

KNOTTED HANDLEBODIES IN THE 4-SPHERE AND 5-BALL

MARK HUGHES, SEUNGWON KIM, AND MAGGIE MILLER

ABSTRACT. For every integer $g \geq 2$ we construct smooth 3-dimensional 1-handlebodies H_1 and H_2 of genus g , which are properly embedded in B^5 with the same boundary, such that both H_1 and H_2 are smoothly boundary parallel and are homeomorphic rel boundary as 3-manifolds, yet H_1 and H_2 are not related by a topological, locally flat isotopy rel boundary.

In other words, we construct 3-dimensional genus- g 1-handlebodies smoothly embedded in S^4 with the same boundary that are defined by the same cut systems of their boundary yet are not isotopic rel boundary via any locally flat isotopy even when their interiors are pushed into B^5 . This in particular proves a conjecture of Budney–Gabai for $g \geq 2$.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we work in the smooth category and the topological locally flat category. We will specify in which category various statements hold. As a shorthand, we will write “topological” but implicitly mean “topological and locally flat.”

The goal of this paper is to obstruct two boundary-parallel handlebodies (by which we always mean 3-dimensional 1-handlebodies) in B^5 from being isotopic rel boundary. However, when two handlebodies are not defined by the same systems of curves on their common boundary, this claim is not very interesting.

Definition 1.1. Let H_1 and H_2 be genus- g handlebodies that are both bounded by a surface F . We say that H_1 and H_2 are *compressing curve equivalent* if there exist g disjoint simple closed curves A_1, \dots, A_g in F so that $F \setminus \nu(A_i)$ is planar and so that each A_i bounds a disk into H_1 and also bounds a disk into H_2 .

If H_1 and H_2 are handlebodies properly embedded into B^5 with common boundary and H_1 and H_2 are not compressing curve equivalent, then they obviously cannot be isotopic rel boundary.

Our motivation is the following conjecture of Budney and Gabai.

Conjecture 1.2 ([2, Conjecture 11.3]). *For each $g \geq 0$ there exist 3-dimensional genus- g handlebodies $H_1, H_2 \subset S^4$ such that $\partial H_1 = \partial H_2$ and H_1, H_2 are compressing curve equivalent, but H_1 is not isotopic to H_2 via an isotopy that fixes ∂H_i .*

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57K99 (primary); 57K45 (secondary).

SK was supported by Institute for Basic Science (IBS-R003-D1). MM was supported by a Clay Research Fellowship and a Stanford Science Fellowship.




Figure 1: The 3-balls constructed by Gabai-Budney become smoothly isotopic when their interiors are pushed into B^5 . **Left:** A schematic of a knotted 3-ball constructed by Budney and Gabai [2]; see their paper for full detail (note this diagram matches Figure 59(b) of [2]). Briefly, the horizontal sheet represents a standard 3-ball B in $B^3 \times S^1 = S^4 \setminus \nu(\text{unknotted 2-sphere})$. Surgering B along many pairs of 4-dimensional 2-handles (with pairs meeting B in zero-framed Hopf links) transforms B into another 3-ball B' . While these 2-handles are “linked” in $B^3 \times S^1$, when a fifth dimension of freedom is added they become unlinked. **Right:** After pushing the interior of B' into the interior of $B^3 \times S^1 \times I$, we perform isotopy to unlink the 2-handles used in the construction of B' . The resulting 3-ball is isotopic rel boundary to B .

Budney and Gabai [2] provided examples satisfying Conjecture 1.2 for $g = 0$, obstructing smooth isotopy rel boundary. We prove a stronger version of this conjecture for $g \geq 2$.

Theorem 1.3. *For every $g \geq 2$, there exist genus- g handlebodies H_1 and H_2 smoothly, properly embedded in B^5 so that the following hold.*

- (i) *Both H_1 and H_2 are smoothly boundary-parallel,*
- (ii) *$\partial H_1 = \partial H_2$,*
- (iii) *H_1 and H_2 are compressing curve equivalent,*
- (iv) *H_1 and H_2 are not topologically isotopic rel boundary.*

Corollary 1.4. *For every $g \geq 2$, there exist smooth genus- g handlebodies H_1 and H_2 in S^4 with $\partial H_1 = \partial H_2$ so that H_1 and H_2 are compressing curve equivalent but are not topologically isotopic rel boundary.*

The 3-balls constructed by Budney–Gabai become smoothly isotopic rel boundary when their interiors are pushed into B^5 (see Figure 1). In contrast, our higher-genus handlebodies in S^4 do not even become topologically isotopic rel boundary when their interiors are pushed into B^5 , which we considered to be very surprising behavior for objects that are seemingly trivial.

The construction of Theorem 1.3 involves producing genus-2 examples and then showing that adding trivial 3-dimensional 1-handles to the pair does not cause them to become topologically isotopic rel boundary.

Corollary 1.5 (Corollary of the proof of Theorem 1.3). *There exist smooth genus-2 handlebodies H_1 and H_2 embedded in S^4 with $\partial H_1 = \partial H_2$ so that H_1 and H_2*

are compressing-curve equivalent and so that if H_1, H_2 are boundary-summed with any identical collections of smooth solid tori to obtain smooth genus- g handlebodies $\widehat{H}_1, \widehat{H}_2$, the handlebodies \widehat{H}_1 and \widehat{H}_2 are not topologically isotopic rel boundary even when their interiors are pushed into B^5 .

Our construction necessarily yields handlebodies of genus at least two. There are thus obvious open questions left about 3-balls and solid tori. These questions may be asked in either the smooth or topological category.

Questions 1.6.

- (i) Do there exist solid tori in S^4 with the same boundary that are compressing curve equivalent but are not isotopic rel boundary? Do they necessarily become isotopic rel boundary when pushed into B^5 ?
- (ii) Must two 3-balls in S^4 with the same boundary become isotopic rel boundary when their interiors are pushed into B^5 ?

Answering the first part of Question 1.6(i) would affirm Conjecture 1.2.

Acknowledgements. Thanks to Mark Powell for correcting our discussion on topological vs. smooth double slicing.

2. DOUBLE SLICING

Our obstruction to isotopy rel boundary comes from double sliceness (or more precisely, obstructing double sliceness) of 2-knots.

Definition 2.1. A 2-knot K is the image of a smooth embedding from S^2 to S^4 , defined up to ambient isotopy of S^4 . We say that K is (topologically/smoothly) *unknotted* if K is the boundary of the image of a (topological/smooth) embedding of B^3 into S^4 .

More generally, a positive-genus surface in S^4 is said to be unknotted if it bounds a handlebody in S^4 in the appropriate category.

It is a theorem of Kervaire [7] that every (topological/smooth) 2-knot is *slice*, in the sense that it bounds a (topological/smooth) 3-ball into B^5 . However, Stoltzfus [12] showed that not every 2-knot is topologically *doubly slice*.

Definition 2.2. Let K be a 2-knot. We say that K is (topologically/smoothly) *doubly slice* if, writing S^5 as the union of two (topological/smooth) 5-balls along their boundary $W \cong S^4$, there exists a (topological/smooth) embedding $f : B^4 \rightarrow S^5$ so that

$$(W, W \cap f(\partial B^4)) \stackrel{\text{homeo/diff}}{\cong} (S^4, K).$$

In words, K is doubly slice when K is an equator of an unknotted 3-sphere in S^5 in the appropriate category.

Ruberman [9] gave convenient examples of 2-knots that are not doubly slice (using different techniques than Stoltzfus, who actually obstructed the broader property of algebraic double sliceness).

Theorem 2.3 ([9]). *The 5-twist spun trefoil is not smoothly doubly slice.*

While Stolz and Zickler obstructs topological double sliceness (i.e. obstructs a 2-knot from being a cross-section of a locally flat, topologically unknotted 3-sphere), Ruberman's theorem involves smooth topology. Ruberman gives an invariant that obstructs double sliceness that is shown to be well-defined using Rokhlin's theorem applied to a smooth, spin 4-manifold cobounded by a smooth 3-manifold in B^5 . When applied directly, he thus obstructs the 5-twist spun trefoil from being smoothly doubly slice. However, it is a theorem of Wall [14, Corollary 3.1] and Shaneson [11, Theorem 2.1] (or more precisely a theorem of Wall that rested on a conjecture later proved by Shaneson) that every smooth 3-sphere in S^5 that is topologically unknotted is also smoothly unknotted.¹ Thus, we can rephrase Theorem 2.3 in a seemingly sharper way: if L is a smooth 3-sphere in S^5 admitting the 5-twist spun trefoil as a cross-section (via a smooth splitting of S^5), then L is not topologically unknotted.

3. CONSTRUCTING SLICE 3-BALLS

By Kervaire [7], we know that every 2-knot is slice. In this section we give a procedure for constructing a 3-ball into B^5 bounded by a specific 2-knot. This same construction appears in [6].

Definition 3.1. Let Σ be an oriented genus- g surface in an orientable 4-manifold X . Let η be an arc in X with endpoints on Σ that is disjoint from Σ in its interior and is not tangent to Σ near its boundary. There are two isotopy classes of 2-dimensional subbundles of the normal bundle ν_η that extend $T\Sigma|_{\partial\eta}$; pick representatives N_η^1 and N_η^2 . Using N_η^1 and N_η^2 (respectively), obtain 3-dimensional thickenings $H_1, H_2 \cong I \times D^2$ of η that each meet Σ in $S^0 \times D^2$.

The orientation of Σ extends over exactly one of ∂H_1 or ∂H_2 . Without loss of generality, assume it extends over ∂H_1 . Then we obtain a genus- $(g+1)$ surface from Σ by surgering along ∂H_1 . We denote this surface Σ_η by adding η as a subscript. We say that Σ_η is obtained from Σ by *attaching a tube along η* .

The following lemma of Hosokawa–Kawauchi [4] is very well known (and has been proved in much greater generality by Baykur–Sunukjian [1]).

Lemma 3.2 ([4]). *Let K be a smooth 2-sphere in S^4 . For some n , there exists a collection of n arcs η_1, \dots, η_n so that attaching smooth tubes to K along η_1, \dots, η_n yields a smoothly unknotted genus- n surface.*

Proof. Let Y be a 3-manifold in S^4 bounded by K . Fix a relative handle decomposition on Y . Let η_1, \dots, η_n be cores of the 1-handles of this decomposition. Then $K_{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n}$ bounds a copy of Y with the relative 1-handles deleted, which is a smooth handlebody. We conclude that $K_{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n}$ is smoothly unknotted. \square

¹We have noticed several papers attribute the fact that a topologically unknotted n -dimensional sphere smoothly embedded in S^{n+2} is also smoothly unknotted for $n \geq 3$ to J. Levine [8]. However, Levine specifically assumed $n \geq 4$ in his main theorem in this reference (although later works seem to recover the $n = 3$ case). As far as we can tell, the original path to proof for $n = 3$ follows from the two cited works of Wall [14] and Shaneson [11].

Joseph–Klug–Ruppik–Schwartz [5] gave examples of when the tubings prescribed by Lemma 3.2 are particularly simple.

Proposition 3.3 ([5]). *Let K be an n -twist spun trefoil for some n . Then a single tube can be attached to K to obtain the smoothly unknotted torus.*

Before stating the main lemma of this section, we describe some useful work of Hirose on isotopies of unknotted surfaces that makes use of the Rokhlin quadratic form.

Definition 3.4. Let Σ be a genus- g surface in S^4 . The *Rokhlin quadratic form* on Σ is a quadratic form $q : H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ defined as follows.

Given a primitive element $\alpha \in H_1(\Sigma; \mathbb{Z})$, let C be a simple closed curve on Σ representing α . Let P be a disk in S^4 bounded by C that is *framed*, i.e. so that the 1-dimensional subbundle of the normal bundle of C that is tangent to Σ extends over all of P . Then

$$q(\alpha) = |\mathring{P} \cap \Sigma| \pmod{2}.$$

For our purposes, a *symplectic basis* $((A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_g, B_g))$ of a genus- g surface F consists of simple closed curves $A_1, \dots, A_g, B_1, \dots, B_g$ on F so that the following are all true.

- $[A_1], \dots, [A_g]$ are linearly independent in $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z})$,
- $[B_1], \dots, [B_g]$ are linearly independent in $H_1(F; \mathbb{Z})$,
- $A_i \cap A_j = B_i \cap B_j = A_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$,
- A_i, B_i intersect transversely in one point.

Hirose [3] showed that the Rokhlin form determines equivalence of symplectic bases on unknotted surfaces in S^4 .

Theorem 3.5 ([3]). *Let U_g be an unknotted surface of genus- g in S^4 . Fix symplectic bases of curves $((A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_g, B_g))$ and $((A'_1, B'_1), \dots, (A'_g, B'_g))$ on U_g . Then there is an ambient isotopy of S^4 taking U_g to itself and taking A_i, B_i to A'_i, B'_i for each i if and only if $q([A_i]) = q([A'_i]), q([B_i]) = q([B'_i])$ for each i .*

The following lemma is easy to prove and would likely be used without proof by many experts, but we write it out here for completeness.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $h : B^5 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be the usual radial height function. If a 2-knot K can be transformed into an unknotted surface U_n by attaching n tubes, then K bounds a 3-ball B into B^5 so that $h|_B$ is Morse with one index-0 point, n index-1 points, and n index-2 points.*

Proof. Let A_1, \dots, A_n be belt circles of the tubes attached to K to obtain U_n . Since each A_i bounds a framed disk (the cocore of the 3-dimensional 1-handle H_i used to perform the tube surgery) whose interior is disjoint from U_n , $q([A_i]) = 0$. Choose curves B_1, \dots, B_n on U_n so that $((A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n))$ is a symplectic basis of U_n . For each i , if $q([B_i]) \neq 0$, then redefine B_i by Dehn twisting it along A_i . We thus arrange for $q(B_i) = 0$ for all i .

By Theorem 3.5, U_n can be isotoped so that $((A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n))$ is taken to the standard symplectic basis (see Figure 7), so we conclude that B_1, \dots, B_n bound

disjoint framed disks $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ into the complement of U_n . Specifically, the disks $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_n$ may be taken to lie in a copy of S^3 that contains U_n . These disks have the property that when Δ_i is thickened to $\Delta_i \times I$ (so that $(\partial\Delta_i) \times I$ is contained in U_n and $\Delta_i \times I$ is disjoint from U_n), compressing U_n along all of the Δ_i yields the unknotted sphere U_0 , which bounds a 3-ball D . We can now describe B via the following intersections. (Recall that $h^{-1}(1) = \partial B^5$ and that $h^{-1}(0)$ is the central point of B^5 .)

$$\begin{aligned} B \cap h^{-1}(3/4, 1] &= K \times (3/4, 1], \\ B \cap h^{-1}\{3/4\} &= K \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n H_i \right), \\ B \cap h^{-1}(1/2, 3/4) &= U_n \times (1/2, 3/4), \\ B \cap h^{-1}\{1/2\} &= U_n \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n (\Delta_i \times I) \right), \\ B \cap h^{-1}(1/4, 1/2) &= U_0 \times (1/4, 1/2), \\ B \cap h^{-1}\{1/4\} &= D, \\ B \cap h^{-1}[0, 1/4) &= \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $h^{-1}[0, 1/2] \cap B$ is a handlebody. After a small perturbation of B , $h|_B$ is Morse with one index-0 critical point (in $h^{-1}(1/4)$), n index-1 critical points (in $h^{-1}(1/2)$) and n index-2 critical points (in $h^{-1}(3/4)$). □

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Positioning a handlebody H in B^5 so that $h|_{B^5}$ has only one index-0 point is particularly useful.

Lemma 4.1. *Let H be a genus- g handlebody smoothly and properly embedded in B^5 such that for $h : B^5 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ the radial height function, the function $h|_H$ is Morse with a single index-0 critical point and with no index-2 or -3 critical points. Then there is a smooth isotopy of H rel boundary taking H into ∂B^5 .*

Proof. After choosing a gradientlike flow for $h|_H$, h induces a handlebody decomposition on H with one 0-handle and g 1-handles. Let t_0 and t_1 be chosen so that $0 < t_0 < t_1 < 1$, with the index-0 critical point of $h|_H$ lying below height t_0 , and the g index-1 critical points sitting between heights t_0 and t_1 . Then in $h^{-1}(t_0) \cong S^4$ the level set $S := h|_H^{-1}(t_0)$ will be a small unknotted 2-sphere, which bounds a properly embedded 3-ball $W = h|_H^{-1}[0, t_0]$ in $h^{-1}([0, t_0])$.

Moving upwards along the height function h , the index-1 critical points will change S by attaching tubes along some arcs η_1, \dots, η_g . We may shrink each of these tubes to be thin neighborhoods of their respective arcs η_i , and push them all down to height t_0 . These tube additions may be flattened at height t_0 , so that $h|_H^{-1}(t_0)$




Figure 2: The union T of an unknotted sphere S with 3-dimensional 1-handles. Here, T is represented as a sequence of level sets with respect to the time parameter t . Not shown are the level sets when $s < 0$ or $s > 2$, which are all empty.

consists of the union of the unknotted 2-sphere S along with g 3-dimensional 1-handles b_1, \dots, b_g attached to S along each η_1, \dots, η_g respectively. Let $T := h|_H^{-1}(t_0)$ denote this union. For small $\varepsilon > 0$, nearby level sets $h|_H^{-1}(t_0 - \varepsilon)$ will consist of only (a parallel copy of) the sphere S , while $h|_H^{-1}(t_0 + \varepsilon)$ will be a genus- g surface parallel to one obtained from adding tubes to S along the arcs η_i .

We first show that $T \subset h^{-1}(t_0)$ may be put into a standard position. We begin by fixing a Morse function $f : h^{-1}(t_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, chosen so that the induced Morse function on S has only one critical point of index-0 at level 0, one critical point of index-2 at level 2, and no other critical points. (To distinguish this Morse function f on $h^{-1}(t_0)$ from the Morse function h on B^5 , we will use a parameter s for f and continue to use t for h .)

Since the 3-dimensional 1-handles b_i are small tubular neighborhoods of the arcs η_i , we may isotope them so that they lie in a neighborhood of the level set $f^{-1}(1)$. Then with respect to f it follows that T is as in Figure 2. Here, we interpret each of the three figures as representing the cross-sections of T at the various levels depicted.

First note that in Figure 2 we have cross-sections of each 3-dimensional 1-handle b_i as 2-dimensional bands, so $b_i = (\text{band}) \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1 + \varepsilon]$. (Note also that by twisting the attaching map of the handle b_i we can achieve the blackboard framing shown in Figure 2.) Second, by pushing segments of the bands into the past we may change any given crossing between two bands, or self-crossing of a single band. This includes changing crossings along S as in Figure 3. Perform these operations until the bands in $f^{-1}(1)$ are contained in disjoint 3-balls meeting $S \cap f^{-1}(1)$ in one arc each.



Figure 3: Removing crossings along the sphere S by pushing bands backwards and forwards in time.



Figure 4: Twisting a band around S to remove an intersection with Q .




Figure 5: The union T of an unknotted sphere S with 3-dimensional 1-handles after being put into standard position.

Finally, we will remove any twisting of the bands around S . To be more precise, let Q be the standard disk spanned by the unknot in the central frame of Figure 2. We can remove any intersections of the bands with Q by twirling them around ∂Q as shown in Figure 4.

Performing all of the above modifications allows us to reposition T as in Figure 5. Recall now that $W = h|_H^{-1}([0, t_0 - \epsilon])$ is a 3-ball properly embedded in $h^{-1}([0, t_0 - \epsilon])$ with boundary (a parallel copy of) the unknotted 2-sphere S . As none of the bands b_i intersect the spanning disk Q , the 3-ball W can be pushed up into $h^{-1}(t_0)$. Together with the 1-handles b_i , this gives a 3-dimensional handlebody $T \cup W$ embedded in $h^{-1}(t_0)$. Between heights t_0 and 1 the handlebody H has no more critical points. The level sets $h|_H^{-1}(t)$ for $t_0 \leq t \leq 1$ trace out an isotopy of ∂T that can be extended to an ambient isotopy of $h^{-1}(t_0) \cong S^4$. Using this ambient isotopy we can push T and the rest of H into ∂B^5 as required. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. First we prove the theorem for $g = 2$. We will then extend this strategy to larger g . Let K be the 5-twist spun trefoil. By Theorem 2.3, K is not smoothly doubly slice. By Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.6, there is a smoothly embedded 3-ball B in B^5 whose boundary is K and so that the radial height function on B^5 restricts to a Morse function on B with one index-0 point, one index-1 point, and one index-2 point.

Double B along K to obtain a smooth 3-sphere L in S^5 . Since K is not smoothly doubly slice, L is not smoothly unknotted, and hence also not topologically unknotted (recall the discussion after Theorem 2.3). The standard height function h on S^5 restricts to a Morse function on L with the following critical points, in order from highest to lowest (descending in the table, naturally).

- | | | |
|-------|---------|--|
| (vi) | index-3 | from \overline{B} ; the dual of the index-0 point of B , |
| (v) | index-2 | from \overline{B} ; the dual of the index-1 point of B , |
| (iv) | index-1 | from \overline{B} ; the dual of the index-2 point of B , |
| (iii) | index-2 | from B , |
| (ii) | index-1 | from B , |
| (i) | index-0 | from B . |

Note that the critical points of $h|_L$ are not a priori in order. However, we may interchange the heights of the (iv) index-1 point and the (iii) index-2 point by smoothly isotoping L , so that both of the index-1 points of $h|_L$ are below both of the index-2 points. See the right side of Figure 6. After this isotopy, fix a level $S^4 = h^{-1}(t_0)$ between the index-1 and index-2 critical points of $h|_L$ separating S^5 into two 5-balls $V_1 = h^{-1}[0, t_0]$ and $V_2 = h^{-1}[t_0, 1]$. This S^4 intersects L in a smooth genus-2 unknotted surface $U = h|_L^{-1}(t_0)$. We have $L = H_1 \cup_U H_2$ for two smooth genus-2 handlebodies H_1 and H_2 , with $H_1 \subset V_1$ lying below U and $H_2 \subset V_2$ lying above U . Note that $h|_{H_1}$ and $-h|_{H_2}$ each have one index-0 point and two index-1 points. By Lemma 4.1, H_1 is smoothly boundary-parallel in V_1 and H_2 is smoothly boundary-parallel in V_2 .

Since (H_1, H_2) is a Heegaard splitting of S^3 , by Waldhausen's theorem ([13] but see [10] for exposition) there exists a symplectic basis $((A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2))$ of U so that each A_i bounds a disk into H_1 and each B_i bounds a disk into H_2 . Projecting such a disk bounded by A_i or B_i from B^5 into S^4 yields an immersed framed disk




Figure 6: **Left:** An index-2 point of $h|_L$ below a dual index-1 point. **Right:** We exchange the heights of the two critical points so that the index-1 critical point of $h|_L$ is below the index-2 critical point. Note that this increases the genus of the central cross-section of $h|_L$.

with boundary on U intersecting U algebraically zero times in its interior. We conclude $q([A_i]) = q([B_i]) = 0$.

By Theorem 3.5, $(U; (A_1, B_1), (A_2, B_2))$ can be smoothly isotoped to the standard unknotted surface with standard curves as in Figure 7 (drawn for general genus). Then U bounds smooth handlebodies H_1^* and H_2^* in S^4 with A_i bounding a disk into H_1^* and B_i bounding a disk into H_2^* , and with $H_1^* \cup_U H_2^*$ an unknotted 3-sphere. Push H_1^* into V_1 and H_2^* into V_2 . Since $L = H_1 \cup_U H_2$ is topologically knotted, L is not topologically isotopic to $H_1^* \cup_U H_2^*$, which is unknotted. Therefore, if H_1 is topologically isotopic rel boundary in $V_1 \cong B^5$ to H_1^* , then H_2 is not topologically isotopic rel boundary in $V_2 \cong B^5$ to H_2^* . This completes the proof for $g = 2$, with the pair of non-isotopic handlebodies being either (H_1, H_1^*) or (H_2, H_2^*) .

To extend the above argument to larger g , we simply perturb the 3-ball B . Fix $g > 2$ and let \widehat{B} be obtained from B by perturbing B with respect to h to introduce $(g - 2)$ pairs of cancelling index-1, index-2 pairs to $h|_{\widehat{B}}$. Now consider the 3-sphere $\widehat{L} = \widehat{B} \cup \overline{B}$ in S^5 . Again, K is a cross-section of \widehat{L} , so \widehat{L} is not topologically




Figure 7: A symplectic basis $((A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_n, B_n))$ on an unknotted genus- n surface in S^4 . We have shaded a genus- n handlebody into which the A_i curves bound disks; the closure of its complement in this 3-dimensional cross-section is a genus- n handlebody into which the B_i curves bound disks. Gluing these two handlebodies together yields an S^3 that splits S^4 into two smooth 4-balls.

unknotted. (And more directly, \widehat{L} is smoothly isotopic to L so of course \widehat{L} is not topologically unknotted.) In words, we obtain \widehat{L} by gluing a copy of \widehat{B} to a copy of B (with opposite orientations); note that \widehat{L} is not expressed as a double. As constructed, the standard height function on B^5 restricts to a Morse function on \widehat{L} with the following critical points.

(vii)	index-3	from \overline{B} ; the dual of the index-0 point of B ,
(vi)	index-2	from \overline{B} ; the dual of the index-1 point of B ,
(v)	index-1	from \overline{B} ; the dual of the index-2 point of B ,
(iv _{2(n-2)})	index-2	} From the perturbations that yield \widehat{B} from B
(iv _{2(n-2)-1})	index-1	
⋮	⋮	
(iv ₂)	index-2	
(iv ₁)	index-1	
(iii)	index-2	from B
(ii)	index-1	from B ,
(i)	index-0	from B .

In total, $h|_{\widehat{L}}$ has one index-0 point, g index-1 points, g index-2 points, and one index-3 point. Smoothly isotope \widehat{L} to move the index-1 critical points below $h^{-1}(t_0) \cong S^4$ and the index-2 critical points above $h^{-1}(t_0)$. Then $h^{-1}(t_0)$ intersects \widehat{L} in a genus- g surface \widehat{U} , and $\widehat{H}_1 = h|_{\widehat{L}}^{-1}[0, t_0]$ and $\widehat{H}_2 = h|_{\widehat{L}}^{-1}[t_0, 1]$ are smooth genus- g handlebodies that are smoothly boundary parallel (via Lemma 4.1) in the 5-balls V_1, V_2 respectively. By the same argument as in the $g = 2$ case (recall Figure 7), \widehat{U} bounds smooth boundary-parallel handlebodies \widehat{H}_1^* and \widehat{H}_2^* respectively in V_1, V_2 so that \widehat{H}_i and \widehat{H}_i^* are compressing-curve equivalent but $\widehat{H}_1^* \cup_{\widehat{U}} \widehat{H}_2^*$ is unknotted. We similarly conclude that if \widehat{H}_1 is topologically isotopic rel boundary to \widehat{H}_1^* , then \widehat{H}_2 is not topologically isotopic rel boundary to \widehat{H}_2^* . Then either $(\widehat{H}_1, \widehat{H}_1^*)$ or $(\widehat{H}_2, \widehat{H}_2^*)$ are the desired pair of non-isotopic genus- g handlebodies. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R İnanç Baykur and Nathan Sunukjian. Knotted surfaces in 4-manifolds and stabilizations. *Journal of Topology*, 9(1):215–231, 2016.
- [2] Ryan Budney and David Gabai. Knotted 3-balls in S^4 . Dec. 2019. ArXiv math.[GT] 1912.09029.
- [3] Susumu Hirose. On diffeomorphisms over surfaces trivially embedded in the 4–sphere. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 2(2):791–824, 2002.
- [4] Fujitsugu Hosokawa and Akio Kawachi. Proposals for unknotted surfaces in four-spaces. *Osaka Math. J.*, 16(1):233–248, 1979.
- [5] Jason Joseph, Michael Klug, Benjamin Ruppik, and Hannah Schwartz. Unknotting numbers of 2-spheres in the 4-sphere. 2020. ArXiv math.[GT] 2007.13244. To appear in J. Topol.
- [6] Hongtaek Jung, Sungkyung Kang, and Seungwon Kim. A note on Rokhlin quadratic forms on surfaces in 4-manifolds. *In preparation*, 2021.
- [7] Michel A Kervaire. Les nœuds de dimensions supérieures. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, 93:225–271, 1965.
- [8] J. Levine. Unknotting spheres in codimension two. *Topology*, 4:9–16, 1965.
- [9] Daniel Ruberman. Doubly slice knots and the Casson-Gordon invariants. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 279(2):569–588, 1983.
- [10] Saul Schleimer. Waldhausen’s theorem. In *Workshop on Heegaard Splittings*, volume 12 of *Geom. Topol. Monogr.*, pages 299–317. Geom. Topol. Publ., Coventry, 2007.
- [11] Julius L. Shaneson. Embeddings with codimension two of spheres in spheres and H -cobordisms of $S^1 \times S^3$. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74:972–974, 1968.
- [12] Neal W. Stoltzfus. Isometries of inner product spaces and their geometric applications. In *Geometric topology (Proc. Georgia Topology Conf., Athens, Ga., 1977)*, pages 527–541. Academic Press, New York-London, 1979.
- [13] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Heegaard-Zerlegungen der 3-Sphäre. *Topology*, 7:195–203, 1968.
- [14] C. T. C. Wall. Unknotting tori in codimension one and spheres in codimension two. *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 61:659–664, 1965.

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY, PROVO, UT, 84602 USA

Email address: `hughes@mathematics.byu.edu`

INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE – CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, POSTECH, POHANG-SI, GYEONGSANGBUK-DO, SOUTH KOREA

Email address: `math751@gmail.com`

STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA, 94305 USA

Email address: `maggie.miller.math@gmail.com`