

Non-Intrusive Binaural Speech Intelligibility Prediction From Discrete Latent Representations

Alex F. McKinney and Benjamin Cauchi

Abstract—Non-intrusive speech intelligibility (SI) prediction from binaural signals is useful in many applications. However, most existing signal-based measures are designed to be applied to single-channel signals. Measures specifically designed to take into account the binaural properties of the signal are often intrusive – characterised by requiring access to a clean speech signal – and typically rely on combining both channels into a single-channel signal before making predictions. This paper proposes a non-intrusive SI measure that computes features from a binaural input signal using a combination of vector quantization (VQ) and contrastive predictive coding (CPC) methods. VQ-CPC feature extraction does not rely on any model of the auditory system and is instead trained to maximise the mutual information between the input signal and output features. The computed VQ-CPC features are input to a predicting function parameterized by a neural network. Two predicting functions are considered in this paper. Both feature extractor and predicting functions are trained on simulated binaural signals with isotropic noise. They are tested on simulated signals with isotropic and real noise. For all signals, the ground truth scores are the (intrusive) deterministic binaural STOI. Results are presented in terms of correlations and MSE and demonstrate that VQ-CPC features are able to capture information relevant to modelling SI and outperform all the considered benchmarks – even when evaluating on data comprising of different noise field types.

Index Terms—Non-intrusive speech intelligibility prediction; self-supervised representation learning; contrastive predictive coding.

I. INTRODUCTION

Speech intelligibility (SI) prediction aims to predict the ability of an average listener to comprehend speech within a signal – potentially corrupted by noise, reverberation or processing artefacts. SI is defined as the number of words or phonemes that can be correctly identified by assessors. It is often reported using the speech reception threshold (SRT) defined to be the level of degradation for which only 50% of the speech items are correctly identified [1]. Listening tests are typically considered the gold standard to measure SI. However, these tests are costly, time-consuming and cannot be applied in real-time, making the use of signal-based measures necessary. Signal-based measures of speech intelligibility can

This work has been submitted to the IEEE for possible publication. Copyright may be transferred without notice, after which this version may no longer be accessible.

This work was conducted as part of the RISE exchange program funded by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD) and supported by the project Augmented Auditory Intelligence (A2I) funded by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) under grant number 16SV8594.

Alex F. McKinney is with the Department of Computer Science of Durham University, United Kingdom (e-mail: alexander.f.mckinney@durham.ac.uk).

Benjamin Cauchi is with the OFFIS e.V. Institute for Information Technology, Oldenburg, Germany (e-mail: benjamin.cauchi@offis.de).

be categorized as either intrusive or non-intrusive. The computation of intrusive measures requires a clean reference signal in addition to the test signal, whereas non-intrusive measures can be computed from the test signal only. Consequently, only non-intrusive measures can be applied in real-time settings. Additionally, SI largely depends on the presence of binaural cues [2] and so SI measures should be developed to incorporate them.

Most signal-based measures of SI were originally designed for telephony applications and applied only to single-channel signals. Among these measures the articulation index [3], the speech transmission index (STI) [4], the speech intelligibility index (SII) [5], the short-time objective intelligibility (STOI) [6] and mutual-information-based techniques, such as the algorithm proposed in [7], are intrusive. Non-intrusive measures include a non-intrusive extension of the STOI [8], [9], that relies on estimating the amplitude envelope of the clean speech from the input signal, and measures relying on machine learning techniques. Some measures use a trained speech recognizer as proposed in [10], [11] or a neural network trained to predict SI from a sequence of spectral features [12].

The aforementioned measures use a single-channel signal as input. Certain measures instead make predictions from binaural signals, aiming to take into account the relevance of binaural cues in modelling the intelligibility of speech signals. Notably, several binaural measures rely on simple equalization-cancellation (EC) models [13], often being combined with the SII [14], [15]. However, these measures do not take into account the impact that non-linear processing has on SI. The binaural STOI (BSTOI) uses an EC model to combine both channels of the binaural signal into a single-channel signal used as input to the STOI measure. BSTOI was later refined into the deterministic BSTOI (DBSTOI) that produces a deterministic output [16]. Both BSTOI and DBSTOI are intrusive. A non-intrusive measure has been proposed in [17], where the blind binaural preprocessing stage from [18] is used to process the binaural signal into a single-channel signal that is then input to an automatic speech recognizer (ASR). The SI is finally predicted by applying a trained mapping between the mean temporal distance (MTD) – a representation of the ASR error [19] – and the SRT.

The measure proposed in this paper does not rely on any model of the auditory system. Instead, it relies on the assumption that the information relevant for speech intelligibility prediction, such as binaural cues, is shared among different parts of the input sequence while information that is only present locally can be discarded. Features are computed as a latent representation of the input binaural signal using a

combination of contrastive predictive coding (CPC) [20] and vector quantization (VQ) [21] methods. Previous uses of CPC in audio applications were limited to single-channel audio input [20], [22], [23] and images [20]. The resulting VQ-CPC features are input to a predicting function. Two predicting functions are considered in this paper to highlight the capacity of the proposed features to capture useful and accessible information and to show that our measure is competitive.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. The computation of the proposed features and the considered predicting functions are described in Section II. The experiments¹, including the used datasets of simulated data and considered benchmark, are described in Section III. The results are presented in Section IV and Section V concludes the paper.

II. PROPOSED METHOD

The proposed method aims at estimating the speech intelligibility from an M channel² signal $x_m(n)$, of length N and sampling frequency f_s , where m and n denote the channel index and sample index respectively. Such a signal can be modelled as:

$$x_m(n) = s(n) * h_m(n) + v_m(n), \quad (1)$$

where $s(n)$ denotes the anechoic speech signal, $h_m(n)$ denotes the room impulse response (RIR) between the speech source and the microphone and $v_m(n)$ denotes an additive noise signal. Aiming at non-intrusive prediction, the proposed method estimates the speech intelligibility from $x_m(n)$ only without knowledge of $s(n)$. This prediction relies on first computing a sequence of features to be input to the predicting function.

A. Feature computation

The microphone signal is divided into $T = \lceil N/H \rceil$ overlapping frames of length W , where H denotes the hop length. The samples in each t^{th} frame are used to construct a vector of length $M \cdot W$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_t = [& x_0(tH), x_0(tH + 1), \dots, x_0(tH + W - 1), \\ & x_1(tH), x_1(tH + 1), \dots, x_1(tH + W - 1), \\ & \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$x_{M-1}(tH), x_{M-1}(tH + 1), \dots, x_{M-1}(tH + W - 1)]^T,$$

resulting in the time-ordered sequence of T vectors:

$$\mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{T-1}]^T. \quad (3)$$

The feature computation results in the sequence:

$$\mathbf{c} = [\mathbf{c}_0, \mathbf{c}_1, \dots, \mathbf{c}_{T-1}]^T, \quad (4)$$

where each vector of length K is defined as:

$$\mathbf{c}_t = [c_t(0), c_t(1), \dots, c_t(K - 1)]^T, \quad (5)$$

where $c_t(k)$ denotes the k^{th} feature coefficient extracted from the t^{th} frame. The feature extraction is typically designed such

that $K < M \cdot W$. Our method assumes that the information relevant for speech intelligibility prediction, such as binaural cues and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), is shared among different parts of the input sequence while information that is only present locally can be discarded. Therefore, the feature extractor learns to extract sequences \mathbf{c} that maximise the mutual information between the input and output sequences:

$$I(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{c}) = \sum_{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}} p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) \log \left(\frac{p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{c})}{p(\mathbf{x})} \right). \quad (6)$$

To do so, VQ and CPC methods are used to compute the sequence \mathbf{c} as a latent representation of the input sequence \mathbf{x} . The computation of these VQ-CPC features consists of three main components: a non-linear encoder, a VQ codebook, and an autoregressive aggregator.

First, the non-linear encoder $f(\cdot)$ maps \mathbf{x} to an intermediate latent representation $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \tilde{\mathbf{z}} = [\tilde{z}_0, \tilde{z}_1, \dots, \tilde{z}_{T-1}]^T, \quad (7)$$

where \tilde{z}_ℓ denotes the ℓ^{th} vector, each of length E . VQ is applied to map each vector in $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ to an embedding vector from a finite codebook \mathcal{C} yielding the sequence:

$$\mathbf{z} = [z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{T-1}]^T, \quad (8)$$

where each ℓ^{th} vector z_ℓ is computed as:

$$z_\ell = q(\tilde{z}_\ell) = \arg \min_{e_i \in \mathcal{C}} \|\tilde{z}_\ell - e_i\|_2 \quad (9)$$

Where e_i denotes the i^{th} in the \mathcal{C} embedding vectors of the codebook. Finally, an autoregressive aggregator $g(\cdot)$ is applied to compute each vector from the sequence in (4) as:

$$\mathbf{c}_t = g(\mathbf{z}_{\leq t}). \quad (10)$$

B. VQ-CPC training

Training of $f(\cdot)$, $q(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ is conducted end-to-end to maximize the mutual information defined in (6). The proposed approach follows the method in [20] with additional loss terms to support the added VQ codebook [24]. To encourage shared information to be encoded, each vector \mathbf{c}_t is used to predict \mathbf{z}_{t+k} for up to k steps in the future. However, rather than modelling the distribution $p(\mathbf{z}_{t+k}|\mathbf{c}_t)$, the proposed method models the density ratio defined as:

$$\sigma_k(\mathbf{x}_{t+k}, \mathbf{c}_t) \propto \frac{p(\mathbf{x}_{t+k}|\mathbf{c}_t)}{p(\mathbf{x}_{t+k})}. \quad (11)$$

The density ratio $\sigma_k(\mathbf{x}_{t+k}, \mathbf{c}_t)$ may be unnormalized and, in this paper, is computed as:

$$\sigma_k(\mathbf{x}_{t+k}, \mathbf{c}_t) = \exp(\mathbf{z}_{t+k}^\top \mathbf{W}_k \mathbf{c}_t), \quad (12)$$

where \mathbf{W}_k denotes a learned linear projection. Using this definition, the encoder and aggregator are trained by minimising the InfoNCE loss \mathcal{L} , based on noise-contrastive estimation and importance sampling:

$$\mathcal{L} = \beta \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\text{vq}} + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{L}_i, \quad (13)$$

¹Tools to generate the datasets and reproduce the experiments are made available online: <https://github.com/vvvm23/stoi-vqpcp>

²Our method can be used on an arbitrary number of channels, but we simply use $M = 2$ throughout.

where \mathcal{L}_{vq} denotes the weighted VQ commitment loss defined as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{vq}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\ell=0}^{T-1} \|\tilde{z}_\ell - \text{sg}[e_i]\|_2^2 \quad (14)$$

where e_i is the corresponding embedding vector of \tilde{z}_ℓ and $\text{sg}[\cdot]$ is the stop-gradient operator [24] and:

$$\mathcal{L}_k = -\frac{\mathbb{E}}{X} \left[\log \frac{\sigma_k(x^+, c_t)}{\sum_{x_j \in X} \sigma_k(x_j, c_t)} \right], \quad (15)$$

where $x_+ \sim p(x_{t+k}|c_t)$ and $x_- \sim p(x_{t-k}|c_t)$ [20]. The codebook embedding vectors are updated using exponential moving averages (EMA) as described in [24].

C. Intelligibility score predictor

The computation of the VQ-CPC features does not rely on any assumptions about the downstream task for which these features are used. In this paper, the sequence c is input to a predicting function for the purpose of SI prediction. Two different predicting functions are considered.

The first considered predicting function uses each vector c_t as input to a single shared linear layer in order to compute a per-frame score. The score assigned to the complete sequence is the mean of the scores computed from each vector. This simple predicting function is used to demonstrate how easily accessible information about SI is when using the VQ-CPC features. This predicting function is referred to as ‘‘Small’’ in the remainder of the paper.

The second considered predicting function first builds a global representation using sequence pooling (SeqPool) methods originally used for the classification of images [25]. A global representation is computed by applying SeqPool to each vector in the sequence c . In this case SeqPool inputs each vector c_t to a linear layer that outputs a scalar before applying softmax to the computed scalars, forming weightings for each frame. The weighted sum of each vector is then computed, forming the global representation. This global representation is then input to a small multi-layer perceptron (MLP) to compute the estimated speech intelligibility score assigned to the sequence c . This predicting function is referred to as ‘‘Pool’’ in the remainder of the paper.

Both Small and Pool are trained to minimise the mean-squared error (MSE) between the estimated and true speech intelligibility scores (see Section III).

III. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

A. Generated datasets

For training of both the VQ-CPC model and the predicting functions, training and development datasets of binaural signals are generated. All signals have a sampling frequency $f_s = 16$ kHz and are generated as per (1). The clean anechoic speech is extracted from either the 360 hour training set or the 5 hour development set from the LibriSpeech corpus [26]. Reverberant speech is generated by convolving each utterance of clean speech with an RIR randomly selected from the Aachen Impulse Response Database [27]. For each reverberant

utterance, two different noise segments of the same length are selected from the noise signals in the MUSAN database [28]. These two signals are used to generate the two-channel noise signal of a spherically isotropic noise field using the method from [29]. Finally, this generated noise signal is added to the reverberant signal after being scaled to a chosen SNR, randomly selected between -10 dB and 30 dB, measured in the first channel according to [30]. In the training and development sets, this process is repeated three times for each clean speech utterance.

Additionally, two testing datasets are generated, hereafter denoted ‘‘Test_{iso}’’ and ‘‘Test_{real}’’. The signals in Test_{iso} are generated using the same method as for the training and development sets but using clean speech from the test split of the LibriSpeech corpus. The signals in Test_{real} are generated by convolving the speech signals used as target utterances in the first Clarity Challenge [31] with RIRs randomly selected from the binaural RIRs available in [32] recorded in either a cafeteria or a courtyard. In this case, two-channel noise signals recorded at the same location are used and added to the reverberant signals with an SNR randomly selected and measured.

A total of 1090.8, 16.2, 5.4 and 10.4 hours of data are generated in the training, development, Test_{iso} and Test_{real} dataset, respectively. For all signals, the ground truth is defined as the DBSTOI computed using the clean reverberant signal and the noisy reverberant signal as input [16].

B. Parameters of proposed method

For training, we use x of length $T = 40960$ as input to the encoder $f(\cdot)$. The encoder has a frame length and hop size of 25 ms and 10 ms respectively. It is implemented as a series of five convolutional blocks, each consisting of a one-dimensional convolutional layer with 256 filters, a dropout layer [33], batch normalisation [34] and the rectified linear unit (ReLU) activation function. The strides for each block are [5, 4, 2, 2, 2] and the kernel sizes are [10, 8, 4, 4, 4]. VQ is applied using a codebook of 512 vectors of dimensionality 128, with the commitment loss defined as in (14). The aggregator $g(\cdot)$ is implemented as a two-layer gated recurrent neural network (GRU) [35] with 128 hidden channels. Hence, in our experiments, $K = E$. The InfoNCE loss is computed using 10 negative samples and $k = 12$ steps. Augmentation is applied as random channel and polarity swapping, additive noise and random audio gain. All resulting sequences c (with $K = 128$) in the training set are used to train the considered predicting functions. VQ-CPC features are extracted from Test_{iso} and Test_{real} using the complete VQ-CPC model trained on the training set.

The Small predicting function consists of a single layer mapping each feature vector of length K to a single element followed by the sigmoid activation function. The Pool predictor is implemented as a single shared linear layer to compute the weighting and a MLP with one hidden layer of size $2K$. The hidden layer uses ReLU as its non-linearity and the output layer consists of a single element followed by the sigmoid activation function.

Training and testing of the VQ-CPC model and predicting functions were implemented in PyTorch [36].

C. Benchmark and figures of merit

The performance of the proposed VQ-CPC is measured in terms of Pearson’s correlation coefficient (LCC), Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient (SRCC) and MSE between the ground truth and the output of the predicting functions. The experiments aim to quantify the ability of VQ-CPC features to represent information useful for speech intelligibility prediction. To this end, their performance is compared with the use of mel-spectrogram features (with deltas and double-deltas) that are either extracted from the first channel, concatenated from both channels or extracted from the single-channel signal computed using a blind binaural preprocessing stage [18]. These benchmark features are denoted “Single”, “Concat” and “BSIM20”, respectively. In all cases, the mel-spectrogram features are computed using the same frame length and hop size as the VQ-CPC features, a FFT of size 512, and 40 mel coefficients. This results in 120 feature coefficients per frame for Single and BSIM20, and 240 feature coefficients per frame for Concat. For all considered features, the predicting functions Small and Pool are trained using the same dataset as for VQ-CPC. The performance of all considered features is additionally compared with the average non-intrusive single-channel STOI [8] over the two channels.

IV. RESULTS

The results obtained using our proposed method and benchmarks on the generated datasets are depicted in Fig. 1.

On Test_{iso} , the VQ-CPC method outperforms all benchmarks across all metrics. It is the only method to substantially exceed the correlations of the average, single-channel STOI benchmark. For all considered features, better performance is obtained using Pool. Using Small and VQ-CPC features yields LCC and SRCC of 0.84 and 0.85 respectively, and a MSE of -20.7 dB. Using Pool and VQ-CPC features yields LCC and SRCC of 0.94 and 0.92, respectively, and an MSE of -22.7 dB. In contrast with the other considered features, the difference in performance between the use of Small and Pool is rather modest. Success applying Small suggests that the VQ-CPC features contain easily accessible information about the intelligibility of speech, exploitable even by a simple predicting function.

On $\text{Test}_{\text{real}}$, the performance of all combinations of features and predicting functions decreases. This was expected as $\text{Test}_{\text{real}}$ was generated using completely different speech and noise sources. Crucially, using real noise signals means the input is no longer limited to an isotropic noise field. The largest performance decrease is from STOI, indicating that speech intelligibility measures must be designed specifically for the binaural case to be generalisable to various acoustic conditions. The fact that input features must be able to capture binaural information is also highlighted by the sharp degradation of the performance of Single and Concat, yielding a LCC of 0.27 and 0.32, respectively. In contrast, BSIM20 yields similar performance on Test_{iso} and on $\text{Test}_{\text{real}}$, with even




Fig. 1. Performance of the proposed VQ-CPC and considered benchmark features on the Test_{iso} (left) and $\text{Test}_{\text{real}}$ (right) datasets when using either the Small or Pool predicting function. The horizontal line indicates the performance of STOI, independent of the predicting function.

a slight improvement in LCC on $\text{Test}_{\text{real}}$, suggesting that the blind binaural preprocessing stage is beneficial, as suggested in [17]. However, the proposed VQ-CPC features, input to either Small or Pool, outperform all other considered methods. Using VQ-CPC features as input to the Pool predicting function yields LCC and SRCC of 0.81 and 0.92 respectively, and an MSE of -20.2 dB. This shows that VQ-CPC features are able to represent useful information despite VQ-CPC being trained on a dataset of signals with different spatial properties. This makes them promising features for speech intelligibility prediction from binaural signals.

V. CONCLUSION

This paper proposes a non-intrusive binaural measure of SI. This measure inputs VQ-CPC features to a predicting function implemented as a trained neural network. The feature extractor, as well as two considered predicting functions, are trained and tested using simulated data labeled using DBSTOI. The performance of the proposed measure is assessed in terms of both correlation and MSE. Results show that the VQ-CPC features are effective in encoding readily accessible information relevant for SI prediction from binaural signals and the features outperform all considered benchmarks. This is despite VQ-CPC features not relying on any assumptions about the downstream task of SI prediction.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. S. J. Doire, M. Brookes, and P. A. Naylor, "Robust and efficient Bayesian adaptive psychometric function estimation," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 141, no. 4, pp. 2501–2512, 2017.
- [2] A. W. Bronkhorst, "The cocktail party phenomenon: A review of research on speech intelligibility in multiple-talker conditions," *Acta Acustica united with Acustica*, vol. 86, no. 1, pp. 117–128, Jan. 200.
- [3] N. French and J. C. Steinberg, "Factors governing the intelligibility of speech sounds," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 90–119, Nov. 1947.
- [4] H. J. M. Steeneken and T. Houtgast, "A physical method for measuring speech-transmission quality," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 67, no. 1, pp. 318–326, Jan. 1980.
- [5] ANSI, "Methods for the calculation of the speech intelligibility index," ANSI Standard S3.5–1997 (R2007), American National Standards Institute, 1997.
- [6] C. H. Taal, R. C. Hendriks, R. Heusdens, and J. Jensen, "An algorithm for intelligibility prediction of time-frequency weighted noisy speech," *IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. Process.*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 2125–2136, Sept. 2011.
- [7] J. Taghia and R. Martin, "Objective intelligibility measures based on mutual information for speech subjected to speech enhancement processing," *IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. Process.*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 6–16, Jan. 2014.
- [8] A. H. Andersen, J. M. de Haan, Z.-H. Tan, and J. Jensen, "A non-intrusive short-time objective intelligibility measure," in *Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, New Orleans, USA, Mar. 2017, pp. 5085–5089.
- [9] C. Sørensen, M. S. Kavalekalam, A. Xenaki, J. B. Boldt, and M. G. Christensen, "Non-intrusive codebook-based intelligibility prediction," vol. 101, pp. 85–93, 2018.
- [10] C. Spille, S. D. Ewert, B. Kollmeier, and B. T. Meyer, "Predicting speech intelligibility with deep neural networks," vol. 48, pp. 51–66, 2018.
- [11] R. Schädler, M. D. Hülsmeier, A. Warzybok, and B. Kollmeier, "Individual aided speech-recognition performance and predictions of benefit for listeners with impaired hearing employing FADE," *Trends in Hearing*, vol. 24, 2020.
- [12] R. E. Zezario, S.-W. Fu, C.-S. Fuh, Y. Tsao, and H.-M. Wang, "STOI-Net: A deep learning based non-intrusive speech intelligibility assessment model," 2020, arXiv:2011.04292.
- [13] N. I. Durlach, "Equalization and cancellation theory of binaural masking-level differences," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1206–1218, 1963.
- [14] R. Beutelmann, T. Brand, and B. Kollmeier, "Revision, extension, and evaluation of a binaural speech intelligibility model," vol. 127, no. 4, pp. 2479–2497, 2010.
- [15] M. Lavandier and J. F. Culling, "Prediction of binaural speech intelligibility against noise in rooms," vol. 127, no. 1, pp. 387–399, 2010.
- [16] A. H. Andersen, J. M. de Haan, Z.-H. Tan, and J. Jensen, "Predicting the intelligibility of noisy and non-linearly processed binaural speech," *IEEE Trans. Audio, Speech, Lang. Process.*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 1908–1920, July 2018.
- [17] J. Roßbach, S. Röttges, C. F. Hauth, T. Brand, and B. T. Meyer, "Non-intrusive binaural prediction of speech intelligibility based on phoneme classification," in *Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, June 2021, pp. 396–400.
- [18] C. F. Hauth, S. C. Berning, B. Kollmeier, and T. Brand, "Modeling binaural unmasking of speech using a blind binaural processing stage," *Trends in Hearing*, vol. 24, Jan. 2020.
- [19] H. Hermansky, E. Variani, and V. Peddinti, "Mean temporal distance: Predicting ASR error from temporal properties of speech signal," in *Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, Vancouver, Canada, May 2013, pp. 7423–7426.
- [20] A. van den Oord, Y. Li, and O. Vinyals, "Representation learning with contrastive predictive coding," 2019, arXiv:1807.03748.
- [21] B. van Niekerk, L. Nortje, and H. Kamper, "Vector-quantized neural networks for acoustic unit discovery in the ZeroSpeech 2020 challenge," 2020, arXiv:2005.09409.
- [22] S. Schneider, A. Baevski, R. Collobert, and M. Auli, "wav2vec: Unsupervised pre-training for speech recognition," 2019, arXiv:1904.05862.
- [23] A. Baevski, S. Schneider, and M. Auli, "vq-wav2vec: Self-supervised learning of discrete speech representations," 2020, arXiv:1910.05453.
- [24] V. van den Oord, O. Vinyals, and K. Kavukcuoglu, "Neural discrete representation learning," 2018, arXiv:1711.00937.
- [25] A. Hassani, S. Walton, N. Shah, A. Abuduweili, J. Li, and H. Shi, "Escaping the big data paradigm with compact transformers," 2021, arXiv:2104.05704.
- [26] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, D. Povey, and S. Khudanpur, "LibriSpeech: An ASR corpus based on public domain audio books," in *Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, South Brisbane, Queensland, Australia, Apr. 2015, pp. 5206–5210.
- [27] M. Jeub, M. Schaefer, and P. Vary, "A binaural room impulse response database for the evaluation of dereverberation algorithms," in *Proc. IEEE Intl. Conf. Digital Signal Processing (DSP)*, Santorini, Greece, July 2009.
- [28] D. Snyder, G. Chen, and D. Povey, "MUSAN: a music, speech, and noise corpus," 2015, arXiv:1510.08484v1.
- [29] E. A. P. Habets, I. Cohen, and S. Gannot, "Generating nonstationary multisensor signals under a spatial coherence constraint," *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.*, vol. 124, no. 5, pp. 2911–2917, Nov. 2008.
- [30] ITU-T, "Objective measurement of active speech level," Mar. 1993.
- [31] J. Barker, S. Graetzer, and T. Cox, "Software to support the 1st clarity enhancement challenge [software and data collection]," 2021, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4593856>.
- [32] H. Kayser, S. D. Ewert, J. Anemüller, T. Rohdenburg, V. Hohmann, and B. Kollmeier, "Database of multichannel in-ear and behind-the-ear head-related and binaural room impulse responses," *EURASIP Journal on Advances in Signal Processing*, vol. 2009, pp. 1–10, July 2009.
- [33] N. Srivastava, G. Hinton, A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever, and R. Salakhutdinov, "Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting," *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 15, pp. 1929–1958, 2014.
- [34] S. Ioffe and C. Szegedy, "Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift," 2015, arXiv:1502.03167.
- [35] J. Chung, C. Gulcehre, K. Cho, and Y. Bengio, "Empirical evaluation of gated recurrent neural networks on sequence modeling," 2014, arXiv:1412.3555.
- [36] A. Paszke, S. Gross, F. Massa, A. Lerer, J. Bradbury, G. Chanan, T. Killeen, Z. Lin, N. Gimelshein, L. Antiga, A. Desmaison, A. Köpf, E. Yang, Z. DeVito, M. Raison, A. Tejani, S. Chilamkurthy, B. Steiner, L. Fang, J. Bai, and S. Chintala, "PyTorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library," 2019, arXiv:1912.01703.