_0 \mapsto \lim_{t \uparrow 0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) = h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0)$ is measurable. Hence, we set $d\mathfrak{p}_0(\alpha) = h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0) d\mathfrak{q}|_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\alpha)$.

Definition 3.4 (Backward mean curvature bounded below). Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be essentially nonbranching and $MCP(K, N)$ for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in (1, \infty)$.

Then $S = \partial\Omega$ has *backward mean curvature bounded from below by $H \in \mathbb{R}$* if the measure \mathfrak{p}_0 is a Radon measure, $h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0) > 0$ for \mathfrak{q} -a.e. $\alpha \in Q$ and

$$\left. \frac{d^-}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \int_Y d\mathfrak{p}_t := \limsup_{h \uparrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_Y d\mathfrak{p}_h - \int_Y d\mathfrak{p}_0 \right) \geq H \int_Y d\mathfrak{p}_0$$

for any bounded measurable subset $Y \subset Q$. Moreover, S has *backward-lower mean curvature bounded from below by H* if the same inequality holds when \limsup is replaced by \liminf .

Remark 3.5. Since it is not assumed that $(\mathbf{p}_t)_{t>0}$ is a Radon measure, $\int_Y d\mathbf{p}_t$ can be infinite.

Proposition 3.6 (Rescaling). *Let (X, d, m) be MCP(K, N) and let $\Omega \subset X$ with backward mean curvature bounded below $H \in \mathbb{R}$ in X . Define $(\tilde{X}, \tilde{d}, \tilde{m})$ with $\tilde{X} = X$, $\tilde{m} = m$ and $\tilde{d} = \epsilon d$. Then \tilde{X} satisfies MCP($\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}K, N$) and Ω has mean curvature bounded from below by $\frac{1}{\epsilon}H$ in \tilde{X} .*

Proof. The first claim is well known. For the second claim observe that $(x, y) \in \Gamma_{d_S}$, satisfies

$$\tilde{d}_S(y) - \tilde{d}_S(x) = \epsilon(d_S(y) - d_S(x)) = \epsilon d(x, y) = \tilde{d}(x, y).$$

Hence given transport geodesic γ_α w.r.t. d_S we have $r \in [\epsilon a(X_\alpha), \epsilon b(X_\alpha)] \mapsto \gamma(\frac{1}{\epsilon}r)$ is transport geodesic w.r.t. \tilde{d}_S . This implies that $\partial\Omega = S$ has BMC bounded below by $\frac{1}{\epsilon}H$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let (X, d, m) be an essentially non-branching MCP(K, N) space with $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (1, \infty)$, and let $\Omega \subset X$ such that $S = \partial\Omega$ has backward mean curvature bounded from below H . Then*

$$(10) \quad \left. \frac{d^-}{dr} \right|_{r=0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, r) \geq H h_\alpha(g(\alpha, 0))$$

for \mathbf{q} -a.e. $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_0 = \mathfrak{Q}(A^\dagger \cup B_{in}^\dagger)$.

If \mathbf{p}_0 is a Radon measure, $h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0) > 0$ for \mathbf{q} -a.e. $\alpha \in Q$ and

$$(11) \quad \left. \frac{d^-}{dr} \right|_{r=0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, r) \geq H h_\alpha(g(\alpha, 0)) \quad \text{for } \mathbf{q}\text{-a.e. } \alpha \in \mathcal{V}_0.$$

then S has backward-lower (hence backward) mean curvature bounded from below by H .

If (X, d, m) is a CD(K, N) space, then (10) and (11) become

$$\left. \frac{d^-}{dr} \right|_{r=0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, r) \geq H h_\alpha(g(\alpha, 0))$$

and hence, backward and backward-lower mean curvature bounded from below are equivalent. $\frac{d^-}{dr}$ is the left derivative.

Proof. For $t < 0$ and a bounded measurable set $Y \subset Q$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Y d\mathbf{p}_t - \int_Y d\mathbf{p}_0 \\ &= \int_Y h_\alpha(g(\alpha, 0))^{-1} (1_{\mathcal{V}_t}(\alpha) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) - 1_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\alpha) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0)) d\mathbf{p}_0(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

There exists $Q^* \subset Q^\dagger$ with $\mathbf{q}[Q^\dagger \setminus Q^*] = 0$ such that the map $\mathcal{M} : \alpha \in Q^* \mapsto -a(X_\alpha)$ is measurable (compare with the proof of Theorem 7.10 in [CM16] or Remark 3.4 in [KKS20]).

Then, we consider measurable sets $Q_m = \mathcal{M}^{-1}([\frac{1}{m}, m])$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It holds $\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}_0} Q_m = Q^* \cap \Omega(A^\dagger \cup B_{in}^\dagger)$. As in [CM16]

$$\begin{aligned} & h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0)^{-1} \frac{1}{r} (h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, r) - h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0)) \\ & \leq (N-1) \frac{\cos_{-|K|/(N-1)}(-a(X_\alpha))}{\sin_{-|K|/(N-1)}(-a(X_\alpha))} \leq C(K, N, m) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall r \in (a(X_\alpha), 0)$, $\forall \alpha \in Q_m$. Thus Fatou's lemma yields

$$\begin{aligned} & H \int_{Y \cap Q_m} d\mathbf{p}_0(\alpha) \\ & = H \int_{Y \cap Q_m \cap \mathcal{V}_0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0) d\mathbf{q}(\alpha) \\ & \leq \int_{Y \cap Q_m} \limsup_{t \uparrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (1_{\mathcal{V}_t}(\alpha) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) - 1_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\alpha) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0)) d\mathbf{q}(\alpha) \\ & \leq \int_{Y \cap Q_m} \limsup_{t \uparrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (1_{\mathcal{V}_t \cap \mathcal{V}_0}(\alpha) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) - 1_{\mathcal{V}_0}(\alpha) h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0)) d\mathbf{q}(\alpha) \\ & = \int_{Y \cap Q_m \cap \mathcal{V}_0} \overline{\frac{d^-}{dt}} \Big|_{t=0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) d\mathbf{q}(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

for any bounded measurable $Y \subset Q$. It follows that

$$(12) \quad H h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0) \leq \overline{\frac{d^-}{dt}} \Big|_{t=0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, t) \text{ for } \mathbf{q}\text{-a.e. } \alpha \in \mathcal{V}_0.$$

We assumed $h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0) > 0$ for \mathbf{q} -almost every $\alpha \in \mathcal{V}_0$. Hence the claim follows. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let X be an essentially non-branching $\text{CD}(K, N)$ space with $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (1, \infty)$, and let $\Omega \subset X$ be open. Let $u = d_S|_\Omega = -d_{\Omega^c}|_\Omega$. Assume \mathbf{p}_0 is a Radon measure and $h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, 0) > 0$ for \mathbf{q} -a.e. $\alpha \in Q$.*

Then $\partial\Omega$ has backward mean curvature bounded from below by $H \in \mathbb{R}$ if and only if

$$(13) \quad \Delta_\Omega u \geq -(N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(-u)}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(-u)} \mathbf{m}|_\Omega.$$

In particular, if $K \leq 0$ and $H = \pm\sqrt{|K|(N-1)}$, then (15) becomes

$$\Delta_\Omega u \geq \mp(N-1) \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \mathbf{m}|_\Omega.$$

Proof. " \Rightarrow ": Recall

Lemma 3.9 (Riccati comparison). *Let $u : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be non-negative and continuous such that $u'' + \kappa u \leq 0$ in the distributional sense, $u(0) = 1$ and $\frac{d^+}{dr} u(0) \leq -d$. Let $v : [0, \bar{b}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the maximal non-negative solution of*

$v'' + \kappa v = 0$ with $v(0) = 1$ and $v'(0) = -d$. That is, $v = s_{\kappa, d}$ from (4). Then $\bar{b} \geq b$ and $\frac{d^+}{dt} \log u \leq (\log v)'$ on $[0, b)$.

Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in Q}$ be the decomposition of \mathcal{T}_u and $\int m_\alpha d\mathbf{q}(\alpha)$ be the disintegration of m given by Theorem 2.12 and Remark 3.2. Recall that $m_\alpha = h_\alpha \mathcal{H}^1$ for \mathbf{q} -a.e. $\alpha \in Q$. We consider $Q^\dagger \subset Q$ that has full \mathbf{q} -measure as defined in Remark 2.16. For every $\alpha \in Q^\dagger$ we have that $m_\alpha = h_\alpha \mathcal{H}^1$, $X_{\alpha, e} = \bar{X}_\alpha$ and h_α is continuous on $[a(X_\alpha), 0]$ by Remark 2.15 and satisfies

$$(14) \quad (h_\alpha^{\frac{1}{N-1}})'' + \frac{K}{N-1} h_\alpha^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \leq 0 \text{ on } (a(X_\alpha), 0) \quad \forall \alpha \in Q^\dagger,$$

in the distributional sense. As usual we write $h_\alpha = h_\alpha \circ \gamma_\alpha$. We also have the properties of h_α as discussed in Remark 2.15. By the definition of backward mean curvature bounded from below it holds $h_\alpha(r) > 0$ for \mathbf{q} -a.e. α .

The function $r \in [0, -a(X_\alpha)] \mapsto \tilde{h}_\alpha(r) := h_\alpha(-r)$ is also continuous and (14) is still holds on $(0, -a(X_\alpha))$. The mean curvature bound implies

$$\frac{d^+}{dr} \Big|_{r=0} \tilde{h} \circ g(\alpha, r) \leq -H \tilde{h} \circ g(\alpha, 0).$$

and hence

$$(\log \tilde{h}_\alpha)'(r) \leq \left(\log \left(s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(r) \right)^{N-1} \right)'.$$

By Theorem 3.1 we also have

$$\Delta_\Omega u = (\log h_\alpha)' m|_\Omega + \int_Q h_\alpha \delta_{a(X_\alpha) \cap \Omega^\circ} d\mathbf{q}(\alpha) \geq (\log h_\alpha)' m|_\Omega = -(\log \tilde{h}_\alpha)' m|_\Omega.$$

This yields the estimate for $\Delta_\Omega u$.

For the second estimate we recall that

$$\frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}} = \frac{-\frac{K}{N-1} \sin \frac{K}{N-1} - \frac{H}{N-1} \cos \frac{K}{N-1}}{\cos \frac{K}{N-1} - \frac{H}{N-1} \sin \frac{K}{N-1}}.$$

Using the value $-H^2 = K(N-1) \Leftrightarrow H = \pm \sqrt{|K|(N-1)} \Leftrightarrow \frac{H}{N-1} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}}}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}}} &= \frac{\frac{|K|}{N-1} \sin \frac{K}{N-1} \mp \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \cos \frac{K}{N-1}}{\cos \frac{K}{N-1} \mp \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \sin \frac{K}{N-1}} \\ &= \mp \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \frac{\mp \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \sin \frac{K}{N-1} + \cos \frac{K}{N-1}}{\cos \frac{K}{N-1} \mp \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \sin \frac{K}{N-1}} = \mp \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

” \Leftarrow ”: The assumption and Theorem 3.1 imply that

$$\frac{d}{dr} \log h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, -r) \geq -(N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}}(r)$$

for dr -a.e. $r \in (0, -a(X_\alpha))$ and \mathfrak{q} -a.e. $\alpha \in Q$. Since h_α is a semi-concave function for \mathfrak{q} -a.e. $\alpha \in Q$ on $[a(X_\alpha), b(X_\alpha)]$, its right-derivative is right-continuous on $[0, -a(X_\alpha))$. In particular $\frac{d^-}{dr} \log h_\alpha(r) \Big|_0$ for $r \uparrow 0$. On the other hand, the right hand side converges to H for $r \uparrow 0$. One obtains

$$\frac{d^-}{dr} \Big|_{r=0} h_\alpha \circ g(\alpha, r) \geq H h_\alpha(g(\alpha, 0)) \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{q}\text{-a.e. } \alpha \in Q.$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.7 S has backward mean curvature bounded from below. \square

The previous theorem suggests the following definition

Definition 3.10. Let (X, d, m) be an $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space for $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (1, \infty)$, and let $\Omega \subset X$ be open. We say that $\partial\Omega$ has Laplace mean curvature bounded from by $H \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$(15) \quad \Delta_\Omega(-d_{\Omega^c}) \geq -(N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}} \circ d_{\Omega^c} m \Big|_\Omega.$$

4. SPLITTING

4.1. Measurable Splitting.

Lemma 4.1. *Let (X, d, m) be essentially nonbranching and $\text{MCP}(K, N)$ for $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in (1, \infty)$. Let $\Omega \subset X$ be open and set $u := -d_{\Omega^c}$. Then $(\Omega^c)^\circ \cap \mathcal{T}_{u,e} = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{T}_{u,e} \supset \Omega$ and $\mathfrak{b}_u \subset \partial\Omega$.*

Proof. First, we observe that for every $x \in \Omega$ there exists $y \in \Omega^c$ such that $-u(x) = d(x, y)$. Indeed, if $y_n \in \Omega^c$ is a minimal sequence, we have $y_n \in \overline{B_r(x)}$ for $r = -2u(x)$. Since $\overline{B_r(x)}$ is compact, there exists a converging subsequence and a limit point $y \in \Omega^c$.

If $x \in (\Omega^c)^\circ$, then $u(x) = 0$ and $(x, y) \in R_u$ only if

$$d(y, x) = -u(y).$$

Hence, if $x \neq y$, it follows that $y \in \Omega$ and there exists a geodesic $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow X$ between x and y such that $\gamma(t) \in \Omega$ for all $t \in (0, L)$. Consequently $x \in \partial\Omega$. This contradicts $x \in (\Omega^c)^\circ$. Therefore $x = y$ for all $y \in X$ such that $(x, y) \in R_u$. Hence $x \notin \mathcal{T}_{u,e}$ and $(\Omega^c)^\circ \cap \mathcal{T}_{u,e} = \emptyset$.

Assume $x \in \Omega$. There exists $y \in \Omega^c$ and a geodesic $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow X$ such that $L(\gamma) > 0$ and

$$d(x, y) = L(\gamma) = u(y) - u(x) = -u(x).$$

Therefore $x \in \mathcal{T}_{u,e}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathcal{T}_{u,e}$. This also implies $x \notin \mathfrak{b}$. Consequently $\mathfrak{b} \subset \partial\Omega$. \square

Corollary 4.2. *One has $|\nabla u| = 1$ on Ω .*

Proof. Let $x \in \Omega$. As in the proof of the previous lemma there exist $y \in \partial\Omega$ and a geodesic $\gamma : [0, L] \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(L) = y$ and $d(x, y) = L(\gamma)$. Moreover

$$1 \geq |\nabla u|(x) = \text{Lip } u(x) = \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|u(x) - u(z)|}{d(x, z)} \geq \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{|u(x) - u(\gamma(s))|}{d(x, \gamma(s))} = 1$$

where we used the Sobolev-to-Lipschitz property in the first inequality. The first equality is a fundamental result by Cheeger. \square

Let $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \overline{\Omega}$ be the geodesic ray such that $\gamma(0) \in \partial\Omega$, $\gamma((0, \infty)) \subset \Omega$ and $d_{\Omega^c}(\gamma(t)) = t$. The Busemann function according to γ is defined as

$$b(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(x, \gamma(t)) - t, \quad x \in X.$$

By triangle inequality the Busemann function is a welldefined and a 1-Lipschitz map from X to \mathbb{R} that satisfies $b \in D(\Delta, \Omega)$.

Lemma 4.3. $\mathfrak{a}_b = \emptyset$.

Proof. We pick $x \in X$ and consider the geodesic $\gamma^t : [0, L(\gamma^t)] \rightarrow X$ between x and $\gamma(t)$. Clearly $L(\gamma^t) \rightarrow \infty$ for $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $L(\gamma^t) > s > 0$ for $s > 0$ given and for $t > 0$ sufficiently large. Since γ^t is geodesic we obtain that

$$s = d(\gamma^t(s), x) = d(\gamma^t(s), \gamma(t)) - t - d(x, \gamma(t)) + t.$$

Let z be an accumulation point of $\gamma^t(s)$, $t > 0$. Then taking $t \rightarrow \infty$ yields $d(x, z) = s = b(z) - b(x)$. Since $s > 0$, it follows that $x \neq z$ and therefore $x \notin \mathfrak{a}_b$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Consider X and Ω as in the previous lemma and assume X is noncompact and Ω^c is compact. Then, there exists a geodesic ray $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) \in \partial\Omega$ and $\gamma((0, \infty)) \subset \Omega$.*

Proof. Since X is noncompact and $\overline{\Omega^c}$ is compact, there exists a sequence $x_n \in X$ such that $d(x_n, \overline{\Omega^c}) =: L_n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\gamma_n : [0, L_n] \rightarrow X$ be the constant speed geodesic that connects $y_n \in \overline{\Omega^c}$ and x_n such that $L(\gamma_n) = L_n$. It follows that $\text{Im}(\gamma_n) \subset \Omega$. By a compactness argument there is a subsequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that (γ_{n_i}) uniformly converges on $[0, L_{n_0}]$ for any $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ to a arclength parametrized geodesic ray γ with $\gamma(0) \in \Omega$. Moreover $\mathfrak{S}(\gamma) \subset \Omega^c$. Otherwise there is $t_0 > 0$ and a sequence $(t_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\Omega^c \ni \gamma_n(t_n) \rightarrow \gamma(t_0) \in \Omega$. Since $t_n = d(\gamma_n(t_n), \Omega)$, it follows $t_n \rightarrow 0$ and hence $t_0 = 0$ contradicting our assumption. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let (X, d, m) be $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ and let $\Omega \subset X$ be connected with backward mean curvature bounded from below by $-\sqrt{(N-1)|K|}$. Let $u = d_S|_{\Omega}$ and b as before. Assume Ω is connected. Then $b|_{\Omega} = -u$ and*

(16)

$$\Delta_{\Omega}(b|_{\Omega}) = (N-1)\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} m|_{\Omega} \quad \& \quad \Delta_{\Omega}u = -(N-1)\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} m|_{\Omega}.$$

In particular $\mathbf{a}_b = \mathbf{b}_u = \emptyset$.

Proof. The $\text{CD}(K, N)$ condition yields

$$\Delta_\Omega(b|_\Omega) \leq (N-1)\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \mathfrak{m}|_\Omega.$$

Hence with the Laplace estimate for $u = d_S|_\Omega = -d_{\Omega^c}|_\Omega$ we obtain

$$\Delta_\Omega(b-u) = \Delta_\Omega b - \Delta_\Omega u \leq (N-1)\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \mathfrak{m}|_\Omega - (N-1)\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \mathfrak{m}|_\Omega = 0.$$

Pick $y \in \partial\Omega$ such that $d(x, y) = d_{\Omega^c}(x)$. Then

$$d(x, \gamma(t)) - t + d_{\Omega^c}(x) \geq d(y, \gamma(t)) \geq d_{\Omega^c}(\gamma(t)) - t = 0$$

and it follows $b(x) - u(x) \geq 0$ for $x \in \Omega$ with equality if $x = \gamma(s)$ for some $s > 0$.

By the maximum principle for RCD spaces [GR19, GM13] it follows that $b = u$ on Ω and

$$\Delta_\Omega(b|_\Omega) = \Delta_\Omega u$$

which by linearity of the Laplacian yields the identity (16). \square

Corollary 4.6. *Consider (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) , b , Ω and u as before and the 1D localisation $(X_\gamma)_{\gamma \in Q}$ w.r.t. $u = -b|_{\Omega^\circ}$ on Ω° where $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \Omega \forall \gamma \in Q$. and the corresponding disintegration of $\mathfrak{m}|_{\Omega^\circ}$ into measures $(\mathfrak{m}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in Q}$. Then $\mathfrak{m}(\Omega^\circ \setminus \mathcal{T}_u^\dagger) = 0$ and*

$$\mathfrak{m}_\gamma = h_\gamma(0) s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, -\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}}(r)^{N-1} \mathcal{H}^1|_{[0, \infty)}(r).$$

In particular

$$\frac{\mathfrak{m}(B_R(\Omega^c) \cap \Omega)}{\mathfrak{m}(B_r(\Omega^c) \cap \Omega)} = \frac{\int_0^R s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, -\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}}(t)^{N-1} dt}{\int_0^r s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, -\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}}(t)^{N-1} dt}.$$

Let $\Omega \subset X$ be connected, not empty and given by $\Omega = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^m \Omega_\alpha$ for $\Omega_\alpha^c \cap \Omega_\beta^c = \emptyset$ and $d(\Omega_\alpha, \Omega_\beta) = D_{\alpha, \beta} > 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We set $S_\alpha = \partial\Omega_\alpha$ and $u_\alpha = -d_{S_\alpha}|_\Omega$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let (X, d, \mathfrak{m}) be an $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space, and let Ω , Ω_α , $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ as before. Assume $\partial\Omega_\alpha$, $\alpha \neq 2$, has backward mean curvature bounded from below by $\sqrt{|K|}$ and $\partial\Omega_2$ has backward mean curvature bounded from below by $-\sqrt{|K|}$. Moreover, assume that $\partial\Omega_2$ is compact. Then $m = 2$ and $-u_1 = d(\bar{\Omega}_2, \bar{\Omega}_1) + u_2$ and*

$$\Delta_{\Omega^\circ} u_1 = -(N-1)\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \mathfrak{m}|_{\Omega^\circ} \quad \& \quad \Delta_{\Omega^\circ} u_2 = (N-1)\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} \mathfrak{m}|_{\Omega^\circ}.$$

Proof. Consider Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Since $\partial\Omega_2$ is compact, there are points $y_i \in \partial\Omega_i$, $i = 1, 2$, such that $d(\Omega_1^c, \Omega_2^c) = d(y_1, y_2) = D_{1,2}$. Moreover, the geodesic $\gamma : [0, D_{1,2}] \rightarrow \Omega$ from y_2 to y_1 satisfies

$$(17) \quad u_1(\gamma(t)) + u_2(\gamma(t)) = -D_{1,2} \geq u_1(x) + u_2(x) \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

By Theorem 3.8

$$\Delta_{\Omega_1} u_1 \leq -(N-1) \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} m|_{\Omega_1} \quad \& \quad \Delta_{\Omega_2} u_2 \leq (N-1) \sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}} m|_{\Omega_2}.$$

Hence $\Delta_{\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2} (u_1 + u_2) \leq 0$. Since we have (17) by the maximum principle it follows

$$\Delta_{\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2} (u_1 + u_2) = 0 \quad \& \quad u_1 = -u_2 - D_{1,2} \text{ on } \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2.$$

Now assume that $l \geq 3$. Set $d(\Omega_i^c, \Omega_j^c) = D_{i,j}$. Similarly as before one deduces that

$$u_3 + u_2 = -D_{3,2} \text{ on } \Omega_2 \cap \Omega_3.$$

Together with the equation for u_1 and u_2 it follows

$$u_1 - u_3 = D_{2,3} - D_{1,2} \text{ on } \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \cap \Omega_3.$$

Note that $\partial\Omega_1, \partial\Omega_3 \subset \overline{\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \cap \Omega_3}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $D_{1,2} \geq D_{2,3}$. It holds

$$x \in \partial\Omega_1 \Leftrightarrow u_1(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow u_3(x) = D_{1,2} - D_{2,3} \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in \Omega_3^c.$$

Hence $x \in \Omega_3^c \cap \Omega_1^c$. This is a contradiction. \square

Corollary 4.8.

$$\frac{m(B_R(\Omega_1^c) \cap \Omega)}{m(B_r(\Omega_1^c) \cap \Omega)} = \frac{\int_0^R s^{\frac{K}{N-1}, -\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}}(t)^{N-1} dt}{\int_0^r s^{\frac{K}{N-1}, -\sqrt{\frac{|K|}{N-1}}}(t)^{N-1} dt}.$$

4.2. Isometric splitting. Recall that $f \in W^{1,2}(\Omega^\circ)$ if $\phi \cdot f \in W^{1,2}(X)$ for every Lipschitz function with support in Ω . Moreover, we say $u \in H_{loc}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ if $\psi \cdot u \in H^{2,2}(X)$ for every $\psi \in \mathbb{D}_\infty$ with support in Ω . Thanks to locality of Hess f for $f \in H^{2,2}(X)$ the Hessian Hess (u) for $u \in H_{loc}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ is well-defined.

The following theorem is Corollary 4.16 in [KKL21].

Theorem 4.9. *Let X be RCD(0, N) and $\Omega \subset X$ be open. Let $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\nabla u| = 1$ and $\Delta_\Omega u = 0$. Then $u \in H_{loc}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\text{Hess}(u)(\nabla f, \nabla f) = 0 \text{ m-a.e. on } \Omega \text{ and } f \in \mathbb{D}_\infty.$$

Remark 4.10. Given an open subset $\Omega \subset X$ of an RCD space X we define $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_\Omega)$ as the completion of Ω equipped with the intrinsic distance induced by d_X . We can identify Ω as a subset of $\tilde{\Omega}$, but the topology of $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_\Omega)$ might be different to the topology of $\overline{\Omega} \subset X$. An easy example for this scenario is $X = \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\Omega = \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{p\}$ with $p \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The completion of Ω equipped with the intrinsic distance is an interval. But $\overline{\Omega} = \mathbb{S}^1$.

Setting $m|_{\Omega} = m_{\Omega}$ the triple $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_{\Omega}, m_{\Omega})$ is a metric measure space.

A corollary of Theorem 4.9 is the following splitting result.

Theorem 4.11. *Let (X, d, m) , $\Omega \subset X$ and u be as in previous theorem. Assume that $\Omega = u^{-1}((0, D))$ for $D > 0$. Then, there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N - 1)$ space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) such that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_{\Omega}, m_{\Omega})$ is isomorphic to $[0, D] \otimes Y$.*

Proof. The proof of the corollary is exactly the content of section 5 and section 6 in [KKL21] that results in the proof of Theorem 6.10 in [KKL21] that corresponds to our statement. \square

Remark 4.12. For the proof of the main theorem in [KKL21] the authors show that the induced intrinsic metric of $\Omega = f^{-1}((-\min f, \max f))$ splits off an interval where $f = \cos^{-1} \circ u$ with an eigenfunction u on a compact $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space X .

As consequence of the previous theorem one obtains the following isomorphic splitting statement that generalizes a corresponding theorem in smooth context by Kasue [Kas83] and Croke-Kleiner [CK92].

Theorem 4.13. *Let (X, d, m) be an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space, and let Ω, Ω_{α} , $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ as before. Assume $\partial\Omega_{\alpha}$ has backward mean curvature bounded from below by 0 for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$. Moreover, assume that $\partial\Omega_2$ is compact. Then, there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N - 1)$ space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) such that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_{\Omega}, m_{\Omega})$ is isomorphic to $[0, D_{1,2}] \otimes Y$.*

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Since mean curvature bounded from below by $\delta > 0$ implies nonnegative mean curvature, we can apply Theorem 1.1. It follows that $\Delta_{\Omega}(-d_{\Omega^c}) = 0$. But

$$\Delta_{\Omega}(-d_{\Omega^c}) \geq \frac{\frac{\delta}{N-1}}{1 - \frac{\delta}{N-1} d_{\Omega^c}} > 0 \text{ on } B_1(\Omega^c) \cap \Omega$$

by the assumed mean curvature bound. This is a contradiction. \square

Proof of Corollary 1.3. Recall that for $K \leq K'$ the condition $\text{RCD}(K', N)$ implies $\text{RCD}(K, N)$. Assume Ω_1^c and Ω_2^c are disjoint and set $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$. Then by Theorem 1.1 $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_{\Omega}, m|_{\Omega})$ is isomorphic to $[0, D] \times Y$ for some $\text{RCD}(0, N - 1)$ space Y . But the product structure contradicts the assumption that X was $\text{RCD}(\delta, N)$ for $\delta > 0$. \square

Similarly one can show the following splitting theorem.

Theorem 4.14. *Let (X, d, m) be $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ and let $\Omega \subset X$ have backward mean curvature bounded from below by 0. Assume Ω° is connected and there exists a geodesic ray $\gamma : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \Omega$ with $\lim_{r \downarrow 0} \gamma(r) = x_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Then, there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N - 1)$ space (Y, d_Y, m_Y) such that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_{\Omega}, m_{\Omega})$ is isomorphic to $[0, \infty) \otimes Y$.*

Again, the proof of verbatim the same as for [KKL21, Theorem 610]. Noncompactness doesn't change the arguments that are all of local nature.

5. ALMOST RIGIDITY

5.1. Gromov-Hausdorff convergence and the uniform distance. A sequence of compact metric spaces (X_i, d_i) converges in Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a compact metric space (X, d) if there exist a compact metric space (Z, d_Z) and distance preserving maps $\iota_i, \iota : X_i, X \rightarrow Z$ such that $\iota_i(X_i)$ converges in Hausdorff sense to $\iota(X)$ w.r.t. d_Z . The Gromov-Hausdorff distance $d_{GH}(X_i, X)$ is the infimum of Hausdorff distances between $\iota_i(X_i)$ and $\iota(X)$ w.r.t. to all such distance preserving maps ι_i, ι and metric spaces Z . Equivalently, $(X_i, d_i) \xrightarrow{GH} (X, d)$ if there exists a sequence of ϵ_i -isometries $\psi_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ such that $\epsilon_i \rightarrow 0$. Existence of an ϵ -isometry $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ between compact metric spaces X and Y yields that the Gromov-Hausdorff distance satisfies $d_{GH}(X, Y) \leq 2\epsilon$.

Given a sequence of δ_i -isometries $\psi_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ with $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$ a sequence of functions $f_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ converges uniformly to a function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $i_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f_i(z_i) - f(z)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \epsilon$ for points $z_i \in X_i$ and $z \in X$ such that $d_Z(\psi_i(z_i), z) \leq \delta_i$, and $i \geq i_\epsilon$.

The next proposition is Gromov's Arzela-Ascoli theorem for functions on a Gromov-Hausdorff converging sequence (for instance see [Sor18]).

Proposition 5.1. *Let (X_i, d_i) be compact metric spaces that converge in GH sense to a compact metric space (X, d) , and let $f_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be functions that are L -Lipschitz. Then there exists a subsequence of f_i that converges uniformly to an L -Lipschitz function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$.*

These considerations motivate the following definitions.

Let X and Y be two compact metric spaces such that $d_{GH}(X, Y) < r$. Then there exist $2r$ -isometries $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$. For functions $f, g : X, Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we define

$$\sup \{ \|f(x) - g(y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} : x \in X, y \in Y \text{ s.t. } d_X(\psi(x), y) \leq 2r \} = \mathcal{S}_\psi(f, g).$$

Definition 5.2 (Uniform distance). The uniform distance between f and g is then defined via

$$\inf_{(\psi, \phi)} \max \{ \mathcal{S}_\psi(f, g), \mathcal{S}_\phi(f, g) \} =: d^*(f, g)$$

where the infimum is taken w.r.t. any pair (ψ, ϕ) such that $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\phi : Y \rightarrow X$ are $2r$ -isometries for $r > d_{GH}(X, Y)$.

By definition $d^*(f, g) = d^*(g, f)$ and $d_{GH}(X, Y) + d^*(f, g) = 0$ if and only if $X \simeq Y$ and $f = g$. Moreover, for compact metric spaces X, Y and Z , and continuous functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ and $h : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ we have

$$d^*(f, h) \leq d^*(f, g) + d^*(g, h).$$

Lemma 5.3. *Consider compact metric spaces (X_i, d_i) for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and (X, d) such that $d_{GH}(X_i, X) < r_i \rightarrow 0$. Then $f_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ converges uniformly to $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ if and only if $d^*(f_i, f) \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$, then we can pick $i_\epsilon > 0$ such that $d^*(f_i, f) \leq \epsilon$ for $i \geq i_\epsilon$. In particular, there exists a sequence of $2r_i$ -isometries $\psi_i : X_i \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|f_i(x_i) - f(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq \epsilon \quad \forall x_i \in X_i, x \in X \text{ with } d(\psi_i(x_i), x) \leq 2r_i \quad \forall i \geq i_\epsilon.$$

Hence, f_i converges uniformly to f . On the other hand, the definition of uniform convergence implies $d^*(f_i, f) \rightarrow 0$. \square

Given families of open sets $\Omega_{i,\alpha} \subset X_i$, $i = 0, 1$ and $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ such that $d_{X_i}(\Omega_{i,\alpha}^c, \Omega_{i,\beta}^c) = \inf_{x \in \Omega_{i,\alpha}^c, y \in \Omega_{i,\beta}^c} d(x, y) > 0$ for $i = 0, 1$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$, we consider $\Omega_i = \bigcap_{l=1}^m \Omega_{i,l}$. Consider $f_i = (d_{\Omega_{i,1}^c}, \dots, d_{\Omega_{i,m}^c}) : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. Then we define

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{D}(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) := d^*(f_1, f_2).$$

A sequence of compact metric measure spaces (X_i, d_i, m_i) converges in measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a compact metric measure spaces (X, d, m) if $(X_i, d_i) \xrightarrow{GH} (X, d)$ and m_i converges to m in duality with $C_b(Z)$ where (Z, d_Z) is a metric space where GH convergence is realized. A distance that metrizes measured GH convergence is given for instance by Sturm's *transportation distance* \mathbb{D} [Stu06a]. In fact \mathbb{D} is a distance on the set of isomorphism classes $[X]$ of metric measure spaces X with finite measure m_X . But after normalisation of m_X , that is replacing m_X with $m_X(X)^{-1} m_X = \bar{m}_X$, we can see \mathbb{D} is a distance on the family of normalized metric measure spaces. Then \mathbb{D} is estimated by the L^2 -Wasserstein distance $d_W^Z(\bar{m}_i, \bar{m})$ in Z between the normalisations of m_i and m .

A sequence of functions $f_i \in L^2(m_i)$ converges in L^2 -weak sense to $f \in L^2(m)$ if $f_i m_i \rightarrow f m$ in duality with $C_b(Z)$ and $\sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_i\|_{L^2(m_i)} < \infty$. If

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i\|_{L^2(m_i)} = \|f\|_{L^2(m)}$$

holds, then one says the sequence f_i converges L^2 -strongly to f .

Lemma 5.4. *Let $f_i, g_i \in L^2(m_i)$ such that $f_i \rightarrow f \in L^2(m)$, $g_i \rightarrow g \in L^2(m)$ L^2 -strongly. Then*

- (1) $f_i + g_i$ converges L^2 -strongly to $f + g$.
- (2) $\int |f_i g_i| d m_i \rightarrow \int |g f| d m$.

A sequence of functions $f_i \in W^{1,2}(X_i)$ converges $H^{1,2}$ -weakly to $f \in W^{1,2}(X)$ if (f_i) converges L^2 -weakly to f and $\int_X |\nabla f_i|^2 d m_i < \infty$. The sequence (f_i) converges $H^{1,2}$ -strongly if (f_i) converges L^2 -strongly and

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |\nabla f_i|^2 = \int_X |\nabla f|^2 d m.$$

5.2. Stability and almost rigidity results.

Lemma 5.5. *Let $K \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in (1, \infty)$. Let $(X_i, d_i, m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, be a sequence of $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ spaces that converges in measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a compact $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ space (X, d, m) , and let $\Omega_i \subset X_i$ be open sets. Then, $-d_{\Omega_i^c} : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ subconverges in Arzela-Ascoli sense to a 1-Lipschitz function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\nabla u| = 1$ on $\Omega = u^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$. Moreover $u = -d_{\Omega^c}$.*

Proof. The existence of a 1-Lipschitz function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that arises as the limit of a subsequence of $d_{\Omega_i^c}$ is guaranteed by Gromov's Arzela-Ascoli theorem.

We embed (X_i, d_i) and (X, d) into a metric space (Z, d_Z) where measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence is realized. Pick $x \in \Omega = u^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ and sequence of points $x_i \in X_i$ such that $x_i \in \Omega_i$ and $x_i \rightarrow x$ in Z . There exists a sequence of geodesics $\gamma_i : [-L_i, 0] \rightarrow \Omega_i$ that are arclength parametrized such that $\gamma_i(-L_i) = x_i$, $u(\gamma_i(0)) = 0$ and $L_i = u(x_i)$. After extracting another subsequence $(\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to a geodesic $\gamma : [-L, 0] \rightarrow X$ in Z such that $\gamma(-L) = x$, $L = u(x) > 0$ and $\gamma((-L, 0)) \subset \Omega$. It holds

$$u(\gamma(-L)) - u(\gamma(0)) = d_X(x, \gamma(0)).$$

Hence γ is a transport geodesic of u and x is contained in the transport set \mathcal{T}_u . Hence $\Omega \subset \mathcal{T}_u$ and $\text{Lip } u = |\nabla u| = 1$ on \mathcal{T}_u .

If we assume, there exists $y \in \Omega^c$ such that $d(x, y) < L$, then there exist $y_i \in \Omega_i^c$ such that $y_i \rightarrow y$ and $d_i(x_i, y_i) \rightarrow d(x, y)$. This would contradict the choice of γ_i before. Hence $-u(x) = L = d_{\Omega^c}(x)$. Hence $-u = d_{\Omega^c}$. \square

Definition 5.6 (uniform domain). Let X be a geodesic metric space. An open subset $\Omega \subset X$ is called (c, C) -uniform if for any two points $x, y \in \Omega$ there exists a rectifiable curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and $\gamma(1) = y$ that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\Omega^c}(\gamma(t)) &\geq c \min\{d_X(x, \gamma(t)), d_X(\gamma(t), y)\} \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \\ \text{length}(\gamma) &\leq C d_X(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, a (c, C) -uniform domain is connected.

Lemma 5.7. *Consider X_i, X, Ω_i and Ω as before. If Ω_i is (c, C) -uniform for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then Ω is (c, C) -uniform.*

Proof. Pick two points $x, y \in \Omega$ and $x_i, y_i \in \Omega_i$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x$ and $y_i \rightarrow y$ after embedding X_i, X into a common metric space Z .

Since Ω_i is (c, C) -uniform, there exists a sequence of rectifiable curves $\gamma_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \Omega_i$ that connects x_i and y_i and such that $\text{length}(\gamma_i) \leq C d(x_i, y_i)$. We apply the Arzela-Ascoli theorem to extract a subsequence that converges uniformly in Z to a curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$. Lower semi-continuity of the length implies that γ is rectifiable and

$$\text{length}(\gamma) \leq C d(x, y)$$

Moreover, uniform convergence of $d_{\Omega_i^c}$ and γ_i implies

$$d_{\Omega^c}(\gamma(t)) \geq c \min\{d_X(x, \gamma(t)), d_X(\gamma(t), y)\}.$$

Hence Ω is (c, C) -uniform. \square

Theorem 5.8. *Consider X_i, X, Ω_i, Ω as before. We set $u_i := d_{\Omega_i^c}|_{\Omega_i}$ and $u := d_{\Omega^c}|_{\Omega}$. Assume u_i satisfies*

$$\Delta_{\Omega_i} u_i \leq (N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H_i}{N-1}}(u_i)}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H_i}{N-1}}(u_i)} m|_{\Omega_i}$$

where $H_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $H_i \rightarrow H$. Then u satisfies

$$\Delta_{\Omega} u \leq (N-1) \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(u)}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(u)} m|_{\Omega}.$$

Proof. By measured Gromov-Hausdorff convergence there exists a compact metric space (Z, d_Z) , distance preserving maps $\iota_i, \iota : X_i, X \rightarrow Z$ and couplings π_i between m_i and m such that $d_Z(x, y) \leq \delta$ for π_i -almost every $(x, z) \in X_i \times X$ if $i \geq i_\delta$. Let $\phi \in C_b(Z)$ and define $g_i = \phi \cdot d_{\Omega_i^c}$. Then g_i converges uniformly to $g = \phi \cdot d_{\Omega^c}$, and we can choose $i_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|g_i(x_i) - g(x)| < \epsilon$ whenever $|x_i - x| \leq \delta$ and $i \geq i_\delta$. Indeed, we observe

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi(x) \cdot d_{\Omega^c}(x) - \phi(y) \cdot d_{\Omega_i^c}(y)| &\leq |\phi(x)| |d_{\Omega^c}(x) - d_{\Omega_i^c}(y)| + |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| d_{\Omega_i^c}(y) \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in Z} |\phi(z)| \epsilon + \epsilon \cdot \text{diam}_{X_i} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $i \geq i_\delta$ is sufficiently large and $d_Z(x, y) \leq \delta$.

It follows that

$$\left| \int g_i d m_i - \int g d m \right| = \int |g_i - g| d \pi_i \leq \epsilon \text{ for } i \geq i_\delta.$$

It follows that $d_{\Omega_i^c} m_i \rightarrow d_{\Omega^c} m$ in duality with $C_b(Z)$. Moreover

$$\left| \int d_{\Omega_i^c}^2 d m_i - \int d_{\Omega^c}^2 d m \right| = \int |2(d_{\Omega^c} - d_{\Omega_i^c}) d_{\Omega^c} + (d_{\Omega^c} - d_{\Omega_i^c})^2| d \pi_i \leq 2\epsilon + \epsilon^2$$

if $i \geq j_\delta$ for $j_\delta \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large. Hence $d_{\Omega_i^c}$ converges L^2 -strongly to d_{Ω^c} .

Let $\varphi^k \in C_b(\mathbb{R})$ be sequence of continuous functions such that $\varphi^k \uparrow 1_{(\eta, \infty)}$ pointwise for $\eta > 0$. One can check that $h_i^k = \varphi^k \circ d_{\Omega_i^c} \in C_b(X)$ converges uniformly to $\varphi^k \circ d_{\Omega^c}$, and in particular there exists $i_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\int h_i^k d m_i \leq \int h^k d m + \epsilon \leq \int 1_{(\eta, \infty)} \circ d_{\Omega^c} d m + \epsilon = m(f^{-1}((\eta, \infty))) + \epsilon$$

for $i \geq i_\epsilon$. For $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $h_i^k \rightarrow 1_{[\eta, \infty)} \circ d_{\Omega^c} = 1_{f^{-1}((\eta, \infty))}$ and

$$m_i(d_{\Omega_i^c}^{-1}((\eta, \infty))) \leq m(d_{\Omega^c}^{-1}((\eta, \infty))) + \epsilon.$$

Finally, we take $\eta \downarrow 0$, $i \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon \downarrow 0$ in this order. It follows

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_i(\Omega_i) \leq m(\Omega).$$

The previous lemma implies

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int |\nabla d_{\Omega_i^c}|^2 d m_i = \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} m_i(\Omega_i) \leq m(\Omega) = \int |\nabla d_{\Omega^c}|^2 d m.$$

Hence $d_{\Omega_i^c}$ converges $H^{1,2}$ -strongly to d_{Ω^c} .

Let $x \in \Omega$ be arbitrary. Then, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $B_\delta(x) \subset \Omega$ and there exists a sequence $x_i \in \Omega_i$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x$, $B_\delta(x_i) \subset \Omega_i$ and $\overline{B_\delta(x_i)}$ converges in Gromov-Hausdorff sense to $\overline{B_\delta(x)}$.

We recall the following lemma [AH18, Lemma 2.10].

Lemma 5.9. *For any $\phi \in \text{Lip}(X)$ with $\text{supp } \phi \subset B_\delta(x)$ there exists a sequence $\phi_i \in \text{Lip}(X_i)$ with $\text{supp } \phi_i \subset B_\delta(x_i)$ such that $\sup_i \text{Lip } \phi_i < \infty$ and ϕ_i converges $H^{1,2}$ -strongly to ϕ .*

Hence, given ϕ and ϕ_i as in the previous lemma $H^{1,2}$ -strong convergence of $d_{\Omega_i^c}$ to d_{Ω^c} together with (6) yields

$$\int \langle \nabla d_{S_i}, \nabla \phi_i \rangle d m_{X_i} \rightarrow \int \langle \nabla d_S, \nabla \phi \rangle d m_X.$$

Set $f_{K,N,H} = s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}} / s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}$. Since $H_i \rightarrow H$, it follows

$$f_{K,N,H_i} \rightarrow f_{K,N,H} \text{ locally uniformly.}$$

Hence, the composition $f_{K,N,H_i} \circ d_{\Omega_i^c}$ converges uniformly to $f_{K,N,H} \circ d_{\Omega^c}$, and hence L^2 -strongly. Therefore

$$\int \phi_i \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H_i}{N-1}}(u_i)}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H_i}{N-1}}(u_i)} d m_{X_i} \rightarrow \int \phi \frac{s'_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(u)}{s_{\frac{K}{N-1}, \frac{H}{N-1}}(u)} d m_X$$

By locality of the distributional Laplacian, this implies the desired estimate. \square

Theorem 5.10. *Let $\Gamma, D, c, C > 0$, $N > 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds.*

Let X be a normalized $\text{RCD}(-\delta, N)$ space with $\text{diam}_X \leq D$ and let $\Omega_\alpha \subset X$ be open subsets $\Omega_\alpha \subset X$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, such that Ω_α is (c, C) -uniform, Ω_α has Laplace mean curvature bounded from $-\delta$ and $d(\Omega_\alpha^c, \Omega_\beta^c) \geq \Gamma > 0$ for $\alpha \neq \beta$. Set $\Omega = \bigcap_{\alpha=1}^m \Omega_\alpha$.

Then, $m = 2$ and there exist $D > 0$, an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space Z , an $\text{RCD}(0, N-1)$ space Y and an open subset $\Omega' \subset Z$ such that $(\tilde{\Omega}', \tilde{d}_{\Omega'}, m_Z|_{\Omega'}) \simeq Y \otimes [0, D]$ and

$$(19) \quad \mathbb{D}(X, Z) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \Omega') \leq \epsilon.$$

Proof. We assume, there exists a sequence of $\text{RCD}(-\frac{1}{i}, N)$ spaces X_i with subsets $\Omega_{\alpha,i}$ that satisfy the assumptions in the theorem but fail the second claim in (19) for $\epsilon > 0$.

By stability and compactness of the class of RCD spaces w.r.t. measured GH convergence there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space Z such that a subsequence of X_i , that by abuse of notation we also call X_i , converges in measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense to Z . Hence, there exists $i_\epsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbb{D}(X, Z) < \epsilon$ for $i \geq i_\epsilon$. After extracting another subsequence $d_{\Omega_{i,\alpha}^c}$ converges uniformly to $d_{\Omega_\alpha^c}$ for open subsets $\Omega_\alpha \subset Z$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, k$ where $k \leq m$. By Theorem 5.8 $d_{\Omega_\alpha^c}|_{\Omega_\alpha} =: u^\alpha$ satisfies

$$\Delta_\Omega u^\alpha \geq 0$$

i.e. Ω_α has Laplace mean curvature bounded from below.

By Lemma 5.7 Ω_α is a (c, C) -uniform domain and in particular connected. Hence $\Omega = \bigcap_\alpha \Omega_\alpha$ is connected. Moreover $d(\Omega_\alpha^c, \Omega_\beta^c) \geq \Gamma$ for all $\alpha \neq \beta$. As in Lemma 4.7 we derive that $k = 2$ and that u^α is harmonic on Ω . Hence, $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{d}_\Omega, m_\Omega)$ is isomorphic to $Y \otimes [0, D]$ for an $\text{RCD}(0, N-1)$ space Y .

On the other hand, uniform convergence of $d_{\Omega_{\alpha,i}^c}$ to $d_{\Omega_\alpha^c}$ for all $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$ implies $m = 2$ and

$$\mathcal{D}(\Omega_i, \Omega) \leq \epsilon$$

for i sufficiently large by definition of \mathcal{D} . This is a contradiction. \square

Very similarly one can prove the following result which is an almost rigidity statement that corresponds to the main rigidity theorem in [BKMW20].

Theorem 5.11. *Let $\Gamma, D, c, C > 0$ and $N > 1$. For every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that the following holds.*

Let X be a compact $\text{RCD}(-\delta, N)$ space and let Ω be open and (c, C) -uniform with Laplace mean curvature bounded from below by $N-1-\delta$. Assume there exists $x \in \Omega$ such that $d_{\Omega^c}(x) \geq 1-\delta$.

Then, there exists an $\text{RCD}(0, N)$ space Z , an $\text{RCD}(N-2, N-1)$ space Y and an open subset $\Omega' \subset Z$ such that $(\tilde{\Omega}', \tilde{d}_{\Omega'}, m_Z|_{\Omega'})$ is isomorphic to $Y \times_r^{N-1} [0, 1]$ and

$$\mathbb{D}(X, Z) \leq \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \Omega') \leq \epsilon.$$

5.3. Stability of constant mean curvature hypersurfaces.

Definition 5.12 (Outer and inner ball condition). Let (X, d) be a metric space. Let $\Omega \subset X$ and $\partial\Omega = S$. We say S satisfies an outer ball condition in $x \in S$ if there exists $r_x > 0$ and $p_x \in \Omega^c$ such that $d(x, p_x) = r_x$ and $B_{r_x}(p_x) \subset \Omega^c$. We say S satisfies an outer ball condition if it satisfies an exterior ball condition in every $x \in S$. Moreover S satisfies a uniform δ -outer ball condition if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $r_x \geq \delta$ for all $x \in S$.

Similar, Ω satisfies an inner (uniform δ -inner) ball condition if the previous definition holds with Ω^c replaces with Ω .

Lemma 5.13. *Let $K \in \mathbb{R}$, $N \in (1, \infty)$ and $\delta > 0$. Let $(X_i, d_i, m_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, be a sequence of $\text{RCD}(K, N)$ spaces that converges in measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a compact metric measure space (X, d, m) , and let $\Omega_i \subset X_i$ be open sets with $m_i(\partial\Omega_i) = 0$ that satisfy a δ -uniform outer/inner ball condition. Set $\partial\Omega_i = S_i$. Then, $d_{S_i} : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ subconverges in Arzela-Ascoli sense to a 1-Lipschitz function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that is the signed distance function of $\partial\Omega$ with $\Omega = u^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$.*

Proof. From the Lemma 5.5 we conclude that d_{S_i} subconverges uniformly to a function u such that $u = -d_{\Omega_1^c}$ on Ω_1 and $u = d_{\Omega_2^c}$ on Ω_2 where $\Omega_1 = u^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ and $\Omega_2 = u^{-1}((0, \infty))$.

We only have to show $\partial\Omega_1 = \partial\Omega_2 = u^{-1}(\{0\})$. By symmetry we only have to prove the first equality. For that we set $\Omega_1 = \Omega$. We know that $\partial\Omega \subset u^{-1}(0)$. Pick $x \in u^{-1}(0)$. Then, there exist x_i with $d_{S_i}(x_i) = 0$ such that $x_i \rightarrow x$. Since Ω_i satisfies a δ -uniform outer/inner ball condition there exist geodesics $\gamma_i : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow X_i$ with $\gamma_i(0) = x_i$, $\gamma_i([-\delta, 0]) \subset \Omega$ and $\gamma_i((0, \delta]) \subset \Omega_i^c$. Moreover γ_i converges uniformly to geodesic $\gamma : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma([-\delta, 0]) \subset u^{-1}((-\infty, 0))$ and $\gamma((0, \delta]) \subset u^{-1}((0, \infty))$. Hence $x \in \partial\Omega$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. The Theorem follows now from stability of mean curvature bounds together with the previous lemma. \square

REFERENCES

- [AGS14] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré, *Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 7, 1405–1490. MR 3205729
- [AGS15] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré, *Bakry-Émery curvature-dimension condition and Riemannian Ricci curvature bounds*, Ann. Probab. **43** (2015), no. 1, 339–404. MR 3298475
- [AH18] Luigi Ambrosio and Shouhei Honda, *Local spectral convergence in $\text{rcd}^*(k, n)$ spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications **177** (2018), 1–23 (English).
- [AMS19] Luigi Ambrosio, Andrea Mondino, and Giuseppe Savaré, *Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and Curvature Conditions in Metric Measure Spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **262** (2019), no. 1270, 0. MR 4044464
- [BB11] Anders Björn and Jana Björn, *Nonlinear potential theory on metric spaces*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 17, European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2011. MR 2867756
- [BKMW20] Annegret Burtscher, Christian Ketterer, Robert J. McCann, and Eric Woolgar, *Inscribed radius bounds for lower Ricci bounded metric measure spaces with mean convex boundary*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **16** (2020), Paper No. 131, 29. MR 4185085
- [BS10] Kathrin Bacher and Karl-Theodor Sturm, *Localization and tensorization properties of the curvature-dimension condition for metric measure spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 1, 28–56. MR 2610378 (2011i:53050)
- [Cav14] Fabio Cavalletti, *Monge problem in metric measure spaces with Riemannian curvature-dimension condition*, Nonlinear Anal. **99** (2014), 136–151. MR 3160530

- [CK92] Christopher B. Croke and Bruce Kleiner, *A warped product splitting theorem*, Duke Math. J. **67** (1992), no. 3, 571–574. MR 1181314
- [CM16] Fabio Cavalletti and Emanuel Milman, *The globalization theorem for the curvature dimension condition*, arXiv:1612.07623, 2016.
- [CM17] Fabio Cavalletti and Andrea Mondino, *Sharp and rigid isoperimetric inequalities in metric-measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds*, Invent. Math. **208** (2017), no. 3, 803–849. MR 3648975
- [CM18] ———, *New formulas for the Laplacian of distance functions and applications*, arXiv:1803.09687, to appear in Analysis & PDE, 2018.
- [CM20] Fabio Cavalletti and Andrea Mondino, *Optimal transport in Lorentzian synthetic spaces, synthetic timelike Ricci curvature lower bounds and applications*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2004.08934.
- [EG99] L. C. Evans and W. Gangbo, *Differential equations methods for the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (1999), no. 653, viii+66. MR 1464149
- [EKS15] Matthias Erbar, Kazumasa Kuwada, and Karl-Theodor Sturm, *On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner’s inequality on metric measure spaces*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 3, 993–1071. MR 3385639
- [FM02] Mikhail Feldman and Robert J. McCann, *Monge’s transport problem on a Riemannian manifold*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 4, 1667–1697. MR 1873023
- [Gig15] Nicola Gigli, *On the differential structure of metric measure spaces and applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **236** (2015), no. 1113, vi+91. MR 3381131
- [Gig18] ———, *Nonsmooth differential geometry—an approach tailored for spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **251** (2018), no. 1196, v+161. MR 3756920
- [GL80] Mikhael Gromov and H. Blaine Lawson, Jr., *Spin and scalar curvature in the presence of a fundamental group. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **111** (1980), no. 2, 209–230.
- [GM13] Nicola Gigli and Andrea Mondino, *A PDE approach to nonlinear potential theory in metric measure spaces*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **100** (2013), no. 4, 505–534. MR 3102164
- [GR19] Nicola Gigli and Chiara Rigoni, *A note about the strong maximum principle on RCD spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **62** (2019), no. 2, 259–266. MR 3952516
- [Gro18] Misha Gromov, *Mean Curvature in the Light of Scalar Curvature*, arXiv e-prints (2018), arXiv:1812.09731.
- [Kas83] Atsushi Kasue, *Ricci curvature, geodesics and some geometric properties of Riemannian manifolds with boundary*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **35** (1983), no. 1, 117–131. MR 679079
- [Ket20] Christian Ketterer, *The Heintze-Karcher inequality for metric measure spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), no. 9, 4041–4056. MR 4127847
- [KK20] Vitali Kapovitch and Christian Ketterer, *CD meets CAT*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **766** (2020), 1–44. MR 4145200
- [KKL21] Christian Ketterer, Yu Kitabeppu, and Sajjad Lakzian, *The rigidity of sharp spectral gap in nonnegatively curved spaces*, arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2110.05045.
- [KKS20] Vitali Kapovitch, Christian Ketterer, and Karl-Theodor Sturm, *On gluing Alexandrov spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds*, arXiv e-prints (2020), arXiv:2003.06242.
- [Kla17] Bo’az Klartag, *Needle decompositions in Riemannian geometry*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **249** (2017), no. 1180, v + 77. MR 3709716

- [Li14] Martin Man-chun Li, *A sharp comparison theorem for compact manifolds with mean convex boundary*, J. Geom. Anal. **24** (2014), no. 3, 1490–1496. MR 3223562
- [LV09] John Lott and Cédric Villani, *Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport*, Ann. of Math. (2) **169** (2009), no. 3, 903–991. MR 2480619 (2010i:53068)
- [MS21] Andrea Mondino and Daniele Semola, *Weak Laplacian bounds and minimal boundaries in non-smooth spaces with Ricci curvature lower bounds*, arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2107.12344.
- [MW21] Kenneth Moore and Eric Woolgar, *Bakry-Émery Ricci Curvature Bounds on Manifolds with Boundary*, arXiv e-prints (2021), arXiv:2110.06524.
- [Per16] Raquel Perales, *Volumes and limits of manifolds with Ricci curvature and mean curvature bounds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **48** (2016), 23–37. MR 3534433
- [Sak19] Yohei Sakurai, *Rigidity of manifolds with boundary under a lower Bakry-Émery Ricci curvature bound*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) **71** (2019), no. 1, 69–109. MR 3920791
- [Sav14] Giuseppe Savaré, *Self-improvement of the Bakry-Émery condition and Wasserstein contraction of the heat flow in $\text{RCD}(K, \infty)$ metric measure spaces*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **34** (2014), no. 4, 1641–1661. MR 3121635
- [Sor17] Christina Sormani, *Scalar curvature and intrinsic flat convergence*, Measure theory in non-smooth spaces, Partial Differ. Equ. Meas. Theory, De Gruyter Open, Warsaw, 2017, pp. 288–338. MR 3701743
- [Sor18] ———, *Intrinsic flat Arzela-Ascoli theorems*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **26** (2018), no. 6, 1317–1373. MR 3936492
- [Stu06a] Karl-Theodor Sturm, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I*, Acta Math. **196** (2006), no. 1, 65–131. MR 2237206 (2007k:53051a)
- [Stu06b] ———, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II*, Acta Math. **196** (2006), no. 1, 133–177. MR 2237207 (2007k:53051b)
- [Stu18] ———, *Ricci tensor for diffusion operators and curvature-dimension inequalities under conformal transformations and time changes*, J. Funct. Anal. **275** (2018), no. 4, 793–829. MR 3807777
- [SY79a] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, *On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature*, Manuscripta Math. **28** (1979), no. 1-3, 159–183. MR 535700
- [SY79b] R. Schoen and Shing Tung Yau, *Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of three-dimensional manifolds with nonnegative scalar curvature*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), no. 1, 127–142. MR 541332
- [Vil09] Cédric Villani, *Optimal transport, old and new*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 338, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. MR 2459454 (2010f:49001)
- [Won08] Jeremy Wong, *An extension procedure for manifolds with boundary*, Pacific J. Math. **235** (2008), no. 1, 173–199. MR 2379775

KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, ENGLERSTR 2, 76131 KARLSRUHE, GERMANY

Email address: christian.ketterer@kit.edu