

## SLIDING MOTION ON TANGENTIAL SETS OF FILIPPOV SYSTEMS

TIAGO CARVALHO<sup>1</sup>, DOUGLAS D. NOVAES<sup>2</sup> AND DURVAL J. TONON<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT. We consider piecewise smooth vector fields  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-)$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  where both vector fields are tangent to the switching manifold  $\Sigma$  along a manifold  $M$ . Our main purpose is to study the existence of an invariant vector field defined on  $M$ , that we call *tangential sliding vector field*. We provide necessary and sufficient conditions under  $Z$  to characterize the existence of this vector field. Considering a regularization process, we proved that this tangential sliding vector field is topological equivalent to an invariant dynamics under the slow manifold. The results are applied to study a Filippov model for intermittent treatment of HIV.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Piecewise smooth vector fields (PSVFs, for short) in two zones are vector fields defined on  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  in such a way that there exists a switching codimension-one manifold  $\Sigma$  separating  $U$  in two parts  $\Sigma_+$  and  $\Sigma_-$  and there are smooth vector fields  $Z_+$  and  $Z_-$  defined in each one of these parts.

Nowadays, there is a vast literature about PSVFs and its applications. A non-exhaustive list of books concerning this theme includes [1, 6, 9, 15, 19, 24]. Also, papers like [2, 3, 5, 10, 11, 13, 17, 22, 23] deals with applications of such theory to real world phenomena. Without doubts, the most valuable text concerning PSVFs is the book [9] of Filippov. On it, the definition for solutions of PSVFs are established by means of differential inclusions (see Section 2). Several low codimension scenarios were deeply investigated by Filippov, which gave rise to the notions of sliding, escaping, and crossing solutions. However, Filippov has not further explored the differential inclusions when both vector fields  $Z_+$  and  $Z_-$  are tangent to  $\Sigma$  along a manifold  $M$  of dimension greater or equal to one. More precisely, in that book, were considered some cases where the tangencies are isolated.

In the present paper we want to explore this scenario. In fact, the main purpose is to treat, in a proper way, the definition and properties of a vector field, that we call **tangential sliding vector field** defined on a set  $M \subset \Sigma$  with dimension greater or equal to one, where both vector fields  $Z_+$  and  $Z_-$  are tangent to  $\Sigma$ . In addition, we perform the regularization of this scenario and prove the equivalence between the dynamics of the tangential sliding vector field and the slow dynamics of a singular perturbed problem provided by the regularization. Along the text we present examples in order to illustrate the results.

Our main results are established in Section 3 and 4. Section 3 is devoted to provide necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of tangential sliding vector fields. In Section 4, the Sotomayor-Teixeira regularization process [21] is applied and some relations between the sliding vector field and slow dynamics are explored.

The theoretical aspects of this degenerated scenario are the main motivation for the present study. Nevertheless, one can find applied PSVFs models presenting lines where

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A36, 34A60, 34E15.

*Key words and phrases.* Filippov systems, sliding motion, tangential set, regularization, singular perturbation problem.

both vector fields are tangent to  $\Sigma$ . In fact, in Section 5 we apply the main results of the paper in a model that governs that dynamics of the intermittent treatment of HIV.

## 2. BASIC THEORY

**2.1. Piecewise smooth vector fields.** Let  $h : U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth function having 0 as a regular value. Denote  $\Sigma = h^{-1}(0)$ ,  $\Sigma_+ = \{x \in U \mid h(x) \geq 0\}$ , and  $\Sigma_- = \{x \in U \mid h(x) \leq 0\}$ . Consider  $Z_+$  a smooth vector field defined in  $\Sigma_+$  and  $Z_-$  a smooth vector field defined in  $\Sigma_-$ . A PSVF  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-)$  defined in  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by

$$(1) \quad Z(x) = \begin{cases} Z_+(x), & h(x) \geq 0, \\ Z_-(x), & h(x) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

For points on  $\Sigma_+ \setminus \Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_- \setminus \Sigma$ , the orbits of PSVF (1) follows the rule established by  $Z_+$  and  $Z_-$ . For points on  $\Sigma$  we get that  $Z$  is multi-valued and a non-classical theory must be considered. In fact, the notion of local trajectories for PSVF (1) was stated by Filippov [9] as solutions of the following differential inclusion

$$(2) \quad \dot{p} \in \mathcal{F}_Z(p) = \frac{Z_+(p) + Z_-(p)}{2} + \text{sign}(h(p)) \frac{Z_+(p) - Z_-(p)}{2},$$

where

$$\text{sign}(s) = \begin{cases} -1 & \text{if } s < 0, \\ [-1, 1] & \text{if } s = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } s > 0. \end{cases}$$

Recall that a solution of the differential inclusion (2) is defined as an absolutely continuous function  $\varphi(t)$  such that  $\varphi'(t) \in \mathcal{F}_Z(\varphi(t))$  for almost every  $t$ . This approach is called Filippov's convention. The PSVF (1) is called a Filippov system when it is ruled by the Filippov's convention. For more information on differential inclusions see, for instance, [20].

A class of solutions of the differential inclusion (2) was deeply explored by Filippov in [9] and, due to its wide range of application, continues to receive a lot of attention nowadays. In order to better understand the class of solutions of the differential inclusion (2) explored by Filippov, we must determine the contact of the trajectories of  $Z_+$  and  $Z_-$  with  $\Sigma$ . For this purpose, consider the Lie derivatives  $Z_+h(p) = \langle \nabla h(p), Z_+(p) \rangle$  and  $Z_+^i h(p) = \langle \nabla Z_+^{i-1} h(p), Z_+(p) \rangle$ ,  $i \geq 2$ , where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the usual inner product. The same for  $Z_-$ .

We distinguish the following regions on the discontinuity set  $\Sigma$ :

- Crossing Region:  $\Sigma^c = \{p \in \Sigma \mid Z_+h(p)Z_-h(p) > 0\}$ . Moreover, we denote  $\Sigma^{c+} = \{p \in \Sigma \mid Z_+h(p) > 0, Z_-h(p) > 0\}$  and  $\Sigma^{c-} = \{p \in \Sigma \mid Z_+h(p) < 0, Z_-h(p) < 0\}$ .
- Sliding Region:  $\Sigma^s = \{p \in \Sigma \mid Z_+h(p) < 0, Z_-h(p) > 0\}$ .
- Escaping Region:  $\Sigma^e = \{p \in \Sigma \mid Z_+h(p) > 0, Z_-h(p) < 0\}$ .

A point  $q \in \Sigma$  satisfying  $(Z_+h(q))(Z_-h(q)) = 0$  and  $Z_\pm(q) \neq 0$  is called a *tangential singularity* (or also *tangency point*). We denote by  $\Sigma^{\text{tan}}$  the set of tangential singularities. More precisely, according to [25] (see also [4]), we say that a smooth vector field  $Z_\pm$  presents a generic contact of multiplicity  $k$  with  $\Sigma$  at  $p$  if  $Z_\pm^r h(p) = 0$  for  $r = 1, \dots, k-1$  and  $(Z_\pm)^k h(p) \neq 0$ .

Despite of the richness of the book [9], Filippov does not explore solutions of the differential inclusion (2) that may lives on  $\Sigma^{\text{tan}}$ .

**2.2. Regularization and Singular Perturbation.** The concept of  $\phi$ -regularization of PSVFs was introduced by Sotomayor and Teixeira in [21]. It provides a 1-parameter family of smooth vector fields  $Z_\varepsilon$  such that, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $Z_\varepsilon$  is equal to  $Z_\pm$  in all points of  $\Sigma_\pm$  whose distance to  $\Sigma$  is bigger or equal to  $\varepsilon$ . In what follows, we provide the formal definition.

**Definition 1.** A  $C^\infty$ -function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a transition function if  $\phi(x) = -1$  for  $x \leq -1$ ,  $\phi(x) = 1$  for  $x \geq 1$  and  $\phi'(x) > 0$  if  $x \in (-1, 1)$ . The  $\phi$ -regularization of  $Z = (X, Y)$  is the 1-parameter family  $Z_\varepsilon$  given by

$$(3) \quad Z_\varepsilon(q) = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\phi_\varepsilon(f(q))}{2} \right) Z_+(q) + \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\phi_\varepsilon(f(q))}{2} \right) Z_-(q),$$

with  $\phi_\varepsilon(x) = \phi(x/\varepsilon)$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The differential equation (3) can be studied in terms of *geometric singular perturbation theory* [8, 12].

**Definition 2.** Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  be an open subset and take  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . A singular perturbation problem in  $U$  (SP-Problem) is a differential system which can be written as

$$(4) \quad x' = dx/d\tau = l(x, y, \varepsilon), \quad y' = dy/d\tau = \varepsilon m(x, y, \varepsilon)$$

with  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  and  $l, m$  smooth in all variables, or equivalently, after the time rescaling  $t = \varepsilon\tau$ ,

$$(5) \quad \varepsilon \dot{x} = \varepsilon dx/dt = l(x, y, \varepsilon), \quad \dot{y} = dy/dt = m(x, y, \varepsilon).$$

The differential system (4) is called by *fast system* and the differential system (5) by *slow system* of the SP-problem. Observe that for  $\varepsilon > 0$  the phase portraits of the fast and the slow systems coincide.

By taking  $\varepsilon = 0$  in (4), we get the *layer problem*

$$(6) \quad x' = dx/d\tau = l(x, y, 0), \quad y' = 0.$$

Accordingly, the *slow set*  $\mathcal{S}$  of the SP-problem is defined as the critical points of (6), that is,

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(x, y) : l(x, y, 0) = 0\}.$$

By taking  $\varepsilon = 0$  in (5), we get the *reduced problem*

$$(7) \quad 0 = l(x, y, \varepsilon), \quad \dot{y} = dy/dt = m(x, y, 0),$$

which induces a dynamics on  $\mathcal{S}$ .

### 3. ABOUT THE EXISTENCE OF TANGENTIAL SLIDING VECTOR FIELDS

Let  $M \subset \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$  be described by

$$M = \eta^{-1}(0),$$

where  $\eta : V \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , with  $m < n$  and  $V \subset U$  open, is a smooth function presenting  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  as a regular value. The next result is a well known fact concerning Differential Geometry (see [14], Corollary 5.24 and Lemma 5.29):

**Proposition 1.** If  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $m < n$ , is a smooth function with a regular value  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , so  $M = \eta^{-1}(0)$  is a codimension  $m$  submanifold and

$$T_p M = \ker(d\eta(p)) \quad \forall p \in M,$$

where  $d\eta$  is the differential of  $\eta$ .

In what follows, we shall study necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of a tangential sliding vector field on  $M$ .

**3.1. Tangential sliding vector fields.** For each  $p \in \Sigma$ , consider the cone  $C_p$  with vertex at  $p$  and edges determined by the vectors  $Z_+(p)$  and  $Z_-(p)$ . Notice that  $C_p = \{C(p, \lambda) : \lambda \in [-1, 1]\}$ , where

$$(8) \quad C(p, \lambda) = \frac{(1 - \lambda)}{2} Z_+(p) + \frac{(1 + \lambda)}{2} Z_-(p).$$

For  $p \in M$ , the tangential sliding vector field will be constructed by means of the intersection of the cone  $C_p$  with the tangent space of  $M$  at  $p$ ,  $T_p M$ . Accordingly, the next result provides necessary and sufficient conditions in order that  $C_p \cap T_p M \neq \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Consider  $p \in M$ . Thus,  $C_p \cap T_p M \neq \emptyset$  if, and only if,*

$$(9) \quad \langle d\eta(p)Z_+(p), d\eta(p)Z_-(p) \rangle = -\|d\eta(p)Z_+(p)\| \|d\eta(p)Z_-(p)\|.$$

Moreover,

1. *If  $\|d\eta(p)Z_+(p)\| \|d\eta(p)Z_-(p)\| \neq 0$ , then  $C_p \cap T_p M = \{C(p, \lambda^*(p))\}$  with*

$$(10) \quad \lambda^*(p) := \frac{\|d\eta(p)Z_+(p)\| - \|d\eta(p)Z_-(p)\|}{\|d\eta(p)Z_+(p)\| + \|d\eta(p)Z_-(p)\|} \in (-1, 1).$$

2. *If  $d\eta(p)Z_-(p) = 0$  and  $d\eta(p)Z_+(p) \neq 0$ , then  $C_p \cap T_p M = \{Z_-(p)\}$ .*

3. *If  $d\eta(p)Z_+(p) = 0$  and  $d\eta(p)Z_-(p) \neq 0$ , then  $C_p \cap T_p M = \{Z_+(p)\}$ .*

4. *If  $d\eta(p)Z_-(p) = d\eta(p)Z_+(p) = 0$ , then  $C_p \subset T_p M$ .*

*Proof.* Since, from Proposition 1,  $T_p M = \ker(d\eta(p))$  we get

$$C(p, \lambda) \in T_p M \iff d\eta(p).C(p, \lambda) = 0,$$

replacing the expression (8) we obtain

$$(11) \quad \frac{(1 - \lambda)}{2} d\eta(p)Z_+(p) = -\frac{(1 + \lambda)}{2} d\eta(p)Z_-(p).$$

Taking into account that  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ , the relationship (11) means that the vectors  $d\eta(p)Z_+(p)$  and  $d\eta(p)Z_-(p)$  are proportional with negative proportionality constant. Hence,  $C_p \cap T_p M \neq \emptyset$  if, and only if, (9) holds.

**Case 1.** Assuming that  $\|d\eta(p)Z_+(p)\| \|d\eta(p)Z_-(p)\| \neq 0$ , we get that (11) holds if, and only if,  $\lambda = \lambda^*(p)$ , where  $\lambda^*(p)$  is given by (10). Notice that, in this case,  $\lambda^*(p) \in (-1, 1)$ .

**Cases 2 and 3.** Assuming that  $d\eta(p)Z_-(p) = 0$  and  $d\eta(p)Z_+(p) \neq 0$ , the relationship (11) reduces to  $(1 - \lambda)d\eta(p)Z_+(p) = 0$ , which holds if, and only if,  $\lambda = 1$ . In this case,  $C_p \cap T_p M = \{Z_-(p)\}$ . In an analogous way, for Case 3 we conclude that  $C_p \cap T_p M = \{Z_+(p)\}$ .

**Cases 4.** Assuming  $d\eta(p)Z_-(p) = d\eta(p)Z_+(p) = 0$ , the relationship (11) holds for every  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$ . Hence,  $C_p \subset T_p M$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.** *Assume that*

$$(12) \quad \langle d\eta(p)Z_+(p), d\eta(p)Z_-(p) \rangle = -\|d\eta(p)Z_+(p)\| \|d\eta(p)Z_-(p)\| \neq 0$$

for every  $p \in M$ . The **tangential sliding vector field**,  $Z_M^{\text{tan}} : M \rightarrow TM$ , of  $Z$  on  $M$  is defined by

$$(13) \quad Z_M^{\text{tan}}(p) := C(p, \lambda^*(p)) = \frac{(1 - \lambda^*(p))}{2} Z_+(p) + \frac{(1 + \lambda^*(p))}{2} Z_-(p),$$

where  $\lambda^*(p) \in (-1, 1)$  is given by (9).

Notice that a trajectory  $\varphi : I \rightarrow M$  of the tangential sliding vector field (13) satisfies

$$\varphi'(s) = Z_M^{\text{tan}}(\varphi(s)) = C(\varphi(s), \lambda^*(\varphi(s))) \in \mathcal{F}_Z(\varphi(s)),$$

for every  $s \in I$ . Accordingly, we got proven the following result providing that trajectories of the tangential sliding vector field (13) constitute, indeed, a class of solutions of the PSVF (1):

**Theorem 2.** *Any trajectory of the tangential sliding vector field (13) is a solution of the differential inclusion (2).*

**3.2. Obtaining the function  $\eta$ .** The function  $\eta$ , that describes the manifold  $M$  contained in  $\Sigma^{\text{tan}}$ , and the tangential sliding vector field  $Z_M^{\text{tan}}$  depends on the multiplicity of the tangential contact between  $Z_+$  and  $Z_-$  with  $\Sigma$  at points of  $M$ . This section is devoted to explore several example where the function  $\eta$  can be obtained in terms of  $h$  and the Lie derivatives  $Z_+^i h$ .

In the first example, we investigate the sliding tangential vector field defined on a manifold of multiplicity 2 tangential points.

**Example 1.** *Consider the PSVF  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-) : \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 x_1), \\ Z_-(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 x_1), \end{aligned}$$

and the switching manifold is given by  $h(x_1, \dots, x_4) = x_4$ . Computing the Lie derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+ h(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) &= a_4 x_1, & Z_- h(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) &= b_4 x_1, \\ Z_+^2 h(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) &= a_1 a_4, & Z_-^2 h(x_1, x_2, x_3, 0) &= b_1 b_4. \end{aligned}$$

We assume that  $a_1 a_4 b_1 b_4 \neq 0$ . So, the manifold  $M \subset \Sigma^{\text{tan}} \subset \Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^4$  that corresponds to the tangential points is given by  $M = \eta^{-1}(0)$ , where

$$\eta(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (x_1, x_4).$$

Notice that  $d\eta(p) Z_+(p) = (0, a_1)$  and  $d\eta(p) Z_-(p) = (0, b_1)$ . Thus, condition (12) of Definition 3 is satisfied provided that  $a_1 b_1 < 0$ . Accordingly, the tangential vector field is given by

$$(14) \quad Z_M^{\text{tan}}(x_2, x_3) = \left( 0, \frac{a_2 |b_1| + b_2 |a_1|}{|b_1| + |a_1|}, \frac{a_3 |b_1| + b_3 |a_1|}{|b_1| + |a_1|}, 0 \right).$$

It is possible for a PSVF,  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-)$ , to have tangential points with distinct multiplicities in such way that, for each multiplicity  $i$ , a manifold  $M_i \subset \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$ , constituted by the tangential points of multiplicity  $i$ , can be defined. In this case, a tangential sliding vector field can be defined on each manifold  $M_i$ . Accordingly, in the next example we consider a class of PSVFs  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-)$  defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for which both vector fields  $Z_{\pm}$  present a generic contact of multiplicity  $m, l \leq n$ .

**Example 2.** *Consider the PSVF  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  where*

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+(\mathbf{x}) &= (a_1 x_2, a_2 x_3, \dots, a_m x_{m+1}, \dots, a_{l-2} x_{l-1}, a_{l-1}, \dots, a_{n-1}, x_1) \\ Z_-(\mathbf{x}) &= (b_1 x_2, b_2 x_3, \dots, b_{m-2} x_{m-1}, b_{m-1}, \dots, b_{n-1}, x_1), \end{aligned}$$

with  $a_i b_j \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, l-1, j = 1, \dots, m-1$  and the switching manifold given by  $h(\mathbf{x}) = x_n$ . Here,  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ . Notice that the origin is a contact point of  $Z_+$  and  $Z_-$  with  $\Sigma$  of multiplicity  $l$  and  $m$ , respectively. Assume  $l > m$ . Computing the Lie derivatives, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_+ h(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1, & Z_- h(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1, \\
Z_+^2 h(\mathbf{x}) &= a_1 x_2, & Z_-^2 h(\mathbf{x}) &= b_1 x_2, \\
&\vdots & &\vdots \\
Z_+^{m-1} h(\mathbf{x}) &= a_1 \dots a_{m-2} x_{m-1}, & Z_-^{m-1} h(\mathbf{x}) &= b_1 \dots b_{m-2} x_{m-1}, \\
Z_+^m h(\mathbf{x}) &= a_1 \dots a_{m-1} x_m, & Z_-^m h(\mathbf{x}) &= b_1 \dots b_{m-1} \neq 0, \\
Z_+^{m+1} h(\mathbf{x}) &= a_1 \dots a_m x_{m+1}, \\
&\vdots \\
Z_+^{l-1} h(\mathbf{x}) &= a_1 \dots a_{l-2} x_{l-1}, \\
Z_+^l h(\mathbf{x}) &= a_1 \dots a_{l-1} \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The manifold  $M_2 \subset \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$ , composed by the tangential points of multiplicity 2 is given by  $\eta_2^{-1}(0)$ , where  $\eta_2 : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x} : x_2 = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is given by

$$\eta_2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+ h(\mathbf{x})) = (x_n, x_1).$$

Notice that for  $p_2 \in M_2$ ,  $d\eta_2(p_2) Z_+(p_2) = (0, a_1 x_2)$  and  $d\eta_2(p_2) Z_-(p_2) = (0, b_1 x_2)$ . Thus, condition (12) of Definition 3 is satisfied provided that  $a_1 b_1 < 0$ . Accordingly, the tangential vector field defined on  $M_2$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Z_{M_2}^{\text{tan}}(p_2) &= \frac{|b_1|}{|a_1| + |b_1|} Z_+(p_2) + \frac{|a_1|}{|a_1| + |b_1|} Z_-(p_2) \\
&= \frac{1}{|a_1| + |b_1|} \left( 0, x_3(a_2|b_1| + b_2|a_1|), \dots, x_{m-1}(a_{m-2}|b_1| + b_{m-2}|a_1|), \right. \\
&\quad \left. x_m a_{m-1}|b_1| + b_{m-1}|a_1|, \dots, a_{n-1}|b_1| + b_{n-1}|a_1|, 0 \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, the manifold  $M_3 \subset \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$  composed by the tangential points of multiplicity 3 is given by  $\eta_3^{-1}(0)$ , where  $\eta_3 : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x} : x_3 = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  is given by

$$\eta_3(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+ h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+^2 h(\mathbf{x})) = (x_n, x_1, a_1 x_2).$$

Notice that for  $p_3 \in M_3$ ,  $d\eta_3(p_3) Z_+(p_3) = (0, 0, a_1 a_2 x_3)$  and  $d\eta_3(p_3) Z_-(p_3) = (0, 0, a_1 b_2 x_3)$ . Thus, condition (12) of Definition 3 is satisfied provided that  $a_2 b_2 < 0$ . Accordingly, the

tangential vector field defined on  $M_3$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{M_3}^{\tan}(p_3) &= \frac{|b_2|}{|a_2| + |b_2|} Z_+(p_2) + \frac{|a_2|}{|a_2| + |b_2|} Z_-(p_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{|a_2| + |b_2|} \left( 0, 0, x_4(a_3|b_2| + b_3|a_2|), \dots, x_{m-1}(a_{m-2}|b_2| + b_{m-2}|a_2|), \right. \\ &\quad \left. x_m a_{m-1}|b_2| + b_{m-1}|a_2|, \dots, a_{n-1}|b_2| + b_{n-1}|a_2|, 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

In general, the manifold  $M_m \subset \Sigma^{\tan}$  composed by the tangential points of multiplicity  $m$  is given by  $\eta_m^{-1}(0)$ , where  $\eta_m : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x} : x_m \geq 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+ h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+^2 h(\mathbf{x}), \dots, Z_+^{m-1} h(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= (x_n, x_1, a_1 x_2, \dots, a_1 \dots a_{m-2} x_{m-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that for  $p_m \in M_m$ ,  $d\eta_m(p_m) Z_+(p_m) = (0, \dots, 0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1} x_m)$  and  $d\eta_m(p_m) Z_-(p_m) = (0, \dots, 0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-2} b_{m-1})$ . Thus, condition (12) of Definition 3 is satisfied provided that  $a_{m-1} b_{m-1} > 0$ . Accordingly, the tangential vector field defined on  $M_m$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{M_m}^{\tan}(p_m) &= \frac{|b_{m-1}|}{|a_{m-1} x_m| + |b_{m-1}|} Z_+(p_m) + \frac{|a_{m-1} x_m|}{|a_{m-1} x_m| + |b_{m-1}|} Z_-(p_m) \\ &= \frac{1}{b_{m-1} - a_{m-1} x_m} \left( 0, 0, \dots, 0, -a_{m-1} x_m b_m + b_{m-1} a_m x_{m+1}, \dots, \right. \\ &\quad \left. -a_{m-1} x_m b_{l-2} + b_{m-1} a_{l-2} x_{l-1}, -a_{m-1} x_m b_{l-1} + b_{m-1} a_{l-1}, 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we notice that, although one can define a manifold constituted by contact points of multiplicity higher than  $m$ , a tangential vector field cannot be defined on it. In fact, let  $M_{m+1} \subset \Sigma^{\tan}$  be the submanifold composed by the tangential points of multiplicity  $m+1$ , that is,  $M_{m+1} = \eta_{m+1}^{-1}(0)$ , where  $\eta_{m+1} : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{x} : x_{m+1} = 0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_m(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= (h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+ h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+^2 h(\mathbf{x}), \dots, Z_+^{m-1} h(\mathbf{x}), Z_+^m h(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= (x_n, x_1, a_1 x_2, \dots, a_1 \dots a_{m-2} x_{m-1}, a_1 \dots a_{m-1} x_m). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for  $p_{m+1} \in M_{m+1}$ , one has  $d\eta_{m+1}(p_{m+1}) Z_+(p_{m+1}) = (0, \dots, 0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_m x_{m+1})$  and  $d\eta_{m+1}(p_{m+1}) Z_-(p_{m+1}) = (0, \dots, 0, a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-2} b_{m-1}, a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-1} b_m)$ . Thus, condition (12) of Definition 3 is satisfied provided that

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_{m-2} b_{m-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad a_m b_m x_{m+1} < 0,$$

which is a contradicts the hypothesis  $a_i b_j \neq 0, i = 1, \dots, l-1$  and  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$  (recall that  $l > m$ ).

#### 4. REGULARIZED TANGENTIAL VECTOR FIELD

Let  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-)$  be a PSVF. Let  $\eta : V \subset U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ , with  $m < n$  and  $V \subset U$  open, be a smooth function presenting  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$  as a regular value. Assume that  $M = \eta^{-1}(0) \subset \Sigma^{\tan}$  satisfies condition (12) of Definition 3. Motivated by [16], the next result shows that the

tangential sliding vector field  $Z_M^{\text{tan}}$  is locally conjugated to the reduced dynamics of a SP-Problem arisen from the regularization of  $Z$ , restricted to a manifold contained in the slow set.

**Theorem 3.** *For each  $p \in M$ , there exists a neighbourhood  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $p$  such that, for any  $C^r$  (resp. continuous) transition function  $\phi$ , the  $\phi$ -regularization  $Z_\varepsilon$  of  $Z|_D$  can be written as a SP-Problem (according to Definition 2) such that the reduced system has an invariant manifold  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$ , contained in the slow set  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $C^r$ -diffeomorphic (resp. homeomorphic) to  $M \cap D$ . In addition, the reduced system restricted to  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  is  $C^r$ -conjugated (resp. topologically conjugated) to the tangential sliding vector field  $Z_{M \cap D}^{\text{tan}}$ .*

*Proof.* First, notice that there exists a neighborhood  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of  $p$  and a local coordinate system for which  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = x_n$  and  $\eta(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_{n-m+1}, \dots, x_n)$ . In the given coordinates, we get

$$\Sigma^{\text{tan}} = \{p = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-m}, 0, \dots, 0)\} \subset \Sigma.$$

By denoting  $Z^\pm = (Z_1^\pm, \dots, Z_n^\pm)$ , the tangential sliding vector field (13) writes

$$(15) \quad Z_M^{\text{tan}}(p) = C(p; \lambda^*(p)) = \frac{(1 - \lambda^*(p))}{2} Z^+(p) + \frac{(1 + \lambda^*(p))}{2} Z^-(p).$$

In what follows, we denote  $Z_M^{\text{tan}} = Z^{\text{tan}} = (Z_1^{\text{tan}}, \dots, Z_n^{\text{tan}})$ . Note that the trajectories of the tangential sliding vector field satisfy the differential system

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i &= Z_i^{\text{tan}}(p) = \frac{(1 - \lambda^*(p))}{2} Z_i^+(p) + \frac{(1 + \lambda^*(p))}{2} Z_i^-(p), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-m \\ \dot{x}_j &= Z_j^{\text{tan}}(p) = 0, \quad j = n-m+1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the  $\phi$ -regularization of  $Z$ , defined by (3) as

$$Z_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{(1 - \phi_\varepsilon(x_n))}{2} Z^+(x) + \frac{(1 + \phi_\varepsilon(x_n))}{2} Z^-(x).$$

Notice that the trajectories of  $Z_\varepsilon$  satisfy the following differential system

$$(17) \quad \dot{x}_i = \frac{(1 - \phi_\varepsilon(x_n))}{2} Z_i^+(x) + \frac{(1 + \phi_\varepsilon(x_n))}{2} Z_i^-(x), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

By applying the change of variables  $u = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-m})$ ,  $v = (x_{n-m+1}, \dots, x_{n-1})$  and  $w = x_n/\varepsilon$ , for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small, the differential system (17) writes as the following SP-problem

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{u}_i &= \frac{(1 - \phi(w))}{2} Z_i^+(u, v, \varepsilon w) + \frac{(1 + \phi(w))}{2} Z_i^-(u, v, \varepsilon w), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-m, \\ \dot{v}_j &= \frac{(1 - \phi(w))}{2} Z_j^+(u, v, \varepsilon w) + \frac{(1 + \phi(w))}{2} Z_j^-(u, v, \varepsilon w), \quad j = n-m+1, \dots, n-1, \\ \varepsilon \dot{w} &= \frac{(1 - \phi(w))}{2} Z_n^+(u, v, \varepsilon w) + \frac{(1 + \phi(w))}{2} Z_n^-(u, v, \varepsilon w), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  is the singular perturbation parameter. By taking  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we get the reduced problem

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{u}_i &= \frac{(1 - \phi(w))}{2} Z_i^+(u, v, 0) + \frac{(1 + \phi(w))}{2} Z_i^-(u, v, 0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-m, \\ \dot{v}_j &= \frac{(1 - \phi(w))}{2} Z_j^+(u, v, 0) + \frac{(1 + \phi(w))}{2} Z_j^-(u, v, 0), \quad j = n-m+1, \dots, n-1, \\ 0 &= \frac{(1 - \phi(w))}{2} Z_n^+(u, v, 0) + \frac{(1 + \phi(w))}{2} Z_n^-(u, v, 0) =: K(u, v, w), \end{aligned}$$



which describes the dynamics on the “slow” timescale  $t$  (for standard concepts of singularly perturbed or slow-fast systems see [8, 12]). This dynamics inhabits the *slow set*  $\mathcal{S} := \{(u, v, w) : K(u, v, w) = 0\}$ .

Notice that, in the  $(u, v, w)$ -coordinate system,  $\Sigma^{\text{tan}} = D \cap \{(u, 0, 0) : u \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}\}$ . Thus, by taking  $w^*(u) = \phi^{-1}(\lambda^*(u, 0, 0))$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} K(u, 0, w^*(u)) &= \frac{(1 - \lambda^*(u, 0, 0))}{2} Z_n^+(u, 0, 0) + \frac{(1 + \lambda^*(u, 0, 0))}{2} Z_n^-(u, 0, 0) \\ &= Z_n^{\text{tan}}(u, 0, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}} := \{(u, 0, w^*(u))\}$  is a manifold contained in the slow set  $\mathcal{S}$ . In addition, for  $j = n - m + 1, \dots, n - 1$  we have that

$$\frac{(1 - \phi(w^*(u)))}{2} Z_j^+(u, 0, 0) + \frac{(1 + \phi(w^*(u)))}{2} Z_j^-(u, 0, 0) = Z_j^{\text{tan}}(u, 0, 0) = 0,$$

which implies that the flow of the reduced problem (19) is invariant over the manifold  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  and writes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{u}_i &= \frac{(1 - \lambda^*(u, 0, 0))}{2} Z_i^+(u, 0, 0) + \frac{(1 + \lambda^*(u, 0, 0))}{2} Z_i^-(u, 0, 0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n - m, \\ \dot{v}_j &= 0, \quad j = n - m + 1, \dots, n - 1, \\ w &= w^*(u). \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Finally, by defining  $H : \Sigma^{\text{tan}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  as

$$H(p) = (u, 0, w^*(u)) = (u, 0, \phi^{-1} \circ \lambda^*(p)), \quad p = (u, 0, 0),$$

we can see that the manifold  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  is  $C^r$ -diffeomorphic (resp. homeomorphic) to  $\Sigma^{\text{tan}}$  provided that  $\phi$  is a  $C^r$  (resp. continuous) transition function. This concludes the proof of the first part of the theorem.

Finally, denote the solution of the differential system (16) starting at  $p = (u, 0, 0) \in \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$  by  $t \mapsto x_t(p) := (u(t, p), 0, 0)$ . Analogously, denote the solution of the reduced problem (20) starting at  $H(p)$  by  $t \mapsto X_t(H(p))$ . Since the first  $n - 1$  equations of the differential systems (16) and (20) coincide and do not depend on the last one, we have that

$$X_t(H(p)) = \left( u(t, p), 0, w^*(u(t, p)) \right) = H(x_t(p)).$$

Consequently, the reduced dynamics restricted to  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  is  $C^r$ -conjugated (resp. topologically conjugated) to the tangential sliding vector field  $Z_{M \cap D}^{\text{tan}}$  provided that  $\phi$  is a  $C^r$  (resp. continuous) transition function. This concludes the proof of the second part of the theorem.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** *The invariant manifold  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  of the reduced problem (19) is never normally hyperbolic. Indeed,*

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial w}(u, 0, w^*(u)) = \phi'(w^*(u)) \frac{Z_n^-(u, 0, 0) - Z_n^+(u, 0, 0)}{2} = 0,$$

for every  $u$  such that  $(u, 0, 0) \in D$ . Therefore, the Fenichel's theory cannot be applied to study the persistence of  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  as an invariant manifold of (18) for  $\varepsilon > 0$  small. This problem has to be approached by means of blow-up methods (see, for instance, [7, 18]), which is not in the scope of the present study.

In Figure 1 we illustrate the double tangential set and the manifold  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$ .




FIGURE 1. Regularization. In (a) we get a PSVF with a double tangency set. In (b) its regularization.

In the following we present an example, where we illustrate the equivalence between the tangential vector field and the invariant dynamic on the slow manifold of the  $\phi$ -regularized vector fields.

**Example 3.** Consider the PSVF presented in Example 1 given by  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-) : U \subset \mathbb{R}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$  where

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+ &= (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 x_1) \\ Z_- &= (b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 x_1) \end{aligned}$$

and the switching manifold is given by  $h(x_1, \dots, x_4) = x_4$ . By (17) and considering the notation presented in the proof of Theorem 3 given by  $x_1 = v_1, x_2 = u_1, x_3 = u_2, x_4 = w\varepsilon$ , the regularized vector field is

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}_1 &= (a_1 - b_1)\phi(w) + b_1, \\ \dot{u}_1 &= (a_2 - b_2)\phi(w) + b_2, \\ \dot{u}_2 &= (a_3 - b_3)\phi(w) + b_3, \\ \varepsilon \dot{w} &= v_1((a_4 - b_4)\phi(w) + b_4). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

In the limit  $\varepsilon = 0$ , considering the expression of  $\lambda^*(p)$  provided in Theorem 1, we get

$$\lambda^*(p) = -1 + \frac{2|a_1|}{|a_1| + |b_1|}$$

and there exists a slow manifold  $\mathcal{S}$ , given by the restriction  $v_1 = 0$  and  $w = \phi^{-1}(\lambda^*(p))$ . Considering the restriction of (21) in  $\mathcal{S}$  we obtain the reduced problem that, in this case, coincides with the tangential vector field given in (14).

## 5. APPLICATION IN A MODEL OF INTERMITTENT TREATMENT OF HIV

In the present section we consider a tangential sliding vector that appears in the dynamics of a piecewise smooth system that models the intermittent treatment of the human

immunodeficiency virus. For more details about the formal mathematical analysis for this model, see [2].

Consider the piecewise smooth system  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-) : U \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  where

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} Z_+ &= (-kx_1x_3 + s - \alpha x_1, kx_1x_3 - \delta x_2, \theta x_2 - cx_3), \\ Z_- &= (-(1 - \eta_{RT})kx_1x_3 + s - \alpha x_1, (1 - \eta_{RT})kx_1x_3 - \delta x_2, \\ &\quad (1 - \eta_{PI})\theta x_2 - cx_3). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $x_2(t)$  denotes the infected cell population size at the time  $t$ ,  $x_1(t)$  the uninfected cell population size at the time  $t$ ,  $x_3(t)$  the concentration of infectious virus particles at time  $t$ , the parameters  $\eta_{RT}, \eta_{PI}, k, \alpha, \delta, \theta, c$  are positive real numbers related with the properties of the model and treatment protocol of this disease. For biological reasons (see [2]), it is assumed that

$$(23) \quad \alpha < \delta, \quad ks\theta > c\alpha\delta \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{s}{\delta} + \frac{c(\delta - \alpha)}{k\theta} < C_T < \min\left\{\frac{s}{\delta}, \frac{s}{\delta} + \frac{c(\delta - \alpha)}{(\eta_{RT} - 1)(\eta_{PI} - 1)k\theta}\right\}.$$

The switching manifold is given by  $h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 + x_2 - C_T$ , where  $C_T$  is a positive real number that controls when the antiretroviral therapy will be triggered, more precisely, the therapy is triggered below this value and stopped above this value. The first Lie derivatives are given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_+h(C_T - x_2, x_2, x_3) &= -\alpha C_T + s + x_2(\alpha - \delta), \\ Z_-h(C_T - x_2, x_2, x_3) &= -\alpha C_T + s + x_2(\alpha - \delta). \end{aligned}$$

Solving  $Z_{\pm}h = 0$  we obtain the curve

$$\Sigma^{\text{tan}} = \left\{ \left( \frac{s - C_T\delta}{\alpha - \delta}, \frac{\alpha C_T - s}{\alpha - \delta}, x_3 \right); x_3 \geq 0 \right\}$$

and under  $\Sigma^{\text{tan}}$  there exist two cusp points given by:

$$\begin{aligned} p_c^+ &= \left( \frac{s - C_T\delta}{\alpha - \delta}, \frac{\alpha C_T - s}{\alpha - \delta}, \frac{\delta(\alpha C_T - s)}{k(s - C_T\delta)} \right), \\ p_c^- &= \left( \frac{s - C_T\delta}{\alpha - \delta}, \frac{\alpha C_T - s}{\alpha - \delta}, \frac{\delta(\delta - \alpha)(\alpha C_T - s)}{(\eta_{RT} - 1)k(\alpha - \delta)(s - C_T\delta)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The submanifold  $M_2 \subset \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$  of tangential points of multiplicity 2 is given by  $\eta_2^{-1}(0)$ , where  $\eta : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{p_c^+, p_c^-\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is given by

$$\eta_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (h(x_1, x_2, x_3), Z_+h(C_T - x_2, x_2, x_3)).$$

Denote  $p = \left( \frac{s - C_T\delta}{\alpha - \delta}, \frac{\alpha C_T - s}{\alpha - \delta}, x_3 \right) \in \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$ . Notice that

$$d\eta_2(p) Z_+(p) = \left( 0, kx_3(s - C_T\delta) + \frac{\delta(\delta - \alpha)(\alpha C_T - s)}{\alpha - \delta} \right)$$

and

$$d\eta_2(p) Z_-(p) = \left( 0, \frac{\delta(\delta - \alpha)(\alpha C_T - s)}{\alpha - \delta} - (\eta_{RT} - 1)kx_3(s - C_T\delta) \right).$$

So, under assumptions (23), the condition (12) of Definition 3 is satisfied. In this case,

$$\lambda^*(p) = \frac{-2\alpha C_T\delta + C_T\delta\eta_{RT}kx_3 - 2C_T\delta kx_3 - \eta_{RT}ksx_3 + 2ksx_3 + 2\delta s}{\eta_{RT}kx_3(s - C_T\delta)}.$$

By (13), the tangential vector field is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{M_2}^{\tan}(x_3) = & \left(0, 0, -\frac{\alpha c x_3}{\alpha - \delta} + \frac{c \delta x_3}{\alpha - \delta} - \frac{\alpha C_T \eta_{PI} \theta}{\alpha - \delta} + \frac{\alpha C_T \theta}{\alpha - \delta} \right. \\ & + \frac{\alpha C_T \eta_{PI} \theta}{(\alpha - \delta) \left(1 - \frac{\delta(s - C_T(\alpha + k x_3)) + k s x_3}{\delta(s - \alpha C_T) - (\eta_{RT} - 1) k x_3 (s - C_T \delta)}\right)} \\ & \left. - \frac{\eta_{PI} \theta s}{(\alpha - \delta) \left(1 - \frac{\delta(s - C_T(\alpha + k x_3)) + k s x_3}{\delta(s - \alpha C_T) - (\eta_{RT} - 1) k x_3 (s - C_T \delta)}\right)} + \frac{\eta_{PI} \theta s}{\alpha - \delta} - \frac{\theta s}{\alpha - \delta} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This expression coincides with the expression of tangential vector field given in Equation (24) of [2]. Note that this tangential vector field posses an equilibrium point

$$p_2^* = \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{2c\eta_{RT}k(\alpha - \delta)(C_T \delta - s)} \left(-k\theta(\eta_{PI} - \eta_{RT})(s - \alpha C_T)(s - C_T \delta) + \sqrt{\Delta}\right)\right),$$

where

$$\Delta = k\theta(s - \alpha C_T)^2(s - C_T \delta) (4c\delta\eta_{PI}\eta_{RT}(\alpha - \delta) + k\theta(\eta_{PI} - \eta_{RT})^2(s - C_T \delta)).$$

In what follows we perform a regularization of the piecewise smooth model given in (22). Our intention at this moment is to apply the same steps done in the proof of Theorem 3. In this way, we consider the following change of coordinates:

$$y_1 = -C_T + x_1 + x_2, y_2 = s - C_T \alpha + x_2(\alpha - \delta) \text{ and } y_3 = x_3.$$

So, the PSVF can be rewritten as  $Z = (Z_+, Z_-)$  where

$$\begin{aligned} (24) \quad Z_+ = & \left(y_2 - \alpha y_1, k y_3(-\delta(C_T + y_1) + s + \alpha y_1 - y_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \delta(-\alpha C_T + s - y_2), \frac{\theta(\alpha C_T - s + y_2)}{\alpha - \delta} - c y_3\right), \\ Z_- = & \left(y_2 - \alpha y_1, \delta(-\alpha C_T + s - y_2) - (\eta_{RT} - 1)k y_3(-\delta(C_T + y_1) \right. \\ & \left. + s + \alpha y_1 - y_2), \frac{(\eta_{PI} - 1)\theta(-\alpha C_T + s - y_2)}{\alpha - \delta} - c y_3\right). \end{aligned}$$

In this coordinates, the switching manifold is given by  $h(y_1, y_2, y_3) = y_1$  and the Lie's derivatives are:

$$Z_+ h(y_1, y_2, y_3) = Z_- h(0, y_2, y_3) = y_2 - \alpha y_1.$$

The expression of the cusp points  $\Sigma^{\tan}$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} p_c^+ = & (0, 0, x_3^+) = \left(0, 0, -\frac{\delta(s - \alpha C_T)}{k(s - C_T \delta)}\right), \\ p_c^- = & (0, 0, x_3^-) = \left(0, 0, \frac{\delta(s - \alpha C_T)}{(\eta_{RT} - 1)k(s - C_T \delta)}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the tangential set is given by

$$\Sigma^{\tan} = \left\{ \left(0, 0, y_3\right); x_3 \in (x_3^+, x_3^-) \right\}.$$

In this coordinate system, the map  $\eta: \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{p_c^+, p_c^-\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is given by

$$\eta_2(y_1, y_2, y_3) = (h(y_1, y_2, y_3), Z_+ h(0, y_2, y_3)) = (y_1, y_2).$$

For the sake of simplicity, denote  $p = (0, 0, y_3) \in \Sigma^{\text{tan}}$ . The vectors

$$d\eta_2(p) Z_+(p) = \left(0, ky_3(s - C_T\delta) + \delta(s - \alpha C_T)\right)$$

and

$$d\eta_2(p) Z_-(p) = \left(0, \delta(s - \alpha C_T) - (\eta_{RT} - 1)ky_3(s - C_T\delta)\right).$$

By Theorem 1 the expression of  $\lambda^*(p)$  is given by

$$(25) \quad \lambda^*(p) = -\frac{\delta s - \alpha C_T\delta}{\eta_{RT}ksy_3 - C_T\delta\eta_{RT}ky_3} - \frac{1}{\eta_{RT}} + 1$$

and considering (13), the tangential vector field is given by

$$(26) \quad Z_{M_2}^{\text{tan}}(y_3) = \left(0, 0, -cy_3 - \frac{1}{\eta_{RT}ky_3(\alpha - \delta)(C_T\delta - s)}\left(\theta(s - \alpha C_T) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. (ky_3(\eta_{PI} - \eta_{RT})(s - C_T\delta) + \delta\eta_{PI}(s - \alpha C_T))\right)\right).$$

Finally, we apply the regularization process in the PSVF (24). Considering the notation of the proof of Theorem 3, we have  $y_1 = \varepsilon w$ ,  $y_2 = v$ ,  $y_3 = u$ , and the regularized vector field is given by

$$(27) \quad \dot{u} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \delta} \left( cu(\delta - \alpha) + (\eta_{PI} - 1)\lambda(-\alpha C_T + s - v) + \eta_{PI}\theta\phi(w)(\alpha C_T - s + v) \right), \\ \dot{v} = \eta_{RT}ku\phi(w)(-\delta(C_T + \varepsilon w) + s - v + \alpha\delta w) + \varepsilon(-\alpha C_T + s - v) - (\eta_{RT} - 1)ku \\ \cdot (s - v + \alpha\delta w - \varepsilon(C_T + \delta w)), \\ \varepsilon \dot{w} = v - \alpha\varepsilon w.$$

In the limit  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we get the reduced problem

$$(28) \quad \dot{u} = \frac{1}{\alpha - \delta} \left( cu(\delta - \alpha) + (\eta_{PI} - 1)\lambda(-\alpha C_T + s - v) + \eta_{PI}\theta\phi(w)(\alpha C_T - s + v) \right), \\ \dot{v} = \eta_{RT}ku\phi(w)(-\delta C_T + s - v + \alpha\delta w) - (\eta_{RT} - 1)ku(s - v + \alpha\delta w), \\ 0 = v.$$

Notice that the slow manifold is given by  $\mathcal{S} = \{(u, 0, w) : u, w \geq 0\}$ . In addition,

$$\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}} = \left\{ \left( u, 0, \phi^{-1} \left( -\frac{\delta(s - \alpha C_T)}{\eta_{RT}k(su - C_T\delta u)} - \frac{1}{\eta_{RT}} + 1 \right) \right) : u > 0 \right\}.$$

Considering the restriction of (28) on  $\mathcal{S}^{\text{tan}}$  we obtain that it coincides with the tangential vector field given in (26).

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Tiago Carvalho is partially supported by São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP grants 2019/10450-0 and 2019/10269-3) and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq Grant 305026/2020-8).

Douglas Duarte Novaes is partially supported by FAPESP grants 2018/16430-8, 2019/10269-3, and 2018/13481-0, and by CNPq grants 306649/2018-7 and 438975/2018-9.

Durval José Tonon is supported by PROCAD/CAPES grant number 88881.0 68462/2014-01 and PRONEX/FAPEG grant 2017/10267000-508.

## REFERENCES

- [1] B. Brogliato. *Nonsmooth Mechanics: Models, Dynamics and Control*. Communications and Control Engineering Series. Springer-Verlag London, second edition, 1999.
- [2] T. Carvalho, R. Cristiano, L. F. Gonçalves, and D. J. Tonon. Global analysis of the dynamics of a mathematical model to intermittent HIV treatment. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, Jul 2020.
- [3] T. Carvalho, D. D. Novaes, and L. F. Gonçalves. Sliding shilnikov connection in filippov-type predator–prey model. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 100(3):2973–2987, May 2020.
- [4] M. M. Castro, R. M. Martins, and D. D. Novaes. A note on vishik’s normal form. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 281:442–458, 2021.
- [5] R. Cristiano, T. Carvalho, D. J. Tonon, and D. J. Pagano. Hopf and homoclinic bifurcations on the sliding vector field of switching systems in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : A case study in power electronics. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 347:12–20, 2017.
- [6] M. di Bernardo, C. J. Budd, A. R. Champneys, and P. Kowalczyk. *Piecewise-smooth Dynamical Systems: Theory and Applications*. Number 163 in Applied Mathematical Sciences. Springer-Verlag London, first edition, 2008.
- [7] F. Dumortier. Singularities of vector fields on the plane. *J. Differential Equations*, 23(1):53–106, 1977.
- [8] N. Fenichel. Geometric singular perturbation theory for ordinary differential equations. *J. Differential Equations*, 31(1):53–98, 1979.
- [9] A. F. Filippov. *Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides*, volume 18 of *Mathematics and its Applications*. Springer Netherlands, first edition, 1988.
- [10] L. F. Gonçalves, D. S. Rodrigues, P. F. A. Mancera, and T. Carvalho. Sliding mode control in a mathematical model to chemoimmunotherapy: the occurrence of typical singularities. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 387:124782, 2020. doi:10.1016/j.amc.2019.124782.
- [11] A. Jacquemard and D. J. Tonon. Coupled systems of non-smooth differential equations. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 136(3):239–255, 2012.
- [12] C. K. R. T. Jones. Geometric singular perturbation theory. In *Dynamical systems (Montecatini Terme, 1994)*, volume 1609 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 44–118. Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [13] T. Kousaka, T. Kido, T. Ueta, H. Kawakami, and M. Abe. Analysis of border-collision bifurcation in a simple circuit. In *2000 IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems. Emerging Technologies for the 21st Century. Proceedings (IEEE Cat No.00CH36353)*, volume 2, pages 481–484, 2000.
- [14] J. M. Lee. *Introduction to Smooth Manifolds*. Version 3.0. University of Washington, Department of Mathematics, 2000.
- [15] R. Leine and H. Nijmeijer. *Dynamics and Bifurcations of Non-Smooth Mechanical Systems*, volume 18 of *Lecture Notes in Applied and Computational Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, first edition, 2004.
- [16] D. D. Novaes and M. R. Jeffrey. Regularization of hidden dynamics in piecewise smooth flows. *J. Differential Equations*, 259(9):4615–4633, 2015.
- [17] D. S. Rodrigues, P. F. A. Mancera, T. Carvalho, and L. F. Gonçalves. A mathematical model for chemoimmunotherapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 349:118–133, 2019.
- [18] A. Seidenberg. Reduction of singularities of the differential equation  $A dy = B dx$ . *Amer. J. Math.*, 90:248–269, 1968.
- [19] D. J. W. Simpson. *Bifurcations in Piecewise-Smooth Continuous Systems*, volume 70 of *World Scientific Series on Nonlinear Science, Series A*. World Scientific Publishing, 2010.
- [20] G. V. Smirnov. *Introduction to the theory of differential inclusions*, volume 41 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [21] J. Sotomayor and M. A. Teixeira. Regularization of discontinuous vector fields. In *International Conference on Differential Equations (Lisboa, 1995)*, pages 207–223. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1998.
- [22] K. Tirok and U. Gaedke. Regulation of planktonic ciliate dynamics and functional composition during spring in lake constance. *Aquatic Microbial Ecology*, 49(1):87–100, 2007.
- [23] K. Tirok and U. Gaedke. Internally driven alternation of functional traits in a multispecies predator–prey system. *Ecology*, 91(6):1748–1762, 2010.
- [24] V. I. Utkin, J. Guldner, and J. Shi. *Sliding Mode Control in Electro-Mechanical Systems*. Automation and Control Engineering. CRC Press, 2009.
- [25] S. M. Višik. Vector fields in the neighborhood of the boundary of a manifold. *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh.*, 27(1):21–28, 1972.

<sup>1</sup> DEPARTAMENTO DE COMPUTAÇÃO E MATEMÁTICA, FACULDADE DE FILOSOFIA, CIÊNCIAS E LETRAS DE RIBEIRÃO PRETO, USP, AV. BANDEIRANTES, 3900, CEP 14040-901, RIBEIRÃO PRETO, SP, BRAZIL.

*Email address:* [tiagocarvalho@usp.br](mailto:tiagocarvalho@usp.br)

<sup>2</sup> DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA - INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA, ESTATÍSTICA E COMPUTAÇÃO CIENTÍFICA (IMECC) - UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS (UNICAMP), RUA SÉRGIO BUARQUE DE HOLANDA, 651, CIDADE UNIVERSITÁRIA ZEFERINO VAZ, 13083-859, CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

*Email address:* [ddnovaes@unicamp.br](mailto:ddnovaes@unicamp.br)

<sup>3</sup> INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE GOIÁS,, CAMPUS SAMAMBAIA, CEP 74690-900, GOIÂNIA, GO, BRAZIL

*Email address:* [djtonon@ufg.br](mailto:djtonon@ufg.br)